

An Integrable Shallow Water Equation with Linear and Nonlinear Dispersion

Holger R. Dullin
 Mathematical Sciences
 Loughborough University
 Loughborough, UK
 h.r.dullin@lboro.ac.uk,

Georg Gottwald
 Mathematics and Statistics
 University of Surrey
 Guildford, Surrey
 g.gottwald@eim.surrey.ac.uk,

Darryl D. Holm
 CNLS and Theoretical Division
 Los Alamos National Laboratory
 Los Alamos, NM 87545
 dholm@lanl.gov

We study a class of 1+1 quadratically nonlinear water wave equations that combines the linear dispersion of the Korteweg-deVries (KdV) equation with the nonlinear/nonlocal dispersion of the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation, yet still preserves integrability via the inverse scattering transform (IST) method. This IST-integrable class of equations contains both the KdV equation and the CH equation as limiting cases. It arises as the compatibility condition for a second order isospectral eigenvalue problem and a first order equation for the evolution of its eigenfunctions. This integrable equation is shown to be a shallow water wave equation derived by asymptotic expansion at one order higher approximation than KdV. We compare its traveling wave solutions to KdV solitons.

PACS numbers: 5.45.Yv, 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Lm, 47.35.+i

Keywords: Water-waves, Nonlinear-dynamics, Solitons

Water wave theory first introduced solitons as solutions of unidirectional nonlinear wave equations, obtained via asymptotic expansions around simple wave motion of the Euler equations for shallow water in a particular Galilean frame [1]. Later developments identified some of these water wave equations as completely integrable Hamiltonian systems solvable by the inverse scattering transform (IST) method, see, e.g., [2]. We shall discuss the following 1+1 quadratically nonlinear equation in this class for unidirectional water waves with fluid velocity $u(x, t)$,

$$m_t + c_0 u_x + u m_x + 2m u_x = -\gamma u_{xxx}. \quad (1)$$

Here $m = u - \alpha^2 u_{xx}$ is a momentum variable, partial derivatives are denoted by subscripts, the constants α^2 and γ/c_0 are squares of length scales, and $c_0 = \sqrt{gh}$ is the linear wave speed for undisturbed water at rest at spatial infinity, where u and m are taken to vanish. (Any constant value $u = u_0$ is also a solution.) Equation (1) was first derived by using asymptotic expansions directly in the Hamiltonian for Euler's equations in the shallow water regime and was thereby shown to be bi-Hamiltonian and, thus, IST-integrable in [3]. Before [3], classes of integrable equations similar to (1) were known to be derivable from the theory of hereditary symmetries, [4]. However, these were not derived physically as water wave equations and their solution properties were not studied before [3]. See [5] for an insightful discussion of how the integrable equation (1) relates to the theory of hereditary symmetries.

The interplay between the local and nonlocal linear dispersion in this equation is evident in its phase velocity relation, $\omega/k = (c_0 - \gamma k^2)/(1 + \alpha^2 k^2)$, for waves with frequency ω and wave number k linearized around $u = 0$. At low wave numbers, the constant dispersion parameters α^2 and γ perform rather similar functions. At high wave numbers, however, the parameter α^2 properly keeps the phase speed of the wave from becoming unbounded. The

phase speed lies in the band $\omega/k \in (-\gamma/\alpha^2, c_0)$. Longer linear waves are the faster provided $\gamma + c_0 \alpha^2 \geq 0$.

Equation (1) is not Galilean invariant. Upon shifting the velocity variable by u_0 and moving into a Galilean frame $\xi = x - ct$ with velocity c , so that $u(x, t) = \tilde{u}(\xi, t) + c + u_0$, this equation transforms to

$$\tilde{m}_t + \tilde{u} \tilde{m}_\xi + 2\tilde{m} \tilde{u}_\xi = -\tilde{c}_0 u_\xi - \tilde{\gamma} u_{\xi\xi\xi}, \quad (2)$$

with $\tilde{c}_0 = (c_0 + 2c + 3u_0)$, $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma - u_0 \alpha^2)$ and appropriately altered boundary conditions at spatial infinity. Hence, we must regard equation (1) as a *family* of equations whose linear dispersion parameters c_0 , γ depend on the appropriate choice of Galilean frame and boundary conditions. The parameters c_0 and γ may even be *removed* by making such a choice [3]. In the following we will only use transformations that leave the boundary condition $u = 0$ at spatial infinity invariant.

This paper reports two main results. First, we identify how the dispersion coefficients for the linearized water waves appear as *parameters* in the isospectral problem for this IST-integrable equation. We determine how the linear dispersion parameters α , c_0 and γ in (1) affect the isospectral content of its soliton solutions and the shape of its traveling waves. Second, we rederive equation (1) by using a certain *nonlocal* form of shallow water wave asymptotics that is correct to one order higher than for KdV. This new derivation and the analysis we present here attaches additional physical meaning to equation (1) in the context of asymptotics for shallow water wave equations. In fact, it even allows us to optimize its dispersion coefficients for maximal accuracy.

Equation (1) restricts to two separately integrable soliton equations for water waves. When $\alpha^2 \rightarrow 0$ this equation becomes the Korteweg-deVries (KdV) equation,

$$u_t + c_0 u_x + 3u u_x = -\gamma u_{xxx}, \quad (3)$$

which for $c_0 = 0$ has the famous soliton solution $u(x, t) = u_0 \operatorname{sech}^2((x - ct)\sqrt{u_0/\gamma}/2)$, $c = c_0 + u_0$ see, e.g., [2]. Instead, taking $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in equation (1) implies the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation,

$$u_t + c_0 u_x - \alpha^2 u_{xxt} + 3u u_x = \alpha^2 (2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}),$$

which for $c_0 = 0$ has “peakon” soliton solutions $u(x, t) = ce^{-|x-ct|}$ discovered and analyzed in [3].

Equation (1) also arises as the Euler-Poincaré equation for an averaged Lagrangian, [6], [7]. Its solutions describe geodesic motion with respect to the H_1 metric of u on the Bott-Virasoro Lie group [8]. The KdV equation arises the same way for the L_2 metric, see, e.g., [6].

Equation (1) is nonlocal, as is seen by rewriting it as

$$(1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2)(u_t + u u_x) = -\partial_x \left(\left(u + \frac{c_0}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 u_x^2}{2} + \gamma u_{xx} \right)$$

and inverting the Helmholtz operator using its Green’s function relation $(1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2) e^{-|x|/\alpha} = 2\delta(x/\alpha)$. Dropping nonlinear terms on the right side and setting $\gamma = 0$ recovers the equation studied by Fornberg and Whitham [9]. Thus, equation (1) contains three simpler water wave equations, two of which are known to be integrable.

Equation (1) is bi-Hamiltonian and hence, isospectral.

The term bi-Hamiltonian means the equation may be written in two compatible Hamiltonian ways, namely as $m_t = -B_2(\delta H_1/\delta m) = -B_1(\delta H_2/\delta m)$ with

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int u^2 + \alpha^2 u_x^2 dx, \quad B_2 = \partial_x m + m \partial_x + \gamma \partial_x^3$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int u^3 + \alpha^2 u u_x^2 - \gamma u_x^2 dx, \quad B_1 = \partial_x - \alpha^2 \partial_x^3.$$

These bi-Hamiltonian restrict properly to those for KdV when $\alpha^2 \rightarrow 0$, and to those for CH when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. Compatibility of B_1 and B_2 is assured, since $\partial_x m + m \partial_x$, ∂_x and ∂_x^3 are all mutually compatible Hamiltonian operators, see, e.g., [10]. From this viewpoint, γ and α^2 are deformation parameters for the *Riemann equation*, $u_t + 3uu_x = 0$. No further deformations of these Hamiltonian operators involving higher order partial derivatives would be compatible with B_2 [11]. A related approach is given in [12,13].

By the standard Gelfand-Dorfman theory [14], its bi-Hamiltonian property implies that the nonlinear equation (1) arises as a compatibility condition for two linear equations, namely, the *isospectral eigenvalue problem*,

$$\lambda \left(\frac{1}{4} - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2 \right) \psi = \left(\frac{c_0}{4} + \frac{m(x, t)}{2} + \gamma \partial_x^2 \right) \psi, \quad (4)$$

and the *evolution equation* for the eigenfunction ψ ,

$$\psi_t = -(u + \lambda) \psi_x + \frac{1}{2} u_x \psi. \quad (5)$$

Compatibility of these linear equations ($\psi_{xxt} = \psi_{ttx}$) and isospectrality ($d\lambda/dt = 0$) imply equation (1). Consequently, the nonlinear water wave equation (1) admits the IST method for the solution of its initial value problem, just as the KdV and CH equations do. In fact, the isospectral problem for equation (1) restricts to the isospectral problem for KdV (i.e., the Schrödinger equation) when $\alpha^2 \rightarrow 0$ and it restricts to the isospectral problem for CH discovered in [3] when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

The IST method for solving the KdV equation was introduced in [16]. The isospectral problem associated with solving the CH equation by IST is treated in [12,17]. The combined eigenvalue problem (4) parameterized by α , c_0 and γ is a new variant that retains many of the same properties as occur for restrictions to the zero values of these parameters. Thus, the IST approach based on this isospectral eigenvalue problem will illuminate the dependence of the solution behavior of equation (1) on its dispersion parameters α , c_0 and γ , in comparison with the solution behavior of its two limiting integrable water wave equations, KdV and CH.

Defining a new spectral parameter $\chi^{-2} = \gamma + \lambda\alpha^2$ yields a spectral problem in the same form as for CH,

$$\left(\partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{4\alpha^2} + \chi^2 \left(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{\gamma + c_0\alpha^2}{4\alpha^2} \right) \right) \psi = 0,$$

which is analyzed and discussed in [12,17]. The continuous spectrum lies in $\chi^{-2} \in [0, \gamma + c_0\alpha^2)$. In this form of the isospectral equation, the limit to KdV is singular.

Spectral content and solution behavior. Provided m decreases sufficiently rapidly at spatial infinity, equation (4) has both continuous and discrete spectra. These spectral components correspond to the two different types of solution behavior available for equation (1). The continuous spectrum of the isospectral eigenvalue problem (4) spans the band of allowed linearized phase speeds, namely $\lambda \in (-\gamma/\alpha^2, c_0)$. This continuous spectrum corresponds to radiation (linear waves). The discrete spectrum of (4) lies above this band, with $\lambda > c_0 \geq 0$. The discrete spectrum corresponds to the soliton sector of the solution space. This is also what is seen in numerical computations [18]. In the limit that both $c_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the corresponding isospectral problem for the CH equation has purely discrete spectrum representing only peakon solutions [3].

The derivation from shallow water wave asymptotics is similar to that of the KdV equation, except that we keep terms of higher order in the small parameters $\epsilon_1 = a/h$ and $\epsilon_2 = h^2/l^2$ where $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2 > \epsilon_1^2$ and a , h , and l are the wave amplitude, the average total water depth, and a typical horizontal length scale, respectively. We shall sketch the derivation here and give details elsewhere [18]. We start with the equations for an inviscid, incompressible, and irrotational fluid moving under gravity with an upper free surface, as, e.g., in [1]. The velocity potential

is expanded at a fixed height z_0 [19]. This is preferable to the usual depth averaging because it allows a parameter freedom in the expansion that may later be optimized. The intermediate steps of this calculation all involve the chosen height z_0 . However, the equation for the elevation of the surface η is the same as in the standard approach (see, e.g., [1]). Length is measured in terms of l , height in h and time in l/c_0 . The elevation η is scaled with a and fluid velocity u is scaled with $c_0 a/h$. In nondimensional variables one finds

$$0 = \eta_t + \eta_x + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon_1\eta\eta_x - \frac{3}{8}\epsilon_1^2\eta^2\eta_x + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_2\eta_{xxx} + \quad (6)$$

$$+ \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \left(\frac{23}{24}\eta_x\eta_{xx} + \frac{5}{12}\eta\eta_{xxx} \right).$$

Transforming (6) to the velocity u gives equation (1), when we expand η in a series in terms of the fluid velocity $u = \phi_x(x, t; z_0)$ as

$$\eta = u + \epsilon_1 (\alpha_1 u^2 + \alpha_2 u_x \partial_x^{-1} u) + \epsilon_2 \beta u_{xx}. \quad (7)$$

In this transformation we include a crucial nonlocal term that was first introduced by Kodama in [20]. Usually the coefficients of this expansion are constrained by the requirement to match the velocity $u = \phi_x$ averaged over the fluid column. (The derivation in [12] apparently ignores this constraint.) Considering the fluid velocity at height z_0 removes this constraint. We introduce another optimization parameter by splitting the higher order time derivative $\epsilon_2 \beta u_{xxt}$ obtained by substituting (7) into (6)

$$\beta u_{xxt} = -\nu u_{xxt} - (\beta + \nu) \left(u_{xxx} + \frac{3\epsilon_1}{2} (3u_x u_{xx} + u u_{xxx}) \right)$$

which is asymptotically correct to the required order. With the choices $\alpha_1 = 2/3 - \nu$, $\alpha_2 = -5/6 + 2\nu$, and $\beta = 29/72 - 7\nu/6$ we obtain the equation

$$m_t + u_x + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} (u m_x + 2m u_x) + \frac{\epsilon_2}{6} (1 - 6\nu) u_{xxx} = 0,$$

where $m = u - \epsilon_2 \nu u_{xx}$. The parameter ν in the splitting must be positive in order to obtain correct signs of the coefficients. To recover (1) we reintroduce dimensional variables to find $\alpha^2 = h^2 \nu$ and $\gamma + c_0 \alpha^2 = c_0 h^2 / 6$. In these physical quantities the normalized phase speed is

$$\frac{\omega}{kc_0} = 1 - \frac{h^2}{6} \frac{k^2}{1 + \nu h^2 k^2}.$$

The free parameter ν can now be determined by matching the quartic term in k to the exact result $\tanh(kh)/kh$, which gives $\nu = 19/60$. The original form of equation (1) – equation (3) derived in [3] – is recovered by setting $\nu = 1/3$, which is almost the optimal value.

Equation (1) determines the fluid velocity u at a fixed height z_0 . To first order, the velocity u and the elevation η coincide, but the more complicated relation (7) is required to obtain the precise wave elevation profile.

The traveling wave solution is obtained by the usual ansatz $u(x, t) = u(s)$, with $s = x - ct$, after which (1) can be integrated twice. The solution whose velocity vanishes at spatial infinity is given by

$$(c + \gamma/\alpha^2 - u)\alpha^2 (du/ds)^2 = (c - c_0 - u)u^2. \quad (8)$$

When the limits of the radiation band coincide at zero, the peakon traveling wave equation re-emerges. Otherwise, the traveling wave solution can be expressed in parametric form via a Sundmann transform of the independent variable, $(ds/d\tau)^2 = (c + \gamma/\alpha^2 - u)\alpha^2$, as

$$u(\tau) = u_0 \operatorname{sech}^2 A\tau, \quad A = \sqrt{u_0}/2,$$

$$s(\tau) = 2\alpha\sqrt{D/u_0} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{\sinh A\tau}{\sqrt{1 - u_0/D}} \right)$$

$$- 2\alpha \tanh^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{D/u_0 - 1}{\tanh^2 A\tau} \right)^{-1/2},$$

where $u_0 = c - c_0$ and $D = c_0 + \gamma/\alpha^2$. The curvature at the maximum is $-u_0^2/2/(\gamma + c_0\alpha^2) = -3u_0^2/(c_0 h^2)$. For $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ this smooth family approaches the peakon solution. However, this limit cannot be attained for physical water waves because it implies vanishing mean depth, $h \rightarrow 0$. In the physical variables the solution reads (with rescaled parameter $\tilde{\tau}$)

$$u = u_0 \operatorname{sech}^2 \tilde{A}\tilde{\tau}, \quad \tilde{A} = \sqrt{\frac{3u_0}{2c_0 h^2}}$$

$$(x - ct)\tilde{A} = \sqrt{1 + \sigma} \sinh^{-1} \left(\sqrt{1 + \sigma} \sinh \tilde{A}\tilde{\tau} \right)$$

$$- \sqrt{\sigma} \tanh^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma \tanh^2 \tilde{A}\tilde{\tau}} \right)^{-1/2},$$

where $\sigma = 6\nu u_0/c_0$. The parameter u_0/c_0 plays the same role for u as $\epsilon_1 = a/h$ plays for η . In the KdV limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the second equation reduces to $x - ct = \tilde{\tau}$. The curvature at the maximum, $-3u_0^2/(c_0 h^2)$, is independent of $\nu = \alpha^2/h^2$. Increasing ν increases the width of the wave form without changing the curvature at its maximum.

Discussion. The water wave equation (1) combines the elements of linear dispersion in KdV with the nonlinear, nonlocal dispersion of CH. This combination is IST-integrable, because it retains the bi-Hamiltonian and isospectral properties of the KdV and CH equations into a family of integrable equations and also appear together in the eigenvalue problem (4).

Geometrically, equation (1) is the Euler-Poincaré equation for geodesic motion on the Bott-Virasoro group with respect to the metric H_1 as shown in [8]. One may choose an arbitrary metric for the Euler-Poincaré equation expressing geodesic motion on either the diffeomorphism group or the Bott-Virasoro group, and again seek conditions for integrability. Integrable cases of this geodesic

motion for norms other than L_2 and H_1 are not yet known, although the elastic scattering properties of the confined pulses in some of these other cases are discussed in [21]. In particular, the *Galilean invariant* integrable limiting equation,

$$u_{xxt} + 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx} = \frac{c_0}{\alpha^2} u_x + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha^2} u_{xxx}, \quad (9)$$

is obtained by taking $\alpha^2 \rightarrow \infty$ in (1) while the ratios c_0/α^2 and γ/α^2 remain constant. For c_0 and γ absent, this equation has soliton solutions with compact support (compactons) that were studied numerically in [21], analytically in [22] on the real line, and analytically in [23] for the periodic case.

The dispersion parameters c_0 and γ in (1) represent combined velocity shifts and Galilean boosts. These transformations are *deformations* of the CH equation – they are not its symmetries. While these dispersive deformations preserve the isospectral property of the CH equation, they alter its isospectral content in two ways. First, they add to the discrete CH peakon spectrum a band of continuous spectrum near the origin. This isospectral band corresponds to linear radiation and spans the allowed range of linear phase velocity. Second, either c_0 or γ nonvanishing breaks the reflection-reversal symmetry of the CH equation for $c_0 = 0$ that allows coexistence of its generalized-function peakon and antipeakon soliton solutions traveling in opposite directions. Thus, adding linear dispersion breaks this reflection-reversal symmetry and removes the antipeakon solutions, while it also rounds the peaks of the peakon solutions, thereby regularizing them into ordinary solitons, plus linear radiation.

This letter reconfirmed that (1) is a genuine shallow water equation by rederiving it via asymptotic expansion of the Euler equations. This derivation used a nonlocal transformation due to Kodama [20] as well as a splitting of the time derivative at the highest order that introduced an optimization parameter, $\nu = \alpha^2/h^2$. We showed that the equation originally discovered in [3] via asymptotic expansion of the Euler fluid Hamiltonian is contained in the family of equations derived here for the case $\nu = 1/3$. Moreover, the value $\nu = 1/3$ obtained in the Hamiltonian derivation in [3] differs only very little from the optimal value $\nu = 19/60$ yielding the most accurate linear dispersion relation among those in the family derived here. We also showed that varying ν alters the width of the traveling wave profile for equation (1) without changing the curvature at its maximum.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to R. Camassa, O. Fringer, I. Gabbitov, R. Grimshaw, B. McCloud, J.

Montaldi, P. J. Olver, T. Ratiu, M. Roberts, P. Swart and A. Zenchuk for their constructive comments and encouragement. This work began at the MASEES 2000 Summer School in Peyresq, France. DDH was supported by DOE, under contract W-7405-ENG-36.

-
- [1] G.B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, Wiley Interscience:New York (1974).
 - [2] M.J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM: Philadelphia (1981).
 - [3] R. Camassa and D.D. Holm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1661 (1993).
 - [4] A.S. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **3** 849 (1981).
 - [5] B. Fuchssteiner, Physica D **95**, 229 (1996).
 - [6] J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, 2nd Edition, Springer:New York (1999).
 - [7] D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu, Phys. Rev. Lett., **80**, 4173 (1998). D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T.S. Ratiu, Adv. in Math. **137**, 1 (1998).
 - [8] G. Misiolek, J. Geom. Phys. **24**, 203 (1998).
 - [9] B. Fornberg and G.B. Whitham, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **289**, 373 (1978).
 - [10] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, Springer:New York (1993).
 - [11] P.J. Olver, private communication.
 - [12] A.S. Fokas, Physica D **87**, 145 (1995).
 - [13] A.S. Fokas, P.J. Olver and P. Rosenau, in *Algebraic Aspects of Integrable Systems*; A.S. Fokas and I.M. Gel'fand, eds., Birkhäuser:Boston,, pp. 93–101 (1996).
 - [14] As in [3], the isospectral problem for equation (1) may be obtained via the squared-eigenfunction approach from its bi-Hamiltonian property by using the methods of [15].
 - [15] I. M. Gelfand and I. Ya. R. Dorfman, Funct. Anal. Appl. **13**, 248 (1979).
 - [16] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal and R. M. Miura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1095 (1967).
 - [17] R. Beals, D.H. Sattinger and J. Smigalsky Adv. Math. **140**, 190 (1998).
 - [18] H.R. Dullin, G. Gottwald, D.D. Holm, in preparation.
 - [19] O. Nwogu, J. Waterway Port Coastal & Ocean Eng.-ASCE **119**, 618 (1993). G. Wei, J.T. Kirby, S.T. Grilli, R. Subramanya, J. Fluid Mech. **294**, 71 (1995). Y.Z. Chen, P.L.F. Liu, J. Fluid Mech. **288**, 351 (1995).
 - [20] Y. Kodama, Phys. Lett. A **107**, 245, **112**, 193 (1985).
 - [21] O. Fringer and D. D. Holm, Physica D, to appear (2000).
 - [22] J. Hunter and Y. Zheng, Physica D, **79**, 361 (1994).
 - [23] M. Alber, R. Camassa, D.D. Holm and J.E. Marsden, Lett. Math. Phys. **32**, 137 (1994).