

Spectral curve, Darboux coordinates and Hamiltonian structure of periodic dressing chains

Kanehisa Takasaki Department of Fundamental Sciences
Faculty of Integrated Human Studies, Kyoto University
Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
E-mail: takasaki@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

A chain of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators connected by successive Darboux transformations is called the “Darboux chain” or “dressing chain”. The periodic dressing chain with period N has a control parameter α . If $\alpha \neq 0$, the N -periodic dressing chain may be thought of as a generalization of the fourth or fifth (depending on the parity of N) Painlevé equations. The N -periodic dressing chain has two different Lax representations due to Adler and to Noumi and Yamada. Adler’s 2×2 Lax pair can be used to construct a transition matrix around the periodic lattice. One can thereby define an associated “spectral curve” and a set of Darboux coordinates called “spectral Darboux coordinates”. The equations of motion of the dressing chain can be converted to a Hamiltonian system in these Darboux coordinates. The symplectic structure of this Hamiltonian formalism turns out to be consistent with a Poisson structure previously studied by Veselov, Shabat, Noumi and Yamada.

1 Introduction

A chain of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators L_n , $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, connected by successive Darboux transformations is called a “Darboux chain” or “dressing chain” [24, 23]. This is a kind of nonlinear lattice. A periodic dressing chain has a control parameter α . The nature of this system changes drastically whether α vanishes or not. If $\alpha = 0$, the chain consists of finite-band operators [21, 13, 14]; the structure of those operators can be described by algebro-geometric methods [7, 12, 6]. If $\alpha \neq 0$, the system is equivalent to the fourth and fifth Painlevé equations and their higher order analogues [26, 2]; the generic solutions are expected to be transcendental and beyond algebro-geometric methods. Thus the notion of periodic dressing chains invites us to an interesting world in which algebro-geometric functions and Painlevé transcendents coexist and are connected by a continuous parameter.

Periodic dressing chains have been studied from the point of view of Hamiltonian or Poisson structures as well. Veselov and Shabat [26] developed a bi-Hamiltonian formalism of periodic dressing chains. Noumi and Yamada [18] took up substantially the same issue in the framework of their “higher order Painlevé equations of $A_\ell^{(1)}$ type” (referred to as *the Noumi-Yamada system* in the following). Although discovered on a quite different ground, the Noumi-Yamada system of $A_\ell^{(1)}$ type is actually equivalent to the periodic dressing chain with period $N = \ell + 1$. Noumi and Yamada define a Poisson structure on the phase space of this system, which coincides with one of the Poisson structures in the bi-Hamiltonian structure of Veselov and Shabat.

On the other hand, a conceptually different Hamiltonian formalism has been developed by Okamoto [22] for the Painlevé equations and Garnier’s generalizations [9]. This Hamiltonian formalism is based on the notion of isomonodromic deformations of an ordinary differential equation. Harnad and Wisse [10, 11] pointed out that the notion of “spectral Darboux coordinates” [1] lies in the heart of this kind of Hamiltonian formalism of isomonodromic deformations. This reveals a close relationship to “separation of variables” of integrable systems [16, 25]. Since periodic dressing chains include the fourth and fifth Painlevé equations, one will naturally ask whether periodic dressing chains have a similar Hamiltonian formalism. This is the main problem that we address in this paper.

For this purpose, we need an isomonodromic Lax formalism of periodic dressing chains. Two options can be found in the literature. One is a Lax pair of 2×2 matrices used by Veselov and Shabat [26] for the case of $\alpha = 0$ and modified by Adler [3] to fit into the case with an arbitrary value of α . Strictly speaking, Adler’s Lax pair is related to deformations of a *difference* (rather than differential) equation. Therefore this is *not* an isomonodromic

system in the usual sense. Another option is a Lax pair of $N \times N$ matrices presented by Noumi and Yamada[19]. This is an isomonodromic system in the usual sense. These two Lax pairs turn out to be equivalent (or *dual*) and connected with each other by a Mellin transformation.

We use Adler's Lax pair and construct a 2×2 transition matrix $T(\lambda)$ around the periodic chain. This matrix satisfies a Lax equation, which inherits the unusual nature of Adler's Lax pair. Nevertheless, we can construct a hyperelliptic "spectral curve" Γ thereof, and convert the Lax equation to a dynamical system of a finite number of moving points $(\lambda_j, z_j) \in \Gamma$, $j = 1, \dots, g$. Another complicated aspect of this system is that the spectral curve itself is dynamical in the case where $\alpha \neq 0$, but this is rather a universal characteristic of isomonodromic systems. The coordinates λ_j, z_j of the moving points are nothing but "spectral Darboux coordinates" mentioned above. The equations of motion of the moving points becomes a Hamiltonian system (which we call, for convenience, *the spectral Hamiltonian system*) in these new coordinates, and conversely, the Lax equation can be reconstructed from this Hamiltonian system.

It is not obvious from the construction that the passage from the periodic dressing chain to the spectral Hamiltonian system is invertible. The problem is to reconstruct the phase space coordinates of the periodic dressing chain or, equivalently, of the Noumi-Yamada system from the spectral Darboux coordinates. We solve this *inverse problem* and find the existence of a locally invertible map that connects the spectral Hamiltonian system with the Noumi-Yamada system directly. As a byproduct, we can show that this map is a Poisson map connecting two apparently different odd-dimensional Poisson structures.

This paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 review the equations of motion of dressing chains, the Noumi-Yamada systems, and Lax pairs of these systems. Section 4 deals with the transition matrix and the associated spectral curve. Sections 5 and 6 present the main results of this paper. Section 5 is concerned with the Hamiltonian system in spectral Darboux coordinates. Section 6 is devoted to the inverse problem and Poisson structures. Section 7 is for concluding remarks. Some technical details are collected in Appendices.

2 Dressing chain

2.1 Definition

A dressing chain consists of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators of the factorized form

$$L_n = (\partial_x - v_n)(\partial_x + v_n), \quad \partial_x = \partial/\partial x, \quad (2.1)$$

that are linked with the neighbors by the Darboux transformations

$$(\partial_x - v_{n+1})(\partial_x + v_{n+1}) = (\partial_x + v_n)(\partial_x - v_n) + \alpha_n \quad (2.2)$$

with parameters α_n . This is a kind of nonlinear lattice governed by the differential equations

$$\dot{v}_n + \dot{v}_{n+1} = v_{n+1}^2 - v_n^2 + \alpha_n, \quad (2.3)$$

where the dot stands for the x -derivative:

$$\dot{v}_n = \partial_x v_n.$$

We are mostly interested in periodic dressing chains satisfying the periodicity condition

$$v_{n+N} = v_n, \quad \alpha_{n+N} = \alpha_n. \quad (2.4)$$

Since the case of $N = 2$ is no very interesting, the subsequent consideration is focussed on the case where $N \geq 3$. The auxiliary variable

$$v = \sum_{n=1}^N v_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (v_n + v_{n+1}) \quad (2.5)$$

satisfies the very simple equation of motion

$$\dot{v} = \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad (2.6)$$

where α is the constant defined by

$$\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus v is a linear function of the form $(\alpha/2)x + \text{constant}$. This means that v is not a dynamical variable in the usual sense.

The parameter α is a control parameter of the periodic dressing chain. To see how the situation depends on this parameter, we note that the N -th order operator

$$M_n = (\partial_x + v_{n+N-1}) \cdots (\partial_x + v_n) \quad (2.8)$$

satisfies the equation

$$[L_n, M_n] = \alpha M_n. \quad (2.9)$$

If $\alpha = 0$, L_n and M_n are commuting differential operators in the sense of Burchnall and Chaundy [4]. In particular, the case of odd N 's falls into the classical theory of finite-band operators. The case of even N 's is more involved, because the orders of the operators are not co-prime. If $\alpha \neq 0$, the operator equation resembles the so called ‘‘string equations’’ of non-critical string theory and two-dimensional quantum gravity [15]. The usual string equations take the form

$$[L, M] = \alpha \quad (2.10)$$

(scaling limit of Hermitian matrix models) or

$$[L, M] = \alpha L \quad (2.11)$$

(scaling limit of unitary matrix models). In particular, if L is a second order Schrödinger operator $L = \partial_x^2 - u$, the string equations of the first type give the first Painlevé equation and its higher order analogues. The string equation of the second type are related to the second Painlevé equation. Similarly, the periodic dressing chains for $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ are equivalent to the fourth and fifth Painlevé equations, as pointed out by Veselov and Shabat [26] and Adler [2].

2.2 Relation to Noumi-Yamada systems

The periodic chains have another expression in terms of the new dependent variables

$$f_n = v_{n+1} + v_n. \quad (2.12)$$

As we shall see below, this gives a change of variables to the Noumi-Yamada system [18].

2.2.1 If N is odd

If $N = 2g + 1$, (2.12) can be solved for v_n as

$$v_n = \frac{1}{2}(f_n - f_{n+1} + \cdots + f_{n+2g}). \quad (2.13)$$

In this case, v_n 's and f_n 's are thus connected by an invertible linear map. Rewriting (2.3) as

$$\dot{f}_n = f_n(v_{n+1} - v_n) + \alpha_n$$

and inserting this expression of v_n therein, one obtains the closed differential equations

$$\dot{f}_n = f_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^g f_{n+2k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^g f_{n+2k} \right) + \alpha_n \quad (2.14)$$

for f_n 's. This is exactly the Noumi-Yamada system of $A_{2g}^{(1)}$ type.

2.2.2 If N is even

If $N = 2g + 2$, (2.12) cannot be solved for v_n 's uniquely. This ambiguity, however, turns out to be removed by the existence of an extra constraint. This constraint is a consequence of the obvious identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2g+2} (-1)^n (\dot{v}_n + \dot{v}_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

and the equations of motion of the dressing chain. Because of this extra constraint, v_n 's and f_n 's are connected by a birational map; see Appendix B for details. Thus one can, in principle, rewrite the equations of motion of the dressing chain into a system of differential equations for f_n 's. We can, however, circumvent messy calculations that will be inevitable if we do the change of variables naively, as follows.

The clue is to calculate the derivative of vf_n rather than f_n itself. Since

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} f_{n+2k-1},$$

the derivative of vf_n can be written as

$$(vf_n) \cdot = \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} (\dot{f}_{k+2k-1} f_n + f_{n+2k-1} \dot{f}_n).$$

We can now use (2.3) to rewrite each term of the sum on the right hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{f}_{n+2k-1} f_n + f_{n+2k-1} \dot{f}_n \\ &= (f_{n+2k-1}(v_{n+2k} - v_{n+2k-1}) + \alpha_{n+2k-1}) f_n + f_{n+2k-1} (f_n(v_{n+1} - v_n) + \alpha_n) \\ &= f_{n+2k-1} f_n (f_{n+2k-1} - 2f_{n+2k-2} + \cdots + 2f_{n+1} - f_n) \\ & \quad + \alpha_{n+2k-1} f_n + \alpha_n f_{n+2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suming over n and doing some algebra, we eventually obtain the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} (vf_n)' &= f_n \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} f_{n+2j-1} f_{n+2k} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} f_{n+2j} f_{n+2k+1} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} \alpha_{n+2k-1} f_n + \alpha_n v \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} v \dot{f}_n &= f_n \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} f_{n+2j-1} f_{n+2k} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} f_{n+2j} f_{n+2k+1} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{g+1} \alpha_{n+2k-1} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) f_n + \alpha_n v. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

If v is normalized as $v = \alpha x/2$, this is nothing but the Noumi-Yamada system of type $A_{2g+1}^{(1)}$. Note that the variables f_n , being defined by (2.12), also satisfy the constraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g+1} f_{2k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} f_{2k}. \quad (2.18)$$

3 Lax pairs

The dressing chains have two different Lax pairs, one due to Veselov and Shabat [26] and Adler [3], and the other due to Noumi and Yamada [19]. We point out that these two Lax pairs are connected by a Mellin transformation.

3.1 2×2 Lax pair

The Lax pair of Veselov and Shabat is valid only for the case of $\alpha = 0$. Adler proposed a slightly modified Lax pair in which this assumption is removed.

Let u_n denote the function

$$u_n = v_n^2 - \dot{v}_n. \quad (3.1)$$

This is the ‘‘potential’’ of the Schrödinger perator L_n :

$$L_n = \partial_x^2 - u_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Adler’s Lax pair consists of the 2×2 matrices

$$V_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} v_n & 1 \\ \lambda + v_n^2 & v_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$U_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda + u_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

which satisfy the differential equation

$$\dot{V}_n(\lambda) = U_{n+1}(\lambda + \alpha_n)V_n(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)U_n(\lambda). \quad (3.5)$$

This ‘‘Lax’’ equation resembles the Lax equation of many integrable nonlinear lattices; an unusual feature is that it contains a shift of the spectral parameter.

An associated auxiliary linear system takes the form

$$\dot{\Phi}_n(\lambda) = U_n(\lambda)\Phi_n(\lambda), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Phi_{n+1}(\lambda + \alpha_n) + V_n(\lambda)\Phi_n(\lambda) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

The Lax equation (3.5) can be reconstructed from these linear equations as the consistency (integrability) condition. One can rewrite this matrix linear system to a scalar form. Firstly, (3.6) implies that $\Phi_n(\lambda)$ can be expressed as

$$\Phi_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n(\lambda) \\ \dot{\phi}_n(\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

and that the scalar function $\phi_n(\lambda)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$L_n\phi_n(\lambda) = \ddot{\phi}_n(\lambda) - u_n\phi_n(\lambda) = \lambda\phi_n(\lambda). \quad (3.8)$$

Secondly, (3.7) yields the the scalar difference-differential equation

$$\phi_{n+1}(\lambda + \alpha_n) + \dot{\phi}_n(\lambda) + v_n\phi_n(\lambda) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

3.2 Another Lax pair

Another Lax pair emerges from the auxiliary linear system

$$\alpha z \partial_z \psi_n(z) + \psi_{n+2}(z) + f_n \psi_{n+1}(z) + e_n \psi_n(z) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\dot{\psi}_n(z) + \psi_{n+1}(z) + v_n \psi_n(z) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Since (3.11) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{n+2}(z) + f_n \psi_{n+1}(z) + e_n \psi_n(z) \\ &= (\partial_x + v_{n+1})(\partial_x + v_n)\psi_n(z) - (v_n + v_{n+1})(\partial_x + v_n)\psi_n + e_n \psi_n(z) \\ &= (L_n + e_n)\psi_n(z), \end{aligned}$$

one can rewrite (3.10) as

$$\alpha z \partial_z \psi_n(z) + (L_n + e_n) \psi_n(z) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

The consistency condition of these linear equations yields the three equations

$$f_{n+1} - f_n = v_{n+2} - v_n, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\dot{f}_n = f_n(v_{n+1} - v_n) + e_n - e_{n+1}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\dot{e}_n = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

These equations are retained under *gauge transformations*

$$\psi_n \rightarrow \psi_n e^\gamma, \quad v_n \rightarrow v_n - \dot{\gamma}, \quad f_n \rightarrow f_n, \quad e_n \rightarrow e_n. \quad (3.16)$$

The equations of motion (2.3) of the infinite dressing chain can be recovered by a special “gauge fixing” in which (2.12) is satisfied. Let us rewrite the first equation (3.13) of the consistency condition as

$$f_{n+1} - v_{n+2} - v_{n+1} = f_n - v_{n+1} - v_n.$$

Both hand sides of this equation is thus independent of n , thereby become a function $h = h(x)$ of x only. This function transforms as $h \rightarrow h + 2\dot{\gamma}$ under the gauge transformation, and can be eliminated (or “gauged away”) by a suitable choice of the function γ . One can thus achieve a special gauge that satisfies (2.12). In this gauge, the second equation (3.14) of the consistency condition becomes the equation (2.3) of the dressing chain upon identifying the parameters α_n as

$$\alpha_n = e_n - e_{n+1}. \quad (3.17)$$

Note that α is so far a free parameter; the relation to α_n ’s emerges after imposing the N -periodicity condition (see below.)

This auxiliary linear system reduces to that of Noumi and Yamada [19] for higher order Painlevé equations by imposing the periodicity conditions

$$v_{n+N} = v_n, \quad f_{n+N} = f_n, \quad e_{n+N} = e_n - \alpha. \quad (3.18)$$

The last condition implies the relation

$$\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^N (e_n - e_{n+1}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

as expected. We further assume the quasi-periodicity

$$\psi_{n+N}(z) = z\psi_n(z) \quad (3.19)$$

of $\psi_n(z)$, z being interpreted to be the Bloch-Floquet multiplier. The auxiliary linear system of the infinite chain thereby turns into the $N \times N$ matrix equations

$$\alpha z \partial_z \Psi(z) + \mathcal{L}(z)\Psi(z) = 0, \quad \dot{\Psi}(z) + \mathcal{M}(z)\Psi(z) = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

for the column vector

$$\Psi(z) = {}^t(\psi_1(z) \quad \cdots \quad \psi_N(z)),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(z)$ and $\mathcal{M}(z)$ denotes the $N \times N$ matrices

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & f_1 & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & \\ z & & & e_{N-1} & f_{N-1} & \\ f_N z & z & & & & e_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 & \\ z & & & & & v_N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.22)$$

This Lax pair may be thought of as a kind of self-similarity reduction of the Drinfeld-Sokolov systems [5].

3.3 Mellin transformation connecting two Lax pairs

The two auxiliary linear systems turn out to be connected by the Mellin transformation

$$\psi_n(z) = \int z^{-\lambda/\alpha} \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) d\lambda \quad (3.23)$$

provided that $\alpha \neq 0$. (3.11) can be derived from (3.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n+1}(z) &= \int z^{-\lambda/\alpha} \phi_{n+1}(\lambda - e_n + \alpha_n) d\lambda \\ &= - \int z^{-\lambda/\alpha} (\partial_x + v_n) \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) d\lambda \\ &= -(\partial_x + v_n) \psi_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (3.12) is a consequence of the identity

$$\alpha z \partial_z \psi_n(z) = - \int z^{-\lambda/\alpha} \lambda \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) d\lambda$$

and the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) &= (\lambda - e_n) \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) + e_n \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) \\ &= (L_n + e_n) \phi_n(\lambda - e_n) \end{aligned}$$

that can be derived from (3.8).

Note that the Mellin transformation is a *heuristic* relation rather than a rigorous one. For instance, we have not specified the meaning of the integral. Nevertheless, this correspondence is very useful for understanding the origin of the two different Lax pairs. Also note that the Mellin transformation can be converted to a Laplace transformation by changing the variable from z to $\zeta = \log z$.

4 Transition matrix and spectral curve

We construct the transition matrix $T(\lambda)$ of periodic dressing chains. This matrix satisfies a Lax equation, which is not isospectral if $\alpha \neq 0$. The spectral curve Γ is accordingly “time-dependent”, i.e., deforms as x varies.

4.1 Transition matrix

Following the usual prescription, we can consider an analogue of the transition matrices around the periodic lattice. The transition matrix $T(\lambda)$ that connecting Φ_1 with Φ_{N+1} is defined by the linear relation

$$\Phi_{N+1}(\lambda + \alpha) = (-1)^N T(\lambda) \Phi_1(\lambda). \quad (4.1)$$

Note that λ is also shifted as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda + \alpha$. More explicitly, $T(\lambda)$ can be written as

$$T(\lambda) = V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_2(\lambda + \beta_1) V_1(\lambda), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\beta_n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \quad \beta_0 = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

and satisfies the Lax equation

$$\dot{T}(\lambda) = U_1(\lambda + \alpha) T(\lambda) - T(\lambda) U_1(\lambda). \quad (4.4)$$

Note that this Lax equation is *not* isospectral if $\alpha \neq 0$. In terms of the matrix elements,

$$T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

the Lax equation of $T(\lambda)$ reads

$$\dot{A}(\lambda) = C(\lambda) - B(\lambda)(\lambda + u_1), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\dot{B}(\lambda) = D(\lambda) - A(\lambda), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\dot{C}(\lambda) = (\lambda + \alpha + u_1)A(\lambda) - D(\lambda)(\lambda + u_1), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\dot{D}(\lambda) = (\lambda + \alpha + u_1)B(\lambda) - C(\lambda). \quad (4.8)$$

4.2 Equation of spectral curve

The affine part of the spectral curve Γ is defined by the equation

$$\det(zI - T(\lambda)) = z^2 - P(\lambda)z + Q(\lambda) = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

on the (λ, z) plane. $P(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ are the spectral invariants

$$P(\lambda) = \text{Tr } T(\lambda), \quad Q(\lambda) = \det T(\lambda) \quad (4.10)$$

of $T(\lambda)$. Since $T(\lambda)$ is a product of 2×2 matrices, $Q(\lambda)$ can be readily determined:

$$Q(\lambda) = (-1)^N \lambda \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} (\lambda + \beta_n). \quad (4.11)$$

To see what $P(\lambda)$ looks like, one has to specify the matrix elements of $T(\lambda)$ in more detail. The following can be confirmed rather easily by induction on N :

1. If $N = 2g + 1$, the matrix elements of $T(\lambda)$ are polynomials of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= a_0 \lambda^g + a_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + a_g, \\ B(\lambda) &= b_0 \lambda^g + b_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + b_g, \\ C(\lambda) &= c_0 \lambda^{g+1} + c_1 \lambda^g + \cdots + c_{g+1}, \\ D(\lambda) &= d_0 \lambda^g + d_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + d_g, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$b_0 = c_0 = 1, \quad a_0 = d_0 = v. \quad (4.13)$$

Consequently, $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of the form

$$P(\lambda) = I_0 \lambda^g + I_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + I_g. \quad (4.14)$$

2. If $N = 2g + 2$, the matrix elements of $T(\lambda)$ are polynomials of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= \lambda^{g+1} + a_0\lambda^g + \cdots + a_g, \\ B(\lambda) &= b_0\lambda^g + b_1\lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + b_g, \\ C(\lambda) &= c_0\lambda^{g+1} + c_1\lambda^g + \cdots + c_{g+1}, \\ D(\lambda) &= \lambda^{g+1} + d_0\lambda^g + d_1\lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + d_g, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$b_0 = c_0 = v. \tag{4.16}$$

Consequently, $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of the form

$$P(\lambda) = 2\lambda^{g+1} + I_0\lambda^g + I_1\lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + I_0. \tag{4.17}$$

Γ is a hyperelliptic curve of genus g . The defining equation can be transformed to the normal form

$$y^2 = P(\lambda)^2 - 4Q(\lambda) \tag{4.18}$$

by changing the variables as $z = (P(\lambda) + y)/2$. If $\alpha = 0$, the coefficients I_n of $P(\lambda)$ are constants of motion; if $\alpha \neq 0$, they depend on x . This is obvious from the equation

$$\dot{P}(\lambda) = \alpha B(\lambda), \tag{4.19}$$

which can be derived from (4.5) and (4.8).

A consequence of (4.19) is the equation

$$\dot{I}_0 = \alpha b_0. \tag{4.20}$$

Since b_0 is a constant (for odd N 's) or a simple linear function of x (for even N 's), this equation shows that I_0 , too, is a simple (at most quadratic) function of x even if $\alpha \neq 0$. We shall present a more explicit expression of I_0 below.

One can now find an explicit expression of the coefficients a_0 and d_0 for $N = 2g + 2$. This is a consequence of (4.6); this equation implies that

$$\dot{b}_0 = d_0 - a_0. \tag{4.21}$$

Since $d_0 + a_0 = I_0$ and $\dot{b}_0 = \dot{v} = \alpha/2$, this immediately leads to the expression

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2}(I_0 - \dot{b}_0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(I_0 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right), \quad d_0 = \frac{1}{2}(I_0 + \dot{b}_0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(I_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \tag{4.22}$$

of a_0 and d_0 in terms of I_0 .

This expression implies that a_0 and d_0 , like b_0 , c_0 and I_0 , are a simple (at most quadratic) function of x (in particular, a constant if $\alpha = 0$). We shall interpret these quantities as Casimir functions of a Poisson structure.

4.3 Structure of $P(\lambda)$ in more detail

We here review an explicit formula of $P(\lambda)$ presented by Veselov and Shabat [26].

By definition, $P(\lambda)$ is given by the trace

$$P(\lambda) = \text{Tr } V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_2(\lambda + \beta_1) V_1(\lambda). \quad (4.23)$$

We now split each matrix $V_n(\lambda + \beta_{n-1})$ in the trace into two rank-one matrices:

$$V_n(\lambda + \beta_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda + \beta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.24)$$

For convenience, let us call the two factors on the right hand side as *type I* and *type II* factors, respectively.

The trace is now expanded into a sum of 2^N terms, each term being the trace of a product of rank-one factors as above. The trace of a product of such matrices factorizes in itself. For instance, if all the rank-one factors in the trace is of type I,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_N & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= (v_N + v_{N-1}) \cdots (v_2 + v_1)(v_1 + v_N) \\ &= f_1 f_2 \cdots f_N. \end{aligned}$$

The expansion of $P(\lambda)$ starts with this term, and proceeds to higher order terms including type II factors. General terms obey the following rules.

1. A higher order term in this expansion vanishes if it includes a pair of *neighboring* factors of type II. This is due to the obvious identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda + \beta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda + \beta_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. After factorization, non-vanishing traces take place in the following three forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} v_{n+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} &= f_n, \\ \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} v_{n+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda + \beta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} &= \lambda + \beta_{n-1}, \\ \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, v_n always appears along with $v_{n\pm 1}$ in the linear combinations $v_n + v_{n+1} = f_n$ and $v_n + v_{n-1} = f_{n-1}$.

This expansion can be reorganized into the beautiful formula

$$P(\lambda) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(1 + (\lambda + \beta_n) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_n \partial f_{n+1}} \right) (f_1 f_2 \cdots f_N) \quad (4.25)$$

due to Veselov and Shabat [26]. Here it is understood that $f_0 = f_N$ and $\beta_0 = 0$. One can read off some of the coefficients of $P(\lambda)$ explicitly. In particular, I_0 turns out to be a polynomial in v with constant coefficients:

1. If $N = 2g + 1$,

$$I_0 = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{2g+1} = 2v. \quad (4.26)$$

2. If $N = 2g + 2$,

$$I_0 = \sum_{j,k=1}^{g+1} f_{2j-1} f_{2k} + \sum_{n=1}^{2g+1} \beta_n = v^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{2g+1} \beta_n. \quad (4.27)$$

5 Spectral Darboux coordinates and Hamiltonian system

The Lax equation of the transition matrix can be converted to a dynamical system of a finite number of points on the spectral curve. The coordinates of these points are “spectral Darboux coordinates” in the terminology of the Montreal group [1, 10, 11]. The dynamical system turns out to take a Hamiltonian form in these Darboux coordinates.

5.1 Spectral Darboux coordinates

Let λ_j , $j = 1, \dots, g$, denote the zeroes of $B(\lambda)$:

$$B(\lambda) = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \lambda_j). \quad (5.1)$$

We consider the generic case where the zeroes λ_j are mutually distinct, and derive a system of differential equations for these zeroes.

Differentiating the identity $B(\lambda_j) = 0$ by x yields the identity

$$\dot{B}(\lambda_j) + B'(\lambda_j) \dot{\lambda}_j = 0,$$

where $B'(\lambda)$ stands for the λ -derivative:

$$B'(\lambda) = \partial_\lambda B(\lambda).$$

Solving this identity for $\dot{\lambda}_j$ and recalling (4.6), we have

$$\dot{\lambda}_j = \frac{A(\lambda_j) - D(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}. \quad (5.2)$$

In order to rewrite the numerator of this equation in a more familiar form, let us notice the identity

$$(A(\lambda) - D(\lambda))^2 = P(\lambda)^2 - 4A(\lambda)D(\lambda).$$

Upon setting $\lambda = \lambda_j$, this identity becomes

$$(A(\lambda_j) - D(\lambda_j))^2 = P(\lambda_j)^2 - 4Q(\lambda_j)$$

because

$$A(\lambda_j)D(\lambda_j) = A(\lambda_j)D(\lambda_j) - B(\lambda_j)C(\lambda_j) = Q(\lambda_j). \quad (5.3)$$

Thus $A(\lambda_j) - D(\lambda_j)$ turns out to be expressed as

$$A(\lambda_j) - D(\lambda_j) = \sqrt{P(\lambda_j)^2 - 4Q(\lambda_j)}. \quad (5.4)$$

This leads to the differential equation

$$\dot{\lambda}_j = \frac{\sqrt{P(\lambda_j)^2 - 4Q(\lambda_j)}}{B'(\lambda_j)} \quad (5.5)$$

for the zeroes of $B(\lambda)$.

The last equation resembles the so called ‘‘Dubrovin equation’’ in the theory of finite-band integration [21, 13, 14]. In fact, if $\alpha = 0$, this is indeed a variant of Dubrovin equation that can be solved by the usual algebro-geometric method. If $\alpha \neq 0$, the situation drastically changes. Namely, the coefficients of $P(\lambda)$, as well as b_0 , are no longer constant. Γ itself is accordingly a dynamical object, for which (4.19) plays the role of equations of motion.

The roots $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$ of $B(\lambda)$ comprise a half of the spectral Darboux coordinates. The other half z_1, \dots, z_g are defined by

$$z_j = A(\lambda_j). \quad (5.6)$$

The g -tuple of points (λ_j, z_j) of the (λ, z) plane sit on the spectral curve Γ , namely, satisfy the algebraic relations

$$z_j^2 - P(\lambda_j)z_j + Q(\lambda_j) = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

as one can readily see from the triangularity

$$T(\lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda_j) & 0 \\ C(\lambda_j) & D(\lambda_j) \end{pmatrix}$$

of $T(\lambda_j)$. We can prove that these moving points on Γ satisfy the following differential equations.

Proposition 1 λ_j and z_j satisfy the differential equations

$$\dot{\lambda}_j = \frac{z_j - Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1}}{B'(\lambda_j)}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\dot{z}_j = \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. (5.8) is nothing but (5.2) in disguise; the two terms in the denominator of (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$A(\lambda_j) = z_j, \quad D(\lambda_j) = \frac{Q(\lambda_j)}{A(\lambda_j)} = Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1}.$$

To derive (5.9), we differentiate (5.7) by x . This yields

$$(2z_j - P'(\lambda_j))\dot{z}_j + (-P'(\lambda_j)z_j + Q'(\lambda_j))\dot{\lambda}_j - \dot{P}(\lambda_j)z_j = 0.$$

Solving this equation for \dot{z}_j and noting the algebraic relation $\dot{P}(\lambda_j) = 0$ that holds by (4.19), we find the equation

$$\dot{z}_j = \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{2z_j - P(\lambda_j)}\dot{\lambda}_j.$$

On the other hand, (5.2) can also be written as

$$\dot{\lambda}_j = \frac{2A(\lambda_j) - P(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)} = \frac{2z_j - P(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}.$$

Eliminating $\dot{\lambda}_j$ from these two equations, we obtain (5.9). \square

5.2 Deriving Hamiltonian system

We now seek to convert the differential equations of λ_j and z_j to a Hamiltonian system (which we call the “spectral Hamiltonian system”). Since the situation is parallel to the case of the periodic Toda chains [8], we first review that case, then turn to the case of periodic dressing chains.

5.2.1 Periodic Toda chains

The description of periodic Toda chains, too, starts from a 2×2 Lax pair and an associated transition matrix. The spectral curve of an N -periodic chain is a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g = N - 1$ defined by the equation

$$z^2 - P(\lambda)z + Q = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

where $P(\lambda)$ and Q are the trace and the determinant of the transition matrix. Whereas $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of the form

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^N + \sum_{\ell=1}^N I_\ell \lambda^{N-\ell}, \quad (5.11)$$

Q is now a constant. The next-to-leading term I_1 vanishes in the center-of-mass frame, and the other coefficients I_2, \dots, I_N are constants of motion; I_2 can be identified with the Toda Hamiltonian H . The first two matrix elements $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ of the transition matrix determine a set of moving points $(\lambda_1, z_1), \dots, (\lambda_{N-1}, z_{N-1})$ on the spectral curve as $B(\lambda_j) = 0$ and $z_j = A(\lambda_j)$. Consequently, the coordinates of these moving points satisfy the algebraic equations

$$z_j^2 - P(\lambda_j)z_j + Q = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Using the inversion formula (A.5) in Appendix A, one can solve these equations for I_ℓ as

$$I_\ell = - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{z_j + Qz_j^{-1} - \lambda_j^N}{B'(\lambda_j)} \frac{\partial b_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j}, \quad (5.13)$$

where b_ℓ are the coefficients of $B(\lambda)$,

$$B(\lambda) = \lambda^{N-1} + b_1 \lambda^{N-2} + \dots + b_{N-1},$$

the leading coefficient being equal to 1 in the usual setup. Since $b_1 = -\lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{N-1}$, we have $\partial b_1 / \partial \lambda_j = -1$, so that

$$H = I_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{z_j + Qz_j^{-1} - \lambda_j^N}{B'(\lambda_j)}. \quad (5.14)$$

The coordinates (λ_j, z_j) of the moving points turn out to satisfy the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{\lambda}_j = z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial z_j}, \quad \dot{z}_j = -z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_j}. \quad (5.15)$$

Strictly speaking, it is λ_j and $\log z_j$ that play the role of Darboux coordinates.

The algebraic relations (5.12) imply that this Hamiltonian system is *separated* to a collection of independent systems on a two-dimensional phase space with coordinates (λ_j, z_j) ; this is the central concept in “separation of variables” [16, 1, 25]. An integrable Hamiltonian system with a maximal number of constants of motion I_1, \dots, I_n in involution is *separable* if it has a suitable set of Darboux coordinates λ_j, μ_j that satisfy a set of equations of the form

$$f_j(\lambda_j, \mu_j, I_1, \dots, I_n) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Geometrically, these equations define a family of Liouville tori, which are thus “separated” to a direct product of one-dimensional level curves. For most integrable Hamiltonian systems with a Lax pair, these equations take an identical form

$$f(\lambda_j, \mu_j, I_1, \dots, I_n) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

which stems from the the equation

$$f(\lambda, \mu, I_1, \dots, I_n) = 0$$

of an associated spectral curve, as we have just illustrated for periodic Toda chains.

5.2.2 Periodic dressing chains

Bearing in mind this description of periodic Toda chains, we now turn to periodic dressing chains. The algebraic equations (5.7) can be solved for I_ℓ 's, $\ell = 1, \dots, g$, as

$$I_\ell = - \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{z_j + Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1} - I_0\lambda_j^g}{B'(\lambda_j)} \frac{\partial b_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j} \quad (5.16)$$

for $N = 2g + 1$, and

$$I_\ell = - \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{z_j + Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1} - 2\lambda_j^{g+1} - I_0\lambda_j^g}{B'(\lambda_j)} \frac{\partial b_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j} \quad (5.17)$$

for $N = 2g+2$. Each I_ℓ thus becomes a function of the $2g$ variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$ and z_1, \dots, z_g (as well as of x , through the x -dependence of b_0 and I_0 , provided that $\alpha \neq 0$). In view of the case of periodic Toda chains, it seems likely that the first nontrivial coefficient I_1 will play the role of the Hamiltonian. We have indeed the following result, which says that the analogy is essentially valid up to a simple factor. Note, however, that the Hamiltonian system thus obtained is *non-autonomous* (and presumably *not separable*) in the case where $\alpha \neq 0$.

Proposition 2 (5.8) and (5.9) can be cast into the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{\lambda}_j = z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial z_j}, \quad \dot{z}_j = -z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_j}, \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$H = \frac{I_1}{b_0}. \quad (5.19)$$

More explicitly, the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{z_j + Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1} - I_0\lambda_j^g}{B'(\lambda_j)} \quad (5.20)$$

for $N = 2g + 1$ and

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{z_j + Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1} - 2\lambda_j^{g+1} - I_0\lambda_j^g}{B'(\lambda_j)} \quad (5.21)$$

for $N = 2g + 2$.

Proof. It is obvious from the explicit form of H that

$$z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial z_j} = \frac{z_j - Q(\lambda_j)z_j^{-1}}{B'(\lambda_j)}.$$

The first equation of (5.18) thus turns out to be the same thing as (5.8). To derive the second equation of (5.18), we notice that the equations

$$z_k^2 - P(\lambda_k)z_k + Q(\lambda_k) = 0$$

are identically satisfied if I_ℓ are understood to be a function of λ_j 's and z_j 's defined by (5.16) or (5.17). Differentiating these equations by λ_j yields the equations

$$(-P'(\lambda_k)z_k + Q'(\lambda_k))\delta_{jk} - \sum_{\ell=1}^g \frac{\partial I_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j} \lambda_k^{g-\ell} z_k = 0.$$

We can solve these equations for $\partial I_j / \partial \lambda_j$ by the inversion formula (A.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j} &= - \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{(-P'(\lambda_j)z_k + Q'(\lambda_j))\delta_{jk}}{B'(\lambda_k)z_k} \frac{\partial b_\ell}{\partial \lambda_k} \\ &= \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)z_j} \frac{\partial b_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_1 = -b_0(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_g)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial b_1}{\partial \lambda_j} = -b_0,$$

so that

$$-z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_j} = -\frac{z_j}{b_0} \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}.$$

The second equation of (5.18) thus turns out to take the form

$$\dot{z}_j = \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)},$$

which is exactly (5.9). \square

5.3 Reconstructing Lax equation

A significant feature of the spectral Hamiltonian system (5.18) is that it contains no information on $P(\lambda)$ (except for I_0). In other words, this Hamiltonian system has apparently “forgotten” what the spectral curve is. The only external input of the Hamiltonian are the polynomial $Q(\lambda)$ and the two quantities b_0 and I_0 that are a constant or a simple combination of v .

Actually, alongside the spectral curve, one can reconstruct the transition matrix $T(\lambda)$ itself from the Hamiltonian system. Thus the spectral Hamiltonian system is actually equivalent to the Lax equation (4.4).

5.3.1 If N is odd

We first consider the case where $N = 2g + 1$. Since $b_0 = 1$, the external input of the Hamiltonian are $Q(\lambda)$ and $I_0 = 2v$; v is assumed to be a function that satisfies (2.6).

The reconstruction proceeds as follows.

i) Since $b_0 = 1$, we set

$$B(\lambda) = \lambda^g + b_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + b_g = \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \lambda_j), \quad (5.22)$$

and define the polynomial

$$A(\lambda) = a_0 \lambda^g + a_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + a_g, \quad a_0 = \frac{I_0}{2}, \quad (5.23)$$

by the equations

$$A(\lambda_j) = z_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, g). \quad (5.24)$$

ii) We now define

$$D(\lambda) = P(\lambda) - A(\lambda), \quad (5.25)$$

$$C(\lambda) = \frac{A(\lambda)D(\lambda) - Q(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)}. \quad (5.26)$$

$D(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of the form $D(\lambda) = d_0\lambda^g + d_1\lambda^{g-1} + \dots + d_g$ with $d_0 = I_0 - a_0 = I_0/2$. $C(\lambda)$, too, becomes a polynomial, because the numerator vanishes at the zeroes $\lambda = \lambda_j$ of the denominator, see (5.3). Since $A(\lambda)D(\lambda) - Q(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g + 1$ with the leading coefficients being equal to 1, $C(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of the form $C(\lambda) = \lambda^{g+1} + c_1\lambda^g + \dots + c_{g+1}$. The four matrix elements of $T(\lambda)$ have been thus determined.

iii) Let us examine the first equation of (5.18). Calculating the right hand side explicitly, we can rewrite this equation as

$$B'(\lambda)\dot{\lambda}_j = A(\lambda_j) - D(\lambda_j).$$

By the identity $\dot{B}(\lambda_j) + B'(\lambda_j)\dot{\lambda}_j = 0$, the last equation can be further rewritten as

$$\dot{B}(\lambda_j) + A(\lambda_j) - D(\lambda_j) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $b_0 = 1$ and $a_0 = d_0$, $\dot{B}(\lambda) + A(\lambda) - D(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree less than g . Such a polynomial cannot vanish at g distinct points unless it is identically zero. We thus arrive at the equation

$$\dot{B}(\lambda) + A(\lambda) - D(\lambda) = 0,$$

which is exactly (4.6).

iv) Let us examine the second equation of (5.18). Viewing the algebraic relations $z_k^2 - P(\lambda_k)z_k + Q(\lambda_k) = 0$ as identities satisfied by I_ℓ 's, we repeat the calculations in the proof of Proposition 2 and obtain the expression

$$-z_j \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}$$

for the right hand side of the second equation of (5.18). On the other hand, differentiating the same identities by x along the trajectories of (5.18) gives

$$(2z_j - P'(\lambda_j))\dot{z}_j + (-P'(\lambda_j)z_j + Q'(\lambda_j))\dot{\lambda}_j - \dot{P}(\lambda_j)z_j = 0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{z}_j &= \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{2z_j - P(\lambda_j)}\dot{\lambda}_j + \frac{\dot{P}(\lambda_j)}{2z_j - P(\lambda_j)}z_j \\ &= \frac{P'(\lambda_j)z_j - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\lambda_j)}{2z_j - P(\lambda_j)}z_j.\end{aligned}$$

For the second equation of (5.18) to hold, the algebraic relations $\dot{P}(\lambda_j) = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, g$) have to be satisfied. This implies that $\dot{P}(\lambda)$ is divisible by $B(\lambda)$. Moreover, since $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree g with the leading coefficient being equal to α , we eventually obtain the equation

$$\frac{\dot{P}(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} = \alpha,$$

which is nothing but (4.19).

v) In order to derive (4.5), we start from the identity $\dot{A}(\lambda_j) + A'(\lambda_j)\dot{\lambda}_j = \dot{z}_j$ that can be obtained from (5.24). Since $\dot{\lambda}_j$ and \dot{z}_j can be calculated as shown in (5.2) and (5.9), we have

$$\dot{A}(\lambda_j) = \frac{D'(\lambda_j)A(\lambda_j) + A'(\lambda_j)D(\lambda_j) - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}.$$

On the other hand, the value of $C(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_j$ can be calculated by de L'Hopital's formula as

$$\begin{aligned}C(\lambda_j) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j} \frac{A(\lambda)D(\lambda) - Q(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{A'(\lambda_j)D(\lambda_j) + A(\lambda_j)D'(\lambda_j) - Q'(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side of these two equalities are identical, we find that

$$\dot{A}(\lambda_j) = C(\lambda_j)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, g$, so that $\dot{A}(\lambda) - C(\lambda)$ is divisible by $B(\lambda)$. On account of the Laurent expansion of the quotient at $\lambda = \infty$, we can deduce that

$$\frac{\dot{A}(\lambda) - C(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} = -\lambda + \dot{a}_0 - c_1 + b_1.$$

This equation coincides with (4.5) upon identifying

$$u_1 = -\dot{a}_0 + c_1 - b_1. \tag{5.27}$$

vi) The final step is to verify (4.7) and (4.8). Firstly, we now have (4.5) and (4.19) at hand, and using these equations we can derive (4.8):

$$\dot{D}(\lambda) = \dot{P}(\lambda) - \dot{A}(\lambda) = (\lambda + u_1 + \alpha)B(\lambda) - C(\lambda).$$

To derive (4.7), we differentiate the identity $Q(\lambda) = A(\lambda)D(\lambda) - B(\lambda)C(\lambda)$ by x and eliminate the derivatives $\dot{A}(\lambda)$, $\dot{B}(\lambda)$ and $\dot{D}(\lambda)$ using the differential equations that have been proven:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \dot{A}(\lambda)D(\lambda) + A(\lambda)\dot{D}(\lambda) - \dot{B}(\lambda)C(\lambda) - B(\lambda)\dot{C}(\lambda) \\ &= B(\lambda)\left((\lambda + \alpha + u_1)A(\lambda) - (\lambda + u_1)D(\lambda) - \dot{C}(\lambda)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Removing the common factor $B(\lambda)$, we obtain (4.7).

5.3.2 If N is even

Let us now consider the case where $N = 2g + 2$. The external input of the Hamiltonian are $Q(\lambda)$, $b_0 = v$ and $I_0 = v^2 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{2g+1}$; v is assumed to be a function that satisfies (2.6).

The reconstruction of $T(\lambda)$ is mostly parallel to the previous case. $B(\lambda)$ is the polynomial

$$B(\lambda) = b_0\lambda^g + b_1\lambda^{g-1} + \dots + b_g = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \lambda_j). \quad (5.28)$$

$A(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of the form

$$A(\lambda) = \lambda^{g+1} + a_0\lambda^g + \dots + a_g, \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{2}(I_0 - \dot{b}_0), \quad (5.29)$$

and defined by the equations

$$A(\lambda_j) = z_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, g). \quad (5.30)$$

$C(\lambda)$ and $D(\lambda)$ are given by

$$D(\lambda) = P(\lambda) - A(\lambda), \quad (5.31)$$

$$C(\lambda) = \frac{A(\lambda)D(\lambda) - Q(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)}. \quad (5.32)$$

They become polynomials of the form $D(\lambda) = \lambda^{g+1} + d_0\lambda^g + d_1\lambda^{g-1} + \dots + d_g$ and $C(\lambda) = c_0\lambda^{g+1} + c_1\lambda^g + \dots + c_{g+1}$ with $d_0 = (I_0 + \dot{b}_0)/2$ and $c_0 = b_0$. As we have done for

odd N 's, these four polynomials turn out to satisfy (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) with u_1 of the form

$$u_1 = \frac{-\dot{a}_0 + c_1 + b_1}{b_0}. \quad (5.33)$$

We have thus proven the following:

Proposition 3 *Given a solution of the spectral Hamiltonian system (5.18), one can reconstruct the transition matrix $T(\lambda)$ and the potential u_1 by (5.22) – (5.27) (for $N = 2g + 1$) and (5.28) – (5.33) (for $N = 2g + 2$).*

The contents of this section can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 1 *The Lax equation (4.4) of the transition matrix $T(\lambda)$ is equivalent to the spectral Hamiltonian system (5.18) in the spectral Darboux coordinates.*

6 Inverse problem and Poisson structures

We now turn to the “inverse problem”, namely, the problem of reconstructing the phase space coordinates of the periodic dressing chain, or of the Noumi-Yamada system, from the spectral Darboux coordinates. We can solve this problem and find that the spectral Hamiltonian system and the Noumi-Yamada system are connected by a locally invertible rational map $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g, z_1, \dots, z_g, v) \mapsto (f_1, \dots, f_N)$. Moreover, this map turns out to be a Poisson map connecting two odd-dimensional Poisson structures.

6.1 General setup and case study

The phase space of the spectral Hamiltonian system has a natural symplectic (hence, even-dimensional Poisson) structure. Actually, one can extend this phase space to an odd-dimensional Poisson manifold with an extra dimension. On the other hand, the phase space of the Noumi-Yamada system is known to have an odd-dimensional Poisson structure [26, 18].

The two Poisson structures are formulated as follows.

1. The first Poisson structure is defined on the *extended* phase space of the spectral Hamiltonian system (5.18) with the auxiliary variable v added as an extra dimension. This is a $(2g + 1)$ -dimensional Poisson manifold with the Poisson brackets

$$\{\lambda_j, z_k\} = \delta_{jk}, \quad \{\lambda_j, \lambda_k\} = \{z_j, z_k\} = \{\lambda_j, v\} = \{z_j, v\} = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

In particular, v is a Casimir function, i.e., a central element of the Poisson algebra of coordinates.

2. The second Poisson structure is defined on the phase space of the Noumi-Yamada system endowed with the Poisson brackets

$$\{f_m, f_n\} = -\delta_{m+1,n} + \delta_{m-1,n}. \quad (6.2)$$

Since the variables f_n are required to satisfy the constraint (2.18), the phase space in this case, too, is $(2g+1)$ -dimensional. The auxiliary function $v = (f_1 + \dots + f_N)/2$ is a Casimir function of this Poisson structure as well.

Let us now examine the simplest two cases, i.e, $N = 3$ and $N = 4$.

6.1.1 Case study: $N = 3$

The three-periodic dressing chain has the three fundamental variables v_1, v_2, v_3 , which are connected with the variables of the Noumi-Yamada system of $A_2^{(1)}$ type:

$$f_1 = v_1 + v_2, \quad f_2 = v_2 + v_3, \quad f_3 = v_3 + v_1. \quad (6.3)$$

The phase space of the Noumi-Yamada system is now a three-dimensional Poisson manifold with the Poisson brackets

$$\{f_1, f_2\} = \{f_2, f_3\} = \{f_3, f_1\} = -1 \quad (6.4)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\{v_1, v_2\} = -1, \quad \{v_1, v_3\} = 1, \quad \{v_2, v_3\} = -1. \quad (6.5)$$

It is easy to verify that the auxiliary function $v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = (f_1 + f_2 + f_3)/3$ is indeed a Casimir function of this Poisson structure. This is the Poisson structure that appears, along with a generalization to odd N 's, in the work of Veselov and Shabat [26].

The equation of motion (2.14) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{f}_1 = \{f_1, H\}, \quad \dot{f}_2 = \{f_2, H\}, \quad \dot{f}_3 = \{f_3, H\} + \alpha, \quad (6.6)$$

where H is the function that can be calculated from the transition matrix as

$$H = \text{Tr } T(0) = f_1 f_2 f_3 + \beta_1 f_3 + \beta_2 f_1. \quad (6.7)$$

Actually, this is the same Hamiltonian as that of (5.18), i.e., $H = I_1$. This “Liouville form” of the equation of motion is derived from a quite different point of view in the work of Noumi and Yamada [18]

The construction of the spectral Darboux coordinates now takes a particularly simple form, because $B(\lambda)$ and $A(\lambda)$ are a linear function of λ :

$$B(\lambda) = \lambda + f_1 f_2 + \beta_1, \quad A(\lambda) = v\lambda + (f_1 f_2 + \beta_1)v_1. \quad (6.8)$$

The spectral Darboux coordinates λ_1, z_1 are thus determined explicitly as

$$\lambda_1 = -f_1 f_2 - \beta_1, \quad z_1 = f_2 \lambda_1. \quad (6.9)$$

Note that this expression of λ_1 and z_1 can be solved for f_1 and f_2 as

$$f_2 = \frac{z_1}{\lambda_1}, \quad f_1 = -\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 + \beta_1)}{z_1}, \quad (6.10)$$

so that, along with $f_3 = v - f_1 - f_2$, all f_n 's are a rational function of λ_1, z_1 and v . Moreover, one can easily verify that the Poisson brackets of f_n are consistent with the Poisson brackets

$$\{\lambda_1, z_1\} = z_1, \quad \{\lambda_1, v\} = \{z_1, v\} = 0 \quad (6.11)$$

of λ_1, z_1 and v . In other words, the rational map $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \mapsto (\lambda_1, z_1, v)$ is Poisson.

As a final remark, let us point out that $A(\lambda)$ can be also expressed as

$$A(\lambda) = B(\lambda)v_1 + f_2\lambda. \quad (6.12)$$

We shall encounter a similar expression in the case study for $N = 4$ below.

6.1.2 Case study: $N = 4$

The four-periodic dressing chain has the four fundamental variables v_1, \dots, v_4 under the quadratic constraint (B.1). The four variables f_1, \dots, f_4 of the Noumi-Yamada system of $A_3^{(1)}$ type are defined by the linear relations

$$f_1 = v_1 + v_2, \quad f_2 = v_2 + v_3, \quad f_3 = v_3 + v_4, \quad f_4 = v_4 + v_1, \quad (6.13)$$

and obey the constraint $f_1 + f_3 = f_2 + f_4$. They are thus a set of (redundant) coordinates of a three-dimensional Poisson manifold with the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_1, f_2\} &= \{f_2, f_3\} = \{f_3, f_4\} = \{f_4, f_1\} = -1, \\ \{f_1, f_3\} &= \{f_1, f_4\} = \{f_2, f_4\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

The auxiliary variable $v = f_1 + f_3 = f_2 + f_4$ is a Casimir function.

As we have seen in Section 2, the linear relations connecting f_n 's with v_n 's can be solved for v_n 's under the constraint (B.1). The outcome is a rational expression of v_n 's in terms of f_n 's, such as

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{4v}(2v^2 - 4f_2f_3 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4), \quad (6.15)$$

etc. The Poisson brackets of v_n 's are thereby determined by the Poisson brackets of f_n 's as

$$\begin{aligned} \{v_1, v_2\} &= -\frac{f_3}{v}, \quad \{v_1, v_3\} = -\frac{f_2}{v} + \frac{f_3}{v}, \quad \{v_1, v_4\} = \frac{f_2}{v}, \\ \{v_2, v_3\} &= -\frac{f_4}{v}, \quad \{v_2, v_4\} = -\frac{f_3}{v} + \frac{f_4}{v}, \quad \{v_3, v_4\} = -\frac{f_1}{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

For example, the Poisson bracket of v_1 and v_2 can be correctly derived from the identity $\{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1, f_1 - v_1\} = \{v_1, f_1\}$ and (6.15).

The equation of motion (2.17) again turns out to take Liouville form

$$\dot{f}_1 = \{f_1, H\}, \quad \dot{f}_2 = \{f_2, H\}, \quad \dot{f}_3 = \{f_3, H\}, \quad \dot{f}_4 = \{f_4, H\} + \alpha \quad (6.17)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = v^{-1} \text{Tr} T(0) = v^{-1}(f_1f_2f_3f_4 + \beta_1f_3f_4 + \beta_2f_1f_4 + \beta_3f_1f_2 + \beta_1\beta_3). \quad (6.18)$$

This Hamiltonian H is the same thing that appears in (5.18), i.e., $H = I_1/v$.

The construction of the spectral Darboux coordinates is mostly parallel to the case of $N = 3$, because $B(\lambda)$ is a linear function of λ . More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda) &= v\lambda + f_1f_2f_3 + \beta_1f_3 + \beta_2f_1, \\ A(\lambda) &= B(\lambda)v_1 + (f_2f_3 + \lambda + \beta_2)\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

Note that we have expressed $A(\lambda)$ in a particular form, which we shall generalize to all N 's. The spectral Darboux coordinates λ_1, z_1 are thus determined as

$$\lambda_1 = -v^{-1}(f_1f_2f_3 + \beta_1f_3 + \beta_2f_1), \quad z_1 = (f_2f_3 + \lambda_1 + \beta_2)\lambda_1. \quad (6.20)$$

Combined with the linear relations $f_1 + f_3 = f_2 + f_4 = v$, these formulae of λ_1, z_1 can be solved for f_n 's. This yields an expression of f_n 's as a rational function of λ_1, z_1 and v , which can be assembled to a rational map $(\lambda_1, z_1, v) \mapsto (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$. This map, too, turns out to be a Poisson map with regard to the aforementioned Poisson structures.

6.2 Solving inverse problem

Let us now consider, in general, how to reconstruct v_n 's or f_n 's from the spectral Darboux coordinates λ_j, z_j and the auxiliary variable v . Since the latter carry the same information as the transfer matrix $T(\lambda)$, the problem is to reconstruct v_n 's or f_n 's from the transfer matrix.

To solve this problem, we make use of a new auxiliary polynomial $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ such that

$$A(\lambda) = B(\lambda)v_1 + \tilde{A}(\lambda)\lambda. \quad (6.21)$$

This polynomial and $B(\lambda)$ have several interesting properties, which eventually lead to a solution of the inverse problem.

6.2.1 Structure of $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$

The existence of the polynomial $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ has been hinted in the case study for $N = 3$ and $N = 4$. Not only the existence for all $N \geq 3$, we can also deduce the following result on its precise structure. Note, in particular, that $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ turn out to be a function of f_n 's rather than v_n 's.

Proposition 4 *For all $N \geq 3$, there is a polynomial $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ that satisfies (6.21). Moreover, this polynomial can be written as*

$$\tilde{A}(\lambda) = \prod_{n=2}^{N-2} \left(1 + (\lambda + \beta_n) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_n \partial f_{n+1}} \right) (f_2 \cdots f_{N-1}) \quad (6.22)$$

for $N \geq 4$ and $\tilde{A}(\lambda) = f_2$ for $N = 3$. Moreover, $B(\lambda)$, too, can be similarly expressed as

$$B(\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^{N-2} \left(1 + (\lambda + \beta_n) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_n \partial f_{n+1}} \right) (f_1 \cdots f_{N-1}). \quad (6.23)$$

Proof. Let us note that $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_1(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_2(\lambda + \beta_1) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \lambda + v_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda) &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_1(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_2(\lambda + \beta_1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \lambda + v_1^2 \end{pmatrix} = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can rewrite the last expression of $A(\lambda)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_2(\lambda + \beta_1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} v_1 \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_3(\lambda + \beta_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term on the right hand side is equal to $B(\lambda)v_1$, one obtains (6.21) upon defining $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ as

$$\tilde{A}(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_N(\lambda + \beta_{N-1}) \cdots V_3(\lambda + \beta_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can now apply the method used for evaluating the trace formula (4.23) to the right hand side of these formulae. This yields (6.22) and (6.23). \square

6.2.2 Three-term recursion relations

The foregoing expression of $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ shows that they are the first two members of the finite sequence of polynomials

$$F_m(\lambda) = \prod_{n=m}^{N-2} \left(1 + (\lambda + \beta_n) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_n \partial f_{n+1}} \right) (f_m \cdots f_{N-1}) \quad (m = 1, \dots, N-2) \quad (6.24)$$

and $F_{N-1}(\lambda) = f_{N-1}$. An interesting property of these polynomials is that they satisfy a set of three-term recursion relations as we show below. It deserves to be mentioned that a similar finite sequence of polynomials take place in Moser's method for solving finite non-periodic Toda chains [17].

Proposition 5 *The polynomials $F_m(\lambda)$ satisfy the three-term linear recursion relations*

$$F_m(\lambda) = f_m F_{m+1}(\lambda) + (\lambda + \beta_m) F_{m+2}(\lambda) \quad (6.25)$$

and the auxiliary relation

$$\frac{\partial F_m(\lambda)}{\partial f_m} = F_{m+1}(\lambda). \quad (6.26)$$

Proof. The definition of $F_m(\lambda)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(\lambda) &= \left(1 + (\lambda + \beta_m) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_m \partial f_{m+1}} \right) (f_m F_{m+1}(\lambda)) \\ &= f_m F_{m+1}(\lambda) + (\lambda + \beta_m) \frac{\partial F_{m+1}(\lambda)}{\partial f_{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is also obvious from the construction that $F_{m+1}(\lambda)$ does not contain f_m . Since f_m appears only in the first term on the right hand side, one immediately finds that (6.26) holds. The last equality thereby turns into the three-term linear recursion relation. \square

An immediate consequence of the three-term recursion relations is that $F_m(\lambda)$ has the determinant formula

$$F_m(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} f_m & 1 & & & \\ -\lambda - \beta_m & f_{m+1} & & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & 1 \\ & & & -\lambda - \beta_{N-2} & f_{N-1} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (6.27)$$

This formula shows that the polynomials $F_m(\lambda)$ are also closely related to the Lax pair of Noumi and Yamada. It is easy to prove this formula: Firstly, one can easily verify that these determinants satisfy the same recursion relations. Secondly, the formula holds for $m = N - 2$ and $m = N - 1$. Consequently, the determinant has to coincide with $F_m(\lambda)$ for all m 's.

6.2.3 Reconstructing f_n 's

We are now in a position to solve the problem of reconstructing f_n 's from the spectral Darboux coordinates λ_j, z_j and the auxiliary variable v . A clue is the relation

$$F_m(-\beta_m) = f_m F_{m+1}(-\beta_m) \quad (6.28)$$

that can be derived from the three-term recursion relations. This relation may be thought of as a formula that determines f_m from $F_m(\lambda)$ and $F_{m+1}(\lambda)$. Similarly, $F_{m+2}(\lambda)$ can be expressed as

$$F_{m+2}(\lambda) = \frac{F_m(\lambda) - f_m F_{m+1}(\lambda)}{\lambda + \beta_m}. \quad (6.29)$$

Thus f_m and $F_{m+2}(\lambda)$ can be recursively determined from $F_1(\lambda) = B(\lambda)$ and $F_2(\lambda) = \tilde{A}(\lambda)$. As regards $B(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$, they are polynomials of the form

$$B(\lambda) = b_0 \lambda^g + \cdots + b_g, \quad \tilde{A}(\lambda) = \tilde{a}_0 \lambda^g + \cdots + \tilde{a}_g,$$

where $b_g = 1$, $\tilde{a}_0 = 0$ for $N = 2g + 1$ and $b_0 = v$, $\tilde{a}_0 = 1$ for $N = 2g + 2$, and satisfy the algebraic relations

$$B(\lambda_j) = 0, \quad z_j = \tilde{A}(\lambda_j)\lambda_j \quad (6.30)$$

as one can readily see from (6.21). Consequently, by the interpolation formula (A.2),

$$B(\lambda) = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \lambda_j), \quad \tilde{A}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\tilde{a}_0}{b_0} + \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{z_j}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)\lambda_j} \right) B(\lambda). \quad (6.31)$$

Starting from these polynomials, one can thus determine f_n 's successively as

$$f_1 = \frac{B(-\beta_1)}{\tilde{A}(-\beta_1)}, \quad f_2 = \frac{\tilde{A}(-\beta_2)(-\beta_2 + \beta_1)}{B(-\beta_2) - f_1\tilde{A}(-\beta_2)}, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (6.32)$$

In particular, each f_n is a rational function of the spectral Darboux coordinates and v .

This completes the reconstruction of f_n 's in the case where $N = 2g + 1$. If $N = 2g + 2$, we have to confirm that f_n 's satisfy the constraint (2.18) as well. Actually, this is an immediate consequence of the recursive formulae of $F_m(\lambda)$'s: Starting from the aforementioned form of $F_1(\lambda) = B(\lambda)$ and $F_2(\lambda) = \tilde{A}(\lambda)$, one can show by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2n}(\lambda) &= \lambda^{g-n+1} + \text{terms of lower degree,} \\ F_{2n+1}(\lambda) &= (v - f_1 - f_3 - \dots - f_{2n-1})\lambda^{g-n} + \text{terms of lower degree} \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, g$, so that, eventually,

$$f_{2g+1} = F_{2g+1}(\lambda) = v - f_1 - f_3 - \dots - f_{2g-1}.$$

This implies (2.18).

We have thus proven the following.

Theorem 2 *The Noumi-Yamada system is connected with the spectral Hamiltonian system by a locally invertible rational map $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g, z_1, \dots, z_g, v) \mapsto (f_1, \dots, f_N)$. This map consists of rational functions recursively calculated as (6.32).*

6.3 Comparing two Poisson structure

Now that the spectral Hamiltonian system and the Noumi-Yamada system are connected by a locally invertible map, one can compare the Poisson structures on the (extended) phase spaces of these systems. Actually, it is more convenient to consider this

issue at a place in between, namely, on the $(2g + 1)$ -dimensional space with coordinates $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_g, b_1, \dots, b_g, v)$.

If one reviews the foregoing construction carefully, one will soon notice that the map constructed above can be factorized to the composition of two maps as

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g, z_1, \dots, z_g, v) \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} (\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_g, b_1, \dots, b_g, v) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} (f_1, \dots, f_N), \quad (6.34)$$

each map being defined by (6.31) and (6.32) respectively. Moreover, whereas γ_1 a locally invertible polynomial map, γ_2 is birational; γ_2^{-1} is a polynomial map defined by (6.22), (6.23) and $v = (f_1 + \dots + f_N)/2$.

The Poisson structures (6.1) and (6.2) induce, via these maps, two Poisson structures on the space in the middle. We show bellow that the two induced Poisson structures are the same. This implies that the Poisson structures on the both ends are locally equivalent.

6.3.1 Poisson algebra induced by (6.1)

We first examine the Poisson structure induced by (6.1). Since v is obviously a Casimir function, the problem is to calculate the Poisson brackets of \tilde{a}_j 's and b_j 's. This calculation can be done most neatly in terms of generating functions, i.e., $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$. The outcome is a kind of ‘‘quadratic Poisson algebra’’ as follows.

Proposition 6 *The Poisson structure of (6.1) induces a quadratic Poisson algebra of $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$. The induced Poisson brackets read*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{A}(\lambda), \tilde{A}(\mu)\} &= \{B(\lambda), B(\mu)\} = 0, \\ \{\tilde{A}(\lambda), B(\mu)\} &= \frac{B(\lambda)\tilde{A}(\mu) - \tilde{A}(\lambda)B(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

where λ and μ are understood to be independent parameters.

Proof. The proof consists of several steps.

- i) Since $\{\lambda_j, \lambda_k\} = \{\lambda_j, v\} = 0$, it is obvious that $\{B(\lambda), B(\mu)\} = 0$.
- ii) Let us substitute $z_k = \tilde{A}(\lambda_j)\lambda_j$ in the fundamental relations $\{\lambda_j, z_k\} = \delta_{jk}z_k$. Since

$$\{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda_k)\} = \{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda)\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_k} + \{\lambda_j, \lambda_k\}\tilde{A}'(\lambda_k) = \{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda)\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_k},$$

this yields the equalities

$$\{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda)\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = \delta_{jk}\tilde{A}(\lambda_k)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, g$. The interpolation formula (A.1) can be used to assemble them to a single relation:

$$\{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda)\} = \frac{\tilde{A}(\lambda_j)B(\lambda)}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)}.$$

iii) We now consider the obvious equality $\{B(\lambda_j), \tilde{A}(\lambda)\} = 0$. The left hand side can be expanded as

$$\{B(\lambda_j), \tilde{A}(\lambda)\} = \{B(\mu), \tilde{A}(\lambda)\}|_{\mu=\lambda_j} + \{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda)\}B'(\lambda_j).$$

Therefore, applying the last formula of step ii) to the second term on the right hand side, we obtain the equalities

$$\{B(\mu), \tilde{A}(\lambda)\}|_{\mu=\lambda_j} = -\{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda_j)\}B'(\lambda_j) = -\frac{\tilde{A}(\lambda_j)B(\lambda)}{\lambda - \lambda_j}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, g$. Now the interpolation formula is invoked once again to pack them into the single relation:

$$\{B(\mu), \tilde{A}(\lambda)\} = -\sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\tilde{A}(\lambda_j)B(\lambda)B(\mu)}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)(\mu - \lambda_j)}.$$

Substituting

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda - \lambda_j)(\mu - \lambda_j)} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu - \lambda_j} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \right),$$

we can split the right hand side of the last equality into the difference of

$$\sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\tilde{A}(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)} = \frac{\tilde{A}(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{a}_0}{b_0}$$

and the same quantity with λ replaced with μ . This yields the expression of $\{A(\lambda), B(\mu)\}$ in (6.35).

iv) Let us now rewrite the fundamental relations $\{z_j, z_k\} = 0$ in the same way. Substituting $z_j = \tilde{A}(\lambda_j)\lambda_j$ and $z_k = \tilde{A}(\lambda_k)\lambda_k$, we encounter the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{A}(\lambda_j), \tilde{A}(\lambda_k)\} &= \{\lambda_j, \tilde{A}(\lambda_k)\}\tilde{A}'(\lambda_j) + \{\tilde{A}(\lambda), \lambda_k\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_j}\tilde{A}'(\lambda_k) \\ &\quad + \{\tilde{A}(\lambda), \tilde{A}(\mu)\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_j, \mu=\lambda_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side is equal to $\delta_{jk}\tilde{A}(\lambda_k)\tilde{A}'(\lambda_k)$, which cancels with the second term, because the latter can be calculated as

$$\{\tilde{A}(\lambda), \lambda_k\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_j}\tilde{A}'(\lambda_k) = -\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_j} \frac{\tilde{A}(\lambda_j)B(\lambda)}{B'(\lambda_k)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}\tilde{A}'(\lambda_k) = -\delta_{jk}\tilde{A}(\lambda_k)\tilde{A}'(\lambda_k).$$

We can thus deduce that $\{\tilde{A}(\lambda), \tilde{A}(\mu)\}|_{\lambda=\lambda_j, \mu=\lambda_k} = 0$ for $j, k = 1, \dots, g$. This implies that $\{\tilde{A}(\lambda), \tilde{A}(\mu)\} = 0$. \square

6.3.2 Poisson algebra induced by (6.2)

We now calculate the Poisson brackets of $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ with regard to the Poisson structure of (6.2). The three-term recursion relations play a key role here again.

Proposition 7 *The polynomials $F_n(\lambda)$, $n = 1, \dots, N - 2$, pairwise satisfy the Poisson relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \{F_{n+1}(\lambda), F_{n+1}(\mu)\} &= \{F_{n+2}(\lambda), F_{n+2}(\mu)\} = 0, \\ \{F_{n+1}(\lambda), F_{n+2}(\mu)\} &= \frac{F_{n+2}(\lambda)F_{n+1}(\mu) - F_{n+1}(\lambda)F_{n+2}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

with regard to the Poisson structure of (6.2). In particular, this induces the same Poisson algebra (6.35) as the one induced by the Poisson structure of (6.1).

Proof. We prove (6.36) by induction that starts from $n = N - 3$ and proceeds downward to $n = 0$. In the case where $n = N - 3$, (6.36) is a statement for $F_{N-2}(\lambda) = f_{N-2}f_{N-1} + \lambda + \beta_{N-2}$ and $F_{N-1}(\lambda) = f_{N-1}$, which one can directly confirm. Let us now assume (6.36) and show that the same Poisson relations with n replaced by $n - 1$ are satisfied. More explicitly, our task is to derive the Poisson relations

$$\{F_n(\lambda), F_n(\mu)\} = 0, \quad \{F_n(\lambda), F_{n+1}(\mu)\} = \frac{F_{n+1}(\lambda)F_n(\mu) - F_n(\lambda)F_{n+1}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \quad (6.37)$$

of the next stage from (6.36). This is indeed achieved by substituting

$$F_n(\lambda) = f_n F_{n+1}(\lambda) + (\lambda + \beta_n) F_{n+2}(\lambda), \quad F_n(\mu) = f_n F_{n+1}(\mu) + (\mu + \beta_n) F_{n+2}(\mu),$$

and doing calculations. Let us illustrate this procedure for the second part of (6.37). Upon substitution, the left hand side of this Poisson relation can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \{F_n(\lambda), F_{n+1}(\mu)\} &= \{f_n, F_{n+1}(\mu)\} F_{n+1}(\lambda) + f_n \{F_{n+1}(\lambda), F_{n+1}(\mu)\} \\ &\quad + (\lambda + \beta_n) \{F_{n+2}(\lambda), F_{n+1}(\mu)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first Poisson bracket on the right hand side can be calculated as

$$\{f_n, F_{n+1}(\mu)\} = -\frac{\partial F_{n+1}(\mu)}{\partial f_{n+1}} = -F_{n+2}(\mu).$$

The other Poisson brackets can be handled by the Poisson relations (6.36) that we have assumed. One can now confirm the first part of (6.37) by straightforward calculations. The first part of (6.37) can be verified in much the same way. \square

We have thus arrived at the following result.

Theorem 3 *The rational map connecting the spectral Hamiltonian system and the Noumi-Yamada system is a Poisson map with regard to the Poisson structures defined by (6.1) and (6.2).*

7 Conclusion

Although Adler’s Lax pair for periodic dressing chains has an unusual structure, we have been able to apply the usual method for isospectral and isomonodromic deformations with minimal modifications. Firstly, the spectral curve and the spectral Darboux coordinates can be constructed from the transition matrix around the periodic chain. Secondly, the Lax equation of the dressing chain can be converted to a Hamiltonian system — the spectral Hamiltonian system — in the spectral Darboux coordinates. Thirdly, the dynamical variables of the dressing chain can be reconstructed from the spectral Darboux coordinates by an algebraic procedure. As a byproduct, we have been able to confirm that the natural symplectic structure of the spectral Hamiltonian system is consistent with one of the previously known Poisson structures of the periodic dressing chain or of the Noumi-Yamada system.

It is significant that our “spectral” description of the Hamiltonian structure has turned out to be closely connected with Noumi and Yamada’s Lax pair. This seems to indicate that one can reformulate everything in the language of Noumi and Yamada’s Lax pair. In this respect, a very intriguing problem is to develop a similar spectral description of the sixth Painlevé equation using Noumi and Yamada’s new Lax pair [20].

It should be stressed that we have been able to digest just a small part of the full list of various dressing or Darboux chains. For instance, Adler’s work [3] deals with some other Painlevé equations. Moreover, Recent work of Willox and Hietarinta [27] seems to cover a broader class of Darboux chains.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 12640169 and No. 14540172) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports and Technology.

A Interpolation formulae

Let $B(\lambda)$ be a polynomial of the form

$$B(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=0}^g b_\ell \lambda^{g-\ell} = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \lambda_j),$$

and assume that the zeroes λ_j are mutually distinct. The simplest form of Lagrange's interpolation formula reads

$$\frac{F(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{F(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which holds for any polynomial $F(\lambda)$ of degree less than g . If the degree of $F(\lambda)$ is greater than or equal to g , extra terms appear on the right hand side as

$$\frac{F(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{F(\lambda_j)}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)} + G(\lambda), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $G(\lambda)$ is a polynomial.

Let us consider, in particular, the case where $F(\lambda) = \lambda^\ell$, $\ell = 0, \dots, g-1$. This leads to the identities

$$\frac{\lambda^\ell}{B(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\lambda_j^\ell}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)}.$$

Picking out the residue of both hand sides at $\lambda = \infty$ gives the formula

$$\sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\lambda_j^\ell}{B'(\lambda_j)} = \frac{\delta_{\ell, g-1}}{b_0} \quad (\ell = 0, \dots, g-1), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which has been used in the theory of finite-band integration.

Another application is an inversion formula of a system of linear relations with Vandermonde coefficients. Consider the linear relations

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^g \xi_\ell \lambda_j^{g-\ell} = \eta_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, g). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The problem is to solve these equations for ξ_ℓ 's. A clue is the auxiliary function $\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=1}^g \xi_\ell \lambda^{g-\ell}$. This is a polynomial of degree less than g , and satisfies the interpolation relations $\xi(\lambda_j) = \eta_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$. The the interpolation formula thereby yields

$$\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\eta_j B(\lambda)}{B'(\lambda_j)(\lambda - \lambda_j)} = - \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\eta_j}{B'(\lambda_j)} \frac{\partial B(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_j}.$$

Extracting the coefficients of the polynomials on both hand sides gives the inversion formula

$$\xi_\ell = - \sum_{j=1}^g \frac{\eta_j}{B'(\lambda_j)} \frac{\partial b_\ell}{\partial \lambda_j} \quad (\ell = 1, \dots, g). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

B Equivalence of even-periodic dressing chain and Noumi-Yamada system

The identity (2.15), combined with (2.3), yields the quadratic constraint

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2g+2} (-1)^n (v_{n+1}^2 - v_n^2 + \alpha_n) = 0 \quad (\text{B.1})$$

on v_n 's. This constraint can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g+1} (v_{2k}^2 - v_{2k-1}^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2g+2} (-1)^n \alpha_n.$$

Substituting $v_{2k}^2 - v_{2k-1}^2 = (v_{2k} - v_{2k-1})f_{2k-1}$, the quadratic constraint turns into the linear constraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g+1} (v_{2k} - v_{2k-1})f_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2g+2} (-1)^n \alpha_n. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

One can use this linear constraint to remove the ambiguity for solving (2.12) as follows. Firstly, solve (2.12) for v_2, v_3, \dots , successively as

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= f_1 - v_1, \quad v_3 = f_2 - v_2 = f_2 - f_1 + v_1, \quad \dots, \\ v_n &= f_{n+1} - f_n + \dots + (-1)^n f_1 - (-1)^n v_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

One can thereby eliminate v_n 's from (B.2) and obtain the equality

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g+1} f_{2k-1} \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} f_{2j} f_{2k+1} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} v_1 f_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2g+2} (-1)^n \alpha_n.$$

Solving for v_1 gives the expression

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{2v} \left(v^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} f_{2j} f_{2k+1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2g+2} (-1)^n \alpha_n \right) \quad (\text{B.4})$$

of v_1 as a rational function of f_n 's and v . This, in turn, determines the other v_n 's by (B.3).

Thus, although the linear relation (2.12) itself cannot be solved for v_n 's uniquely, the quadratic constraint (B.1) removes the ambiguity and yields a rational expression of v_1, \dots, v_{2g+2} in terms of f_n 's and v . In other words, the $(2g+2)$ -periodic dressing chain is connected with the Noumi-Yamada system of $A_{2g+1}^{(1)}$ type by a birational change of coordinates.

References

- [1] M.R. Adams, J. Harnad and J. Hurtubise, Darboux coordinates and Liouville-Arnold integration in loop algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993), 385–413.
- [2] V.E. Adler, Cutting of polygons, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **27**, 141–143.
- [3] V.E. Adler, Nonlinear chains and Painlevé equations, *Physica* **D73** (1994), 335–351.
- [4] J.L. Burchnall and T.W. Chaundy, Commutative ordinary differential operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2*, **21** (1922), 420–440.
- [5] V.G. Drinfeld and V.V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, *J. Soviet Math.* **30** (1985), 1975–2036.
- [6] B.A. Dubrovin, Theta functions and non-linear equations, *Russian Math. Surv.* **36:2** (1981), 11–92.
- [7] B.A. Dubrovin, V.B. Matveev and S.P. Novikov, Non-linear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-zone linear operators and Abelian varieties, *Russian Math. Surv.* **31:1** (1976), 59–146.
- [8] H. Flaschka and D.W. McLaughlin, Canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **55** (1976), 438–456.
- [9] R. Garnier, Sur des équations différentielles du troisième ordre dont l'intégrale est uniforme et sur une classe d'équations nouvelles d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3)* **29** (1912), 1–126.
- [10] J. Harnad, Dual isomonodromic deformations and moment maps to loop algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **166** (1994), 337–365.
- [11] J. Harnad and M.A. Wisse, Loop algebra moment maps and Hamiltonian models for the Painlevé transcendents, *AMS-Fields Inst. Commun.* **7** (1996), 155–169.
- [12] I.M. Krichever, Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations, *Russian Math. Surv.* **32:6** (1977), 185–214.
- [13] P.D. Lax, Periodic solutions of Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **28** (1975), 141–188.

- [14] M.P. McKean and P. van Moerbeke, The spectrum of Hill's equation, *Invent. Math.* **30** (1975), 217–274.
- [15] G. Moore, Geometry of the string equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990), 261–304; Matrix models of 2D gravity and isomonodromic deformations, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **102** (1990), 255–285.
- [16] J. Moser, Geometry of quadrics and spectral theory, in: W.-Y. Hsiang et al. (eds.), *The Chern Symposium 1979*, pp. 147–188 (Springer-Verlag, 1980).
- [17] J. Moser, Finitely many mass points on the line under the influence of an exponential potential — an integrable system, in: J. Moser (ed.), *Dynamical Systems, Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Physics vol. 38, pp. 467–497 (Springer-Verlag, 1975).
- [18] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Higher order Painlevé equations of type $A_\ell^{(1)}$, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **41** (1998), 483–503.
- [19] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Affine Weyl group symmetries in Painlevé type equations, in: C.J. Howls, T. Kawai and Y. Takei (eds.), *Toward the Exact WKB Analysis of Differential Equations, Linear or Non-Linear*, pp. 245–259 (Kyoto University Press, Kyoto, 2000).
- [20] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, A new Lax pair for the sixth Painlevé equation associated with $\widehat{so}(8)$, arXiv e-print [nlin.SI/0203029](https://arxiv.org/abs/nlin.SI/0203029).
- [21] S.P. Novikov, Periodic problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation I, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8** (1974), 236–246.
- [22] K. Okamoto, Isomonodromic deformation and Painlevé equation, and the Garnier system, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA*, **33** (1986), 575–618.
- [23] A.B. Shabat, The infinite-dimensional dressing dynamical system, *Inverse Problems* **6** (1992), 303–308.
- [24] A.B. Shabat and R.I. Yamilov, Symmetries of nonlinear chains, *Leningrad Math. J.* **2** (1991), 377–400.
- [25] E.K. Sklyanin, Separation of variables — new trends —, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **118** (1995), 35–60.

- [26] A.P. Veselov and A.B. Shabat, Dressing chains and the spectral theory of the Schrödinger operator, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **27** (1993), 81–96.
- [27] R. Willox and J. Hietarinta, Painlevé equations from Darboux chains, arXiv preprint [nlin.SI/0302012](https://arxiv.org/abs/nlin.SI/0302012).