

A RESIDUE FORMULA FOR $SU(2)$ -VALUED MOMENT MAPS

OLGA PLAMENEVSKAYA

ABSTRACT. In [4] Jeffrey and Kirwan suggested expressions for intersection pairings on the reduced space $M_0 = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ of a Hamiltonian G -space M in terms of multiple residues. In this paper we prove a residue formula for symplectic volumes of reduced spaces of a quasi-Hamiltonian $SU(2)$ -space. The definition of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces was recently introduced in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian action of a compact Lie group G and μ be the corresponding moment map. Jeffrey and Kirwan [4] gave expressions for general intersection pairings on the homotopy quotient $M_0 = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ in terms of multiple residues of certain integrals over connected components of the fixed point set M^T of the maximal torus $T \subset G$.

In [1] and [2], Alekseev, Malkin, Meinrenken and Woodward develop a theory of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces with the moment map taking values in a Lie group G . For such spaces, they introduce analogs of "classical" Hamiltonian reduction, Liouville volumes, Duistermaat-Heckmann measure, localization formulas, etc. The goal of this note is to obtain a quasi-Hamiltonian residue localization formula for the special case $G = SU(2)$.

We first introduce some notation. Let $T = S^1 \subset SU(2)$ be a choice of a Cartan circle of $G = SU(2)$, $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding Lie algebras. Fix a positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{t}_+ \subset \mathfrak{t}$. Let α be the unique positive root of \mathfrak{t} , and $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ the fundamental weight. We identify \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* via a scalar product on \mathfrak{g} such that $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$, and write $\alpha = 2\rho$. Note that this choice of the inner product implies that $\text{Vol} T = \sqrt{2}$, $\text{Vol} G = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}$. We parameterize the alcove $\mathfrak{A} \cong [0, 1]$, $t \in [0, 1]$ corresponding to $t\rho \in \mathfrak{t}$.

We shall briefly describe the results of [1] and [2]. As we are concerned with the case $G = SU(2)$, we give below the formulas applied to $SU(2)$ and do not state the results for the general case of a compact connected group G . Let $\theta = g^{-1}dg$ and $\bar{\theta} = dgg^{-1}$ denote the left- and right-invariant Maurer-Cartan forms on $G = SU(2)$, and let χ be the canonical closed bi-invariant 3-form on G ,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{12}(\theta, [\theta, \theta]) = \frac{1}{12}(\bar{\theta}, [\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]).$$

Definition. [1] A quasi-Hamiltonian G -space is a G -manifold with a invariant 2-form $\omega \in \Omega(M)^G$ and an equivariant map $\Phi \in C^\infty(M, G)^G$ (the "moment map"), such that:

- (i) The differential of ω is given by $d\omega = -\Phi^*\chi$.

(ii) The moment map satisfies

$$\iota(v_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^*(\theta + \bar{\theta}, \xi).$$

(iii) At each $x \in M$, the kernel of ω_x is given by

$$\ker \omega_x = \{v_\xi, \xi \in \ker(\text{Ad}_{\Phi(x)} + 1)\}.$$

Similarly to Meyer-Marsden-Weinstein reduction, the q-Hamiltonian reduced phase spaces are defined in the following way. Let $g \in M$ be a regular value of the moment map Φ , then the pre-image $\Phi^{-1}(g)$ is a smooth submanifold on which the action of the centralizer G_g is locally free. The reduced space $M_g = \Phi^{-1}(g)/G_g$ is a symplectic orbifold.

As in the Hamiltonian case, there is the volume form Γ on M , called the Liouville form. For $G = \text{SU}(2)$ it is defined as follows. By equivariance of the moment map it suffices to define Γ for points $x \in M$ such that $\Phi(x) \in T$. If $\Phi(x) = \exp(t\rho)$, and $t \neq \pm 1/2$, then

$$\Gamma_x = \frac{1}{\cos \pi t}(\exp \omega)_{[top]}.$$

The denominator $\cos \pi t$ cancels the zeroes of $\exp \omega$ arising because of condition (iii), so Γ extends smoothly to all points of $\Phi^{-1}(T)$.

The Duistermaat-Heckman measure ϱ on G is now defined as the push-forward of the Liouville form under the moment map,

$$\varrho = \Phi_*\Gamma.$$

We shall consider the DH function, i.e., the density of this measure with respect to the Haar measure, and use the same notation for it, writing

$$\varrho = \varrho(g) \, d \text{Vol}_G.$$

As in the Hamiltonian setting, the Duistermaat-Heckmann measure is related to volumes of the reduced spaces. Let $g \in G$ be a regular value of Φ , and M_g the corresponding reduced space. Then ϱ is smooth at g . Let k be the cardinality of a generic stabilizer for G_g -action on $\Phi^{-1}(g)$. Then for the reduced space at $g = \exp(t\rho)$ with $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$(1) \quad \text{Vol}(M_g) = k \frac{2 \sin \pi t}{\sqrt{2}} \varrho(g),$$

and for central elements $g = \pm e$

$$(2) \quad \text{Vol}(M_g) = k \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \varrho(g).$$

In the case of Hamiltonian torus actions, the localization formula by Berline-Vergne [3] gives for the Fourier-Laplace transform of the DH function in terms of integrals over fixed point manifolds of the torus subgroup generated by ξ ,

$$\int_M e^{i\pi\langle \Phi, \xi \rangle} \exp \omega = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, \xi)} e^{i\pi\langle \Phi, \xi \rangle},$$

where $\text{Eul}(\nu_F, \xi)$ is the equivariant Euler class. The q-Hamiltonian counterpart of this formula, valid for arbitrary compact connected Lie group G , deals with the

Fourier coefficients of the Duistermaat-Heckmann function, which localize on the fixed point manifolds of certain circle subgroups of G .

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of connected components $F \subset M$ of the fixed point set of the Cartan circle $T \subset \text{SU}(2)$. Each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is a symplectic manifold with the pull-back ω_F of ω as a 2-form. By equivariance, the restriction $\Phi|_F$ is constant and sends F to a point of T . Writing $\Phi_F = \exp(\mu_F \rho)$, we introduce a real function μ with values in $(-1, 1]$. Let $\Phi_F^{n\rho}$ stand for $e^{\pi i n \mu_F}$. We denote by \mathcal{F}_+ the set of components $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}$, that is, $\mu_F \geq 0$. Orientations of M and F induce the orientation on the normal bundle ν_F , and the T -equivariant Euler class $\text{Eul}(\nu_F, \cdot)$ is defined.

Recall that irreducible representations of $\text{SU}(2)$ are labelled by their highest weights $n\rho$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The dimension of the representation V_n with the highest weight $n\rho$ is $\dim V_n = n + 1$. Let χ_n denote the character of V_n .

Theorem 1 (q-Hamiltonian localization formula, [2]). *The Fourier coefficients of the DH function are given by*

$$(3) \quad \langle \varrho, \chi_n \rangle = \dim V_n \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i(n+1)\rho)} \Phi_F^{(n+1)\rho}.$$

The DH function is then reconstructed as $\varrho(g) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}G} \sum_n \langle \varrho, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n(g^{-1})$.

2. THE RESIDUE FORMULA

The purpose of this note is to obtain a quasi-Hamiltonian residue formula for the Liouville volumes of the reduced phase spaces for $\text{SU}(2)$ -actions. Because of (1) and (2), this is the same as to get a formula for the DH function $\varrho(g)$. Since $\varrho(g)$ is a function of conjugacy classes, it suffices to evaluate it at the elements of the Cartan circle, $g = \exp(t\rho)$.

Theorem 2. *The function $\varrho(t)$ is a sum of contributions $\varrho_F(t)$ of the components $F \in \mathcal{F}_+$,*

$$\varrho(\exp(t\rho)) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_+} \varrho_F(\exp(t\rho)),$$

where $\varrho_F(\exp(t\rho))$ corresponding to $\mu_F \in (0, 1)$ are given by

$$(4) \quad \varrho_F(\exp(t\rho)) = -\frac{4\pi^2 i}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \pi t \text{Res}_0 \frac{z e^{\pi i z \mu_F} \sin(\pi t z)}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i z \rho)}$$

for $0 < t < \mu_F$, and

$$(5) \quad \varrho_F(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{4\pi^2 i}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \pi t \text{Res}_0 \frac{z e^{\pi i z (\mu_F + 1)} \sin(z\pi(1-t))}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i z \rho)}$$

for $\mu_F < t < 1$.

If $\mu_F = 0$ or $\mu_F = 1$, the formulas (4) and (5) are valid if we add the factor $1/2$.

If $t = 0$ or $t = 1$ is a regular value of $\varrho(\exp(t\rho))$ (i.e., e or $-e$ is a regular value of the moment map), then

$$(6) \quad \varrho_F(e) = -\frac{4\pi^2 i}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Res}_0 \frac{z^2 e^{\pi i z \mu_F}}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i z \rho)},$$

$$(7) \quad \varrho_F(-e) = \frac{4\pi^2 i}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Res}_0 \frac{z^2 e^{i z (\mu_F + \pi)}}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i z \rho)}.$$

Note that in the case $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ the Jeffrey–Kirwan formula for the Liouville volume of $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$ (i.e., the value of the standard DH function at zero) gives

$$\varrho(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Res}_0 z^2 \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_+} e^{iz\mu_T(F)} \int_F \frac{e^{i\omega_F}}{\mathrm{Eul}(\nu_F, z)},$$

\mathcal{F}_+ denoting the set of the components F for which $\mu_T(F) > 0$. Even in the case of Hamiltonian G -spaces our formulas look different as we work with discrete Fourier series instead of continuous Fourier transform used in [4].

In the proof of the Theorem, we shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $f(z)$ be a rational function with the only pole at zero, such that $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $0 < \gamma < 2\pi$*

$$(8) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0} e^{im\gamma} f(m) = -2\pi i \mathrm{Res}_0 \frac{f(z)e^{i\gamma z}}{e^{2\pi iz} - 1},$$

and for $-2\pi < \gamma < 0$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0} e^{im\gamma} f(m) = -2\pi i \mathrm{Res}_0 \frac{f(z)e^{i\gamma z}}{1 - e^{-2\pi iz}}.$$

Proof. The proof of the Lemma is a straightforward calculation, which is given in the Appendix. \square

Note that this Lemma resembles to a one-dimensional case of results by Szenes [6] and Jeffrey–Kirwan[5].

Proof of the Theorem 2. We shall make use of Theorem 1 and sum up the Fourier series. Introduce the functions $\tilde{\varrho}_F(\exp(t\rho))$ with the Fourier coefficients

$$(10) \quad \langle \tilde{\varrho}_F, \chi_n \rangle = \dim V_n \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\mathrm{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i(n+1)\rho)} \Phi_F^{(n+1)\rho},$$

so that by (3)

$$\varrho(t) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\varrho}_F(t).$$

Recall that all the characters of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ are real and have the property $\chi_n(g) = \chi_n(g^{-1})$, so we can just write $\varrho(g) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \langle \varrho, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n(g)$. Further, the character χ_n is given at $g = \exp(t\rho)$ by the Weyl character formula,

$$\chi_n(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{\sin(\pi(n+1)t)}{\sin \pi t}.$$

Substituting this into (10) and putting together the Fourier series, we get

$$\tilde{\varrho}_F(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{n+1}{2i \sin \pi t} (e^{\pi i(n+1)t} - e^{-\pi i(n+1)t}) \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\mathrm{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi i(n+1)\rho)} \Phi_F^{(n+1)\rho}.$$

We now gather the components $F \in \mathcal{F}$ of the fixed point set into pairs $F, F' = F^w$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}_+$ by means of the Weyl element $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$\mu_F \neq 0, 1$ we let $\varrho_F = \tilde{\varrho}_F + \tilde{\varrho}_{F'}$. If $\mu_F = 0$ or 1 , then $F = F'$, and we denote $\varrho_F = \tilde{\varrho}_F = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\varrho}_F + \tilde{\varrho}_{F'})$. Then

$$\varrho(\exp(t\rho)) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_+} \varrho_F(\exp(t\rho)).$$

Use the change of variables $y = x^w$ to relate the functions $\tilde{\varrho}_F$ and $\tilde{\varrho}_{F'}$. For $h \in S^1$ we have $hw = wh^{-1}$, so the action of $2\pi in\rho$ at $\nu_{F'}$ corresponds to the action of $-2\pi in\rho$ at ν_F . The form ω is invariant, then

$$\int_{F'} \frac{\exp(\omega_{F'})}{\text{Eul}(\nu_{F'}, 2\pi in\rho)} = \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, -2\pi in\rho)}.$$

By the equivariance of the moment map, $\Phi_{F'} = \Phi_F^{-1}$.

Now pick together the terms with $e^{\pi i(n+1)t}$ from $\tilde{\varrho}_F$ and those with $e^{-\pi i(n+1)t}$ from $\tilde{\varrho}_{F'}$, and vice versa. Substituting $m = n + 1$ and $\Phi_F^{n\rho} = e^{\pi in\mu_F}$, we get

(11)

$$\varrho_F(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2i \sin \pi t} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0} m (e^{\pi im(t+\mu_F)} - e^{-\pi im(t-\mu_F)}) \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi im\rho)}.$$

(Here we assume that $\Phi_F \neq \pm e$; otherwise a factor $1/2$ must be added).

We assume that the moment map has regular points. It follows that the codimension of F in M must be at least 4. Then the rational function $1/\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi iz\rho)$ is of order of at least $1/z^2$. It means that (11) consists of two series to which the Lemma applies.

If $t < \mu_F$, both series sum up by means of (8), and we get

$$\varrho_F(t) = -\frac{4\pi^2 i}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \pi t \text{Res}_0 \frac{ze^{\pi iz\mu_F} \sin(\pi tz)}{e^{2\pi iz} - 1} \int_F \frac{\exp(\omega_F)}{\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi iz\rho)},$$

which is exactly (4). If $t > \mu_F$, we use both (8) and (9), and obtain the formula (5). To get the formulas for ϱ_F with $\Phi_F = \pm e$ we must just add a factor $1/2$ into (4) and (5).

If e or $-e$ are the regular values of the moment map, we can consider the limits $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow 1$ to obtain the formulas (6) and (7), respectively. \square

Example 1. We apply the obtained residue formulas to the following example of a q-Hamiltonian SU(2)-space from [2]. The space is constructed as follows. Take \mathbb{C}^2 equipped with its natural symplectic form and defining SU(2)-action, and let $\Phi_0 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be its classical moment map. Let $Y_1 = Y_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be the open ball given as the pre-image $\Phi_0^{-1}(G \cdot [0, \rho))$, and $\Phi_{1,0} = \Phi_{2,0}$ the restrictions of Φ_0 . Then

$$Y_3 = \Phi_{1,0}^{-1}((0, \rho))$$

as a Hamiltonian U(1)-space is equivariantly symplectomorphic to

$$Y_3' = \Phi_{2,0}^{-1}((-\rho, 0))$$

via the isomorphism $(z, \xi) \rightarrow (z, \xi - \rho)$. We now glue the spaces Y_1 and Y_2 together along their boundaries by means of the embeddings

$$Y_1 \leftarrow \text{SU}(2) \times_{U(1)} Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2,$$

and obtain a sphere S^4 with SU(2) acting by rotations.

The action has two fixed points, one with $\Phi = e$, the other with $\Phi = -e$. The Euler classes are given by $\text{Eul}(\nu_F, \xi) = \mp \langle \rho, \xi \rangle^2$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, so $\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi iz\rho) = \pm \pi^2 z^2$.

Denoting by ϱ_0, ϱ_1 the contributions of the fixed points, we write

$$\varrho((\exp(t\rho))) = \varrho_0((\exp(t\rho))) + \varrho_1((\exp(t\rho))).$$

Using the formula (4) (with factor 1/2), we get

$$\varrho_1((\exp(t\rho))) = \frac{2\pi^2 i}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \pi t \text{Res}_0 \frac{ze^{\pi izt} \sin(\pi tz)}{e^{2\pi iz} - 1} \frac{1}{\text{Eul}(\nu_1, 2\pi z\rho)}.$$

Computing the residue, we get

$$\varrho_1((\exp(t\rho))) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{2} \sin \pi t}.$$

In the same way

$$\varrho_0((\exp(t\rho))) = \frac{1-t}{\sqrt{2} \sin \pi t},$$

hence

$$\varrho((\exp(t\rho))) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sin \pi t}.$$

Now use (1), and get $\text{Vol } M_g = 1$. This is obviously the correct answer, because the reduced spaces are just points.

Example 2. Let us now apply our residue formula to an $\text{SU}(2)$ -space $\text{SU}(2)^{2n}$ obtained by means of a fusion product introduced in [1].

Given a q -Hamiltonian $G \times G$ -space M with 2-form moment map $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$, we can consider M as a G -space with a diagonal G -action. Then M with moment map $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi_1 \Phi_2$ and 2-form $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_1^* \theta, \Phi_2^* \bar{\theta})$ is a q -Hamiltonian G -space. Taking two q -Hamiltonian G -spaces M_1, M_2 , we apply the above procedure to $G \times G$ -space $M_1 \times M_2$, and obtain the fusion product $M_1 \otimes M_2$.

Now, following [1], consider a double $D(\text{SU}(2))$, that is, the $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ -space $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ defined as follows. The group action is given by

$$(a, b)^{(g_1, g_2)} = (g_1 a g_2^{-1}, g_2 b g_1^{-1}),$$

the moment map is $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$, where

$$\Phi_1(a, b) = ab, \quad \Phi_2(a, b) = a^{-1} b^{-1},$$

and the 2-form is

$$\omega_D = \frac{1}{2}(a^* \theta, b^* \bar{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2}(a^* \bar{\theta}, b^* \theta).$$

We can get a G -space $\mathbf{D}(\text{SU}(2))$, applying fusion to $D(\text{SU}(2))$. The group $\text{SU}(2)$ acts by conjugations on each factor of $\mathbf{D}(\text{SU}(2)) = \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$, and the moment map is $\Phi(a, b) = aba^{-1}b^{-1} = [a, b]$.

We shall consider the q -Hamiltonian $\text{SU}(2)$ -space $\text{SU}(2)^{2n}$ obtained as a fusion product of n copies of $\mathbf{D}(\text{SU}(2))$. The fixed point set of the T -action has only one component F , which is a product of $2n$ copies of $T \subset \text{SU}(2)$. The moment map Φ sends this set to e , so we must insert the factor 1/2 into the formula (5). To compute the Euler class $\text{Eul}(\nu_F, \cdot)$, note that the normal bundle is trivial and can be identified with $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})^{2n}$, and $\text{Eul}(\nu_F, 2\pi z\rho) = z^{2n}(\langle 2\rho, 2\pi i\rho \rangle \langle -2\rho, 2\pi i\rho \rangle)^n = (2z)^{2n} \pi^{2n}$.

For the double $D(\text{SU}(2))$, the form $\exp(\tilde{\omega}_{T \times T})$ coincides with the Riemannian volume form induced by our choice of the inner product on \mathfrak{g} . As the restriction of

the moment map to the torus in the fusion product is trivial, at points of the torus this form remains intact under fusion. Then the form ω_F on $F = T^{2n}$ is just the Riemannian volume form, and we have

$$\int \exp(\omega_F) = (\text{Vol } T)^{2n} = 2^n.$$

Substituting everything into (5), we get

$$\varrho(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{i}{2^n \pi^{2n-1} \sin \pi t} \text{Res}_0 \frac{e^{\pi iz} \sin(z\pi(1-t))}{z^{2n-1}(e^{2\pi iz} - 1)}.$$

This can be re-written as

$$\varrho(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2^n \pi^{2n-2} (2n-2)! \sin \pi t} \frac{\partial^{2n-2}}{\partial z^{2n-2}} \left(\frac{e^{\pi iz} \sin(z\pi(1-t))}{e^{2\pi iz} - 1} \right) \Big|_{z=0}.$$

If $n = 1$, for the space $\mathbf{D}(\text{SU}(2))$ we get

$$\varrho(\exp(t\rho)) = \frac{1-t}{2\sqrt{2} \sin \pi t}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$.

To find the volumes of the reduced spaces, note that the generic stabilizer is just the center of $\text{SU}(2)$, which consists of two points. Using (1), we have

$$\text{Vol}(M_g) = 1 - t.$$

This coincides with the answer given by Witten's formula [7] and [5] for the volumes of moduli spaces.

APPENDIX A.

We now give the proof of the Lemma.

Proof. We have $f = a_1/z + a_2/z^2 + \dots + a_n/z^n$, so it suffices to prove (8) for $f = 1/z^k$, $k \leq 1$. Consider a function

$$h(z) = \frac{f(z)e^{i\gamma z}}{e^{2\pi iz} - 1}.$$

Its poles are the real integer numbers, and $\text{Res}_{z=m} h(z) = f(m)/2\pi i$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \neq 0$. Integrate $h(z)$ over the contour

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m = & \{-m - 1/2 \leq x \leq m + 1/2, y = m\} \cup \\ & \{x = m + 1/2, m \leq y \leq m\} \cup \\ & \{-m - 1/2 \leq x \leq m + 1/2, y = -m\} \cup \\ & \{x = -m - 1/2, -m \leq y \leq m\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy theorem

$$2\pi i \sum_{-l \leq m \leq l} \text{Res}_{z=m} h(z) = \int_{\Gamma_l} h(z) dz,$$

and we have only to prove that $\int_{\Gamma_l} h(z) dz \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow 0$.

While $z = (x, y) \in \Gamma_{1,m} = \{-m - 1/2 \leq x \leq m + 1/2, y = m\}$, $|e^{i\gamma z}/(e^{2\pi iz} - 1)| = |e^{i\gamma(x+im)}/(e^{2\pi i(x+im)} - 1)| \leq e^{\gamma m}/(e^{2\pi m} - 1)$. Then

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{1,m}} h(z) dz \right| \leq (2m + 1)e^{\gamma m}/m(e^{2\pi m} - 1),$$

which tends to 0 since $\gamma < 2\pi$.

For $\Gamma_{2,m} = \{-m - 1/2 \leq x \leq m + 1/2, y = -m\}$ we get

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{2,m}} h(z) dz \right| \leq (2m + 1)e^{-\gamma m}/m(e^{-2\pi m} - 1),$$

which tends to 0 because $\gamma > 0$.

Now cut $\Gamma_{3,m} = \{x = m + 1/2, -m \leq y \leq m\}$ into

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{3,m} &= \Gamma'_{3,m} \cup \Gamma''_{3,m} = \\ &= \{x = m + 1/2, -\sqrt{m} \leq y \leq \sqrt{m}\} \cup \{x = m + 1/2, y \geq \sqrt{m}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $z = (x, y) \in \Gamma_{3m}$, then $h(z) = e^{-\gamma y}/(z(e^{-2\pi y} + 1))$. It follows that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma'_{3m}} h(z) dz \right| \leq 2\sqrt{m}/m.$$

If $z \in \Gamma''_{3m}$, we refine the estimate. We have $e^{-\gamma y}/(e^{-2\pi y} + 1) \leq e^{-\gamma\sqrt{m}}$ for $y \geq \sqrt{m}$, and $e^{-\gamma y}/(e^{-2\pi y} + 1) \leq e^{-(2\pi-\gamma)\sqrt{m}}$ for $y \leq \sqrt{m}$. Therefore

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma''_{3m}} h(z) dz \right| \leq e^{-\gamma\sqrt{m}} + e^{-(2\pi-\gamma)\sqrt{m}}.$$

It follows that $\int_{\Gamma_{3,m}} h(z) dz \rightarrow 0$.

The integral over $\Gamma_{4m} = \{x = -m - 1/2, -m \leq y \leq m\}$ is treated in the same way. \square

I thank A. Alekseev for his helpful suggestions and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin, E. Meinrenken, *Lie Group Valued Moment Maps*, J. Differential Geom. **48** (1998), no. 3, 445–495.
- [2] A. Alekseev, E. Meinrenken, C. Woodward, *Group Valued Equivariant Localization*, math.DG/9905130.
- [3] N. Berline, M. Vergne, *Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formules de localisation en cohomologie équivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **295** (1982) 539–541.
- [4] L. Jeffrey, F. Kirwan, *Localization for Nonabelian Group Actions*, *Topology* **34** (1995) 291–327.
- [5] L. Jeffrey, F. Kirwan, *Intersection pairings in moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles on a Riemann surface*, Elec. Res. Announcements AMS **1** (1995) 57–71.
- [6] A. Szenes, *Iterated Residues and Multiple Bernoulli Polynomials*, hep-th/9707114.
- [7] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gauge theories revisited*, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1992), 303–368.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ST.PETERSBURG UNIVERSITY, ST.PETERSBURG, RUSSIA
E-mail address: Olga.Plamenevskaja@pobox.spbu.ru