On a puzzle posed by the Kurien-Sreenivasan boundary layer experiment.

Victor Yakhot

Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Boston University, Boston, MA 02215

November 21, 2018

Abstract. The Kolmogorov inertial range ratio of the mixed- to- longitudinal thirdorder structure functions of isotropic and homogeneous turbulence is $\frac{S_{1,2}}{S_{3,0}} = 1/3$. Recent measurements by Kurien and Sreenivasan (Phys. Rev. E 64, 056302 (2001)), showed that, while the longitudinal structure function was extremely close to Kolmogorov's, the measured ratio was very far: $\frac{S_{1,2}}{S_{3,0}} \approx 0.43$. Explanation of this puzzle is presented in this letter.

Kolmogorov's relations for the third-order structure functions in isotropic and homogeneous turbulence, derived in 1941 for a three-dimensional flow, are:

$$
S_{3,0} \equiv \overline{(\Delta u)^3} = \overline{(u(x+r) - u(x))^3} \approx (-1)^d \frac{12}{d(d+2)} \mathcal{E}r
$$
 (1)

and

$$
S_{1,2} \equiv \overline{\Delta u(\Delta v)^2} = \frac{S_{3,0}}{3} \tag{2}
$$

where u and v are components of the velocity field in the x and y-directions, respectively and r is a displacement, chosen parallel to the x-axis. The mean dissipation rate $\mathcal{E} = \nu \overline{(\partial_i v_j)^2}$ and $d = 2$; 3; is space dimensionality, This relation is important since, being exact in the inertial range (large Reynolds number), it enables one to test experimental conditions and quality of the flow, define inertial ranges and even obtain exponents of the high order structure functions.

Recent high Reynolds number measurements, performed in the boundary layer [2], showed that, while the data on $S_{3,0}$ were very close to the relation (1), the measured mixed correlation function $S_{1,2} \approx 0.43 S_{3,0}$ strongly contradicted the exact relation (2). At the same time, the measurements of Ref. [2] gave $S_{0.2}/S_{2,0} \approx 4/3$, very close to the exact relation of the theory of isotropic and homogeneous turbulence. This situation is puzzling, since it indicates that the flow can simulataniously be both isotropic (relation (1) for $S_{3,0}$) and inisotropic (relation (2) for $S_{1,2}$).

To address this problem, we use the set of exact relations for the inertial range of homogeneous turbulence, derived in Ref. [3]. Introduce a generating function

$$
Z(\eta_2, \eta_3) = \langle \exp(\eta_2 \Delta u + \eta_3 \Delta v) \rangle
$$

so that $S_{n,m} = \overline{(\Delta u)^n (\Delta v)^m} = \partial_2^n \partial_3^m Z(0,0)$. As we see, given the equation for the generating function, derived in Ref. [3], the relations for all structure functions can be obtained in this simple manner. The exat equations for $S_{n,m}$ were applied to explanation of the so called extended self- similarity in Ref.[4].

The relations for the third-order moments [3] are:

$$
\frac{\partial S_{1,2}}{\partial r} + \frac{d+1}{r} S_{1,2} = -2 < (\Delta \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}) \Delta v > + (-1)^d \frac{d}{d} \mathcal{E} \tag{3}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial S_{3,0}}{\partial r} + \frac{d-1}{r} S_{3,0} - 2\frac{d-1}{r} S_{1,2} = -2 < (\Delta \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}) \Delta u > +(-1)^d \frac{4}{d} \mathcal{E}
$$
(4)

$$
\frac{\partial S_{2,0}}{\partial r} + \frac{d-1}{r} S_{2,0} - \frac{d-1}{r} S_{0,2} = 0 \tag{5}
$$

where $\Delta \partial_i p = \partial_i p(x+r) - \partial_i p(x)$. The relation (5), valid for any divergence-free statistically isotropic field, is kinematic and, as such, it does not include pressure terms, which are a feature of hydrodynamic equations. This relation gives the well-known relation $S_{2,0}/S_{0,2} \approx$ 4/3, provided $S_{2,0} \propto S_{0,2} \propto r^{\xi_{2,0}}$ with $\xi_{2,0} \approx 2/3$.

The dynamics of the higher-order velocity correlation functions is strongly influenced by the pressure terms and thus, we will try to understand the deviations from the Kolmogorov law $(1),(2)$ observed in Ref. [2] as originating from the boundary layer flow pecularities of the pressure contributions to (3), (4).

In the isotropic and homogeneous flow, the pressure contributions are equal to zero since $\overline{v_i(x)\partial_i p(x+r)} = \overline{\partial_i v_i(x)p(x+r)} = 0$ for any value of displacement r, even $r = 0$. Since the flow is isotropic, both $\overline{u(x)\partial_x p(x+r)} = \overline{v(x)\partial_y p(x+r)} = 0$. Solving equations (3) and (4) gives the Kolmogorov relations (1), (2),

The velocity field in a boundary layer is $(U+u)\mathbf{i} + (V+v)\mathbf{j} + (W+w)\mathbf{k}$, where the units vectors i, j and k are parallel to the stream-wise (along x-axis), transverse (perpendicular to the wall; y-axis) and spane-wise (z-axis) directions, respectively. The capital and small letters denote the mean and fluctuating (turbulent) contrubutions to the velocity field, respectively. Since $W \approx 0$, the mean velocity distribution $\overline{\mathbf{v}} = U(y)\mathbf{i} + V\mathbf{j}$, and $\overline{uv} \propto \partial_y U(y)$ where y is the distance to the wall. The the turbulent kinetic energy is mainly pumped into the u-component of velocity field and the role of the pressure fluctuations is to redistribute this energy between velocity components. Thus, the pressure terms serve as an effective

energy source in the equation for $\overline{v^2}$ and as a sink in the equation for $\overline{u^2}$, leading to the "return -to-isotropy" process. This means that if we introduce a measure of anisotropy: $\overline{v(x)\partial_y p(x+r)} = (-1)^{d+1}\kappa/2$; $\overline{u(x)\partial_x p(x+r)} = (-1)^{d+1}\xi/2$ with both κ and $\xi = O(1)$, then $\xi < 0$, while $\kappa > 0$. This conclusion is quite plausable: the pressure terms generate both v-component of velocity field and all its correlations furnctions.

In the boundary layer $\partial_y v \gg \partial_z w$ and, since $p(x)(\partial_y v + \partial_x u) \approx 0$, we assume the deviations from the isotropic pressure contributions (equal to zero) to be two -dimensional, generating only two large pressure-velocity correlations κ and ξ . If this is so, then $\kappa \approx -\xi$. From the equations (3) and (4) we have,

$$
S_{1,2} = (-1)^d \frac{4}{d(d+2)} (\mathcal{E} + \frac{4}{d} \kappa) r
$$
 (6)

and

$$
S_{3,0} = (-1)^d \left[\frac{12}{d(d+2)} \mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{d} (\xi + \frac{2(d-1)}{d+2} \kappa) \right] r \tag{7}
$$

It was proposed in [3] that one of the measures of the flow anisotropy is the third-order structure function of transverse components of velocity field $S_{0,3} = \sqrt{\Delta v^3} >$, which is equal to zero in isotropic turbulence. The measured value [2], however, was $S_{0,3} \approx 0.1 S_{3,0}$. Using this as an empirical estimate, chracterizing the anisotropic effects, we see that if $\kappa \approx 0.2$ and , as was argued above, $\kappa \approx -\xi$, we obtain $S_{3,0} = -0.79$ $\mathcal{E}r$ and and $S_{1,2}/S_{3,0} \approx 0.43$, very close to the experimental data [2].

The above estimates justify using the isotropic relations (3) and (4) to analyze this weakly anisotropic flow: the magnitudes of the pressure -generated "sources" and "sinks" κ and ξ are only a relatively small fraction of the corresponding dissipation rate $\mathcal E$ and thus, the pressure contributions can be treated as small perturbations to the isotropic relations of Ref.[3]. The "large" observed deviation from the isotropic ratio (2) is partially due to a large numerical factor in the relation (6) . The most interesting outcome of the present work is that the cancellation in (7), makes the deviation form K41 expression for $S_{3,0}$ practically non-observable.

It has been shown in Ref. [3] (see also [2]) , that the the equations for the mixed odd-order structure functions $S_{1,2n}$ are "simple": in addition to the pressure and dissipation terms, they involve the $S_{1,2n}$ functions only. At the same time, the equations for the longitudinal structure functions $S_{2n+1,0}$ are "mixed" with explicit contributions from $S_{1,2n}$, thus enabling the cancellations, leading to close- to- isotropic results which can be tested against numerical simulations. The conclusions of this paper rely on some features of the boundary layer flows. It is hard to say how universal the mechanism is.

These results can be interpreted in a following way. In the limit $\nu_0 \to 0$, the Fourier -transforms of Kolmogorov relations, involving analytic functions only, are equal to zero for $k \neq 0$, reflecting the constancy of the energy flux, originating at $k = 0$. The linear in r pressure-velocity correlations, originating at the anisotropic large scales, equal to zero in the $k \neq 0$ interval, cannot and must not disappear in the inertial range. That is why in these flows one cannot observe the expected "return-to-isotropy" in the Kolmogorov relations. This fact was amply demonstrated by the Kurien-Sreenivasan experiment [2]. The non-analytic even-order structure functions, having non-zero Fourier components in the inertial range, are another matter: the large-scale (enery range) contributions can be readily "forgotten" in the inertial range. This may explain why it is so hard to experimentally observe the predicted relations $(1),(2)$ for the third order structure functions even in the very high Reynolds number flows.

references

- 1. A. N. Kolmogorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 32, 19 (1941).
- 2. S. Kurien and K. R. Sreenivasan, Phys. Rev.E., 64, 056302 (2001)
- 3. V. Yakhot, Phys. Rev. E., 63, 026307 (2001).
- 4. V. Yakhot, Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 234501 (2001)