

NORMAL FORM AND SOLITONS

YASUAKI HIRAOKA AND YUJI KODAMA

ABSTRACT. We present a review of the normal form theory for weakly dispersive nonlinear wave equations where the leading order phenomena can be described by the KdV equation. This is an infinite dimensional extension of the well-known Poincaré-Dulac normal form theory for ordinary differential equations. We also provide a detailed analysis of the interaction problem of solitary waves as an important application of the normal form theory. Several explicit examples are discussed based on the normal form theory, and the results are compared with their numerical simulations. Those examples include the ion acoustic wave equation, the Boussinesq equation as a model of the shallow water waves, the regularized long wave equation and the Hirota bilinear equation having a 7th order linear dispersion.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Perturbed KdV equation	3
3. Conserved quantities and N soliton solutions	5
3.1. Conserved quantities	5
3.2. Approximate conserved quantities	7
3.3. N soliton solution	8
4. Symmetry and the perturbed equation	9
4.1. Symmetries of the KdV equation	9
4.2. Approximate symmetries	11
5. Normal form theory	11
5.1. Normal form	11
5.2. The Gardner-Miura transformation	16
6. Interactions of solitary waves	18
6.1. Inverse scattering transform	18
6.2. solitary wave interaction	19
7. Examples	22
7.1. Ion acoustic waves	22
7.2. Boussinesq equation	25
7.3. Regularized long wave equation	27
7.4. 7th order Hirota KdV equation	28
Appendix	29
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, we review the normal form theory developed in [14, 15] for weakly nonlinear and weakly dispersive wave equations where the leading order equation is given by the KdV equation in an asymptotic perturbation sense. The chapter is based on the report [16] and the master thesis of the first author at Osaka University.

A series of lectures was carried out by the second author in the Euro Summer School 2001 held at the Isaac Newton Institute, Cambridge for August 12-25, 2002. The lecture started with a brief summary of the Poincaré-Dulac normal form theory for a system of ordinary differential equations [3]. The main point in the lecture is to present the normal form theory for *near* integrable system where the leading order system is given by a nonlinear wave equation. This may be considered as an infinite dimensional extension of the Poincaré-Dulac normal form theory. The basic technique of the normal form theory based on the Lie transformation can be formally extended to the infinite dimensional case. Unfortunately the convergence theorem of the normal form series has no extension to the present theory. However one should emphasize that the leading order in the present theory is given by a nonlinear equation, and it is not clear how one define a resonant surface for the leading order equation. This may provide a good problem for a future project.

Let us briefly summarize a background of the normal form theory for near integrable systems of nonlinear dispersive equations. It is well-known that for a wide class of nonlinear dispersive wave equations, the leading order nonlinear equation in an asymptotic expansion turns out to be given by an integrable system, such as the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation in weak dispersion limit and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in strong dispersion limit (see for example [28]). This implies that most of the nonlinear dispersive wave equations are integrable at the nontrivial leading orders in an asymptotic sense. Then a natural question is to ask how the higher order corrections affect to the integrability of the leading order equations. In [19], the effect of the higher order corrections on one-soliton solution of the KdV equation was studied, and it was shown that the velocity of soliton is shifted by the secular terms in the higher order terms. Those secular terms or resonant terms are given by the symmetries of the KdV equation. The nonsecular terms then contribute to modify the shape of soliton. However the multi-soliton interactions were not studied in that paper. In [14], the normal form for weakly dispersive equations was first introduced up to the second order corrections, and it was found that the integrable approximation can be extended beyond the KdV approximation but not to the second order. This obstacle to the *asymptotic* integrability plays no role for one-soliton solution, but provides a crucial effect for two soliton interactions. This was found in [15, 16]. The obstacles are defined as the nonexistence of the integrals of perturbed equation in the form of the power series in a small parameter. This was also recognized as the nonexistence of approximate symmetries of the perturbed equation [21]. (The normal form for strongly dispersive wave equations has been also studied in [11, 18].) Then in [15], the effect of the obstacle on the interaction of two solitary waves was studied for the regularized long wave equation (although the method can apply to other equations of weakly dispersive system). An inelasticity due to the obstacle was found, and it leads to the shifts of the soliton parameters and a generation of a new soliton as well as radiations through the interaction.

In this lecture, we present a comprehensive study of the normal form theory for weakly dispersive wave equations: We start in Section 2 to define the perturbed KdV equation as an asymptotic expansion of a weakly dispersive wave equation whose leading order equation is given by the KdV equation. We give a recursion formula to generate the higher order corrections which may be obtained by an asymptotic perturbation method (see for example [28]). The set of those higher order terms forms an extended space of differential polynomials which includes some nonlocal terms. The space is denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}$, where “odd” implies the weight of the polynomial.

In Section 3, the conserved quantities or integrals of the KdV equation are reviewed, and we discussed approximate integrals of the perturbed KdV equation. We then obtain the conditions for the existence of approximate integrals in each order (Proposition 3.1). We also discuss a connection of the conserved quantities and the N -soliton solutions of the KdV equation.

In Section 4, we review the symmetries of the KdV equation, and discuss the approximate symmetries for the perturbed KdV equation. Here we also define the space of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}$, which together with $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}$ provides the appropriate spaces for the normal form transform defined in the next section.

In Section 5, we describe the normal form theory. The normal form transformation is then obtained by a linear equation of an adjoint map defined as

$$\text{ad}_{K^{(0)}} : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}},$$

where $K^{(0)}$ is the KdV vector field. The explicit form of the normal form is given for the perturbed KdV equation which contains the first three lowest weight approximate conserved quantities (Proposition 5.1). The normal form then admits one-soliton solution of the KdV equation, which confirms the result in [19]. We also discuss the Gardner-Miura transformation which is an invertible version of the Miura transformation, and show that the inverse Gardner-Miura transformation is nothing but the normal form transformation after removing the symmetries of the KdV equation (Proposition 5.2).

In Section 6, we consider the interaction problem of two solitary waves, and provides the explicit formulae for the shifts of the soliton parameters (Proposition 6.1). we also give the formulae of the radiation energy and additional phase shifts which are used to compare with the numerical simulations for some examples in the next section.

Finally in Section 7, we present explicit examples including ion acoustic wave equation, the Boussinesq equation as a model of shallow water waves and the regularized long wave equation. We show the good agreements with the results obtained by the normal form theory. We also consider a 7th order Hirota bilinear equation which admits an exact two soliton solution but is known to be nonintegrable. We look for an obstacle to the asymptotic integrability, and find that the obstacles appear at the fourth order. This implies that the fourth order obstacles play no role for two soliton solution, just like all obstacles has no rule for one-soliton solution for the system with the first three approximate integrals.

2. PERTURBED KDV EQUATION

Under the assumption of weak nonlinearity and weak dispersion, the wave propagation in a one-dimensional medium can be described by the KdV equation in

the leading order of an asymptotic expansion. Using an appropriate asymptotic perturbation method (e.g. see [28]) one can show that the higher order correction to the KdV equation has the following expansion form with a small parameter ϵ with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$(2.1) \quad u_t + K(u; \epsilon) = O(\epsilon^{N+1}),$$

with $K(u; \epsilon) = K^{(0)}(u) + \epsilon K^{(1)}(u) + \epsilon^2 K^{(2)}(u) + \dots + \epsilon^N K^{(N)}(u),$

where $K^{(0)}(u)$ gives the KdV flow and the higher order corrections $K^{(n)}(u)$ are generated by a recursion formula starting from $n = -1$,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K^{(n)}(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{M(n)} a_i^{(n)} X_i^{(n)}(u) \\ &= a_1^{(n)} u_{(2n+3)x} + \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n-2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq M(n_1) \\ 1 \leq j \leq M(n_2)}} c_{ij}^{(n)} \left(X_i^{(n_1)} D^{-1} X_j^{(n_2)} \right) (u). \end{aligned}$$

Here $a_i^{(n)}$, $c_{ij}^{(n)}$ are the real constants determined by the original physical problem, $u_{nx} = \partial^n u / \partial x^n$, and D^{-1} indicates an integral over x , $D^{-1}(\cdot) := \int_{-\infty}^x dx'(\cdot)$. Each $X_i^{(n)}(u)$ is a monomial in the polynomial $K^{(n)}(u)$, and $M(n)$ is the total number of independent monomials of the order n . The first few terms of $K^{(n)}(u)$ are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(-1)} &= a_1^{(-1)} u_x, & M(-1) &= 1, \\ K^{(0)} &= a_1^{(0)} u_{3x} + a_2^{(0)} uu_x, & M(0) &= 2, \\ K^{(1)} &= a_1^{(1)} u_{5x} + a_2^{(1)} u_{3x}u + a_3^{(1)} u_{2x}u_x + a_4^{(1)} u_x u^2, & M(1) &= 4, \\ K^{(2)} &= a_1^{(2)} u_{7x} + a_2^{(2)} u_{5x}u + a_3^{(2)} u_{4x}u_x + a_4^{(2)} u_{3x}u^2 + a_5^{(2)} u_{3x}u_{2x} \\ &\quad + a_6^{(2)} u_{2x}u_x u + a_7^{(2)} u_x u^3 + a_8^{(2)} u_x^3, & M(2) &= 8, \\ K^{(3)} &= a_1^{(3)} u_{9x} + a_2^{(3)} u_{7x}u + a_3^{(3)} u_{6x}u_x + a_4^{(3)} u_{5x}u_{2x} \\ &\quad + a_5^{(3)} u_{5x}u^2 + a_6^{(3)} u_{4x}u_{3x} + a_7^{(3)} u_{4x}u_x u + a_8^{(3)} u_{3x}u_{2x}u \\ &\quad + a_9^{(3)} u_{3x}u_x^2 + a_{10}^{(3)} u_{3x}u^3 + a_{11}^{(3)} u_{2x}^2 u_x + a_{12}^{(3)} u_{2x}u_x u^2 \\ &\quad + a_{13}^{(3)} u_x^3 u + a_{14}^{(3)} u_x u^4 + a_{15}^{(3)} u_x D^{-1}(u_x^3), & M(3) &= 15. \end{aligned}$$

We normalize the KdV flow so that $K^{(0)}(u)$ is given by the standard form which we denote by $K_0^{(0)}(u)$, i.e.

$$K_0^{(0)} = u_{3x} + 6uu_x.$$

Each polynomial $K^{(n)}$ has the scaling property: Assign the weight 2 to $u(x, \cdot)$, and 1 to $\partial/\partial x$. Then if $u(x, \cdot) = \delta^2 v(\delta x, \cdot) = \delta^2 v(\xi, \cdot)$, we have

$$K^{(n)}(u(x, \cdot)) = \delta^{2n+5} K^{(n)}(v(\xi, \cdot)) = \delta^{2n+5} (a_1^{(n)} v_{(2n+5)\xi} + \dots).$$

Thus each polynomial $K^{(n)}(u)$ has the homogeneous weight “ $2n + 5$ ”. We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}[u]$ the set of all the odd weight polynomials generated by the formula (2.2). Then we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}[u] = \bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}^{(n)}[u],$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}^{(n)}[u]$ is the finite dimensional subspace of the polynomials with the homogeneous weight $2n + 5$, and the dimension of the space is given by $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}^{(n)}[u] = M(n)$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}^{(n)}[u] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ X_i^{(n)}(u) : 1 \leq i \leq M(n) \right\}.$$

As shown in the examples, the subspaces $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}^{(n)}[u]$ up to $n = 2$ are given by the differential polynomial of u and its derivatives, and we denote

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}^{(n)}[u] = \mathcal{P}_{2n+5}[u] \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Q}_{2n+5}[u],$$

where $\mathcal{P}_k[u]$ is the space of homogeneous differential polynomials of weight k ,

$$\mathcal{P}_k[u] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ u^{l_0} u_x^{l_1} \cdots u_{nx}^{l_n} : \sum_{j=0}^n (j+2)l_j = k, l_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

and $\mathcal{Q}_k[u]$ consists of the polynomials of weight k containing the integral operator D^{-1} ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2n+5}[u] \subset \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ X_{i_0}^{(n_0)} D^{-1} X_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \cdots D^{-1} X_{i_l}^{(n_l)} : \sum_{j=0}^l n_j + 2l = n, X_{i_j}^{(n_j)} \in \mathcal{P}_{2n_j+5}[u] \right\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{P}_k[u]$ can be also extended for $k = \text{even}$, and we will later define $\mathcal{Q}_k[u]$ for $k = \text{even}$.

Remark 2.1. In order to verify the expansion (2.1), one may need to impose the following conditions for the initial data on $u(x, t = 0)$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } |u(x, 0)| \leq C \exp(-\epsilon^{1/2}|x|), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \\ \text{b) } \|u(x, 0)\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{nx}(x, 0)|^2 dx < \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

The rigorous justification of the KdV equation from a physical model such as the shallow water waves has been discussed in [6, 10].

3. CONSERVED QUANTITIES AND N SOLITON SOLUTIONS

The integrability of the KdV equation implies the existence of an infinite number of conserved quantities or integrals. Here we briefly summarize those quantities, and discuss the approximate integrals for the perturbed equation (2.1). The approximate integrals will play a fundamental role for the normal form theory discussed in Section 5, and provide an analytical tool to study the nonintegrable effect on the solution of the perturbed KdV equation.

3.1. Conserved quantities. Let us first recall the definition of a conserved quantity for the evolution equation in the form,

$$(3.1) \quad u_t = f(u), \quad \text{with } f(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{odd}}[u].$$

Definition 3.1. An integral of a differential polynomial $\rho(u) \in \mathcal{P}[u] = \oplus_k \mathcal{P}_k[u]$,

$$I[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(u) dx, \quad \text{so that } \rho(u) \in \mathcal{P}[u]/\text{Im}(D),$$

is a conserved quantity of (3.1) if

$$\rho_t \in \text{Im}(D), \quad \text{with } D = \partial/\partial x.$$

The polynomial $\rho(u)$ is called a conserved density for (3.1).

We also define a vector field generated by $f(u)$ and its action on the space $\mathcal{P}[u]$.

Definition 3.2. A vector field generated by $f(u)$ is defined by

$$V_f := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D^i(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{ix}},$$

which acts on the space $\mathcal{P}[u]$ as a differential operator,

$$\begin{aligned} V_f : \mathcal{P}[u] &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[u] \\ g &\longmapsto V_f \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

With this definition, the condition for $\rho(u)$ being a conserved quantity can be expressed by

$$\rho_t = V_f \cdot \rho \in \text{Im}(D),$$

The KdV equation with $f(u) = K^{(0)}(u) \in \mathcal{P}_5[u]$ has an infinite sequence of conserved quantities,

$$I_k^{(0)}[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_k^{(0)}(u) dx, \quad \text{with } \rho_k^{(0)} \in \mathcal{P}_{2k+2}[u] \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which are generated by the bi-hamiltonian relation,

$$(3.2) \quad D\nabla I_{l+1}^{(0)}(u) = \Theta \nabla I_l^{(0)}(u), \quad \text{with } \Theta = D^3 + 2(Du + uD).$$

The gradient $(\nabla I)(u)$ is defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(\nabla I)(u) dx = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{d\delta} I[u + \delta v],$$

which can be expressed as

$$(\nabla I)(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i D^i \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u_{ix}}(u), \quad \text{for } I[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(u) dx.$$

The first few conserved densities $\rho_k^{(0)}$ are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_0^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}u, \quad \rho_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}u^2, \\ \rho_2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(u_x^2 - 2u^3), \quad \rho_3^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{2x}^2 - 10uu_x^2 + 5u^4). \end{array} \right.$$

Each density $\rho_k^{(0)}(u)$ can be considered as

$$\rho_k^{(0)} \in \mathcal{P}_{2k+2}[u]/\text{Im}(D).$$

We then define

Definition 3.3. The set of differential polynomials for the conserved densities are given by

$$\mathcal{F}_k[u] \cong \mathcal{P}_k[u]/\text{Im}(D),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_k[u]$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_k[u] := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ u^{l_0} u_x^{l_1} u_{2x}^{l_2} \cdots u_{nx}^{l_n} : \sum_{j=0}^n (j+2) = k, l_n \geq 2 \right\}.$$

The following table shows the relation between the weight and the dimension of the space $\mathcal{F}_k[u]$:

Table 1: The relation between the weight and the dimension of $\mathcal{F}_k[u]$

Weight	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Dimension	1	0	2	0	3	1	4	2	7	3	10	7	14	11	22	17

3.2. Approximate conserved quantities. Here we discuss the conserved quantities of the perturbed KdV equation $u_t + K(u; \epsilon) = O(\epsilon^{N+1})$ in (2.1). We look for the conserved quantity in a formal power series,

$$I_l[u; \epsilon] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_l(u; \epsilon) dx = I_l^{(0)}[u] + \epsilon I_l^{(1)}[u] + \cdots + \epsilon^N I_l^{(N)}(u) + O(\epsilon^{N+1}),$$

so that the density $\rho_l(u; \epsilon)$ satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad V_K \cdot \rho_l(u; \epsilon) = O(\epsilon^{N+1}) \pmod{\text{Im}(D)}.$$

Here the higher order corrections are given by

$$I_l^{(i)}[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_l^{(i)}(u) dx, \quad \rho_l^{(i)} \in \mathcal{F}_{2(l+i)+2}[u].$$

Expanding (3.3) in the power of ϵ leads to the equation for $\rho_l^{(m)}$,

$$(3.4) \quad V_{K_0^{(0)}} \cdot \rho_l^{(m)}(u) = - \sum_{i=1}^m V_{K^{(i)}} \cdot \rho_l^{(m-i)}(u), \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then we have,

Lemma 3.1. For the linear map $V_{K_0^{(0)}}$,

$$V_{K_0^{(0)}} : \mathcal{F}_{2k}[u] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2k+3}[u],$$

the kernel of $V_{K_0^{(0)}}$ with a fixed weight is a one dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{2k}[u]$ given by

$$\text{Ker} V_{K_0^{(0)}} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2k}[u] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \rho_{k-1}^{(0)} \}.$$

A proof of this Lemma can be found in [24]. Namely there is only one conserved density in the form of differential polynomial for each weight. From this lemma, we obtain a sufficient condition for the solvability of $\rho_l^{(m)}$ on the space of differential polynomials $\mathcal{F}_{2(m+l)+2}[u]$,

$$(3.5) \quad \dim \mathcal{F}_{2(m+l)+5}[u] = \dim \mathcal{F}_{2(m+l)+2}[u] - 1.$$

The equation (3.3) is overdetermined in general, and we denote by $N_l^{(m)}$ the number of the constraints for the existence of $\rho_l^{(m)}$, that is,

$$N_l^{(m)} = \dim \mathcal{F}_{2(m+l)+5}[u] - (\dim \mathcal{F}_{2(m+l)+2}[u] - 1).$$

Note here that $N_{l_1}^{(m_1)} = N_{l_2}^{(m_2)}$ if $l_1 + m_1 = l_2 + m_2$. Then from the Table 1, we obtain

Proposition 3.1. *For the existence of the higher order corrections of the conserved quantities, we have*

- i) *There always exist conserved quantities, I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3 up to order ϵ .*
- ii) *At order ϵ^2 , there exist I_0, I_1, I_2 , and $N_3^{(2)} = 1$, that is, there is one condition $\mu_1^{(2)} = 0$ for the existence of I_3 where*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1^{(2)} := & -560a_1^{(2)} + 170a_2^{(2)} - 60a_3^{(2)} - 8a_4^{(2)} + 24a_5^{(2)} - 9a_6^{(2)} + 3a_7^{(2)} + 24a_8^{(2)} \\ & + \frac{10}{3}a_1^{(1)}(240a_1^{(1)} - 67a_2^{(1)} + 6a_4^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{3}a_2^{(1)}(4a_2^{(1)} + 30a_3^{(1)} + a_4^{(1)}) \\ & - a_3^{(1)}(2a_3^{(1)} + a_4^{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

- iii) *At order ϵ^3 , there always exist I_0, I_1 . There are total three conditions, $\mu_k^{(3)} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, with $\mu_1^{(3)} = 0$ for the existence of I_2 (i.e. $N_2^{(3)} = 1$), and two conditions $\mu_2^{(3)} = 0$, $\mu_3^{(3)} = 0$ for the existence of I_3 (i.e. $N_3^{(3)} = 2$). (Explicit form of $\mu_k^{(3)}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, are listed in Appendix.)*
- iv) *At order ϵ^4 , there always exist I_0 . There are total seven conditions, $\mu_k^{(4)} = 0$ $k = 1, \dots, 7$ with $N_1^{(4)} + N_2^{(4)} + N_3^{(4)} = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7$.*

One should note here that many physical examples have several conserved quantities, such as a total mass, momentum and energy, which may be assigned as the first three quantities $I_l[u; \epsilon]$ with $l = 0, 1$ and 2 . Then the existence of the higher conserved quantity $I_3[u; \epsilon]$ is a key for the integrability of the perturbed equation. The item i) in Proposition 3.1 suggests the (asymptotic) integrability of the perturbed equation (2.1) up to order ϵ . In fact, in Section 5, we transform the perturbed equation to an integrable system up to order ϵ , and discuss the effect of the nonexistence of $I_3^{(2)}$, i.e. $\mu_1^{(2)} \neq 0$, on the interaction behavior of two solitons.

3.3. N soliton solution. One of the most important aspects of the existence of conserved quantities in the form of differential polynomial is to provide several exact solutions of the system. For example, N -soliton solution can be obtained by the variational equation (see for example [24]),

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla \mathfrak{S}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\} = 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{S}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\}$ is the invariants given by

$$\mathfrak{S} = I_{N+1}^{(0)}[u] + \lambda_1 I_N^{(0)}[u] + \dots + \lambda_N I_1^{(0)}[u].$$

Here λ_k are real constants (the Lagrange multipliers). Thus the N -soliton solution is given by a stationary point of the surface defined by $I_{N+1}^{(0)}[u] = \text{constant}$ subject to the constraints, $I_k^{(0)}[u] = \text{constant}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. This characterization of the N -soliton solution is essential for its Lyapunov stability.

The equation (3.6) gives a $2N$ order differential equation for $u(x, \cdot)$, and contains one soliton solution in the form,

$$(3.7) \quad u(x, \cdot) = 2\kappa^2 \operatorname{sech}^2(\kappa(x - x_0(\cdot))),$$

with a appropriate choice of the parameters λ_k . In fact, using the bi-hamiltonian relation (3.2) the variational equation $\nabla \mathfrak{S} = 0$ can be written as

$$(\mathfrak{R}^{N-1} + \mu_1 \mathfrak{R}^{N-2} + \cdots + \mu_{N-1}) D(\nabla I_2^{(0)} + \mu_N \nabla I_1^{(0)}) = 0,$$

where μ_k 's are some constants related to λ_j . Then by choosing $\mu_N = -4\kappa^2$ this equation admits the one soliton solution in the form (3.7). Here the operator \mathfrak{R} is called the recursion operator defined as

$$(3.8) \quad \mathfrak{R} = \Theta D^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{R} D \nabla I_k^{(0)} = D \nabla I_{k+1}^{(0)}.$$

The recursion operator plays an important role for the theory of the integrable systems.

4. SYMMETRY AND THE PERTURBED EQUATION

Here we review the symmetries of the KdV equation and discuss how these symmetries work for the analysis of the nearly integrable systems.

4.1. Symmetries of the KdV equation. Let us define the symmetry for a system,

$$(4.1) \quad u_t = K(u), \quad \text{with} \quad K(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}[u].$$

Definition 4.1. A function $S(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}[u]$ is a symmetry of (4.1) if $S(u)$ satisfies the commutation relation

$$\operatorname{ad}_K \cdot S(u) := [K, S](u) = (V_S \cdot K - V_K \cdot S)(u) = 0,$$

where $\operatorname{ad}_K : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}[u] \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}[u]$ is the adjoint map of K .

This means that if $S(u)$ is a symmetry of $K(u)$ then the flows of vector fields generated by $K(u)$ and $S(u)$ commute with each other, i.e. $V_K \circ V_S - V_S \circ V_K = 0$.

The KdV equation has an infinite number of commuting symmetries which are given by the hamiltonian flows generated by the conserved quantities $I_l^{(0)}[u]$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots$, that is,

$$K_0^{(n)}(u) := D \nabla I_{n+2}^{(0)}, \quad \text{for} \quad n = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then using the recursion operator \mathfrak{R} in (3.8) those symmetries can be constructed as,

$$K_0^{(n-1)}(u) = \mathfrak{R}^n K_0^{(-1)}(u), \quad \text{with} \quad K_0^{(-1)}(u) = u_x.$$

The symmetry can be also constructed by the so-called *master symmetry* [7]. The definition of the master symmetries is as follows. A function $M(u)$ is a master symmetry of the evolution equation (4.1) if the Lie bracket defined by M maps symmetries onto symmetries, i.e.,

$$[M, S_i] = S_j,$$

where S_i and S_j are the symmetries.

The explicit form of the first few commutative symmetries $K_0^{(i)}$, $i \geq -1$, are

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0^{(-1)} &= u_x, \\
K_0^{(0)} &= 6uu_x + u_{3x}, \quad \text{the KdV equation} \\
K_0^{(1)} &= u_{5x} + 10u_{3x}u + 20u_{2x}u_x + 30u_xu^2, \\
K_0^{(2)} &= u_{7x} + 14u_{5x}u + 42u_{4x}u_x + 70u_{3x}u^2 + 70u_{3x}u_{2x} + 280u_{2x}u_xu \\
&\quad + 140u_xu^3 + 70u_x^3, \\
K_0^{(3)} &= u_{9x} + 18u_{7x}u + 72u_{6x}u_x + 168u_{5x}u_{2x} + 126u_{5x}u^2 + 252u_{4x}u_{3x} \\
&\quad + 756u_{4x}u_xu + 1260u_{3x}u_{2x}u + 966u_{3x}u_x^2 + 420u_{3x}u^3 \\
&\quad + 1302u_{2x}^2u_x + 2520u_{2x}u_xu^2 + 1260u_x^3u + 630u_xu^4,
\end{aligned}$$

and the master symmetries $M_0^{(j)}$, $j \geq 0$, are

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0^{(0)} &= xK_0^{(-1)} + 2u, \\
M_0^{(1)} &= xK_0^{(0)} + 8u^2 + 4u_{2x} + 2K_0^{(-1)}D^{-1}(u), \\
M_0^{(2)} &= xK_0^{(1)} + 32u^3 + 48uu_{2x} + 36u_x^2 + 6u_{4x} + 2K_0^{(0)}D^{-1}(u) \\
&\quad + 6K_0^{(-1)}D^{-1}(u^2), \\
M_0^{(3)} &= xK_0^{(2)} + 8u_{6x} + 96uu_{4x} + 240u_xu_{3x} + 160u_{2x}^2 + 384u^2u_{2x} + 576uu_x^2 \\
&\quad + 128u^4 + 2K_0^{(1)}D^{-1}(u) + 6K_0^{(0)}D^{-1}(u^2) + 10K_0^{(-1)}D^{-1}(2u^3 - u_x^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The set of those polynomials $\{K_0^{(n)}, M_0^{(m)}\}$ forms an infinite dimensional Lie algebra containing a classical Virasoro algebra of the master symmetries, that is,

$$(4.2) \quad [M_n, K_m] = (2m + 3)K_{n+m}, \quad [M_n, M_m] = 2(m - n)M_{n+m}.$$

One note here that the symmetries $K_0^{(n)}(u)$ are the odd weight (differential) polynomials, while the master symmetries are the even weight polynomials. In particular, each $M_0^{(k)}(u) - xK_0^{(k-1)}(u)$ can be considered as an element of the space of even weight polynomials generated by the recursion formula starting from $k = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^{(k)}(u) &= \alpha_1^{(k)}u_{2kx} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq N^{(k_1)} \\ 1 \leq j \leq N^{(k_2)}}} \left(\beta_{ij}^{(k)}(Y_i^{(k_1)}Y_j^{(k_2)})(u) + \gamma_{ij}^{(k)}(X_i^{(k_1-1)}D^{-1}Y_j^{(k_2)})(u) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $X_i^{(k)}(u)$ is a monomial in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2k+5}[u]$. This defines the space $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}[u]$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}[u] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u], \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u] = \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u] \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u],$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u] = \mathcal{P}_{2k+2}[u]$. The space $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u]$ will be important for the normal form theory discussed in the next section.

Remark 4.2. The actions of the symmetries and the master symmetries on one-soliton solution (3.7) give a representation of the algebra (4.2) in terms of the soliton parameters κ and $\theta = \kappa x_0$: For the symmetry $K_0^{(n)}$, the action generates the vector field,

$$V_n = 4^{n+1}\kappa^{2n+3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad \text{for } n \geq -1,$$

and for the master symmetry,

$$W_m = 4^n \kappa^{2n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 0.$$

Those gives a representation of the algebra (4.2), that is,

$$[V_n, V_m] = 0, \quad [W_n, V_m] = (2m + 3)V_{n+m}, \quad [W_n, W_m] = 2(n - m)W_{n+m}.$$

4.2. Approximate symmetries. As we discussed on the approximate conserved quantity for the perturbed equation (2.1) in the previous section, one can also discuss the approximate symmetries for (2.1). We say that a differential polynomial $H(u) \in \mathcal{P}[u]$ is an approximate symmetry of order n of the perturbed equation (2.1), if the commutator of $K(u)$ and $H(u)$ gives

$$[K, H](u) = O(\epsilon^{n+1}).$$

Expanding $H(u)$ in the power series of ϵ , $H = H^{(0)} + \epsilon H^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 H^{(2)} + \dots$, we have the equation for $H^{(m)}(u)$,

$$\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}} \cdot H^{(m)} = - \sum_{j=1}^m [K^{(j)}, H^{(m-j)}], \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $K_0^{(0)} = u_{3x} + 6uu_x$, and $K^{(j)}$'s are the higher order corrections of the KdV equation (2.1). Then choosing $H^{(0)}$ be one of the symmetries of the KdV equation, say $H^{(0)} = K_0^{(n)}$, we find the obstacles for the existence of higher order corrections $H^{(m)}$ for the approximate symmetry, and obtain the same conditions for the existence as stated in Proposition 3.1 [21]. In the proof, one needs the following Lemma similar to Lemma 3.1 for the kernel of the adjoint action $\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}}$,

Lemma 4.1. *The kernel of $\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}}$ on the space of differential polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{2n+5}[u]$ is given by*

$$\text{Ker} \left(\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}} \right) \cap \mathcal{P}_{2n+5}[u] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ K^{(n)} \}, \quad \text{for } n \geq -1.$$

5. NORMAL FORM THEORY

The normal form theory has been well developed in the study of finite dimensional dynamical systems (cf.[3]). The main purpose of the normal form is to classify the vector fields near critical points in terms of the symmetries of the leading order equation. This concept has been applied for the perturbed KdV equation as well as the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in [14, 15, 11, 18].

In this section we review the normal form theory for the perturbed KdV equation [15, 16], and discuss the effect of the obstacles on two-soliton interactions. We also discuss the Gardner-Miura transformation (which is an invertible version of the Miura transformation) in terms of the normal form theory, and show that the Gardner-Miura transformation is just a normal form transformation.

5.1. Normal form. The basic idea of the normal form for the perturbed KdV equation is to remove all the nonresonant (nonsecular) terms in the higher order corrections using a near identity transformation given by the Lie transformation.

Since the symmetries $K_0^{(n)}(u)$ of the KdV equation give the obvious resonant terms, we write each higher order term $K^{(n)}(u)$ in the form,

$$K^{(n)}(u) = a_1^{(n)} K_0^{(n)}(u) + F^{(n)}(u), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

so that $F^{(n)}(u)$ has no linear term. Then the point of the transformation is to simplify the term by removing the nonresonant terms in $F^{(n)}(u)$. If one succeeded to remove the entire $F^{(n)}(u)$ up to $n = N$, then the perturbed equation is *asymptotically* integrable up to the order ϵ^N , and it possesses approximate integrals $I_l^{(n)}[v; \epsilon]$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n = 1, \dots, N$. However as we have shown in Proposition 3.1, there are conditions for the existence of approximate conserved quantities. Thus we expect to see *obstacles* in removing all the nonlinear terms $F^{(n)}(u)$ from the higher order corrections. In fact we have

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a near identity transformation, $T_\epsilon : v \mapsto u$,*

$$u = T_\epsilon(v) = u + \epsilon\phi^{(1)}(u) + \dots, \quad \text{with } \phi^{(n)}(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}^{(n)}[u]$$

such that the perturbed equation (2.1) is transformed to

$$(5.1) \quad v_t + G(v; \epsilon) = O(\epsilon^{N+1}),$$

$$\text{with } G(u; \epsilon) = K_0^{(0)}(v) + \epsilon G^{(1)}(v) + \epsilon^2 G^{(2)}(v) + \dots + \epsilon^N G^{(N)}(v),$$

where $G^{(n)}(u)$ are given by

$$G^{(n)}(v) = a_1^{(n)} K_0^{(n)}(v) + R^{(n)}(v), \quad \text{with } R^{(n)}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta(n)} \mu_i^{(n)} R_i^{(n)}(u).$$

Here the constants $\mu_i^{(n)}$ are given in Proposition 3.1 for the existence of the approximate conserved quantities, $\Delta(n)$ is the total number of the conditions for the existence, and some $R_i^{(n)}(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+5}[u]$.

Proof. We take the Lie (exponential) transform for a near identity transform, that is,

$$u = T_\epsilon(v) = \exp V_\phi \cdot v,$$

where the generating function ϕ is expanded in the power series of ϵ ,

$$\phi = \epsilon\phi^{(1)} + \epsilon^2\phi^{(2)} + \dots + \epsilon^N\phi^{(N)} + O(\epsilon^{N+1}).$$

Substituting this into (2.1) leads to

$$V_G = \text{Ad}_{\exp V_\phi} \cdot V_K := \exp V_\phi \cdot V_K \cdot \exp(-V_\phi),$$

which gives

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\text{ad}_\phi)^n \cdot K = K + [\phi, K] + \frac{1}{2!} [\phi, [\phi, K]] + \frac{1}{3!} [\phi, [\phi, [\phi, K]]] + \dots$$

Then from each order of ϵ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [K_0^{(0)}, \phi^{(1)}] &= K^{(1)} - G^{(1)}, \\ [K_0^{(0)}, \phi^{(2)}] &= K^{(2)} - G^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}[\phi^{(1)}, K^{(1)} + G^{(1)}], \\ [K_0^{(0)}, \phi^{(3)}] &= K^{(3)} - G^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}[\phi^{(2)}, K^{(1)} + G^{(1)}], \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\phi^{(1)}, K^{(2)} + G^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{12}[\phi^{(1)}, [\phi^{(1)}, K^{(1)} + G^{(1)}]], \\ &\quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the equation for $\phi^{(n)}$ in the form called the *homological* equation,

$$(5.2) \quad \text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}} \cdot \phi^{(n)} := [K_0^{(0)}, \phi^{(n)}] = \tilde{K}^{(n)} - G^{(n)},$$

where $\tilde{K}^{(n)}$ is successively determined from the previous equations. Since the ad-action $\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}}$ raises the weight by three, we have

$$\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}} : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+2}[v] \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+5}[v].$$

Then we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(1)} &= \alpha_1^{(1)} u^2 + \alpha_2^{(1)} u_{2x} + \alpha_3^{(1)} u_x D^{-1}(u), \\ \phi^{(2)} &= \alpha_1^{(2)} u^3 + \alpha_2^{(2)} u u_{2x} + \alpha_3^{(2)} u_x^2 + \alpha_4^{(2)} u_{4x} \\ &\quad + \alpha_5^{(2)} K_0^{(0)} D^{-1}(u) + \alpha_6^{(2)} u_x D^{-1}(u^2), \\ \phi^{(3)} &= \alpha_1^{(3)} u^{4x} + \alpha_2^{(3)} u u_x^2 + \alpha_3^{(3)} u^2 u_{2x} + \alpha_4^{(3)} u_{2x}^2 + \alpha_5^{(3)} u_x u_{3x} \\ &\quad + \alpha_6^{(3)} u u_{4x} + \alpha_7^{(3)} u_{6x} + \alpha_8^{(3)} u_x D^{-1}(u^3) + \alpha_9^{(3)} u_x D^{-1}(u_x^2) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{10}^{(3)} K_0^{(0)} D^{-1}(u^2) + \alpha_{11}^{(3)} K_0^{(1)} D^{-1}(u) + \alpha_{12}^{(3)} K^{(1)} D^{-1}(u). \end{aligned}$$

The homological equation (5.2) gives a linear system of equations for the column vector $\alpha^{(n)} := (\alpha_1^{(n)}, \dots, \alpha_{N(n)}^{(n)})^T$ with $N(n) = \dim \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+2}[v]$,

$$(5.3) \quad A\alpha^{(n)} = b^{(n)},$$

where A is a $(M(n) - 1) \times N(n)$ matrix representation of the linear map $\text{ad}_{K_0^{(0)}}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+2}[v]$, and $b^{(n)}$ represents the coefficients of the monomial in $\tilde{K}^{(n)} - G^{(n)}$ which has $M(n) - 1$ elements with $M(n) = \dim \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+5}[v]$. The system (5.3) is overdetermined, and the total number of constraints for the consistency of the system is given by

$$N(n) := \dim \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+5} - 1 - \dim \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2n+2}.$$

This number should agree with that of the conditions for the existence of approximate symmetries, that is, the number of $\mu_i^{(n)}$ in Proposition 3.1.

Let us give an explicit form of $G^{(n)}$ up to $n = 2$:

At order ϵ , the matrix A in (5.3) is given by a 3×3 matrix with rank 3, so that we have $R^{(1)} = 0$, that is, no obstacle. The explicit transformation $\phi^{(1)}(v)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(20a_1^{(1)} + a_2^{(1)} - a_4^{(1)}), \quad \alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12}(10a_1^{(1)} + a_3^{(1)} - a_4^{(1)}), \\ \alpha_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3}(10a_1^{(1)} - a_2^{(1)}). \end{array} \right.$$

At order ϵ^2 , the matrix A is an 7×6 matrix with rank 6, and we have one obstacle with the form

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(2)}(v) &= b_2^{(2)} v_{5x} v + b_3^{(2)} v_{4x} v_x + b_4^{(2)} v_{3x} v^2 + b_5^{(2)} v_{3x} v_{2x} \\ &\quad + b_6^{(2)} v_{2x} v_x v + b_7^{(2)} v_x v^3 + b_8^{(2)} v_x^3, \end{aligned}$$

Here $b_i^{(2)}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, 8$, are constants satisfying the condition,

$$170b_2^{(2)} - 60b_3^{(2)} - 8b_4^{(2)} + 24b_5^{(2)} - 9b_6^{(2)} + 3b_7^{(2)} + 24b_8^{(2)} = 1.$$

The explicit formula of the $\alpha^{(2)} = (\alpha_1^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha_6^{(2)})^T$ is given in Appendix. \square

The perturbed equation (2.1) may have several approximate conserved quantities based on the original physical setting. Then we consider a particular form of the transformed equation (5.1) whose conserved quantities are given by those of the KdV equation. We call this form of equation the normal form of (2.1), that is, we define

Definition 5.1. For a subset of integers $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, suppose that the perturbed KdV equation (2.1) has the approximate conserved quantities $I_l[u; \epsilon]$ for $l \in \Gamma$ up to order ϵ^N . Then the normal form of (2.1) is defined by (5.1),

$$v_t + \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon^n G^{(n)}(v) = O(\epsilon^{N+1}),$$

whose conserved quantities $J_l[v; \epsilon] := I_l[T_\epsilon(v); \epsilon]$ for $l \in \Gamma$ are expressed in terms of the conserved quantities of the KdV equation $I_l^{(0)}[v]$,

$$(5.4) \quad J_l[v; \epsilon] = I_l^{(0)}[v] + \epsilon c_l^{(1)} I_{l+1}^{(0)}[v] + \dots + \epsilon^N c_l^{(N)} I_{N+l}^{(0)}[v] + O(\epsilon^{N+1}),$$

where $c_l^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, are some real constants.

In particular, if the set Γ contains the first three numbers, $\Gamma \supseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$, then the normal form admits a solitary wave solution in the form of KdV soliton (3.7). This can be seen by taking the variation,

$$\nabla(J_2[v; \epsilon] + \lambda J_1[v; \epsilon]) = 0.$$

Since many physically interesting systems possess those conserved quantities as mass, momentum and energy, we expect to find a solitary wave close to the KdV soliton for such systems. Now we show the existence of such normal form for the case $\Gamma = \{0, 1, 2\}$:

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that the perturbed KdV equation (2.1) has the first three approximate conserved quantities, $I_l[u; \epsilon]$, $l = 0, 1, 2$ up to order ϵ^3 . Then the corresponding normal form takes the form (5.1) with*

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(1)}(v) &= 0, \\ R^{(2)}(v) &= \mu_1^{(2)} R_1^{(2)}, \\ R^{(3)}(v) &= \mu_1^{(2)} c_2^{(1)} \mathfrak{R}(R_1^{(2)}) + \mu_2^{(3)} R_1^{(3)} + \mu_3^{(3)} R_2^{(3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the conserved quantities $J_l[v; \epsilon]$ for the normal form are given by

$$J_l[v; \epsilon] = I_l[v] + \epsilon c_l^{(1)} I_{l+1}[v] + \dots + \epsilon^3 c_l^{(3)} I_{l+3}[v] + O(\epsilon^4).$$

The obstacles $R_k^{(n)}$ are expressed as

$$R_1^{(2)} = \frac{-1}{50} \left(v_{5x}v + \frac{3}{2}v_{4x}v_x + 5v_{3x}v^2 - \frac{5}{2}v_{3x}v_{2x} + 20v_{2x}v_xv + 10v_xv^3 + 5v_x^3 \right),$$

$$R_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{175} \left(v_{7x}v + \frac{5}{2}v_{6x}v_x + 14v_{5x}v^2 - \frac{7}{2}v_{4x}v_{3x} + 63v_{4x}v_xv + 35v_{3x}v_{2x}v \right. \\ \left. + 56v_{3x}v_x^2 + 42v_{3x}v^3 + 42v_{2x}^2v_x + 273v_{2x}v_xv^2 + 168v_x^3v \right. \\ \left. + \frac{105}{2}v_xv^4 + 21v_xD^{-1}(v_x^3) \right),$$

$$R_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{175} \left(4v_{7x}v + 10v_{6x}v_x + \frac{91}{2}v_{5x}v^2 - 14v_{4x}v_{3x} + \frac{441}{2}v_{4x}v_xv \right. \\ \left. + \frac{385}{2}v_{3x}v_{2x}v + 203v_{3x}v_x^2 + 147v_{3x}v^3 + \frac{357}{2}v_{2x}^2v_x + 903v_{2x}v_xv^2 \right. \\ \left. + 483v_x^3v + 210v_xv^4 + 21v_xD^{-1}(v_x^3) \right).$$

and \mathfrak{R} is the recursion operator.

Proof. Recall that $J_l[v, \epsilon] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(v; \epsilon) dx$ is an approximate conserved quantity for (5.1) if

$$V_G \cdot \rho(v; \epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{N+1}) \in \text{Im}(D).$$

Then using the form (5.1) and $\rho_l = \rho_l^{(0)} + \epsilon c_l^{(1)} \rho_{l+1}^{(0)} + \dots$, we have, at order ϵ^2 ,

$$(5.5) \quad V_{R^{(2)}} \cdot \rho_l^{(0)}(v) \in \text{Im}(D), \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2,$$

and at order ϵ^3 ,

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} V_{R^{(3)}} \cdot \rho_k^{(0)}(v) \in \text{Im}(D), & \text{for } k = 0, 1, \\ V_{R^{(3)}} \cdot \rho_2^{(0)} + c_2^{(1)} V_{R^{(2)}} \cdot \rho_3^{(0)} \in \text{Im}(D). \end{cases}$$

Then from a direct computation with the explicit form of $R^{(2)} \in \mathcal{P}_9[v]$, the conditions (5.5) lead to the required form of $R^{(2)}(v)$.

For (5.6), we first write $R^{(3)}$ in the sum of homogeneous solution $R_h^{(3)}$ and a particular solution $R_p^{(3)}$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} V_{R_h^{(3)}} \cdot \rho_l^{(0)} \in \text{Im}(D), & \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2 \\ V_{R_p^{(3)}} \cdot \rho_2^{(0)} + c_2^{(1)} V_{R^{(2)}} \cdot \rho_3^{(0)} \in \text{Im}(D). \end{cases}$$

Then one can find a particular solution by using the recursion operator $\mathfrak{R} = \Theta D^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{R^{(2)}} \cdot \rho_3^{(0)} &= R^{(2)} \nabla I_3^{(0)} \quad \text{mod Im}(D) \\ &= R^{(2)} D^{-1} D \nabla I_3^{(0)} \quad \text{mod Im}(D) \\ &= R^{(2)} D^{-1} \Theta \nabla I_2^{(0)} \quad \text{mod Im}(D) \\ &= (\Theta D^{-1} R^{(2)}) \nabla I_2^{(0)} \quad \text{mod Im}(D) \\ &= V_{\mathfrak{R}R^{(2)}} \cdot \rho_2^{(0)} \quad \text{mod Im}(D) \end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$R_p^{(3)} = -\mu_1^{(2)} c_2^{(1)} \mathfrak{R}(R_1^{(2)}).$$

Then from a direct computation we have the homogeneous solution in the desired form,

$$R_h^{(3)} = \mu_2^{(3)} R_1^{(3)} + \mu_3^{(3)} R_2^{(3)}.$$

□

Remark 5.2. We have the following remarks on the obstacles:

- a) In general, the explicit form of the obstacles $R^{(n)}$ may be successively obtained by solving the linear equations,

$$V_{R^{(n)}} \cdot \rho_l^{(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_l^{(k)} V_{R^{(n-k)}} \rho_{l+k}^{(0)} \in \text{Im}(D), \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Suppose we found $R^{(k)}$ up to $k = n - 1$. Then we have a particular solution in the form,

$$R_p^{(n)} = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} c_l^{(k)} \mathfrak{R}^k R^{(n-k)}.$$

Here one has to check the compatibility among the different $l = 0, 1, 2$.

- b) Since the normal form with $\Gamma = \{0, 1, 2\}$ admits the solitary wave in the form of the KdV soliton, one can see that all the obstacles vanish when v assumes the KdV soliton solution,

$$R^{(n)}(v) = 0, \quad \text{when } v = 2\kappa^2 \text{sech}^2(\kappa(x - x_0)).$$

5.2. The Gardner-Miura transformation. It was found in [22] that there is an *invertible* transformation between the KdV equation and the KdV equation with a cubic nonlinear term,

$$(5.7) \quad u_t + 6uu_x + u_{3x} = \epsilon a u^2 u_x,$$

where a is an arbitrary constant. The transformation is called the Gardner-Miura transformation which is an invertible version of the Miura transformation,

$$(5.8) \quad v = u - \alpha \epsilon^{1/2} u_x - \alpha^2 \epsilon u^2, \quad \text{with } \alpha = -\sqrt{a/6}.$$

Here we treat (5.7) as an example of the perturbed KdV equation, and give an explicit formulation of the Gardner-Miura transformation in terms of the normal form theory. Since the perturbed equation (5.7) has an infinite number of conserved densities and there is no resonant term as the symmetry of the KdV equation in the higher order, the normal form is just the KdV equation. Then we construct the normal form transformation, $u = T_\epsilon(v)$ which is the inverse of the Gardner-Miura transformation (5.8).

Since the Gardner-Miura transformation (5.8) is of a Riccati type, the change of the variable

$$(5.9) \quad u = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(D \ln \varphi + \frac{1}{2\delta} \right), \quad \text{with } \delta = \alpha \epsilon^{1/2},$$

leads to the Schrödinger equation,

$$L^2 \varphi := (D^2 + v) \varphi = k^2 \varphi, \quad \text{with } k = -1/(2\delta).$$

Using the notion of the pseudo-differential operators D^ν for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can define $L = (D^2 + v)^{1/2}$ as

$$L = D + q_1 D^{-1} + q_2 D^{-2} + \dots, \quad q_i \in \mathcal{P}_{i+2}[v],$$

Then writing D in the power series of L , we have

$$D = L + p_1 L^{-1} + p_2 L^{-2} + \dots, \quad p_i \in \mathcal{P}_{i+2}[v]$$

and using $L\varphi = k\varphi$, we find

$$(5.10) \quad D \ln \varphi = -\frac{1}{2\delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-2\delta)^i p_i(v).$$

Note in particular that we have

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2}v, \quad p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}v.$$

From (5.9), the equation (5.10) leads to the inverse of the Gardner-Miura transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-2)^i \delta^{i-1} p_i(v, v_x, \dots) \\ &= v + \delta v_x + \delta^2 (v_{2x} - v^2) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We now remove the terms of the non-integer powers of ϵ , the odd integer of $\delta = \alpha\epsilon^{1/2}$, in this equation. The first term δv_x can be removed by the translation of x . After removing the term δv_x , we have $K_0^{(0)}(v)$ at the order of $\epsilon^{3/2}$. This can be removed by the translation of t . Continuing this process, we see the symmetries of the KdV equation at the non-integer powers of ϵ . Then shifting the symmetry parameters x_{2n+1} , those can be removed. Here $x = x_1$ and $t = -x_3$. Now we can show

Proposition 5.2. *The inverse of the Gardner-Miura transformation gives a normal form transform,*

$$u = \frac{1}{\delta} D^{-1} \sinh \left(\delta \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{2i}}{2i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i+1}} \right) v, \quad \delta = -\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon a}{6}},$$

where the derivative of v with respect to x_{2n+1} defines the symmetry, that is, $\partial v / \partial x_{2n+1} = K_0^{(n-1)}(v)$.

Proof. It is well known [9] that the wave function φ of the Schrödinger equation can be expressed by the τ -function,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, k) = \frac{\tau(\mathbf{x} + 2\langle\delta\rangle)}{\tau(\mathbf{x})} e^{kx_1}, \quad \text{with } k = -\frac{1}{2\delta},$$

where we denote $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, and

$$\langle\delta\rangle = \left(\delta, \frac{\delta^3}{3}, \frac{\delta^5}{5}, \dots \right).$$

Expanding the equation $D \ln \varphi$ with this equation in the power of δ , we find

$$(5.11) \quad v(\mathbf{x}) = 2D^2 \ln \tau(\mathbf{x}).$$

Then from (5.9) we have

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\delta} D (\ln \tau(\mathbf{x} + 2\langle\delta\rangle) - \ln \tau(\mathbf{x})).$$

Now applying the vertex operator

$$V(\delta) = \exp \left(-\delta \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{2i}}{(2i+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i+1}} \right),$$

and using (5.11), we obtain the result. Note here that both $u(\mathbf{x})$ and $u(\mathbf{x} + \langle \delta \rangle)$ satisfy the same equation (5.7). \square

6. INTERACTIONS OF SOLITARY WAVES

As an important application of the normal form theory, we consider the interaction problem of solitary waves, and show how the theory enables us to understand the interaction properties under the influence of the higher orders in the perturbed KdV equation (2.1). We assume that the perturbed equation possesses the first three conserved quantities, i.e. $\Gamma = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then the first obstacle appears in the order ϵ^2 as $\mu_1^{(2)} \neq 0$, that is, we consider the normal form,

$$v_t + K_0^{(0)}(v) + \epsilon a_1^{(1)} K_0^{(1)}(v) + \epsilon^2 (a_1^{(2)} K_0^{(2)}(v) + \mu_1^{(2)} R_1^{(2)}(v)) = 0.$$

Several physical examples of this type will be discussed in Section 7. We use the method of perturbed inverse scattering transform [12, 13] to analyze the normal form. We start with a brief description of the method.

6.1. Inverse scattering transform. The key of the inverse scattering transform is based on the one-to-one correspondence for each t between the scattering data $S(t)$ and the potential $v(x, t)$, the solution of the normal form, of the Schrödinger equation (see for example [1]),

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + (v + k^2)\varphi = 0.$$

The correspondence is given by the formula of $v(x, t)$ in terms of the squared eigenfunctions,

$$(6.1) \quad v(x, t) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_j(t) C_j(t) \varphi^2(x, t; i\kappa_j) + \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} kr(t; k) \varphi^2(x, t; k) dk.$$

Here the scattering data $S(t)$ is defined by

$$S(t) := \{ \{ \kappa_j(t) > 0, C_j(t) \}_{j=1}^N, r(t; k) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{R} \},$$

and the eigenfunction $\varphi(x, t; k)$ is assumed to satisfy the boundary condition,

$$\varphi(x, t; k) \longrightarrow e^{-ikx}, \text{ as } x \longrightarrow -\infty.$$

Note that the squared function $\varphi^2(x, t; k)$ satisfies

$$\Theta \varphi^2 = (D^3 + 2(Dv + vD))\varphi^2 = -4k^2 D\varphi^2,$$

so that the function $D\varphi^2$ is the eigenfunction of the recursion operator \mathfrak{R} with the eigenvalue $-4k^2$.

With the formula of v in (6.1), the conserved quantities $J_i[v; \epsilon]$ can be expressed in terms of the scattering data,

$$(6.2) \quad J_i[v; \epsilon] = I_i^{(0)}[v] + \epsilon c_i^{(1)} I_{i+1}^{(0)}[v] + \epsilon^2 c_i^{(2)} I_{i+2}^{(0)}[v] + O(\epsilon^3), \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

where $I_j^{(0)}$ can be expressed as

$$(6.3) \quad I_m^{(0)} = \frac{2^{2m+1}}{2m+1} (-1)^{m+1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^M \kappa_j^{2m+1} - (-1)^m \Delta_m \right]$$

with the radiation part $\Delta_m(t)$,

$$\Delta_m = -\frac{2m+1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty k^{2m} \ln(1 - |r(t; k)|^2) dk \geq 0.$$

The existence of such conserved quantities plays a crucial rule for the interaction mechanism as in the case of the KdV solitons.

The time evolution of the scattering data is determined by

$$\frac{d}{dt} S(t) = -V_K \cdot S(t), \quad \text{for } v_t + K(v) = 0.$$

In particular, the equation for the reflection coefficient $r(t; k)$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} r(t; k) = \frac{-1}{2ika^2(t; k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_t(x, t) \phi^2(x, t; k) dx.$$

where $a(t; k)$ is the reciprocal transmission coefficient determined by $r(t; k)$ and κ_j . Using the normal form $v_t + K(v) = 0$, we obtain the equation for $r(t; k)$,

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = i\omega r + \epsilon^2 \frac{\mu_1^{(2)}}{2ika^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_1^{(2)} \phi^2(x, k) dx + O(\epsilon^3),$$

where $\omega = 8k^3(1 - 4\epsilon a_1^{(1)} k^2 + 16a_1^{(2)} \epsilon^2 k^4)$.

Those given above provide an enough information for our purpose of studying the interaction of solitary waves.

6.2. solitary wave interaction. Recall that the obstacle vanishes for one soliton solution of the KdV equation, that is, there is no effect of the obstacle on the solitary wave. The higher order terms lead to the shift of the velocity of the soliton solution due to the resonance caused by the symmetries of the KdV equation [19]. In order to see the effect of obstacles, we now consider the interaction of two solitary waves, and show the inelasticity in the interaction which can be considered as a nonintegrable effect of the obstacle.

6.2.1. Inelasticity in the interaction. Let us assume that the initial data consists of two well-separated solitary waves with parameters $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ in the form of (3.7), traveling with speed $s_j = 4\kappa_j^2(1 - 4\epsilon a_1^{(1)} \kappa_j^2 + 16\epsilon^2 a_1^{(2)} \kappa_j^4)$ $j = 1, 2$, and approaching each other. Then we analyze the interaction by using a perturbation method where the leading order solution is assumed to be the exact two-soliton solution of the KdV equation, that is, for large $x_{01} - x_{02} \gg 1$

$$v(x, 0) \simeq 2\kappa_1^2 \text{sech}^2(\kappa_1(x - x_{01})) + 2\kappa_2^2 \text{sech}^2(\kappa_2(x - x_{02})).$$

We then determine the evolution of the scattering data, $\kappa_j(t)$ and the radiations $\Delta_m(t)$ in (6.4). First we have

Proposition 6.1. [15] *Due to the interaction of two solitary waves, their parameters κ_j $j = 1, 2$ are shifted by $\Delta\kappa_j(t)$ which can be expressed in terms of the radiations $\Delta_m(t)$,*

$$\Delta\kappa_1 = \frac{5\kappa_2^2 \Delta_1 + 3\Delta_2}{15\kappa_1^2(\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2)} + O(\epsilon \Delta_m) \geq 0, \quad \Delta\kappa_2 = -\frac{5\kappa_1^2 \Delta_1 + 3\Delta_2}{15\kappa_2^2(\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2)} + O(\epsilon \Delta_m) \leq 0.$$

There is also a production of the third soliton with the parameter,

$$\Delta\kappa_3 = \frac{15\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2 \Delta_0 + 5(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \Delta_1 + 3\Delta_2}{15\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2} + O(\epsilon \Delta_m) \geq 0.$$

Proof. From the conserved quantities $J_l[v; \epsilon] = \text{constant}$ for $l = 0, 1, 2$ up to order ϵ^2 , we obtain,

$$\Delta I_l^{(0)} + \epsilon c_l^{(1)} \Delta I_{l+1}^{(0)} + \epsilon^2 c_l^{(2)} \Delta I_{l+2}^{(0)} = O(\epsilon^3),$$

where the variations $\Delta I_n^{(0)}$ are taken over the shifts $\Delta \kappa_j$ and the radiation Δ_m , that is,

$$(2l+1) \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_j^{2l} \Delta \kappa_j - (-1)^l \Delta I_l = O(\epsilon \Delta_n), \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2.$$

Here $N - 2$ is a possible number of new solitary waves. Since the $\Delta \kappa_j$ for $j > 2$ represents a new eigenvalue of the Schrödinger equation, it is nondegenerate and an isolated point on the imaginary axis of the spectral domain. This implies that the number of new eigenvalues should be just one for a sufficiently small ϵ , i.e. $N = 3$.

Because of $\kappa_j = 0$ initially for $j > 2$, one can find the formulae of $\Delta \kappa_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ from those variations for $l = 1, 2$. Also the first variation with $l = 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \Delta \kappa_j - \Delta_0 = O(\epsilon \Delta_n),$$

leads to the formula $\Delta \kappa_3$ for a new solitary wave. \square

Thus we find the followings:

- a) The total mass, $M = \int v dx \propto \kappa$, of the larger solitary wave is increased, and contrary that of the smaller solitary wave is decreased by the interaction.
- b) The amount of the energy change, $E = \int v^2 dx \propto \kappa^3$, has the property $1 < |\Delta E_2 / \Delta E_1| < (\kappa_1 / \kappa_2)^2$, i.e. the energy expense of the smaller solitary wave is more than the energy gain of the larger one.
- c) The interaction produces a new solitary wave as well as radiation.

Those results except for a new solitary wave production are consistent with the numerical observation in [5]. It may be difficult to observe the new solitary wave from the numerical calculation, since this solitary wave has long width and small amplitude $(\Delta \kappa_3)^2$ which is of order ϵ^8 (see below).

The function $\Delta_m(t)$ of the radiation can be computed as follows: The reflection coefficient $r(t; k)$ in (6.1) can be expressed as

$$r(t; k) = \frac{\epsilon^2 \mu_1^{(2)}}{2ik} \left(\int_0^t d\tau \frac{e^{-i\omega\tau}}{a(\tau; k)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \varphi^2(x, \tau; k) R_1^{(2)}(v) \right) e^{i\omega t}.$$

Then $\Delta_m(t)$ is given by

$$(6.4) \quad \Delta_m(t) = \frac{2m+1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty D_m(t; k) dk + o(\epsilon^4),$$

where $D_m(t; k)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D_m(t; k) &= k^{2m} |r(t; k)|^2 + o(\epsilon^4) \\ &= \epsilon^4 \frac{(\mu_1^{(2)})^2 k^{2(m-1)}}{4} \left| \int_0^t d\tau e^{-i\omega\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \varphi^2(x, \tau; k) R_1^{(2)}(v) \right|^2 + o(\epsilon^4). \end{aligned}$$

We numerically calculate the formula $\Delta_m(t)$ by means of perturbation, that is, we assume $v(x, t)$ to be a two-soliton solution of the integrable one $v_t + K^{(0)} + \epsilon a_1^{(1)} K_1 + \epsilon^2 a_1^{(2)} K_2 = 0$ and $\varphi(x, t, k)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction. The result will be

shown in Section 7 for the examples of ion acoustic waves and the Boussinesq equation.

6.2.2. *The additional phase shifts of solitary wave.* As a consequence of the nonlocal terms in the normal form transformation, one can find the additional phase shifts on the solitary waves $u(x, t)$ of the perturbed KdV equation (2.1) through their interaction.

Let us first recall the phase shifts of the two-soliton solution for $v(x, t)$ [8, 2]. The asymptotic form of $v(x, t)$ consists of well separated one solitons,

$$v(x, t) \approx v_j^\pm(x, t), \quad \text{as } \begin{cases} t \rightarrow \pm\infty \\ \kappa_j x \sim \omega_j t \end{cases}$$

$$\text{with } v_j^\pm(x, t) = 2\kappa^2 \operatorname{sech}(\kappa_j x - \omega_j t - \theta_j^\pm).$$

Then the phase shifts $\Delta x_j^{(0)} := (\theta_j^+ - \theta_j^-)/\kappa_j$ are given by

$$\Delta x_1^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{\kappa_1} \ln \left(\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta x_2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \ln \left(\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} \right)$$

We now compute the correction to the shift $\Delta x_j^{(0)}$ using the normal form transformation. From the asymptotic form of the two-soliton solution for $t \rightarrow -\infty$, we have up to order ϵ

$$u(x, t) \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{\kappa_1 x \sim \omega_1 t} \\ \xrightarrow{\kappa_2 x \sim \omega_2 t} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} v_1^- + \epsilon \left(\alpha_1^{(1)}(v_1^-)^2 + \alpha_2^{(1)}(v_1^-)_{2x} + \alpha_3^{(1)}(v_1^-)_x \int_{-\infty}^x v_1^- dx \right), \\ v_2^- + \epsilon \left(\alpha_1^{(1)}(v_2^-)^2 + \alpha_2^{(1)}(v_2^-)_{2x} + \alpha_3^{(1)}(v_2^-)_x \int_{-\infty}^x v_2^- dx \right) \\ + \epsilon \alpha_3(v_2^-)_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1^- dx. \end{array}$$

One should note here that there is an extra term in the v_2 solitary wave which is the key term for the additional phase shift. Namely the term can be absorbed as a translation of x in v_2 . The other terms contribute to modify the shape of the soliton, the dressing part.

Also for $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$u(x, t) \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{\kappa_1 x \sim \omega_1 t} \\ \xrightarrow{\kappa_2 x \sim \omega_2 t} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} v_1^+ + \epsilon \left(\alpha_1^{(1)}(v_1^+)^2 + \alpha_2^{(1)}(v_1^+)_{2x} + \alpha_3^{(1)}(v_1^+)_x \int_{-\infty}^x v_1^+ dx \right) \\ + \epsilon \alpha_3(v_1^+)_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2^+ dx. \\ v_2^+ + \epsilon \left(\alpha_1^{(1)}(v_2^+)^2 + \alpha_2^{(1)}(v_2^+)_{2x} + \alpha_3^{(1)}(v_2^+)_x \int_{-\infty}^x v_2^+ dx \right) \end{array}$$

Now the additional shift appears to v_1 . This can be extended for the next order where the shift also appears as a translation of t with a term like $K_0^{(0)}(v_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2 dx$. Thus we have the total phase shift for v_1 solitary wave,

$$(6.5) \quad \Delta x_1 = \Delta x_1^{(0)} + \epsilon \Delta x_1^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 \Delta x_1^{(2)} + O(\epsilon^3)$$

where the additional phase shifts are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x_1^{(1)} &= \alpha_3^{(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2 dx = 4\kappa_2 \alpha_3^{(1)}, \\ \Delta x_1^{(2)} &= \alpha_6^{(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_2)^2 dx + \frac{\alpha_3^{(1)}(\alpha_1^{(1)} - \alpha_3^{(1)})}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_2)^2 dx + 4\kappa_1^2 \alpha_5^{(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2 dx \\ &= \left(\frac{16}{3} \alpha_6^{(2)} + \frac{8}{3} \alpha_3^{(1)} (\alpha_1^{(1)} - \alpha_3^{(1)}) \right) \kappa_2^3 + 16\alpha_5^{(2)} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2.\end{aligned}$$

7. EXAMPLES

In this section, the normal form theory is applied to some explicit models including the ion acoustic wave equation, the Bousinesq equation as a model of the shallow water waves, and the regularized long wave equation (sometimes called BBM equation). We also carry out the numerical simulation for those examples and compare the results with the predictions obtained from the normal form theory such as the phase shift (6.5) and the radiation energy (6.4).

We also consider the 7th order Hirota KdV equation which is known to be nonintegrable even though it admits an exact two solitary wave solution. The main issue is to determine the order of the obstacle of the corresponding normal form, which indicates a nonintegrability of the equation in the asymptotic sense. It turns out that the obstacles appear at order ϵ^4 .

7.1. Ion acoustic waves. An asymptotic property of the ion acoustic waves has been discussed in several papers (see for example [28]). These studies show that the KdV equation is derived as the first approximation of the ion acoustic wave equation under the weakly dispersive limit. The higher order corrections to the KdV soliton solution have been also discussed in [19]. Recently, Li and Sattinger in [20] studied numerically the interaction problem of solitary waves and showed that the amplitude of the radiation after two solitary wave interaction can be observed as small as 10^{-5} order, and they concluded that the KdV equation gives an excellent approximation. Here we explain those observations based on the normal form theory developed in the previous sections.

Following the method in [28], we first derive the KdV equation with the higher order corrections. The ion acoustic wave equation is expressed by the system of three partial differential equations in the dimensionless form,

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{cases} (n_i)_T + (n_i v_i)_X = 0, \\ (v_i)_T + \left(\frac{v_i^2}{2} + \phi \right)_X = 0, \\ \phi_{2X} - \exp \phi + n_i = 0, \end{cases}$$

where n_i , v_i , and ϕ are the normalized variables for ion density, ion velocity and electric potential. Electron density n_e is related with ϕ as $n_e = \exp(\phi)$. Assuming the weak nonlinearity and the weak dispersion, we introduce the scaled variables,

$$v_i = \epsilon v, \quad n_e = 1 + \epsilon n, \quad \text{and} \quad x = \epsilon^{1/2} X, \quad t = \epsilon^{1/2} T.$$

Then we write (7.1) in the following form for (n, v) ,

$$\begin{cases} \left(1 - \epsilon D^2 \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon n}\right) n_t + v_x + \epsilon(nv)_x - \epsilon(v \ln(1 + \epsilon n))_x = 0, \\ v_t + vv_x + \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon n} n_x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then inverting the operator in front of n_t , we have the matrix equation,

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U + A_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} U + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B(U) = 0, \quad \text{with } U = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_0 is the constant matrix $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and the vector function $B(U)$ is given by the expansion

$$B = B^{(1)} + \epsilon B^{(2)} + \epsilon^2 B^{(3)} + \dots,$$

$$\text{with } \begin{cases} B^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{2x} + nv \\ \frac{1}{2}(v^2 - n^2) \end{pmatrix}, & B^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{4x} + n_x v_x \\ \frac{1}{3} n^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{6x} + (n_x v_x)_{2x} - (nv_{3x})_x - vnn_x \\ -\frac{1}{4} n^4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Thus for the case with $\epsilon = 0$, we have two simple linear waves propagating with the speeds $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm 1$ given by the eigenvalues of the matrix A_0 . We then look for an asymptotic wave along with the speed $\lambda_+ = 1$, so that we introduce the scaled variables on this moving frame,

$$x' = x - t, \quad t' = \epsilon t,$$

which gives, after dropping the prime on the new variable,

$$(7.3) \quad (A_0 - I) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B(U)}{\partial x} \right) = 0.$$

where I is the 2×2 identity matrix. Let us decompose U in the form,

$$U(x, t) = u(x, t)R_+ + f(x, t)R_-,$$

where R_{\pm} are the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm 1$. Then taking the projections of (7.3) on the R_{\pm} -directions, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (L_+ B)_x = 0, \\ -2f_x + \epsilon(f_t + (L_- B)_x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where L_{\pm} are the left eigenvectors with the normalization, $L_{\pm} R_{\pm} = 1$, $L_{\pm} R_{\mp} = 0$. Then we can see that $f(x, t)$ can be expressed in an expansion form,

$$f(x, t) = \epsilon f^{(1)}(u) + \epsilon^2 f^{(2)}(u) + \epsilon^3 f^{(3)}(u) + \dots, \quad \text{with } f^{(k)}(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}^{(k)}[u].$$

where $f^{(n)}$ are determined iteratively from

$$f = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(L_- B) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} D^{-1} f_t = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(L_- B^{(1)}) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}(L_- B^{(2)}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} f_t + \dots$$

Thus we obtain the perturbed KdV equation which takes the following form up to order ϵ^2 after an appropriate normalization,

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{3x} + \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{4}u_{5x} - u_{3x}u - \frac{3}{2}u_xu^2 \right) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{5}{72}u_{7x} - \frac{1}{2}u_{5x}u - \frac{17}{24}u_{4x}u_x + \frac{1}{6}u_{3x}u_{2x} + \frac{3}{4}u_{3x}u^2 - \frac{1}{4}u_{2x}u_xu - \frac{7}{8}u_x^3 + \frac{1}{4}u_xu^3 \right) = O(\epsilon^3),$$

from which we have

$$\mu_1^{(2)} = -\frac{11}{9} \neq 0.$$

Thus the normal form of the ion acoustic wave equation has the obstacle $R_1^{(2)}$. The normal form transformation is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^{(1)} = \frac{11}{12}, \alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_3^{(1)} = \frac{7}{6}, \\ \alpha_1^{(2)} = \frac{731}{3600}, \alpha_2^{(2)} = \frac{89}{600}, \alpha_3^{(2)} = \frac{433}{3600}, \\ \alpha_4^{(2)} = \frac{51}{800}, \alpha_5^{(2)} = \frac{23}{1800}, \alpha_6^{(2)} = \frac{87}{400}. \end{array} \right.$$

Remark 7.1. The physical variables n and v are expressed as,

$$n = u + f, \quad v = u - f, \quad \text{with} \quad R_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since f has an expansion of the power series of ϵ and each coefficient $f^{(n)}$ is an element in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{even}}^{(n)}$, the expressions of n , v have the same form as of the normal form transformation. In the asymptotic sense, all the physical variables are expressed by one function u as $U = uR_+$. Then the choice of the higher order terms f has a freedom. Then the main purpose of the normal form is to use this freedom to classify near integrable systems in the asymptotic sense.

We now compare the results in Section 6 with numerical results. We used the spectral method [30] for the numerical computation. For a convenience, we consider (7.1) in a moving frame with a speed c ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (n)_t - (6+c)n_x + 6v_x + 6(nv)_x = 0, \\ (v)_t - (6+c)v_x + 6 \left(\frac{v^2}{2} + \phi \right)_x = 0, \\ \phi_{2x} - 3 \exp \phi + 3(n+1) = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

The computation is done with the 2^{12} number of Fourier modes and the time step $dt = 0.008$. In general, the spectral method yields aliasing errors from the high frequency modes in the nonlinear terms, so we try to get rid of their errors by adopting the 3/2 rule [26].

Let us first compare a solitary wave solution of the ion acoustic wave equation with the KdV soliton solution. Since the one-soliton solution (3.7) is a kernel of the

obstacle $R_1^{(2)}$, one can construct a solitary wave for the variable u by the normal form transformation, i.e.,

$$u = v + \epsilon\phi^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 \left(\phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\phi^{(1)}} \cdot \phi^{(1)} \right) + O(\epsilon^3),$$

$$\text{with } v = 2\kappa^2 \text{sech}^2(\kappa(x - x_0)),$$

where x_0 is a center position of the soliton at $t = 0$. The solitary wave for the variable u is constructed by the core v with the dressing terms $\epsilon\phi^{(1)} + \epsilon^2(\phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\phi^{(1)}} \cdot \phi^{(1)}) + O(\epsilon^3)$. Now let us see the effect of the dressing terms, which is considered as nonresonant higher harmonics in a periodic solution of finite dimensional problem. These three figures show the difference of the amplitude of radiations emitted during




Figure 1. Effects of the dressing terms.

the time evolution for each initial wave. The initial solitary wave is given by $u = v$ in the left figure, $u = v + \epsilon\phi^{(1)}$ in the central figure, and $u = v + \epsilon\phi^{(1)} + \epsilon^2(\phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\phi^{(1)}} \cdot \phi^{(1)})$ in the right figure. The core part is given by (7.1) for $\kappa = 0.2$. These figures show that the generation of the radiation can be suppressed by adding the higher order dressing terms into the core.

Now let us discuss the interaction of the two solitary waves. In Figure 2, we show the result of the phase shift of the solitary wave with the parameter $\kappa_1 (> \kappa_2)$ for various values of $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2$. Here we fix $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = 0.5$ and $\epsilon = (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)/2 \simeq 0.07$. The solid line is calculated from the formula (6.5), and the broken line is obtained by the numerical simulation. The phase shift of the KdV soliton is also shown as the dotted line. As we can see that the phase shift formula gives a good agreement to the numerical results. The energy of the radiation emitted after the interaction is calculated from (6.4) and is shown in Figure 3. This shows that the radiation energy is of order 10^{-5} which also agrees with the result in [20]. Thus the normal form theory provides an accurate description of the deviation from the KdV equation.

7.2. Boussinesq equation. The Boussinesq equation as an approximate equation for the shallow water waves is given by

$$\begin{cases} \eta_T + v_X + (\eta v)_X = 0, \\ v_T + \frac{1}{2}(v^2)_X + \eta_X - \frac{1}{3}v_{XXT} = 0, \end{cases}$$





Figure 2. Phase shift.

Figure 3. Time evolution of $\Delta_1(t)$.

where η and v are the normalized variables which represent the amplitude and the velocity [29]. Since this equation is truncated at the first order from the shallow water wave equation, the normal form may not provide a structure of the asymptotic integrability at the second order. However the Boussinesq equation itself has an interesting mathematical structure, such as the regularization at the higher dispersion regime, and it may be interesting to study its own asymptotic integrability. The normal form for the shallow water wave equation has been studied in [17].

Following the similar process as in the case of ion acoustic waves, we obtain the perturbed KdV equation up to order ϵ^2 ,

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{3x} + \epsilon \left(\frac{3}{8}u_{5x} + \frac{3}{2}u_{3x}u + 4u_{2x}x - \frac{3}{4}u_xu^2 \right) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{5}{32}u_{7x} + \frac{11}{16}u_{5x}u \right. \\ \left. + \frac{99}{32}u_{4x}u_x + \frac{95}{16}u_{3x}u_{2x} - \frac{3}{16}u_{3x}u^2 - \frac{33}{16}u_{2x}u_xu - \frac{27}{32}u_x^3 + \frac{3}{16}u_xu^3 \right) = O(\epsilon^3).$$

Due to the non-zero integrability condition ($\mu_1^{(2)} = 3/2$), the obstacle $R_1^{(2)}$ does not disappear in the second order correction. The generating functions in the Lie transformation are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^{(1)} = \frac{13}{8}, \quad \alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{17}{24}, \quad \alpha_3^{(1)} = \frac{3}{4}, \\ \alpha_1^{(2)} = \frac{2591}{14400}, \quad \alpha_2^{(2)} = \frac{71}{100}, \quad \alpha_3^{(2)} = \frac{2747}{3600}, \\ \alpha_4^{(2)} = \frac{1583}{9600}, \quad \alpha_5^{(2)} = \frac{17}{800}, \quad \alpha_6^{(2)} = \frac{571}{4800}. \end{array} \right.$$

Now let us consider the phase shift during the two solitary wave interactions in the same way as the ion acoustic wave equation. The result is shown in Figure 4.

Again, the numerical data of the phase shift can be well explained by the phase shift formula. The time evolution of $\Delta_1(t)$ is shown in Figure 5. This figure shows that the energy of the radiation after the interaction is about the same as that for the ion acoustic wave equation.





Figure 4. Phase shift.


 Figure 5. Time evolution of $\Delta_1(t)$.

7.3. Regularized long wave equation. Since the KdV equation is derived under the assumption of weak dispersion, it is not valid for the wave phenomena involving short waves. As a model of shallow water waves for a large range of wavelength, Benjamin et al in [4, 27] proposed the equation

$$w_T + w_X + 6ww_X - w_{XXT} = 0.$$

This equation is called the regularized long wave equation (RLW) or the BBM equation. It has been shown that this equation has only three non-trivial independent conserved quantities [25] indicating its nonintegrability. The BBM equation admits a solitary wave solution,

$$w = \frac{2\kappa^2}{1 - 4\kappa^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\kappa X - \frac{\kappa}{1 - 4\kappa^2} T \right).$$

The numerical simulations by Bona et al. [5] showed that the interaction of the solitary waves is inelastic and generates radiations. Their study also found the shifts of the amplitudes of two solitary waves after the collision. The normal form theory has been applied to this equation in [15]. Here we review the work [15] and add the phase shift results. We also compare the BBM equation with the ion acoustic wave equation and the Boussinesq equation.

As in the previous cases, we introduce the scaled variables,

$$x = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(X - T), \quad t = \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}T, \quad w = \epsilon u.$$

which yields

$$(1 - \epsilon D^2)u_t + 6uu_x + u_{3x} = 0.$$

Then inverting the operator in front of u_t , we obtain the perturbed KdV equation,

$$u_t + K_0^{(0)}(u) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k D^{2k} K_0^{(0)}(u) = 0.$$

Then the obstacle $R^{(2)}(u)$ appears with $\mu_1^{(2)} = 40$. The comparison of the phase shift between the numerical results and those by the formula (6.5) is shown in Figure 6. Because of the large value of $\mu_1^{(2)}$, the agreement between the numerical results and the results from (6.5) is poor. In the computation, the value of $\epsilon^2 \mu_1^{(2)}$ is about of order one, and thus one needs to consider much higher corrections to get a




Figure 6. phase shift.

better agreement. However the numerical observation of the radiation agrees with the formula (6.4) which is of order $10^{-2} \sim 10^{-3}$, and the shifts in the parameters observed in [5] also agrees with the result from the normal form theory.

7.4. 7th order Hirota KdV equation. Here we consider

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &w_T + w_{7X} + 28ww_{5X} + 28w_Xw_{4X} + 70w_{2X}w_{3X} \\ &+ 210w^2w_{3X} + 420ww_Xw_{2X} + 420w^3w_x = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which has a Hirota bilinear form,

$$D_X(D_X^7 + D_T)\tau \cdot \tau = 0,$$

where D_X and D_T mean the Hirota derivative, and w is given by $w = 2D^2 \ln \tau$. If the order of the derivative is either *three* or *five*, the equation become the KdV equation or the Sawada-Kotera equation which are both integrable. However (7.4) is known to be nonintegrable [23], but it admits both one and two solitary wave solutions in the same form as the KdV solitons except their time evolution. Since there is an exact two-solitary wave solution, several conditions $\mu_k^{(n)} = 0$ should be satisfied. Now the question is to determine the order in which the condition $\mu_k^{(n)} = 0$ breaks.

In order to apply the normal form theory, we first introduce

$$w = \epsilon u + c, \quad t = 210\epsilon^{3/2}c^2T, \quad x = \epsilon^{1/2}(X - 420c^3T),$$

which puts (7.4) in the form (2.1) of the perturbed KdV equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + K_0^{(0)}(u) + \epsilon \frac{2}{15c}(u_{5x} + 15u_{3x}u + 15u_{2x}u_x + 45u_xu^2) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{210c^2}(u_{7x} + 28u_{5x}u \\ + 28u_{4x}u_x + 70u_{3x}u_{2x} + 210u_{3x}u^2 + 420u_{2x}u_xu + 420u_xu^3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where c is an arbitrary non-zero constant. The direct calculation shows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mu_1^{(2)} = 0, \\ \mu_i^{(3)} = 0, & i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \mu_i^{(4)} \neq 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, 7. \end{array} \right.$$

Thus the 7th order Hirota KdV equation passes the asymptotic integrability conditions not only at order ϵ^2 but also at order ϵ^3 , and the first obstacles appear

at order ϵ^4 . Then the normal form up to order ϵ^4 of the 7th order Hirota KdV equation takes the form,

$$(7.5) \quad v_t + K_0^{(0)} + \epsilon a_1^{(1)} K_0^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 a_1^{(2)} K_0^{(2)} + \epsilon^3 a_1^{(3)} K_0^{(3)} + \epsilon^4 (a_1^{(4)} K_0^{(4)} + R^{(4)}) = O(\epsilon^5),$$

where $R^{(4)}$ consists of seven obstacles. Since the 7th order Hirota KdV equation admits the two-soliton solution, the kernel of $R^{(4)}$ should include not only one-soliton solution but also two-soliton solution. Thus we have

Corollary 7.1. *If the normal form of a perturbed KdV equation has the form (7.5), then there exists two-soliton solution up to order ϵ^4 . Equivalently, if $\mu_1^{(2)}$, $\mu_1^{(3)}$, $\mu_2^{(3)}$, and $\mu_3^{(3)}$ are all zero, then the perturbed KdV equation can admit a two-soliton solution up to order ϵ^4 .*

APPENDIX

Coefficients of the generating function $\phi^{(2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{(2)} &= -\frac{100}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2 - \frac{10}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} - \frac{5}{108}(a_2^{(1)})^2 - \frac{1}{54}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} + \frac{1}{36}(a_4^{(1)})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{112}{9}a_1^{(2)} + \frac{2}{9}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{1}{9}a_4^{(2)} - \frac{1}{6}a_7^{(2)} - \frac{4}{225}\mu_1^{(2)}, \\ \alpha_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{175}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2 + \frac{10}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} + \frac{5}{18}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} - \frac{1}{36}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} - \frac{5}{18}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{1}{18}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{36}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} + \frac{1}{18}(a_4^{(1)})^2 + \frac{56}{3}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{1}{12}a_6^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4}a_7^{(2)} - \frac{2}{75}\mu_1^{(2)}, \\ \alpha_3^{(2)} &= -\frac{25}{3}(a_1^{(1)})^2 - \frac{85}{108}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} + \frac{1}{108}(a_2^{(1)})^2 + \frac{5}{18}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} + \frac{1}{72}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} - \frac{5}{36}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{216}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{1}{24}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} + \frac{1}{24}(a_4^{(1)})^2 + \frac{91}{9}a_1^{(2)} + \frac{7}{18}a_2^{(2)} - \frac{1}{18}a_4^{(2)} - \frac{1}{6}a_7^{(2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}a_8^{(2)} - \frac{13}{900}\mu_1^{(2)}, \\ \alpha_4^{(2)} &= -\frac{25}{36}(a_1^{(1)})^2 + \frac{5}{48}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} - \frac{1}{144}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} - \frac{5}{72}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{1}{288}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{1}{288}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{144}(a_4^{(1)})^2 + \frac{7}{6}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{5}{48}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{1}{24}a_3^{(2)} + \frac{1}{96}a_6^{(2)} - \frac{1}{32}a_7^{(2)} - \frac{7}{2400}\mu_1^{(2)}, \\ \alpha_5^{(2)} &= -\frac{50}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2 + \frac{5}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} + \frac{14}{3}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}a_2^{(2)} - \frac{1}{150}\mu_1^{(2)}, \\ \alpha_6^{(2)} &= \frac{50}{3}(a_1^{(1)})^2 - \frac{85}{18}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} + \frac{5}{36}(a_2^{(1)})^2 + \frac{5}{18}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} + \frac{1}{36}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{28}{3}a_1^{(2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{3}a_2^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}a_4^{(2)} + \frac{1}{75}\mu_1^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The values $\mu_k^{(3)}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1^{(3)} = & \frac{280}{3}a_2^{(3)} - \frac{280}{3}a_3^{(3)} + 60a_4^{(3)} - 20a_6^{(3)} - \frac{5}{3}a_7^{(3)} - a_8^{(3)} + 8a_9^{(3)} - a_{10}^{(3)} - 4a_{11}^{(3)} \\
& + \frac{2}{3}a_{12}^{(3)} - a_{13}^{(3)} - 2a_{15}^{(3)} + \frac{800}{3}(a_1^{(1)})^3 + \frac{130}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_2^{(1)} + \frac{62}{27}a_1^{(1)}(a_2^{(1)})^2 - \frac{2}{27}(a_2^{(1)})^3 \\
& - \frac{400}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_3^{(1)} - \frac{175}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} + \frac{1}{9}(a_2^{(1)})^2a_3^{(1)} + \frac{34}{9}a_1^{(1)}(a_3^{(1)})^2 + \frac{1}{9}a_2^{(1)}(a_3^{(1)})^2 \\
& - \frac{2}{27}(a_3^{(1)})^3 + \frac{20}{3}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_4^{(1)} - \frac{7}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{4}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{560}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{560}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} + \frac{280}{9}a_3^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{230}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{10}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{55}{9}a_3^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{340}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{10}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} - \frac{20}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} + \frac{5}{9}a_4^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} - \frac{64}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} + \frac{1}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{136}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} + 2a_2^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} + 2a_3^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} + \frac{28}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} - \frac{1}{6}a_2^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} \\
& + a_1^{(1)}a_7^{(2)} - 12a_1^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} + \frac{1}{3}\mu_1^{(2)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_2^{(3)} = & -4200a_1^{(3)} + 770a_2^{(3)} - \frac{280}{3}a_3^{(3)} - 40a_4^{(3)} - \frac{130}{3}a_5^{(3)} + 40a_6^{(3)} + \frac{10}{3}a_7^{(3)} - 8a_8^{(3)} \\
& - 6a_9^{(3)} + 7a_{10}^{(3)} + 8a_{11}^{(3)} - \frac{4}{3}a_{12}^{(3)} + 2a_{13}^{(3)} + 9a_{15}^{(3)} - \frac{95000}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^3 + \frac{73450}{27}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_2^{(1)} \\
& - \frac{325}{3}a_1^{(1)}(a_2^{(1)})^2 + \frac{14}{27}(a_2^{(1)})^3 + \frac{800}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_3^{(1)} - \frac{1720}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} \\
& + \frac{8}{9}(a_2^{(1)})^2a_3^{(1)} + \frac{70}{9}a_1^{(1)}(a_3^{(1)})^2 - \frac{2}{9}a_2^{(1)}(a_3^{(1)})^2 + \frac{4}{27}(a_3^{(1)})^3 - \frac{500}{3}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_4^{(1)} \\
& + 10a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} + \frac{65}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} + \frac{123200}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{12250}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{560}{9}a_3^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} + \frac{280}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{15950}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{685}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{310}{9}a_3^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{55}{9}a_4^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{700}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} - \frac{40}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} - \frac{10}{9}a_4^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} + \frac{310}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{7}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} - a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} - \frac{280}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} + \frac{28}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} - 4a_3^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} \\
& + \frac{115}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}a_2^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} - \frac{25}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_7^{(2)} - \frac{80}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} + \frac{7}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} \\
& - \frac{4}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} - \frac{7}{3}\mu_1^{(2)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_3^{(3)} = & 560a_2^{(3)} - \frac{1400}{3}a_3^{(3)} + 300a_4^{(3)} - \frac{170}{3}a_5^{(3)} - 100a_6^{(3)} + \frac{5}{3}a_7^{(3)} - 9a_8^{(3)} + 40a_9^{(3)} \\
 & - a_{10}^{(3)} - 20a_{11}^{(3)} + \frac{19}{3}a_{12}^{(3)} - 9a_{13}^{(3)} - 2a_{14}^{(3)} - 10a_{15}^{(3)} + \frac{32000}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^3 \\
 & - \frac{1600}{27}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_2^{(1)} - \frac{2270}{27}a_1^{(1)}(a_2^{(1)})^2 - \frac{2800}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_3^{(1)} + \frac{545}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)} \\
 & + \frac{86}{27}(a_2^{(1)})^2a_3^{(1)} + \frac{40}{3}a_1^{(1)}(a_3^{(1)})^2 - \frac{10}{9}a_2^{(1)}(a_3^{(1)})^2 - \frac{4}{27}(a_3^{(1)})^3 - \frac{400}{9}(a_1^{(1)})^2a_4^{(1)} \\
 & + 30a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{1}{27}(a_2^{(1)})^2a_4^{(1)} - \frac{155}{27}a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} - \frac{19}{27}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(1)} \\
 & + \frac{2}{9}(a_3^{(1)})^2a_4^{(1)} - \frac{10}{9}a_1^{(1)}(a_4^{(1)})^2 - \frac{22400}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} - 560a_2^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} + \frac{1960}{9}a_3^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} \\
 & + \frac{280}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} - \frac{1600}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + 90a_2^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} + \frac{35}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} - \frac{200}{9}a_4^{(1)}a_2^{(2)} \\
 & + 400a_1^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} - \frac{200}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} - \frac{80}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} + \frac{85}{9}a_4^{(1)}a_3^{(2)} + \frac{50}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} \\
 & - \frac{1}{9}a_2^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} - \frac{37}{9}a_3^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} + \frac{1}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_4^{(2)} - 160a_1^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} + \frac{50}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} \\
 & + \frac{22}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} - \frac{13}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_5^{(2)} - \frac{85}{9}a_1^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} - \frac{7}{2}a_2^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} + \frac{5}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} \\
 & + \frac{2}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_6^{(2)} + \frac{10}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_7^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}a_2^{(1)}a_7^{(2)} + \frac{1}{6}a_3^{(1)}a_7^{(2)} + \frac{20}{3}a_1^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} \\
 & + a_2^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}a_3^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} - \frac{4}{3}a_4^{(1)}a_8^{(2)} + \frac{7}{3}\mu_1^{(2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM Publication, Philadelphia, 1981.
- [2] M. J. Ablowitz and Y. Kodama, "Note on asymptotic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation with solitons", *Stud. Appl. Math* **66** (1982) 159-170.
- [3] V. I. Arnold, *Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [4] T. B. Benjamin, J. L. Bonna, and J. J. Mahony, "Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A* **272**, 47 (1972).
- [5] J. L. Bona, W. G. Pritchard, and L. R. Scott, "Solitary-wave interaction," *Phys. Fluids*. **23**, 438 (1980).
- [6] W. Craig, "An existence theory for water waves and the Boussinesq and Korteweg-de Vries scaling limits", *Comm. PDEs* **10** (1985) 787-1003.
- [7] B. Fuchssteiner, "Mastersymmetries, higher-order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations," *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **70**, 1508 (1983).
- [8] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Green, M. D. Kruskal and R. M. Miura, "Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. VI. Methods for exact solution", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **27** (1974) 97-133.
- [9] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, "Soliton and infinite dimensional Lie algebras," *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **19**, 943 (1983).

- [10] T. Kano and T. Nishida, "A mathematical justification for Korteweg-de Vries equation and Boussinesq equation of water surface waves", *Osaka J. Math.* **23** (1986) 289-413.
- [11] T. Kano, "Normal form of nonlinear Schrödinger equation", *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **58** (1989) 4322-4328.
- [12] D. J. Kaup, "A perturbation expansion for the Zakharov-Shabat inverse scattering transform," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **31**, 121 (1976).
- [13] D. J. Kaup and A .C. Newell, "Solitons as particles, oscillators, and in slowly changing media: a singular perturbation theory," *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **A361**, 413 (1978).
- [14] Y. Kodama, "Normal forms for weakly dispersive wave equations", *Phys. Lett. A* **112**, (1985) 193-196.
- [15] Y. Kodama, "On solitary-wave interaction," *Phys. Lett. A* **123**, 276 (1987).
- [16] Y. Kodama, "Normal form and solitons," in: *Topics in Soliton Theory and Exactly Solvable Nonlinear Equations*, M. J. Ablowitz et al., eds., World Scientific, 1987, 319-340.
- [17] Y. Kodama "Normal form and solitons in the shallow water waves", in *Nonlinear Water Waves*, Eds. K. Horikawa and H. Maruo, IUTAM Symposium Tokyo/Japan 1987 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1988) 85-91.
- [18] Y. Kodama and A. V. Mikhailov, "Obstacles to asymptotic integrability," in: *Algebraic Aspects of Integrable Systems, in Memory of Irene Dorfman, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Vol. 26, A. S. Fokas and I. M. Gelfand, eds., Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996, 173-204.
- [19] Y. Kodama and T. Taniuti, "Higher order approximation in the reductive perturbation method. I. The weakly dispersive system," *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **45**, 298 (1978).
- [20] Yi Li and D. H. Sattinger, "Soliton collisions in the ion acoustic plasma equation," *J. Math. Fluid. Mech.* **1**, 117 (1999).
- [21] A. V. Mikhailov, talk given at NEEDS-91 conference, Gallipoli, Italy (1991) and at NATO Advanced Research Workshop: Singular Limits of Dispersive Waves, Lyon, France (1991), (unpublished).
- [22] R. M. Miura, C. S. Gardner and M. D. Kruskal, "Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. II. Existence of conservation laws and constants of motion", *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968) 1204-1209.
- [23] A. C. Newell, *Solitons in Mathematics and Physics* CBMS 48, (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985).
- [24] S. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevski and V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of Solitons* Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, (Consultants Bureau, Plenum, NY, 1984).
- [25] P. J. Olver, "Euler operators and conservation laws of the BBM equation," *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **85**, 143 (1979).
- [26] S. A. Orszag, "Numerical simulation of incompressible flows within simple boundaries. I. Galerkin (Spectral) representations," *Stud. Appl. Math.* **50**, 293 (1971).
- [27] D. H. Peregrine, "Calculations of the development of an undular bore," *J. Fluid. Mech.* **25**, 321 (1964).
- [28] T. Taniuti and K. Nishihara, *Nonlinear Waves*, Monograph and Studies in Mathematics, **15** (Pitman, Boston MA, 1983).
- [29] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, John Wiley, New York, 1074.
- [30] S. B. Wineberg, J. F. McGrath, E. F. Gabl, L. R. Scott, and C. E. Southwell, "Implicit spectral methods for wave propagation problems," *J. Comp. Phys.* **97**, 311 (1991).

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 562- JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210
E-mail address: kodama@math.ohio-state.edu