

Topology of Energy Surfaces and Singularities of Curves

Milena Radnović* and Vered Rom-Kedar

The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

December 2, 2024

Abstract

The differential topology of energy surfaces of integrable systems determines much of the behavior of the solutions of the corresponding near-integrable systems. To study this topology, one constructs the ‘bifurcation set’ in the energy-momentum diagram. In the 2 degrees of freedom case, the bifurcation set is composed of curves and isolated points in \mathbf{R}^2 . It is proved that under natural non-degeneracy conditions, changes in the differential topology of energy surfaces of smooth 2 degrees of freedom integrable Hamiltonian systems imply that the bifurcation set must have singularities at the corresponding energy levels. The notion of the critical set, as the set of singularities of the bifurcation set, is introduced, and this set is divided to essential and non-essential parts. It is proved that generically, essential critical points appear if and only if the differential topology of the isoenergy manifolds changes at the corresponding energy levels. The proof of these two main theorems incorporates a concise description of the isoenergy surfaces near the bifurcation set and near the critical set using Fomenko graphs; thus, the structure of the energy surfaces near isolated fixed points, near circles of fixed points (normally hyperbolic or normally elliptic), near parabolic circles and near global bifurcations of separatrices of normally hyperbolic circles is completely classified and listed using Fomenko graphs. This may be viewed as completing Smale program for relating the energy surfaces structure to singularities of the energy-momentum mappings for generic integrable two degrees of freedom systems.

1 Introduction

Consider a 2-degree-of-freedom integrable Hamiltonian system defined on the 4-dimensional smooth symplectic manifold \mathcal{M} , with the smooth Hamiltonian H . The manifold \mathcal{M} is the union of *isoenergy surfaces* $\mathcal{Q} = H^{-1}(h)$, $h \in \mathbf{R}$. A simple consequence of the energy conservation law is that each trajectory

*permanent address: Mathematical Institute SANU, Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro

of the system is completely placed on one isoenergy surface. The integrability assumption means that there exists a smooth function K which is almost everywhere independent from H and such that H, K are in involution. Assume that the smooth integral K is a *Bott integral* on \mathcal{Q} , i.e. the restriction of K on almost every isoenergy manifold is a function with non-degenerate critical submanifolds. The other assumption we make for the correct setting is that the Hamiltonian H is *non-resonant*. That means that the Liouville tori in which the trajectories form irrational windings are everywhere dense in \mathcal{M} .

Denote the *momentum map* by μ :

$$\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2, \quad \mu(p) = (H(p), K(p)).$$

Level sets of the momentum map are $\mu^{-1}(h, k)$, $(h, k) \in \mathbf{R}^2$. Since K is an integral of motion, each trajectory of the system is completely placed on one level set. Assume hereafter that the level sets of the system (\mathcal{M}, H) are compact. By Arnol'd-Liouville theorem [3], for almost every $(h, k) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, the level set $\mu^{-1}(h, k)$ is the union of several 2-tori.

The *bifurcation set* Σ of the system is the set of all points $(h, k) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ such that the set $\mu^{-1}(h, k) \subset \mathcal{M}$ is non-empty and contains at least one connected component which is not diffeomorphic to a 2-torus.

In his well known papers [33, 34], Smale studied the topology of mechanical systems with symmetry. By Noether theorem [3], the symmetry group acting on the phase space gives rise to an integral J of the motion, J being independent of the total energy E . Smale investigated the topology of the mapping

$$I = J \times E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2,$$

and pointed out that a main problem in this study is to find the structure of the bifurcation set of this mapping. In [33], he considered mechanical systems with 2 degrees of freedom and 1-dimensional symmetry group, and then applied some of the developed ideas to the general mechanical systems with symmetry. In [34], he applies this work to describe the topology and construct the bifurcation set for the Newtonian n -body problem in the plane. The study of the geometry of the level sets of the momentum map under various symmetries is highly nontrivial (see [1, 11]).

Later on, Fomenko and his school studied the topological classification of the isoenergy manifolds and their description by certain invariants, see [5, 7, 9, 15, 16, 27, 30] and references therein. They established a beautiful and simple way of representing an isoenergy manifold by a certain graph with edges and some subgraphs marked with rational and natural numbers. Roughly, in these graphs, each connected component of the level sets of the isoenergy manifold is shrunk to a distinct point. Thus, each smooth family of Liouville tori creates an edge, and edges connect together at vertices which correspond to the singular level sets. In the Appendix, we give a more precise description of the work of Fomenko and his school. All the details can be found in [5, 7, 9, 15, 16, 27] and

references therein. In [25] higher dimensional analogs to branched surfaces were developed and constructed for several three degrees of freedom systems.

In parallel, Lerman and Umanskii analyzed and completely described the topological structure of a neighborhood of the singular level set for 2 degrees of freedom system [22], and in [21] Lerman had completed the study of singular level sets near fixed points of integrable 3 degrees of freedom systems.

In all these works, the main objective is to classify the integrable systems, thus the study of the topology of the level sets emerges as the main issue, and the role of the Hamiltonian and of the integrals of motion may be freely interchanged. In [23, 24, 25, 32], the implications of these developments on the near-integrable dynamics were sought. Once near-integrable Hamiltonian systems are considered, the Hamiltonian emerges as a special integral which is conserved under perturbations and the perturbed motion is restricted now to energy surfaces and not to single level sets. Under some mild conditions on the perturbation, it can be shown that the perturbed and unperturbed energy surfaces are C^0 -close (see [32]). In [23, 24, 25, 32] the connection between changes in the differential topology of the unperturbed energy surfaces and the dynamics of perturbed trajectories is studied. Thus, the complete classification of changes in the unperturbed energy surfaces differential topology which is established here leads to a complete classification of all possible behaviors of generic near integrable 2 degrees of freedom systems. The analysis of each of these near-integrable scenarios is far from being complete; beyond KAM theory, there is a large body of literature dealing with persistence results for lower dimensional tori (namely circles in the 2 degrees of freedom case) – see, for example, [10, 14, 17, 20, 31], and a large body of results describing the instabilities arising near the singular circles or fixed points which are not elliptic (see [18, 19] and references therein).

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state and partially prove the main result of the present work. We complete the proofs in Section 3, where we use the results of Lerman and Umanskii on the behavior of the system near singular level sets [22]. This part of the proof has the additional merit by which we connect these works with those of the Fomenko school, supplying a concise presentation to the structure of the energy surfaces near the bifurcation set and its singularities. Section 4 contains concluding remarks. The Appendix is a brief overview of the necessary results obtained by Fomenko and his school on the topological classification of isoenergy manifolds and their representation by Fomenko graphs.

2 Bifurcation Set and Topological Structure of Isoenergy Manifolds

The bifurcation set Σ consists of smooth curves and isolated points. Generically, each curve in the set corresponds to a family of circles, which may shrink to

a point at isolated points on the curve. Thus, by the normal stability of the circles, we can classify segments of the curves into the following two types: e -type, which corresponds to normally elliptic circles; h -type, corresponding to normally hyperbolic singular circles.

We mark the normal stability of the invariant circles denoting by a solid line the e -type curves and by dashed line the h -type curves. See [4] for the definition and discussion of normal stability of families of tori in Hamiltonian systems.

Generically, singularities of the bifurcation set may be isolated points, joint endpoints of rays and intersections of curves. Besides, as singularities, we will also consider *folds*, i.e. critical points of the projection of Σ onto the energy (H) axis. Denote by Σ_c the *critical set*, the set of all values $(h, k) \in \Sigma$ where singularities of the bifurcation set Σ occur.

Following the program created by Smale in [33], we formulate the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Consider a 2 degrees of freedom smooth integrable non-resonant Hamiltonian system (\mathcal{M}, H) . Assume that the second integral of motion, K , is a smooth Bott integral on almost all energy surfaces. Then, changes in the differential topological structure of the isoenergy manifolds of the dynamical system at the energy level $H = h_c$ imply that there exists a value k_c , such that the point (h_c, k_c) belongs to Σ_c , i.e. is an intersection or a fold of a singularity curve, the common endpoint of two singularity curves or an isolated singular point.*

Proof. Under the above conditions on (\mathcal{M}, H, K) the differential topological structure of a generic isoenergy manifold is completely described with the corresponding Fomenko invariants [9].

Vertices of the graph joined to the isoenergy manifold $H = h$ correspond exactly to intersections of the line $H = h$ with Σ .

If no point of the set Σ_c is placed between the lines $H = h_1$ and $H = h_2$, then the graphs, together with all corresponding invariants, will change continuously between the values h_1 and h_2 . Since the graph with all joined numerical invariants is given by a finite set of discrete parameters, it follows that all isoenergy manifolds $H = h$, where h is between h_1 and h_2 , have the same Fomenko invariants (see also Proposition 4.3 in [5]). Thus, the isoenergy manifolds $H = h_1$ and $H = h_2$ are isomorphic, which concludes the proof. ■

Let us emphasize that in the general case, the implication opposite to the one stated in Theorem 1 does not hold, i.e. a singularity in the bifurcation set is not necessarily a sign of the topological change of the isoenergy manifolds. For example, two singularity curves that denote existence of 1-dimensional degenerate tori, may intersect at (h_0, k_0) (see Figure 1), but $\mu^{-1}(h_0, k_0)$ contains only isolated degenerated tori corresponding to each of the singularity curves. Such a situation is illustrated in Figure 2.

Therefore, it is natural to consider only a part of the bifurcation set that refers to certain subsets of M .




Figure 1: Intersection of singularity curves

Since the flows generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields H , K commute, they define the action of the group \mathbf{R}^2 on \mathcal{M} . This action is called *Poisson action generated by H and K* and its orbits are homeomorphic to a point, line, circle, plane, cylinder or a 2-torus [3].

Consider a point $p \in M$, and let $U \subset M$ denote a small neighborhood of p . The open set $V \subset M$ which contains the complete orbits of all points in U under the Poisson action of (H, K) is called the *extended neighborhood of p* [22]. For such a set V , denote by $\Sigma(V)$ the set of all $(h, k) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ such that $\mu^{-1}(h, k) \cap V$ is non-empty and contains at least one connected component which is not diffeomorphic to a 2-torus. We call $\Sigma(V)$ the *local bifurcation set of V* . As in the global case, $\Sigma(V)$ may itself have generic singularities (folds, common endpoints of curves, intersections or isolated points).

Denote by $\Sigma_c(V) \subset \Sigma_c$ the singularities of the local bifurcation set $\Sigma(V)$. Note that for sufficiently small neighborhood U of p , $\Sigma_c(V)$ is independent of the choice of U . Thus, for sufficiently small U , we denote $\Sigma_c(p) = \Sigma_c(V)$.

Notice that $\Sigma_c(p) \subset \{\mu(p)\}$ and that the set $\Sigma_c(p)$ is non empty if and only if $\mu(p) \in \Sigma_c(V)$ for any extended neighborhood of p . So, we introduce the *essential critical set* as:

$$\Sigma_c^{ess} = \bigcup_{p \in M} \Sigma_c(p).$$

Theorem 2 Consider a 2 degrees of freedom smooth integrable non-resonant Hamiltonian system (\mathcal{M}, H) . Assume that the second integral of motion, K , is a smooth Bott integral on almost all energy surfaces. Then, changes in the differential topological structure of the isoenergy manifolds of the dynamical system occur at the energy level $H = h_c$ if and only if there exists a value k_c such that $(h_c, k_c) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$.

Proof. \Leftarrow Take $(h_c, k_c) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$. Then, there exists a point $p \in M$, such that $\mu(p) = (h_c, k_c)$ and $\Sigma_c(p) \neq \emptyset$.




Figure 2: Isoenergy manifolds for different values of H

The point p can not belong to a Liouville torus, because the Poisson action is non degenerate in a neighborhood of such a point p , and the local bifurcation set is empty.

Thus, the action (H, K) is either degenerate at p or the closure of the orbit of p contains the points where the action is degenerate. Since the momentum mapping μ is constant on any orbit and its closure, we may assume with no loss of generality that the action is degenerate at p , i.e. that p is a fixed point or a point on a one-dimensional orbit γ of the action.

In the first case, the statement follows from Corollaries 1 and 2 of Proposition 1. In this proposition, using the analysis of [22], Fomenko graphs near all generic types of fixed points are constructed. The corollaries state the differential topology structure of the energy surfaces changes across $H(p)$.

In the latter case, from Corollary 3 of Proposition 2, we obtain that γ must be a circle of fixed points, a normally parabolic circle, or it has another one-dimensional orbit in its garland. The statement is now obtained from Corollary 4.

\implies In Theorem 1, we proved that if differential topological changes occur at h_c then there exists k_c such that $(h_c, k_c) \in \Sigma_c$. To complete the proof we note that if $(h_c, k_c) \in \Sigma_c \setminus \Sigma_c^{ess}$ then the vertices of the corresponding Fomenko graphs, together with all their corresponding invariants, change continuously across h_c . As in the proof of Theorem 1, this implies that the Fomenko invariants are unchanged across h_c , namely that no differential topological changes occur

at h_c , achieving a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that $(h_c, k_c) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$. ■

3 Behavior near Singular Level Sets

Here, we analyze the behavior of a 2-degrees-of-freedom integrable Hamiltonian system near the singular level sets of the corresponding Poisson action. We show that singularities in the local bifurcation diagrams around the point corresponding to a singular level set are uniquely related to changes in the topology of the isoenergy manifolds.

3.1 Energy surfaces near isolated fixed points

Let us first consider the points on the bifurcation diagram corresponding to isolated fixed points of the Hamiltonian system (\mathcal{M}, H) . Here, we assume that the connected component of the singular level set contains only one fixed point p and no one-dimensional orbits of the Poisson action (H, K) , which is the generic case. The topology of such a level set and its extended neighborhood is described in detail in [22].

In [5], Fomenko graphs corresponding to certain closed 3-dimensional submanifolds of \mathcal{M} that are contained in a neighborhood of the fixed point, are constructed. More precisely, the considered 3-dimensional manifold is the inverse image by the momentum mapping μ of a sufficiently small circle in the (H, K) -plane, such that $\mu(p)$ is the centre of the circle.

Since we are interested in changes in the topological structure of the isoenergy manifolds near the singular level sets, we rather need to construct the Fomenko graphs that correspond to these manifolds close to the fixed point. This is done in the next proposition, using both the detailed exposition of [22] and the results of [5] and [27], thus establishing additional link between the work of Lerman and Umanskii and the approach of Fomenko school.

In the following proposition, we use the Fomenko graphs to describe the energy surfaces near fixed points. In the appendix, a description of all the needed elements from Fomenko's work is presented.

For a first reading, it is sufficient to recall that a Fomenko graph of a given energy surface is found by shrinking each connected component of the level sets on this surface to a point. Thus, each open edge in the graphs presented in the figures below represents one family of two-dimensional Liouville tori. The vertices of the graph correspond to those components of the level sets that are not Liouville tori, and are called *atoms*.

Let us say a few words on the topological structure of such a singular component \mathcal{C} of a level set. This set consists of at least one fixed point or closed one-dimensional orbit of the Poisson action (H, K) on \mathcal{M} and several (possibly none) two-dimensional orbits of the action. The set of all zero-dimensional and one-dimensional orbits in \mathcal{C} is called the *garland* (see [22]) while two-dimensional

orbits are called *separatrices*. There are exactly 3 different atoms which have exactly one singular circle in their garland: *atom A* which corresponds to a normally elliptic circle, *atom B* which corresponds to a normally hyperbolic circle with two orientable separatrices and *atom A** which corresponds to a normally hyperbolic circle and its non-orientable separatrix. There are exactly 6 different atoms which have exactly two singular circles in their garland and they are listed in the appendix.

The graphs we present have one modification which is not included in Fomenko's work – we denote different smooth families of singular circles by different symbols (e.g. circles and squares). In fact, this information is included in the marks of Fomenko (see Appendix), but we find that this notion allows for a better intuitive understanding and reconstruction of the energy surfaces (see also [32]).

The figures in the energy-momentum bifurcation diagram include the local region of allowed motion (grey area), the stability type of the circles (*e*-type are solid curves and *h*-type are dashed curves) and the labelling of the curves shows the Fomenko atom they correspond to.

Proposition 1 *Let p be an isolated fixed point of the Hamiltonian system (\mathcal{M}, H) . Then, a sufficiently small extended neighborhood $V \subset \mathcal{M}$ of p has the following properties:*

1 *If p is of the center-center type, then all singular periodic trajectories in V are mapped onto the union of two smooth *e*-type rays c_1, c_2 of Σ , corresponding to two distinct families of **A** atoms, with a joint endpoint $\mu(p)$. The image of the two-dimensional Liouville tori contained in V is an open set in \mathbf{R}^2 , such that $c_1 \cup c_2$ is contained in its boundary, and the set $\mu(V)$ is placed inside one of the curvilinear angles created by $c_1 \cup c_2$.*

1a *If p is a point of local extremum of H , then the Fomenko graph describing the components of the isoenergy manifolds contained in V for $h \neq H(p)$ is of the form shown on Figure 3.*




Figure 3: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 1a

1b If $H(p)$ is not a local extreme value of H , then the part of the Fomenko graph corresponding to $h \neq H(p)$ is presented on Figure 4.




Figure 4: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 1b

2 If p is of the saddle-center type, then all singular periodic trajectories in V are mapped onto union of three e -type rays e_1, e'_1, e''_1 (corresponding to a family of **A** atoms) and one h -type ray h_1 (corresponding to a family of **B** atoms), all being smooth and having the joint endpoint $\mu(p)$. The rays e_1, e'_1, e''_1 have a common tangent line at $\mu(p)$, and the tangent vectors to the curves e_1 and e'_1 at $\mu(p)$ are of opposite directions. The image of the two-dimensional Liouville tori contained in V is an open set in \mathbf{R}^2 , such that $e_1 \cup e'_1$ is contained in its boundary, and the set $\mu(V)$ is placed at one side of the curve $e_1 \cup e'_1$. The rays e''_1 and h_1 are placed at the same side of $e_1 \cup e'_1$. Depending on the position of e''_1 and h_1 , two possibilities arise:

2a If the rays e''_1 and h_1 are placed on different sides of the line $H = H(p)$, then the Fomenko graphs are transformed as shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 2a

2b If the rays e''_1 and h_1 are placed on the same side of the line $H = H(p)$, then the Fomenko graphs are transformed as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 2b

3 If p is a singular point of the saddle-saddle type, then its garland consists of 4 one-dimensional loops and 4 two-dimensional separatrices. Depending on the orientability of the loops, the separatrix set and the extended neighborhood V can have 4 different topological structures. Each of these four cases splits into two subcases – in the subcases marked with the letter a there are four singular periodic trajectories in the isoenergy manifold corresponding to $H < H(p)$ and two such trajectories in the isoenergy manifold corresponding to $H > H(p)$; in the subcases b , each isoenergy manifold with the value $H \neq H(p)$ contains three singular periodic trajectories.

3.1 All the loops are orientable. The bifurcation sets $\Sigma(V)$ consist of six smooth h -type curves $h_1, h'_1, h''_1, h_2, h'_2, h''_2$, with the joint endpoint $\mu(p)$. All the curves correspond to families of \mathbf{B} atoms, and curves marked with the same index (1 or 2) have a common tangent line at $\mu(p)$. Additionally, h'_1, h''_1 are placed at the same side of the line $H = H(p)$, and h_1 at the other one; h'_2, h''_2 are placed at the same side of the line $H = H(p)$, and h_2 at the other one. $\mu(V)$ contains an open neighborhood of $\mu(p)$.

3.1a The rays h_1 and h_2 are placed in the same half-plane with the edge $H = H(p)$. Then the bifurcation set and the Fomenko graphs are presented in Figure 7.

3.1b The rays h_1 and h_2 are placed on different sides of the line $H = H(p)$. The bifurcation set and the Fomenko graphs are presented in Figure 8.

3.2 All the loops are nonorientable. The bifurcation set consists of four smooth h -type curves h_1, h'_1, h_2, h'_2 with the joint endpoint $\mu(p)$. Each two curves marked with the same index (1 or 2) have the common tangent line at $\mu(p)$, but they are placed on different sides of the line $H = H(p)$. Each of the curves $h_1 \cup h'_1, h_2 \cup h'_2$ corresponds to a family of \mathbf{B} atoms on one side of $\mu(p)$ and to a family of \mathbf{A}^{**} on the other. $\mu(V)$ contains an open neighborhood of $\mu(p)$. The bifurcation set and the Fomenko graphs are shown on Figures 9 and 10.




Figure 7: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.1a




Figure 8: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.1b

3.3 Three loops are orientable and one is nonorientable. The bifurcation set consists of five smooth h -type curves $h_1, h_1', h_1'', h_2, h_2'$ with the joint endpoint $\mu(p)$. Curves marked with the same index (1 or 2) have the common tangent line at $\mu(p)$. Curves h_1', h_1'', h_2 are placed in the left half-plane, and h_1, h_2' in the right half-plane with the joint edge $H = H(p)$. $\mu(V)$ contains an open neighborhood of $\mu(p)$. The bifurcation set and the Fomenko graphs are shown in Figures 11 and 12.

3.4 Two loops are orientable and two are nonorientable. The bifurcation set and the Fomenko graphs are shown on Figures 13 and 14.

- 4 If p is a singular point of the saddle-focus type, then the bifurcation set of V contains only the isolated point $\mu(p)$, $\mu(V)$ being an open neighborhood of $\mu(p)$. The isoenergy manifolds in the neighborhood of p , for $H \neq H(p)$ are diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$. The corresponding Fomenko graphs are trivially isomorphic across $H(p)$ as shown on Figure 15, yet they have different marks.

Remark 1 Let us emphasize that, in spite of the different topological structures of the isoenergy manifolds, the extended neighborhoods V corresponding to subcases marked with a and b are isomorphic to each other, in the cases 2, 3.1,




Figure 9: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.2a




Figure 10: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.2b

3.2, 3.3, 3.4. In other words, if we consider the Poisson action (H, K) without distinguishing H as the Hamiltonian function, these cases do not split into subcases a and b (therefore, in [5] these subcases are identified).

Notice that if we replace the role of the integral K and the Hamiltonian H , then subcases 3.1a, 3.2a, 3.3a, 3.4a transform into 3.1b, 3.2b, 3.3b, 3.4b respectively.

Remark 2 The bifurcation set in the situation when the garland of the fixed point p contains other fixed points or one-dimensional orbits of the Poisson action, is just the union of several bifurcation sets corresponding to the cases which we consider.

Remark 3 The case 4 of Proposition 1 has interesting monodromy properties, see [12].

It is apparent from Proposition 1 that in all cases the local bifurcation set $\Sigma(V)$ is singular at $\mu(p)$ for any extended neighborhood V of p , hence:

Corollary 1 If $p \in M$ is an isolated fixed point, then $\mu(p) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$.




Figure 11: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.3a




Figure 12: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.3b

Furthermore, it is clear that in all cases the energy surfaces change across $H(p)$:

Corollary 2 *Let $p \in M$ be a non-degenerate isolated fixed point of the integrable Hamiltonian system H . Then, for sufficiently small $|h - H(p)|$, the isoenergy manifolds for $h < H(p)$ and $h > H(p)$ are not isomorphic.*

Proof. Fomenko graphs for $h < H(p)$ and $h > H(p)$ are clearly different in cases 1a, 2, 3.1a, 3.2a, 3.3 and 3.4 of Proposition 1.

In case 1b, the one-dimensional orbits γ_1 and γ_2 such that $\mu(\gamma_1) \in c_1$, $\mu(\gamma_2) \in c_2$, $K(\gamma_1) = K(\gamma_2)$ are linked with the linking coefficient 1 (see Chapter 4 of [22]). This means that the numbers tagged to the edges of the corresponding Fomenko graphs are different. Thus, the isoenergy manifolds are not isomorphic.

Consider now cases 3.1b and 3.2b. Let k be a value smaller than $K(p)$, but sufficiently close to it, and the 3-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{Q}_k = K^{-1}(k) \cap V$. Due to Remark 1, we know that the Fomenko graph joined to \mathcal{Q}_k is the same as in the subcase *a* of the corresponding case, for the value of energy $H > H(p)$ (see Figures 7 and 9). The 3-manifold \mathcal{Q}_k contains exactly two singular level sets, both corresponding to the atom **B**. Since the r_i invariants assigned to the edges connecting the two vertices of the Fomenko graph are not equal to ∞ (see [5]), it follows the two singular circles are non-trivially linked in \mathcal{Q}_k .




Figure 13: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.4a




Figure 14: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 3.4b

Thus, one may conclude the r_i -invariants corresponding to the lowest edges of the graphs shown on Figures 8 and 10 will not have the same value for $h < H(p)$ and $h > H(p)$. It follows that the isoenergy manifolds are not isomorphic.

Finally consider case 4. Let s be a small circle in the (H, K) -plane with the center at the point $\mu(p)$. The set $\mu^{-1}(s) \cap V$ in \mathcal{M} is a bundle of Liouville tori over the circle \mathbf{S}^1 . Since, by [27], this bundle is non-trivial it follows that the edges of the Fomenko graphs shown on Figure 15 have different r_i -marks. Thus, the corresponding isoenergy manifolds are not isomorphic. ■

3.2 Energy surfaces near singular circles

Next we describe the topology of isoenergy manifolds around one-dimensional orbits of the action (H, K) .

Proposition 2 *Suppose that γ is a one-dimensional orbit of the action (H, K) . Then, generically, a sufficiently small extended neighborhood V of γ has the following properties:*

- 1 *If γ is a normally elliptic circle, then all one-dimensional orbits in V are also normally elliptic and they are mapped onto a smooth e-type curve c .*




Figure 15: Fomenko graphs for the case 4

Other orbits of the action are contained in Liouville tori and their images are placed on one side of c . Fomenko atoms that correspond to the points of c are of **A**-type.

Moreover, γ is a circle of fixed points if and only if c has a fold at the point $\mu(\gamma)$.

- 2 If γ is a normally hyperbolic circle and its garland set does not contain other one-dimensional orbits, then all one-dimensional orbits in V are also normally hyperbolic and of the same orientability type. $\Sigma(V)$ is a smooth h -type curve and $\mu(\gamma)$ is in the interior of the set $\mu(V)$. $\Sigma(V)$ corresponds to the Fomenko atoms of **B**-type if γ is orientable and of **A***-type if γ is non-orientable.

γ is a circle of fixed points if and only if the curve has a fold at the point $\mu(\gamma)$.

- 3 If γ is a normally hyperbolic circle and its garland set contains another one-dimensional orbit, then all one-dimensional orbits in V are normally hyperbolic and they are mapped onto the union of two h -type curves intersecting at the point $\mu(\gamma)$ which is in the interior of $\mu(V)$. Periodic orbits corresponding to each of the curves are of the same orientability type.

3.1 If all one-dimensional orbits in V are orientable, then the Fomenko atom corresponding to $\mu(\gamma)$ is one of the four atoms: **C**₁, **C**₂, **D**₁, **D**₂, while the atoms corresponding to the other singular level sets of V (namely $\Sigma(V) \setminus \{\mu(\gamma)\}$) are of **B**-type. The Fomenko graphs representing the isoenergy manifolds in V , in each of the four cases, are shown in Figure 16.

3.2 If all one-dimensional orbits in V are nonorientable, then the Fomenko atom corresponding to $\mu(\gamma)$ is **A**** and the atoms corresponding to the other singular level sets contained in V are of **A***-type, see Figure 17.

3.3 If there are both orientable and non-orientable one-dimensional orbits in V , then the Fomenko atom that correspond to γ is **B***, while




Figure 16: Fomenko graphs for the case 3.1 of Proposition 2

$\Sigma(V) \setminus \{\mu(\gamma)\}$ consists of one curve corresponding to **B**-atoms and another curve corresponding to **A***-atoms, see Figure 18.




Figure 17: Fomenko graphs for the case 3.2 of Proposition 2

Figure 18: Fomenko graphs for the case 3.3 of Proposition 2

4 If γ is a normally parabolic circle, then $\Sigma(V)$ consists of one *e*-type and one *h*-type smooth rays which we will denote by c_1 and c_2 , respectively. The rays form a cusp at the joint endpoint $\mu(\gamma)$, which lies in the interior of $\mu(V)$. Fomenko atoms corresponding to the points of the curve c_1 are of **A**-type, while those corresponding to the c_2 are of **B**-type. The local bifurcation set and the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 19.




Figure 19: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 4 of Proposition 2

Proof. On one-dimensional orbits of the Poisson action (H, K) , the vectors dH, dK are linearly dependent, but do not vanish simultaneously. From the implicit function theorem, there exist parameters α, β smoothly depending on H, K , such that all points where the vectors are dependent, in a neighborhood U of γ , are given by the equation

$$\alpha dH + \beta dK = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha\beta \neq 0$.

Thus, γ is a member of a smooth family of one-dimensional orbits of the action (H, K) and this is the differential equation of the curve c in the bifurcation set which this family is projected onto by the momentum map μ .

Notice that γ is a circle of fixed points for the Hamiltonian H if and only if $dH = 0$ on γ . From the differential equation (1) and the condition that dH and dK cannot vanish simultaneously, we see that this may happen if and only if the tangent to the curve c at the point $\mu(\gamma)$ is parallel to the K -axis ($\beta = 0$), by the assumption on the genericity of the Hamiltonian, it follows that this point is a fold of the curve.

Next, we will proceed using the reduction procedure (see [22, 26, 29]).

Since dH and dK do not vanish simultaneously on γ , let us first assume that $dH \neq 0$ on γ and take $\mu(\gamma) = (0, 0)$.

Then, for generic integrable Hamiltonian function H , the integral K may, in some neighborhood U of γ , be reduced to the submanifold $H = \text{const}$. It was established that there are three possible normal forms (see [2]):

$$K = H + x^2 + y^2, \quad K = H + x^2 - y^2, \quad K = Hx - y^2 + x^3, \quad (2)$$

where (x, y) are the corresponding symplectic normal coordinates in the neighborhood of a point on γ . Let us emphasize that, generally, this representation is not valid in the whole extended neighborhood of γ .

Suppose first that $K = H + x^2 + y^2$. Then γ is a normally elliptic circle and all points in its sufficiently small extended neighborhood will be close to γ .

The bifurcation set consists of only one smooth e -type curve locally given by $H = K$, and all isoenergy manifolds are isomorphic to the solid torus. Thus, all singular level sets in V correspond to the Fomenko **A**-atom.

When $K = H + x^2 - y^2$, the singular level sets $H = K$ contain the singular normally hyperbolic periodic orbits and their separatrices. The neighborhood U of γ at which the normal form is valid, contains only those parts of separatrices that are close to γ , namely the normal form of the integral K is not valid in the whole extended neighborhood V of γ .

If the closure of the separatrix set of γ does not contain other points than those placed on γ and the separatrices themselves, then, repeating the same arguments as in the elliptic case and using the definitions of the Fomenko atoms, we have the case 2 of our statement.

Suppose now that the garland set of γ contains other singular periodic orbits. Generically, because each global bifurcation of separatrix reconnection is a codimension one phenomenon, we will have one such orbit γ' , and it follows immediately that the Fomenko atom corresponding to the level set of γ is of weight 2. There is a curve h of $\Sigma(V)$ passing through $\mu(\gamma)$, and each point on the curve corresponds to a normally hyperbolic circle of the same orientability type as γ . Now, we can choose local coordinates around a point on γ' and obtain the other singularity curve, denoted by h' , through the point $\mu(\gamma') = \mu(\gamma)$. Generically these curves intersect transversely at $\mu(\gamma)$, and thus, for a sufficiently small extended neighborhood V , $\Sigma(V) = h \cup h'$ and $\Sigma_c(V) = h \cap h' = \{\mu(\gamma)\}$.

Recall that Fomenko proved that there are exactly six atoms of weight 2, and these are exactly the six scenarios listed in case 3 of the proposition.

Suppose first that the Fomenko atom corresponding to the isoenergy manifold of γ is **C**₁. Then both γ and γ' are oriented, and it follows that **B**-atoms correspond to all other points of h and h' . Because only one edge of the Fomenko graph joins the atom **C**₁ from each side, it follows that there is exactly one Liouville torus projected to each point placed above or under both the curves h and h' . This means that two such tori are projected to points between the curves, and the Fomenko graphs look as depicted in Figure 16.

Now, consider the case when γ and γ' are singular trajectories of the atom **D**₁. Again, they are both oriented and **B**-atoms correspond to all other points of h and h' . The corresponding Fomenko graphs are on Figure 16. There are 3 Liouville tori projecting to any point above curves h and h' , 2 tori above points between them, and one torus above points under the curves.

Now, notice that the singular level sets corresponding to the atoms **C**₁ and **C**₂ are isomorphic, since they both have two oriented singular periodic trajectories and four heteroclinic separatrices. Similarly, **D**₁ and **D**₂ are isomorphic, with two homoclinic separatrices – one joined to each trajectory γ and γ' , and two heteroclinic ones.

Suppose now that **C**₂ is the atom joined to the isoenergy manifold containing γ and γ' . We have again that, because of orientability of γ and γ' , **B**-atoms correspond to all points of $\Sigma(V) \setminus \{\mu(\gamma)\}$. Since there are two edges of the

Fomenko graph joining the atom \mathbf{C}_2 from each of its sides, it follows that two Liouville tori lie above any point placed above or under both curves h and h' . Now, we will look for the Fomenko graphs corresponding to the submanifolds $K = \text{const}$. The atom corresponding to $K = K(\gamma)$ must be one with the singular level set isomorphic to \mathbf{C}_2 , thus it is \mathbf{C}_1 or \mathbf{C}_2 . If it is \mathbf{C}_2 , we would have again two Liouville tori above any point between the curves h, h' . But, it is easy to see that this is not possible (recall that the curves h, h' correspond to \mathbf{B} -atoms). So, the Fomenko atom for the submanifold $K = K(\gamma)$ is \mathbf{C}_1 as described above, and there is exactly one Liouville torus above any point between the curves. It follows that the Fomenko graphs will look as shown on Figure 16.

Now, suppose we have the atom \mathbf{D}_2 corresponding to the isoenergy submanifold of the singular level set. Similarly as in the previous case, we conclude that the atom \mathbf{D}_1 is joined to the submanifold $K = K(\gamma)$. The Fomenko graphs describing the extended neighborhood V are then uniquely constructed and can be seen in Figure 16.

The statements for the subcases 3.2 and 3.3 are obtained directly from the structure of the weight 2 Fomenko atoms and the preservation of the orientability of γ and γ' along h and h' .

Finally, if $K = Hx - y^2 + x^3$, the equation of the bifurcation set is, locally,

$$K^2 = -\frac{4}{27}H^3,$$

i.e. it is composed of two smooth rays forming a cusp at the origin. $\Sigma(V)$ and the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 19. This case corresponds to the case 4 of the proposition.

Now, consider the case at which $dH = 0$. Then the role of H and K may be interchanged in the normal forms (2), yet the circle at the origin corresponds now to the circle of fixed points. ■

Corollary 3 *Suppose that γ is a one-dimensional orbit of the action (H, K) . Then, $\mu(\gamma) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$ if and only if one of the following cases occur:*

- γ is a circle of fixed points with respect to the Hamiltonian H ,
- the garland of γ contains another one-dimensional orbit,
- γ is a normally parabolic circle.

Proof. In the three enumerated cases, $\mu(\gamma)$ is a fold of a smooth curve, the intersection of two curves or the joint endpoint of two smooth rays, respectively. Thus, $\mu(\gamma) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$.

If none of the three cases occurs, then $\mu(\gamma)$ is just a smooth point on a curve in the bifurcation set and $\mu(\gamma) \notin \Sigma_c^{ess}$. ■

Corollary 4 *Let γ be a one-dimensional orbit of the action (H, K) , such that $\mu(\gamma) \in \Sigma_c^{ess}$. Then, for sufficiently small $|h - H(\gamma)|$, the isoenergy manifolds for $h < H(\gamma)$ and $h > H(\gamma)$ are not isomorphic.*

Proof. If the garland of γ contains no other one-dimensional orbits, then, according to cases 1 and 2 of Proposition 2, $\Sigma(V)$ is a smooth curve, and for any p on γ , $\Sigma_c(p) = \{\mu(p)\}$ if and only if $\mu(p)$ is a fold of the curve. When $\mu(p)$ is a fold, the isoenergy submanifolds $H^{-1}(h)$ for h on one side of $H(p)$ will not contain any singular one-dimensional trajectories, while, for h on the other side, they will contain exactly 2 such trajectories. So, the topological of isoenergy manifolds changes at $H(p)$.

Let us now consider the case when the garland of γ contains another one-dimensional orbit γ' . Several subcases which can occur are listed in case 3 of Proposition 2 and we see that in all cases either the graphs are not isomorphic across $H(p)$ or the lower edges of the graphs change from connecting to γ to connecting to γ' (or vice versa), hence their marks cannot remain constant across $H(p)$.

The parabolic case clearly has different Fomenko graphs across $H(p)$. ■

We will conclude this section with the description of the topological structure of the isoenergy manifolds near the circle of fixed points.

Corollary 5 *Suppose that γ is a circle of fixed points with respect to the Hamiltonian H . Then, generically, for a sufficiently small extended neighborhood V of γ , the bifurcation set $\Sigma(V)$ consists of only one smooth curve c with the fold at $\mu(\gamma)$. c divides a small convex neighborhood N of $\mu(\gamma)$ into two parts – the convex and the concave one. The topology of the isoenergy surfaces close to γ has the following properties:*

- 1 *If γ is normally elliptic, and $\mu(V)$ has no intersection with the concave part of N , the energy surfaces near γ are locally diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. The bifurcation set and the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 20.*




Figure 20: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 1

- 2 *If γ is normally elliptic, and $\mu(V)$ has no intersection with the convex part of N , the energy surfaces near γ are locally diffeomorphic to $(0, 1) \times \mathbf{T}^2$ for $H < H(\gamma)$ and to a disjoint union of two solid tori for $H > H(\gamma)$, or vice versa. The bifurcations set and the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 21.*




Figure 21: Bifurcation set and Fomenko graphs for the case 2

- 3 If γ is normally hyperbolic and orientable, and there are two Liouville tori in V over each point in the convex part of N , then the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 22.
- 4 If γ is normally hyperbolic and orientable, and there is one Liouville tori in V over each point in the convex part of N , then the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 23.




Figure 22: Fomenko graphs for the case 3




Figure 23: Fomenko graphs for the case 4

- 5 If γ is non-orientable normally hyperbolic circle, the corresponding Fomenko graphs are shown on Figure 24.

4 Conclusions

The main results of this paper are Theorems 1 and 2 and Propositions 1 and 2 which altogether give a complete classification of the energy surfaces of all generic two degrees of freedom Hamiltonian systems by using singularity theory for curves in \mathbf{R}^2 . We expect that the classification for special systems, such as Hamiltonians arising in mechanics will be much simpler, see [6] where an interesting connection to Euler characteristics is established in this case.




Figure 24: Fomenko graphs for the case 5

Beyond the classification result, the present paper together with [23, 24, 25, 32] supply a tool for studying near-integrable systems; In [32] we proposed that the bifurcations of the level sets in such systems may be studied by a three level hierarchal structure.

The first level corresponds to finding singular level sets on any given energy surface - namely of finding the bifurcation set and the structure of the corresponding isoenergy manifolds as described by the Fomenko graphs. The second level consists of finding the singularities of the bifurcation set of the system, and finding which ones are essential - namely identifying the essential critical set - the set of all values of the energy where the topology of the isoenergy manifolds change. The third level consists of investigating singularities in the critical set as parameters are varied.

Combining Fomenko works and Lerman and Umanskii works supply a complete classification of the first level for generic systems. Here we supply a complete classification of the second level in this hierarchy. A classification of the third level is under study. Having this classification of the second level means that given the structure of an energy surface at some fixed energy, we can now develop the global structure of all isoenergy surfaces by continuation, using our classification of the energy surface structure near the critical set. Namely, a topological continuation scheme may be implemented (it will be interesting to relate it to [13] where a continuation scheme for finding the action angle coordinates is found).

Appendix: Topological Classification of Isoenergy Manifolds

In this section, we describe the representation of isoenergy manifolds and some of their topological invariants by Fomenko graphs.

Consider a 2-degree-of-freedom integrable Hamiltonian system defined on the 4-dimensional smooth symplectic manifold \mathcal{M} , with the smooth Hamiltonian H . Assume there exists a smooth function K which is almost everywhere

independent from H and such that H, K are in involution. Assume that the smooth integral K is a *Bott integral* on the energy surface $\mathcal{Q} = H^{-1}(h)$ and that the Hamiltonian H is *non-resonant*. In Fomenko works, in order to obtain a finite set of topological invariants that completely describe an isoenergy manifold, it is further assumed that all the isoenergy manifolds of the given Hamiltonian system are compact. Let us note that for our exposition this assumption is not essential, since all our considerations are local.

Two isoenergy manifolds \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}' are *topologically equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism between them preserving their Liouville foliations. The assumption of non-resonance of the Hamiltonian is made so that the Liouville foliations do not depend on the integral K .

The set of topological invariants that describe completely isoenergy manifolds, up to their topological equivalence, consists of:

- *the oriented graph G* , whose vertices correspond to the singular connected components of the level sets of K , and edges to one-parameter families of Liouville tori;
- *the collection of Fomenko atoms*, such that each atom marks exactly one vertex of the graph G ;
- *the collection of pairs of numbers (r_i, ε_i)* , with $r_i \in ([0, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}) \cup \{\infty\}$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Here, n is the number of edges of the graph G and each pair (r_i, ε_i) marks an edge of the graph;
- *the collection of integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s* . The numbers n_k correspond to certain connected components of the subgraph G^0 of G . G^0 consists of all vertices of G and the edges marked with $r_i = \infty$. The connected components marked with integers n_k are those that do not contain a vertex corresponding to an isolated critical circle (an A atom) on the manifold \mathcal{Q} .

Let us clarify the meaning of some of these invariants.

First, we are going to describe the construction of the graph G from the manifold \mathcal{Q} . Each connected component, which is not a Liouville torus, of a singular level set, corresponds to exactly one vertex of the graph. If we cut \mathcal{Q} along such components of the singular level sets, the manifold will fall apart into connected sets, each one consisting of one-parameter family of Liouville tori. Each of these families is represented by an edge of the graph G . The vertex of G which corresponds to a connected component \mathcal{C} of a singular level set is incident to the edge corresponding to the family \mathcal{T} of tori if and only if $\partial\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{C}$ is nonempty.

Note that $\partial\mathcal{T}$ has two connected components, each corresponding to a singular level set. If the two singular level sets coincide, then the edge creates a loop connecting one vertex to itself.

Each edge of the graph is oriented in an arbitrary way, but, once determined, the orientation must stay fixed because the values of other Fomenko invariants depend on it.

The Fomenko atom which corresponds to a connected component \mathcal{C} of a singular level set is determined by the topological type of the set \mathcal{C}_ε . The set $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \supset \mathcal{C}$ is the connected component of $\{p \in \mathcal{Q} \mid c - \varepsilon < K(p) < c + \varepsilon\}$, where c is the value of the integral K on \mathcal{C} , and $\varepsilon > 0$ is such that c is the only critical value of the function K on \mathcal{Q} in interval $(c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \mid K(p) > c\}$, $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^- = \{p \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \mid K(p) < c\}$. Each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$, $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ is a union of several connected components, each component being a one-parameter family of Liouville tori. Each of these families corresponds to the beginning of an edge of the graph G incident to the vertex corresponding to \mathcal{C} .

Let us say a few words on the topological structure of the set \mathcal{C} . This set consists of at least one fixed point or closed one-dimensional orbit of the Poisson action (H, K) on \mathcal{M} and several (possibly none) two-dimensional orbits of the action. The set of all zero-dimensional and one-dimensional orbits in \mathcal{C} is called the *garland* (see [22]) while two-dimensional orbits are called *separatrices*.

The trajectories on each of these two-dimensional separatrices is homoclinically or heteroclinically tending to the lower-dimensional orbits. The Liouville tori of each of the families in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \setminus \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+ \cup \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ tend, as the integral K approaches c , to a subset of the separatrix set.

Fomenko Atoms of Weight 1 and 2

Fomenko and his school gave the complete description of the sets \mathcal{C} that do not contain a fixed point of the Poisson action.

If \mathcal{C} is not an isolated critical circle, then a sufficiently small neighborhood of each 1-dimensional orbit in \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to either two cylinders intersecting along the base circle, and then the orbit is *orientable*, or to two Moebius bands intersecting each other along the joint base circle, then the 1-dimensional orbit is *non-orientable*.

The number of the one-dimensional orbits in \mathcal{C} is called *the weight* of the corresponding atom.

There are exactly three Fomenko atoms of weight 1 and they are the generic one dimensional orbits appearing on generic energy surfaces of integrable two-degrees of freedom systems.

The atom A.

This atom corresponds to an isolated (here isolated means isolated on \mathcal{Q}) normally elliptic singular circle \mathcal{C} . A small neighborhood of such a circle in \mathcal{Q} is diffeomorphic to a solid torus. One of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$, $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ is empty, the other one

is connected. Thus only one edge of the graph G is incident with the vertex marked with the letter atom **A**.

The atom B.

In this case, \mathcal{C} consists of one orientable normally hyperbolic circle and two 2-dimensional separatrices – it is diffeomorphic to a direct product of the circle \mathbf{S}^1 and the plane curve given by the equation $y^2 = x^2 - x^4$. Because of its shape, we will refer to this curve as the ‘figure 8’. The set $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \setminus \mathcal{C}$ has 3 connected components, two of them being placed in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$ and one in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$, or vice versa. Let us fix that two of them are in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$. Each of these two families of Liouville tori limits as K approaches c to only one of the separatrices. The tori in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ tend to the union of the separatrices.

The atom A*.

\mathcal{C} consists of one non-orientable hyperbolic circle and one 2-dimensional separatrix. It is homeomorphic to the smooth bundle over \mathbf{S}^1 with the ‘figure 8’ as fiber and the structural group consisting of the identity mapping and the central symmetry of the ‘figure 8’. Both $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$ and $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ are 1-parameter families of Liouville tori, one limiting to the separatrix from outside the figure 8 and the other from the interior part of the figure 8.

The atoms of weight 2 appear generically in integrable two degrees of freedom on isoenergy manifolds close to fixed points of the system, and, for isolated values of the energy H , at level sets corresponding to global bifurcations of the system. Fomenko showed that there are exactly six different atoms of weight 2, and these are described next. It is instructive to use the Fomenko graphs depicted in the figures of propositions 1 and 2 to understand the structure of the level sets near these weight 2 atoms.

The atom C₁.

\mathcal{C} consists of two orientable circles γ_1, γ_2 and four heteroclinic 2-dimensional separatrices $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3, \mathcal{S}_4$. Trajectories on $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_3$ are approaching γ_1 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_2 as time tend to $-\infty$, while those placed on $\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_4$ approach γ_2 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_1 as time tend to $-\infty$. Each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ is connected and contains only one family of Liouville tori. Both families of tori deform to the whole separatrix set, as the value of K approaches c .

The atom C₂.

The level set \mathcal{C} is the same as in the atom **C₁**. Each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ contains two families of Liouville tori. As K approaches to c , the tori from one family in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ deform to $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$, and from the other one to $\mathcal{S}_3 \cup \mathcal{S}_4$. The tori from one family in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$ is deformed to $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_4$, and from the other to $\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3$.

The atom \mathbf{D}_1 .

\mathcal{C} consists of two orientable circles γ_1, γ_2 and four 2-dimensional separatrices $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3, \mathcal{S}_4$. Trajectories of $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ homoclinically tend to γ_1, γ_2 respectively. Trajectories on \mathcal{S}_3 are approaching γ_1 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_2 as time tend to $-\infty$, while those placed on \mathcal{S}_4 , approach γ_2 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_1 as time tend to $-\infty$. One of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ contains three, and the other one family of Liouville tori. Lets say that $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$ contains three families. As K approaches to c , these families deform to $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_3 \cap \mathcal{S}_4$ respectively, while the family contained in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ deform to the whole separatrix set.

The atom \mathbf{D}_2 .

The level set \mathcal{C} is the same as in the atom \mathbf{D}_1 . Each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ contains two families of Liouville tori. As K approaches to c , the tori from one family in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ deform to \mathcal{S}_1 , and from the other one to $\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3 \cup \mathcal{S}_4$. The tori from one family in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$ is deformed to \mathcal{S}_2 , and from the other to $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_3 \cup \mathcal{S}_4$.

The atom \mathbf{B}^* .

\mathcal{C} consists of the orientable circle γ_1 , the non-orientable circle γ_2 and three separatrices $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3$. Trajectories on \mathcal{S}_1 homoclinically tend to γ_1 . Trajectories on \mathcal{S}_2 are approaching γ_1 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_2 as time tend to $-\infty$, while those placed on \mathcal{S}_3 , approach γ_2 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_1 as time tend to $-\infty$. One of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ contains two, and the other one family of Liouville tori. Lets say that $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+$ contains two families. Then tori from these two families deform to \mathcal{S}_1 and $\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3$ respectively, as K approaches to c . The tori from the $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ deform to the union of the whole separatrix set.

The atom \mathbf{A}^{} .**

\mathcal{C} consists of two non-orientable circles γ_1, γ_2 and two separatrices $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$. Trajectories on \mathcal{S}_1 are approaching γ_1 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_2 as time tend to $-\infty$, while those placed on \mathcal{S}_2 , approach γ_2 as time tend to ∞ , and γ_1 as time tend to $-\infty$. Each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^-$ consists of one family of Liouville tori. As K approaches to c , the tori from both families deform to the whole separatrix set.

This concludes the complete list of all atoms of weights 1 and 2, i.e. all the possible singular level sets which involve one or two circles and their separatrices. The appearance of higher weights is non-generic for integrable 2 degrees of freedom families (yet must be performed if additional parameters are introduced or higher dimensional systems are considered).

Numerical Fomenko Invariants

The meaning of the numerical invariant r_i is roughly explained next.

Each edge of the Fomenko graph corresponds to a one-parameter family of Liouville tori. Let us cut each of these families along one Liouville torus. The manifold \mathcal{Q} will disintegrate into pieces, each corresponding to the singular level set, i.e. to a part of the Fomenko graph containing only one vertex and the initial segments of the edges incident to this vertex. To reconstruct \mathcal{Q} from these pieces, we need to identify the corresponding boundary tori. This can be done in different ways, implicating different differential-topological structure of the obtained manifold, and the number r_i contains the information on the rule of the gluing on each edge.

Two important values of r_i are 0 and ∞ . Consider one edge of the graph and the corresponding smooth one-parameter family \mathcal{L} of Liouville tori. After cutting, the family falls apart into two families of tori diffeomorphic to the product $(0, 1] \times \mathbf{T}^2 \simeq (0, 1] \times \mathbf{S}^1 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. Such a family can be trivially embedded to the full torus $\mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ by the mapping $\phi \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{S}^1}$, with

$$\phi : (0, 1] \times \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^2, \quad \phi(r, \alpha) = (r \cos \alpha, r \sin \alpha),$$

where we take $\mathbf{S}^1 = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{D}^2 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1 \}$.

Now, via the gluing, the family \mathcal{L} will be embedded to a the three-dimensional manifold \mathcal{T} obtained from two solid tori by identifying their boundaries. The value $r_i = 0$ corresponds to the case when the central circles of the two solid tori are linked in \mathcal{T} with the linking coefficient 1. \mathcal{T} is then diffeomorphic to the 3-dimensional real projective space. The value $r_i = \infty$ corresponds to the case when the central circles are not linked in \mathcal{T} . In this case, $\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$.

For more detailed description and precise methods for determining the invariant r_i , see [8, 28].

The invariants ε_i , n_k are not important for our exposition; their detailed description with the examples can be found in [8].

Acknowledgements

The research is supported by the Minerva foundation and by the Israel Science Foundation (grant no 926/04). One of the authors (M.R.) is partially supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science and Technology, Project *Geometry and Topology of Manifolds and Integrable Dynamical Systems*.

References

- [1] J. M. Arms, J. E. Marsden, V. Moncrief, *Symmetry and bifurcations of momentum mappings*, Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1980/81), no. 4, 455-478.
- [2] V. I. Arnol'd, *Lectures on bifurcations and versal families*, Uspekshi Mat. Nauk **27** (1972), no. 5, 119-184.
- [3] V. I. Arnol'd, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

- [4] S. V. Bolotin, D. V. Treschev, *Remarks on the definition of hyperbolic tori of Hamiltonian systems*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **5** (2000), no. 4, 401-412.
- [5] A. V. Bolsinov, *Methods for calculations of the Fomenko-Zieschang invariant*, in: *Topological Classification of Integrable Hamiltonian Systems*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, vol. 6, AMS, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [6] A. Bolsinov, H. R. Dullin, A. Wittek, *Topology of energy surfaces and existence of transversal Poincaré sections*, J. Phys. A **29** (1996), no. 16, 4977-4985.
- [7] A. V. Bolsinov, A. T. Fomenko, *Exact topological classification of Hamiltonian flows on two-dimensional surfaces*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **94** (1999), no. 4, 1457-1476.
- [8] A. V. Bolsinov, A. T. Fomenko, *Integrable Hamiltonian Systems: Geometry, Topology, Classification*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Roton, Florida, 2004.
- [9] A. V. Bolsinov, S. V. Matveev, A. T. Fomenko, *Topological classification of integrable Hamiltonian systems with two degrees of freedom. List of systems with small complexity*, Russian Math. Surveys **45** (1990), vol. 2, 59-94.
- [10] H. W. Broer, H. Hanßmann, J. You, *Bifurcations of normally parabolic tori in Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinearity **16** (2005), no. 4, 1735-1770.
- [11] R. H. Cushman, L. M. Bates, *Global Aspects of Classical Integrable Systems*, Birkhauser Verlag AG, 1997.
- [12] H. R. Dullin, V. N. San, *Vanishing twist near focus-focus points*, Nonlinearity **17** (2004), 1777-1785.
- [13] H. R. Dullin, A. Wittek, *Efficient calculation of actions*, J. Phys. A **27** (1994), no. 22, 7461-7474.
- [14] L. H. Eliasson, *Perturbations of stable invariant tori for Hamiltonian systems*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. IV **15** (1988), no. 1, 115-147.
- [15] A. T. Fomenko, *Topological invariants of Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems*, Functional Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), 286-296.
- [16] A. T. Fomenko, *Symplectic topology of integrable dynamical systems. Rough topological classification of classical cases of integrability in the dynamics of a heavy rigid body*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **94** (1999), no. 4, 1512-1557.
- [17] S. M. Graff, *On the conservation of hyperbolic invariant tori for Hamiltonian systems*, J. Diff. Eq. **15** (1974), 1-69.
- [18] J. Guckenheimer, P. Holmes, *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields*, New York: Springer, 1983.

- [19] G. Haller, *Chaos near Resonance*, Springer-Verlag, NY, 1999.
- [20] R. de la Llave, C. E. Wayne, *Whiskered and low dimensional tori in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Phys. Electron. J. **10** (2004), Paper 5, 45 pp.
- [21] L. M. Lerman, *Isoenergetical structure of integrable Hamiltonian systems in an extended neighborhood of a simple singular point: three degrees of freedom*. Methods of qualitative theory of differential equations and related topics, Supplement, 219–242, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 200, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [22] L. M. Lerman, Ya. L. Umanskiy, *Four-Dimensional Integrable Hamiltonian Systems with Simple Singular Points (Topological Aspects)*, Translations of mathematical monographs, volume 176, AMS, 1998.
- [23] A. Litvak-Hinenzon, V. Rom-Kedar, *Parabolic resonances in 3 degree of freedom near-integrable Hamiltonian systems*, Phys. D **164** (2002), no. 3-4, 213-250.
- [24] A. Litvak-Hinenzon, V. Rom-Kedar, *Resonant tori and instabilities in Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinearity **15** (2002), no. 4, 1149-1177.
- [25] A. Litvak-Hinenzon, V. Rom-Kedar, *On energy surfaces and the resonance web*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **3** (2004), no. 4, 525-573.
- [26] J. R. Marsden, A. Weinstein, *Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry*, Reports Math. Phys. **5** (1974), no. 1, 121-130.
- [27] S. V. Matveev, *Integrable Hamiltonian systems with two degrees of freedom. The topological structure of saturated neighbourhoods of points of focus-focus and saddle-saddle type*, Sbornik: Mathematics **184** (1996), no. 4, 495-524.
- [28] S. V. Matveev, A. T. Fomenko, *Algorithmic and Computer Methods in Three-Dimensional Topology*, Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1991.
- [29] N. N. Nekhoroshev, *The action-angle variables and their generalizations*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **26** (1972), 181-198.
- [30] A. A. Oshemkov, *Fomenko invariants for the main integrable cases of the rigid body motion equations*, in: *Topological Classification of Integrable Hamiltonian Systems*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, vol. 6, AMS, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [31] J. Pöschel, *On elliptic lower dimensional tori in Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Z. **202** (1989), 559-608.
- [32] E. Shlizerman, V. Rom-Kedar, *Hierarchy of bifurcations in the truncated and forced nonlinear Schrödinger model*, Chaos **15** (2005), no. 1.

- [33] S. Smale, *Topology and mechanics. I*, Inventiones math. **10** (1970), 305-331.
- [34] S. Smale, *Topology and mechanics. II, the planar n -body problem*, Inventiones math. **11** (1970), 45-64.