

3D -vortex labyrinths in the near eld of solid-state microchip laser.

A.Yu Okulov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences
Leninsky prospect 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia
(Dated: January 15, 2007)

The usage of vortex labyrinths elds and Talbot lattices as optical dipole traps for neutral atoms is considered for the wavelength of trapping radiation in the range $0.98 - 2.79 \mu\text{m}$. As a possible realization the spatiotemporal vortex lattices generated in high Fresnel number solid-state microchip lasers are studied. The distribution of light eld is obtained via dynamical model based on Maxwell-Bloch equations for class-B laser, discrete Fox-Lee map with relaxation of inversion and static model based on superposition of copropagating Gaussian beams. The spatial patterns observed experimentally and obtained numerically are interpreted as nonlinear superposition of vortices with helicoidal phase dislocations. The separable optical trapping potential is proposed as a sum of array of vortex lines and additional parabolic subtrap. The factorization of macroscopic wavefunction have led to analytical solution of Gross-Pitaevskii equation for ensemble of quantum particles trapped in vortex labyrinth formed by spatially -periodic array of Laguerre-Gaussian beams.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 42.50.Vk, 42.60.Jf, 42.65.Sf, 47.32.Cc

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical dipole traps for neutral atoms [1] are a subject of considerable interest. Recent years there appeared a certain amount of proposals of increasing complexity of trapping EM - eld. Apart from a relatively simple geometrical patterns like standing plane-wave gratings [2], evanescent wave mirrors [3], toroidal traps which utilize the intensity distribution of Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) beams in beam waist [4] a several proposals were made which utilize the arrays of Gaussian beams, both phase-locked [5, 6] and unlocked ones [7]. The interference inherent to phase-locking provides multiply connected configurations of intensity distribution, phase gradients and electromagnetic (EM) momentum density [8, 9]. The winding EM momentum distribution is the cause of the angular momentum transfer to macroscopic bodies (e.g. dielectric ball) [10] and to trapped BEC as well [11].

The EM - eld configuration under consideration is based upon properties of self-imaging optical elds [12, 13]. The difference between phase-locked array of zero-order Gaussian beams and experimentally obtained phase-locked array of optical vortices (OV) in the near eld of solid-state microchip laser [4] is that the latter consists of array of parallel vortex lines with opposite circulations and topological charges ℓ_{EM} (TC) (Fig. 1) [5]. In contrast to earlier proposals where individual loading and addressing of trapping sites was considered [7] this OV array have sophisticated configuration of intensity [5] and EM momentum density of trapping eld. Due to this configuration the BEC trapped in EM - eld might have macroscopic wavefunction of complex form composed of array of superfluid vortices (SFV). The cause of SFV formation is light-induced torque experienced by isolated resonant atom which interacts with Laguerre-Gaussian beam having phase singularity. It was shown by Allen et al. [8] the value of torque in saturation limit is proportional to $TC : T = \sim \ell_{EM}$. The origin of T is due to nonzero azimuthal EM momentum component. The azimuthal Doppler shift corresponding to such motion had been observed [9]. This torque might have an appreciable value even in nonresonant case, although it is significantly reduced by the multiple of $(\Delta = \delta)$, where δ is detuning, δ is linewidth [8]. As a result an azimuthal component of EM momentum is transferred to atom in such a way that it will move around phase singularity - the direction of rotation is fixed by TC of trapping beam. This is the cause of circular motion of BEC trapped in isolated toroidal trap.

The goal of current communication is to present the maximally transparent and simple analytical description in order to track the transfer of angular momentum from optical vortex array (OVA) to trapped BEC. As a first step the distribution of light intensity and phase in the near eld of solid-state microchip laser computed via split-step FFT technique. The configuration of intensity and phase of EM - eld of OVA has rather complicated, multinode structure. In order to get analytic solution for macroscopic wavefunction trapped by such array, the special optical pancake-like potential (Fig. 1) will be constructed. In this trapping geometry the separation of variables in Gross-Pitaevskii equation [2] becomes possible. Next the procedure applied previously to elongated sech^2 -profile optical trap [5] will

be used. Due to special adjustment of potential it is possible to capture an analytic approximation for in the form of superposition of elementary equispaced vortices. The numerical modeling supports this approximate formula: the small but unavoidable EM-torque existing in OV even at large detuning from resonance imposes the correlation between TC of BEC vortices and TC of the optical vortices.

The BEC angular momentum distribution is formed via periodic lattice of SFV with alternating topological charges. There is a remarkable contrast with superfluid in "rotating bucket" trap: while angular momenta of SFV in bucket are co-directed [16, 17] the BEC vortices trapped by OVA are counter-directed from site to site.

As a result in the net sum each angular momentum of SFV of positive topological charge is compensated by the angular momentum of SFV with negative charge and total angular momentum tends to zero. Meanwhile the mutual subtraction of angular momenta (vectorial) of adjacent vortices in the net sum does not mean the mutual subtraction of rotational energies, which are the positive scalars. The macroscopic quantum state carries rotational kinetic energy of condensate containing N particles of mass m per unit volume, namely $E_{\text{rot}} = N_{\text{vortices}} N \sim \ln(b/a) \approx m, b$ -diameter of vortex core, a -interatomic distance, λ -length of vortex [17]. This feature of macroscopic wavefunction trapped by vortex array might be useful from the point view of diminishing the decoherence induced by environment and topological quantum computing [18].

II. SQUARE VORTEX LATTICES

Recent advances in controlling the geometry of solid-state microchip lasers [14] offer the possibility of reliable control of spatiotemporal optical patterns. Compared to semiconductor lasers the host medium composed of dielectric crystal doped by neodymium Nd^{+3} or other rare earth ions (Er^{+3} ; Tm^{+3} ; Ho^{+3} ; Yb^{+3}) have smaller gain and smaller self-phase modulation. Because of smaller nonresonant losses the heating of host crystal by radiation is not dramatic. The changes of the geometry and birefringence of host crystals, curvature and reactivity of output couplers and spatial distribution of optical pumping give better control over mode structure. The square vortex lattices (SVL) observed in quasiplane parallel cavity [14] are of special interest. These lattices demonstrate impressive spatial coherence: the relaxation oscillations of class-B high Fresnel number solid-state microchip laser [14] with Fresnel number in the range $N_F \sim 100 - 1000$ are characterized by single peak at frequency about $(\frac{1}{T_1 c})^{-1}$ (0.3 - 1.2 MHz). This is the evidence of single-longitudinal and single transverse mode behaviour. The former theoretical analysis was based on Swift-Hohenberg equation, resulted from standard set of Maxwell-Bloch equations, when finite gain linewidth Γ_2^{-1} is taken into account [19]:

$$\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{r};t)}{\partial t} + \frac{E(\mathbf{r};t)}{(\tau_c + T_2)} + \frac{i c c}{2k(\tau_c + T_2)} \nabla^2 E(\mathbf{r};t) + \frac{T_2^2}{c(\tau_c + T_2)^2} \left(\frac{c}{k} \nabla^2 + \Delta \right) E(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{c N_0 L_a E(\mathbf{r};t) (1 + i \Delta T_2)}{2L_r (1 + T_1 c_0 \nabla^2)} \quad (1)$$

where τ_c - is photon lifetime in cavity, $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ - wavenumber, σ - stimulated emission crosssection, Δ - detuning of lasing frequency from center of gain line, N_0 - density of inverted resonant atoms per unit volume, T_1 - inversion lifetime (longitudinal relaxation lifetime), L_a - thickness of active medium, L_r - length of resonator, c - speed of light, ϵ_0 - dielectric constant, $\nabla^2 = \nabla \cdot \nabla$ [19, 21].

The alternative model with discrete time step equal to $\tau = 2L_r/c$ (time of bouncing of radiation between mirrors) utilizes the standard rate equations of class-B laser written at n -th step for electric field [20]:

$$E_{n+1}(\mathbf{r}) = f(E_n(\mathbf{r})) = \frac{L_a N_n(\mathbf{r}) E_n(\mathbf{r}) (1 + i \Delta T_2)}{2} + E_n(\mathbf{r}); \quad (2)$$

inversion:

$$N_{n+1}(\mathbf{r}) = N_n(\mathbf{r}) + \left[\frac{N_0(\mathbf{r}) - N_n(\mathbf{r})}{T_1} - N_n(\mathbf{r}) c_0 \nabla^2 \right] \frac{2L_r}{c}; \quad (3)$$

and nonlocal integral mapping evaluating the field via fast Fourier transform at each time step:

$$E_{n+1}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{-D/2}^{D/2} \int_{-D/2}^{D/2} K(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') f(E_n(\mathbf{r}')) d^2 \mathbf{r}'; \quad (4)$$

where the kernel K for the nearly plane-parallel Fabry-Pérot cavity of microchip laser with transverse filtering via aperture D ($\mathbf{r}'$) has the form [12]:

$$K(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{ikD}{2L_r} \exp[ik(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^2 = 2L_r]; \quad (5)$$

The similar spatiotemporal picture of transverse modes was obtained for 2D transversal field distribution. The following parameters were chosen in numerical simulation: $T_1 = 2 \cdot 10^4$ sec, $L_x = 1$ mm, for Nd^{3+} -doped crystals $= (1.2 \div 0.6) \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $N_0 = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T_2 = 0.1$,

In numerical evaluation of eq. (4) via split-step FFT method [22] the mesh size in $X-Y$ plane was 512×512 points. The "guard bands ratio" [25] was set equal to 8. The main part of the field was located inside central part of a mesh of 64×64 size – the "image area". The tolerance of the energy spillover was kept within $\epsilon = 0.001$. The "windowing" in wavenumber space after FFT at each timestep was performed by usage of "Fermi-Dirac" smoothed step function [22]. The dissipation inherent to "windowing" in split-step FFT method was compensated by nonlinear gain. The initial conditions were taken as multimode random field [22].

Just near lasing threshold the radiation mode has a distribution of intensity as rectangular grating of bright and dark spots: the latter are vortex cores. The nonlocal integral mapping proved to be successful in computation of the near field distribution as well. Quite unexpectedly in the most runs the parallel vortex lines were obtained (fig. 5) rather than periodic array of bright and dark spots, typical to Talbot phenomenon [12]. The origin of parallel vortex lines is interpreted as nonlinear superposition of vortices with helicoidal phase dislocations. In the next sections the possibility of approximation of this nonlinear optical vortex lattice by linear equivalent will be outlined.

III. TALBOT LATTICES

Consider the phase-locked rectangular lattice of zero-order Gaussian beams located at sites $\mathbf{r}_{jx;jy}$ [12] separated by period p , where $jx;jy$ – are discrete indices corresponding x and y coordinate of a given site. Let us assume for simplicity that polarization is linear, thus spin of light is zero. At $z = 0$ plane the electric field E is given by expression:

$$E(\mathbf{r};0) = E_0 \sum_{jx;jy} \exp \left[i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{jx;jy} - \frac{j^2}{2d^2} \right] \quad (6)$$

After paraxial propagation of distance z the electric field $E(\mathbf{r};z)$ is transformed into:

$$E(\mathbf{r};z) = E_0 \sum_{jx;jy} \frac{\exp[ikz]}{(1 - iz=kd^2)} \exp \left[\frac{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{jx;jy} - \frac{j^2}{2d^2}}{(1 - iz=(kd^2))} \right] \quad (7)$$

The constructive interference between adjacent beams produces periodic interference patterns in different z -spaced planes. The initial periodical pattern is reproduced at so-called Talbot-distances $z_t = 2p^2 = \dots$. In the intermediate planes $z_t/4$ the coarser lattices with periods p/m are produced [22, 23]. As a result a 3D lattice of bright spots is formed (fig. 2).

Each spot could serve as potential well for neutral atoms [1, 2, 5, 6], because intensity gradient will attract or repulse the atomic dipoles depending the sign of detuning of radiation frequency from resonance. At low frequencies (red-detuning) atomic dipole oscillates in-phase with trapping field and tries to align parallel to electric field. Thus potential energy of dipole $U = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})/2$ is lower in local maxima of intensity and atoms are collected at bright spots. On the other hand at frequencies above the resonance (blue-detuning) atomic dipole oscillates out-of-phase and it has tendency to align anti-parallel to electric field. In this blue-detuned case the potential energy of dipole is higher in local maxima of intensity and atoms are repelled into dark regions.

Such geometry of trapping in integer and fractional Talbot planes based on superposition of co-propagating zeroth-order Gaussian beams was considered earlier [5] including possibility of manipulation of optical lattice geometry via mutual polarization [6] of constituting beams.

IV. ARTIFICIAL VORTEX LABYRINTH

Consider now the periodic array of Laguerre-Gaussian vortex beams with helicoidal phase dislocations (fig. 3):

$$E(\mathbf{r};z=0) = E_0 \sum_{jx;jy} \exp \left(i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{jx;jy} - \frac{j^2}{2d^2} \right) \exp \left(- \frac{j^2}{2D^2} \right) \exp [i \varphi_{EM} \text{Arg}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{jx;jy}) + i(jx + jy)]: \quad (8)$$

The topological charge φ_{EM} is assumed to be unity, the neighbouring beams (components of the sum (8)) are π -shifted. The apodization function $\exp(-j^2/2D^2)$ is added in order to suppress the maximum of interference pattern at the edge of array. The beams centers are placed in the centers of rectangular grid $\mathbf{r}_{jx;jy}$ of period p whose axes

are parallel to X, Y . The overlapping beams produce interference pattern formed by two arrays of bright and dark spots rotated at 45° angle with respect to initial array of LG beams. The dark spots are of two kinds: one lattice of spots coincides with lattice of initial vortices (8), the other one is produced by interference and it is shifted at distance $p/\sqrt{2}$ along diagonal of initial lattice. The resulting interferogram has apparent 45° tilt compared to lattice of initial vortices (Fig. 3).

The topological charges of dark spots (vortices) jump from one site to another. The interesting feature of this interference pattern is the distribution of angular momentum [13]. The initial array of LG beams carries unit circulation and corresponding angular momentum at each site. The interferogram (Fig. 3) contains additional array of vortices with alternative charges. The net angular momentum tends to be close to zero, because at the central part of array each positively directed TC ℓ_{EM} is compensated by four adjacent negative ones having charge $-\ell_{EM}$. The elementary cell of such a lattice consists of two π -shifted initial vortices with co-directed charges ℓ_{EM} located at diagonal and two counter-directed charges $-\ell_{EM}$ (π -shifted too) placed at the other diagonal of cell. The period p of initial pattern was taken being equal to $30 \mu\text{m}$ while width of each initial beam was set $w_{LG} = 22 \mu\text{m}$ in order to provide significant mutual overlapping of vortices.

The longitudinal distribution of intensity of optical vortex array is composed of periodically spaced (with period p) hollow tubes – vortex cores (Fig. 4). Apart from Talbot gratings which are reproduced by diffraction at $z = 2p^2/\lambda$ planes with corresponding period division in between planes the vortex array under consideration keeps its shape within Rayleigh range, i.e. at distances $z < D^2/\lambda$. The diameter of cores is kept constant along z by interference of adjacent vortices, whose helical wavefronts are perfectly matched within elementary cell (Fig. 5).

Consider first the interaction of individual atom with single optical beam carrying topological charge. The gradient force will attract the "red"-detuned atomic dipole to the intensity maximum of an isolated first order Laguerre-Gaussian beam – "doughnut" beam (Fig. 5), i.e. to the ring-shaped area around phase singularity. As a result the cloud of cold atoms will be accumulated near the maximum of intensity or "doughnut" as follows from variational solution of Gross-Pitaevsky equation (GPE) [2]:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r};t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r};t) + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r};t) + \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a}{m} |\psi(\mathbf{r};t)|^2 \psi(\mathbf{r};t); \quad (9)$$

with trapping potential V_{ext} of the form

$$V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{m \alpha^2 z^2}{2} (1 + \beta_0 j^2 [r^2 \exp(-r^2/(2w_{LG}^2))]) \quad (10)$$

where α is polarizability of atom [1], m – mass of particle, a – scattering length.

The approximate variational solution of GPE for ground state macroscopic wavefunction is Laguerre-Gaussian function [11]:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};t) = \psi_0 \exp(-\frac{r^2}{2w_r^2} - \frac{z^2}{2w_z^2} + i\ell_{BEC} \phi); \quad (11)$$

where ℓ_{BEC} is the topological charge of this vortex solution. Hence the probability distribution $|\psi(\mathbf{r};t)|^2$ of finding atom somewhere near $\mathbf{r} = (x;y;z)$ at the moment t is similar to the intensity distribution of trapping field as pointed out in [11]. More information could be obtained from the study of phase structure of wavefunction and comparison of topological charges of trapping EM-beam and BEC vortex. Using Madelung transform

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};t) = \sqrt{\rho(\mathbf{r};t)} \exp(i\phi(\mathbf{r};t)) \quad (12)$$

it is easy to realize that there exists flow of "probability fluid" with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ proportional (parallel) to the phase gradient lines:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{r};t) \quad (13)$$

Such "flow of wavefunction" occurs around the z -axis (beam axis). The "flow" described by (11) is potential and conservative as it should be for superfluid. This picture is complicated by vorticity of EM-momentum, inherent to LG-beams [9]. Strictly speaking the rotation of classical dipole around core is accelerated by nonconservative torque induced by azimuthal force circulating around vortex core. For two-level atom the value of torque T is given by following expression obtained by Babiker, Power and Allen [8]:

$$T = \sim \hbar \omega_M \left[\frac{I_{\text{sat}}}{1 + I_{\text{sat}} + \Delta^2} \right] \quad (14)$$

where I_{sat} - normalised intensity of saturation, $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$ - detuning of trapping field frequency ω from resonant frequency ω_0 of dipole. In saturation limit T is simply: $T = \sim \hbar \omega_M$. In nonresonant case this torque reduces as $\Delta^2 = \omega^2$. Consequently the loop integral of the azimuthal force over circular trajectory around core ($\nabla_t \times \mathbf{r}$) is nonzero (Fig. 5).

Due to this torque in classical picture the dipole (or small dielectric ball) placed in the doughnut beam will move "upwards" the helicoidal phase staircase, i.e. it will rotate around LG-beam axis. The direction of rotation is determined by topological charge $\hbar \omega_M$ of the trapping beam (Fig. 5). Qualitatively classical dipole is pushed by azimuthally periodic electric field - it happens because the phase of electric field oscillations at each point in circle around the center of beam is shifted with respect to neighbouring points. In the same way the small macroscopic object like glass ball placed at maximum of intensity of GL-beam will feel the "plane-wave-like" nonresonant pressure of light field. The associated azimuthal Doppler shift of the moving atom was observed recently experimentally [4]. Outside the resonance the origin of torque T is interpreted in similarly: the Poynting vector has component $S(\mathbf{r})_t$ tangent to helix and local flux of electromagnetic momentum pushes dipole along phase gradient, i.e. in azimuthal direction. The local density of EM-momentum $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{S}/c^2$ is proportional to components of Poynting vector [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathbf{r})_t &= \frac{\hbar \omega \omega_M c^2}{r} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) j^2 \\ S(\mathbf{r})_z &= \hbar \omega c \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) j^2; \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $S(\mathbf{r})_t$ - is tangential component of Poynting vector, $S(\mathbf{r})_z$ - is axial one, r - is the distance from optical vortex core, ω - is frequency of trapping field.

Analogously because of tangential component of EM-momentum the big dielectric ball with radius larger than LG-beam core and comparable to "doughnut" radius placed in center of LG-beam would rotate with acceleration because of the such torque and corresponding transfer of the angular momentum [10].

When loaded in optical vortex lattice (Fig. 6) the atomic dipole will move around the adjacent vortex core with acceleration. The radius of rotation will increase until the dipole will approach the separatrix of the velocity fields. Next after certain amount of rotations around the vortex core it could jump to another vortex using bright spots between vortices as bridge (Fig. 6). As mentioned earlier the speed of rotation is increased due to light-induced torque and radius of rotation is increased as well until dipole would come to another attractive core. The migration of a dipole from one vortex to another is assisted by bright regions which serve as channels connecting vortices (Fig. 3). This qualitative picture is complicated by azimuthally inhomogeneous distribution of intensity around each core.

Our aim now is to show that just described classical motion have quantum mechanical counterpart. The transfer of angular momentum results in the specific form of macroscopic wavefunction maintaining coherence all over array:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{j_x, j_y} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{j_x, j_y}) \exp(-|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{j_x, j_y}|^2 / d^2) \\ &\exp(-|\mathbf{r}|^2 / D^2) \exp[i \hbar \omega_M \text{Arg}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{j_x, j_y}) + i(j_x + j_y)]: \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Next section presents the method of solution of Gross-Pitaevsky equation with OVA trapping field $E(\mathbf{r})$ in the form (8).

V. SEPARABLE VORTEX ARRAY POTENTIAL FOR BEC

In order to get closed form solution for macroscopic it is worth to mention that azimuthal accelerating force has very small value, falling as Δ^2 under detuning from resonance [8]. Next let us introduce optical potential V_{ext} as a square modulus of trapping field $E(\mathbf{r}; z)$ [1]. The torque T will be taken into account as a "selection" rule for choosing distribution of topological charges $\hbar \omega_M$ in resulting solution.

It was shown recently [15] that Gross-Pitaevsky equation [2] in 3D-conjugation:

$$\begin{aligned} i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}; t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}; t) + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}; t) + \\ &+ \frac{4 \hbar^2 a(B)}{m} |\psi(\mathbf{r}; t)|^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}; t); \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$V_{\varphi} = \frac{m \omega_{\varphi}^2 j_{\varphi}^2}{2} \quad (1) \quad \Phi_0 j^2 \quad (25)$$

Because of normalization

$$\int_0^1 j_{\varphi}(z;t) j^4 dz = 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 j_{\varphi}(z;t) j^2 dz = 1 \quad (26)$$

The following 2D GPE results from separation of variables for "pancake" trap:

$$i \hbar \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \varphi + V_{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}) \varphi + \frac{2 \hbar^2 a(B)}{m} \varphi j_{\varphi} j^2 \quad (27)$$

The scattering length a as a function of magnetic field is:

$$a(B) = a_{bg} \left(1 + \frac{B}{B - B_R} \right) \quad (28)$$

where B is a width of Feshbach resonance, B_R - resonant magnetic field, a_{bg} - background scattering length [2].

Formally the separation of variables is applicable each time when Hamiltonian is a sum of components depending on different groups of variables, but this method have additional physical meaning for asymmetric potentials. The examples are elongated in z -direction trap [15] and "pancake" 2D trap (Fig.1) as in current case. The dynamics of in 2D traps was considered in large amount of papers, including the geometries of periodic potentials, Bessel lattices etc. In current case the SFV lattice under consideration has some features, qualitatively described above in discussion of classical motion of dipole around phase singularity.

Let us try to seek out the simplest solution of equation (27) which describe the main peculiarity of optical vortex array as a trapping field. The continuous transfer of angular momentum from OV to BEC wavefunction might induce the vortices in initially nonrotating BEC. Because the direction of rotation of classical particle is determined by distribution of TC of optical vortices $\mathbf{E}_M$ of trapping beam the distribution of TC's in quantum superfluid lattice $\mathbf{E}_{BEC}$ will be set identical to those of trapping field.

The possible solution of equation (27) presumes the identical spatial distributions for fields $\varphi(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}; t)$ and $E(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi})$. It means that φ is also a sum of LG functions with alternating topological charges $\mathbf{E}_{BEC}$ (see eq.(16)). Unfortunately the effective diameter of the core b is much larger than effective size of LG beam bottleneck (4-10 μm). But this discrepancy does not affect the basic features of solution.

The correlation K of two complex spatially inhomogeneous fields $\varphi(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}; t)$ and $E(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi})$ is expected to be unit:

$$K = \frac{\int_0^R \int_0^{\varphi} E(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}) d^2 \mathbf{r}_{\varphi} \int_0^{\varphi} \varphi(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}) d^2 \mathbf{r}_{\varphi}}{\left[\int_0^{\varphi} \int_0^{\varphi} d^2 \mathbf{r}_{\varphi} \right] \left[\int_0^{\varphi} \int_0^{\varphi} d^2 \mathbf{r}_{\varphi} \right]} = 1 \quad (29)$$

The key point is in adjusting the parameters in $\varphi(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}; t)$ and $E(\mathbf{r}_{\varphi})$ in such a way that two last terms in (24) would cancel each other. This might happen when following condition is imposed on coefficients:

$$(1) \quad \Phi_0 j^2 = \frac{2 \hbar^2 a(B)}{m} \quad (30)$$

The mutual compensation of these two terms could be achieved via tuned of magnetic field B near Feshbach resonance. Consider first the case of single vortex trap collocated with a single parabolic subtrap:

$$V_{\varphi} = \frac{m \omega_{\varphi}^2 r^2}{2} \quad (1) \quad \Phi_0 j^2 r^2 \exp[-r^2/d^2] \quad (31)$$

The following exact solution for 2D harmonic oscillator is known :

$$\varphi = \frac{r}{2} \frac{m \omega_{\varphi}}{\hbar} j^2 r^2 \exp \left[-\frac{m \omega_{\varphi}^2 r^2}{2 \hbar} + i \mathbf{E}_{BEC} - i 2 \omega_{\varphi} t \right] \quad (32)$$

which is similar to variational solution for "transverse" wavefunction (11) [11]. Note in our case the exact wavefunction of the transversal GPE found, rather than variational one (11). The stability analysis will be published elsewhere. The angular momentum per particle is given by:

$$\langle \hat{L} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \int \psi^* (-i\hbar) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \psi d^2 r = \hbar \quad (33)$$

where ϕ is azimuthal angle (Fig. 5). Again SFV carries angular momentum $\hbar$ per particle and kinetic energy per unit length of the vortex line $E_{kin} = N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \ln(R/a) = \hbar^2 N \ln(R/a) / m$ [17]. Consider now the trapping of BEC by of phase-locked Gaussian-Laguerre beams placed at the nodes j_x, j_y of rectangular grid of period p (see Eq. 8).

Let us assume that optical wavelength is equal to De-Broglie wavelength λ_{db} :

$$\lambda_{db} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2m k_B T}} \quad (34)$$

The corresponding BEC temperature for ^{85}Rb atoms is:

$$T = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ K} \quad (35)$$

After imposing compensation condition (26) the residual part of transverse GPE (27) corresponds to free-space evolution:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + \frac{m \omega^2 r^2}{2} \psi \quad (36)$$

The free space propagation (Fig. 1) equation for EM-field will be of similar form:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = \frac{i}{2k} \nabla^2 E + \frac{k r^2}{2f_{cavity}} E \quad (37)$$

where f_{cavity} is effective focal length of the laser cavity (Fig. 1) induced by thermal lenses collocated with inhomogeneity of optical pumping.

Because of linearity of equation and superposition principle the solution of (37) will be the sum of free-space modes including zero-order Gaussian functions, Gaussian-Hermite or Laguerre-Gaussian modes located at sites x_{jx}, y_{jy} [12] separated by period p . The axes of such optical array are parallel to X, Y . In order to solve numerically eq. (27) the split-step FFT method [22] was used. The mesh size in $X-Y$ plane was 512×512 points, the "guard bands ratio" [25] was chosen equal to 8. So the main part of the field was located inside central part of a mesh of 64×64 size - the "in age area". The tolerance of the energy spillover was kept within $\epsilon = 0.0001$. The windowing in wavenumber space after FFT at each time step was performed by usage of "Fermi-Dirac" smoothed step function [22]. The dissipation inherent to split-step FFT method have led to decrease of total "amount of particles" $\int \psi^* \psi dx dy$ within "in age area" at a speed of 10^{-3} per time step. The special initial conditions of "preselected" SFV array in the form (16) superimposed upon homogeneous background gave the spatial distribution of "transverse" wavefunction ψ well correlated ($K = 0.7$) with the OVA array distribution - the array of phase-locked Gaussian-Laguerre wavepackets of the first order:

$$\psi = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\frac{m \omega^2}{2} \right]^{1/4} \sum_{j_x, j_y} (x - x_{j_x}, y - y_{j_y}) \exp(-\frac{(x - x_{j_x})^2 + (y - y_{j_y})^2}{2\lambda_{db}^2}) \exp\left[\frac{m \omega^2}{2} \frac{(x - x_{j_x})^2 + (y - y_{j_y})^2}{2\lambda_{db}^2}\right] + i \psi_{BEC} \text{Arg}(x - x_{j_x}, y - y_{j_y}) + i(j_x + j_y) \frac{2\pi}{p} z \quad (38)$$

The numerical solution for ψ of GPE proved to be very close to the linear combination of Gaussian-Laguerre functions. Nevertheless this solution takes into account the interference between wavefunctions of "subvortices", because the "doughnut" radius is set to be a bit less than distance between lattice nodes. The arising interference pattern is well correlated with the interference pattern produced by Laguerre-Gaussian OVA $E(x, y) \exp(-\frac{(x - x_{j_x})^2 + (y - y_{j_y})^2}{2\lambda_{db}^2})$ (Fig. 3) with the same geometrical parameters and wavelength. Needless to say the stability of such "linear" solution of nonlinear equation is essential and it will be published elsewhere.

Each SFV carries angular momentum $\sim$ per particle and rotational kinetic energy $E_{kin} = N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \ln(\delta/a) = m$, δ - diameter of vortex core, a - interatomic distance, δ - length of vortex which is roughly equal to thickness of "pancake" [17]. In contrast to superfluid in rotating bucket where angular momenta of vortices are co-directed [16], the BEC vortices trapped by optical vortex array are counter-directed from site to site. Consequently in the net sum of angular momenta each vortex of positive topological charge is compensated by the term with negative charge and total angular momentum tends to zero. Nevertheless the mutual subtraction of angular momenta (vectorial) of adjacent vortices in the net sum does not mean the mutual subtraction of rotational energies, which are the positive scalars. The ground state carry amount of rotational kinetic energy of condensate containing N particles of mass m per unit volume, namely $E_{rot} = N_{vortices} N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \ln(\delta/a) = m$.

VI. CONCLUSION

The optical vortex arrays emitted by solid-state microchip laser are analysed from the point of view of application to optical dipole traps. The possible equivalent being highly correlated to numerically obtained and experimentally observed EM - eld distributions proved to be an array of Gaussian-Laguerre beams equispaced at the nodes of rectangular lattice. Form macroscopic wavefunction of BEC trapped in such complex optical eld the analytical solutions of Gross-Pitaevsky equation were found based on separation of variables and mutual compensation of vortex component of external trapping eld via nonlinear term of GPE. The obtained wavefunctions have perfect correlation with trapping eld, including distribution of topological charges, which form antiferromagnetic-like lattice. Within framework of this particular model the "antiferromagnetic" lattice of BEC vortices carries total angular momentum close to zero while net rotational kinetic energy of SFV lattice tends to be equal to the sum of rotational energies of vortices.

The eld of classical velocities, i.e. the eld of phase gradients, obtained via Madelung transform, forms labyrinth structure. In classical picture the trapped particle moves across the vortex array labyrinth jumping from one whirl to another.

In quantum picture represented via analytic solution of GPE for one trapping vortex and numerical solution for optical vortex array the coherent macroscopic wavefunction extends all over OV trapping array with transverse spatial dimension of several hundred microns. The complex eld of velocities, rotational energy and high degree of correlation of SFV wavefunction with OV trapping eld promise more resistance to decoherence.

The qualitative analytic solution supports the basic feature related to trapping of transparent particles and to BEC trapping: the transfer of OAM from EM - eld to superfluid. The proposed OVA trap might be interesting from the point of view of studies of quantum-classical correspondence.

The mechanism of imposing the topological charges to BEC vortices by means of manipulating the vorticity of trapping optical array could result in demonstration of macroscopic quantum interference phenomena. Evidently there are 4 possible topologically equivalent combinations of parameters of solution (38) of OV charges ($\ell_{EM} = 1$) and their relative phases (ϕ). Thus there exist 4 wavefunctions having the identical probability j^2 distribution and different orientation of SFL vortices with respect to physical axes $X; Y$ of trapping setup, characterised by ℓ_{BEC} and their relative phases. The transformation of the one into another one having different phase structure is equivalent to 90° rotation around z -axis.

-
- [1] R Grimm, M Weidemüller and Yu B Ovhinnikov, "Optical dipole traps for neutral atoms" *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* 42, 95 (2000).
 - [2] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, "Bose-Einstein Condensation", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, S. Stringari, L. P. Pitaevskii, "Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 71, 463 (1999).
 - [3] Balykin, V. I., Letokhov, V. S., Ovhinnikov, Yu B., and Sidorov A. I., "Quantum-State-Selective Mirror Reflection of Atoms by Laser Light", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 2137 (1988),
 - [4] E. M. Wright, J. Arit and K. Dholakia, "Toroidal Optical Dipole Traps for Atomic Bose-Einstein Condensates using Laguerre-Gaussian Beams", *Phys. Rev. A* 63, 013608 (2001).
 - [5] A. Yu. O kulov, "3D-con gruation of the vortex lattices in microchip laser cavity", *QCMC-2004, AIP Conference Proceedings*, 734, p.366 (2004).
A. Yu. O kulov, "3D structure of the vortex lattices in solid-state microchip laser", *Bulletin Lebedev Physical Institute*, 9, p.3, Sept. (2003).
 - [6] Yu. B. Ovhinnikov, "Coherent manipulation of atoms by copropagating laser beams", *Phys. Rev.*, 73A, 033404 (2006).

- [7] R. Dumke, M. Volk, T. Muther, F. B. J. Buchkremer, G. Birkel and W. Ertmer, "Microoptical Realization of Arrays of Selectively Addressable Dipole Traps: A Scalable Configuration for Quantum Computation with Atomic Qubits", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 097903 (2002).
- [8] M. Babiker, W. L. Power, and L. Allen, "Light induced torque on moving atom", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 1239 (1994).
- [9] V. Garces-Chavez, D. McGloin, M. J. Padgett, W. Dultz, H. Schmitzer, and K. Dholakia, "Observation of the Transfer of the Local Angular Momentum Density of a Multiringed Light Beam to an Optically Trapped Particle", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 093602 (2003).
- [10] M. J. Friese, J. Eger, H. Rubinstein-Dunlop, " ", *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 1543 (1996).
N. R. Heckenberg, M. E. J. Friese, T. A. Nieminen and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, "Mechanical Effects of Optical Vortices", pp 75-105, in M. V. Vasnetsov (ed), *Optical Vortices*, Nova Science Publishers (1999).
- [11] J. Tempe and J. T. Devreese, E. R. I. Abraham, "Vortices in Bose-Einstein condensates confined in a multiply connected Laguerre-Gaussian optical trap", *Phys. Rev.*, **64A**, 023603 (2002).
- [12] A. Yu. Okulov, "Two-dimensional periodic structures in nonlinear resonator", *JOSA B* **7**, p.1045, (1990).
- [13] J. Courtial, R. Zambrini, M. R. Dennis, M. V. Vasnetsov, "Angular momentum of optical vortex arrays", *Optics Express* **14**, p.938 (2006).
- [14] Y. F. Chen, Y. P. Lan, "Formation of optical vortex lattices in solid-state microchip lasers: Spontaneous transverse mode locking", *Phys. Rev.*, **64A**, 063807 (2001).
Y. F. Chen, Y. P. Lan, "Transverse pattern formation of optical vortices in a microchip laser with a large Fresnel number", *Phys. Rev.*, **65**, 013802 (2001).
- [15] R. Fidele, P. K. Shukla, S. De Nicola, M. A. Manko, V. I. Manko, F. S. Cataliotti, "Controlling potential traps for filtering solitons in Bose-Einstein condensates", *JETP Lett.*, **80**, 8, p.609-613 (2004).
- [16] J. R. Abo-Shaer, C. Raman, J. M. Vogels and W. Ketterle, "Formation and Decay of Vortex Lattices in Bose-Einstein Condensates at Finite Temperatures", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 070409 (2002).
- [17] R. P. Feynman, "Statistical mechanics", Ch.11, Reading, Massachusetts (1972).
- [18] A. Yu. Kitaev, LANL e-print quant-ph/9707021, <http://arxiv.org>, (1997).
- [19] K. Staliunas, "Laser Ginzburg-Landau equation and laser hydrodynamics", *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 1573 (1993). K. Staliunas, C. O. Weiss, "Nonstationary vortex lattices in large-aperture class B lasers", *JOSA B* **12**, 1142 (1995).
- [20] F. Hollinger, Chr. Jung, and H. Weber, "Simple mathematical model describing multitransversal solid-state laser", *JOSA B* **7**, 1013 (1990).
- [21] A. E. Siegman, "Lasers". University Science Books, Mill Valley, California (1986).
- [22] A. Yu. Okulov, "Scaling of diode-pumped solid-state lasers via self-imaging", *Opt. Comm.*, **99**, p.350-354 (1993). "The effect of roughness of mirrors on transverse structure of light field in nonlinear Talbot cavity", *J. Mod. Opt.*, **38**, N.10, p.1887 (1991).
- [23] A. Yu. Okulov, "Gain-mode correlation in diode-pumped solid-state laser", *Optics and Spectroscopy*, **77**, N.6, p.985 (1994).
- [24] V. Garces-Chavez, K. Volke-Sepulveda, S. Chavez-Cerda, W. Sibbett and K. Dholakia, "Transfer of orbital angular momentum to an optically trapped low-index particle", *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 063402 (2002).
- [25] E. A. Sziklas, A. E. Siegman, "Mode calculations in unstable resonators with flowing saturable gain. 2: Fast Fourier transform method", *Appl. Opt.*, **14**, 1874 (1975).

List of Figure Captions

Fig.1. Conceptual view of the near field optical trap. Upper plot: Transverse (in XOY plane) distribution of intensity in the near field of solid-state microchip laser [1]. Middle: The longitudinal scale extends to 6 Talbot lengths. Z - axis is directed along optical axis of microchip laser resonator (bottom). Additional tightly confined parabolic well keeping BEC cloud localized in z - direction is depicted via potential $V(z) = (z - z_0)^2$. Such potential is assumed to be superimposed by the other microchip laser beam with cylindrical focusing lens at slightly different wavelength of radiation from the range 0.98 - 2.79 μm , in order to avoid interference. Fresnel number has value of $N_f = N_{\text{vortices}}^2 = 64$.

Fig.2. Diffraction self-imaging of two-dimensional lattice of 8x8 Gaussian beams with period $p = 28 \mu\text{m}$. The longitudinal cross-section at $y = 0$ plane of intensity distribution $I(x; y; z)$ presented. The lattice is self-reproduced at Talbot distance, spatial period division occurs at quarter Talbot distances and central lobe forms outside the Rayleigh range.

Fig.3. Intensity distribution in transverse plane of artificial Laquerre-Gaussian vortex array. Vortices (dark holes) are at nodes of rectangular grid. Letters σ_{EM} denote vortices with alternating topological charges, changing sign from one site of lattice to another.

Fig.4. Longitudinal section of vortex array in the near field in x-z plane at $y = 0$ section. The vortex lines are parallel, the topological charges σ_{EM} are flipping from one vortex line to another. The wavelength $\lambda = 1 \mu\text{m}$, period p of lattice both in x and y directions is $30 \mu\text{m}$.

Fig.5. a) Helicoidal phase surface of Gaussian-Laquerre beam. Atomic dipole having "red" detuning moves along phase gradient. Trajectory is located at maximum of intensity. S_t is the component of Poynting vector tangent to helix. The major component of S_z is directed parallel to beam propagation, i.e. along Z-axis. b) Helicoidal phase surface of Laquerre-Gaussian beam array. The elementary cell consists of four vortices. The adjacent vortices have alternating topological charges σ_{EM} and alternating angular momenta. In classical picture the atomic dipole moves along phase gradient of a given vortex next it jumps to another one.

Fig.6. The argument of macroscopic wavefunction and corresponding field of velocities obtained via Madelung transform. The superfluid vortices form the lattice with alternating topological charges $\sigma_{BEC} = \pm 1$. The elementary cell consists of four BEC vortices whose locations are identical to the vortices of trapping EM - field. Horizontal pair has the same charges σ_{BEC} which are π -shifted with respect to each other, the vertical pair have π -shifted σ_{BEC} charges. The alternating charges σ_{BEC} make the field of velocities continuous.

Fig.7. The distribution of the square modulus of macroscopic wavefunction obtained via numerical modeling. The location of adjacent counter-rotating vortices σ_{BEC} shown.




FIG. 1: Conceptual view of the near field optical trap. Upper plot: Transverse (in XOY plane) distribution of intensity in the near field of solid-state microchip laser [5]. Middle: The longitudinal scale extends to 6 Talbot lengths. Z -axis is directed along optical axis of microchip laser resonator (bottom). Additional tightly confined parabolic well keeping BEC cloud localized in z -direction is depicted via potential $V(z) = (z - z_0)^2$. Such potential is assumed to be superimposed by the other microchip laser beam with cylindrical focusing lens at slightly different wavelength of radiation from the range 0.98 - 2.79 μm , in order to avoid interference. Fresnel number has value of $N_f = N_{vortices}^2 = 64$.




FIG. 2: Diffractive self-imaging of two-dimensional lattice of 8×8 Gaussian beams with period $p = 28 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$. The longitudinal cross-section at $y = 0$ plane of intensity distribution $I(x; y; z)$ is presented. The lattice is self-reproduced at Talbot distance, spatial period division occurs at quarter Talbot distances and central lobe forms outside the Rayleigh range.




FIG .3: Intensity distribution in transverse plane of artificial Laguerre-Gaussian vortex array. Vortices (dark holes) are at nodes of rectangular grid. Letters l_{EM} denote vortices with alternating topological charges, changing sign from one site of lattice to another.




FIG. 4: Longitudinal section of vortex array in the near field in x - z plane at $y = 0$ section. The vortex lines are parallel, the topological charges l_{EM} are flipping from one vortex line to another. The wavelength $\lambda = 1 \mu m$, period p of lattice both in x and y directions is $30 \mu m$.




FIG. 5: a) Helicoidal phase surface of Laquerre-Gaussian beam. An atomic dipole having "red" detuning moves along phase gradient. Trajectory is located at maximum of intensity. S_t is the component of Poynting vector tangent to helix. The major component of S_z is directed parallel to beam propagation, i.e. along Z -axis. b) Helicoidal phase surface of Laquerre-Gaussian beam array. The elementary cell consists of four vortices. The adjacent vortices have alternating topological charges l_{EM} and alternating angular momenta. In classical picture the atomic dipole moves along phase gradient of a given vortex next it jumps to another one.




FIG. 6: The argument of macroscopic wavefunction and corresponding field of velocities obtained via Madelung transform. The superfluid vortices form the lattice with alternating topological charges $\ell_{\text{BEC}} = \pm 1$. The elementary cell consists of four BEC vortices whose locations are identical to the vortices of trapping EM-field. Horizontal pair has the same charges ℓ_{BEC} which are π -shifted with respect to each other, the vertical pair have π -shifted ℓ_{BEC} charges. The alternating charges ℓ_{BEC} make the field of velocities continuous.




FIG. 7: The distribution of the square modulus of macroscopic wavefunction obtained via numerical modeling. The location of adjacent counter-rotating vortices ℓ_{BEC} shown.