

Number conserving cellular automata: from decidability to dynamics

Bruno Durand, Enrico Formenti*,
Zsuzsanna Róka†

30th October 2018

Abstract

We compare several definitions for number-conserving cellular automata that we prove to be equivalent. A necessary and sufficient condition for cellular automata to be number-conserving is proved. Using this condition, we give a linear-time algorithm to decide number-conservation.

The dynamical behavior of number-conserving cellular automata is studied and a classification that focuses on chaoticity is given.

Keywords: Cellular automata, decidability, discrete dynamical systems

1 Introduction

Cellular automata are widely known as formal models for complex systems ruled by local interactions [28, 25, 13, 23, 26]. Despite of their apparent simplicity they display a richness of behaviors most of which are not yet fully understood. The classification of these behaviors has a main obstacle: most of the interesting properties about them are undecidable [16]. Moreover, some other properties are “dimension sensitive”; for example, surjectivity is decidable in polynomial time for one-dimensional CA and undecidable for dimension ≥ 2 [15, 10, 8].

We prove that *number-conservation*, a widely used property, can be decided in linear time (in the size of the CA) in *any* dimension. More precisely, it has linear time complexity if we assume that the access to the transition table costs constant time, independently of the dimension of the space. Otherwise the complexity is $\mathcal{O}(s \log s)$, where s is the size of the transition table.

*Laboratoire d'Informatique de Marseille (LIM), 39 rue Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France, bdurand@cmi.univ-mrs.fr, eforment@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

†Laboratoire d'Informatique Théorique et Appliquée (LITA), I.U.T. de Metz, Île du Saulcy, 57045 METZ Cedex 01, France, roka@iut.univ-metz.fr

A preliminary work had been carried out for one-dimensional CA on circular configurations (periodic boundary conditions) by Boccara et al. in [4, 3]. The decision problem in higher dimensions and general boundary conditions that we solved in the present paper is rather surprising and could not be expected from earlier works.

CA that satisfy number-conservation property are called number-conserving (NCA); roughly speaking they conserve the number of bits during their evolution. This class of CA has been introduced by [24] and is receiving a growing interest in Physics as a source for models of particles systems ruled by conservations laws of mass or energy.

Great attention has received the application of NCA to practical situations such as highway traffic flows. In this context different definitions of “number-conservation” were given according to the type of boundary conditions assumed (periodic, finite, infinite) [24, 4, 3]. In Section 2 we prove that all of them are equivalent. We also give another equivalent definition in terms of densities. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of our main result: the linear time decision algorithm. In the second part of the paper we study NCA dynamics with focus on chaotic behavior. Number-conservation imposes strong “simplifications” in the dynamics allowing (in most cases) clear-cut situations that are not observed in the general case.

We stress that the class of NCA is not only big enough to give reliable models for car traffic and similar models allowing the study of traffic jams, critical traffic masses, crossing-roads, car accidents etc. But also they are interesting from a pure computer science point of view. For instance Morita et al. have given a reversible two-dimensional NCA capable of universal computation [20, 21].

2 Definitions

We present in this section several definitions of number-conserving cellular automata that we prove to be equivalent. Proofs are given in a one-dimensional space but can be generalized to any dimension.

Cellular automata are formally defined as quadruples (d, S, N, f) . The integer d is the *dimension* of the space the cellular automaton will work on. $S = \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$ is called the set of *states*. The *neighborhood* $N = (x_1, \dots, x_v)$ is a v -tuple of distinct vectors of \mathbb{Z}^d . The x_i 's are the relative positions of the neighbor cells with respect to the cell, the new state of which is being computed. The states of these neighbors are used to compute the new state of the center cell. The *local function* of the cellular automaton $f : S^v \mapsto S$ gives the local transition rule.

A *configuration* is an application from \mathbb{Z}^d to S . The set of configurations is $S^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ on which the *global function* A of the cellular automaton is defined

via f :

$$\forall c \in S^{\mathbb{Z}^d}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^d, A(c)(i) = f(c(i+x_1), \dots, c(i+x_v)).$$

We denote by \mathcal{C}_P the set of (spatial) *periodic configurations*, (*i.e.*, configurations that are periodic in all dimensions of the space). We denote by $\pi(c)$ the period of a periodic configuration (*i.e.*, a vector.) The expression $0 \leq k \leq \pi(c)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ means $\forall 1 \leq i \leq d$, $0 \leq k_i \leq \pi(c)_i$ where d is the dimension of the space and k_i is the i -th component of k .

Definition 1 *Let A be a d -dimensional cellular automaton. A is said to be periodic-number-conserving (PNC) iff*

$$\forall c \in \mathcal{C}_P, \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \pi(c)} c(k) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \pi(c)} A(c)(k).$$

We denote by \mathcal{C}_F the set of *finite configurations*, (*i.e.*, those configurations that are almost everywhere equal to zero — non-zero in a finite number of cells).

Definition 2 *Let A be a d -dimensional cellular automaton. A is said to be finite-number-conserving (FNC) iff*

$$\forall c \in \mathcal{C}_F, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c(i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} A(c)(i).$$

We denote by \mathcal{C} the set of *all configurations*.

Definition 3 *Let A be a d -dimensional cellular automaton. A window is a hypercube of \mathbb{Z}^d centered in $\mathbf{0}$ thus determined by its size. Consider the sequence of windows $\{F_n\}$ of size $2n+1$ and denote by $\mu_n(c)$ the sum of states in F_n of a configuration $c \in \mathcal{C}$. A is said to be number-conserving (NC) iff*

$$\forall c \in \mathcal{C}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n(c)}{\mu_n(A(c))} = 1.$$

Remark that all these definitions imply that $f(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{0}$ is a *quiescent* state. The configuration with all quiescent states is denoted uz .

We are going to prove that all previous definitions are equivalent. The proof is given in dimension 1; the generalization to higher dimensions is straightforward.

Proposition 1 *A cellular automaton A is FNC if and only if it is PNC.*

Proof. We first prove that if A is PNC then it is FNC. We show that if A is not FNC then it is not PNC either.

Let A be not FNC, then

$$\exists \tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_F, \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{c}(i) \neq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\tilde{c})(i) = \beta.$$

Let k be the length of the non-zero part of \tilde{c} and l the radius of the neighborhood of A . Then, the length of the non-zero part of $A(\tilde{c})$ is at most $k + 2l$ (see Figure 1).

Let \hat{c} be the periodic configuration constructed from \tilde{c} in the following way. We add l zeros at both sides of the non-zero part of \tilde{c} and we construct a periodic configuration with the segment obtained (such a configuration has a period $p = k + 2l$, see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Construction of the periodic configuration

We have

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq p} \hat{c}(i) = \alpha \neq \beta = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p} A(\hat{c})(i),$$

hence A is not PNC.

We now prove that if A is FNC then it is PNC. We show that if A is not PNC then it is not FNC either.

Let l be the radius of the neighborhood. As A is not PNC,

$$\exists p, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \hat{c} \in \mathcal{C}_P, \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p} \hat{c}(i) = \alpha \neq \beta = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p} A(\hat{c})(i),$$

where p is the period of \hat{c} .

We denote by

\hat{c}_p the p -length segment of \hat{c} centered at the origin;

$\hat{c}_{p\text{Suffix}_l}$ the l -length suffix of \hat{c}_p ;

$\hat{c}_{p\text{Prefix}_l}$ the l -length prefix of \hat{c}_p .

We construct a finite configuration $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{FA}$ as shown in Figure 2: we repeat \hat{c}_p x times and we complete the segment obtained in this way with $\hat{c}_{p\text{Prefix}_l}$ at the right-hand-side and with $\hat{c}_{p\text{Suffix}_l}$ at the left-hand-side of the segment.




Figure 2: Construction of the finite configuration

Then,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{c}(i) = x \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^p \hat{c}_p(i)}_{x\alpha} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{c}_{p\text{Prefix}_l}(i)}_{\leq ls} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{c}_{p\text{Suffix}_l}(i)}_{\leq ls}$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\tilde{c})(i) = x \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^p A(\hat{c}_p)(i)}_{x\beta} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^l A(\hat{c}_{p\text{Prefix}_l})(i)}_{\leq 2ls} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^l A(\hat{c}_{p\text{Suffix}_l})(i)}_{\leq 2ls}.$$

We obtain the following inequalities:

$$x\alpha \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{c}(i) \leq x\alpha + 2ls \text{ and}$$

$$x\beta \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\tilde{c})(i) \leq x\beta + 4ls.$$

Suppose that $\alpha < \beta$. If we choose an integer x such that $x > \frac{2ls}{\beta - \alpha}$, we are in a contradiction with the previous inequalities.

Similarly, if $\alpha > \beta$ then the contradiction is obtained by choosing $x > \frac{4ls}{\alpha - \beta}$.

Hence, if A is not PNC, it is not FNC either. \square

Proposition 2 *A cellular automaton A is NC if and only if it is FNC.*

Proof. We first show that if A is not FNC then it is not NC either. Let A be a cellular automaton with a neighborhood of radius l . If A is not FNC then there exist an integer γ and a configuration c in \mathcal{C}_F such that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c(i) = \sum_{i=-\gamma}^{\gamma} c(i) \neq \sum_{i=-\gamma-l}^{\gamma+l} A(c)(i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A(c)(i).$$

Let $\{F_n\}$ be a sequence of windows of size tending to the infinity, centered on the non-quiescent part of c . As the non-quiescent part of c is finite, there exists k such that, for all $n \geq k$,

$$\mu_n(c) = \sum_{i=-\gamma}^{\gamma} c(i) \neq \sum_{i=-\gamma-l}^{\gamma+l} A(c)(i) = \mu_n(A(c)).$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n(c)}{\mu_n(A(c))} \neq 1$, so A is not NC.

Now assume that A is not NC. Then there exists a configuration c such that $\frac{\mu_n(c)}{\mu_n(A(c))}$ does not converge to 1. Now let us call frontier of the window the stripe of width the diameter of the neighborhood at the window border. Let us now count $\xi_n(c)$ the number of non-zero cells of c in this frontier.

First case: $\forall a, \exists n, \mu_n < an$. Thus there exist consecutive subsequences of unbounded size in which $\mu_n(c)$ is constant. Let us consider such a subsequence of length greater than the neighborhood. The frontier of the last window is thus full of zeros. Hence we can “cut off” the internal part, surround it by 0’s and thus obtain a finite configuration. As A is not NC, it is not FNC either.

Second case: $\exists a, \forall n, \mu_n > an$. The idea is to “cut off” the windows to form periodic configurations. We can bound the perturbation on $\mu_n(A(x))$ by the number of non-zero cells in the frontier multiplied by the maximal state (a constant). We then remark that with the hypothesis above, $\xi_n(c) = o(\mu_n(c))$. Thus for n sufficiently big, the unbalance of the ratio $\frac{\mu_n(c)}{\mu_n(A(c))}$ is transmitted to the periodic configuration obtained. Therefore A is not PNC and so not FNC. \square

3 A necessary and sufficient condition

We present below a necessary and sufficient condition for number-conservation. Our condition is rather complicated to construct. For the sake of simplicity, we first present it in dimension 2 with a square neighborhood 2×2 . Then we give the condition for neighborhood $n \times m$ (proof sketched).

Proposition 3 Let $A = (2, N, Q, f)$ be a 2D cellular automaton with $N = \begin{pmatrix} (0,0) & (0,1) \\ (0,-1) & (1,-1) \end{pmatrix}$ and thus $f : Q^4 \rightarrow Q$. A is number-conserving if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= a + \left[f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} - f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &+ \left[f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &+ \left[f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &+ \left[f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} - f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first show that the condition is necessary. Assume that A is NC, let us denote by $\natural c$ the sum of the states in a finite configuration c of A . As A is in particular FNC (see Prop. 1)

$$\natural A \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & a & b & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & c & d & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} = a + b + c + d.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c + d &= f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ f \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Replacing a by 0 yields:

$$\begin{aligned} b + c + d &= f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ f \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Subtracting (2) from (1) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= a + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \\ &- f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} - f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} - f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Setting $b = 0$ in (2), we have also:

$$\begin{aligned} c+d &= f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Subtracting (4) from (2) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= b + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We replace this term in (3):

$$\begin{aligned} f\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= a+b - f\begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If A is FNC then

$$\natural A \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & b & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & d & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} = b+d.$$

After similar calculi as above with the substitution of b by 0, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f\begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= b + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} + f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By replacing this term in (6), we obtain exactly the formula of our Proposition.

Let us prove now that this condition implies PNC. Then by Prop. 1, the theorem is proved. Consider a periodic configuration. Now sum this condition on the period; the terms $[f(\dots) - f(\dots)]$ simplify and we get exactly the condition for PNC hence for NC. \square

We now give the condition for more general neighborhood in dimension 2. We assert that an analogous formula can be obtained for higher dimension.

Theorem 1 Let $A = (2, N, Q, f)$ be a 2D cellular automaton with

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} (0, 0) & (0, 1) & \dots & (0, n-1) \\ (1, 0) & (1, 1) & \dots & (1, n-1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (m-1, 0) & (m-1, 1) & \dots & (m-1, n-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus $f : Q^{m \times n} \rightarrow Q$. A is number-conserving if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & f \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{1,n} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m,1} & x_{m,2} & \dots & x_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = x_{1,1} \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} f \begin{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{j+1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{1,n-j-1} \\ & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,n-j-1} \\ & 0 & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ & x_{m-i,2} & \dots & x_{m-i,n-j-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f \begin{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_j & & & 0 \\ & x_{2,1} & \dots & x_{2,n-j} \\ & x_{3,1} & \dots & x_{3,n-j} \\ & 0 & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ & x_{m-i-1,1} & \dots & x_{m-i-1,n-j} \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0(i+j>0)}^{n-1} f \begin{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_j & & & 0 \\ & x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,n-j} \\ & x_{2,1} & \dots & x_{2,n-j} \\ & 0 & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ & x_{m-i,1} & \dots & x_{m-i,n-j} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} f \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \underbrace{\left. \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right\}_{j+1}}^{i+1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,n-j-1} \\ & x_{3,2} & \dots & x_{3,n-j-1} \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & x_{m-i-1,2} & \dots & x_{m-i-1,n-j-1} \end{array} \right)$$

Proof. We give only the sketch of the proof. In order to show that the condition is necessary, we start from the configuration

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{1,n} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,n} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & x_{m,1} & x_{m,2} & \dots & x_{m,n} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

As A is FNC, we have $x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + \dots + x_{m,n} =$ sum of states obtained in each cell after the application of A , that is the sum of all $f(\dots)$; we substitute in this equation $x_{1,1}$ by 0, then $x_{1,2}$ by 0, and so on, up to $x_{1,n}$. Then we obtain an analogous formula to (6)

$$f \left(\begin{array}{cccc} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{1,n} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m,1} & x_{m,2} & \dots & x_{m,n} \end{array} \right) = x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + \dots + x_{1,n}$$

$$- f \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & \dots & x_{1,n} & 0 \\ x_{2,2} & x_{2,3} & \dots & x_{2,n} & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{m,2} & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{m,n} & 0 \end{array} \right) + \dots$$

Then, in order to obtain the final formula, starting from the configuration

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & \dots & x_{1,n} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & x_{2,2} & x_{2,3} & \dots & x_{2,n} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & x_{m,2} & x_{m,3} & \dots & x_{m,n} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

we establish the equation for

$$f \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & \dots & x_{1,n} & 0 \\ x_{2,2} & x_{2,3} & \dots & x_{2,n} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{m,2} & x_{m,3} & \dots & x_{m,n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we substitute $x_{1,2}$ by 0, then $x_{1,3}$, and so on, up to $x_{1,n}$.

The condition is sufficient: for periodic configurations, when calculating the sum of states on the period, every f -term is present two times. If it is present in the sum of f -terms with $x_{1,1}$ (resp. $x_{2,2}$) as first non-zero element, then it is present in the sum of f -terms with $x_{1,2}$ (resp. $x_{2,1}$) as first non-zero element. We so have as sum of states on a period $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}$. Hence this condition implies PNC and by Prop. 1 the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 2 *The property “number-conserving” is decidable in $\mathcal{O}(s \log s)$ for cellular automata of any dimension, where s denotes the size of the transition table.*

Proof. Remark that the number of terms of our condition in Th. 1 is proportional to the number of neighbors. Thus the set of conditions to be checked is of size proportional to the size of the local transition rule of the cellular automata, which is upper bounded by the size of the cellular automaton in the chosen representation. Access to the table costs at most $\mathcal{O}(\log s)$. \square

Remark that if cellular automata are given by a program computing their rule and not by the rule exhaustively, then our theorem does not hold. This drawback is often present in cellular automata theory. But if we consider that access to the transition table is performed in constant time, then the algorithm is linear.

4 Dynamics

NCA are often used for the simulation of real phenomena such as highway traffic flow, fluid dynamics and so on. Therefore, once we have established that our model is a NCA (by using the decision procedures given in the first part of the paper, for example), it is very important to forecast as much as possible its dynamics and, whenever possible, correlate experimental evidence (such as pattern growth) with other interesting theoretical properties. This is the matter of this second part of the paper.

4.1 Definitions and background

A *dynamical system* (X, F) consists of a compact metric space X and a continuous self-map F . Denote d the metric on X and F^n the n -fold composition of F with itself.

We first recall some classical definitions. A point $x \in X$ is an *equicontinuity* point for F if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $y \in X$, $d(x, y) \leq \delta$ implies that $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(F^t(x), F^t(y)) < \epsilon$. If X is perfect and all of its points is an equicontinuity point for F then F is *equicontinuous*. A dynamical system (X, F) is *sensitive to initial conditions* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $x \in X$ and any $\delta > 0$, $0 < d(x, y) < \delta$ implies that there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(F^t(x), F^t(y)) \geq \epsilon$. We recall that in a perfect space any system with no equicontinuity points is sensitive to initial conditions and vice-versa. (X, F) is *expansive* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) > 0$ implies that $\exists t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(F^t(x), F^t(y)) \geq \epsilon$. If for any pair of non-empty open set U, V there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ then F is *transitive*. A point x is *periodic* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^n(x) = x$. F is *regular* if periodic points are dense in X .

Properties like sensitivity, expansivity, transitivity and regularity are often used as basic components of *chaotic behavior*. For example, Devaney, in a popular book [9], defines as chaotic all systems that are transitive, regular and sensitive to initial conditions.

We are interested in the study of dynamical systems in symbolic spaces. $S^{\mathbb{Z}^k}$ is endowed with the product topology (also called Cantor topology) of a countable product of discrete space. For the sake of simplicity, we will study cellular automata in dimension $k = 1$, the generalization of results to higher dimensions is straightforward for most of the results; Propositions 8 to 11 require deeper adaptations although no new idea is needed.

The metric d on $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined as

$$\forall x, y \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}, d(x, y) = 2^{-n}$$

where $n = \inf \{i \geq 0, x(i) \neq y(i) \text{ or } x(-i) \neq y(-i)\}$ induces the product topology on $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Denote by S^* the set of finite words on S . For $w \in S^*$, $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of w . For $t \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in S^*$, the sets

$$[w]_t = \left\{ x \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}, x(t) = w(0), \dots, x(t + \ell(w)) = w(\ell(w)) \right\}$$

are called *cylinders* and form a basis for the product topology on $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The *shift map* σ is often used as a paradigmatic example of chaotic symbolic system. It is defined as $\forall c \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(c)(i) = c(i + 1)$. Cellular automata are exactly those continuous maps from $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that commute with the shift (*i.e.*, $F \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ F$) [14].

4.2 Classification of dynamical behavior

In spite of their very simple definition cellular automata may have complex dynamical behavior (see for example [28]). The classification of these dynamical behaviors is known as the *classification problem* is one of the oldest, hardest and for this reason fascinating open problem in cellular automata

theory. During the years many authors have proposed partial solutions (because of their undecidability). Each classification focuses on a particular aspect of cellular automata theory. For example, if one is interested in simulations of real life phenomena like car traffic (e.g. using number-conserving cellular automata that we call NCA for short), the study of dynamics on finite patterns deserves a particular importance. In this context a well-known classification of cellular automata with quiescent state is given in [5, 6]. In these papers cellular automata are classified according to their pattern divergence. Three classes of behavior are defined:

$$\mathcal{C}_1: \forall x \in \mathcal{C}_F \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell(F^t(x)) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2: \forall x \in \mathcal{C}_F \sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(F^t(x)) < \infty$$

$$\mathcal{C}_3: \exists x \in \mathcal{C}_F \sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(F^t(x)) = \infty$$

People familiar with the study of complex analytic transformations will immediately remark the strong analogies with Julia and Fatou sets of complex transformations [2].

This classification is especially pertinent for NCA since 0 is necessarily a quiescent state.

In the study of chaotic behavior one often finds that complex behavior is generated from “simple” local interactions. Again, using the metaphor of car traffic, traffic-jams and their consequences are generated by a “bad” interaction of a relatively small number of cars. In [18], K urka proposed a classification based on local behavior of cellular automata and increasing degrees of chaos. K urka proposed the following classes:

K_1 : equicontinuous cellular automata;

K_2 : cellular automata with equicontinuity points but not equicontinuous;

K_3 : cellular automata sensitive to initial conditions but not expansive;

K_4 : expansive cellular automata.

The following result is adapted from Knudsen [17]. By using Proposition 4 one can express the properties defining (most of) K urka classes in term of behavior on finite patterns.

Proposition 4 *F is transitive (resp. sensible to initial conditions, equicontinuous) w.r.t. finite configurations if and only if F is transitive (resp. sensible to initial conditions, equicontinuous).*

The same result holds if in Proposition 4 we consider the set of spatial periodic configurations instead of finite configurations. In the rest of the section, we study the relations between dynamical behavior of NCA and the classifications of K urka and Cattaneo.

Given $x \in \mathcal{C}_F$, let

$$m(x) = \min \{i \in \mathbb{Z}, x(i) \neq 0\}, \quad M(x) = \max \{i \in \mathbb{Z}, x(i) \neq 0\} \text{ and}$$

$$s(x) = \sum_{m(x)}^{M(x)} x(i).$$

Remark that $\forall x \in \mathcal{C}_F$, $m(\sigma^k(x)) = m(x) - k$ (the same holds if we put M in place of m).

Proposition 5 *The are no expansive NCA.*

Proof. For dimension greater than 1, this is a consequence of the well-known result of Shereshevsky [27]. Consider a one-dimensional NCA F . Suppose that F is expansive with expansive constant ϵ . Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{2^i} < \epsilon$. Construct a finite configuration $c_i(j) = 1$ if $i = j$, 0 otherwise. Since F is expansive there exists t_1 such that $d(F^{t_1}(0), F^{t_1}(c_i)) > \epsilon$. For the same reason there exists t_2 such that $d(F^{t_2}(0), F^{t_2}(c_{-i})) > \epsilon$. Therefore there exists a time $t \geq \max\{t_1, t_2\}$ for which $F^t(c_i)$ consists of at least two 1's, violating *FNC* condition. \square

Lemma 1 *Let F be a NCA. For any $x \in \mathcal{C}_F$, there exists $c_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell(F^t(x)) \geq c_x$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_F$. Since each cell can contribute at most $q - 1$ to the sum $s(x)$, it holds that $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell(F^t(x)) \geq \lceil \frac{s(x)}{q-1} \rceil$. \square

The following proposition is a trivial consequence of Lemma 1.

Proposition 6 *There are no NCA in class \mathcal{C}_1 .*

Proposition 7 *There are no NCA in class $K_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_3$.*

Proof. Let F be a cellular automaton in \mathcal{C}_3 and let c be such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell(F^t(c)) = \infty. \quad (8)$$

We have two cases:

1. $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} m(F^t(c)) = -\infty$;
2. $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} m(F^t(c)) = c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} M(F^t(c)) = \infty$.

We consider only the former case, the proof for the latter is similar. For any $\delta > 0$, let $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{\bar{n}} \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{\bar{n}-1}$. By (8), there exists a time t such that $m(F^t(c)) = -h > \bar{n}$. Therefore $d(\underline{0}, \sigma^{-h}(c)) \leq \delta$. Since F commutes with σ , we have

$$m(F^t(\sigma^{-h}(c))) = m(\sigma^{-h}(F^t(c))) = m(F^t(c)) + h = 0$$

which implies that

$$d(F^t(\sigma^{-h}(\underline{0})), F^t(\sigma^{-h}(c))) = d(\underline{0}, F^t(\sigma^{-h}(c))) = 1 .$$

Since δ is arbitrary, last inequality implies that $\underline{0}$ is not an equicontinuity point. Since we are in a perfect space we conclude that F is not an equicontinuous system. \square

A word $W \in S^*$ is called *blocking* word if there exists an infinite sequence of words w_n such that

1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ell(w_n) = 2h + 1 > r$ with $h \in \mathbb{N}$;
2. $\forall c \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}, (c_{-k:k} = W \Rightarrow \forall t \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} F^t(W)_{-i:i} = w_t)$.

In other words W partitions the evolution diagram of F in two completely disconnected parts: perturbations made in one part are completely “blocked” by W . This notion is fundamental for characterizing cellular automata dynamics in the presence of equicontinuity points as we can see in the next proposition. It will also be crucial in the proof of Proposition 11.

Proposition 8 ([1]) *Any equicontinuity point has an occurrence of a blocking word. Conversely if there exist blocking words, then any point with infinitely many occurrences of a blocking word to the left and to the right (of 0) is an equicontinuity point.*

Proposition 9 ([1]) *Let X be a σ -transitive SFT. If F has an equicontinuity point then $(F \text{ onto} \Leftrightarrow \text{regular})$.*

The above proposition implies that surjective NCA in class $K_2 \cap C_3$ are regular.

Proposition 10 ([1]) *A cellular automaton F is equicontinuous and onto if and only if $\exists p > 0$ such that $\forall c \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}, F^p(c) = c$.*

A consequence of the above proposition is that surjective NCA in class $K_1 \cap C_2$ are periodic and non-surjective ones are ultimately periodic.

Proposition 11 *There are no NCA in class $K_2 \cap C_2$.*

Proof. Since we are in a perfect space if a cellular automaton is not equicontinuous then there exists at least a configuration c which is not an equicontinuity point. By Proposition 4 we can assume that c is finite. By the hypothesis, there exists a constant K such that $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}, \ell(F^t(c)) \leq K$. Assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m(F^t(c)) = -\infty$ (if this is not the case then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m(F^t(c)) = +\infty$ and the rest of the proof will be perfectly similar). On the other hand, there exists at least an equicontinuity point and, by Proposition 8, there exists at least a blocking word W . Construct a configuration d such that

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, d(i) = \begin{cases} c(i) & \text{if } m(c) \leq i \leq M(c) \\ W(i) & \text{if } 2M(c) \leq i \leq 2M(c) + \ell(b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell(F^t(d)) = +\infty$. \square

For any cellular automaton F , denote F_F the restriction of F to C_F and F_P the restriction of F to C_P .

Proposition 12 *A NCA F is surjective if and only if its restriction to F_F is bijective.*

Proof. One implication is the well-known Moore-Myhill theorem [19, 22]. For the converse, remark that if F is surjective every finite configuration has a pre-image. The FNC condition grants that this pre-image is finite. \square

The following definition is essentially taken from [7].

Definition 4 *A cellular automaton $\langle S^{\mathbb{Z}}, G \rangle$ is said to be a generalized subshift on the set $U \subseteq S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ iff*

1. U is G positively invariant i.e., $G(U) \subseteq U$;
2. there exists a mapping $T, T': S^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\forall c \in U, G^{T(c)}(c) = \sigma^{T'(c)}(c)$.

The following lemma present peculiar properties of the shifting function of generalized subshifts on C_F .

Lemma 2 *Let F be a generalized subshift on C_F and let T, T' as in Definition 4. Then either $\forall x \in C_F, T(x) = T'(x)$ or $\forall x \in C_F, T(x) = -T'(x)$.*

Proof. By contraposition, suppose that there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $T(x) = T'(x)$ and $T(y) = -T'(y)$. Let $n_x = \max_{0 \leq i \leq T(x)} \ell(F^i(x))$ and $n_y = \max_{0 \leq i \leq T(y)} \ell(F^i(y))$. Build the following finite configuration

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, w(i) = \begin{cases} y(i + \ell(y)) & \text{if } -\ell(y) - n_y \leq i \leq -1 - n_y \\ x(i - 1) & \text{if } 1 + n_x \leq i \leq \ell(x) + n_x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell(F^t(w)) = +\infty$ there exists no $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $F^n(w) = \sigma^n(w)$ or $F^n(w) = \sigma^{-n}(w)$. \square

The following lemma links properties of the evolution of F with set properties of the maps F_F and F_P .

Lemma 3 *Let F be a transitive cellular automaton. Then F_F is bijective and F_P is surjective.*

Proof. It is well-known that transitivity implies surjectivity. By Moore-Myhill theorem, this last property implies that F_F is injective. By Proposition 4, transitive cellular automata are transitive over C_F . This fact implies that F_F is surjective. Using Proposition 4 again, we have that transitive cellular automata are transitive over C_P and hence F_P is surjective. \square

Proposition 13 *Generalized subshift cellular automata on C_F are transitive and regular.*

Proof. Let F be a generalized subshift cellular automaton on C_F and T, T' as in Definition 4. We are going to prove that F is transitive on C_F ; by Proposition 4 we have the first part of the thesis. For any cylinder set $[w]_t$, let \bar{w} the finite configuration such that $\bar{w}_{i+t} = w_{i+t}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell(w)$ and 0 elsewhere. Take two arbitrary cylinder sets $[w]_t$ and $[z]_l$. Let $n_{\bar{w}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq T(\bar{w})} \ell(F^i(w))$ and $n_{\bar{z}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq T(\bar{z})} \ell(F^i(z))$. Let $m = T(\bar{w})T(\bar{z})$. Finally, choose $k, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q > n_{\bar{z}} + n_{\bar{w}}$ and $t = km + q$. Build a finite configuration c such that $c = \bar{w} \oplus \sigma^{km+q}(\bar{z})$, where \oplus is the bitwise “or” operation. It is not difficult to see that $F^{km+q}(c) \in [z]$ and $c \in [w]$; this completes the first part of the proof.

Since C_F is dense in $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$, for any configuration $x \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}$, there exist a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_F$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i = x$. and let

$$n_{u_i} = \max_{0 \leq j \leq T(u_i)} \ell(F^j(u_i)) .$$

For any u_i , build a spatial periodic configuration

$$y_i = 0^{2n_{x_i}} x_i 0^{2n_{x_i}} x_i 0^{2n_{x_i}} x_i 0^{2n_{x_i}} \dots 0^{2n_{x_i}} x_i 0^{2n_{x_i}} \dots$$

such that $(y_i)_k = (x_i)_k$ for $m(x_i) \leq k \leq M(x_i)$. Clearly y_i is ultimately periodic for F . By Lemma 3 we have that it is in fact periodic. The fact that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i = x$ closes the proof. \square

From Proposition 13 and [12, 18], generalized subshift cellular automata on C_F are sensitive to initial conditions.

When studying asymptotic behavior of a cellular automaton it is of fundamental importance to understand the structure of the so called *limit set*

$\Omega = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F^n(S^{\mathbb{Z}})$. This set can be viewed as the set of configurations which have an infinite number of predecessors. It is well-known that is compact, non-empty and that it is the maximal (w.r.t. set inclusion) attracting set. In the sequel we will very interested in a special subset of the limit set: $\Omega_F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F^n(\mathcal{C}_F) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_F$, that is the set of finite configurations which have an infinite number of predecessors (of finite type). One can easily see that for any cellular automaton this set is non-empty for it should contain at least one homogeneous configuration. In the particular case of NCA, the following easy proposition proves that the cardinality of Ω_F is always countably infinite.

Proposition 14 *For any NCA, Ω is an uncountable set while the cardinality of Ω_F is countably infinite.*

Proof. Let c_1 be the configuration that has a 1 in zero and 0 elsewhere. Let $h = |m(F(c_1))|$ (for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a|$ is the absolute value of a). Let $a_b = 0^{2h+1}b0^{2h+1}$ for $b \in \{0, 1\}$. For any configuration $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, build the configuration $c_x = a_{x_0}a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_n} \dots$. Note that $c_x \in \Omega$. In particular if $x \in \mathcal{C}_F \cap \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ then $c_x \in \Omega_F$. It is easy to see for $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, if $x \neq y$ then $c_x \neq c_y$. \square

A cellular automaton F is said to be a *ultimately generalized subshift* on $U \subseteq S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if F is a generalized subshift on U and $\forall x \in S^{\mathbb{Z}}$, there exists $n = n_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^{n_x}(x) \in U$.

Proposition 15 *Non-surjective NCA in $K_3 \cap C_2$ are ultimately generalized subshifts on Ω_F . All surjective NCA in class $K_3 \cap C_2$ are generalized subshifts on \mathcal{C}_F .*

Proof. Consider a NCA F in $K_3 \cap C_2$, we prove that it is a generalized subshift on $\Omega_F \subseteq \mathcal{C}_F$. Since F is not equicontinuous for any finite configuration c either $m(F^t(c))$ or $M(F^t(c))$ is not a constant function. Since $F \in C_2$ there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell(F^t(c)) \leq L$. Therefore there exist $t_1, t_2 \leq S^L$ such that $F^{t_1}(F^{t_2}(c)) = \sigma^{t_1}(F^{t_2}(c))$. Clearly, Ω_F is positively invariant and, by the above remarks, F is a generalized subshift on it, for otherwise one can find a finite configuration in which $m(\cdot)$ is constant. Moreover, since $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell(F^t(c)) \leq L$, there exists $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^{\bar{t}}(c) \in \Omega_F$. For the second part of the proof remark that surjective NCA are bijective on \mathcal{C}_F (cf. Proposition 12) then $\Omega_F = \mathcal{C}_F$. \square

Proposition 16 *Let F be an ultimately generalized subshift on $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}_F$ with $|\Omega_F| = \infty$. Then F is sensitive to initial conditions.*

Proof. We prove that they are sensitive to initial conditions on \mathcal{C}_F , by Proposition 4 we have the thesis.

Consider a finite configuration c and B_δ the ball of radius $\delta > 0$ centered on c . Choose an integer n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \delta$. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $F^t(c) \in \Omega_F$ (by the hypothesis, t always exists). Let $n_c = \max_{0 \leq i \leq T(c)+t} \ell(F^i(c))$. Choose $x \in \Omega_F$. By Lemma 2, without loss of generality we can assume that the patterns generated by c and x move to the left. Now, choose $h > t$ such that $m(F^h(c)) + n_c < 0$. Let $n_x = \max_{0 \leq i \leq T(x)} \ell(F^i(x))$. Choose k such that $kT(x) > h + n_x + n$ and $m(F^{kT(x)}(x)) = 0$. Finally, build the configuration $w = c \oplus \sigma^{kT(x)}(x)$, where \oplus is the usual bit-wise “or” operation. It is not difficult to see that $d(c, w) < \delta$ and $d(F^{kT(x)}(c), F^{kT(x)}(w)) \geq 1$. Since c and δ were chosen arbitrarily we conclude that F is sensitive to initial conditions. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Propositions 16 and 15.

Corollary 1 *NCA of class C_2 that are ultimately subshift on $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}_F$ are sensitive to initial conditions.*

4.3 Examples

This section proposes several examples which illustrate representative behaviors in each class.

Example 1 (Class $K_1 \cap C_2$ is not empty)

$K_1 \cap C_2$ contains the identity cellular automaton. \square

Example 2 (Class $K_3 \cap C_2$ is not empty)

Consider the NCA with the following local rule f on the alphabet $S = \{0, 1\}$:

(x, y, z)	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
$f(x, y, z)$	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1

Rule f is called “rule 184” in [4] and it is often given an elementary example of car traffic modeling: symbols 1’s are cars moving on a highway (0’s). When a 1 finds a 0 on its right (that a free path on the highway) it moves one cell to the right. If the cell is not free then it waits. \square

Example 3 (Class $K_2 \cap C_3$ is not empty)

Consider the following local rule f on the alphabet $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$:

(x)	2a	1b	12	0a
$f(x)$	2	b	1	0

where $a \in A$ and $b \in \{0, 1\}$. Let F be the global rule induced by f . It is clear that $W = 2$ is a blocking word. Define three configurations c, d, e respectively as $c(0) = 2, c(1) = 1, 0$ otherwise; $d(0) = 1, d(1) = 2, 0$ otherwise; and $e(-1) = 1, c(0) = 0, c(1) = 2, 0$ otherwise. Since $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(F^t(c)) = \infty$ and $F^{-1}(d) = \{d, e\}$, by Moore-Myhill theorem [19, 22], F is not surjective and hence not regular. Finally, since $\underline{0}$ is not an equicontinuity point we have that $F \in K_2 \cap C_3$. \square

Cellular automata in class $K_3 \cap C_3$ seems to have a more rich variety of behaviors than other classes; as a consequence is much more difficult to have clear-cut situations: we can have system of particles with delayed propagation (Example 4) and others in which particles cross each other without interaction (Example 5). We also underline that it is exactly in this class that reversible NCA capable of universal computation have been found [20, 21].

Example 4 (Class $K_3 \cap C_3$ is not empty)

Consider the NCA with the following local rule f on the alphabet $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$:

(x, y, z)	00b	002	01a	02a	10a	11a
$f(x, y, z)$	0	2	a	0	1	a

and

(x, y, z)	12a	20b	202	21a	22a
$f(x, y, z)$	1	0	2	a	2

One can verify that for any finite configuration c there exists a time \bar{t} such that for any $t \geq \bar{t}$, $F^t(c)$ is such that all symbols 1 (if any) are on the positive coordinates, while symbols of type 2 are on the negative part. 1's and 2's propagate in opposite directions. Normally type 2 symbols propagate at speed 1 to the left (one unit displacement per time unit). This speed reduces of one half if 2 encounters a 1 like in 102; in a sense type 1's symbols have precedence over 2's. Therefore for any $\epsilon > 0$ choose $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{\bar{n}} \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\bar{n}-1}$. Construct the following configuration \bar{c} as follows:

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \bar{c}(i) = \begin{cases} c(i) & \text{if } m(c) \leq i \leq M(c) \\ 2 & i = \max\{\bar{n}, \bar{t}\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$

Then there exists $h \leq 2 \max\{\bar{n}, \bar{t}\}$ such that $d(F^h(c), F^h(\bar{c})) = 1$. From the fact that $d(c, \bar{c}) \leq \epsilon$ and Proposition 4 we have that F is sensitive to initial conditions. Note that F is not surjective since its restriction to configurations on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ coincides with elementary rule 184 of Example 2 which is not surjective. \square

Example 5 Consider a system which describes the interaction of two particles (denoted by 1 and 2) in a neutral media (symbols 0). Particles of type 1 move left to right while type 2 move in the opposite direction. A symbol 3 denotes the presence of a particle of type 2 and one of type 1 on the same site. These elementary rules are formalized by the following local rule:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} (x, y, z) & 0xa & 1xa & 2xa & 3xa \\ \hline f(x, y, z) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} (x, y, z) & 0xb & 1xb & 2xb & 3xb \\ \hline f(x, y, z) & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

where $a \in \{0, 1\}$, $b \in \{2, 3\}$ and $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

It is easy to see that F is injective and hence its restriction to spatial periodic configuration is injective (see [11]). The last property implies that F is regular. F is also transitive. In fact, for any finite configuration $x = x_{-k} \dots x_{-k+h}$ ($k, h \in \mathbb{N}$) construct a configuration y such that for $-k - h \leq i < -k$, $y(i) = 1$ or 3 if $x(i+h) = 1$, $y(i) = 0$ otherwise. Moreover for $-k + h < i \leq -k + 2h$ let $y(i) = 2$ if $x(i-h) = 2$ or 3 . Remark that $F^h(y) = x$. For any pair of cylinders $C_1 = C(w_{-k}, \dots, w_{-k+h})$ and $C_2 = C(z_{-g}, \dots, z_{-g+n})$ ($k, h, g, n \in \mathbb{N}$). Choose $c \in C_1$. Let t be such that $m(F^t(c)) < -g + h$ and $M(F^t(c)) > -g + n + h$. Define d such that for $-g \leq i \leq -g + n$, $d(i) = z_i$. Moreover, for $m(F^t(c)) \leq i \leq m(F^t(c)) + h$ let $d(i) = 1$ if $w_{i-m(F^t(c))} = 1$ or 3 ; for $M(F^t(c)) - h \leq i \leq M(F^t(c))$ let $d(i) = 2$ if $w_{i-M(F^t(c))} = 2$ or 3 . Finally, $d(i) = 0$ in all other cases. By construction $d \in C_2$. Using previous remark one can see that $F^t(d)(j) = w_j$ for $-k \leq j \leq -k + h$, that is to say that $F^t(d) \in C_1$. \square

Table 1 summarizes the situation.

	C_1	C_2	C_3
K_1	\emptyset (Prop. 6)	Example 1	\emptyset (Prop. 7)
K_2	\emptyset (Prop. 6)	\emptyset (Prop. 11)	Example 3
K_3	\emptyset (Prop. 6)	Example 2	Example 4
K_4	\emptyset (Prop. 5)	\emptyset (Prop. 5)	\emptyset (Prop. 5)

Table 1: NCA in K urka's and Cattaneo's classifications.

5 Conclusions and open problems

The paper discusses three formulations of the notion of being number-conserving which have arisen in recent works on the study of physical phenomena

ruled by conservation laws of mass or energy. We have proved that all these formulations are equivalent and we have given another equivalent definition in terms of density.

Moreover, we have given a linear time algorithm for deciding if a CA (of any dimension and any state set) is number conserving. We stress that the linearity of the algorithm is something rather surprising since most of the interesting structural or dynamical properties of CA are known to be undecidable.

The strong constraints imposed by number-conservation simplifies the study of asymptotic evolutions. Results can be conveniently interpreted in terms of particles system. Class $K_1 \cap C_3$ is composed by systems with “non-moving” particles. In class $K_3 \cap C_2$ we find systems in which particles move along only one direction with identical speed (of course speed may vary from system to system). Systems in class $K_2 \cap C_3$ allow particles in many different directions (possibly opposite) with the peculiarity that particles have blocking collisions. Finally, systems in class $K_3 \cap C_3$ have the same properties as those in class $K_2 \cap C_3$ but with non-blocking collisions.

Although many aspects have been understood there are still many interesting open problems that we think they worth further study. For example, we have already said that surjectivity property is undecidable for CA in general. But maybe it turns out to be decidable for the sub-class of NCA. Surjectivity plays a prominent role in the study of CA dynamics since it is a necessary condition (for example) for many popular definitions of chaotic dynamics. In Section 4.2 we have found that, with exception for class $K_3 \cap C_3$, surjectivity is equivalent to the property of having a dense set of periodic points (again, this last property is one of the component of Devaney’s definition of chaos). For class $K_3 \cap C_3$ we do not know if this property holds or not.

References

- [1] F. Blanchard and P. Tisseur. Some properties of cellular automata with equicontinuity points. *Annales de l’Instute Henri Poincaré*, to appear, 2001.
- [2] P. Blanchard. Complex analytic dynamics on the Riemannian sphere. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 11:85–141, 1984.
- [3] N. Boccara and H. Fukś. Cellular automaton rules conserving the number of active sites. *Journal of Physics A: math. gen.*, 31:6007–6018, 1998.
- [4] N. Boccara and H. Fukś. Number-conserving cellular automaton rules. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, to appear, 2001.

- [5] G. Braga, G. Cattaneo, P. Flocchini, and G. Mauri. Complex chaotic behaviour of a class of subshift cellular automata. *Complex Systems*, 7:269–296, 1993.
- [6] G. Braga, G. Cattaneo, P. Flocchini, and C. Q. Vogliotti. Pattern growth in elementary cellular automata. *Theoretical computer science*, 145:1–26, 1995.
- [7] G. Cattaneo and L. Margara. Generalized sub-shifts in elementary cellular automata: the “strange case” of chaotic rule 180. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 201:171–187, 1998.
- [8] J. Cervelle and B. Durand. Tilings: Recursivity and regularity. In *STACS’00*, volume 1770 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [9] R. L. Devaney. *Introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*. Addison-Wesley, second edition, 1989.
- [10] B. Durand. The surjectivity problem for 2D cellular automata. *Journal of Computer and Systems Science*, 49(3):718–725, 1994.
- [11] B. Durand. Global properties of cellular automata. In E. Goles and S. Martinez, editors, *Cellular Automata and Complex Systems*. Kluwer, 1998.
- [12] E. Glasner and B. Weiss. Sensitive dependence on initial conditions. *Nonlinearity*, 6:1067–1075, 1993.
- [13] H. Gutowitz, editor. *Cellular automata: Theory and Experiments*, Amsterdam. North-Holland, 1990.
- [14] G. A. Hedlund. Endomorphism and automorphism of the shift dynamical system. *Mathematical System Theory*, 3:320–375, 1969.
- [15] J. Kari. Reversibility and surjectivity problems of cellular automata. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 48:149–182, 1994.
- [16] J. Kari. Rice’s theorem for the limit set of cellular automata. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 127(2):229–254, 1994.
- [17] C. Knudsen. Chaos without nonperiodicity. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 101:563–565, 1994.
- [18] P. Kurka. Languages, equicontinuity and attractors in cellular automata. *Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems*, 17:417–433, 1997.
- [19] E. Moore. Machine models of self-reproduction. *Proc. Symp. Apl. Math.*, 14:13–33, 1962.

- [20] K. Morita and K. Imai. Number-conserving reversible cellular automata and their computation universality. In *Satellite Workshop on Cellular Automata MFCS'98*, pages 51–68, 1998.
- [21] K. Morita, Y. Tojima, and K. Imai. A simple computer embedded in a reversible and number-conserving 2d cellular space. In *Proc. of LA Symposium '99*, 1999.
- [22] J. Myhill. The converse to Moore's garden-of-eden theorem. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 14:685–686, 1963.
- [23] S. M. N. Boccara, E. Goles and P. Picco, editors. *Cellular Automata and Cooperative Phenomena*, Dordrecht. Kluwer, 1993.
- [24] K. Nagel and M. Schreckenberg. A cellular automaton for freeway traffic. *Journal of Physics I*, 2:2221–2229, 1992.
- [25] G. V. P. Manneville, N. Boccara and R. B. eds. Cellular automata and modeling of complex systems. In *Workshop Les Houches*, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [26] R. S. S. Bandini and F. S. L. eds. Cellular automata: Research towards industry. In *Third Conference on Cellular Automata for Research and Industry*, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [27] M. A. Shereshevsky. Expansiveness, entropy and polynomial growth for maps acting on subshifts by automorphisms. *Indag. Math. N. S.*, 4:203–210, 1993.
- [28] S. Wolfram. *Theory and Applications of Cellular Automata*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1986.