

# Rayleigh and Prandtl number scaling in the bulk of Rayleigh-Bénard turbulence

Enrico Calzavarini<sup>1,2</sup>, Detlef Lohse<sup>3</sup>, Federico Toschi<sup>2,4</sup>, and Raffaele Tripiccone<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Ferrara, Via Paradiso 12, I-43100 Ferrara, Italy.*

<sup>2</sup>*INFN, Via Paradiso 12, I-43100 Ferrara, Italy.*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Applied Physics and J. M. Burgers Centre for Fluid Dynamics, University of Twente, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands. and*

<sup>4</sup>*IAC-CNR, Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo, Viale del Policlínico 137, I-00161 Roma, Italy.*

(Dated: July 26, 2021)

The  $Ra$  and  $Pr$  number scaling of the Nusselt number  $Nu$ , the Reynolds number  $Re$ , the temperature fluctuations, and the kinetic and thermal dissipation rates is studied for (numerical) homogeneous Rayleigh-Bénard turbulence, i.e., Rayleigh-Bénard turbulence with periodic boundary conditions in all directions and a volume forcing of the temperature field by a mean gradient. This system serves as model system for the bulk of Rayleigh-Bénard flow and therefore as model for the so called “ultimate regime of thermal convection”. With respect to the  $Ra$  dependence of  $Nu$  and  $Re$  we confirm our earlier results [1] which are consistent with the Kraichnan theory [2] and the Grossmann-Lohse (GL) theory [3, 4, 5, 6], which both predict  $Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}$  and  $Re \sim Ra^{1/2}$ . However the  $Pr$  dependence within these two theories is different. Here we show that the numerical data are consistent with the GL theory  $Nu \sim Pr^{1/2}$ ,  $Re \sim Pr^{-1/2}$ . For the thermal and kinetic dissipation rates we find  $\epsilon_\theta/(\kappa\Delta^2 L^{-2}) \sim (RePr)^{0.87}$  and  $\epsilon_u/(\nu^3 L^{-4}) \sim Re^{2.77}$ , also both consistent with the GL theory, whereas the temperature fluctuations do not depend on  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ . Finally, the dynamics of the heat transport is studied and put into the context of a recent theoretical finding by Doering *et al.* [7].

## I. INTRODUCTION

The scaling of large Rayleigh number ( $Ra$ ) Rayleigh-Bénard convection has attracted tremendous attention in the last two decades [3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53]. There is increasing agreement that in general there are no clean scaling laws for  $Nu(Ra, Pr)$  and  $Re(Ra, Pr)$ , apart from asymptotic cases. One of these asymptotic cases has been doped the “ultimate state of thermal convection” [2], where the heat flux becomes independent of the kinetic viscosity  $\nu$  and the thermal diffusivity  $\kappa$ . The physics of this regime is that the thermal and kinetic boundary layers have broken down or do not play a role any more for the heat flux and the flow is bulk dominated. The original scaling laws suggested for this regime are [2]

$$Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}(\log Ra)^{-3/2} Pr^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

$$Re \sim Ra^{1/2}(\log Ra)^{-1/2} Pr^{-1/2}, \quad (2)$$

for  $Pr < 0.15$ , while for  $0.15 < Pr \lesssim 1$ :

$$Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}(\log Ra)^{-3/2} Pr^{-1/4} \quad (3)$$

$$Re \sim Ra^{1/2}(\log Ra)^{-1/2} Pr^{-3/4}. \quad (4)$$

The GL theory also gives such an asymptotic regime which is bulk dominated and where the plumes do not play a role [6] (regimes  $IV_l$  and  $IV'_l$  of Refs. [3, 4, 5, 6]). It has the same  $Ra$  dependence as in eqs. (1)-(3), but different  $Pr$  dependence, namely

$$Nu \sim Ra^{1/2} Pr^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

$$Re \sim Ra^{1/2} Pr^{-1/2}. \quad (6)$$

As a model of the ultimate regime we had suggested [1] homogeneous RB turbulence, i.e., RB turbulence with periodic boundary conditions in all directions and a volume forcing of the temperature field by a mean gradient [54],

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}) \theta = \kappa \partial^2 \theta + \frac{\Delta}{L} u_z. \quad (7)$$

Here  $\theta = T + (\Delta/L)z$  is the deviation of the temperature from the linear temperature profile  $-(\Delta/L)z$ . The velocity field  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  obeys the standard Boussinesq equation,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \partial^2 \mathbf{u} + \beta g \hat{z} \theta. \quad (8)$$

Here,  $\beta$  is the thermal expansion coefficient,  $g$  gravity,  $p$  the pressure, and  $\theta(\mathbf{x}, t)$  and  $u_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$  are temperature and velocity field, respectively. Indeed, in Ref. [1] we showed that the numerical results from eqs. (7) and (8) are consistent with the suggested [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]  $Ra$  dependence of  $Nu$  and  $Re$ ,  $Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}$  and  $Re \sim Ra^{1/2}$ . However, the  $Pr$  dependences of  $Nu$  and  $Re$ , for which the predictions of Kraichnan [2] and GL [3, 4, 5, 6] are different, has not yet been tested for homogeneous turbulence: this is the first aim of this paper (Section III). Section II contains details of the numerics. In Section IV we study the bulk scaling laws for the thermal and kinetic dissipation rates and compare them with the GL theory. In that Section we study the temperature fluctuations  $\theta' = \langle \theta^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ . The dynamics of the flow, including  $Nu(t)$

and its PDF (probability density function), is studied in Section V and put into the context of a recent analytical finding by Doering and coworkers [7]. Section VI contains our conclusions.

## II. DETAILS OF THE NUMERICS

Our numerical simulation is based on a Lattice Boltzmann Equation (LBE) algorithm on a cubic  $240^3$  grid. The same scheme and resolution has already been used in [54, 55]. We run two sets of simulations in statistically stationary conditions. The first at fixed  $Pr = 1$  varying the  $Ra$  number between  $9.6 \cdot 10^4$  and  $1.4 \cdot 10^7$ . The second at fixed  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ . This, the highest value we can reach at the present resolution, was studied for five different  $Pr$  numbers,  $1/10$ ,  $1/3$ ,  $1$ ,  $3$  and  $4$ . We recorded shortly-spaced time series of  $Nu$  and root mean squared (rms) values of temperature and velocity and we stored a collection of the whole field configurations, with a coarse time-spacing. The length of each different run ranges between 64 and 166 eddy turn over times. Our simulation was performed on a APEmille machine in a 128 processor configuration [57], [58]. Each eddy turnover times requires on average 4 hours of computation. The total computational time required for the whole set simulations is roughly 150 days. The total number of stored configurations is around 2000.

## III. $Nu(Ra, Pr)$ AND $Re(Ra, Pr)$

The Nusselt number is defined as the dimensionless heat flux

$$\begin{aligned} Nu &= \frac{1}{\kappa \Delta L^{-1}} \left( \langle u_3 T \rangle_{A,t}(z) - \kappa \langle \partial_3 T \rangle_{A,t}(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{\langle u_3 \theta \rangle_{A,t}(z)}{\kappa \Delta L^{-1}} - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the average  $\langle \dots \rangle_{A,t}$  is over a horizontal plane and over time. From eqs. (7)-(9) one can derive two exact relations for the volume averaged thermal dissipation rate  $\epsilon_\theta = \kappa \langle (\partial_i \theta)^2 \rangle_V$  and the volume averaged kinetic dissipation rate  $\epsilon_u = \nu \langle (\partial_i u_j)^2 \rangle_V$ , namely

$$\epsilon_u = \frac{\nu^3}{L^4} Nu Ra Pr^{-2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\epsilon_\theta = \kappa \frac{\Delta^2}{L^2} Nu. \quad (11)$$

One can therefore numerically compute  $Nu$  in three different ways: (i) from its direct definition (9), (ii) from the volume averaged kinetic dissipation rate (10), (iii) from the volume averaged thermal dissipation rate (11).

The results are shown in Figure 1a as a function of  $Ra$  for  $Pr = 1$ . There is very good agreement of  $Nu$  obtained

from the three different methods for all  $Ra$ , giving us further confidence in the convergence of the numerics. If we fit all data points beyond  $Ra = 10^5$  with an effective power law, we obtain  $Ra \sim Ra^{0.50 \pm 0.05}$ , consistent with the asymptotically expected law  $Nu \sim Ra^{1/2}$  [59]

In Figure 1b we display  $Nu$  as function of  $Pr$  for fixed  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ . For the cases with  $Pr \neq 1$  the convergence of the three different methods to calculate  $Nu$  is not perfect. This may be due to numerical errors in the resolution of the small scale differences, especially when  $\nu$  and  $\kappa$  are considerably different. However, one can clearly notice a strong increase of  $Nu$  with  $Pr$ . A fit with an effective power law gives  $Nu \sim Pr^{0.43 \pm 0.07}$ , which is consistent with the asymptotic power law  $Nu \sim Pr^{1/2}$  suggested by the GL theory and by the small  $Pr$  regime (1) suggested by Kraichnan, but not with Kraichnan's large  $Pr$  regime (3). Increasing further  $Pr$  (at fixed  $Ra$ ) the flow will eventually laminarize, i.e., can no longer be considered as model system for the bulk of turbulence. This also follows from Figure 2b, in which we show the Reynolds number:

$$Re = \frac{u' L}{\nu} \quad (12)$$

as function of  $Pr$  for fixed  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ . Note that this is the *fluctuation* Reynolds number, defined by the rms velocity fluctuation  $u' = \langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ : in homogeneous RB no large scale wind exists.  $Re(Pr)$  displays an effective scaling law  $Re \sim Pr^{-0.55 \pm 0.01}$ , consistent with the GL prediction  $Pr^{-1/2}$  for the ultimate regime (if one identifies the wind Reynolds number in GL with the fluctuation Reynolds number here) and also with the Kraichnan prediction (1). Also the Ra scaling of Re is consistent with GL (and also with Kraichnan),  $Re \sim Ra^{1/2}$ , as seen from Figure 2a and as already shown in Ref. [1].

## IV. SCALING LAWS FOR $\epsilon_u$ , $\epsilon_\theta$ AND THE TEMPERATURE FLUCTUATIONS

### A. Kinetic and thermal dissipations

The homogeneous RB turbulence offers the opportunity to numerically test one of the basic assumptions of the GL theory, namely, that the energy dissipation rate in the bulk scales like

$$\epsilon_{u,bulk} \sim \frac{\nu^3}{L^4} Re^3. \quad (13)$$

In Figure 3(a) we plot  $\epsilon_u/(\nu^3 L^{-4})$  vs.  $Re$  for all  $Ra$  and  $Pr$  and find  $\epsilon_u/(\nu^3 L^{-4}) \sim Re^{2.77 \pm 0.03}$ , close to the expectation (13).

The disentanglement of the thermal dissipation rate  $\epsilon_\theta$  into two different scaling contributions is less straightforward. The GL theory decomposes:

$$\epsilon_\theta = c_3 (Re Pr)^{1/2} + c_4 (Re Pr), \quad (14)$$




FIG. 1: (a)  $Nu(Ra)$  for  $Pr = 1$ , computed in three different ways: (●) using Eqn. (9), (□) using Eqn. (10), and (○) from Eqn. (11). The power law fits, performed on the mean value of the three different estimates and for  $Ra > 10^5$ , gives a slope  $0.50 \pm 0.05$ . (b)  $Nu(Pr)$  for  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ , fit performed as before, with a resulting slope of  $0.43 \pm 0.07$ .

where the first term has been interpreted as boundary layer and plume contribution  $\epsilon_{\theta,pl}$  and the second one as background contribution  $\epsilon_{\theta,bg}$  [6]. The prefactors  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are given in Ref.[4]. Plumes are interpreted as detached boundary layer [6]. For homogeneous RB turbulence one would expect the background contributions to be dominant as there is no boundary layer. But still some plumes may also develop in the bulk and this is confirmed by the fact that we find a scaling law in between the asymptotes  $(RePr)^{1/2}$  and  $RePr$ , namely,  $\epsilon_{\theta} \sim (RePr)^{0.87 \pm 0.04}$ : closer to the background behavior just as one would guessed.




FIG. 2: (a)  $Re(Ra)$  for  $Pr = 1$ , with a fitted slope  $0.50 \pm 0.02$ . (b)  $Re(Pr)$  for  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ , with a fitted slope  $-0.55 \pm 0.01$ .

## B. Temperature fluctuations

In our numerics we find the temperature fluctuations  $\theta' = \langle \theta^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  to be independent from  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ , see Figure 4. That figures shows that we have  $\theta' \simeq \Delta$  for all  $Ra$  and  $Pr$  within our numerical precision. In contrast, Ref. [6] predicted a dependence of the thermal fluctuations on both  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ , namely  $\theta'/\Delta \sim (PrRa)^{-1/8}$  for the regimes  $IV_l$  and  $IV'_l$  which correspond to the bulk of turbulence analysed here. Our interpretation of Figure 4 is that the bulk turbulence only has one temperature scale, namely  $\Delta$ . For real RB turbulence it is the boundary layer dynamics which introduces further temperature scales, leading to the  $Ra$  and  $Pr$  number dependence of the temperature fluctuations observed in experiments [8, 19, 50, 51].




FIG. 3: (a) We show  $\epsilon_u/(\nu^3 L^{-4})$  vs.  $Re$ . The fit gives a slope of  $2.77 \pm 0.03$ , slope 3 is shown for comparison. (b)  $\epsilon_\theta/(\kappa \Delta^2 L^{-2})$  vs.  $Re Pr$ . We obtained a fitted slope  $0.87 \pm 0.04$  while slopes 1 and  $1/2$  are also shown for comparison.

## V. DYNAMICS OF THE FLOW

In this section we provide an insight into the dynamics of the periodic Rayleigh-Bénard flow. A bi-dimensional vertical snapshot of the flow is shown in Figure 5. Already from this pictorial view the presence of an upward moving hot column and a downward moving cold column is clearly evident.

Indeed these large scale structure can be related to the presence of “elevator modes” (or jets, forming in the flow) growing in time until finally breaking down due to some instability mechanisms.

As proposed by Doering and collaborators in [7] it is possible to predict the presence of these modes directly starting from equations (7) and (8). Doering *et al.* showed that, due to the periodic boundary conditions, this coupled system of equations admits a particular solution  $\theta = \theta_0 e^{\kappa \lambda t} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ ,  $u_3 = u_0 e^{\kappa \lambda t} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ ,




FIG. 4: (a) Normalized temperature variance  $\theta'/\Delta$  vs.  $Ra$  at fixed  $Pr = 1$ . (b)  $\theta'/\Delta$  vs.  $Pr$  at fixed  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ .

$u_2 = u_1 = 0$ , which is independent from the vertical coordinate  $z$  (here  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ ) and with:

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(Pr + 1)k^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(Pr + 1)^2 k^4 + 4Pr \left(\frac{Ra}{L^4} - k^4\right)} \quad (15)$$

From equation (15) one finds that the first unstable mode appears for  $Ra \geq Ra_c = (2\pi)^4 \sim 1558.54$ , corresponding to the instability of the smallest possible wavenumber in the system, i.e.  $k^2 = (2\pi/L)^2 n^2$  with  $n = (1, 0)$ .

The presence of accelerating modes with growth rate controlled by  $\lambda$  can also be seen from Figure 6 where we show  $Nu(t)$  on log-scale (notice the huge range over which  $Nu$  fluctuates).

In Figure 7 we show the PDF of  $Nu(t)$  which is strongly skewed towards large  $Nu$  values. This asymmetry reflects the periods of exponential growth (also visible in Figure 6). As can be seen in Figure 8, for all  $Ra$  and  $Pr$  the system typically spends 54% of the time in growing modes.

Also the relative fluctuations of  $Nu$  on the  $Ra$  and  $Pr$  numbers (see Figure 9) seems to indicate no dependencies, at least in the range of parameters studied.

Despite the presence of exact exploding solutions, our system clearly shows that in the turbulent regime these




FIG. 5: Snapshot of the flow, showing elevator modes and jets. Here  $\theta$  is shown in colors: red and yellow encode for positive values, with red greater in amplitude than yellow, green is for small values around zero, while blue stands for the negative values, the dark blue stands for the more negative values. Velocity in the same plane is shown with arrows.

solutions become unstable due to some yet to be explored instability mechanism. The interplay between exploding modes and destabilization sets the value of the Nusselt number, i.e. the heat transfer through the cell.

We stress that the study of the dynamics of the explosive solutions and of their successive collaps in a turbulent cell is crucial for the understanding the behaviour of “integral” quantities, like, for example, the heat transfer.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we confirmed that both the  $Ra$ - and the  $Pr$ -scaling of  $Nu$  and  $Re$  in homogeneous Rayleigh-Benard convection is consistent with the suggested scaling laws of the Grossmann-Lohse theory for the bulk-dominated regime (regime  $IV_l$  of [3, 4, 5]), which is the so-called “ultimate regime of thermal convection”. We also showed that the thermal and kinetic dissipations scale roughly as assumed in that theory. The temperature fluctuations do not show any  $Ra$  or  $Pr$  dependence for homogeneous Rayleigh-Benard convection. From the dynamics the heat transport and flow visualizations we identify “elevator modes” which are brought into the context of a recent analytical finding by Doering *et al.* In future work we plan to further elucidate the flow organization and in particular the instability mechanisms of the elevator modes which set the Nusselt number in homogeneous RB flow and therefore presumably also in the




FIG. 6: (a) Time series  $Nu(t)$  for  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$  (top) and  $Ra = 9.6 \cdot 10^4$  (bottom), in both case  $Pr = 1$ . (b) Logarithmic derivative of  $Nu(t)$  for  $Ra = 9.6 \cdot 10^4$ , here reproduced only for a small time section of the data in (a). The series of horizontal lines represent the exponential rate of growing respectively (top to bottom) for the mode  $\lambda(0, 1)$ ,  $\lambda(0, 2)$ , and  $\lambda(1, 2)$ .

ultimate regime of thermal convection.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Charlie Doering for stimulating discussions on section V. D.L. wishes to thank Siegfried Grossmann for extensive discussions and exchange over the years. This work is part of the research programme of the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM), which is financially supported by the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO). Support by the European Union under contract HPRN-CT-2000-00162 “Non Ideal Turbulence” is also acknowledged. This research was also supported by the INFN, through access to the APEmille computer resources. E.C. has




FIG. 7: (a) and (b): PDF of  $Nu(t)$  for different  $Ra$  and  $Pr$ . The superimposed curves correspond to a two parameter Gamma distribution fit,  $Nu^a \exp(-bNu)$  [56].

- 
- [1] D. Lohse and F. Toschi, *The ultimate state of thermal convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 034502 (2003).
- [2] R. H. Kraichnan, *Turbulent thermal convection at arbitrary Prandtl number*, Phys. Fluids **5**, 1374 (1962).
- [3] S. Grossmann and D. Lohse, *Scaling in thermal convection: A unifying view*, J. Fluid. Mech. **407**, 27 (2000).
- [4] S. Grossmann and D. Lohse, *Thermal convection for large Prandtl number*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3316 (2001).
- [5] S. Grossmann and D. Lohse, *Prandtl and Rayleigh number dependence of the Reynolds number in turbulent thermal convection*, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 016305 (2002).
- [6] S. Grossmann and D. Lohse, *Fluctuations in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection: The role of plumes*, Phys. Fluids **16**, December (2004).
- [7] C. R. Doering, J. D. Gibbon, and A. Tanabe, *Comment on ultimate state of thermal convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **x**, y (2005).
- [8] B. Castaing, G. Gunaratne, F. Heslot, L. Kadanoff, A. Libchaber, S. Thomae, X. Z. Wu, S. Zaleski, and G. Zanetti, *Scaling of hard thermal turbulence in Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, J. Fluid Mech. **204**, 1 (1989).
- [9] X. Z. Wu, L. Kadanoff, A. Libchaber, and M. Sano, *Frequency power spectrum of temperature-fluctuation in free-convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2140 (1990).
- [10] X. Z. Wu and A. Libchaber, *Scaling relations in thermal turbulence: The aspect ratio dependence*, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 842 (1992).
- [11] G. Zocchi, E. Moses, and A. Libchaber, *Coherent structures in turbulent convection: an experimental study*, Physica A **166**, 387 (1990).
- [12] A. Belmonte, A. Tilgner, and A. Libchaber, *Boundary layer length scales in thermal turbulence*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4067 (1993).
- [13] L. P. Kadanoff, *Turbulent heat flow: Structures and scaling*, Phys. Today **54**, 34 (2001).
- [14] X. Chavanne, F. Chilla, B. Castaing, B. Hebral, B.




FIG. 8: Normalized rising time  $\tau_r/\tau$  as a function of (a)  $Ra$  for  $Pr = 1$  and (b)  $Pr$  for  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ . The time  $\tau_r$  is the total time with positive slope of  $Nu(t)$ , whereas the time  $\tau$  is the total time of the run. The slope of the two fits in the shown graphs is compatible with zero, the overall mean value for  $\tau_r/\tau$  is 0.54.




FIG. 9: Relative fluctuations  $\sigma(Nu)/\langle Nu \rangle$ , where  $\sigma(Nu) \equiv \langle (Nu(t) - \langle Nu \rangle)^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ , as function of (a)  $Ra$  for  $Pr = 1$  and (b)  $Pr$  for  $Ra = 1.4 \cdot 10^7$ .

- Chabaud, and J. Chaussy, *Observation of the ultimate regime in Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3648 (1997).
- [15] X. Chavanne, F. Chilla, B. Chabaud, B. Castaing, and B. Hebral, *Turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection in gaseous and liquid He*, Phys. Fluids **13**, 1300 (2001).
- [16] P. E. Roche, B. Castaing, B. Chabaud, and B. Hebral, *Observation of the 1/2 power law in Rayleigh-Bénard convection -*, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 045303 (2001).
- [17] P. E. Roche, B. Castaing, B. Chabaud, and B. Hebral, *Prandtl and Rayleigh numbers dependences in Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Europhys. Lett. **58**, 693 (2002).
- [18] E. D. Siggia, *High Rayleigh number convection*, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **26**, 137 (1994).
- [19] J. Niemela, L. Skrebek, K. R. Sreenivasan, and R. Donnelly, *Turbulent convection at very high Rayleigh numbers*, Nature **404**, 837 (2000).
- [20] J. Niemela, L. Skrebek, K. R. Sreenivasan, and R. J. Donnelly, *The wind in confined thermal turbulence*, J. Fluid Mech. **449**, 169 (2001).
- [21] J. Niemela and K. R. Sreenivasan, *Confined turbulent convection*, J. Fluid Mech. **481**, 355 (2003).
- [22] S. Grossmann and D. Lohse, *On geometry effects in Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, J. Fluid. Mech. **486**, 105 (2003).
- [23] X. Xu, K. M. S. Bajaj, and G. Ahlers, *Heat transport in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4357 (2000).
- [24] G. Ahlers and X. Xu, *Prandtl-number dependence of heat transport in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3320 (2001).
- [25] A. Nikolaenko and G. Ahlers, *Nusselt number measurements for turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 084501 (2003).
- [26] R. Verzicco and R. Camussi, *Prandtl number effects in convective turbulence*, J. Fluid Mech. **383**, 55 (1999).
- [27] R. Verzicco, *Turbulent thermal convection in a closed domain: viscous boundary layer and mean flow effects*, Eur. Phys. J. B **35**, 133 (2003).
- [28] R. Verzicco and R. Camussi, *Numerical experiments on strongly turbulent thermal convection in a slender cylindrical cell*, J. Fluid Mech. **477**, 19 (2003).
- [29] R. Camussi and R. Verzicco, *Convective turbulence in mercury: Scaling laws and spectra*, Phys. Fluids **10**, 516 (1999).
- [30] X. D. Shang, X. L. Qiu, P. Tong, and K. Q. Xia, *Measured local heat transport in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 074501 (2003).
- [31] Y. B. Du and P. Tong, *Enhanced heat transport in turbulent convection over a rough surface*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 987 (1998).
- [32] Y. Shen, P. Tong, and K. Q. Xia, *Turbulent convection over rough surfaces*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 908 (1996).
- [33] Y. B. Du and P. Tong, *Turbulent thermal convection in a cell with ordered rough boundaries*, J. Fluid Mech. **407**, 57 (2000).
- [34] X. L. Qiu and P. Tong, *Onset of coherent oscillations in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett.

- 87**, 094501 (2001).
- [35] S. Cioni, S. Ciliberto, and J. Sommeria, *Strongly turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection in mercury: comparison with results at moderate Prandtl number*, J. Fluid Mech. **335**, 111 (1997).
- [36] S. Ciliberto and C. Laroche, *Random roughness of boundary increases the turbulent convection scaling exponent*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3998 (1999).
- [37] K.-Q. Xia and S.-L. Lui, *Turbulent thermal convection with an obstructed sidewall*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5006 (1997).
- [38] K.-Q. Xia, S. Lam, and S. Q. Zhou, *Heat-flux measurement in high-Prandtl-number turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 064501 (2002).
- [39] S. Lam, X. D. Shang, S. Q. Zhou, and K. Q. Xia, *Prandtl-number dependence of the viscous boundary layer and the Reynolds-number in Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 066306 (2002).
- [40] H. D. Xi, S. Lam, and K. Q. Xia, *From laminar plumes to organized flows: the onset of large-scale circulation in turbulent thermal convection*, J. Fluid Mech. **503**, 47 (2004).
- [41] E. S. C. Ching, *Heat flux and shear rate in turbulent convection*, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 1189 (1997).
- [42] E. S. C. Ching and K. F. Lo, *Heat transport by fluid flows with prescribed velocity fields*, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 046302 (2001).
- [43] E. S. C. Ching and K. M. Pang, *Dependence of heat transport on the strength and shear rate of circulating flows*, Eur. Phys. J. B **27**, 559 (2002).
- [44] T. Takeshita, T. Segawa, J. A. Glazier, and M. Sano, *Thermal turbulence in mercury*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1465 (1996).
- [45] A. Naert, T. Segawa, and M. Sano, *High-Reynolds-number thermal turbulence in mercury*, Phys. Rev. E **56**, R1302 (1997).
- [46] T. Segawa, A. Naert, and M. Sano, *Matched boundary layers in turbulent Rayleigh-Bnard convection of mercury*, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 557 (1998).
- [47] J. Sommeria, *The elusive ultimate state of thermal convection*, Nature **398**, 294 (1999).
- [48] J. A. Glazier, T. Segawa, A. Naert, and M. Sano, *Evidence against ultrahard thermal turbulence at very high Rayleigh numbers*, Nature **398**, 307 (1999).
- [49] C. Doering and P. Constantin, *Variational bounds on energy dissipation in incompressible flows: III. Convection*, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 5957 (1996).
- [50] Z. A. Daya and R. E. Ecke, *Does turbulent convection feel the shape of the container?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 184501 (2001).
- [51] Z. A. Daya and R. E. Ecke, *Prandtl number dependence of interior temperature and velocity fluctuations in turbulent convection*, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 045301 (2002).
- [52] S. Kenjeres and K. Hanjalic, *umerical insight into flow structure in ultraturbulent thermal convection*, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 036307 (2002).
- [53] M. Breuer, S. Wessling, J. Schmalzl, and U. Hansen, *Effect of inertia in Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 026302 (2004).
- [54] L. Biferale, E. Calzavarini, F. Toschi, and R. Tripiccion, *Universality of anisotropic fluctuations from numerical simulations of turbulent flows*, Europhys. Lett. **64**, 461 (2003).
- [55] E. Calzavarini, F. Toschi, and R. Tripiccion, *Evidences of Bolgiano-Obhukhov scaling in three-dimensional Rayleigh-Bénard convection*, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 016304 (2002).
- [56] S. Aumaitre and S. Fauve, *Statistical properties of fluctuations of the heat transfer in turbulent convection*, Europhys. Lett. **62**, 822 (2003).
- [57] R. Tripiccion, *APEmille*, Parall. Comp. **25 (10-11)**, 1297 (1999).
- [58] A. Bartoloni *et al.*, *Status of APEmille*, Nucl. Phys. B **106**, 1043 (2002).
- [59] In our previous paper [1] the overall magnitude of  $Nu$  was affected by a normalization error, hence all points of Fig. (1) of that paper should be multiplied by a factor 240 (corresponding to the grid size of our simulation). This of course does not affect the scaling exponent given there.