

Weakly-nonlocal Symplectic Structures, Whitham method, and weakly-nonlocal Symplectic Structures of Hydrodynamic Type.

A.Ya. Maltsev

L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics,
119334 ul. Kosygina 2, Moscow, maltsev@itp.ac.ru

Abstract

We consider the special type of the field-theoretical Symplectic structures called weakly nonlocal. The structures of this type are in particular very common for the integrable systems like KdV or NLS. We introduce here the special class of the weakly nonlocal Symplectic structures which we call the weakly nonlocal Symplectic structures of Hydrodynamic Type. We investigate then the connection of such structures with the Whitham averaging method and propose the procedure of "averaging" of the weakly nonlocal Symplectic structures. The averaging procedure gives the weakly nonlocal Symplectic Structure of Hydrodynamic Type for the corresponding Whitham system. The procedure gives also the "action variables" corresponding to the wave numbers of m -phase solutions of initial system which give the additional conservation laws for the Whitham system.

1 Introduction.

We are going to consider weakly-nonlocal Symplectic Structures having the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{ij}(x, y) = & \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y) + \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s q_i^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \nu(x - y) q_j^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_y, \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

We put here $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $e_s = \pm 1$, $\nu(x - y) = 1/2 \operatorname{sign}(x - y)$ and $\omega_{ij}^{(k)}$ and $q_i^{(s)}$ are some local functions of φ and it's derivatives at the same point. We assume that both sums contain finite number of terms and all $\omega_{ij}^{(k)}$ and $q_i^{(s)}$ depend on finite number of derivatives of φ .

The form (1.1) can be written also in more general form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{ij}(x, y) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y) + \\ &+ \sum_{s, p=1}^g \kappa_{sp} q_i^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \nu(x - y) q_j^{(p)}(\varphi, \varphi_y, \dots)\end{aligned}$$

where κ_{sp} is some constant symmetric bilinear form. The form (1.1) gives then the "diagonal" representation of the nonlocal part in the appropriate basis $\mathbf{q}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{q}^{(g)}$.

The form (1.1) will play the role of the "symplectic" 2-form on the space of functions

$$\varphi(x) = (\varphi^1(x), \dots, \varphi^n(x)) \quad , \quad -\infty < x < +\infty$$

with the appropriate behavior at infinity. We will put for simplicity $\varphi^i(x) \rightarrow 0$ or, more generally, $\varphi^i(x) \rightarrow \text{const}$ for $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in this paper. Let us call the corresponding space the loop space \mathcal{L}_0 . We require that the expression (1.1) gives the skew-symmetric closed 2-form on the space \mathcal{L}_0 (let us not put here the requirement of non-degeneracy).

The weakly nonlocal Symplectic Structures (1.1) were introduced in [9] where also the fact that the "negative" Symplectic Structures for KdV and NLS have this form was proved.

Let us say here also some words about the weakly nonlocal structures in the theory of integrable systems. Namely, we mention the weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and Symplectic Structures which seem to be closely connected with local PDE's integrable in the sense of the inverse scattering method. We will call here (like in [9]) the Hamiltonian Structure on \mathcal{L}_0 weakly nonlocal if it has the form similar to (1.1), i.e. the Poisson brackets of fields $\varphi^i(x)$ and $\varphi^j(y)$ can be formally written as

$$\begin{aligned}\{\varphi^i(x), \varphi^j(y)\} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} B_{(k)}^{ij}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y) + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s S_{(s)}^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \nu(x - y) S_{(s)}^j(\varphi, \varphi_y, \dots)\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

$e_s = \pm 1$.

We can introduce also the Hamiltonian Operator \hat{J}^{ij} :

$$\hat{J}^{ij} = \sum_{k \geq 0} B_{(k)}^{ij}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s S_{(s)}^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) D^{-1} S_{(s)}^j(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)\tag{1.3}$$

where D^{-1} is the integration operator defined in the skew-symmetric way:

$$D^{-1} \xi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \xi(y) dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{+\infty} \xi(y) dy$$

For the functional $H[\varphi]$ the corresponding dynamical system can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t^i &= \hat{j}^{ij} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi^j(x)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} B_{(k)}^{ij}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi^j(x)} + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s S_{(s)}^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) D^{-1} \left[S_{(s)}^j(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi^j(x)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

The operator (1.3) should also be skew-symmetric and satisfy to Jacobi identity:

$$\frac{\delta J^{ij}(x, y)}{\delta \varphi^k(z)} + \frac{\delta J^{jk}(y, z)}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} + \frac{\delta J^{ki}(z, x)}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} \equiv 0$$

(in sense of distributions).

It's not difficult to see that the functional

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots), dx$$

generates a local dynamical system

$$\varphi_t^i = S^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$$

according to (1.4) if it gives a conservation law for all the dynamical systems

$$\varphi_{t_s}^i = S_{(s)}^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \quad (1.5)$$

i.e.

$$h_{t_s} \equiv \partial_x Q_s(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$$

for some functions $Q_s(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$.

As far as we know the first example of the Poisson bracket in this form (actually with zero local part) was the Sokolov bracket ([5])

$$\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\} = \varphi_x \nu(x-y) \varphi_y$$

for the Krichever-Novikov equation ([6]):

$$\varphi_t = \varphi_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\varphi_{xx}^2}{\varphi_x} + \frac{h(\varphi)}{\varphi_x} = \varphi_x D^{-1} \varphi_x \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi(x)}$$

where $h(\varphi) = c_3 \varphi^3 + c_2 \varphi^2 + c_1 \varphi + c_0$ and

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi_{xx}^2}{\varphi_x^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{h(\varphi)}{\varphi_x^2} \right) dx$$

This equation appeared originally in work [6] describing the "rank 2" solutions of the KP system. In pure algebra it describes the deformations of the commuting genus 1 pairs OD operators of the rank 2 whose classification was obtained in this work. As it was found

later, the Krichever-Novikov equation is a unique third order in x completely integrable evolution equation which cannot be reduced to KdV by Miura type transformations.

The Symplectic Structure corresponding to Sokolov bracket is purely local:

$$\Omega(x, y) = \frac{1}{\varphi_x} \delta'(x - y) \frac{1}{\varphi_y}$$

Let us mention that the local symplectic structures was considered by I.Dorfman and O.I.Mokhov (see Review [7]).

The hierarchy of the Poisson Structures having the general form (1.2) was first written in [8] for KdV

$$\varphi_t = 6\varphi\varphi_x - \varphi_{xxx}$$

using the local bi-hamiltonian formalism (Gardner - Zakharov - Faddeev and Magri brackets) and the corresponding Recursion operator in Lenard - Magri scheme. Let us present here the pair of corresponding local Hamiltonian Structures

$$\hat{J}_0 = \partial/\partial x$$

(Gardner - Zakharov - Faddeev bracket) and

$$\hat{J}_1 = -\partial^3/\partial x^3 + 2(\varphi \partial/\partial x + \partial/\partial x \varphi)$$

(Magri bracket) and the first weakly non-local Hamiltonian operator:

$$\hat{J}_2 = \partial^5/\partial x^5 - 8\varphi\partial^3/\partial x^3 - 12\varphi_x\partial^2/\partial x^2 - 8\varphi_{xx}\partial/\partial x + 16\varphi^2\partial/\partial x - 2\varphi_{xxx} + 16\varphi\varphi_x - 4\varphi_x D^{-1}\varphi_x$$

The operator \hat{J}_2 is obtained by the action of the Recursion operator

$$\hat{R} = -\partial^2/\partial x^2 + 4\varphi + 2\varphi_x D^{-1}$$

(such that $\hat{R}\hat{J}_0 = \hat{J}_1$) to the operator \hat{J}_1 . The higher ("positive") Hamiltonian operators \hat{J}_n can be obtained in the same recursion scheme by the formula $\hat{J}_n = \hat{R}^n \hat{J}_0$. It was proved in [8] that all operators \hat{J}_n for $n > 1$ can be written in the form:

$$\hat{J}_n = (\text{local part}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_{(k)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) D^{-1} S_{(n-k-1)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$$

where $S_{(1)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) = 2\varphi_x$ and

$$S_{(k)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \equiv \hat{R}S_{(k-1)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$$

are higher KdV flows.

The similar weakly non-local expressions for positive powers of the Recursion operator for KdV were also considered in [8]. Let us present here the corresponding result:

$$\hat{R}^n = (\text{local part}) + \sum_{k=1}^n S_{(k)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) D^{-1} \frac{\delta H_{(n-k)}}{\delta \varphi(x)}, \quad n \geq 0$$

where $S_{(k)} = \partial_x \delta H_{(k)} / \delta \varphi(x)$, $H_{(0)} = \int \varphi dx$ and

$$\frac{\delta H_{(k)}}{\delta \varphi(x)} \equiv \frac{\delta H_{(k-1)}}{\delta \varphi(x)} \hat{R}$$

are Euler-Lagrange derivatives of higher Hamiltonian functions for KdV hierarchy. Let us mention also that in our notations \hat{R} acts from the left on the vectors and from the right on the 1-forms in the functional space \mathcal{L}_0 .

Using the results of [8] it was proved in [9] that the "negative" Symplectic Structures (i.e. the inverse of "negative" Hamiltonian operators) also have the weakly nonlocal form. Let us formulate here the corresponding statement:

All the "negative" Symplectic Structures $\hat{\Omega}_{-n} = (\hat{J}_{-n})^{-1}$, $n \geq 0$ for KdV hierarchy can be written in the following form:

$$\Omega_{-n} = (\text{local part}) + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\delta H_{(k)}}{\delta \varphi(x)} D^{-1} \frac{\delta H_{(n-k)}}{\delta \varphi(x)}$$

It was conjectured in [9] that this structure of "positive" Hamiltonian and "negative" Symplectic hierarchies should be very common for the wide class of integrable systems. In particular, the similar statements about NLS equation

$$i\psi_t = -\psi_{xx} + 2\kappa|\psi|^2\psi$$

were proved in [9]. Let us give here also the corresponding statements for this case.

Two basic Hamiltonian operators can be written here in the form:

$$\hat{J}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{J}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2\kappa \begin{pmatrix} -\psi \partial^{-1} \psi & \psi \partial^{-1} \bar{\psi} \\ \bar{\psi} \partial^{-1} \psi & -\bar{\psi} \partial^{-1} \bar{\psi} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Recursion operator \hat{R} is defined again by formula $\hat{R} \hat{J}_0 = \hat{J}_1$. For the "positive" Hamiltonian operators $\hat{J}_n = \hat{R}^n \hat{J}_0$ and "negative" Symplectic Structures $\hat{\Omega}_{-n} = (\hat{J}_{-n})^{-1}$, $n \geq 1$ the following statements will then be true ([9]):

The "positive" Hamiltonian operators \hat{J}_n and "negative" Symplectic Structures $\hat{\Omega}_{-n}$ in the hierarchy of Hamiltonian Structures for NLS can be written in the form:

$$\hat{J}_n = (\text{local part}) - \sum_{k=1}^n S_{(k-1)}(\psi, \bar{\psi}, \dots) D^{-1} S_{(n-k)}(\psi, \bar{\psi}, \dots)$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_{-n} = (\text{local part}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta H_{(k-1)}}{\delta(\psi, \bar{\psi})(x)} D^{-1} \frac{\delta H_{(n-k)}}{\delta(\psi, \bar{\psi})(x)}$$

where

$$S_{(k)} \equiv \hat{J}_0 \frac{\delta H_{(k)}}{\delta(\psi, \bar{\psi})(x)} , \quad H_{(0)} = \sqrt{2\kappa} \int \psi \bar{\psi} dx , \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta H_{(k)}}{\delta(\psi, \bar{\psi})(x)} = \hat{R} \frac{\delta H_{(k-1)}}{\delta(\psi, \bar{\psi})(x)}$$

for any $k \geq 1$.¹

The general investigations of the weakly-nonlocal structures of integrable hierarchies were made in the very recent works. Let us cite here the work [11] (see also the references therein) where the weakly-nonlocal form of the structures described above was established for the integrable hierarchies under rather general requirements.

It's possible to state that the weakly-nonlocal structures play indeed quite important role in the theory of integrable systems.

Let us say that the "positive" Symplectic Structures $\hat{\Omega}_n = \hat{J}_n^{-1}$ and the "negative" Hamiltonian operators \hat{J}_{-n} , ($n \geq 1$) will have much more complicated form (not weakly nonlocal) both for KdV and NLS hierarchies.

Let us formulate the Theorem proved in [29] connecting the non-local and local parts for the general weakly-nonlocal Poisson brackets (1.2). We will assume that the bracket (1.2) is written in "irreducible" form, i.e. the "vector-fields"

$$\mathbf{S}_{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) = (S_{(s)}^1(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots), \dots, S_{(s)}^n(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots))^t$$

are linearly independent (with constant coefficients).

Theorem.

For any bracket (1.2) the flows

$$\varphi_{t_s}^i = S_{(s)}^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \tag{1.6}$$

commute with each other and leave the bracket (1.2) invariant.

The second statement means here that the Lie derivative of the tensor (1.2) along the flows (1.6) is zero on the functional space \mathcal{L}_0 .

However the general classification of weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian Structures (1.2) is rather difficult and is absent by now.

Let us say now some words about very important class of weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and Symplectic Structures of Hydrodynamic Type (HT). These structures are closely connected with the Systems of Hydrodynamic Type (HT-Systems), i.e. the systems of the form:

$$U_T^\nu = V_\mu^\nu(\mathbf{U}) U_X^\mu , \quad \nu, \mu = 1, \dots, N \tag{1.7}$$

¹Actually, as was pointed out in [9] the NLS equation has in fact three local Hamiltonian Structures ($\hat{J}_0, \hat{J}_1, \hat{J}_2$) in the variables $r = \sqrt{\psi \bar{\psi}}$, $\theta = -i(\psi_x/\psi - \bar{\psi}_x/\bar{\psi})$ (i.e. $\psi = r \exp(i \int \theta dx)$).

where $V_\mu^\nu(U)$ is some $N \times N$ matrix depending on the variables U^1, \dots, U^N .

The Hamiltonian approach to systems (1.7) was started by B.A. Dubrovin and S.P. Novikov ([16, 19, 21]) who introduced the local (homogeneous) Poisson brackets of Hydrodynamic Type (Dubrovin - Novikov brackets). Let us give here the corresponding definition.

Definition 1.

Dubrovin - Novikov bracket (DN-bracket) is a bracket on the functional space $(U^1(X), \dots, U^N(X))$ having the form

$$\{U^\nu(X), U^\mu(Y)\} = g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) \delta'(X - Y) + b_\lambda^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^\lambda \delta(X - Y) \quad (1.8)$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian operator $\hat{J}^{\nu\mu}$ can be written as

$$\hat{J}^{\nu\mu} = g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b_\lambda^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^\lambda$$

and is homogeneous w.r.t. transformation $X \rightarrow aX$.

Every functional H of Hydrodynamic Type, i.e. the functional having the form

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\mathbf{U}) dX$$

generates the system of Hydrodynamic Type (1.7) according to the formula

$$U_T^\nu = \hat{J}^{\nu\mu} \frac{\delta H}{\delta U^\mu(X)} = g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial h}{\partial U^\mu} + b_\lambda^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) \frac{\partial h}{\partial U^\mu} U_X^\lambda \quad (1.9)$$

The DN-bracket (1.8) is called non-degenerate if $\det \|g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})\| \neq 0$.

As was shown by B.A. Dubrovin and S.P. Novikov the theory of DN-brackets is closely connected with Riemannian geometry ([16, 19, 21]). In fact, it follows from the skew-symmetry of (1.8) that the coefficients $g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$ give in the non-degenerate case the contravariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on the manifold \mathcal{M}^N with coordinates (U^1, \dots, U^N) while the functions $\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu(\mathbf{U}) = -g_{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{U}) b_\lambda^{\alpha\nu}(\mathbf{U})$ (where $g_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$ is the corresponding metric with lower indices) give the connection coefficients compatible with metric $g_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$. The validity of Jacobi identity requires then that $g_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$ is actually a flat metric on the manifold \mathcal{M}^N and the functions $\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu(\mathbf{U})$ give a symmetric (Levi-Civita) connection on \mathcal{M}^N ([16, 19, 21]).

In the flat coordinates $n^1(\mathbf{U}), \dots, n^N(\mathbf{U})$ the non-degenerate DN-bracket can be written in constant form:

$$\{n^\nu(X), n^\mu(Y)\} = e^\nu \delta^{\nu\mu} \delta'(X - Y)$$

where $e^\nu = \pm 1$.

The functionals

$$N^\nu = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} n^\nu(X) dX$$

are the annihilators of the bracket (1.8) and the functional

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^N e^{\nu} (n^{\nu}(X))^2 dX$$

is the momentum functional generating the system $U_T^{\nu} = U_X^{\nu}$ according to (1.9).

The Symplectic Structure corresponding to non-degenerate DN-bracket has the weakly nonlocal form and can be written as

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = e^{\nu} \delta_{\nu\mu} \nu(X - Y)$$

in coordinates n^{ν} or, more generally,

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N e^{\lambda} \frac{\partial n^{\lambda}}{\partial U^{\nu}}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial n^{\lambda}}{\partial U^{\mu}}(Y)$$

in arbitrary coordinates U^{ν} .

Let us mention also that the degenerate brackets (1.8) are more complicated but also have a nice differential geometric structure ([23]).

The brackets (1.8) are closely connected with the integration theory of systems of Hydrodynamic Type (1.7). Namely, according to conjecture of S.P. Novikov, all the diagonalizable systems (1.7) which are Hamiltonian with respect to DN-brackets (1.8) (with Hamiltonian function of Hydrodynamic Type) are completely integrable. This conjecture was proved by S.P. Tsarev ([41]) who proposed a general procedure ("generalized Hodograph method") of integration of Hamiltonian diagonalizable systems (1.7).

In fact Tsarev's "generalized Hodograph method" permits to integrate the wider class of diagonalizable systems (1.7) (semi-Hamiltonian systems, [41]) which appeared to be Hamiltonian in more general (weakly nonlocal) Hamiltonian formalism.

The corresponding Poisson brackets (Mokhov - Ferapontov bracket and Ferapontov bracket) are the weakly nonlocal generalizations of DN-bracket (1.8) and are connected with geometry of submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces. Let us describe here the corresponding structures.

The Mokhov - Ferapontov bracket (MF-bracket) has the form ([42])

$$\{U^{\nu}(X), U^{\mu}(Y)\} = g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) \delta'(X - Y) + b_{\lambda}^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^{\lambda} \delta(X - Y) + c U_X^{\nu} \nu(X - Y) U_Y^{\mu} \quad (1.10)$$

As was proved in [42] the expression (1.10) with $\det ||g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})|| \neq 0$ gives the Poisson bracket on the space $U^{\nu}(X)$ if and only if:

1) The tensor $g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$ represents the pseudo-Riemannian contravariant metric of constant curvature c on the manifold \mathcal{M}^N , i.e.

$$R_{\lambda\eta}^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) = c (\delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} \delta_{\eta}^{\mu} - \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \delta_{\eta}^{\nu})$$

2) The functions $\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}(\mathbf{U}) = -g_{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{U}) b_{\lambda}^{\alpha\nu}(\mathbf{U})$ represent the Levi-Civita connection of metric $g_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$.

The Ferapontov bracket (F-bracket) is more general weakly nonlocal generalization of DN-bracket having the form ([43, 44, 45, 46]):

$$\begin{aligned} \{U^{\nu}(X), U^{\mu}(Y)\} &= g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) \delta'(X - Y) + b_{\lambda}^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^{\lambda} \delta(X - Y) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^g e_k w_{(k)\lambda}^{\nu}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^{\lambda} \nu(X - Y) w_{(k)\delta}^{\mu}(\mathbf{U}) U_Y^{\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

$e_k = \pm 1$, $\nu, \mu = 1, \dots, N$.

The expression (1.11) (with $\det \|g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})\| \neq 0$) gives the Poisson bracket on the space $U^{\nu}(X)$ if and only if ([43, 46]):

1) Tensor $g^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$ represents the metric of the submanifold $\mathcal{M}^N \subset \mathbb{E}^{N+g}$ with flat normal connection in the pseudo-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{N+g} of dimension $N + g$;

2) The functions $\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}(\mathbf{U}) = -g_{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{U}) b_{\lambda}^{\alpha\nu}(\mathbf{U})$ represent the Levi-Civita connection of metric $g_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U})$;

3) The set of affinars $\{w_{(k)\lambda}^{\nu}(\mathbf{U})\}$ represents the full set of Weingarten operators corresponding to g linearly independent parallel vector fields in the normal bundle, such that:

$$g_{\nu\tau}(\mathbf{U}) w_{(k)\mu}^{\tau}(\mathbf{U}) = g_{\mu\tau}(\mathbf{U}) w_{(k)\nu}^{\tau}(\mathbf{U}) \quad , \quad \nabla_{\nu} w_{(k)\lambda}^{\mu}(\mathbf{U}) = \nabla_{\lambda} w_{(k)\nu}^{\mu}(\mathbf{U})$$

$$R_{\lambda\eta}^{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{U}) = \sum_{k=1}^g e_k \left(w_{(k)\lambda}^{\nu}(\mathbf{U}) w_{(k)\eta}^{\mu}(\mathbf{U}) - w_{(k)\lambda}^{\mu}(\mathbf{U}) w_{(k)\eta}^{\nu}(\mathbf{U}) \right)$$

Besides that the set of affinars $w_{(k)}$ is commutative $[w_{(k)}, w_{(k')}] = 0$.

As was shown in [44] the expression (1.11) can be considered as the Dirac reduction of Dubrovin-Novikov bracket connected with metric in \mathbb{E}^{N+g} to the manifold \mathcal{M}^N with flat normal connection. Let us note also that MF-bracket can be considered as a case of the F-bracket when \mathcal{M}^N is a (pseudo)-sphere $\mathcal{S}^N \subset \mathbb{E}^{N+1}$ in a pseudo-Euclidean space.

The Symplectic Structures $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ for both (non-degenerate) MF-bracket and F-bracket have also the weakly nonlocal form ([9, 10]) and can be written in general coordinates U^{ν} as

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = \sum_{s=1}^{N+g} \epsilon_s \frac{\partial n^s}{\partial U^{\nu}}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial n^s}{\partial U^{\mu}}(Y)$$

where $\epsilon_s = \pm 1$ and the metric G_{IJ} in the space \mathbb{E}^{N+g} has the form $G_{IJ} = \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{N+g})$. The functions $n^1(\mathbf{U}), \dots, n^{N+g}(\mathbf{U})$ are the "Canonical forms" on the manifold \mathcal{M}^N and play the role of densities and annihilators of bracket (1.11) and "Canonical Hamiltonian functions" (see [9]) depending on the definition of phase space. In fact, the functions

$n^s(\mathbf{U})$ are the restrictions of flat coordinates of metric G_{IJ} giving the DN-bracket in \mathbb{E}^{N+g} on manifold \mathcal{M}^N . The mapping $\mathcal{M}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{N+g}$:

$$(U^1, \dots, U^N) \rightarrow (n^1(\mathbf{U}), \dots, n^{N+g}(\mathbf{U}))$$

gives locally the embedding of \mathcal{M}^N in \mathbb{E}^{N+g} as a submanifold with flat normal connection.

All the brackets (1.8), (1.10), (1.11) are connected with Tsarev method of integration of systems (1.7). Namely, any diagonalizable system (1.7) Hamiltonian w.r.t. the (non-degenerate) bracket (1.8), (1.10) or (1.11) can be integrated by "generalized Hodograph method".

We will not describe here Tsarev method in details. However, let us point out that "generalized Hodograph method" and the HT Hamiltonian Structures were very useful for Whitham's systems obtained by the averaging of integrable PDE's ([13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]).

Let us discuss now the Whitham averaging method ([13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]). We will restrict ourselves to the evolution systems

$$\varphi_t^i = Q^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \quad (1.12)$$

although the Whitham method can be applied also to more general PDE systems.

The m -phase Whitham averaging method is based on the existence of the finite-parametric family of solutions of (1.12) having the form

$$\varphi^i(x, t) = \Phi^i(\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{U})x + \boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{U})t + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, U^1, \dots, U^N) \quad (1.13)$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (k^1, \dots, k^m)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^1, \dots, \omega^m)$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^m)$, and $\Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$ are the functions 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α and depending on the finite set of additional parameters U^1, \dots, U^N . The solutions (1.13) are the quasiperiodic functions depending on $N + m$ parameters U^1, \dots, U^N and $\theta_0^1, \dots, \theta_0^m$.

In Whitham method the parameters U^1, \dots, U^N and $\theta_0^1, \dots, \theta_0^m$ become the slow-modulated functions of x and t to get the slow-modulated m -phase solution of (1.12). We introduce then the slow variables $X = \epsilon x$, $T = \epsilon t$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then try to find a solution of system

$$\epsilon \varphi_T^i = Q^i(\varphi, \epsilon \varphi_X, \dots) \quad (1.14)$$

having the form

$$\varphi^i(X, T) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^k \Phi_{(k)}^i \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}(X, T)}{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T \right) \quad (1.15)$$

where $\Phi_{(k)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ are 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α and $\mathbf{S}(X, T) = (S^1(X, T), \dots, S^m(X, T))$ is a "phase" depending on the slow variables X and T ([13, 14, 22]).

It follows then that $\Phi_{(0)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ should always belong to the family of exact m -phase solutions of (1.12) at any X and T and we have to find the functions $\Phi_{(k)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$, $k \geq 1$ from the system (1.14). The existence of the solution (1.15) implies some conditions on the parameters $\mathbf{U}(X, T)$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T)$ giving the zero approximation of (1.15). In particular, the existence of $\Phi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ implies the conditions on $\mathbf{U}(X, T)$ having the form of the system (1.7). This system is called the Whitham system and describes the evolution of the "averaged" characteristics of the solution (1.15) in the main order. The solution of the Whitham system (1.7) is actually the main step in the whole procedure. Let us mention also that the Whitham systems for so-called "Integrable systems" like KdV can usually be written in the diagonal form ([13, 15, 16, 19, 21, 48]).

The Lagrangian formalism of the Whitham system and the averaging of Lagrangian function were considered by Whitham ([13]) who pointed out that the Whitham system admits the (local) Lagrangian formalism if the initial system (1.12) was Lagrangian.

The Hamiltonian approach to the Whitham method was started by B.A. Dubrovin and S.P. Novikov in [16] (see also [19, 21]) where the procedure of "averaging" of local field-theoretical Poisson bracket was proposed. The Dubrovin - Novikov procedure gives the DN-bracket for the Whitham system (1.7) in case when the initial system (1.12) is Hamiltonian w.r.t. a local Poisson bracket

$$\{\varphi^i(x), \varphi^j(y)\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} B_{(k)}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y)$$

with local Hamiltonian functional²

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) dx$$

This procedure was generalized in [28, 29] for the weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian structures. In this case the procedure of construction of general F-bracket (or MF-bracket) for the Whitham system from the weakly non-local Poisson bracket (1.2) for initial system (1.12) was proposed.

In this paper we will consider the Whitham averaging method for PDE's having the weakly nonlocal Symplectic Structures (1.1) and construct the Symplectic Structures of Hydrodynamic Type for the corresponding Whitham systems. Let us say that the corresponding HT Symplectic Structures can in principle be more general than those connected with the Tsarev integration method. The theory of integration of corresponding HT systems (1.7) should then be more complicated in general case.

We call here the weakly nonlocal Symplectic Structure of Hydrodynamic Type the Symplectic form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ having the form:

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = \sum_{s,p=1}^M \kappa_{sp} \omega_{\nu}^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}(X)) \nu(X - Y) \omega_{\mu}^{(p)}(\mathbf{U}(Y)) \quad (1.16)$$

²The proof of Jacobi identity for the averaged bracket was obtained in [26].

or in "diagonal" form

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = \sum_{s=1}^M e_s \omega_{\nu}^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}(X)) \nu(X - Y) \omega_{\mu}^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}(Y))$$

in coordinates U^ν where κ_{sp} is some quadratic form, $e_s = \pm 1$, and $\omega_{\nu}^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ are closed 1-forms on the manifold \mathcal{M}^N . Locally the forms $\omega_{\nu}^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ can be represented as the gradients of some functions $f^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ such that

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = \sum_{s,p=1}^M \kappa_{sp} \frac{\partial f^{(s)}}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial f^{(p)}}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \quad (1.17)$$

Generally speaking, we don't require here that the embedding $\mathcal{M}^N \subset \mathbb{E}^M$ given by $(U^1, \dots, U^N) \rightarrow (f^{(1)}(\mathbf{U}), \dots, f^{(M)}(\mathbf{U}))$ gives the submanifold with flat normal connection. Therefore, the corresponding Hamiltonian operators will not necessary have the weakly non-local form of the DN-brackets, MF-brackets or F-brackets.

We propose here the procedure which permits to construct the Symplectic Structure (1.16) for the Whitham system in case when the (local) initial system (1.12) has the weakly nonlocal symplectic structure (1.1) with some local Hamiltonian function

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) dx$$

In Chapter 2 we consider the general Symplectic forms (1.1) and the HT Symplectic forms (1.16). In Chapter 3 we consider the general features of the Whitham method and introduce some conditions which we will need for the next considerations. In Chapter 4 we introduce the "extended" phase space and prove some technical Lemmas about the "extended" Symplectic form necessary for the averaging procedure of the forms (1.1). In Chapter 5 we give the procedure of averaging of the forms (1.1) and prove that the Whitham system admits the Symplectic Structure of Hydrodynamic Type given by the corresponding "averaged" Symplectic form. In Chapter 6 we give another variant of averaging of forms (1.1) based on the averaging of weakly nonlocal 1-forms and give the weakly nonlocal Lagrangian formalism for the Whitham system.

2 General weakly nonlocal Symplectic Forms and the weakly nonlocal Symplectic Forms of Hydrodynamic Type.

Let us consider first the general weakly nonlocal Symplectic Forms (1.1). The nonlocal part of (1.1) is skew-symmetric and we should require then also the skew-symmetry of the local part of (1.1). We will assume everywhere that (1.1) is written in "irreducible" form,

i.e. the functions $\mathbf{q}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$ are linearly independent (with constant coefficients). Let us prove here the following statement formulated in [9].

Theorem 1.

For any closed 2-form (1.1) the functions $q_i^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$ represent the closed 1-forms on \mathcal{L}_0 .

Proof.

Let us denote $\Omega'_{ij}(x, y)$ the local part of (1.1). We have to check the closeness of 2-form (1.1), i.e.

$$(d\Omega)_{ijk}(x, y, z) = \frac{\delta\Omega_{ij}(x, y)}{\delta\varphi^k(z)} + \frac{\delta\Omega_{jk}(y, z)}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} + \frac{\delta\Omega_{ki}(z, x)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} \equiv 0$$

(in sense of distributions) on \mathcal{L}_0 .

We have then

$$\begin{aligned} (d\Omega)_{ijk}(x, y, z) &= (d\Omega')_{ijk}(x, y, z) + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{\delta q_i^{(s)}(x)}{\delta\varphi^k(z)} \nu(x-y) q_j^{(s)}(y) + q_i^{(s)}(x) \nu(x-y) \frac{\delta q_j^{(s)}(y)}{\delta\varphi^k(z)} \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{\delta q_j^{(s)}(y)}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} \nu(y-z) q_k^{(s)}(z) + q_j^{(s)}(y) \nu(y-z) \frac{\delta q_k^{(s)}(z)}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{\delta q_k^{(s)}(z)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} \nu(z-x) q_i^{(s)}(x) + q_k^{(s)}(z) \nu(z-x) \frac{\delta q_i^{(s)}(x)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

We use here the Leibnits identity and the relations

$$\frac{\delta\varphi^i(x)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} = \delta_j^i \delta(x-y) \quad , \quad \frac{\delta\varphi_x^i(x)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} = \delta_j^i \delta'(x-y) \quad , \dots \quad (2.2)$$

The expression $(d\Omega')_{ijk}(x, y, z)$ is then purely local and all the nonlocality arises just in the remaining part of $(d\Omega)_{ijk}(x, y, z)$. Let us consider now the values

$$d\Omega(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (d\Omega)_{ijk}(x, y, z) \xi^i(x) \eta^j(y) \zeta^k(z) dx dy dz$$

where $\xi^i(x)$, $\eta^j(x)$, $\zeta^k(x)$ are the functions with finite supports such that the supports of all $\zeta^k(x)$ do not intersect with the supports of all $\xi^i(x)$, $\eta^j(x)$ and moreover all supports of $\xi^i(x)$, $\eta^j(x)$ lie on the left from any support of $\zeta^k(x)$. Using (2.1) and (2.2) it's easy to see then that we can write in this case

$$d\Omega(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{\delta q_j^{(s)}(y)}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \nu(y-z) q_k^{(s)}(z) + q_k^{(s)}(z) \nu(z-x) \frac{\delta q_i^{(s)}(x)}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} \right] \times \\
&\quad \times \xi^i(x) \eta^j(y) \zeta^k(z) dx dy dz = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{\delta q_i^{(s)}(x)}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} - \frac{\delta q_j^{(s)}(y)}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \right] q_k^{(s)}(z) \xi^i(x) \eta^j(y) \zeta^k(z) dx dy dz = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q_k^{(s)}(z) \zeta^k(z) dz \right] \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{\delta q_i^{(s)}(x)}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} - \frac{\delta q_j^{(s)}(y)}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \right] \xi^i(x) \eta^j(y) dx dy \equiv 0
\end{aligned}$$

Let us use now the fact that the functions $q_i^{(s)}(x) = q_i^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$ are the local translationally invariant (i.e. they do not depend explicitly on x) expressions depending on $\varphi(x)$ and their derivatives. Let us consider the functions $\varphi^i(x)$ which can be represented as

$$\varphi^i(x) = \tilde{\varphi}^i(x) + \tilde{\tilde{\varphi}}^i(x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Supp } \tilde{\varphi}(x) &\subset \bigcup_k \text{Supp } \zeta^k(x) \\
\text{Supp } \tilde{\tilde{\varphi}}(x) &\subset \left[\bigcup_i \text{Supp } \xi^i(x) \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_j \text{Supp } \eta^j(x) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$A^{(s)}[\tilde{\varphi}, \xi, \eta] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{\delta q_i^{(s)}(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}_x, \dots)}{\delta \tilde{\varphi}^j(y)} - \frac{\delta q_j^{(s)}(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}_y, \dots)}{\delta \tilde{\varphi}^i(x)} \right] \xi^i(x) \eta^j(y) dx dy$$

We have then

$$\sum_{s=1}^g e_s A^{(s)}[\tilde{\varphi}, \xi, \eta] \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q_k^{(s)}(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}_z, \dots) \zeta^k(z) dz \equiv 0$$

(for all $\tilde{\varphi}(z), \zeta^k(z)$)

It's easy to show that for linearly independent set $\mathbf{q}^{(s)}(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}_z, \dots)$ this system can have only trivial solution $A^{(s)}[\tilde{\varphi}, \xi, \eta] \equiv 0$ for any $\xi^i(x), \eta^j(y)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ which is equivalent to condition $(dq^{(s)})_{ij}(x, y) = 0$ for any $q_i^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$.

Theorem 1 is proved.

We will put now $q_i^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) = \delta H^{(s)} / \delta \varphi^i(x)$ where $H^{(s)}$ are some "local" functionals

$$H^{(s)}[\varphi] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) dx$$

and $\delta / \delta \varphi^i(x)$ is the Euler-Lagrange derivative and consider the structures (1.1) in the form

$$\Omega_{ij}(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y) + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \nu(x - y) \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} \quad (2.3)$$

Let us consider now the weakly-nonlocal Symplectic Structures of Hydrodynamic Type (1.16).

Theorem 2.

The expression (1.16) gives the closed 2-form on the space $\{\mathbf{U}(X)\}$ if and only if the 1-forms $\omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ on \mathcal{M}^N are closed,³ i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial U^\nu} \omega_\mu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\mu} \omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$$

Let us say here that the statement analogous to Theorem 2 was first proved by O.I. Mokhov for the weakly nonlocal Symplectic operators of Hydrodynamic Type having the form $\hat{\Omega}_{ij} = a_i(\mathbf{U})D^{-1}b_j(\mathbf{U}) + b_i(\mathbf{U})D^{-1}a_j(\mathbf{U})$ (see [38, 39]). Theorem 2 represents the not difficult generalization of this statement for the arbitrary number of terms in the non-local structure (1.16).

Proof.

Let us use the "diagonal" form of (1.16). It's easy to see that the form (1.16) is skew-symmetric. From Theorem 1 we get that the forms $\omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ should be closed on the functional space $\{\mathbf{U}(X)\}$. We have then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \omega_\mu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}(Y))}{\delta U^\nu(X)} - \frac{\delta \omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}(X))}{\delta U^\mu(Y)} &= \frac{\partial \omega_\mu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})}{\partial U^\nu}(Y) \delta(Y - X) - \frac{\partial \omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})}{\partial U^\mu}(X) \delta(X - Y) = \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial \omega_\mu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})}{\partial U^\nu}(X) - \frac{\partial \omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})}{\partial U^\mu}(X) \right] \delta(X - Y) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

³We assume that (1.16) is written in the "irreducible" form, i.e. the 1-forms $\omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ are linearly independent (with constant coefficients).

So we have

$$\frac{\partial \omega_\mu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})}{\partial U^\nu} - \frac{\partial \omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})}{\partial U^\mu} \equiv 0$$

It's not difficult now to get by direct calculation that $(d\Omega)_{\nu\mu\lambda}(X, Y, Z)$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (d\Omega)_{\nu\mu\lambda}(X, Y, Z) &= \sum_{s=1}^M e_s \omega_\nu^{(s)}(X) \nu(X - Y) \delta(Y - Z) \left[\frac{\partial \omega_\mu^{(s)}}{\partial U^\lambda}(Z) - \frac{\partial \omega_\lambda^{(s)}}{\partial U^\mu}(Z) \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^M e_s \omega_\mu^{(s)}(Y) \nu(Y - Z) \delta(Z - X) \left[\frac{\partial \omega_\lambda^{(s)}}{\partial U^\nu}(X) - \frac{\partial \omega_\nu^{(s)}}{\partial U^\lambda}(X) \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^M e_s \omega_\lambda^{(s)}(Z) \nu(Z - X) \delta(X - Y) \left[\frac{\partial \omega_\nu^{(s)}}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) - \frac{\partial \omega_\mu^{(s)}}{\partial U^\nu}(Y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

So we get the second part of the Theorem.

Theorem 2 is proved.

We can put locally $\omega_\nu^{(s)}(\mathbf{U}) = \partial f^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})/\partial U^\nu$ on \mathcal{M}^N and write the Symplectic structure (1.16) in a "conservative form"

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = \sum_{s=1}^M e_s \frac{\partial f^{(s)}}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial f^{(s)}}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \quad (2.4)$$

We will usually consider the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ on the loop space \mathcal{L}_{P_0} such that $P_0 \in \mathcal{M}^N$ is some fixed point of \mathcal{M}^N and the functions $\mathbf{U}(X) \rightarrow P_0$ (quickly enough) for $X \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The action of $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ will be usually defined on the "vector fields" $\xi^\nu(X)$ rapidly decreasing for $X \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

The 2-form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ written in the form (2.4) can be considered as the pullback of the form

$$\Xi_{IJ}(X, Y) = e_I \delta_{IJ} \nu(X - Y) \quad , \quad I, J = 1, \dots, M$$

defined in the pseudo-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^N with the metric $G_{IJ} = \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_M)$ for the mapping $\alpha: \mathcal{M}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^N$

$$(U^1, \dots, U^N) \rightarrow (f^{(1)}(\mathbf{U}), \dots, f^{(M)}(\mathbf{U}))$$

Definition 2.

We call the Symplectic Form (1.16) non-degenerate if $M \geq N$ and

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{U}) \\ \dots \\ \omega_i^{(M)}(\mathbf{U}) \end{pmatrix} = N$$

Easy to see that the non-degeneracy of $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ coincides with the condition of regularity of N -dimensional submanifold $\alpha(\mathcal{M}^N) \subset \mathbb{E}^N$ in the space \mathbb{E}^N for $M \geq N$.

3 The families of m -phase solutions and the Whitham method.

We will consider now the Whitham averaging method for the local systems

$$\varphi_t^i = Q^i(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \quad (3.1)$$

having the weakly nonlocal Symplectic Structure (2.3) with a "local" Hamiltonian functional

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) dx \quad (3.2)$$

This means that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{ij}(x, y) \varphi_t^j(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{ij}(x, y) Q^j(\varphi, \varphi_y, \dots) dy \equiv \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi^i(x)}$$

on \hat{W}_0 where $\delta/\delta\varphi^i(x)$ is the Euler-Lagrange derivative.

This requires in particular that the functionals $H^{(s)}[\varphi]$ are the conservation laws for the system (3.1) such that

$$h_t^{(s)} \equiv \partial_x J^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \quad (3.3)$$

for some functions $J^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$. The functional $H[\varphi]$ is defined then actually up to the linear combination of $H^{(s)}[\varphi]$ depending on the boundary conditions at infinity.

We assume now that the system (3.1) has a finite-parametric family of quasiperiodic solutions

$$\varphi^i(x, t) = \Phi^i(\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{U})x + \boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{U})t + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{U}) \quad , \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.4)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^m)$, $\mathbf{k} = (k^1, \dots, k^m)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^1, \dots, \omega^m)$ and $\Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$ give the family of 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α functions depending on the additional parameters $\mathbf{U} = (U^1, \dots, U^N)$.

The functions $\Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$ satisfy the system

$$g^i(\Phi, \omega^\alpha(\mathbf{U})\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}, \dots) = \omega^\alpha(\mathbf{U})\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}^i - Q^i(\Phi, k^\alpha(\mathbf{U})\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}, \dots) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

and we assume that the system (3.5) has the finite-parametric family Λ of solutions (for generic \mathbf{k} and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$) on the space of 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α functions with parameters $\mathbf{U} = (U^1, \dots, U^N)$ and the "initial phase shifts" $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = (\theta_0^1, \dots, \theta_0^m)$. We can choose then (in a smooth way) at every (U^1, \dots, U^N) some function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$ as having zero initial phase shifts and represent the m -phase solutions of system (3.1) in the form (3.4).

In Whitham method we make a rescaling $X = \epsilon x$, $T = \epsilon t$ ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0$) of both variables x and t and try to find a function

$$\mathbf{S}(X, T) = (S^1(X, T), \dots, S^m(X, T)) \quad (3.6)$$

and 2π -periodic functions

$$\Psi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T, \epsilon) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \Psi_{(k)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \epsilon^k \quad (3.7)$$

such that the functions

$$\phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T, \epsilon) = \Psi^i\left(\frac{\mathbf{S}(X, T)}{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T, \epsilon\right) \quad (3.8)$$

satisfy the system

$$\epsilon \phi_T^i = Q^i(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \epsilon \phi_X, \dots) \quad (3.9)$$

at every X , T and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

It is easy to see that the function $\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ satisfies the system (3.5) at every X and T with

$$k^\alpha = S_X^\alpha, \quad \omega^\alpha = S_T^\alpha$$

and so belongs at every (X, T) to the family Λ . We can write then

$$\Psi_{(0)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) = \Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T), \mathbf{U}(X, T))$$

We can introduce then the functions $U^\nu(X, T)$, $\theta_0^\alpha(X, T)$ as the parameters characterizing the main term in (3.7) which should satisfy to condition

$$[k^\alpha(\mathbf{U})]_T = [\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{U})]_X \quad (3.10)$$

We have to define now the functions $\Psi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ from the liner system

$$\hat{L}_j^i \Psi_{(1)}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) = f_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\hat{L}_j^i = \hat{L}_{(X, T)j}^i = \delta_j^i \omega^\alpha(X, T) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} - \frac{\partial Q^i}{\partial \varphi^j}(\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T), \dots) -$$

$$- \frac{\partial Q^i}{\partial \varphi_x^j} (\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T), \dots) k^\alpha(X, T) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} - \dots \quad (3.12)$$

is the linearization of system (3.5) and $\mathbf{f}_{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ is discrepancy given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) &= -\Psi_{(0)T}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) + \frac{\partial Q^i}{\partial \varphi_x^j} (\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T), \dots) \Psi_{(0)X}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) + \\ &+ \frac{\partial Q^i}{\partial \varphi_{xx}^j} (\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T), \dots) \left(2k^\alpha(X, T) \Psi_{(0)\theta^\alpha X}^j + k_X^\alpha \Psi_{(0)\theta^\alpha}^j \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} = U_T^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\nu} + \theta_{(0)T}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial X} = U_X^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\nu} + \theta_{(0)X}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha}$$

for the functions

$$\Psi_{(0)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) = \Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T), \mathbf{U}(X, T))$$

We will assume that k^α and ω^α can be considered (locally) as the independent parameters on the family Λ and the total family of solutions of (3.5) depends (for generic k^α , ω^α) on $N = 2m + r$, ($r \geq 0$) parameters U^ν and m initial phases $\theta_{(0)}^\alpha$.

Easy to see that the functions $\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T), \mathbf{U}(X, T))$ and $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \Phi_{\theta^\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T), \mathbf{U}(X, T))$ where $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is any vector in space of parameters U^ν tangential to the surface $\mathbf{k} = \text{const}$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \text{const}$ belong to the kernel of operator $\hat{L}_{(X,T)j}^i$.

Let us put now some "regularity" conditions on the family (3.4) of quasiperiodic solutions of (3.1)

Definition 3.

We call the family (3.4) the full regular family of m -phase solutions of (3.1) if:

1) The functions $\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$, $\Phi_{U^\nu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$ are linearly independent (almost everywhere) on the set Λ ;

2) The $m + r$ linearly independent functions $\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$, $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U})$ ($\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \mathbf{k} = 0$, $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$) give the full kernel of the operator $\hat{L}_{[\mathbf{U}]j}^i$ (here $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = 0$) for generic \mathbf{k} and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

3) There are exactly $m + r$ linearly independent "right eigen-vectors" $\kappa_{[\mathbf{U}]}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $q = 1, \dots, m+r$ of the operator $\hat{L}_{[\mathbf{U}]j}^i$ (for generic \mathbf{k} and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$) corresponding to zero eigen values i.e.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \kappa_{[\mathbf{U}]i}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \hat{L}_{[\mathbf{U}]j}^i \psi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \equiv 0$$

for any periodic $\psi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

We have then to put the $m + r$ conditions of orthogonality of the discrepancy $\mathbf{f}_{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ to the functions $\kappa_{[\mathbf{U}](X,T)}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T))$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \kappa_{[\mathbf{U}(X,T)]_i}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X,T)) f_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

at every X, T to be able to solve the system (3.11) on the space of periodic w.r.t. each θ^α functions.

The system (3.14) together with (3.10) gives $m + (m + r) = 2m + r = N$ conditions at each X and T on the parameters of zero approximation $\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ necessary for the construction of the first ϵ -term in the solution (3.7). Let us prove now the following Lemma about the orthogonality conditions (3.14):

Lemma 1.

Under all the assumptions of regularity formulated above the orthogonality conditions (3.14) do not contain the functions $\theta_0^\alpha(X, T)$ and give just the restriction on the functions $U^\nu(X, T)$ having the form

$$C_\nu^{(q)}(\mathbf{U}) U_T^\nu - D_\nu^{(q)}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^\nu = 0$$

(with some functions $C_\nu^{(q)}(\mathbf{U}), D_\nu^{(q)}(\mathbf{U})$).

Proof.

Let us write down the part $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ of $\mathbf{f}_{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ which contains the derivatives $\theta_{0T}^\alpha(X, T)$ and $\theta_{0X}^\alpha(X, T)$. We have from (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) &= -\Psi_{(0)\theta^\beta}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \theta_{0T}^\beta + \frac{\partial Q^i}{\partial \varphi_x^j}(\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T), \dots) \Psi_{(0)\theta^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \theta_{0X}^\beta + \\ &+ \frac{\partial Q^i}{\partial \varphi_{xx}^j}(\Psi_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T), \dots) 2k^\alpha(X, T) \Psi_{(0)\theta^\alpha \theta^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \theta_{0X}^\beta + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We can write then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) &= \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^\beta} g^i(\Phi(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{U}), \dots) + \hat{L}_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^\beta} \Phi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{U}), \dots \right] \theta_{0T}^\beta + \\ &+ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\beta} g^i(\Phi(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{U}), \dots) - \hat{L}_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\beta} \Phi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{U}), \dots \right] \theta_{0X}^\beta \end{aligned}$$

where the constraints g^i and the operator $\hat{L}_{(X,T)j}^i$ were introduced in (3.5) and (3.12) respectively.

The derivatives $\partial g^i / \partial \omega^\beta$ and $\partial g^i / \partial k^\beta$ are identically zero on Λ according to (3.5). We have then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \kappa_{[\mathbf{U}(X,T)]_i}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T)) \tilde{f}_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \equiv 0$$

since all $\kappa^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T)$ are the right eigen-vectors of \hat{L} with zero eigen-values.

It is easy to see also that all $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T)$ in the arguments of Φ and $\kappa^{(q)}$ will disappear after the integration so we get the statement of the Lemma.

Lemma 1 is proved.

Remark.

As follows from the proof of Lemma 1 we will always have in particular

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \kappa_{[\mathbf{U}(X,T)]i}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T)) \Phi_{\theta^\beta}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X, T), \mathbf{U}(X, T)) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} \equiv 0$$

for the case of full regular family of quasiperiodic solutions (3.4).

The Whitham system can now be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu} U_T^\nu &= \frac{\partial \omega^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu} U_X^\nu, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, m \\ C_\nu^{(q)}(\mathbf{U}) U_T^\nu &= D_\nu^{(q)}(\mathbf{U}) U_X^\nu, \quad q = 1, \dots, m+r \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $rank|\partial k^\alpha/\partial U^\nu| = m$ according to our assumption above. In the generic case the derivatives U_T^ν can be expressed through U_X^μ and the Whitham system (3.15) can be written in the form (1.7).

Let us say that the method described above is not the only one to get the Whitham system for the system (3.1). In particular, the method of the averaging of conservation laws ([13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]) gives also another way to get the system for the slow modulations of parameters $\mathbf{U}(X, T)$. It can be shown that both these methods give the equivalent systems (1.7) for the parameters $\mathbf{U}(X, T)$ (in regular situation). Thus the averaged conservations laws give then the additional conservations laws for the system (3.15).

We will get here the Symplectic representation of the conditions of compatibility of the system (3.11) which is also equivalent to (3.15) in the generic case. In general we can state that the system (3.15) admits the averaged Symplectic structure in the sense discussed above.

Let us put now some special conditions connected with "invariant tori" corresponding to quasiperiodic solutions (3.4) which we will need for the averaging of the Symplectic Structure (2.3). Namely, we will require that we have m linearly independent local flows

$$\varphi_{t^\alpha}^i = Q_{(\alpha)}^i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \varphi_x, \dots) \quad (3.16)$$

(which can contain the system (3.1)) which commute with (3.1) and admit the same Symplectic Structure (2.3) with some local Hamiltonian functions $F_{(\alpha)}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]$, i.e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{ij}(x, y) Q_{(\alpha)}^i(\varphi, \varphi_y, \dots) dy \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} F_{(\alpha)}$$

where

$$F_{(\alpha)}[\varphi] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{(\alpha)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) dx$$

This means automatically that the functionals $H^{(s)}[\varphi]$ should give the conservation laws for the systems (3.16) also and we can write

$$h_{t^\alpha}^{(s)} \equiv \partial_x J_\alpha^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \quad (3.17)$$

for some functions $J_\alpha^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$.

We will require that the flows (3.16) generate the "linear shifts" of the angles θ_0^β on the solutions (3.4) with some frequencies $\omega_{(\alpha)}^\beta(\mathbf{U})$ such that the matrix $||\omega_{(\alpha)}^\beta||$ is non-degenerate, i.e. we have

$$\omega_{(\alpha)}^\beta(\mathbf{U}) \Phi_{\theta^\beta}^i = Q_{(\alpha)}^i(\Phi, k^\delta(\mathbf{U}) \Phi_{\theta^\delta}, \dots) \quad (3.18)$$

with $\det ||\omega_{(\alpha)}^\beta(\mathbf{U})|| \neq 0$.

Let us denote $||\gamma_\alpha^\beta||$ the inverse matrix $||\omega_{(\alpha)}^\beta||^{-1}$ such that

$$\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}) \omega_{(\delta)}^\beta(\mathbf{U}) = \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad (3.19)$$

We can write also

$$\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}^i = \gamma_\alpha^\beta(\mathbf{U}) Q_{(\beta)}^i(\Phi, k^\delta(\mathbf{U}) \Phi_{\theta^\delta}, \dots) \quad (3.20)$$

on the family (3.4).

4 The extended phase space and some technical Lemmas.

In this chapter we will prove some technical Lemmas concerning the form (2.3) on the "extended" functional space. As we said already, we consider the form (2.3) on the loop space \mathcal{W}_0 of functions $\varphi^i(x)$ rapidly decreasing or approaching some fixed constants C^i for $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Let us define now the extended space $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$ of smooth functions $\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ ($\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^m)$), 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α and approaching the same constants C^i at each $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ for $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. We define the "extended" Symplectic Form $\tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', x, y)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x), \varphi_x(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x), \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y) \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') +$$

$$+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} \nu(x-y) \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') \frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', y)} \quad , \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n \quad (4.1)$$

where the functionals $\tilde{H}^{(s)}$ are defined on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$ by the formula ⁴

$$\tilde{H}^{(s)}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x), \dots) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} dx$$

Let us note also that we normalize $\delta(\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta})$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}'}{(2\pi)^m} = 1$$

Easy to see that (4.1) gives the closed 2-form on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$. Let us prove now the first technical Lemma which we will need later.

Lemma 2.

For any $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{\alpha\beta}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', x, y) \varphi_{\theta'^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', x) dx dy \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}'}{(2\pi)^m} \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$.

Proof.

Let us first prove the relation

$$\frac{\delta C_{\alpha\beta}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} \equiv 0$$

We will use the infinite-dimensional form of the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle = [\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle]_i - [\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle]_i - \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} [\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}] \rangle_i$$

which is valid for the closed form $\omega_{ij}(x)$ on a manifold and any vector fields $\xi^i(x)$ and $\eta^k(x)$. The notations $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle$, $\langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle$ mean here the function $\xi^j \omega_{jk} \eta^k$ and the 1-forms $\omega_{jk} \xi^k$ and $\omega_{jk} \eta^k$ respectively. The operators $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ are the Lie derivatives w.r.t. vector fields $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}]$ is the commutator of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$.

Indeed, we have for any closed $\omega_{ij}(x)$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\xi^j \omega_{jk} \eta^k) = \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial x^i} \omega_{jk} \eta^k + \xi^j \frac{\partial \omega_{jk}}{\partial x^i} \eta^k + \xi^j \omega_{jk} \frac{\partial \eta^k}{\partial x^i} =$$

⁴We can always normalize the densities $h^{(s)}$ such that $h^{(s)}(\mathbf{C}, 0, \dots) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial x^i} \omega_{jk} \eta^k + \xi^j \omega_{jk} \frac{\partial \eta^k}{\partial x^i} - \xi^j \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{ki}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial \omega_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \right) \eta^k = \\
&= \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial x^i} \omega_{jk} \eta^k + \xi^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} [\omega_{ik} \eta^k] - \xi^j \omega_{jk} \frac{\partial \eta^k}{\partial x^i} - \eta^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} [\omega_{ij} \xi^j] - \omega_{ik} \xi^j \frac{\partial \eta^k}{\partial x^j} + \omega_{ij} \eta^k \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial x^k} = \\
&= [\mathcal{L}_\xi \langle \omega \eta \rangle]_i - [\mathcal{L}_\eta \langle \omega \xi \rangle]_i - \langle \omega [\xi, \eta] \rangle_i
\end{aligned}$$

(we assume summation over the repeated indices).

In our case $\partial/\partial x^i$ should be replaced by $\delta/\delta\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ and we can define the vector fields

$$\xi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)[\varphi] = \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i, \quad \eta^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)[\varphi] = \varphi_{\theta^\beta}^i$$

and the corresponding dynamical systems on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$

$$\varphi_{t_1}^i = \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i, \quad \varphi_{t_2}^i = \varphi_{\theta^\beta}^i$$

(let us remind that x and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ play now the role of "indices" also).

Easy to see that the fields $\xi[\varphi]$ and $\eta[\varphi]$ commute with each other.

The expression $C_{\alpha\beta}[\varphi]$ can now be written as $\langle \xi \tilde{\Omega} \eta \rangle$ and we can write

$$\frac{\delta C_{\alpha\beta}[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} = [\mathcal{L}_\xi \langle \tilde{\Omega} \eta \rangle]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) - [\mathcal{L}_\eta \langle \tilde{\Omega} \xi \rangle]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{\Omega} \xi \rangle_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', x, y) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', y) \frac{d^m \theta'}{(2\pi)^m} dy = \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x, y) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) dy
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Also

$$\langle \tilde{\Omega} \eta \rangle_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x, y) \varphi_{\theta^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) dy \tag{4.3}$$

where $\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$, $\varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y)$ are considered just as the functions of x and y at any fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

The operations of Lie derivatives $[\mathcal{L}_\xi \mathbf{q}]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ and $[\mathcal{L}_\eta \mathbf{q}]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ for any 1-form $q_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_\xi \mathbf{q}]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_{\theta'^\alpha}^k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z)} q_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) \frac{d^m \theta'}{(2\pi)^m} dz + \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} q_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z) \frac{\delta \varphi_{\theta'^\alpha}^k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z)}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} \frac{d^m \theta'}{(2\pi)^m} dz
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\delta \varphi_{\theta'^\alpha}^k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z)}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} = \delta_i^k \delta_{\theta'^\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \delta(z - x)$$

We have so

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\xi \mathbf{q}}]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} q_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_{\theta'^\alpha}^k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z) \frac{\delta q_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)}{\delta \varphi^k(\boldsymbol{\theta}', z)} \frac{d^m \theta'}{(2\pi)^m} dz$$

which is zero if $q_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ does not contain the explicit dependence on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. (The same for $[\mathcal{L}_{\eta \mathbf{q}}]_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$).

Using the expressions (4.2), (4.3) we see that both the forms $\langle \tilde{\Omega} \xi \rangle_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ and $\langle \tilde{\Omega} \eta \rangle_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ do not depend explicitly on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ so we get $\delta C_{\alpha\beta}[\varphi]/\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) \equiv 0$ on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$.

Using now the fact that $C_{\alpha\beta}[\varphi] \equiv 0$ on the functions $\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ which are constants w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ at any given x we get the proof of the Lemma.

Lemma 2 is proved.

Let us introduce the nonlocal functionals

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)[\varphi] &= D^{-1} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \dots) dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{+\infty} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \dots) dy \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Easy to see that for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ the functions $W^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ are 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α and we have also

$$W^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) = -W^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \quad (4.5)$$

on $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0$ at any fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

We will need also the following simple Proposition:

Proposition 1.

The expressions

$$h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} - \frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i$$

can be written as total derivatives w.r.t. x of the local functions $T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$, i.e.

$$h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} - \frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \equiv \frac{d}{dx} T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} (-1)^p \left(\frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_{kx}^i} \right)_{px} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha, (k-p-1)x}^i \quad (4.7)$$

Proof.

Using the formulas

$$h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} = \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi^i} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i + \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_x^i} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha, x}^i + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} = \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi^i} - \left(\frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_x^i} \right)_x + \dots$$

we get the required statement just by direct calculation.

Proposition 1 is proved.

Let us prove now another important Lemma.

Lemma 3.

1) For any Symplectic Form (2.3) we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kx}^j + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} - h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} + (T_\alpha^{(s)})_x T_\beta^{(s)} \right) &\equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\gamma} Q_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

for some local functions $Q_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$, $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$ (summation over the repeated indices).

2) The functions $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$ (defined modulo the constant functions) can be normalized in such a way that $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) \equiv 0$ for any $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ depending on x only (and constant with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ at every fixed x).

Proof.

1) Let us consider the values

$$F_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) = \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', x, y) \varphi_{\theta'^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', y) \frac{d^m \theta'}{(2\pi)^m} dy$$

We have according to Lemma 2:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} F_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dx \equiv 0$$

We have from the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) &= \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kx}^j + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x-y) \frac{\delta \tilde{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y)} \varphi_{\theta^\beta}^j dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 1 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) &= \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kx}^j + \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} - (T_\alpha^{(s)})_x \right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x-y) \left(h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} - (T_\beta^{(s)})_y \right) dy = \\
&= \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)} \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kx}^j + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha x}^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} - W_{\theta^\alpha x}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} - W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} (T_\alpha^{(s)})_x + (T_\alpha^{(s)})_x T_\beta^{(s)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(we used here the relations (4.5) at infinity).

We can rewrite now $F_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) &= \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)} \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kx}^j + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\beta x}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} - W_{\theta^\alpha x}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} + (T_\alpha^{(s)})_x T_\beta^{(s)} \right] + \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(W_x^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta^\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \left(W_x^{(s)} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta^\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_x - \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} \right)_x \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Easy to see that

$$\sum_{s=1}^g e_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(W_x^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta^\alpha} - \left(W_x^{(s)} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta^\beta} \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dx \equiv 0$$

view the periodicity of $W^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ w.r.t. all θ^α and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_x - \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} \right)_x \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dx = \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=+\infty} - W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=+\infty} \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} \quad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Both terms in(4.9) are zero view (4.5) and $T_\alpha^{(s)} \rightarrow 0$ for $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$.

Using now the relations $W_{\theta^\alpha x}^{(s)} = h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}$, $W_{\theta^\beta x}^{(s)} = h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)} \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kx}^j + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} - h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} + (T_\alpha^{(s)})_x T_\beta^{(s)} \right) \right] \times \\
&\quad \times \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dx \equiv 0
\end{aligned}$$

so we get (4.8).

2) We can normalize now the functions $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$ such that $A_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for $\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x) \equiv \text{const} = C^i$. Now for any function $\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ depending only on x we have $\partial/\partial x A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) = 0$ according to the relation (4.8). Using the fact that $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty) = 0$ on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$ we get the part (2) of the Lemma on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0$. Now using the fact that $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$ is a local expression of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ and it's derivatives we get in fact that $A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty) \equiv 0$ for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ depending on x only for this normalization of $A_{\alpha\beta}$ which actually does not depend on the constants C^i .

Lemma 3 is proved.

We will need also the following technical Lemma.

Lemma 4.

For any Symplectic Form (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \dots) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (-1)^{p-1} \left(\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)y}^j \right)_{(p-1)y} \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \right] \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right) \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \equiv \\
& \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} A_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y), \dots) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \right] \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where the values $A_{\alpha\beta}$ (normalized in "right" way), $W^{(s)}$ and $T_\alpha^{(s)}$ are introduced in (4.8), (4.4) and (4.7) respectively.

Proof.

Let us consider the quantities

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_{\theta\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', z, w) \varphi_{\theta'\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', w) \nu(w-y) dz dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{d^m\theta'}{(2\pi)^m}$$

We have

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \int \varphi_{\theta\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_w, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(z-w) \varphi_{\theta\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, w) \nu(w-y) dz dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m} +$$

$$+ \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta\alpha z}^{(s)} - (T_\alpha^{(s)})_z \right) \nu(z-w) \left(W_{\theta\beta w}^{(s)} - (T_\beta^{(s)})_w \right) \nu(w-y) dz dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m}$$

We can calculate these values in two ways:

1) Let us first make the integration with respect to z . We have

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \int \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \left(\varphi_{\theta\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, w) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_w, \dots) \right)_{kw} \varphi_{\theta\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, w) \nu(w-y) dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m} -$$

$$- \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} - T_\alpha^{(s)}(z) \right) \left(W_{\theta\beta w}^{(s)} - (T_\beta^{(s)})_w \right) \nu(w-y) dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m} =$$

$$= \int \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \left(\varphi_{\theta\alpha}^i \omega_{ij}^{(k)} \right)_{kw} \varphi_{\theta\beta}^j - \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(h_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} - h_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} + (T_\beta^{(s)})_w T_\alpha^{(s)} \right) \right] \times$$

$$\times \nu(w-y) dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m} -$$

$$- \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} \right)_w - \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} W_w^{(s)} \right)_{\theta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} W_w^{(s)} \right)_{\theta\beta} - \left(W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} \right)_w \right] \times$$

$$\times \nu(w-y) dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m}$$

Using now the skew-symmetry of the form $\tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', z, w)$ and the relations (4.8) we can write

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(y) = - \int (A_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, w))_w \nu(w-y) dw \frac{d^m\theta}{(2\pi)^m} -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_w - \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} \right)_w \right] \nu(w-y) dw \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = \int A_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \int W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) + W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

(we used the relation $A_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty) = 0$ on \hat{W}_0 .)

II) Let now make first the integration with respect to w . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\alpha\beta}(y) & = \int \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_z, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kz}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \nu(z-y) dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_z, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)z}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \delta^{(p-1)}(z-y) dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& \quad + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) - (T_\alpha^{(s)})_z(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) \right] \times \\
& \times \left[W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) + \frac{1}{4} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) - \frac{1}{4} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \right] \nu(z-y) dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z) - (T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z))_z \right] \nu(z-y) \left[W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right] dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = \int (A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, z))_z \nu(z-y) dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (-1)^{p-1} \left(\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)y}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right)_{(p-1)y} \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_z - \left(W_z^{(s)} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta^\beta} + \left(W_z^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta^\alpha} \right] \nu(z-y) dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\left(T_\alpha^{(s)} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} \right)_z + \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} - T_\alpha^{(s)} \right)_z \right] \nu(z-y) dz \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right) \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = - \int A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (-1)^{p-1} \left(\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)y}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right)_{(p-1)y} \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, -\infty) + W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right) \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (I) and (II) and using (4.5) we get now the statement of the Lemma.

Lemma 4 is proved.

5 The averaging of the weakly nonlocal Symplectic Structures.

Let us now make the change $X = \epsilon x$, $T = \epsilon t$. We can define again a symplectic form in new coordinates which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', X, Y) & = \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \epsilon^k \delta^{(k)}(X - Y) \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', Y)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n \quad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{H}^{(s)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} h^s(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dX$$

We will assume for simplicity that the family Λ of solutions of (3.5) contains the solutions corresponding to $k^\alpha = 0$ for some parameters $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_0$ such that $\Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U}_0) = C^i = \text{const}$ (we should have $Q^i(\mathbf{C}, 0, \dots) = 0$ in this case).

Let us introduce the functional "sub-manifold" \mathcal{M}_0 in the space of functions $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ (2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α) in the following way

- 1) We require that the functions $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ from \mathcal{M}_0 belong to the family Λ of solutions of (3.5) at any fixed X ;
- 2) We put $\mathbf{U}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_0$ (i.e. $\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \rightarrow C^i$) for $X \rightarrow \pm\infty$ (rapidly enough).

The functions $\mathbf{U}(X)$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X)$ can be taken as the coordinates on the sub-manifold \mathcal{M}_0 such that we have

$$\varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0]}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) = \Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X), \mathbf{U}(X))$$

for the functions belonging to \mathcal{M}_0 .

We will consider also the " ϵ -deformations" $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$ of the sub-manifold \mathcal{M}_0 defined with the aid of an arbitrary function $\Psi_{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α and such that

$$\Psi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } X \rightarrow \pm\infty$$

Namely, we put

$$\varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0]}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) = \Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X), \mathbf{U}(X)) + \epsilon \Psi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X), X)$$

which defines the ϵ -deformation of the function $\varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0]}$ corresponding to the coordinates $\mathbf{U}(X)$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X)$. Easy to see that the case $\Psi_{(1)} = 0$ corresponds to the sub-manifold \mathcal{M}_0 .

Let us introduce now the new coordinates $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X)$ on \mathcal{M}_0 and $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$ in the following way:

$$\theta_0^{*\alpha}(X) = \theta_0^\alpha(X) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} S^\alpha(X)$$

where

$$S^\alpha(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X - Y) k^\alpha(\mathbf{U}(Y)) dY$$

We can write then on any $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*]}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) &= \Phi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), \mathbf{U}(X)) + \\ &+ \epsilon \Psi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), X) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

We can see that the functions $\varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \theta_0^*]}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ become the rapidly oscillating functions of X (for fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$) for any fixed "coordinates" $\mathbf{U}(X)$, $\theta_0^*(X)$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Easy to see also that (5.2) represents in fact the first two terms of the expansion of asymptotic solutions (3.8) for the appropriate $\Psi_{(1)}$.

Let us formulate now the theorem about the restriction of 2-form $\hat{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', X, Y)$ on the sub-manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$.

Theorem 4.

The restriction of the form $\hat{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', X, Y)$ to any submanifold $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$ in coordinates $U^\nu(X)$, $\theta_0^{*\alpha}(X)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{rest} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) \delta U^\nu(X) \delta U^\mu(Y) dX dY + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\nu\alpha}^2(X, Y) \delta U^\nu(X) \delta \theta_0^{*\alpha}(Y) dX dY + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\alpha\nu}^3(X, Y) \delta \theta_0^{*\alpha}(X) \delta U^\nu(Y) dX dY + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}^4(X, Y) \delta \theta_0^{*\alpha}(X) \delta \theta_0^{*\beta}(Y) dX dY \end{aligned}$$

where

I) The weak limit⁵ $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y)$ of the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial I_\alpha}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) + \frac{\partial I_\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) + \frac{o(1)}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

where the expression $\langle h^{(s)} \rangle(\mathbf{U})$ are the averaged densities $h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$ and the functions $I^\alpha(\mathbf{U})$ are defined through the formulas

$$\frac{\partial I_\alpha}{\partial U^\nu} = - \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\nu} \langle A_{\alpha\beta} \rangle +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\nu} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\gamma_\alpha^\delta \left(\langle T_\beta^{(s)} J_\delta^{(s)} \rangle - \langle T_\beta^{(s)} \rangle \langle J_\delta^{(s)} \rangle \right) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\alpha^\delta \gamma_\beta^\zeta \left(\langle J_\delta^{(s)} J_\zeta^{(s)} \rangle - \langle J_\delta^{(s)} \rangle \langle J_\zeta^{(s)} \rangle \right) \right] +$$

⁵We mean here the limit in sense of functionals $\int \Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) \xi^\nu(X) \eta^\mu(Y) dX dY$ for any fixed smooth $\xi^\nu(X)$, $\eta^\mu(Y)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \langle \Phi_{U\nu}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi, \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha, kx}^j \rangle - \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \langle \Phi_{U\nu}^i \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} T_\alpha^{(s)} \rangle + \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \gamma_\alpha^\beta \left(\langle \Phi_{U\nu}^i \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} J_\beta^{(s)} \rangle - \langle \Phi_{U\nu}^i \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \rangle \langle J_\beta^{(s)} \rangle \right) \quad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

(the functions $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are normalized according to Lemma 3);

II) The forms $\Omega_{\nu\alpha}^2(X, Y)$, $\Omega_{\alpha\nu}^3(X, Y)$ have the order $O(1)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$;

The form $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^4(X, Y)$ has the order $O(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$.

Proof.

Let us first rewrite the relations (4.8) and (4.10) in the variables θ , X , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi, \epsilon \varphi_X, \dots) \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kX}^j + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} - h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} T_\beta^{(s)} + \epsilon (T_\alpha^{(s)})_X T_\beta^{(s)} \right) & \equiv \\
\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\gamma} Q_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\varphi, \epsilon \varphi_X, \dots) + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial X} A_{\alpha\beta}(\varphi, \epsilon \varphi_X, \dots) & \quad (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} A_{\alpha\beta}(\varphi(\theta, Y), \epsilon \varphi_Y(\theta, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (-1)^{p-1} \epsilon^{k-1} \left(\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\theta, Y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi(\theta, Y), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)Y}^j(\theta, Y) \right)_{(p-1)Y} \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\theta, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\theta, Y) - W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\theta, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\theta, +\infty) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s T_\alpha^{(s)}(\theta, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\theta, Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\theta, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\theta, Y) \right) \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\theta, Y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\theta, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} \equiv \\
& \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} A_{\beta\alpha}(\varphi(\theta, Y), \epsilon \varphi_Y(\theta, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \right] \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& \quad - \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \tag{5.5}
\end{aligned}$$

We can write on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)}{\delta U^\nu(Y)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Phi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), \mathbf{U}(X) \right) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(Y) + \\
& + \Psi_{(1)\theta^\alpha}^i \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), X \right) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(Y) + \\
& + \Phi_{U^\nu}^i \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), \mathbf{U}(X) \right) \delta(X - Y) \\
& = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(Y) + \Phi_{U^\nu}^i \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), \mathbf{U}(X) \right) \delta(X - Y)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)}{\delta \theta_0^{*\alpha}(Y)} = \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \delta(X - Y)$$

We have so

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) &= \int \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(Z - X) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) + \delta(Z - X) \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Z)) \right) \times \\
& \times \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', Z, W) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \varphi_{\theta^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W) \nu(W - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) + \delta(W - Y) \Phi_{U^\mu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}' + \dots, \mathbf{U}(W)) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}'}{(2\pi)^m} dZ dW
\end{aligned}$$

We can write then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) &= - \int \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Z) \sum_{k \geq 0} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots) \times \\
& \quad \times \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kZ}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \nu(Z - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} -
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \left[\nu(X-Y) \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (-1)^{p-1} \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \times \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)Y}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right]_{(p-1)Y} \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \sum_{k \geq 0} \epsilon^k \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \times \\
& \quad \times [\varphi_{\theta^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X-Y)]_{kX} \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \epsilon^k \left[\nu(X-Y) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \right]_{kY} \times \\
& \quad \times \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{k \geq 0} \epsilon^k \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \times \\
& \quad \times [\Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \delta(X-Y)]_{kX} \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \left[\epsilon W_{\theta^\alpha Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - \epsilon T_{\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right] \nu(Z-W) \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\epsilon W_{\theta^\beta W}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W) - \epsilon T_{\beta, W}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W) \right] \nu(W-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ dW \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X-W) \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\epsilon W_{\theta^\beta W}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W) - \epsilon T_{\beta, W}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W) \right] \nu(W-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dW \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \left[\epsilon W_{\theta^\alpha Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - \epsilon T_{\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right] \nu(Z-Y) \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

We should substitute now the functions φ^i in the form (5.2) and we are interested here in the terms of ϵ -expansion of $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ containing $1/\epsilon$ and omit all the terms of order $O(1)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We can see then that we can omit the differentiation of the function $\nu(X - Y)$ in the second, the third, and the fourth terms of the expression for $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ since they appear only in regular terms for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By the same reason we can omit the whole fifth term in the same expression which is regular for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The whole expression for $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ can then be rewritten (after some calculation) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Z) \sum_{k \geq 0} \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \epsilon^k \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots) \times \\
& \quad \times \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kZ}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \nu(Z - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \int \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (-1)^{p-1} \epsilon^k \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\beta, (k-p)Y}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right]_{(p-1)Y} \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \epsilon^k \times \\
& \quad \times \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kX}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \int \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \epsilon^k \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \right]_{kY} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} -
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \times \\
& \times \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta^\alpha Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\alpha Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - T_{\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - \right. \\
& - \left(T_{\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right)_Z + \frac{1}{\epsilon} h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) T_{\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) T_{\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) + \\
& \quad \left. + T_{\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) T_{\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right] \nu(Z-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - T_{\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right] \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(X-Y) \left[W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Y) \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \times \\
& \quad \times \left[W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \times \\
& \quad \times \left[W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - T_{\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right] \nu(X-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X-Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left[W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \left[W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - T_{\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right] \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \nu(X - Y) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \times \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider specially the functions $W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$. We first consider the sub-manifold \mathcal{M}_0 and represent the functions $\varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*]}$ in the form

$$\varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) = \Phi^i\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*(X) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}(X), \mathbf{U}(X)\right) \quad (5.6)$$

Let us recall the commuting flows (3.16) for the system (3.1) and the corresponding relations (3.17) for the functions $h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$. We can write in the new "slow" variables X, T :

$$\epsilon \varphi_{T^\alpha}^i = Q_{(\alpha)}^i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X, \dots)$$

and the relations (3.17) become now

$$h_{T^\alpha}^{(s)} \equiv \partial_X J_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X, \dots)$$

Let us represent the operator $\epsilon \partial_X$ on the functions (5.6) in the following form:

$$\epsilon \partial_X = \partial_X^I + \epsilon \partial_X^H$$

where

$$\partial_X^I = S_X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} = k^\alpha(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha}, \quad \partial_X^H = U_X^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\nu} + \theta_{0X}^{*\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha}$$

We can write on the manifold \mathcal{M}_0

$$\omega_{(\alpha)}^\eta(\mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\eta} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_X^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) = \partial_X^I J_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_X^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$$

or using the relations (3.19):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_X^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) = \gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}(X)) \partial_X^I J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_X^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) = \\
& = \gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}(X)) \left[\epsilon \partial_X J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_X^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) - \epsilon \partial_X^H J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_X^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

We have then on \mathcal{M}_0

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\varphi, \epsilon \varphi_X, \dots) &= \epsilon \left[\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}) J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) \right]_X - \\
&- \epsilon \left[(\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}))_X J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) + \gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}) \partial_X^{II} J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) \right] + \\
&+ \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} \delta h^{(s)}(\varphi, \dots) + O(\epsilon^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$\delta h^{(s)}(\varphi, \dots) = \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_x^i}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) \partial_X^{II} \varphi^i + \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_{xx}^i}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) (\partial_X^I \partial_X^{II} + \partial_X^{II} \partial_X^I) \varphi^i + \dots$$

and the functions $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ have the form (5.6).

Let us now come back to the sub-manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$ and consider the functions $\varphi_{[\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*]}$ having the form (5.2). We can see that the relations (5.7) can be rewritten then in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\varphi, \epsilon \varphi_X, \dots) &= \epsilon \left[\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}) J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) \right]_X - \\
&- \epsilon \left[(\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}))_X J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) + \gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}) \partial_X^{II} J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) \right] + \\
&+ \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} \tilde{h}^{(s)}(\varphi, \dots) + O(\epsilon^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{h}^{(s)} = \delta h^{(s)} + \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi^i} \Psi_{(1)}^i + \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_x^i} \epsilon \Psi_{(1)X}^i + \dots$$

We can write now on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X - W) h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\varphi, W) dW = \\
&= \gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}(X)) J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_X^I \varphi, \dots) - \\
&- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X - W) \partial_W^{II} \left(\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}(W)) J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \partial_W^I \varphi, \dots) \right) dW + \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X - W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} \tilde{h}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W) dW + O(\epsilon)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

(we use here the operator ∂_W^{II} also as ∂_W for the functions $\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U})$ depending on \mathbf{U} only and assume the normalization of $J_\delta^{(s)}(\varphi, \dots)$ such that $J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty) = 0$ on \mathcal{M}).

We can see that the quantities $W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}$ have the order $O(1)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and the fixed coordinates $\mathbf{U}(x)$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(X)$ on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$.

We evidently have also

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} = 0 \quad (5.10)$$

Let us consider now in main order of ϵ the arbitrary value of the form

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m}$$

where $V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ is arbitrary smooth and periodic w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ function (we can have in particular $X = Y$).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} &= \gamma_\alpha^\delta(Y) \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\ &- \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(Y - W) \partial_W^{II} \left(\gamma_\alpha^\delta(W) J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \partial_W^I \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots) \right) dW \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\ &+ \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(Y - W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} \tilde{\delta} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W), \dots) dW \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + O(\epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

The expressions $J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W), \dots)$ and $\delta h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W), \dots)$ are the rapidly oscillating functions of W due to the fast change of the phase according to (5.2). It's not difficult to show that in the main order of ϵ the expression (5.11) is given by the independent integration w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ at the points X and W integrated then w.r.t. W for smooth generic $\mathbf{S}(W)$. We can see then that the third term in (5.11) disappears in fact in the main order of ϵ . After that we can also replace in the main order of ϵ the integration w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ just by the averaging on the family Λ in the first two terms of (5.11) since the $\epsilon \Psi_{(1)}$ -corrections give there just the values of order $O(\epsilon)$. We can write then on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$ in the main order of ϵ

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} &= \gamma_\alpha^\delta(Y) \langle V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \rangle - \\ &- \langle V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \rangle \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(Y - W) \partial_W \left(\gamma_\alpha^\delta(W) \langle J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W) \rangle \right) dW + o(1) = \\ &= \gamma_\alpha^\delta(Y) \left[\langle V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \rangle - \langle V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \rangle \langle J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \rangle \right] + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

We can write also the following relation

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} = o(1)$$

for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ which follows from the formula (5.12) when we use $J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty) = 0$ on \mathcal{M} .

Looking now at the expression for $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ we can see that all the terms containing the values like $W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty)$ can be actually omitted in the main $(1/\epsilon)$ order of $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ according to the remark above.

Using the formula (5.4) we can write now

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \sum_{k \geq 0} \varphi_{\theta\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \epsilon^k \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots) \times \\
& \quad \times \varphi_{\theta\beta, kZ}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \nu(Z-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[h_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - h_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \epsilon T_{\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right] \nu(Z-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) [A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots)]_Z \nu(Z-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots) \nu(X-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Y) A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

We will also use the identity

$$W_{\theta\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} \right)_Z + \frac{1}{2} \left(W_Z^{(s)} W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \left(W_Z^{(s)} W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} \right)_{\theta\beta}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[W_{\theta\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left(T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right)_Z \right] \nu(Z-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{1}{2} W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) + \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \Big] \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

Using also (5.5) and the remark above we can write then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) & = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) A_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \epsilon^k \times \\
& \quad \times \Phi_{\theta^\beta, kX}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \epsilon^k \left[\Phi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \right]_{kY} \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y)} \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Y)) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

We will investigate now the weak limit $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y)$ of the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$, i.e. the limit in sense of the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \xi^\nu(X) \Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) \eta^\mu(Y) dX dY$$

for fixed (smooth) $\xi^\nu(X)$ and $\eta^\mu(Y)$.

We will use first the formulas (5.9) for the values like $W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)}$, $W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}$ in the expression above. It's easy to see then that $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ contains actually just the terms of order $1/\epsilon$ in the main part.

We note after that that the integration w.r.t. θ in the last four terms can be done independently at the points X and Y in the weak limit for the rapidly oscillating functions of X and Y in the full analogy with the remark before the formula (5.12). Using then the formula (5.10) we see that the values like $W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)}$, $W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)}$ can be actually omitted in the order $1/\epsilon$ for the weak limit of the last four terms of $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$. We can also replace in the same terms the integration w.r.t. θ just by the averaging on the quasiperiodic solutions in the main ($1/\epsilon$) order of ϵ .

It's not difficult to prove also the formula

$$\frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) = \left\langle \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\theta, X)} \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\theta, X) \right\rangle + \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \langle T_\alpha^{(s)}(\theta, X) \rangle \quad (5.13)$$

according to the definition (4.7) of the functions $T_\alpha^{(s)}$.

Using the formula (5.13) and the remarks above we can see then that the last four terms in the expression for $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$ give the terms

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) + \frac{o(1)}{\epsilon}$$

for $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y)$.

If we introduce now the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\beta\nu} = & \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu} \left[-\langle A_{\alpha\beta} \rangle + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \langle T_\alpha^{(s)} W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \langle W_{\theta\alpha}^{(s)} W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} \rangle \right] + \\ & + \langle \Phi_{U^\nu}^i \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi, \dots) \varphi_{\theta\beta, kx}^j \rangle + \langle \Phi_{U^\nu}^i \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} (W_{\theta\beta}^{(s)} - T_\beta^{(s)}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and use the formulas (5.12) we can see that the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y)$ can be written as

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \tau_{\alpha\mu}(Y) + \tau_{\alpha\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) + \frac{o(1)}{\epsilon}$$

where the values $\tau_{\alpha\nu}(\mathbf{U})$ are given by the formulas (5.3) for the values $\partial I_\alpha / \partial U^\nu$.

Let us prove now that $\tau_{\alpha\nu}(\mathbf{U})$ can be in fact represented as the derivatives $\partial I_\alpha / \partial U^\nu$ for some functions $I_\alpha(\mathbf{U})$. We will assume as we said already that the gradients dk^1, \dots, dk^m are linearly independent on \mathcal{M}^N . From the closeness of the form Ω^{rest} it follows that the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{1(wl)}(X, Y)$ is also closed on \mathcal{M} . Easy to see that the part

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\mu}(Y)$$

is closed according to Theorem 2. We get then that the form

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \tau_{\alpha\mu}(Y) + \tau_{\alpha\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \right)$$

should also be closed on \mathcal{M} . Using Theorem 2 it's not difficult to see then that we should have $\tau_{\alpha\nu}(\mathbf{U}) = \partial I_\alpha / \partial U^\nu$ for some functions $I_\alpha(\mathbf{U})$ in this case.

II) We have $\Omega_{\nu\alpha}^2(X, Y) = -\Omega_{\alpha\nu}^3(Y, X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\nu\alpha}^2(X, Y) &= \int \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Z) \varphi_{\theta^\gamma}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) + \delta(X - Z) \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Z)) \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \hat{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', Z, W) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W) \delta(W - Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}'}{(2\pi)^m} dZ dW \end{aligned}$$

Easy to see that we can omit all the terms of order $O(1)$ in this expression keeping in mind the statement of the Theorem. In particular we can omit the differentiation of the function $\delta(W - Y)$ in the local part and write

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\nu\alpha}^2(X, Y) &= \\ &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \varphi_{\theta^\gamma}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\alpha, kY}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} - \\ &- \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Z) \left(W_{\theta^\gamma Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - T_{\gamma, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right) \nu(Z - Y) \times \\ &\quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\alpha, Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} dZ + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\alpha, Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + O(1) = \\
& = - \int \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \varphi_{\theta^\gamma}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\alpha, kY}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& - \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Z) \left(W_{\theta^\gamma Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) - T_{\gamma, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(Z - Y) \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dZ - \\
& - \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \left(W_{\theta^\gamma Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\gamma, Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \delta(X - Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relations $W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \sim O(1)$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we can omit now the last two terms. The second term can be rewritten in the form

$$- \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \left(W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - \frac{1}{2} - W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, +\infty) \right) \times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \nu(X - Y) \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \left(W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

and can be omitted by the same reason.

We have so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{\nu^\alpha}^2(X, Y) = \\
& = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \varphi_{\theta^\gamma}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\alpha, kY}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
& \quad - \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(W_Y^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right)_{\theta^\gamma} - \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_Y^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right)_{\theta^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right)_Y - \left. \left(T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right)_Y + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\epsilon} h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} h_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) + T_{\gamma, Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + \\
& \quad + O(1) \tag{5.14}
\end{aligned}$$

We can omit now the total derivatives w.r.t. θ^γ and θ^α in the second integral. The term

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right)_Y - \left(T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right)_Y \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} -
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \delta(X - Y) \left[\frac{1}{2} W_{\theta^\gamma}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\gamma^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right] \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m}$$

and has also the order $O(1)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The rest of the expression (5.14) can now be written according to (5.4) as

$$- \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\gamma}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\beta} Q_{\gamma\alpha}^\beta(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} A_{\gamma\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \right] \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} +$$

$$+ O(1) = O(1)$$

So we get the part (II) of the Theorem.

III) We have

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^4(X, Y) = \int \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kX}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \delta(X - Y) +$$

$$+ \epsilon \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_{\alpha, X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X - Y) \times$$

$$\times \left(W_{\theta^\beta Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_{\beta, Y}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \delta(X - Y) + O(\epsilon) =$$

$$= \int \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \epsilon^k \varphi_{\theta^\beta, kX}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \delta(X - Y) +$$

$$+ \epsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X \partial Y} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X - Y) \times$$

$$\times \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \right) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} +$$

$$+ \epsilon \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \left(W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \delta'(X - Y) +$$

$$+ \epsilon \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(W_X^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right)_{\theta^\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_X^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right)_{\theta^\beta} +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right)_X - \left(T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) W_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right)_X + \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} h_{\theta^\beta}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) + \\
& + T_{\alpha, X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) T_\beta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \left) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} \delta(X - Y) + O(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments as before we can write now

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^4(X, Y) = \int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\gamma} Q_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \delta(X - Y) + \epsilon (A_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X))_X \delta(X - Y) \right] \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + O(\epsilon)$$

So we get the part (III) of the theorem.

Theorem 4 is proved.

Definition 4. We call the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{av}(X, Y) = & \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial I_\alpha}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) + \frac{\partial I_\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \right) + \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X - Y) \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

- the averaging of the form (2.3) on the space of m -phase solutions of system (3.1).

We call the functions $I^\alpha(\mathbf{U})$ defined through the formulas (5.3) the action variables conjugated with the wave numbers $k^\alpha(\mathbf{U})$.

We will prove now that the Symplectic structure (5.15) can be considered actually as the Symplectic structure for the Whitham system (3.15) while the value $\int \langle h \rangle(X) dX$ plays the role of the Hamiltonian function for this system. Let us prove here the following Theorem:

Theorem 5.

If the functions

$$\phi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T, \epsilon) = \Phi^i \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}(X, T)}{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^*(X, T) + \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{U}(X, T) \right) + \epsilon \Psi_{(1)}^i \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}(X, T)}{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\theta}, X, T \right)$$

satisfy the system (3.9) modulo the terms $O(\epsilon^2)$ then the following relation is true

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\nu\mu}^{av}(X, Y) U_T^\mu(Y) dY = \frac{\partial \langle h \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \tag{5.16}$$

Proof.

Let us prove first that under the conditions of the Theorem the following relations hold in the weak limit

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \phi_{(1)\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z, \epsilon) + \delta(X-Z) \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Z)) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \hat{\Omega}_{ij}[\boldsymbol{\phi}_{(1)}](\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', Z, W) \times \\
& \times \left(\phi_{(1)\theta'^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W, \epsilon) \left(S_T^\beta(W) + \epsilon \theta_T^{*\beta}(W) \right) + \epsilon \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}' + \dots, \mathbf{U}(W)) U_T^\mu(W) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times dZ dW \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{d^m \theta'}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
& = \int \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \phi_{(1)\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z, \epsilon) + \delta(X-Z) \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Z)) \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \frac{\delta \hat{H}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)} (\phi_{(1)}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z, \epsilon), \dots) dZ \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} + o(1) \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Easy to see that the expression

$$\phi_{(1)\theta'^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W, \epsilon) \left(S_T^\beta(W) + \epsilon \theta_T^{*\beta}(W) \right) + \epsilon \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}' + \dots, \mathbf{U}(W)) U_T^\mu(W)$$

gives actually the value $\epsilon \phi_{(1)T}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W, \epsilon)$ up to the terms of order $O(\epsilon^2)$.

We can write then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{(1)\theta'^\beta}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W, \epsilon) \left(S_T^\beta(W) + \epsilon \theta_T^{*\beta}(W) \right) + \epsilon \Phi_{U^\mu}^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}' + \dots, \mathbf{U}(W)) U_T^\mu(W) = \\
& = Q^j(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{(1)}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\phi}_{(1)W}, \dots) + \epsilon^2 G^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}' + \dots, W)
\end{aligned}$$

where $G^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W)$ are some local expressions of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}', \mathbf{U}(W))$, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W)$ and their derivatives.

Let us start with the nonlocal part of the form $\hat{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', Z, W)$. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \phi_{(1)\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z, \epsilon) + \delta(X-Z) \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Z)) \right) \times \\
& \times \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)} [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{(1)}] \nu(Z-W) \delta(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W)} [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{(1)}] \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \epsilon^2 G^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}' + \dots, W) dZ dW \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}'}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
= & \int \left(-\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \Psi_{(0)\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, Z) \right) \times \\
& \times \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)} [\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(0)}] \nu(Z-W) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, W)} [\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(0)}] \times \\
& \times G^j(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, W) dZ dW \frac{d^m \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^m} + O(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments as before we note that the rapidly oscillating functions of Z and W should be averaged in the weak limit separately in the main order of ϵ (for generic $\mathbf{S}(Z), \mathbf{S}(W)$) and besides that

$$\langle \Psi_{(0)\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, Z) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)} [\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(0)}] \rangle = \langle h_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)} - \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial X} T_\alpha^{(s)} \rangle = -\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \langle T_\alpha^{(s)} \rangle = O(\epsilon)$$

We can claim then that the terms consisting G^j can be actually omitted since they do not affect (5.17) both in the non-local and local parts of $\hat{\Omega}_{ij}$.

Let us use now the relations

$$\frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W)} \epsilon \varphi_T^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W) = \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}', W)} Q^j(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi}_W, \dots) \equiv \epsilon \partial_W \bar{J}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi}_W, \dots)$$

which follows from (3.3) (where the functions $\bar{J}^{(s)}$ are in general different from $J^{(s)}$ introduced in (3.3)).

Using the identity

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \epsilon^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial Z^k} Q^j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) + \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)} \bar{J}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \equiv \frac{\delta \hat{H}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)}$$

(which is the definition of the symplectic structure of the system (3.1)) we get (5.17).

Now using the relation

$$S_T^\beta(W) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(W-Y) \frac{\partial k^\beta}{\partial U^\mu}(Y) U_T^\mu(Y) dY$$

we can see that the left-hand part of (5.17) can be written as

$$\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y) U_T^\mu(Y) dY + \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\nu\beta}^2(X, Y) \theta_T^{*\beta}(Y) dY$$

where $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^1(X, Y)$, $\Omega_{\nu\beta}^2(X, Y)$ are the parts of the restriction of the form $\hat{\Omega}_{ij}$ on the manifold $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\Psi_{(1)}]$ introduced in the Theorem 4.

Using the relation (4.6) and the integration by parts (w.r.t. Z) in the right-hand part of (5.17) we can see that the right-hand part of (5.17) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \langle T_\alpha(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta \hat{H}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right\rangle + O(\epsilon)$$

where T_α is the analog of the functions $T_\alpha^{(s)}$ for the functional \hat{H} . So we have that the right-hand part of (5.17) is equal to $\partial \langle h \rangle / \partial U^\nu(X) + O(\epsilon)$ according to (5.13).

If we consider now the weak limit of the relation (5.17) and use the parts (I), (II) of Theorem 4 we get the relation (5.16) in the main ($O(1)$) order of ϵ .

Theorem 5 is proved.

As we already said previously, we can consider the system (5.16) as the Whitham system for (3.1) in the generic situation.

6 The weakly nonlocal 1-forms and the averaging of the weakly non-local Lagrangian functions.

Let us consider now the 1-forms $\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x)$ on the space of functions $\varphi^i(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ having the form

$$\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x) = c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x-y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y, \dots) dy \quad (6.1)$$

where $H^{(s)}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) dx$.

We can see that the forms (6.1) have the purely local part and the nonlocal "tail" of the fixed form which we will call weakly nonlocal in this situation. We will call the form $\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x)$ purely local if it has the form

$$\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x) = c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$$

for some functions $c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$.

We call the weakly nonlocal form (6.1) purely nonlocal if

$$\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x-y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y, \dots) dy$$

The action of the forms $\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x)$ on the "tangent vectors" $\xi^i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x)$ is defined in the natural way

$$(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi})[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x) \xi^i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x) dx$$

The forms (6.1) are closely connected with the weakly nonlocal 2-forms (2.3). Namely, let us consider the external derivative of the form $\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x)$:

$$[d\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{\delta\omega_j[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](y)}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} - \frac{\delta\omega_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](x)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)}$$

Lemma 5.

The external derivative $[d\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{ij}(x, y)$ is the closed two-form having the form (2.3) with some local functions $\omega_{ij}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots)$.

Proof.

First we note that the closeness of $d\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a trivial fact since $d\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is exact. Easy to see that the derivative of the local part of ω_i can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial \varphi^i}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y, \dots) \delta(y-x) + \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial \varphi_y^i}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_y, \dots) \delta'(y-x) + \dots \\ & - \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial \varphi^j}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \delta(x-y) - \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial \varphi_x^j}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_x, \dots) \delta'(x-y) - \dots \end{aligned}$$

and is a purely local 2-form.

The derivative of the nonlocal part of ω_i can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta\varphi^i(x) \delta\varphi^j(y)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(y-z) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_z, \dots) dz - \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(y-z) \frac{\delta h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_z, \dots)}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} dz + \\ & +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta\varphi^j(y) \delta\varphi^i(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x-z) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_z, \dots) dz + \\ & +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x-z) \frac{\delta h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_z, \dots)}{\delta\varphi^j(y)} dz \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta\varphi^i(x)} = \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi^i}(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_x^i}(x) + \dots$$

and

$$\frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x) \delta \varphi^j(y)} = \frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y) \delta \varphi^i(x)}$$

for smooth functions $h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$.

We have also

$$\frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x) \delta \varphi^j(y)} = \frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y) \delta \varphi^i(x)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} A_{ij}^{(s)k}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \delta^{(k)}(x - y)$$

for some local functions $A_{ij}^{(s)k}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots)$.

Using the formulas

$$\delta^{(k)}(x - y) \nu(y - z) = \delta^{(k)}(x - y) \nu(x - z) + \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p \delta^{(k-p)}(x - y) \delta^{(p-1)}(x - z)$$

we can write then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x) \delta \varphi^j(y)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(y - z) h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_z, \dots) dz + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta^2 H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y) \delta \varphi^i(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x - z) h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_z, \dots) dz = \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{ij}^{(s)k}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots) \sum_{p=1}^k C_k^p (h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_x, \dots))_{(p-1)x} \delta^{(k-p)}(x - y) \end{aligned}$$

which is a local expression.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(y - z) \frac{\delta h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_z, \dots)}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} dz = \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(y - z) \left(\frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi^i}(z) \delta(z - x) + \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_z^i}(z) \delta'(z - x) + \dots \right) dz = \\ & = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \left[\nu(y - x) \frac{\partial h^{(s)}}{\partial \varphi_{px}^i}(x) \right]_{px} = \nu(y - x) \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} + (\text{local part}) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(x - z) \frac{\delta h^{(s)}(\varphi, \varphi_z, \dots)}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} dz = \nu(x - y) \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} + (\text{local part})$$

We have finally

$$\begin{aligned}
& [d\omega]_{ij}(x, y) = \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} \nu(y-x) \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \nu(x-y) \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} + (\text{local part}) = \\
& = \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(x)} \nu(x-y) \frac{\delta H^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^j(y)} + (\text{local part})
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 is proved.

It's not difficult to prove also (using the analogous statement for purely local symplectic structures) that every closed 2-form (2.3) can be locally represented as the external derivative of some 1-form (6.1) on the space $\varphi(x)$.

We are going to give now the procedure of averaging of 1-forms (6.1) connected with the averaging of the Symplectic structures (2.3). Namely, we will assume now that the form $\Omega_{ij}(x, y)$ is represented as the external derivative of the form (6.1). The corresponding procedure of averaging of the form (6.1) should then give the weakly nonlocal 1-form of "Hydrodynamic type" which is connected with the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{av}(X, Y)$ in the same way.

Definition 5. We call the form $\omega_\nu[\mathbf{U}](X)$ on the space of functions $U^1(X), \dots, U^N(X)$ the weakly nonlocal 1-form of Hydrodynamic type if it has the form

$$\omega_\nu[\mathbf{U}](X) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,p=1}^M \kappa_{sp} \frac{\partial f^{(s)}}{\partial U^\nu}(\mathbf{U}(X)) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X-Y) f^{(p)}(\mathbf{U}(Y)) dY \quad (6.2)$$

for some functions $f^{(s)}(\mathbf{U})$ and the quadratic form κ_{sp} .

It's not difficult to see that the form $\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$ given by (1.17) is connected with (6.2) by the relation

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) = [d\omega]_{\nu\mu}(X, Y) \quad (6.3)$$

As previously, we introduce the extended space of functions $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, x)$ 2π -periodic w.r.t. each θ^α . After the change of coordinate $X = \epsilon x$ we can introduce the 1-form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\omega}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) = c_i(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \epsilon \varphi_X(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) - \\
& - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \epsilon \varphi_Y(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) dY \quad (6.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{H}^{(s)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) dX$.

Easy to see that the relation

$$\Omega_{ij}(x, y) = [d\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{ij}(x, y)$$

gives

$$\hat{\Omega}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', X, Y) = [d\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}', X, Y)$$

on the "extended" functional space.

According to our previous approach we will investigate here the main term of the restriction of the 1-form $\hat{\omega}_i[\boldsymbol{\varphi}](\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ on the submanifolds $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(1)}]$ (in coordinates $(\mathbf{U}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0^*)$) in the weak sense. Let us formulate here the corresponding theorem.

Theorem 6.

The restriction of the form $\hat{\omega}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)$ to any submanifold $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(1)}]$ in coordinates $U^\nu(X), \theta_0^{*\alpha}(X)$ can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^{rest} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_\nu^1(X) \delta U^\nu(X) dX + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega_\alpha^2(X) \delta \theta_0^{*\alpha}(X) dX$$

where

I) The form $\omega_\nu^1(X)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\nu^1(X) = & -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X-Y) I_\alpha(Y) dY - \\ & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X-Y) \langle h^{(s)} \rangle(Y) dY + \frac{o(1)}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

(summation over $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$) where

$$I_\alpha(\mathbf{U}) = \langle c_i \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U}) \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left[\langle h^{(s)} J_\delta^{(s)} \rangle - \langle h^{(s)} \rangle \langle J_\delta^{(s)} \rangle \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \langle h^{(s)} T_\alpha^{(s)} \rangle \quad (6.5)$$

and the values $J_\delta^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$, $\gamma_\alpha^\delta(\mathbf{U})$ and $T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots)$ are introduced in (3.17), (3.19) and (4.7).

II) The form $\omega_\alpha^2(X)$ has the order $O(1)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof.

We have

$$\omega_\nu^1(X) = \int \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(Z-X) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) + \delta(Z-X) \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(Z)) \right) \times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \hat{\omega}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dZ = \\
& = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dZ + \\
& + \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z)} \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(Z-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY dZ - \\
& - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY + O(1) = \\
& = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) \langle c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \rangle dZ + \\
& + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) W_{\theta^\alpha Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(Z-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY dZ - \\
& - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Z) T_{\alpha, Z}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Z) \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(Z-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY dZ - \\
& - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \times \\
& \quad \times \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\langle \dots \rangle$ means again the averaging on the family Λ and the functions $W^{(s)}$, $T_\alpha^{(s)}$ are the same as in (4.4), (4.7).

As in the proof of the Theorem 4 we can omit here also (by the same reason) the averaging with the values like $W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \pm\infty)$ in the main order of ϵ . We can write then

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\nu^1(X) &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Y) \langle c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) \rangle dY + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY - \\
&- \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Y) W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY - \\
&- \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \nu(X-Y) T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY - \\
&- \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \Phi_{U^\nu}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \dots, \mathbf{U}(X)) \frac{\delta \hat{H}^{(s)}}{\delta \varphi^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X)} \times \\
&\quad \times \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

We can use now the same arguments as in the proof of the Theorem 4 and make in the main order of ϵ the independent integration w.r.t. θ of the rapidly oscillating functions depending on X and Y before the integration w.r.t. Y . We can omit then the second term of the expression above in the main order. Using also the relations (5.12) and (5.13) we get the statement (I) of the theorem.

II) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\alpha^2(X) &= \int \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \hat{\omega}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} = \\
&= \int c_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \varphi_{\theta^\alpha}^i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} - \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_{\alpha, X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X-Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_{\alpha, X}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X - Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY = \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) \nu(X - Y) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, Y), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m} dY + \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \left(W_{\theta^\alpha}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) - T_\alpha^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X) \right) h^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, X), \dots) \frac{d^m \theta}{(2\pi)^m}
\end{aligned}$$

we easily get the part (II) of the theorem.

Theorem 6 is proved.

Definition 6. We call the 1-form

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\nu^{av}(X) & = -\frac{\partial k^\alpha}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X - Y) I_\alpha(Y) dY - \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \frac{\partial \langle h^{(s)} \rangle}{\partial U^\nu}(X) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(X - Y) \langle h^{(s)} \rangle(Y) dY
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $I_\alpha(\mathbf{U})$ are defined by the formula (6.5) the averaging of the 1-form (6.1) on the family of m -phase solutions of (3.1).

As follows from our construction we have the relation

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}^{av}(X, Y) = [d\omega^{av}]_{\nu\mu}(X, Y)$$

for the forms (5.15) and (6.6).

Using the remark (6.3) it's not difficult to prove also that the quantities (6.5) give the action variables defined in (5.3).

We can see that the formulas (6.6), (6.5) give another procedure for the averaging of 2-forms $\Omega_{ij}(x, y)$ represented in the form of the external derivatives of weakly-nonlocal 1-forms $\omega_i(x)$.

We can also write the formal Lagrangian formalism for the Whitham equations in the form

$$\delta \int \int [\omega_\nu^{av}(X) U_T^\nu(X) - \langle h \rangle(\mathbf{U})] dX dT = 0$$

or using (6.6)

$$\delta \int \int [k_T^\alpha(X) \nu(X - Y) I_\alpha(Y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^g e_s \langle h^{(s)} \rangle_T(X) \nu(X - Y) \langle h^{(s)} \rangle(Y) + \langle h \rangle] dX dY dT = 0 \quad (6.7)$$

The work was supported by Grant of President of Russian Federation (MK - 1375.2003.02), Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant RFBR 03-01-00368), and Russian Science Foundation.

References

- [1] V.E.Zakharov, L.D.Faddeev., The Korteweg-de Vries equation is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system., *Functional Anal. and Its Applications*, **5**:4 (1971), 280-287.
- [2] C.Gardner., Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations., *Journ. of Math Phys.*, Vol. 12 (1971), 1548-1551.
- [3] S.P.Novikov., The Periodic Problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Functional Anal. and Its Applications*, **8**:3 (1974), 236-246.
- [4] B.A.Dubrovin, V.B.Matveev, S.P.Novikov., Non-linear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-zone linear operators, and Abelian varieties., *Russian Math. Surveys*, **31**:1 (1976), 59-146.
- [5] V.V.Sokolov., On the Hamiltonian property of the Krichever-Novikov equation., *Soviet Math. Dokl.* Vol. 30 (1984), No. 1, 44-46.
- [6] I.M.Krichever, S.P.Novikov, Holomorphic bundles over algebraic curves and non-linear equations., *Russian Math. Surveys*, **35**:6 (1980), 53-79.
- [7] O.I.Mokhov., Symplectic and Poisson structures on loop spaces of smooth manifolds, and integrable systems. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **53**:3 (1998), 515-622.
- [8] B.Enriquez, A.Orlov, V. Rubtsov., Higher Hamiltonian structures (the sl_2 case)., *JETP Letters*, **58**:8 (1993), 658-664.
- [9] A.Ya.Maltsev, S.P. Novikov. "On the local systems hamiltonian in the weakly non-local Poisson brackets." ArXiv: nlin.SI/0006030, *Physica D* 156 (2001) 53-80.
- [10] A.Ya. Maltsev. "On the compatible weakly-nonlocal Poisson brackets of Hydrodynamic Type". ArXiv: nlin.SI/0111015, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **32**:10 (2002), 587-614.

- [11] A. Sergyeyev. "Why nonlocal recursion operators produce local symmetries: new results and applications", ArXiv: nlin.SI/0410049.
- [12] L.D.Faddeev, L.A.Takhtajan, Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons., Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [13] G. Whitham, Linear and Nonlinear Waves. Wiley, New York (1974).
- [14] Luke J.C., A perturbation method for nonlinear dispersive wave problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **292**, No. 1430, 403-412 (1966).
- [15] Flaschka H., Forest M.G., McLaughlin D.W., Multiphase averaging and the inverse spectral solution of the Korteweg - de Vries equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, - 1980.- Vol. 33, no. 6, 739-784.
- [16] B.A.Dubrovin and S.P.Novikov., Hamiltonian formalism of one-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type and the Bogolyubov - Whitham averaging method, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, Vol. 27, (1983) No. 3, 665-669.
- [17] I.M. Krichever., "The averaging method for two-dimensional "integrable" equations", *Functional Anal. Appl.* **22** (1988), 200-213.
- [18] I.M. Krichever., "Spectral theory of two-dimensional periodic operators and its applications", *Russian Math. Surveys*, **44:2** (1989), 145-225.
- [19] B.A. Dubrovin and S.P. Novikov., Hydrodynamics of weakly deformed soliton lattices. Differential geometry and Hamiltonian theory, *Russian Math. Survey*, **44:6** (1989), 35-124.
- [20] I.M. Krichever., "Perturbation theory in periodic problems for two-dimensional integrable systems", *Sov. Sci. Rev. Section C* **9** (1992).
- [21] B.A.Dubrovin and S.P.Novikov., Hydrodynamics of soliton lattices, *Sov. Sci. Rev. C, Math. Phys.*, 1993, V.9. part 4. P. 1-136.
- [22] S.Yu. Dobrokhotov and V.P.Maslov. Finite-Gap Almost Periodic Solutions in the WKB Approximation. Contemporary Problems in Mathematics [in Russian], Vol. 15, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, VINITI, Moscow (1980).
- [23] N.I.Grinberg., On Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type with a degenerate metric, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **40:4** (1985), 231-232.
- [24] S.P. Novikov and A.Ya. Maltsev., The Liouville form of averaged Poisson brackets, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **48:1** (1993), 155-157.
- [25] A.Ya.Maltsev and M.V.Pavlov., On Whitham's Averaging Method, *Functional Anal. and Its Appl.*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 7-24 (1995).

- [26] A.Ya. Maltsev., The conservation of the Hamiltonian structures in Whitham's method of averaging, solv-int/9611008, *Izvestiya, Mathematics* **63**:6 (1999) 1171-1201.
- [27] A.Ya.Maltsev., The averaging of local field-theoretical Poisson brackets, *Russian Math. Surveys* **52** : 2 (1997) , 409-411.
- [28] A.Ya.Maltsev., The non-local Poisson brackets and the Whitham method. *Russian Math. Surveys* **54** : 6 (1999) , 1252-1253.
- [29] A.Ya.Maltsev., The averaging of non-local Hamiltonian structures in Whitham's method. // solv-int/9910011, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **30**:7 (2002) 399-434.
- [30] I.M.Gelfand, I.Ya.Dorfman., Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, *Functional Anal. and Its Appl.*, **13**: 4 (1979), 248-262.
- [31] I.M.Gelfand, I.Ya.Dorfman., Hamiltonian operators and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Functional Anal. and Its Appl.*, **15**: 3 (1981), 173-187.
- [32] S.P.Novikov., The Hamiltonian formalism and many-valued analogue of Morse Theory, *Russian Math. Surveys* **37**: 5 (1982), 1-56.
- [33] B.A.Dubrovin, S.P.Novikov., On Poisson brackets of Hydrodynamic type, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* Vol. 30 (1984) No. 3, 651-654.
- [34] S.P.Novikov., The Geometry of the conservative systems of hydrodynamic type, *Russian Math. Surveys* **40**: 4 (1985), 85-98.
- [35] A.A.Balinski, S.P.Novikov., Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* Vol. 32 (1985) No. 1, 228-231.
- [36] E.I.Zel'manov., On a class of local translational invariant Lie algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* Vol. 35 (1987) No. 1, 216-218.
- [37] O.I.Mokhov., On the Dubrovin-Novikov type Poisson brackets (DN-brackets), *Functional Anal. and Its Appl.* **22**: 4 (1988), 336-338.
- [38] O.I.Mokhov., Doctor of Science Dissertation, Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Moscow, 1996.
- [39] O.I.Mokhov., Differential Geometry of Symplectic and Poisson Structures on Loop Spaces of Smooth Manifolds, and Integrable Systems. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics.* **217** (1997), 91-125.
- [40] A.A.Balinskii., Classification of the Virasoro, the Neveu-Schwarz, and the Ramond-type simple Lie superalgebras, *Functional Anal. and Its Appl.* **21**: 4 (1987), 308-309.

- [41] S.P.Tsarev., On Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, Vol. 31 (1985), No. 3, 488-491.
- [42] O.I. Mokhov and E.V. Ferapontov., Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type associated with constant curvature metrics, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **45**:3 (1990), 218-219.
- [43] E.V. Ferapontov., Differential geometry of nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type, *Functional Anal. and Its Applications*, Vol. 25, No. 3 (1991), 195-204.
- [44] E.V. Ferapontov., Dirac reduction of the Hamiltonian operator $\delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx}$ to a submanifold of the Euclidean space with flat normal connection, *Functional Anal. and Its Applications*, Vol. 26, No. 4 (1992), 298-300.
- [45] E.V. Ferapontov., Nonlocal matrix Hamiltonian operators. Differential geometry and applications, *Theor. and Math. Phys.*, Vol. 91, No. 3 (1992), 642-649.
- [46] E.V. Ferapontov., Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type: differential geometry and applications, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2), 170 (1995), 33-58.
- [47] L.V. Bogdanov and E.V. Ferapontov., A nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for semi-Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type, *Theor. and Math. Phys.*, Vol. 116, N 1 (1998) 829-835.
- [48] M.V.Pavlov., Elliptic coordinates and multi-Hamiltonian structures of systems of hydrodynamic type., *Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math.* Vol. 59 (1995), No. 3, 374-377.
- [49] M.V.Pavlov., Multi-Hamiltonian structures of the Whitham equations, *Russian Acad. Sci. Doklady Math.*, Vol. 50 (1995) No.2, 220-223.
- [50] V.L.Alekseev, M.V.Pavlov., Hamiltonian structures of the Whitham equations, in Proceedings of the conference on NLS. Chernogolovka (1994).
- [51] V.L.Alekseev., On non-local Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type connected with Whitham's equations, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **50**:6 (1995), 1253-1255.