Decomposition of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}D_l}$

Chongying Dong¹ Department of Mathematics, University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Ching Hung Lam Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba Tsukuba 305-8571, Japan

Hiromichi Yamada Department of Mathematics, Hitotsubashi University Kunitachi, Tokyo 186-8601, Japan

1 Introduction

A weight two vector v of a vertex operator algebra is called a conformal vector with central charge c if the component operators $L_v(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_v(n) z^{-n-2}$ satisfy the Virasoro algebra relation with central charge c. In this case, the vertex operator subalgebra $\text{Vir}(v)$ generated by v is isomorphic to a Virasoro vertex operator algebra with central charge c ([[FZ\]](#page-13-0), [\[M](#page-13-0)]).

Let $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$ be the vertex operator algebra associated with $\sqrt{2}$ times an ordinary root latticeR of type A_l , D_l , or E_l . In [[DLMN](#page-12-0)], several sets of mutually orthogonal conformal vectors in $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$ were constructed and studied. It was shown that the Virasoro element of $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$ can be written as a sum of $l+1$ mutually orthogonal conformal vectors ω^i , $1 \leq i \leq l + 1$. Since these conformal vectors are mutually orthogonal, the subalgebra T generated by them is a tensor product $T = \otimes_{i=1}^{l+1} \text{Vir}(\omega^i)$ and $\text{Vir}(\omega^i)$ is isomorphic to $L(c_i, 0)$ with c_i the central charge of ω^i . Here, we denote by $L(c, h)$ the irreducible highest weight module for the Virasoro algebra with highest weight $h \in \mathbb{C}$ and central charge c. Moreover, $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$ is completely reducible as a T-module and each irreducible direct summand is in the form $\otimes_{i=1}^{l+1} L(c_i, h_i)$. Hence one can study the structure of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$ from a point of view that $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$ is a T-module. Along this line, the decomposition of $V_{\sqrt{2}A_2}$ and $V_{\sqrt{2}A_3}$ into a direct sum of irreducible T-modules has been determined in [\[KMY\]](#page-13-0) and [\[DLY](#page-12-0)].See also [[DMZ\]](#page-12-0), in which the moonshine module V^{\natural} is studied as a module for $L(\frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 48}.$

In this paper we determine the decomposition of $V_{\sqrt{2}D_l}$ into a sum of irreducible Tmodules for general *l*. There are many ways to choose a set of the mutually orthogonal

¹Supported by NSF grant DMS-9700923 and a research grant from the Committee on Research, UC Santa Cruz.

conformal vectors in[[DLMN\]](#page-12-0) (see Remark [3.1](#page-3-0)). In this paper, we shall choose a set of conformal vectors so that $c_1 = 1/2$, $c_2 = 7/10$, $c_3 = 4/5$, and $c_i = 1$ for $4 \leq i \leq l + 1$. Such a choice enables us to use the ideas and methods for the decompostion of $V_{\sqrt{2}A_3}$ in [\[DLY](#page-12-0)] with suitable modifications.

We briefly explain how the decompostion of $V_{\sqrt{2}D_l}$ is obtained. We consider a sublattice N of $L = A_1^{\oplus l}$ isomorphic to $\sqrt{2}D_l$ in a standard way. We show that there exists an automorphism ρ of the vertex operator algebra V_L which maps V_N onto V_L^+ L^+ (the fixed point subspace of the involution of V_L induced from the involution $\beta \mapsto -\beta$ of L). Thus, the decomposition of V_N as a T-module is equivalent to the decomposition of V_L^+ L^+ as a \widetilde{T} -module, where $\widetilde{T} = \rho(T)$. The decomposition of V_L^+ L_L^+ as a T-module is relatively easy and is completely determined in Section 4. For the purpose of completeness we also review the necessary results on the decomposition of a vertex operator algebra associated with a positive definite rank one lattice as a module for $L(1,0)$ in Section 5, most of which are taken from[[DG\]](#page-12-0) and[[KR\]](#page-13-0).

The authors would like to thank Masaaki Kitazume and Masahiko Miyamoto for helpful advice.

2 Some automorphisms of V_L

We shall use standard notation for the vertex operator algebra $V_L = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}{L}$ associated with a positive definite even lattice L [[FLM](#page-13-0)]. Note that if the square length of any element in L is a multiple of 4 or if L is a rank one lattice then the central extension Lof L by the cyclic group of order two is split and $\mathbb{C}\{L\}$ in the notation of [[FLM\]](#page-13-0) is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L]$.

In [\[DLY](#page-12-0)] three automorphisms θ_1 , θ_2 , σ of order two of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}$ associated with a rank one lattice $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$, where $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$, are considered. They are determined by

$$
\theta_1 : \alpha(-1) \longmapsto \alpha(-1), \qquad e^{\alpha} \longmapsto -e^{\alpha}, \qquad e^{-\alpha} \longmapsto -e^{-\alpha},
$$

\n
$$
\theta_2 : \alpha(-1) \longmapsto -\alpha(-1), \qquad e^{\alpha} \longmapsto e^{-\alpha}, \qquad e^{-\alpha} \longmapsto e^{\alpha},
$$

\n
$$
\sigma : \alpha(-1) \longmapsto e^{\alpha} + e^{-\alpha}, \qquad e^{\alpha} + e^{-\alpha} \longmapsto \alpha(-1), \qquad e^{\alpha} - e^{-\alpha} \longmapsto -(e^{\alpha} - e^{-\alpha}).
$$

The automorphism θ_1 maps $u \otimes e^{\beta}$ to $(-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle/2}u \otimes e^{\beta}$ for $u \in M(1)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ and θ_2 is the automorphism induced from the isometry $\beta \mapsto -\beta$ of $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$. Note also that

$$
\sigma\theta_1\sigma = \theta_2
$$
, $\sigma(\alpha(-1)^2) = \alpha(-1)^2$ and $\sigma(e^{\pm\alpha}) = (\alpha(-1) \mp (e^{\alpha} - e^{-\alpha}))/2$.

Let L be a lattice with basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ such that $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$. Then, $L =$ $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_l$ and each $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ is isomorphic to a root lattice of type A_1 . Since $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$, the vertex operator algebra V_L is a tensor product $V_L = V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_l}}$ of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i}$'s. Using the automorphisms θ_1 , θ_2 , and σ of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i}$ described above, we can define three automorphisms ψ_1 , ψ_2 , and τ of V_L of order two by

$$
\psi_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_1, \qquad \psi_2 = \theta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_2, \qquad \tau = \sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma.
$$

Then

$$
\psi_1(u \otimes e^{\beta}) = (-1)^{\langle \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_l, \beta \rangle/2} u \otimes e^{\beta}
$$
\n(2.1)

for $u \in M(1)$ and $\beta \in L$, ψ_2 is the automorphism induced from the isometry $\beta \mapsto -\beta$ of L, and $\tau \psi_1 \tau = \psi_2$.

We shall calculate the images of some elements in V_L under the automorphism τ , which will be used in the next section. If $i \neq j$, then in $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_j}$ we have

$$
\tau(\alpha_i(-1)\alpha_j(-1)) = \sigma(\alpha_i(-1))\sigma(\alpha_j(-1))
$$

= $(e^{\alpha_i} + e^{-\alpha_i})(e^{\alpha_j} + e^{-\alpha_j})$
= $e^{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} + e^{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} + e^{-\alpha_i + \alpha_j} + e^{-\alpha_i - \alpha_j}.$

Since $(\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j)(-1)^2 = \alpha_i(-1)^2 + \alpha_j(-1)^2 \pm 2\alpha_i(-1)\alpha_j(-1)$ in $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_j}$ and $\sigma(\alpha_i(-1)^2) = \alpha_i(-1)^2$, it follows that

$$
\tau((\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j)(-1)^2) = \alpha_i(-1)^2 + \alpha_j(-1)^2 \pm 2\tau(\alpha_i(-1)\alpha_j(-1)).
$$

We also have

$$
\tau(e^{\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j}) = \sigma(e^{\alpha_i})\sigma(e^{\pm \alpha_j})
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_i(-1) - (e^{\alpha_i} - e^{-\alpha_i}))(\alpha_j(-1) \mp (e^{\alpha_j} - e^{-\alpha_j}))
$$

in $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_j}$. Likewise

$$
\tau(e^{-(\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j)}) = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_i(-1) + (e^{\alpha_i} - e^{-\alpha_i}))(\alpha_j(-1) \pm (e^{\alpha_j} - e^{-\alpha_j})),
$$

and thus

$$
\tau(e^{\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j} + e^{-(\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j)}) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i(-1)\alpha_j(-1) \pm e^{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} \mp e^{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \mp e^{-\alpha_i + \alpha_j} \pm e^{-\alpha_i - \alpha_j}).
$$

For $\beta = \pm(\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j)/\sqrt{2}$, set

$$
w^{\pm}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\beta(-1)^2 \pm (e^{\sqrt{2}\beta} + e^{-\sqrt{2}\beta}).
$$
\n(2.2)

Then, $w^{\pm}(\beta) = w^{\pm}(-\beta)$. From the above calculation, we have

$$
\tau(w^{-}((\alpha_{i} \pm \alpha_{j})/\sqrt{2})) = w^{\pm}((\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{j})/\sqrt{2}),
$$

\n
$$
\tau(w^{+}((\alpha_{i} \pm \alpha_{j})/\sqrt{2})) = w^{\pm}((\alpha_{i} + \alpha_{j})/\sqrt{2}).
$$
\n(2.3)

3 Conformal vectors

Let us consider a sublattice

$$
N = \sum_{i,j=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j)
$$

of L, which is isomorphic to the root lattice of type $\sqrt{2}D_l$. We choose the following elements as the simple roots of type D_l :

$$
\beta_1 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/\sqrt{2},
$$
 $\beta_2 = (-\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/\sqrt{2},$ $\beta_3 = (-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/\sqrt{2},$
\n $\beta_i = (-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1})/\sqrt{2}$ for $3 \le i \le l - 1.$

Then

$$
\Phi_l^+ = \{ (\alpha_i + \alpha_j) / \sqrt{2}, \, (-\alpha_i + \alpha_j) / \sqrt{2} \, | \, 1 \le i < j \le l \}
$$

is the set of positive roots. Using the notation $w^{\pm}(\beta)$ defined in (2.2) , we set

$$
s^{1} = \frac{1}{4} w^{-}(\beta_{1}),
$$

\n
$$
s^{2} = \frac{1}{5} \left(w^{-}(\beta_{1}) + w^{-}(\beta_{2}) + w^{-}(\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) \right),
$$

\n
$$
s^{r} = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \left(w^{-} \left((\alpha_{i} + \alpha_{j}) / \sqrt{2} \right) + w^{-} \left((-\alpha_{i} + \alpha_{j}) / \sqrt{2} \right) \right), \quad 3 \leq r \leq l,
$$
\n
$$
\omega = \frac{1}{4(l-1)} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_{l}^{+}} \beta(-1)^{2}.
$$
\n
$$
(3.1)
$$

It was shown by[[DLMN\]](#page-12-0) that the elements

$$
\omega^1 = s^1, \qquad \omega^i = s^i - s^{i-1}, 2 \le i \le l, \qquad \omega^{l+1} = \omega - s^l \tag{3.2}
$$

are mutually orthogonal conformal vectors. Their central charges $c(\omega^i)$ are as follows:

$$
c(\omega^1) = 1/2
$$
, $c(\omega^2) = 7/10$, $c(\omega^3) = 4/5$, and $c(\omega^i) = 1$ for $4 \le i \le l + 1$.

The subalgebra $\text{Vir}(\omega^i)$ of the vertex operator algebra V_N generated by ω^i is isomorphic to the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(c(\omega^i), 0)$ which is the irreducible highest weight module for the Virasoro algebra with central charge $c(\omega^i)$ and highest weight 0. Since $\omega^1, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^{l+1}$ are mutually orthogonal, the subalgebra T of V_N generated by these conformal vectors is a tensor product of $Vir(\omega^i)$'s, namely,

$$
T = \text{Vir}(\omega^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Vir}(\omega^{l+1})
$$

\n
$$
\cong L(c(\omega^1), 0) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(c(\omega^{l+1}), 0).
$$

As a T-module V_N is completely reducible. Our purpose in this paper is to determine all the irreducible direct summands of V_N .

Remark3.1. In [[DLMN\]](#page-12-0) it is shown that corresponding to a chain $\cdots \subset \Phi'' \subset \Phi' \subset \Phi$ of root systems of type A, D , or E , one has a decomposition of the Virasoro element of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}R}$, where R denotes a root lattice of type Φ , into a sum of mutually orthogonal conformal vectors (see also[[M](#page-13-0), Lemma 5.1]). In our notation $\Phi_l = \Phi_l^+ \cup -\Phi_l^+$ ^{$\frac{1}{l}$} is a root system of type D_l and ω is the Virasoro element of V_N . The conformalvectors $\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{l+1}$ in ([3.2\)](#page-3-0) correspond to the chain $\Phi_1 \subset \Phi_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_l$, where $\Phi_1 = {\pm \beta_1}, \Phi_2 = {\pm \beta_1, \pm \beta_2, \pm (\beta_1 + \beta_2)}$, and

$$
\Phi_r = \{ \pm (\alpha_i + \alpha_j) / \sqrt{2}, \pm (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) / \sqrt{2} \mid 1 \le i < j \le r \}, \quad 3 \le r \le l.
$$

Note that Φ_r is a root system of type A_r for $1 \leq r \leq 3$ and of type D_r for $4 \leq r \leq l$.

There is another choice of conformal vectors. Namely, if one considers a chain of root systems of type $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_{l-1} \subset D_l$, then the central charges of the corresponding mutually orthogonal conformal vectors $\omega'^1, \ldots, \omega'^{l+1}$ are given by

$$
c(\omega^{r}) = 1 - \frac{6}{(r+2)(r+3)}, 1 \le r \le l-1, c(\omega^{l}) = \frac{2(l-1)}{l+2}, \text{ and } c(\omega^{l+1}) = 1.
$$

The images of the conformal vectors $\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{l+1}$ under the automorphism τ of V_L can be calculated by (2.3). In fact, we have

Lemma 3.2. Let s^1 , s^2 , and s^r , $3 \le r \le l$ be defined as in [\(3.1\)](#page-3-0). Then,

$$
\tau(s^1) = \frac{1}{4} w^+(\beta_3),
$$

\n
$$
\tau(s^2) = \frac{1}{5} (w^+(\beta_3) + w^-(\beta_2) + w^+(\beta_2 + \beta_3)),
$$

\n
$$
\tau(s^r) = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} (w^+(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)/\sqrt{2}) + w^-(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)/\sqrt{2})
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(-1)^2, \quad 3 \le r \le l,
$$

\n
$$
\tau(\omega) = \omega.
$$

Next we shall consider the images of these elements under the automorphism φ of V_L , where φ is defined by

$$
\varphi: u \otimes e^{\beta} \longmapsto (-1)^{\langle \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \beta \rangle/2} u \otimes e^{\beta}
$$

for $u \in M(1)$ and $\beta \in L$. The automorphism φ acts as θ_2 on $V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_2}}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_3}}$ and acts as the identity on $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i}$ for $i \neq 2, 3$. Set $\rho = \varphi \tau$.

Remark 3.3. The automorphism ρ here is slightly different from the automorphism ρ of[[DLY\]](#page-12-0). In [DLY] ρ is defined to be a composite of $\theta_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ and $\varphi \tau$. The symmetry $\alpha_1 \longleftrightarrow -\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_i \longleftrightarrow \alpha_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq l$ induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of type D_l , and the restriction of the automorphism $\theta_2 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ of V_L to V_N corresponds to this symmetry. In this paper we do not consider it.

The following lemma is easily obtained from Lemma [3.2](#page-4-0) and the definition of φ .

Lemma 3.4. We have

$$
\rho(s^1) = \frac{1}{4} w^-(\beta_3), \qquad \rho(s^2) = \frac{1}{5} (w^-(\beta_3) + w^-(\beta_2) + w^-(\beta_2 + \beta_3)),
$$

$$
\rho(s^r) = \tau(s^r), \quad 3 \le r \le l, \qquad \rho(\omega) = \omega.
$$

Let $\tilde{\omega}^i = \rho(\omega^i)$ and set

$$
\gamma_r = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_r - r\alpha_{r+1}, \quad 1 \le r \le l-1,
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_l = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_l.
$$
\n(3.3)

Lemma 3.5. (1) The vectors $\widetilde{\omega}^1$, $\widetilde{\omega}^2$, and $\widetilde{\omega}^3$ are the mutually orthogonal conformal vectorsof $V_{\mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)+\mathbb{Z}(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)} \cong V_{\sqrt{2}A_2}$ defined in [[DLMN\]](#page-12-0).

(2)
$$
\tilde{\omega}^{r+1} = \frac{1}{4r(r+1)} \gamma_r (-1)^2
$$
 for $3 \le r \le l-1$.

(3) $\widetilde{\omega}^{l+1} = \frac{1}{4l}$ $\frac{1}{4l}\gamma_l(-1)^2$.

Proof (1) is shown in [\[DLY,](#page-12-0) Lemma 3.6]. For $3 \le r \le l-1$ we have

$$
\rho(s^{r+1}) - \rho(s^r) = \frac{1}{4(r+1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r+1} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(-1)^2 - \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(-1)^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{4r(r+1)} \left(r \sum_{1 \le i \le r} (\alpha_i - \alpha_{r+1})(-1)^2 - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(-1)^2 \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{4r(r+1)} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_r - r\alpha_{r+1})(-1)^2.
$$

We also have

$$
\omega - \rho(s^l) = \frac{1}{8(l-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le l} ((\alpha_i + \alpha_j)(-1)^2 + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(-1)^2)
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{4l} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le l} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(-1)^2
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4(l-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le l} (\alpha_i(-1)^2 + \alpha_j(-1)^2)
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{4l} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le l} (\alpha_i(-1)^2 + \alpha_j(-1)^2 - 2\alpha_i(-1)\alpha_j(-1))
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4l} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_l)(-1)^2. \quad \Box
$$

Note that for $3 \leq r \leq l$, the element $\tilde{\omega}^{r+1}$ is the Virasoro element of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_r}$ associated with a rank one lattice $\mathbb{Z}\gamma_r$.

Set $U^{\pm} = \{v \in U \mid \psi_2(v) = \pm v\}$ for any ψ_2 -invariant subspace U of V_L .

Lemma 3.6. (1) $N = \{ \beta \in L \mid \langle \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_l, \beta \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \}.$ (2) $V_N = \{v \in V_L | \psi_1(v) = v\}.$ (3) $\rho(V_N) = V_L^+$ $\stackrel{r+}{L}$.

Proof (1) is clear from the definition of N. (1) and (2.1) imply (2). Since $\tau \psi_1 = \psi_2 \tau$ and the automorphisms ψ_2 and φ commute, we have $\rho \psi_1 = \psi_2 \rho$. Hence (3) holds. \Box

The last assertion of the above lemma implies that the decomposition of V_N into a direct sum of irreducible T-modules is equivalent to that of V_L^+ L_L^+ as a T-module, where $T = \rho(T)$ is of the form

$$
\widetilde{T} = \text{Vir}(\widetilde{\omega}^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Vir}(\widetilde{\omega}^{l+1})
$$

\n
$$
\cong L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, 0) \otimes L(1, 0) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1, 0).
$$

The decomposition of V_L^+ L_L^+ as a T-module will be accomplished in the next section.

4 Decomposition of V_L^+ L^+ as a T-module

In this section, we shall study the decomposition of V_L^+ L_L^+ into a direct sum of irreducible T -modules. As in [\[DLY](#page-12-0)] we set

$$
E = \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) \quad \text{and} \quad D = E + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_3 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_l.
$$

The elements $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \ \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \ \gamma_3, \ \ldots, \ \gamma_l$ form a basis of the lattice D. Since $\gamma_r =$ $\sum_{i=1}^r i(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}),$ we can take

$$
\{\alpha_1-\alpha_2, \alpha_2-\alpha_3, 3(\alpha_3-\alpha_4), \ldots, (l-2)(\alpha_{l-2}-\alpha_{l-1}), \gamma_{l-1}, \gamma_l\}
$$

as another basis. The lattices $E, \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}, \ldots, \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_l}$ are mutually orthogonal, so the vertex operator algebra V_D associated with the lattice D is a tensor product $V_D = V_E \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3} \otimes$ $\cdots \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_l}.$

Next, we want to describe the cosets of D in L . Set

$$
\xi_r = \frac{1}{r(r+1)} \gamma_r, 1 \le r \le l-1,
$$
 and $\xi_l = \frac{1}{l} \gamma_l.$

Clearly $\langle \gamma_r, \xi_r \rangle = 2$ and we have

$$
-\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \frac{1}{3}(-(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)).
$$
\n(4.1)

Moreover,

$$
\alpha_r - \alpha_{r+1} = \frac{1}{r}(-\gamma_{r-1} + \gamma_r) = -(r-1)\xi_{r-1} + (r+1)\xi_r,
$$

and so

$$
-\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_l = \alpha_2. \tag{4.2}
$$

To simplify the notation, we set $\eta = -\xi_1 + \xi_2$.

Lemma 4.1. $|D + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2 : D|$ is equal to the least common multiple of 3, 4, ..., l.

Proof Since $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_l$ are linearly independent over Q, the assertion follows from (4.1) and (4.2) . \Box

Lemma 4.1 is valid even for $l = 3$ if we consider a lattice of type A_3 as of type D_3 .

Note that $D + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2 = L$ for $3 \leq l \leq 5$. Indeed, the coset $D + \alpha_2$ contains α_1, α_2 , and α_3 . Moreover, $\alpha_4 \in D + 9\alpha_2$ if $l = 4$, and $\alpha_4 \in D + 21\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_5 \in D + 36\alpha_2$ if $l = 5$. Hence $n\alpha_2$, $0 \le n \le d-1$, where d denotes the least common multiple of 3, 4, ..., l, form a complete system of representatives of the cosets of D in L in these three cases. However, $D + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2 \neq L$ for $l \geq 6$. We shall use the following elements to describe all the cosets of D in L. For $m_3, ..., m_{l-2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let

$$
\lambda = \lambda(m_3, m_4, \dots, m_{l-2}, n)
$$

= $m_3(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4) + m_4(\alpha_4 - \alpha_5) + \dots + m_{l-2}(\alpha_{l-2} - \alpha_{l-1}) + n\alpha_2$
= $(m_3 + n)\eta + \sum_{r=3}^{l-3} ((r+1)m_r - rm_{r+1} + n)\xi_r$
+ $((l-1)m_{l-2} + n)\xi_{l-2} + n\xi_{l-1} + n\xi_l$ (mod *D*). (4.3)

The last congruence modulo D comes from (4.1), (4.2), and the fact that $\alpha_r - \alpha_{r+1} =$ $-(r-1)\xi_{r-1}+(r+1)\xi_r$.

Lemma 4.2. (1) $\{\lambda = \lambda(m_3, \ldots, m_{l-2}, n) | 0 \leq m_r \leq r-1, 0 \leq n \leq l(l-1)-1\}$ forms a complete system of representatives of the cosets of D in L.

(2) Every element in the coset $D + \lambda$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$
(\nu + (m_3 + n)\eta) + \sum_{i=3}^{l-3} (\mu_i + ((i+1)m_i - im_{i+1} + n)\xi_i)
$$

+
$$
(\mu_{l-2} + ((l-1)m_{l-2} + n)\xi_{l-2}) + (\mu_{l-1} + n\xi_{l-1}) + (\mu_l + n\xi_l)
$$

for
$$
\nu \in E
$$
 and $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$.

Proof Let $M_r = \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_r - \alpha_{r+1}) + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_{r+1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_l$. Then $D = M_2 \subset$ $M_3 \subset \cdots \subset M_{l-2} \subset L$. Note that

$$
-l(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{l-2} l(l-i-1)(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}) + \gamma_{l-1} + (l-1)\gamma_l = l(l-1)\alpha_2.
$$
 (4.4)

Since $\alpha_i \in M_{l-2} + \alpha_2$ for $1 \le i \le l-1$ and $\alpha_l \in M_{l-2} + (l-1)^2 \alpha_2$, $L = M_{l-2} + \mathbb{Z} \alpha_2$ and ${n\alpha_2 | 0 \le n \le l(l-1) - 1}$ is a complete system of representatives of the cosets of M_{l-2} in L. Furthermore, $\gamma_r = \sum_{i=1}^r i(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1})$ implies that $\{m_r(\alpha_r - \alpha_{r+1}) | 0 \leq m_r \leq r-1 \}$ is a complete system of representatives of the cosets of M_{r-1} in M_r . Hence (1) holds. For $i = 1, 2$, the elements $\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_l$ are mutually orthogonal, and so each element of $D + \lambda$ is uniquely decomposed into an orthogonal sum of the form stated in (2). \Box

By Lemma 4.2, we have $V_L = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{D+\lambda}$ where the sum runs through the coset representatives of D in L , and

$$
V_{D+\lambda} = V_{E+(m_3+n)\eta} \otimes \left(\otimes_{r=3}^{l-3} V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_r + ((r+1)m_r - rm_{r+1} + n)\xi_r} \right)
$$

$$
\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_{l-2} + ((l-1)m_{l-2} + n)\xi_{l-2}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_{l-1} + n\xi_{l-1}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_l + n\xi_l}
$$
(4.5)

for $\lambda = \lambda(m_3, \ldots, m_{l-2}, n)$. Since $\langle E, \eta \rangle = 2\mathbb{Z}$, the tensor factor of the form $V_{E+k\eta}$ is an irreducible module for V_E [[D\]](#page-12-0). Likewise, $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_i+k\xi_i}$ is an irreducible module for $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_i}$. Thus (4.5) holds as a module for $V_D = V_E \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_l}}$.

Lemma 4.3. For $\lambda = \lambda(m_3, ..., m_{l-2}, n)$, $0 \leq m_r \leq r-1$, $0 \leq n \leq l(l-1)-1$, we have $D + \lambda = D - \lambda$ if and only if m_3, \ldots, m_{l-2} , and n satisfy one of the following conditions.

- (1) $n = 0$, and $m_r = 0$ if r is odd and $m_r = 0$ or $r/2$ if r is even.
- (2) $n = l(l-1)/2$ and $2m_r + l(l-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$. Such an m_r is unique if r is odd and there are exactly two such m_r if r is even.

Proof Suppose $D + \lambda = D - \lambda$, or equivalently $2\lambda \in D$. Then $2n\alpha_2 \in M_{l-2}$ since λ and $n\alpha_2$ are congruent modulo M_{l-2} . Now the quotient group L/M_{l-2} is a cyclic group of order $l(l-1)$ generated by the coset $M_{l-2} + \alpha_2$. Thus $n = 0$ or $l(l-1)/2$.

First, we assume that $n = 0$. In this case, since $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$, $\alpha_2 - \alpha_3$, $3(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4)$, ..., $(l-2)(\alpha_{l-2}-\alpha_{l-1}), \gamma_{l-1}, \gamma_l$ form a basis of the lattice D, we have $2\lambda \in D$ if and only if $2m_r$ is divisible by r for $3 \leq r \leq l-2$.

Next, assume that $n = l(l-1)/2$. In this case

$$
2\lambda = 2m_3(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4) + \dots + 2m_{l-2}(\alpha_{l-2} - \alpha_{l-1}) + l(l-1)\alpha_2
$$

= $-l(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + l(l-3)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)$
+ $\sum_{r=3}^{l-2} (2m_r + l(l-r-1))(\alpha_r - \alpha_{r+1}) + \gamma_{l-1} + (l-1)\gamma_l$

by (4.4). Hence $2\lambda \in D$ if and only if $2m_r + l(l-r-1)$ is divisible by r for $3 \le r \le l-2$. \Box

The automorphism ψ_2 fixes the conformal vectors $\tilde{\omega}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\omega}^{l+1}$, and so $\tilde{T} \subset V_D^+$. In particular, ψ_2 is a \widetilde{T} -module isomorphism. We have $\psi_2(V_{D+\lambda}) = V_{D-\lambda}$, and thus $V_{D-\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $V_{D+\lambda}$ as a \tilde{T} -module. If $D + \lambda \neq D - \lambda$, the fixed point subspace $(V_{D+\lambda} \oplus V_{D-\lambda})^+$ in $V_{D+\lambda} \oplus V_{D-\lambda}$ is equal to $\{v + \psi_2(v) \mid v \in V_{D+\lambda}\}\$ and it is isomorphic to $V_{D+\lambda}$.

If $D + \lambda = D - \lambda$ for $\lambda = \lambda(m_3, \ldots, m_{l-2}, n)$, then m_3, \ldots, m_{l-2} , and n satisfy the conditions in Lemma 4.3. In this case (4.5) is in the following form:

$$
V_{D+\lambda} = V_E \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}+b_3\gamma_3} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_l}+b_l\gamma_l},
$$

with $b_i \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ } for $3 \le i \le l-1$ and $b_l = 0$ or $b_l \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ depending on whether *l* is odd or even.

For $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_l)$ with $\varepsilon_i = +$ or $-$, set

$$
V_{D+\lambda}^{\varepsilon} = V_E^{\varepsilon_0} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3 + b_3\gamma_3}^{\varepsilon_3} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_l + b_l\gamma_l}^{\varepsilon_l}.
$$
 (4.6)

Then

$$
V_{D+\lambda}^{+} = \bigoplus_{\varepsilon} V_{D+\lambda}^{\varepsilon},\tag{4.7}
$$

where ε runs over all $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_l)$ such that even number of ε_i 's are $-$.

The decomposition of $V_{E+\eta}^{\pm}$ and $V_{E+\eta}$ as \overline{T}' -modules, where $\overline{T}' = \text{Vir}(\tilde{\omega}^1) \otimes \text{Vir}(\tilde{\omega}^2) \otimes$ $Vir(\tilde{\omega}^3)$, can be found in [\[KMY,](#page-13-0) Lemma 4.1] and [\[DLY](#page-12-0), (3.2), (3.3)]. In fact, V_E^+ E ⁺ is a direct sum of four irreducible T' -modules, which are isomorphic to

$$
L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, 0), \qquad L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{5}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{7}{5}),
$$

$$
L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{7}{5}), \qquad L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, 0), \qquad (4.8)
$$

and V_E^- is a direct sum of four irreducible T'-modules, which are isomorphic to

$$
L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{5}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}), \qquad L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}),
$$

$$
L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, 3), \qquad L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, 3).
$$
 (4.9)

Similarly, $V_{E+\eta}$ is a direct sum of four irreducible T'-modules, which are isomorphic to

$$
L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{3}), \qquad L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{5}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{15}),
$$

$$
L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{15}), \qquad L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{3}).
$$
 (4.10)

For $3 \leq i \leq l$, the decomposition of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_i}^{\pm}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_i+\gamma_i/2}$ as $\text{Vir}(\widetilde{\omega}^{i+1})$ -modules is given in Appendix (see also [\[DG\]](#page-12-0)).

We divide a complete system of representatives of the cosets of D in L into three subsets Λ_1 , Λ_2 , and $-\Lambda_2$ so that $D + \lambda = D - \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$. Then

$$
V_L = \left(\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} V_{D+\lambda}\right) \oplus \left(\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} V_{D+\lambda}\right) \oplus \left(\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} V_{D-\lambda}\right).
$$

By the above argument, we conclude that

Theorem 4.4. As \widetilde{T} -modules,

$$
V_L^+ \cong \left(\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} V_{D+\lambda}^+\right) \oplus \left(\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} V_{D+\lambda}\right).
$$

Furthermore, the decomposition of V_D^+ $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$, and $V_{D+\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_2$, into a direct sum of irreducible \widetilde{T} -modules is given by (4.5) through (4.10) and (5.1) through (5.7).

By the same method, we also have the decomposition of $V_L^- \cong (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} V_{D+\lambda}) \oplus$ $(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} V_{D+\lambda})$ into a direct sum of irreducible \widetilde{T} -modules.

We illustrate the first two cases, namely $l = 3$ and 4, and leave the details for the other cases to the interested reader. In case of $l = 3$, $N = \sqrt{2}A_3$ and the decomposition of V_L^+ L_L^+ as a T-module given by Theorem 4.4 is identical with the decomposition of $V_{\sqrt{2}A_3}$ as a T-module obtained in[[DLY,](#page-12-0) Theorem 3.7].

If $l = 4$, then $D = E + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_3 + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_4$, where $\gamma_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 3\alpha_4$ and $\gamma_4 =$ $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$. By Lemma 4.2, $\{\lambda = \lambda(n) = n\alpha_2 | 0 \le n \le 11\}$ is a complete system of coset representatives of D in L with $\Lambda_1 = \{0, 6\alpha_2\}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \{j\alpha_2 | 1 \le j \le 5\}$. Thus

$$
V_L^+ \cong V_D^+ \oplus V_{D+6\alpha_2}^+ \oplus (\oplus_{j=1}^5 V_{D+j\alpha_2}).
$$

Now, $\alpha_2 = \eta + \xi_3 + \xi_4$ and $V_{D+j\alpha_2} = V_{E+j\eta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3+j\xi_3} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_4+j\xi_4}$. Furthermore,

$$
V_D^+ = (V_E^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}}^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_4}}^+) \oplus (V_E^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}}^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_4}}^-) \oplus (V_E^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}}^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_4}}^-) \oplus (V_E^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_3}}^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_4}}^+),
$$

$$
V_{D+6\alpha_2}^+ = (V_E^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3+\gamma_3/2}^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_4+\gamma_4/2}^+) \oplus (V_E^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3+\gamma_3/2}^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_4+\gamma_4/2}^-)
$$

$$
\oplus (V_E^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3+\gamma_3/2}^+ \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_4+\gamma_4/2}^-) \oplus (V_E^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_3+\gamma_3/2}^- \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma_4+\gamma_4/2}^+).
$$

Hence the decomposition of V_L^+ L_L^+ into a direct sum of irreducible T-modules follows from (4.8) through (4.10) and (5.1) through (5.7) .

5 Appendix

We review the decomposition of a vertex operator algebra associated with a rank one lattice and its modules into a direct sum of irreducible $L(1,0)$ -modules. Most materials discussed here can be found in [\[DG\]](#page-12-0), [\[KR\]](#page-13-0).

Let $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$ be a rank one positive definite even lattice with $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 2n$ and n a positive integer. Set $\xi = \gamma/2n$. Then $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma + a\xi}$, $0 \le a \le 2n - 1$, are the inequivalent irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma}$ [[D\]](#page-12-0). We remark that $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$ is denoted by L_{2n} in [\[DG](#page-12-0)]. The element $\omega = \gamma(-1)^2/4n$ is the Virasoro element of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma}$. The subalgebra Vir (ω) generated by ω is isomorphic to the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(1,0)$ of central charge 1. Note that

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+a\xi}=\oplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}M(1)\otimes e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma},
$$

and each direct summand $M(1) \otimes e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma}$ is completely reducible as a Vir (ω) -module $(|KR, Proposition 3.1|).$ $(|KR, Proposition 3.1|).$ $(|KR, Proposition 3.1|).$

If W is a Vir(ω)-module, the q-dimension of W is defined by $\dim_q W = \sum_n (\dim W_n)q^n$. Let $L(1, h)$ be the irreducible highest weight module for $L(1, 0)$ with highest weight $h \in \mathbb{C}$. Its q-dimension is $\dim_q L(1,h) = (q^{m^2/4} - q^{(m+2)^2/4})/\phi(q)$ if $h = m^2/4$ for some integer mand otherwise $\dim_q L(1,h) = q^h/\phi(q)$, where $\phi(q) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)$ (see [[DG\]](#page-12-0)). On the other hand, the weight of $e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma}$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2}\langle (m+\frac{a}{2n})\gamma, (m+\frac{a}{2n})\gamma \rangle = \frac{1}{4n}(2mn+a)^2.
$$

Then $\dim_q M(1) \otimes e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma} = q^{(2mn+a)^2/4n}/\phi(q)$. Comparing this with $\dim_q L(1,h)$, we know how $M(1)\otimes e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma}$ decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible modules $L(1,h)$'s.

As usual we consider the automorphism θ of order two induced from the automorphism $\beta \mapsto -\beta$ of the underlying lattice. Since θ fixes ω , it is in fact an automorphism for Vir(ω)-modules. In particular, $\theta(M(1) \otimes e^{k\gamma}) = M(1) \otimes e^{-k\gamma}$ is isomorphic to $M(1) \otimes e^{k\gamma}$ as Vir(ω)-modules. If U is a θ -invariant subspace, the eigenspace with eigenvalue ± 1 is denoted by U^{\pm} . Then $U = U^{+} \oplus U^{-}$.

Note that $(2mn + a)^2/4n = j^2/4$ for some integer j if and only if $n = k^2$ for some nonnegative integer k and $a = 0$, n. We divide the calculation into three cases.

Case 1: $n = k^2$ for some $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a = 0$. In this case the weight of $e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma} =$ $e^{m\gamma}$ is m^2k^2 and

$$
M(1) \otimes e^{m\gamma} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1, (mk+p)^2).
$$

As a special case, we have $M(1) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1, p^2)$ when $m = 0$. Moreover, $\theta(M(1) \otimes e^{m\gamma}) =$ $M(1) \otimes e^{-m\gamma}$ is isomorphic to $M(1) \otimes e^{m\gamma}$ as a $\text{Vir}(\omega)$ -module and

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma} = \left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty} M(1) \otimes e^{-m\gamma}\right) \oplus M(1) \oplus \left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty} M(1) \otimes e^{m\gamma}\right),
$$

and we have (see[[DG\]](#page-12-0))

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma}^{+} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1, 4p^{2})\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{p=0}^{k-1} mL(1, (mk+p)^{2})\right),\tag{5.1}
$$

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma}^{-} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1,(2p+1)^2)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{p=0}^{k-1} mL(1,(mk+p)^2)\right). \tag{5.2}
$$

Case 2: $n = k^2$ for some $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a = n$. The weight of $e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma} = e^{(m+1/2)\gamma}$ is $(2m+1)^2k^2/4$ and

$$
q^{(2m+1)^2k^2/4} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (q^{((2m+1)k+2p)^2/4} - q^{((2m+1)k+2p+2)^2/4})
$$

in the algebra $\mathbb{C}[[q^{1/4}]]$ of formal power series. Comparing the q-dimension, we have

$$
M(1) \otimes e^{(m+1/2)\gamma} \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1, \frac{1}{4}((2m+1)k+2p)^2).
$$

Since $\theta(M(1) \otimes e^{(m+1/2)\gamma}) = M(1) \otimes e^{(-m-1+1/2)\gamma}$ and

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+\gamma/2}=\left(\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty}M(1)\otimes e^{(m+1/2)\gamma}\right)\oplus\left(\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty}M(1)\otimes e^{(-m-1+1/2)\gamma}\right),
$$

it follows that

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+\gamma/2}^{+} \cong V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+\gamma/2}^{-} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} M(1) \otimes e^{(m+1/2)\gamma} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1, \frac{1}{4}((2m+1)k+2p)^{2}).
$$
\n(5.3)

Case 3: $(2mn + a)^2/n \neq j^2$ for any integer j. In this case

$$
M(1) \otimes e^{(m+a/2n)\gamma} \cong L(1, \frac{1}{4n}(2mn+a)^2)
$$

is an irreducible Vir(ω)-module. Now $V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+a\xi}$ is invariant under θ if and only if $a=0$ or *n*. If $a = 0$, then $M(1) \otimes e^{m\gamma} \cong L(1, m^2n)$ for $m \neq 0$ and

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma}^{+} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1, 4p^2)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} L(1, m^2n)\right),\tag{5.4}
$$

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma}^{-} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} L(1,(2p+1)^2)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} L(1,m^2n)\right)
$$
(5.5)

(see [DG, Lemma 2.10]). If $a = n$, then we have

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+\gamma/2}^{+} \cong V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+\gamma/2}^{-} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} L(1, \frac{1}{16}n(4m+1)^2)
$$
 (5.6)

as Vir(ω)-modules. Finally, if $a \neq 0, n$, then

$$
V_{\mathbb{Z}\gamma+a\xi} \cong \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} L(1, \frac{1}{4n}(2mn+a)^2). \tag{5.7}
$$

References

- [D] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, J. Algebra 161 (1993), 245-265.
- [DG] C. Dong and R. L. Griess, Jr., Rank one lattice type vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, J. Algebra 208 (1998), 262-275.
- [DLMN] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason and S. P. Norton, Associative subalgebras of the Griess algebra and related topics, in: Proc. of the Conference on the Monster and Lie algebras at The Ohio State University, May 1996, ed. by J. Ferrar and K. Harada, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1998, 27-42.
- [DLY] C. Dong, C. Lam and H. Yamada, Decomposition of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}A_3}$, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason and Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the Moonshine module, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc. 56 II (1994), 295-316.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, Duke Math. J. 66 (1992), 123-168.
- [KMY] M. Kitazume, M. Miyamoto and H. Yamada, Ternary codes and vertex operator algebras, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [KR] V. Kac and A. Raina, Bombay Lectures on Highest Weight Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, World Scientific, 1987.
- [M] M. Miyamoto, Griess algebras and conformal vectors in vertex operator algebras, J. Algebra 179 (1996), 523-548.