

**COMMUTATOR IDENTITIES ON ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS AND
INTEGRABILITY OF NONLINEAR PDE'S**

A. K. POGREBKOV

ABSTRACT. It is shown that commutator identities on associative algebras generate solutions of linearized integrable equations. Next, a special kind of the dressing procedure is suggested that in a special class of integral operators enables to associate to such commutator identity both nonlinear equation and its Lax pair. Thus problem of construction of new integrable pde's reduces to construction of commutator identities on associative algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] we mentioned that any two arbitrary elements, A and B , of an arbitrary associative algebra obey the following commutator identity:

$$[A^3, [A, B]] - \frac{3}{4}[A^2, [A^2, B]] - \frac{1}{4}[A, [A, [A, [A, B]]]] = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Defining adjoint action of powers of element A on the associative algebra as

$$\text{ad}_n B = [A^n, B] \quad (1.2)$$

one can write (1.1) as relation between these adjoint actions:

$$\text{ad}_3 \text{ad}_1 - \frac{3}{4}(\text{ad}_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\text{ad}_1)^4 = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Being the trivial consequence of associativity identity (1.1) readily proves that function

$$B(t_1, t_2, t_3) = e^{t_1 A + t_2 A^2 + t_3 A^3} B e^{-t_1 A - t_2 A^2 - t_3 A^3} \quad (1.4)$$

obeys linearized Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equation [2] with respect to variables t_j , i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial^2 B(t)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial^2 B(t)}{\partial t_2^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^4 B(t)}{\partial t_1^4} = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

or more exactly, KP II. In [1] it was pointed out that there exist analogous relations for the higher commutators. Indeed, we have identities

$$\begin{aligned} & [A^n, \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B] \dots]}_{n-2}] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{n!(1 - (-1)^m)}{m!(n-m)!} \underbrace{[A^2, \dots, [A^2]}_{n-m} \underbrace{[A, \dots, A, B] \dots}_{2(m-1)}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Date: October 1, 2018.

This work is supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant # 05-01-00498), Scientific Schools 672.2006.1, by NWO–RFBR (grant # 05-01-89006), by the Program of RAS “Mathematical Methods of the Nonlinear Dynamics.”

so we get the higher linearized equations

$$\frac{\partial^{n-1}B(t)}{\partial t_1^{n-2}\partial t_n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{n!(1-(-1)^m)}{m!(n-m)!} \frac{\partial^{n+m-2}B(t)}{\partial t_1^{2(m-1)}\partial t_2^{n-m}}, \quad (1.7)$$

of the KP-hierarchy, where now

$$B(t_1, t_2, t_n) = e^{t_1A+t_2A^2+t_nA^n} B e^{-t_1A-t_2A^2-t_nA^n}. \quad (1.8)$$

By construction it is obvious that all these flows.

In terms of definitions (1.2) identities (1.1) and (1.6) show that adjoint action of A^n , more exactly $\text{ad}_n(\text{ad}_1)^{n-2}$, are given in terms of adjoint actions of the lowest powers, ad_1 and ad_2 , that in this sense generate commutative algebra of ad_n 's. Relation (1.1) is lowest in this hierarchy and it corresponds to $n = 3$ in (1.6). Indeed, in the case $n = 2$ we get $\text{ad}_2 = \text{ad}_2$ and in the case $n = 1$ (commuting first Eq. (1.6) with A) we get $\text{ad}_1 = \text{ad}_1$. Let us mention also that all identities (1.6) are homogeneous with respect to A and linear with respect to B by construction.

Relation (1.1) appeared in [1] as equation on the spectral data in study of the inverse scattering transform by means of the resolvent approach developed in [3]–[7]. Following construction given in [1] it is clear that any integrable equation, i.e., equation integrable by means of the inverse scattering transform, has in background some algebraic identity describing evolution of scattering data. In Sec. 2 we present other algebraic identities that generate linearized versions of another integrable equations. In Sec. 3 we introduce a special realization of arbitrary elements A and B of generic associative algebra that in a natural way enables to derive both, the **nonlinear** integrable evolution equation and corresponding Lax pair corresponding to any such identity.

2. COMMUTATOR IDENTITIES ON ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

Let again A and B be arbitrary elements of an associative algebra and let element A be invertible. Then again by direct calculation it is easy to check that we have identity

$$[A^2, [A^2, [A^{-1}, B]]] - [A, [A, [A, [A, [A^{-1}, B]]]]] + 4[A, [A, [A, B]]] = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

Introducing now time dependence, say, as

$$B(t_1, t_2, t_3) = e^{t_1A^{-1}+t_2A+t_3A^2} B e^{-t_1A^{-1}-t_2A-t_3A^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

we get that this function of t obeys differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^3 B(t)}{\partial t_3^2 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^5 B(t)}{\partial t_2^4 \partial t_1} + 4 \frac{\partial^3 B(t)}{\partial t_3^3} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

that is a linearized version of the Boiti–Leon–Pempinelli (BLP) equation [8] (see also [9] and [10]).

Identity (2.1) also admits generalization to hierarchy, that in this case is infinite in both directions. Higher identities are rather complicated and we omit them here mentioning only that identities for the add powers are simpler, say

$$[A^3, [A^{-1}, B]] - [A, [A, [A, [A^{-1}, B]]]] + 3[A, [A, B]] = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, defining in analogy with (2.2)

$$B(t_1, t_2, t_3) = e^{t_1A^{-1}+t_2A+t_3A^3} B e^{-t_1A^{-1}-t_2A-t_3A^3}, \quad (2.5)$$

we get that this function obeys by (2.4) differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 B(t)}{\partial t_3 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^4 B(t)}{\partial t_2^3 \partial t_1} + 3 \frac{\partial^2 B(t)}{\partial t_2^2} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

that is a linearized version of the nonlinear equation suggested in [10].

In this case commutative algebra of commuting flows given by ad_n is generated by two adjoint actions: ad_1 and ad_{-1} . In order to get identities that give, say, commutator with square in terms of commutator with the first power, we need at least three elements (A , B_1 and B_2) of an associative algebra. Let us denote

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

and introduce projectors

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then it is easy to check that we have identities

$$\sigma_3[(AI_1)^2, B] = [AI_1, [AI_1, B]], \quad (2.9)$$

$$\sigma_3[(AI_2)^2, B] = -[AI_2, [AI_2, B]], \quad (2.10)$$

where $\sigma_3 = I_1 - I_2$ is the standard Pauli matrix. Moreover, if A is invertible, then

$$[AI_j, [A^{-1}I_j, B]] = B, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.11)$$

Now, if we define

$$B_j(t) = e^{I_j(t_1A+t_2A^2)} B e^{-I_j(t_1A+t_2A^2)}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$B'_j(t) = e^{I_j(t_1A+t_{-1}A^{-1})} B e^{-I_j(t_1A+t_{-1}A^{-1})}, \quad (2.13)$$

we get differential equations

$$\sigma_3 \partial_{t_2} B_j(t) = (-1)^{j+1} \partial_{t_1}^2 B_j(t), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_{-1}} B'_j(t) = B'_j(t), \quad (2.15)$$

i.e., linearized Nonlinear Schrödinger and Sine-Gordon equations.

It is obvious that relations (2.9) and (2.10) are the lowest members of corresponding hierarchies, say,

$$\sigma_3^n [A^n I_1, B] = \underbrace{[AI_1, \dots [AI_1, B] \dots]}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.16)$$

generating hierarchies commuting flows. Relations (2.9) and (2.10) can be used in order to construct more complicated identities. Let h be a constant diagonal matrix

$$h = \text{diag}\{h_1, h_2\} \equiv h_1 I_1 + h_2 I_2, \quad (2.17)$$

and B as in (2.7). Then by (2.9) and (2.10) we derive a matrix commutator identities

$$\sigma_3 [hA^2, B] = h_1 [AI_1, [AI_1, B]] - h_2 [AI_2, [AI_2, B]], \quad (2.18)$$

$$[A^3, B] + 3[A, [AI_1, [AI_2, B]]] - [A, [A, [A, B]]] = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$A = AI_1 + AI_2. \quad (2.20)$$

Thus if we define

$$B(t_1, t_2, t_3) = e^{t_1 AI_1 + t_2 AI_2 + t_3 h A^2} B e^{-t_1 AI_1 - t_2 AI_2 - t_3 h A^2}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$B'(t_1, t_2, t_3) = e^{t_1 AI_1 + t_2 AI_2 + t_3 A^3} B e^{-t_1 AI_1 - t_2 AI_2 - t_3 A^3}, \quad (2.22)$$

then thanks to (2.18)

$$\sigma_3 \frac{\partial B(t)}{\partial t_3} = h_1 \frac{\partial^2 B(t)}{\partial t_1^2} - h_2 \frac{\partial^2 B(t)}{\partial t_2^2}, \quad (2.23)$$

that is a linearized version of the Davey–Sewartson equations [11]. Thanks to (2.19) we derive

$$\frac{\partial B'(t)}{\partial t_3} - \frac{\partial^3 B'(t)}{\partial t_1^3} - \frac{\partial^3 B'(t)}{\partial t_2^3} = 0, \quad (2.24)$$

i.e., a linearized version of the Veselov–Novikov equation [12].

3. RECONSTRUCTION OF NONLINEAR INTEGRABLE EQUATIONS BY MEANS OF COMMUTATOR IDENTITIES

3.1. Space of operators. We have shown above that commutator identities on associative algebras generate solutions of linearized versions of integrable equations. We also mentioned that these algebraic identities naturally appear in the framework of the resolvent approach to IST as equations on the scattering data (see [1] for (1.1) and [13] for (2.18)). In this section we demonstrate that these identities enables us to reconstruct the corresponding nonlinear equations themselves, as well as their Lax pairs. For this aim we need to give some elements of the resolvent approach (see [3]–[7] for more details). We work in the space of linear integral operators $F(q)$, $G(q)$, etc, with kernels, correspondingly, $F(x, x'; q)$ and $G(x, x'; q)$, where $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $x' = (x'_1, x'_2)$, $q = (q_1, q_2)$, and all x_j , x'_j , and q_j are real variables. We assume that all these kernels belong to the space of distributions \mathcal{S}' with respect to all their six real variables. Thus we can define the “shifted” Fourier transform,

$$F(p; \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int dx \int dx' e^{i(p+\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{R}})x - i\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{R}}x'} F(x, x'; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$F(x, x'; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int dp \int d\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(p+\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{R}})x + i\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{R}}x'} F(p; \mathbf{q}), \quad (3.2)$$

where p and $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are respectively real and complex two-dimensional vectors. Vector $q = \mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{C}}$ plays role of parameter and it is not touched by composition of such operators

$$(FG)(x, x'; q) = \int dy F(x, y; q)G(y, x'; q), \quad (3.3)$$

that is defined for pairs of operators for that integral in the r.h.s. exists in the sense of distributions. In the $(p; \mathbf{q})$ -space this composition takes that form

$$(FG)(p; \mathbf{q}) = \int dp' F(p - p'; \mathbf{q} + p')G(p'; \mathbf{q}). \quad (3.4)$$

Differential operators form a special subclass of this space of operators. To any given differential operator $\mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x)$ with the kernel

$$\mathcal{L}(x, x') = \mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x) \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \delta(x_2 - x'_2) \quad (3.5)$$

we associate the differential operator $L(q)$ (we call it **extension** of the differential operator \mathcal{L}) with the kernel

$$L(x, x'; q) = e^{-q(x-x')} \mathcal{L}(x, x') \equiv \mathcal{L}(x, \partial_x + q) \delta(x - x'), \quad (3.6)$$

where $qx = q_1x_1 + q_2x_2$. Kernel $L(p; \mathbf{q})$ of the differential operator (as given in (3.1)) depends on variables \mathbf{q} polynomially. In particular, let $D_j(q)$, $j = 1, 2$, denote the extension of the differential operator $i\partial_{x_j}$, i.e.,

$$D_j(x, x'; q) = i(\partial_{x_j} + q_j)\delta(x - x'), \quad (3.7)$$

while in $(p; \mathbf{q})$ -space kernel of this operator equals

$$D_j(p; \mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q}_j \delta(p). \quad (3.8)$$

This property of kernels of differential operators in (p, \mathbf{q}) -space suggests introduction of the operation of the $\bar{\partial}$ -bar differentiation with respect to parameters \mathbf{q} :

$$(\bar{\partial}_j F)(p; \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\partial F(p; \mathbf{q})}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.9)$$

Then characterization property of differential operators is given by condition

$$\bar{\partial}_j L = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Let us mention that in the case of differential operator with constant coefficients we have by (3.6):

$$L(p; \mathbf{q}) = l(\mathbf{q})\delta(p), \quad (3.11)$$

where $l(\mathbf{q})$ is polynomial function of its arguments. Then by (3.4) we get for commutator with arbitrary operator F of considered class

$$[L, F](p; \mathbf{q}) = (l(p + \mathbf{q}) - l(\mathbf{q}))F(p; \mathbf{q}), \quad (3.12)$$

that is also valid if $l(\mathbf{q})$ in (3.11) is meromorphic function.

We skip here additional conditions that enables introduction and unique definition of inverse operators and for future use mention only that operator inverse to D_j will be defined as (cf. (3.8))

$$D_j^{-1}(p; \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\delta(p)}{\mathbf{q}_j}, \quad (3.13)$$

while its kernel in the x -space is given by (3.2).

3.2. (2+1)-dimensional integrable equations. Now we introduce a specific realization of elements A and B of associative algebra that enables derivation of the Lax pairs and nonlinear integrable equations. In this section we consider identities (1.1), (1.6), (2.1), (2.4), (2.18) (in the case $h_1h_2 \neq 0$) and (2.19), i.e., those identities that are generated by two adjoint actions of powers of A (or its matrix functions as in (2.18) and (2.19)). Correspondingly, we realize A and B (or B_1, B_2) as integral operators $A(q)$ and $B(q)$ in two-dimensional space in the sense of definition in Sec. 3.1. Thus dimension of variables x and x' of kernels is chosen to be equal to the number of generators of the identities under consideration. These operators are labeled by two dimensional real vector q with kernels $A(x, x'; q)$ and $B(x, x'; q)$. We impose condition that evolution with respect to any time t_m preserves this property, then switching on times by means of one of relations (1.4), (1.8), (2.2), (2.6), (2.21), or (2.22) gives operators $B(t, q)$ with kernels $B(x, x'; t, q)$ belonging to the same space of operators. Taking into account that time variables corresponding to two generators of these identities were denoted as t_1 and t_2 , we impose the following two conditions and show that they determine operators $A(q)$ and $B(q)$.

Condition 1. The time evolution of $B(q)$ with respect to variables t_1 and t_2 is just shift of x -variables of the kernel, i.e.,

$$B(x, x'; t_1, t_2, q) = B(x_1 + t_1, x_2 + t_2, x'_1 + t_1, x'_2 + t_2; q), \quad (3.14)$$

where dependence on other t_m -variables was omitted.

In terms of (p, \mathbf{q}) -kernels defined by (3.1) this means that

$$B(p; t, \mathbf{q}) = e^{-it_1 p_1 - it_2 p_2} B(p; \mathbf{q}). \quad (3.15)$$

so that in the differential form we have

$$\partial_{t_j} B(t, x, x'; q) = (\partial_{x_j} + \partial_{x'_j}) B(t, x' x'; q), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\partial_{t_j} B(t, p; \mathbf{q}) = -ip_j B(t, p; \mathbf{q}), \quad (3.17)$$

that thanks to (3.7) or (3.8) and (3.12) can be written as

$$i\partial_{t_j} B(t, q) = [D_j(q), B(t, q)]. \quad (3.18)$$

In order to motivate this condition we mention that in [1] in the study in terms of IST of the algebraic schemes developed in [14]–[16] relation (3.14) was derived as evolution of operator scattering data.

Condition 2. If dependence of the kernel $A(p; \mathbf{q})$ on \mathbf{q} -variables reduces to only one linear combination of \mathbf{q}_1 and \mathbf{q}_2 with constant coefficients, than the same is \mathbf{q} -dependence of the kernel $B(p; \mathbf{q})$.

3.2.1. *KPII-equation.* We illustrate procedure of reconstruction of the Lax pair and nonlinear equation using identity (1.1) as example. By (1.4) we get that

$$\partial B(t)/\partial t_1 = [A, B(t)], \quad \partial B(t)/\partial t_2 = [A^2, B(t)]. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, the first equality here and (3.18) show that it is natural to choose

$$A(p; \mathbf{q}) = -i \mathbf{q}_1 \delta(p), \quad \text{or} \quad A = -iD_1. \quad (3.20)$$

Now the second equality in (3.19) shows that thanks to (3.12) and (3.17) the kernel of operator $B(q)$ in $(p; \mathbf{q})$ -space obeys

$$[ip_2 + (p_1 + \mathbf{q}_1)^2 - \mathbf{q}_1^2] B(p; \mathbf{q}) = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

By means of (3.12) this can be written as equality

$$[L_0(q), B(q)] = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

where the kernel of operator L_0 equals

$$L_0(p; \mathbf{q}) = (i \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_1^2) \delta(p), \quad (3.23)$$

so that taking into account definitions (3.8) we can write this operator as

$$L_0 = iD_2 + D_1^2. \quad (3.24)$$

By (3.5)–(3.7) this operator is extension of operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2, \quad (3.25)$$

$$(3.26)$$

that is the differential part (part corresponding to zero potential) of the Lax operator associated to the KPII equation (see, [17, 18]):

$$\mathcal{L} = -\partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1}^2 - u(x). \quad (3.27)$$

Condition 2 means that kernel $B(p; \mathbf{q})$ is independent of \mathbf{q}_2 , so that by (3.21) we have that it has the form

$$B(p; t, \mathbf{q}) = \delta(ip_2 + p_1(p_1 + 2 \mathbf{q}_1)) b(p, t), \quad (3.28)$$

(we skip here consideration of more complicated solutions of (3.21) that are given by $\partial/\partial\bar{\mathbf{q}}_1$ -derivatives of (3.28)), where we used δ -function of complex argument, $\delta(z) = \delta(z_{\Re})\delta(z_{\Im})$. Here $b(p, t)$ is a function of p and t , that equals

$$b(p, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \exp\left(-ip_1t_1 - ip_2t_2 + it_3\frac{p_1^4 - 3p_2^2}{4p_1}\right)b(p), \quad (3.29)$$

when all t_1, t_2 , and t_3 are switched on by (2.2) and where $b(p)$ is independent of t (and \mathbf{q}).

Now we introduce operator ν with kernel $\nu(p; \mathbf{q})$ depending on the same linear combination of \mathbf{q}_1 and \mathbf{q}_2 that was mentioned in Condition 2 by means of $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with respect to this linear combination and given in terms of the operator $B(q)$. In our case thanks to (3.20) and (3.28) this means that $\nu(p; \mathbf{q}) = \nu(p; \mathbf{q}_1)$ and

$$\bar{\partial}_1\nu = \nu B, \quad (3.30)$$

(see (3.9)). In order to determine operator ν uniquely we normalize it to be unity operator at point of singularity of the kernel $L_0(p; \mathbf{q})$ with respect to \mathbf{q}_1 . Here by (3.20) this means:

$$\nu(p; \mathbf{q}_1) = \delta(p) + O(\mathbf{q}_1^{-1}), \quad \mathbf{q}_1 \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.31)$$

Then thanks to (3.10) we get from (3.22) that $[L_0, \nu]$ obeys

$$\bar{\partial}_1[L_0, \nu] = [L_0, \nu]B, \quad (3.32)$$

i.e., the same Eq. (3.30). By (3.12) and (3.31) kernel $[L_0, \nu](p; \mathbf{q}_1)$ has some constant (with respect to \mathbf{q}_1) asymptotic behavior at infinity, that we denote $-u(p, t)$. Thus

$$L\nu = \nu L_0, \quad (3.33)$$

where L is extension (in the sense of (3.6)) of differential operator (3.27). Eq. (3.33) means that ν is dressing (transformation) operator. In [6] it was shown that under inserting (3.28) into (3.30) we obtain the standard (see [19]) equation of the inverse problem for the Jost solution, and that the second operator of the Lax pair as well as differential equation on $u(x, t)$ (the Fourier transform of $u(p, t)$) follow from (3.29) and (3.30). We do not reproduce here these details.

3.2.2. KPI-equation. Above we derived KPII equation under assumption that all times in (1.4) are real. Performing substitution $t_j \rightarrow -it_j$ in (1.4) and (1.6) we get instead of (3.19)

$$i\partial B(t)/\partial t_1 = [A, B(t)], \quad i\partial B(t)/\partial t_2 = [A^2, B(t)], \quad (3.34)$$

and so on. Thus now in the same way as (3.20) we derive that

$$A = D_1, \quad (3.35)$$

and thanks to (3.12), (3.17) and the the second equality in (3.34) in this case the kernel of operator $B(q)$ in $(p; \mathbf{q})$ -space obeys

$$[p_2 - (p_1 + \mathbf{q}_1)^2 + \mathbf{q}_1^2]B(p; \mathbf{q}) = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

This means that operator $B(q)$ obeys the same commutator identity (3.22), while now

$$L_0 = D_2 - D_1^2. \quad (3.37)$$

In terms of Sec. 3.1 this is an extension of differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = i\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2, \quad (3.38)$$

i.e., of the differential part of the Lax operator associated to the equation KPI (see, [17, 18]):

$$\mathcal{L} = i\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}^2 - u(x). \quad (3.39)$$

Now, thanks to Condition 2 and in analogy to (3.28) and (3.29) we get

$$B(t, p; \mathbf{q}_1) = \delta(p_2 - p_1(p_1 + 2\mathbf{q}_1))b(t, p), \quad (3.40)$$

where

$$b(t_1, t_2, t_3, p) = \exp\left(-ip_1t_1 - ip_2t_2 - it_3\frac{p_1^4 + 3p_2^2}{4p_1}\right)b(p). \quad (3.41)$$

Again we can define transformation operator ν by means of (3.30) and (3.31). But in this case the argument of δ -function in the r.h.s. of (3.40) is proportional to $\mathbf{q}_{1\mathfrak{S}} = q_1$, so that instead of $\bar{\partial}$ -problem (3.30) we get nonlocal Riemann–Hilbert problem—well known difference of the inverse problems for KP II and KPI equations, cf [19] with [20] and [21]. Again here $L_0(p; \mathbf{q})$ behaves polynomially at infinity, so we impose the same normalization (3.31). Next, thanks to (3.22) we prove relation (3.33) where now L is extension in the sense of (3.6) of the differential operator (3.39). Referring now to [5] one can derive the second equation of the Lax pair and prove $u(x, t)$ obeys KPI equation when three times, t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 are switched on. In the same way we can use identity (1.6) to derive higher equations of KP hierarchy, see [1]. By construction it is clear that all of them have the same associated linear operator \mathcal{L} .

3.2.3. BLP equation. Let now $B(t)$ to be defined by (2.2), so that by (2.1) it obeys Eq. (2.3). Then instead of (3.19) we get

$$\partial B(t)/\partial t_1 = [A, B(t)], \quad \partial B(t)/\partial t_2 = [A^{-1}, B(t)]. \quad (3.42)$$

Using Condition 1, or one of its differential forms (3.16)–(3.18) we obtain that operator A can be chosen like in (3.20). Then in analogy with derivation of Eq. (3.21) we get by the second equality in (3.42), (3.12), and (3.13) for $j = 2$ that here kernel of operator B obeys

$$\left[p_2 + \frac{1}{p_1 + \mathbf{q}_1} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_1}\right]B(p; \mathbf{q}) = 0, \quad (3.43)$$

Thus again $B(q)$ obeys Eq. (3.22), where by (3.12) operator L_0 equals

$$L_0 = D_2 + D_1^{-1}. \quad (3.44)$$

Condition 2 gives then again that $B(p; \mathbf{q}) = B(p; \mathbf{q}_1)$ and relation (3.43) means that kernel $B(p; \mathbf{q})$ has the form (cf. (3.28))

$$B(t, p; \mathbf{q}) = \delta\left(p_2 + \frac{1}{p_1 + \mathbf{q}_1} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_1}\right)b(t, p), \quad (3.45)$$

where $b(t, p)$ is a function of p and t equal

$$b(t_1, t_2, t_3, p) = \exp\left(-ip_1t_1 - ip_2t_2 - it_3p_1^2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{p_1p_2}}\right)b(p), \quad (3.46)$$

when all t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 are switched on by means of (2.2).

Kernel of operator L_0 equals $L_0(p; \mathbf{q}) = (\mathbf{q}_2 + 1/\mathbf{q}_1)\delta(p)$, so in contrast to the KP case it is not entire but only meromorphic function of \mathbf{q}_1 . Correspondingly, dressing operator ν with kernel $\nu(p; \mathbf{q}_1)$ is defined by the same $\bar{\partial}$ -problem (3.30) but now $L_0(p; \mathbf{q})$ is singular at $\mathbf{q}_1 = 0$, so normalization condition is given as

$$\nu(p; \mathbf{q}_1)\Big|_{\mathbf{q}_1=0} = \delta(p), \quad (3.47)$$

and we look for solutions bounded at $\mathbf{q}_1 \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence, $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on commutator $[L_0, \nu]$ has additional inhomogeneous (cf. (3.32)). Nevertheless, after simple calculations we prove that Eq. (3.33) is valid also in this case, where dressed operator L equals

$$L = D_2 + D_1^{-1} + D_1^{-1}\alpha + \beta, \quad (3.48)$$

where α and β are multiplication operators, i.e., in the x -space they have kernels $\alpha(x, x'; q) = \alpha(x)\delta(x - x')$ and the same for β . Operator (3.48) is not a differential one, in order to get differential operator we have to consider product $D_1 L$ that thanks to (3.6) is extension of operator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} + 1 + \alpha_x + \partial_{x_1} \cdot \beta(x), \quad (3.49)$$

i.e., auxiliary linear operator of the BLP equation, see [8]. In [9] it was mentioned that study of direct and inverse problems for this operator, in fact, reduces to study of these problems for operator (3.48). In [10] it was proved that time evolution (with respect to t_3) of spectral data B leads by (3.46) to nonlinear differential BLP-equation on α and β .

Exponent in Eq. (3.46) is not pure imaginary. Correspondingly, time evolution for BLP equation is unstable, see [10]. It was also proved there that if dependence on t_3 is given by (2.5) then resulting nonlinear differential equation on α and β has no this defect. Here we omit further details and mention only that dependence on t_1 and t_2 in (2.2) and (2.5) coincides, so in the case of identity (2.6) we derive by means of the above procedure the same L -operator (3.48).

3.2.4. DS and VN equations. Now we consider briefly consequences of identities (2.18) and (2.19), where B is a matrix given in (2.7) and matrices I_j are defined in (2.8). Let B_1 and B_2 be operators in the sense of Sec. 3.1 with kernels $B_j(x, x'; q)$ in x -space (correspondingly $B_j(p; \mathbf{q})$ in (p, \mathbf{q}) -space). Under time evolutions (2.21) and (2.22) we get, correspondingly operators with kernels $B(t, x, x'; q)$ and $B'(t, x, x'; q)$. Let us consider the first equality. We impose on the matrix operator $B(t, q)$ Condition 1 and then by (3.17) and (2.8) we derive

$$i(AB_1)(p; \mathbf{q}) = p_1 B_1(p; \mathbf{q}), \quad -i(B_2 A)(p; \mathbf{q}) = p_1 B_2(p; \mathbf{q}), \quad (3.50)$$

$$-i(B_1 A)(p; \mathbf{q}) = p_2 B_1(p; \mathbf{q}), \quad i(AB_2)(p; \mathbf{q}) = p_2 B_2(p; \mathbf{q}). \quad (3.51)$$

Summing equations in columns we get that $i[A, B_j](p; \mathbf{q}) = (p_1 + p_2)B_j(p; \mathbf{q})$, $j = 1, 2$, so that by (3.12) here we have to put

$$A(p; \mathbf{q}) = -i(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)\delta(p), \quad (3.52)$$

that can be written in the operator form thanks to (3.8) as

$$A = -i(D_1 + D_2). \quad (3.53)$$

Now we are left to obey, say, equations in (3.50) that gives conditions $(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + p_2)B_1(p; \mathbf{q}) = 0$ and $(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + p_1)B_2(p; \mathbf{q}) = 0$. To obey these equalities it is enough to choose the kernel of operator B in the form

$$B(p; t, \mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + p_2)b_1(p, t) \\ \delta(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + p_1)b_2(p, t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.54)$$

where we used that by Condition 2 and (3.52) the kernel $B(t, p; \mathbf{q})$ is independent of the difference $\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2$. Time dependence of operator B in the case when all three times are

switched on by (2.21) is given by means of explicit relation

$$B(p; t, \mathbf{q}) = e^{-it_1 p_1 - it_2 p_2 - t_3 \sigma_3 (h_1 p_1^2 - h_2 p_2^2)} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + p_2) b_1(p) \\ \delta(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + p_1) b_2(p) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.55)$$

so that in order to avoid instability we have to change t_3 to it_3 .

Now we have to construct nontrivial operator L_0 with constant coefficients that obeys equation (3.22). Taking into account δ -functions in (3.54) and off-diagonal structure of operator B , we choose $L_0(p; \mathbf{q}) = \text{diag}\{P_1(\mathbf{q}), P_2(\mathbf{q})\} \delta(p)$, where $P_j(\mathbf{q})$ are polynomials. Under condition that these polynomials are nontrivial and of the lowest possible degree, we easily find that

$$L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -D_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.56)$$

Thanks to our definitions (3.5)–(3.8) this is extension of operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_{x_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.57)$$

that is the differential part of the two-dimensional Zakharov–Shabat problem, known to be an auxiliary linear problem for the DS equation [22].

Construction of dressing operator ν with kernel $\nu(p; \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)$ can be performed by means of $\bar{\partial}$ -problem (3.30) normalized to be unity matrix operator (cf. (3.31)) at point of singularity of the kernel $L_0(p; \mathbf{q})$, i.e., at $\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Then we prove that Eq. (3.32) is valid thanks to (3.22) and (3.56). Then, taking into account the asymptotic behavior of the kernel $[L_0, B](p; \mathbf{q})$ at \mathbf{q} -infinity we derive (3.33), where now L is extension of the linear operator of the Zakharov–Shabat problem, see [13] for details. Consideration of identity (2.19) goes along the same lines, as dependencies on t_1 and t_2 in (2.21) and (2.22) coincide.

3.3. (1+1)-dimensional equations. Above we have seen that without lost of generality operator A can be always chosen to be a linear combination with constant (matrix) coefficients of operators D_1 and D_2 (see (3.7), (3.8)). In order to get integrable equations in (1+1) dimensions we can perform reduction, imposing condition that operator B commutes with additional linear combination of D_1 and D_2 . Then as follows from (3.12) function $b(p)$ in (3.29), (3.41), and (3.46) is proportional to $\delta(p_2)$ and functions $b_j(p)$ in (3.54) are proportional to $\delta(p_1 + p_2)$. It is easy to check that in this way we indeed arrive to corresponding (1+1)-dimensional nonlinear evolution equations. On the other side we can directly apply the procedure described above to identities (2.9)–(2.11) and corresponding equations (2.14) and (2.15). Let us consider as example Eq. (2.9). Here we have only one generator of commutator algebra, i.e., commutator with AI_1 . Correspondingly, we realize operator B as integral operator in one dimensional space: operator with kernel $B(x_1, x'_1; q)$. Using obvious corresponding one dimensional modification of techniques given in Sec. 3.1 we impose here instead of Condition 1 (see (3.14)) condition

$$B(x_1, x'_1; t_1, q) = B(x_1 + t_1, x'_1 + t_1; q_1), \quad (3.58)$$

so that by (3.17) for $j = 1$:

$$\partial_{t_1} B(p_1; t_1, \mathbf{q}_1) = -ip_1 B(p_1; t_1, \mathbf{q}_1). \quad (3.59)$$

On the other side by (2.12) $\partial_{t_1} B(t) = [AI_1, B(t)]$. We choose A to be a differential operator with constant coefficients, so thanks to (3.11) we have for its kernel representation $A(p_1, \mathbf{q}_1) = a(\mathbf{q}_1)\delta(p_1)$ where $a(\mathbf{q}_1)$ is polynomial. Thanks to (2.7), (2.8), and property (3.12) we get

$$(a(p_1 + \mathbf{q}_1) + ip_1)B_1(p_1, \mathbf{q}_1) = 0, \quad (a(\mathbf{q}_1) - ip_1)B_2(p_1, \mathbf{q}_1) = 0. \quad (3.60)$$

In this case we have no variables p_2 and \mathbf{q}_2 , so zeroes of expressions in parenthesis must occur for the same values of \mathbf{q}_1 and p_1 . This condition defines $a(\mathbf{q}_1)$ to be a polynomial of the first order equal (up to a constant shift) to $-2i\mathbf{q}_1$, i.e.,

$$A(p_1; \mathbf{q}_1) = -2i\mathbf{q}_1 \delta(p_1) \quad (3.61)$$

or by (3.8)

$$A = -2iD_1. \quad (3.62)$$

Then equalities (3.60) reduce to $(p_1 + 2\mathbf{q}_1)B_j(p_1, \mathbf{q}_1) = 0$, so that by (2.7) we have for the kernel of operator B representation

$$B(t, p_1; \mathbf{q}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1(t, p_1) \\ b_2(t, p_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(p_1 + 2\mathbf{q}_1). \quad (3.63)$$

As above it is easy to prove that operator L_0 that obeys relation (3.22) is given by

$$L_0 = \sigma_3 D_1, \quad (3.64)$$

so that we arrive to the differential part of the one dimensional Zakharov–Shabat problem. Construction of dressing operator ν and potential u can be performed along the same lines as above by means of the $\bar{\partial}$ -bar problem (3.30) that here like in the cases KPI and DS above reduces to the Riemann–Hilbert problem thanks to specific argument of the δ -function in (3.63).

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this article we demonstrated that existence of a commutator identities leads to integrable nonlinear evolution equation. suggested scheme of reconstruction of such equation together with its Lax operators is generic and model independent, while examples considered here were corresponding to the known integrable equations only. In this sense it is interesting and opened problem to give description of all commutator identities on associative algebras. Another opened problem is existence of commutator identities with three generators. Following the lines of the suggested here procedure it is reasonable to expect that such identity can lead to (3+1)-dimensional integrable equation. Commutator identities described here are of generic form, while realization of operators A and B that enabled us to get nonlinear evolution equations was rather specific. It is worth to assume that the same identities are related with some other integrable systems under some other realization of elements of associative algebra.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Pogrebkov, "On time evolutions associated with the nonstationary Schro" dinger equation" in L. D. Faddeev's Seminar on Mathematical Physics, Ed. M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) **201** pp. 239–255 (2000)
- [2] B. B. Kadomtsev and V. I. Petviashvili, *Soviet Phys. Dokl.* **192** (1970), 539
- [3] M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli, A. K. Pogrebkov, and M. C. Polivanov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **93** 1200 (1992).
- [4] M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli, A. K. Pogrebkov, and M. C. Polivanov, *Inverse Problems* **8** 331 (1992).
- [5] M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli, and A. Pogrebkov, *Journ. Math. Phys.* **35** 4683 (1994).

- [6] M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli, A. K. Pogrebkov and B. Prinari, *Inverse Problems* **17** 937 (2001)
- [7] M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli, A. K. Pogrebkov and B. Prinari, *Journ. Math. Phys.* **44** 3309 (2003)
- [8] M. Boiti, J. Leon, F. Pempinelli, *Inverse Problems* **3** (1987) 37–49.
- [9] T. I. Garagash, A. K. Pogrebkov, *Theor. Math.Phys.* **102** (1995) 117–132.
- [10] T. I. Garagash, A. K. Pogrebkov, *Theor. Math.Phys.* **109** (1997) 1369–1379.
- [11] A. Davey and K. Stewartson, *Proc. Royl Soc.* **A338** (1974) 101.
- [12] A. P. Veselov and S. P. Novikov, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **30** (1984), 588-591.
- [13] M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli, and A. K. Pogrebkov, “DSI revisited,” in preparation.
- [14] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, and T. Miwa, “Transformation Groups for Soliton Equations,” in: *Nonlinear Integrable Systems: Classical Theory and Quantum Theory*, ed. M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, (Singapore: World Scientific, 1983).
- [15] A. Yu. Orlov and E. I. Schulman, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12** (1986): 171.
- [16] A. Yu. Orlov, “Vertex Operator, $\bar{\partial}$ -Problem, Symmetries, Variational Identities, and Hamiltonian Formalism for (2+1)-Dimensional Integrable Equations,” in: *Proc. Intl. Workshop “Plasma Theory and Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics”*, Vol. 1, ed. V.G.Bar’yakhtar, V. M. Chernousenko, N. S. Erokhin, A. G. Sitenko, and V. E. Zakharov (Singapore: World Scientific, 1988), p. 116.
- [17] V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8** (1974) 226
- [18] V. S. Dryuma, *Sov. Phys. J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Lett.* **19** (1974) 381
- [19] M. J. Ablowitz, D. Bar Yacoov and A. S. Fokas, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **69** (1983) 135
- [20] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, *Sov. Scien. Reviews* **1** (1979) 133
- [21] S. V. Manakov, *Physica* **D3** (1981) 420
- [22] A. S. Fokas and M. J. Ablowitz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 7

(A. K. Pogrebkov) STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, MOSCOW, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `pogreb@mi.ras.ru`