

Bulk properties and flow

Zhangbu Xu

Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973

Abstract. In this report, I summarize the experimental results on **bulk properties and flow** presented at Quark Matter 2004. It is organized in four sections: 1) Initial condition and stopping; 2) Particle spectra and freeze-outs; 3) Anisotropic flow; 4) Outlook for future measurements.

A wealthy set of data related to bulk properties and flow had been presented at this conference. I will highlight on the results related to initial condition, partonic collectivity and thermalization in relativistic heavy ion collisions, emphasizing on the exciting results from recent RHIC run with Au+Au and d+Au collisions. The plots shown in this report are to illustrate the discussions and I refer the readers to presentations by various collaborations for complete dataset. Other important and exciting results, such as strangeness (K/π) excitation function from SPS [1] and pentaquark searches from various experiments [2], will not be covered in this summary.

1. Initial conditions

One of the exciting results in forward rapidity (deuteron side) at RHIC is the observation of suppression of particle yields at and below intermediate p_T [3] in d+Au collisions. The observations [3, 4, 5, 6] are consistent with the qualitative derivation from the theory of Color Glass Condensate (**CGC**). Lively discussions had been ongoing about whether models with conventional stopping and/or shadowing can explain the effects [7, 8]. Conclusive evidences may have to wait for more quantitative predictions from theory and experimental observations of more universal scalings related to the unique physical quantity of saturation momentum scale (Q_s). PHOBOS Collaboration has measured the charged particle multiplicity distributions in the full pseudo-rapidity ($-5 < \eta < 5$) range in p+p collisions and several centralities of the d+Au and Au+Au collisions [9, 10]. BRAHMS, PHENIX and STAR collaborations have presented results in various η coverage as well. The results appear to be consistent with HIJING/AMPT models, limiting fragmentation and in disagreement with an earlier prediction by saturation models [9, 3]. Updated predictions from saturation model agree better with the experimental results in the d+Au centrality dependence [11]. BRAHMS presented the identified particle yield as function of rapidity and transverse momentum [12]. From the produced particle yields and net baryon rapidity loss, it was concluded that projectile Au nuclei lose 25 ± 1 TeV in the central Au+Au collisions. This is about 75% of the

beam energy. The rapidity loss is also a relevant factor in the interpretation of the suppression in the forward rapidity. The π rapidity distribution is Gaussian with width of $\sigma = 2.26 \pm 0.02$ and consistent with simple estimate from Landau hydrodynamics. The anisotropic flow v_2 as function of η measured by PHOBOS Collaboration also shows that it is quite Gaussian. These are very convincing evidences that the rapidity distribution of the particles (\rightarrow energy) and its subsequent expansion is NOT Bjorken boost invariance. There was debate at the conference about the validation of the models with Bjorken boost invariance at the limit rapidity range (i.e. $|y| < 1$).

2. Particle spectra, freeze-outs, and nuclear modification factor

The statistical model has been able to describe the yield ratios of the identified particles successfully [13]. The question is what it means since the same model can fit well to those in p+p and AA collisions [13]. To distinguish a thermal system from a system born with the thermal phase space where temperature is simply a Lagrange multiplier [14], we need to measure the interactions between particles and observe the evolution of the system from canonical to grand canonical ensemble. The interaction of particles was measured through resonance yields according to their hadronic re-scattering cross section and collective flow of many identified particles. The evolution of the system from canonical to grand canonical ensemble was observed by the centrality dependence of the canonical suppression of strangeness in small systems.




Figure 1. Particle ratios in p+p and central Au+Au collisions at RHIC. The filled circles (open circles) are ratios in central Au+Au collisions measured by STAR (PHENIX) Collaboration. The triangles are particle ratios in p+p minias collisions measured by STAR Collaboration. The red (light blue) bars are results from statistical model fit to $\pi, K, p, \Lambda, \phi, \Xi$ and Ω (π, K, p).

2.1. Particle yield ratios and chemical freeze-out

Both SPS and RHIC have measured a rich spectrum of particle including $\pi, K, p, \Lambda, \phi, \Xi, \Omega$ in p+p and many centralities in AA collisions [15]. Fig. 1(left portion) shows the variety of the stable particle ratios in p+p and central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The filled (open) circles are measurements by STAR (PHENIX) in Au+Au collisions. The ratios measured by PHOBOS and BRAHMS [17, 18] are consistent with the measurements plotted in Fig. 1. The filled triangles depict STAR's results from p+p collisions. The red (light blue) bars correspond to statistical model fit to $\pi, K, p, \Lambda, \phi, \Xi$ and Ω (π, K, p) in Au+Au collisions [19, 20]. I list a few observations:

- The statistical model [19] fit to $\pi, K, p, \Lambda, \phi, \Xi$ and Ω results in $T_{ch} = 160 \pm 5$, $\mu_B = 24 \pm 4$, $\mu_S = 1.4 \pm 1.6$ and strangeness saturation factor $\gamma_S = 0.99 \pm 0.07$.
- Strange particles are enhanced in Au+Au over p+p collisions [13]. This is also evident from the strangeness enhancement factor as function of centrality [15].
- The fit to π, K, p can not constrain the strangeness parameters to predict well the multistrangeness particle production.
- The \bar{p}/π ratio from PHENIX is 40% lower than that from STAR due to different weak-decay feed-down correction. STAR measures inclusive \bar{p} production with PDG definition [21, 22] (P226 “the rates given include decay products from resonances with $c\tau < 10$ cm”). PHENIX's measurements are weak-decay feed-down corrected with Λ from measurements and $\bar{\Sigma}^-$ from model [23].

The centrality dependence of $S/(N_{part}/2)_{AA}/S_{pp}$ where S is either Λ, Ξ or Ω increases monotonically and shows no sign of saturation. However, the charged particle density which is more propotional to the volume of the system doesn't scale linearly with N_{part} from p+p to central Au+Au collisions. It was noticed that the Λ yield scales linearly with the charged particle density in Au+Au collisions [16]. The discussion at the conference was centered at the questions of whether the number of participants N_{part} is the right reference as a volume indicator and whether the system reaches grand canonical ensemble. The fact that the strangeness saturation factor is consistent with unity [13, 19, 20] suggests that the grand canonical ensemble is reached at least in the central collisions.

2.2. Resonances as a probe of freeze-out dynamics

Also shown in Fig. 1 are the ratios of resonance yields over stable particle yields. The resonances are $\phi, K^*, \Delta, \Lambda^*$ with ratios of $\phi/K, K^*/K, \Delta/p$, and Λ^*/Λ . These ratios were also shown to have different centrality dependence [24, 25, 26]. When compared to statistical model predictions from fitting results of stable particles, the ratios of K^*/K and Λ^*/Λ in central Au+Au collisions are low. At the same time, the ϕ/K ratio is very close to the prediction and independent of centrality while Δ^{++}/p ratio is a little higher than but within the error of the prediction. We reached same conclusion by comparing the results from Au+Au to those from p+p collisions. This indicates that the peculiar

feature is model-independent. A natural explanation to these behaviors will be the re-scattering and regeneration of resonances via hadronic interactions. The system in the hadronic phase is effectively a pion gas. Resonances with short lifetime ($c\tau \simeq \text{fm}$) will decay in an environment surrounding by pions. The daughters from these decays will re-scatter with pions and other particles. On the other hand, the hadrons will interact to generate the resonances through the reverse reaction channel of the decay. In the case of K^* , the reaction channel will be $K^* \longleftrightarrow \pi K$ and the re-scattering channel is $\pi\pi \rightarrow \rho$. Since the $\pi\pi$ cross section is large ($\sigma_{\pi\pi} \simeq 5\sigma_{\pi K}$), it is a good assumption that the daughter pions from K^* decay before kinetic freeze-out will not escape the system. Therefore, we will not be able to observe those K^* decay in the hadron gas before kinetic freeze-out. The reaction channels of $\phi \leftrightarrow KK$, $K^* \leftrightarrow K\pi$, $\Delta \leftrightarrow p\pi$, and $\Lambda^* \leftrightarrow K\Lambda$ and their daughter rescatterings have very different cross section and the yield ratios of ϕ/K , K^*/K , Δ/p , and Λ^*/Λ are consistent with the cross sections. We conclude:

- Interactions between particles happen in the system. Temperature from the thermal fit is unlikely to be just a lagrange multiplier [14].
- The balances of resonance re-scattering and re-generation are not maintained in the course of the expansion. The resonance yields reflect the time span of the expansion [24, 25, 26].
- Interactions happen with finite cross section comparable to the cross section measured and implemented in transport models [26, 27].
- It is too idealized to assume infinite cross section in hydrodynamics all the way to the kinetic freeze-out.
- It is too idealized to assume that the same chemical equilibrium in the resonance yields at the chemical freeze-out can be maintained up to the kinetic freeze-out.




Figure 2. Anti-proton spectra from p+p, d+Au and Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV. Squares and stars are results from p+p and d+Au, respectively. The triangles are different centrality bins in Au+Au collisions.

2.3. Spectra, radial flow, nuclear modification factor, and Cronin Effect

The identified particle spectra have been used to extract information about radial flow and kinetic freeze-out. The main focus is on the issue of whether there is a single freeze-out and if not, are the particles with different hadronic scattering cross sections decoupling at different time? Detailed discussions have been presented [19, 12, 28, 29] with comparisons/fits of models of hydrodynamics or hydro-inspired blast wave to the measured identified particle spectra. Although some of the results indicated that hydrodynamics with one single freeze-out describes the spectra well, the others showed that sequential freeze-out of different particles is needed to fit the data. The discussions raised to the level of whether we are “abusing” (relying too much on, over-interpreting) the model comparisons [29]. However, the sequential freeze-out is more consistent with the rescattering of resonance discussed in previous section. Fig. 2 showed the \bar{p} spectra from p+p, d+Au and Au+Au collisions by the four RHIC experiments [30, 31, 32]. The plot shows that from p+p to all centralities in Au+Au collisions, the \bar{p} spectra exhibit binary scaling at $p_T \gtrsim 2$ GeV/c. The centrality difference is prominent at low p_T where the spectrum is flatter from p+p to central Au+Au collisions. BRAHMS showed that there is very little centrality dependence in the spectral shape [12], therefore centrality dependence of radial flow is not as dramatic. However, this depends on the p_T and centrality coverage. The feature of low yield at low p_T and binary scaling at high p_T is counter-intuitive to the radial flow effect since one would have expected the yields at high p_T to increase from peripheral to central due to the flow push of low p_T protons to higher p_T . This also shows that the spectrum at $p_T \gtrsim 2$ GeV/c is not sensitive to the flow effect and probably should not be included in the simple blast wave fit or hydrodynamic model comparison.

Suppression of high p_T hadron production has been observed at RHIC in central Au+Au collisions relative to p+p collisions. This suppression has been interpreted as energy loss of the energetic partons traversing the produced hot and dense medium. At intermediate p_T , the degree of suppression depends on particle species. Surprisingly, at the range of $2 \lesssim p_T \lesssim 5$ GeV/c the baryons appear to scale approximately with number of binary collisions in Au+Au collisions relative to p+p collisions while the mesons are greatly suppressed. The new data presented in this conference tried to address the following questions:

- whether the effect is a baryon-meson or a mass effect. This was achieved by comparing the nuclear modification factors of baryons (p, Λ, Ξ, Ω), light mesons (π, K, η) to heavy mesons (ϕ, K^*).
- whether the effect is a final state or initial state effect. This was achieved by comparing the nuclear modification factors of different particle species in Au+Au to d+Au collisions.
- what hadronization scheme can explain the experimental observations in the most economic way.

Fig. 3 shows the R_{CP} (central/peripheral) of $\pi, K, p, K^*, \phi, \Lambda, \Xi$ and Ω presented




Figure 3. R_{CP} (central/peripheral) of π , K , p , K^* , ϕ , Λ , Ξ and Ω .

by STAR and PHENIX collaborations. Although higher statistics are necessary for particles, such as ϕ and Ω , it is quite convincing that the R_{CP} exhibits a baryon-meson effect from the comparison. One of the highlights in this conference is the successful explanation of v_2 scaling and this intriguing result from coalescence/recombination at the quark level [33]. Nuclear modification factors in d+Au (R_{dAu}) [30, 31, 34, 35] have been presented in the conference and publications as well [34]. It showed that the proton (Λ) R_{dAu} at the intermediate p_T is larger than unity as also evident from Fig. 2. This contrasts to the binary scaling in Au+Au collisions. The difference between R_{dAu} of pions and protons is about 20% while R_{AuAu} are different by a factor of ~ 4 [34, 31]. It was concluded that the particle dependence of the suppression observed in Au+Au collisions is also a final state effect. The good candidate mechanism is the coalescence/recombination at the quark level [33, 36].

3. Anisotropic flows

The anisotropic flows measure the asymmetry of particle density in momentum space relative to the reaction plane. The geometry is initially asymmetric in non-central AA collisions. The transformation from geometric asymmetry to momentum-space asymmetry requires strong interactions at early stage where the geometry has not been blurred by the expansion. Anisotropic flow is one of the key achievements of the RHIC bulk program where good agreement between measured v_2 and ideal hydrodynamical calculations was reached [37]. The conference witness beautiful set of data including:

- Higher harmonics: v_1, v_2, v_4 [38, 39, 40, 41].
- Different particle species: $e, \gamma, \pi, K, p, \Lambda, K^*, \phi, \Xi, \Omega$ [24, 38, 42, 43, 44].
- Large coverage in rapidity and centrality: $-5 < \eta < 5$ [38, 41].
- Non-flow, cumulant, and newer techniques [38, 45].

We saw a set of v_2 measurements with not only $\pi, K, p, \Lambda, K^*, \phi$ but also multistrange particles Ξ and Ω . More importantly, the measured v_2 values as function of p_T scale with the number of constituent quarks as shown in Fig. 4. This indicates that the number of the constituent quarks in a hadron is a relevant degree of freedom as in the case of nuclear modification function [33, 36]. In addition, hadrons with strange quarks behave the same as other particles. It has been argued that Ω flow will be a signature of partonic collectivity [46, 47]. Now Ω and Ξ have large v_2 which comes from early stage of the interaction. At the hadron phase, hadrons interact according to the hadron-hadron cross section as observed from resonances. Keep in mind that all the Ω excited states do not decay back to Ω . Therefore, any violent interactions need to drive the Ω flow at hadron stage will result in disappearance of Ω . The only natural and reasonable explanation for the Ω v_2 is that it is from partonic stage.




Figure 4. v_2 of identified particles as function of p_T . Both axes are scaled by the number of constituent quarks.

4. Outlook for future measurements

- (i) Forward rapidity.

Looking forward is always a good idea! Results of forward spectra, jet and heavy flavor will be important to study the effect of gluon saturation.

- (ii) High statistics: ϕ, η, K^*, Ω R_{AA} and v_2 .

Run 4 at RHIC will enable us to have high statistic sample to study R_{AA} and v_2 .

- (iii) Charm flow as a probe of thermalization.

PHENIX showed promising results from v_2 measurements of charm via its semileptonic decay [42]. With direct open charm and its semileptonic decay, we would expect exciting results of radial flow and v_2 results from RHIC run 4 by STAR and PHENIX collaborations at QM05.

- (iv) Identified particle v_1, v_2, v_4 .

STAR showed that there are simple scalings between different harmonics [39, 40]. Look forward to seeing the results from identified particle v_1, v_4 at QM05.

- (v) Identified particle spectra in the away-side jet. How can we use the jet quenching to study the thermalization of the system?

One of the highlights at this conference is the experimental capability of reconstructing the soft particle spectra from a jet traversing a medium in Au+Au collisions at RHIC [48]. The spectral multiplicities and total scalar p_T in both near-side and away-side increase with centrality (toward central) accompanying the disappearance of back-to-back correlation at higher p_T of the associated particles. Moreover, it was shown that the away-side spectrum becomes softer from p+p toward central Au+Au collisions while the bulk spectrum becomes harder. We can roughly estimate the thermalized fraction of away-side jet from the $\langle p_T \rangle$ at central Au+Au collisions by $\langle p_T \rangle_{AuAu,jet} = 80\% \langle p_T \rangle_{AuAu,bulk} + 20\% \langle p_T \rangle_{pp,jet}$. Similar to what discussed in previous section, if the chemical composition (e.g. strangeness content) of the away-side soft spectra changes from canonical ensemble in p+p collisions to grand canonical ensemble in central Au+Au collisions, this will be a strong signature that the jet probe “melts” and becomes part of the thermalized system. One should look forward to this at QM05.

- (vi) Forward-backward rapidity asymmetry in d+Au.

It is still an ongoing research on whether the Cronin Effect seen in d+Au collisions can be explained by final state effect as well [36]. Further experimental results on particle dependence and forward-backward rapidity asymmetry will help resolve the issue [36, 49, 50].

- (vii) Energy Scan.

It will be very important/interesting to see whether some of the key phenomena have any energy dependence.

5. Conclusions

Intriguing physics in forward rapidity in d+Au collisions has been observed at RHIC. Thermal/statistical model describes well the stable particle yields up to Ω at mid-rapidity in central Au+Au collisions. The radial flow derived from the identified particle spectra and the finite hadronic scattering cross section from the resonance yields indicate that the chemical freeze-out is followed by a kinetic freeze-out. It is clear that the measurements of nuclear modification function and anisotropic flow (v_2) of the identified particles support parton coalescence/recombination for particle production at the intermediate p_T . The strong anisotropic flows of identified particles up to Ω together with the observation of finite hadronic cross section from resonances are a strong indication of partonic collectivity. In the near future, the measurements will emphasize on thermalization and detailed evolution of the system.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the organizers for the invitation and thank L. Barnby, M. Bleicher, J. Castillo, X. Dong, P. Fachini, J. Gonzalez, T. Hallman, H.Z. Huang, D. Kharzeev, M. Kaneta, F. Matathias, L. McLarren, M. Murray, M. Oldenburg, J. Rafelski, F. Retiere, L.J. Ruan, K. Schweda, J. Sandweiss, P. Sorensen, A. Tang, T. Ullrich, G. Veres, F.Q. Wang, X.N. Wang, N. Xu, A. Tai and H. Zhang.

References

- [1] R. Stock “Heavy-ion Physics: from Bevalac to LHC”; M. Gazdzicki (NA49), these proceedings;
- [2] B. Jaffe “Diquarks, Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks”; C. Pinkenburg (PHENIX); K. Kadija (NA49); K.-T. Knopfle (HERA-B), these proceedings.
- [3] R. Debbe (BRAHMS), these proceedings, nucl-ex/0403005, nucl-ex/0401025.
- [4] X.M. Liu (PHENIX), these proceedings.
- [5] R. Nouicer (PHOBOS), these proceedings.
- [6] J. Putschke (STAR), QM2004 poster.
- [7] M. Guylassy, “What Have We Learned So Far: a Theoretical Perspective”, these proceedings.
- [8] J. Nagle, “Experimental Probes of QGP II—the dark side of heavy ion physics”, Student Lectures.
- [9] P. Steinberg (Overview for the PHOBOS Collaboration); R. Nouicer (PHOBOS) these proceedings.
- [10] G. Roland, “Bulk Properties of Particle Production”, these proceedings.
- [11] D. Kharzeev *et al Nucl. Phys. A* **730**, 448 (2004), hep-ph/0212316.
- [12] M. Murray (Overview for the BRAHMS Collaboration), these proceedings.
- [13] P. Braun-Munzinger *et al .*, nucl-th/0304013 and references therein.
- [14] V. Koch, *Nucl. Phys. A* **715**, 108c (2003).
- [15] F. Antinori, “strangeness production”, these proceedings.
- [16] C. Adler *et al* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 092301 (2002).
- [17] B.B. Back *et al* .(PHOBOS), *Phys. Rev. C* **67**, 021901R (2003).
- [18] I.G. Bearden *et al* .(BRAHMS), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 102301 (2003).
- [19] O. Barannikova, QM2004 poster.
- [20] K. Schweda (Overview for the STAR Collaboration), these proceedings.
- [21] STAR Collaboration, J. Adams *et al* , nucl-ex/0310004.
- [22] D.E. Groom *et al* , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **15**, 226 (2000).
- [23] J. Velkovska “Identified Hadron Spectra in pp, dA and AA”, these proceedings.
- [24] H. Zhang (STAR), QM2004 poster, nucl-ex/0403010.
- [25] C. Markert (STAR), these proceedings.
- [26] P. Fachini “Resonance production”, these proceedings.
- [27] M. Bleicher *et al* ., *J. Phys. G* **30**, S111 (2004); hep-ph/0312278.
- [28] F. Retiere “Collective Flow”, these proceedings.
- [29] M. Lisa “What Have We Learned So Far: an Experimental Perspective”, these proceedings.
- [30] L. Ruan (STAR), these proceedings.
- [31] F. Matathias (PHENIX), these proceedings.
- [32] G. Veres (PHOBOS), these proceedings; <http://www.phobos.bnl.gov/Presentations/QM2004/Data/data.htm>.
- [33] R. Fries, “Recombination Models”, these proceedings.
- [34] STAR collaboration, nucl-ex/0309012.
- [35] L. Barnby (STAR), these proceedings.
- [36] R. Hwa, C.B. Yang, nucl-th/0403001, these proceedings.
- [37] E. Shuryak, hep-ph/0312227.
- [38] A. Tang (STAR), these proceedings.
- [39] A. Poskanzer (STAR), these proceedings.

- [40] STAR Collaboration, J. Adams *et al* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 062301 (2004).
- [41] M. B. Tonjes (PHOBOS), these proceedings.
- [42] M. Kaneta (PHENIX), these proceedings.
- [43] P. Sorensen (STAR), QM2004 poster.
- [44] J. Castillo (STAR), these proceedings.
- [45] M. Oldenburg (STAR), QM2004 poster, nucl-ex/0403007.
- [46] D. Teaney *et al* nucl-th/0110037.
- [47] N. Xu and Z. Xu, nucl-ex/0211012, *Nucl. Phys. A* **715**, 587c (2003).
- [48] F.Q. Wang (STAR), these proceedings.
- [49] X.N. Wang, *Phys. Lett. B* **565**, 116 (2003); nucl-th/0303004.
- [50] A. Accardi, these proceedings.