

Amenability of Hopf C^* -algebras

Chi-Keung Ng

November 12, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we will define and study amenability of Hopf C^* -algebras as well as that of Fourier duality.

1991 AMS Mathematics Classification number: 46L55, 46L05

0. Introduction

Amenability is an interesting and well studied subject in the theory of locally compact groups. There are a lot of nice properties associated with it. Since Hopf C^* -algebras are generalisations of locally compact groups, it is natural to ask whether we can define amenability for Hopf C^* -algebras and generalise some results in this situation. There are already some generalisations of amenability to locally compact semi-groups (see e.g. [17] or [14]), Banach algebras (see e.g. [15]) as well as operator algebras (see e.g. [16]). Even though these are interesting and well developed subjects by themselves, they do not seem to be appropriate approaches for general Hopf C^* -algebras.

The aims of this paper are to find good definitions for amenability of Hopf C^* -algebras and study their properties. Our approach is based on the properties of (generalised) Fourier algebras and part of our consideration is on the same line as that of Kac algebras as in [5].

It is well known that a locally compact group G is amenable if and only if $C_r^*(G) = C^*(G)$ which is the case if and only if the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ separates points of $C^*(G)$ (see also [8, 4.1]). It is also well known that G is amenable if and only if $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity (see [8,4.1]). Moreover, it was proved in [9, Theorem 1] that the amenability of G is equivalent to the fact that $B(G) = M(A(G))$ (where $B(G)$ is the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra and $M(A(G))$ is the space $M(A(G), A(G))$ defined in Definition 1.11).

Note that the above characterisations all centre around the Fourier algebra $A(G)$. In [12, §5], we defined the Fourier algebra A_S of a general Hopf C^* -algebra S . We showed that it is a nice generalisation of $A(G)$ and in most of the interesting cases, it is easy to obtain (see [12, 5.9]). It also helps us to formulate and prove a uniqueness type theorem of Fourier duality.

Therefore, it is natural to define coamenability of S in one of the following ways:

- (a) A_S has a bounded approximate identity;
- (b) A_S separates points of S and S is counital;
- (c) $S^* \cong M(A_S)$.

Note that if $S = C_0(G)$ (which should be regarded as an coamenable Hopf C^* -algebra), these three conditions are satisfied automatically. We particularly consider these conditions since they can be easily and directly translated into the case of Hopf C^* -algebras without considering the dual Hopf C^* -algebras.

It is natural to ask whether these conditions are the same or at least related to one another. We will give an answer to this question in section 2. Moreover, we will also study some properties and consequences of them.

Another natural question is what may happen if we consider amenability in the duality framework. More precisely, if (T, X, S) is a Fourier duality and T is coamenable in any one of the above senses, what property S should have. Because of the uniqueness of Kac-Fourier duality, it is believed that there should be a way to characterise coamenability of T in terms of property of S . It turns out to be the amenability of S (as defined in Definition 3.1(b)).

Note that in the case of a locally compact group G , we can characterise the amenability of G by the existence of an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$. We do not know whether in the Hopf C^* -algebra case such a definition will be equivalent to the previous ones. Nevertheless, we obtain some relations concerning invariant means in Propositions 3.6 and 3.12.

Now, we give an outline of the paper.

In section 1, we will give some basic definitions and simple results on Hopf C^* -algebras and their dualities. We will also recall some basic notions and facts on Banach algebras which are needed in the following sections.

In section 2, we will give three definitions of coamenability of Hopf C^* -algebras according to the idea above. We will show that the first definition is the strongest amongst the three and the second one is stronger than the third one in the 2-sided case. We will also give some interesting implications of the above coamenability conditions.

In section 3, we will study amenability in duality framework (i.e. the Fourier duality). We will define and study amenable covariant representations as well as amenable Hopf C^* -algebras. In particular, we will give a list of equivalent conditions for the amenability of covariant representations as in [5, 2.4]. We will also study nuclearity of crossed products as in [11]. As a consequence, if S is amenable, then the dual object \hat{S}_p is a nuclear C^* -algebra. We will apply these results to Kac-Fourier dualities and show that the three coamenabilities in section 2 are essentially the same in this case and coincide with the amenability of the (reduced) dual Hopf C^* -algebra.

1. Preliminary results

We begin by recalling the definition of Hopf C^* -algebras. Note that all Hopf C^* -algebras considered in this paper are “saturated” in the following sense.

Definition 1.1: Let A and S be C^* -algebras.

(a) S is said to be a *Hopf C^* -algebra* if there is a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism δ_S from S to $M(S \otimes S)$ such that:

- i. $(\delta_S \otimes \text{id})\delta_S = (\text{id} \otimes \delta_S)\delta_S$;
- ii. $\delta_S(1 \otimes S), \delta_S(S \otimes 1) \subseteq S \otimes S$.

Moreover, S is said to be *saturated* if the linear spans of the sets $\{\delta_S(s)(1 \otimes t) : s, t \in S\}$ and $\{\delta_S(s)(t \otimes 1) : s, t \in S\}$ are dense in $S \otimes S$.

(b) A non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism ϵ from A to $M(A \otimes S)$ is said to be a *coaction* if $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})\epsilon = (\text{id} \otimes \delta_S)\epsilon$ and $N = \{\epsilon(a)(1 \otimes s) : a \in A; s \in S\} \subseteq A \otimes S$. Moreover, ϵ is said to be *non-degenerate* (as a coaction) if the linear span of N is dense in $A \otimes S$.

(c) $v \in M(A \otimes S)$ is said to be a *unitary corepresentation of S* if $(\text{id} \otimes \delta_S)(v) = v_{12}v_{13}$.

Throughout this paper, S is a saturated Hopf C^* -algebra with coaction δ_S . Note that any Hopf C^* -algebra defined by regular or manageable multiplicative unitary is saturated. We first observe the following consequence of this extra condition. This fact will be used several times in this paper.

Remark 1.2: Let N be a S -invariant subspace of S^* . Fix $f \in N$ and $t \in S$ such that $f(t) = 1$. Then for any given $s \in S$, there exist $r_i, s_i \in S$ such that $\sum_i \delta_S(s_i)(1 \otimes r_i)$ converges to $s \otimes t$. Hence $\sum_i (\text{id} \otimes r_i \cdot f)\delta_S(s_i)$ converges to s and the subspace $N \cdot S$ generated by elements of the form $(\text{id} \otimes g)\delta_S(r)$ ($g \in N; r \in S$) is dense in S . Similarly, $S \cdot N$ is dense in S .

Lemma 1.3: Any left (or right) coidentity of S is a two-sided coidentity. Moreover, any coidentity of S is positive.

Proof: The first statement follows from the fact that any $h \in S^*$ such that $hS^* = (0)$ is zero (by Remark 1.2). For the second statement, let $u \in S^*$ be a coidentity of S . Take any $f \in S_+^*$ and any $a \in S_+$, let $b \in S$ be such that $a = b^*b$. By a similar argument as in Remark 1.2, a can be approximated by elements of the form $\sum_{ij} (\text{id} \otimes r_j \cdot f \cdot r_i^*)\delta_S(c_i^*c_j)$. Therefore, $u(a)$ can be approximated by $\sum_{ij} (u \otimes r_j \cdot f \cdot r_i^*)\delta_S(c_i^*c_j) = f((\sum_i c_i r_i)^*(\sum_j c_j r_j)) \geq 0$.

Note that S^* is a Banach algebra with multiplication defined by $fg = (f \otimes g)\delta_S$ ($f, g \in S^*$). The argument of the above lemma shows that if N is any S -invariant subspace of S^* and $u \in S^*$ such that $uf = f$ for all $f \in N$, then $u \geq 0$ (note that N is the vector subspace generated by SN_+).

Lemma 1.4: Let A be a S -invariant subalgebra of S^* . Any bounded left (or right) $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity of A is a bounded 2-sided $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity of A .

Proof: Suppose that $\{f_i\}$ is a bounded left $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity of A . Since any $s \in S$ can be approximated by sums of elements of the form $(\text{id} \otimes g)\delta_S(r)$ ($g \in A$ and

$r \in S$) (by Remark 1.2) and $\{hf_i\}$ is bounded for a fixed $h \in A$, it is easy to see that $\{hf_i\}$ $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -converges to h .

Now we will recall from [12] some basic materials about Fourier dualities of Hopf C^* -algebras. We denote by (\hat{S}_p, V_S) the *strong dual object* of S (i.e. the universal object corresponds to the unitary corepresentations of S ; see [12, 2.2] for a concrete definition). In this case, (\hat{S}_p, V_S, S) is called an *intrinsic dual pair*. We also recall from [12, 2.7] the notion of *dualizable* Hopf C^* -algebra which basically means that \hat{S}_p is a Hopf C^* -algebra (see [12, 2.6]). Furthermore, S is said to be *symmetrically dualizable* if the intrinsic dual pair is a Fourier duality in the following sense. (Note that if S comes from a regular or manageable multiplicative unitary or their tensor product, then S is symmetrically dualizable.)

Definition 1.5: Let S and T be two Hopf C^* -algebras and $X \in M(T \otimes S)$.

(a) (T, X, S) is said to be a *Fourier duality* if

- i. X and $X^\sigma \in M(S \otimes T)$ (where σ is the flip of the two variables) are unitary corepresentations of S and T respectively;
- ii. $\{(\text{id} \otimes f)(X) : f \in S^*\} \cap T$ and $\{(g \otimes \text{id})(X) : g \in T^*\} \cap S$ are dense in T and S respectively.

Moreover, any $X \in M(T \otimes S)$ satisfying the first condition is called a *unitary T - S -birepresentation*.

(b) Let μ and ν be representations of S and T respectively on H . Then (μ, ν) is said to be a *X -covariant representation* if $(\text{id} \otimes \mu)(X)_{12}X_{13}(\nu \otimes \text{id})(X)_{23} = (\nu \otimes \text{id})(X)_{23}(\text{id} \otimes \mu)(X)_{12}$.

(c) A Fourier duality (T, X, S) is said to be a *Kac-Fourier duality* if there exists a X -covariant representation (μ, ν) as well as a X^σ -covariant representation (ρ, λ) such that both μ and ν are injective.

In fact, the X^σ -covariant representation (ρ, λ) in part (c) is also injective (by Theorem 1.8 below).

Note that we can define V_S -covariant representation in a similar way as above even in the case when S is not symmetrically dualizable.

Now, if (μ, ν) is a X -covariant representation, then by [12, 4.3], $V = (\nu \otimes \mu)(X)$ is a multiplicative unitary, i.e. $V_{12}V_{13}V_{23} = V_{23}V_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes H \otimes H)$ (which need not be semi-regular nor manageable) and $\mu(S)$ and $\nu(T)$ are Hopf C^* -algebras (which need not be defined by V in the usual sense as in [2]). Conversely, any good (in particular, regular or manageable) multiplicative unitary defines a Fourier duality (which has a canonical covariant representation) in the obvious way.

Moreover, a Kac-Fourier duality is exactly a duality (T, X, S) defined (in the usual sense) by two multiplicative unitaries V and W such that $S_V \cong S \cong \hat{S}_W$. Hence Kac-Fourier duality is much more general than Kac system. For the other properties of Fourier duality, we refer the readers to [12].

From now on, (T, X, S) is a Fourier duality. Let $S^\#$ be the set $\{f \in S^* : (\text{id} \otimes f)(X) \in T\}$ and $T^\# = \{g \in T^* : (g \otimes \text{id})(X) \in S\}$. Moreover, we denote by j_S and j_T the canonical maps from $T^\#$ and $S^\#$ to S and T respectively.

Lemma 1.6: For a Fourier duality (T, X, S) , any coidentity u of S is a Hopf $*$ -homomorphism and $(\text{id} \otimes u)(X) = 1$.

Proof: Since u is a coidentity, $(u \otimes \text{id})\delta_S = \text{id}$. Hence $X = (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes u)(\text{id} \otimes \delta_S)(X) = X((\text{id} \otimes u)(X) \otimes 1)$ and so $(\text{id} \otimes u)(X) = 1$. Moreover, for any $f, g \in T^*$, $u(j_S(f)j_S(g)) = (fg \otimes u)(X) = fg(1) = f(1)g(1) = u(j_S(f))u(j_S(g))$.

Hence if S is symmetrically dualizable, then any coidentity of S is a Hopf $*$ -homomorphism. Next, we would like to recall the notion of Fourier algebras. A non-zero S -invariant 2-sided (respectively, left or right) ideal of S^* is called a *2-sided* (respectively, *left* or *right*) *Hopf ideal* of S^* .

Definition 1.7: The intersection, A_S (respectively, A_S^l and A_S^r), of all 2-sided (respectively, left and right) Hopf ideals of S^* is called the *Fourier algebra* (respectively, *left* and *right Fourier algebra*) of S .

We recall from [12, 5.5 & 1.9] that if (μ, ν) is a X -covariant representation, then $\nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$ and $\mu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$ are left Hopf ideal of T^* and right Hopf ideal of S^* respectively. Moreover, we recall the following result from [12, 5.9].

Theorem 1.8: Let (T, X, S) be a Kac-Fourier duality and let (μ, ν) and (ρ, λ) be a X -covariant and a X^σ -covariant representations respectively. Then

$$e_\mu = e_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad A_S^l = A_S^r = A_S = e_\mu S^* = \mu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$$

(where e_μ and e_λ are the support projections of μ and λ respectively). The same is true for T .

Note that we can deduce the unique of Kac-Fourier duality as well as many other interesting properties from this theorem (see [12, §5]).

We end this section with some notations and results about Banach algebras which will be used in the following sections. From now on, until the end of this section, A is a Banach algebra.

Definition 1.9: Let $M_l(A) = \{l \in \mathcal{L}(A) : l(ab) = l(a)b; a, b \in A\}$ (i.e. the set of all *left multipliers* of A). Let \mathcal{S}_l be the topology on $M_l(A)$ corresponding to the family of seminorms $\{p_a : a \in A\}$ (where $p_a(l) = \|l(a)\|$ for any $l \in M_l(A)$). Then \mathcal{S}_l is called the *left strict topology* on $M_l(A)$.

Suppose that A is a left ideal of a Banach algebra B . Then there exists a canonical map from B to $M_l(A)$. Moreover, we have the following well know result.

Lemma 1.10: If A has a left approximate identity, then the canonical image of A is dense in $(M_l(A), \mathcal{S}_l)$.

We can also define $M_r(A)$ as well as $M(A)$ (i.e. $M(A; A)$ as defined in Definition 1.11 below) and have similar density results for them. More generally, for any Banach A -bimodules X and Y , we denote by $M_A^r(X, Y)$ (respectively, $M_A^l(X, Y)$) the set of all elements in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ (i.e. bounded linear operators from X to Y) that respect the left (respectively, right) A -multiplication.

Definition 1.11: Let X be a Banach A -bimodule. Let $l \in M_A^l(A, X)$ and $r \in M_A^r(A, X)$. Then (l, r) is said to be a *multiplier* if $a \cdot l(b) = r(a) \cdot b$ for any $a, b \in A$. We denote by $M(A, X)$ the set of all multipliers of X .

It is clear that all of the spaces M_A^r , M_A^l and M are Banach spaces (under the canonical norms on them). Moreover, $M_A^r(X, Y^*) \cong M_A^l(Y, X^*)$. We will now give some basic facts about approximate identities and invariant means.

Proposition 1.12: (a) The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) A has a bounded right approximate identity;
 - (ii) A has a bounded right weak approximate identity;
 - (iii) (A^{**}, \times_1) has a right identity (where \times_1 is the first Arens multiplication on A^{**});
 - (iv) for any Banach A -bimodule X , $M_A^r(A, X^*) \cong X^*$ canonically;
 - (v) $A^{**} \cong M_A^r(A, A^{**})$ canonically;
- (b) If A has both a bounded right approximate identity and a bounded left approximate identity, then A has a bounded two-sided approximate identity.

Proof: Since part (b) is well known, we need only to show part (a). In fact, the equivalences of (i)-(iii) in part (a) are also well known (see e.g. [13, 5.1.8]). To prove that (i) implies (iv), we let $\{a_i\}$ be a bounded right approximate identity of A and $r \in M_A^r(A, X^*)$. Then $\{r(a_i)\}$ is a bounded net in X^* and hence has a subnet converging weakly to an element φ_0 of X^* . It is easy to check that $r(a) = a \cdot \varphi_0$ and (iv) holds. Condition (iv) obviously implies condition (v). Finally, we show that (v) implies (iii). If u is an element in A^{**} corresponding to $\text{id}_A \in M_A^r(A, A^{**})$, then u is clearly a right identity for the first Arens product.

A cone E_+ of a normed space E is said to be a L -cone if $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for any $x, y \in E_+$.

Definition 1.13: (a) A Banach algebra A with a closed cone A_+ is said to be a *LB-algebra* if A_+ is a generating L -cone and there exists $e \in A_+$ with $e(v) = \|v\|$ for all $v \in A_+$.

(b) Let A be a *LB-algebra* and $S = \{x \in A_+ : \|x\| = 1\}$.

(i) A net $\{a_i\}$ in S is said to be a *left* (respectively, *left weak*) *approximate invariant mean* if for any $a \in S$, $aa_i - a_i$ converges to 0 in norm (respectively, in the weak topology). We can also define a *right* (respectively, *right weak*) *approximate invariant mean* in a similar way.

(ii) $m \in A_+^{**}$ is said to be a *left* (respectively, *right*) *invariant mean* if $\|m\| = 1$ and $a \cdot m = e(a)m$ (respectively, $m \cdot a = e(a)m$) for any $a \in A$.

Note that the predual of a Hopf von Neumann algebra is a *LB-algebra*. If G is a locally compact group and $A = L^1(G)$, then $m \in A^{**} = L^\infty(G)^*$ is a left invariant mean in the above sense if and only if it is a left invariant mean in the usual sense.

Proposition 1.14: Let A be a LB -algebra.

(a) The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) A^{**} has a left (respectively, right) invariant mean.
- (ii) A has a left (respectively, right) weak approximate invariant mean.
- (iii) A has a left (respectively, right) approximate invariant mean.

(b) Suppose that A satisfies the extra assumption that $\|ab\| = \|a\| \|b\|$ for any $a, b \in A_+$. Then A has a 2-sided (respectively, weak) approximate invariant mean if and only if A has both a left and a right (respectively, weak) approximate invariant means.

Proof: (a) If m is a left invariant mean of A^{**} , then there exist $b_i \in A_+$ such that $\|b_i\| \leq 1$ and $\{b_i\}$ $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -converges to m . Hence $\|b_i\| = e(b_i)$ converges to $m(e) = 1$ and we can assume all b_i 's to be non-zero. It is easily seen that $a_i = b_i/\|b_i\|$ is a left weak approximate invariant mean. The proof for (ii) imply (iii) follows from a similar argument as in [5, 2.8.4]. Finally, (iii) implies (i) can be shown easily using the weak compactness of the unit ball of A^{**} .

(b) Let $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ be respectively a left and a right approximate invariant means. Then clearly $\{a_i b_j\}$ is a 2-sided approximate invariant mean.

2. Coamenability of Hopf C^* -algebras

In this section, we will study coamenability of Hopf C^* -algebras. We will give three definitions for it, namely, H_1 -coamenable, H_2 -coamenable and H_3 -amenable. We will then study the relations between them. In particular, we will show that H_1 -coamenability is stronger than both H_2 -coamenability and H_3 -coamenability. Moreover, 2-sided H_2 -coamenability will imply 2-sided H_3 -coamenability. We will also study some properties and implications of these coamenabilities.

Definition 2.1: A (saturated) Hopf C^* -algebra S is said to be:

- (a) *2-sided coamenable as Hopf C^* -algebra of the first type*, or simply *2-sided H_1 -coamenable*, if A_S is non-zero and has a bounded 2-sided approximate identity.
- (b) *2-sided coamenable as Hopf C^* -algebra of the second type*, or simply *2-sided H_2 -coamenable*, if S^* is unital and any 2-sided Hopf ideal separates points of S .
- (c) *2-sided coamenable as Hopf C^* -algebra of the third type*, or simply *2-sided H_3 -coamenable*, if the canonical map from S^* to $M(A_S)$ is surjective.

Remark 2.2 We can also define 1-sided version of Definition 2.1: S is said to be:

- (i) left H_1 -coamenable if A_S^l has a bounded left approximate identity.
- (ii) left H_2 -coamenable if S^* is unital and any left Hopf ideal separates points of S .
- (iii) left H_3 -coamenable if the canonical map from S^* to $M_l(A_S^l)$ is injective.

Similarly, we can define right H_i -coamenability ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Note that since $A_S \cdot S$, $A_S^l \cdot S$ and $S \cdot A_S^r$ are dense in S (by Remark 1.2), any $f \in S^*$ such that $fA_S = 0$ or $fA_S^l = 0$ or $A_S^r f = 0$ is zero. Hence the canonical map in Definition 2.1(c) as well as its one-sided companions are automatically injective.

Example 2.3: (a) Let G be a locally compact group. If $S = C_0(G)$, then $S^* = M(G)$ and $A_S = A_S^l = A_S^r = L^1(G)$ (by Theorem 1.8). It is clear that S is automatically 2-sided (and also left and right) H_i -coamenable for $i = 1, 2, 3$. On the other hand, let $S = C^*(G)$ (or $S = C_r^*(G)$). Then $S^* = B(G)$ by definition (respectively, $S^* = B_r(G)$) and $A_S = A_S^l = A_S^r = A(G)$ (by Theorem 1.8 or [4]). It is well known that for any fixed $i = 1, 2, 3$, S is 2-sided H_i -coamenable if and only if G is amenable (see e.g. [9] and [8, 4.1]).

(b) Let S_V and \hat{S}_V be the Hopf C^* -algebras defined by a biregular irreducible multiplicative unitary V . Then \hat{S}_V is H_i -coamenable ($i = 1, 2, 3$) if and only if V is amenable in the sense of [2, A13(c)] (by Theorem 1.8, Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.13 below; see also Examples 3.2(c) as well as the discussion after Definition 1.5). In particular, any discrete quantum group (i.e. the dual Hopf C^* -algebra of a unital Hopf C^* -algebra; see [19]) is H_i -coamenable ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

These three coamenabilities look very distinct. However, we will see in the following (Theorem 2.8) some relations between them. We will also show later that in the case of Kac-Fourier duality, they are essentially the same (see Corollary 3.13 and Remark 3.15 below).

Proposition 2.4: Let A be a left Hopf ideal of S^* . Then S^* is unital and $\overline{A}^{\sigma(S^*, S)} = S^*$ if and only if A has a bounded left $\sigma(S^*, S)|_A$ -approximate identity.

Proof: Suppose that $\{f_i\} \subseteq A$ is a bounded left $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity. Then there exists a subnet $\{g_j\}$ of $\{f_i\}$ such that $\{g_j\}$ is $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -convergent to an element $g_0 \in S^*$. For any $f \in A$, $s \in S$ and $h \in S^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_0 h)(f \cdot s) &= \lim_j g_j(h \cdot (f \cdot s)) = \lim_j (g_j(hf))(s) \\ &= (hf)(s) = h(f \cdot s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Remark 1.2, g_0 is a left identity of S^* and so is a 2-sided identity by Lemma 1.3. Moreover, by a similar argument as above, it is not hard to see that for any $h \in S^*$, $hf_i \in A$ will $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -converge to h . Conversely, suppose that S^* is unital and A is $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -dense in S^* . As A separates points of S , the canonical $*$ -homomorphism i_S from S to A^* is injective (and so a metric injection). Hence the map i_S^* from A^{**} to S^* is a metric surjection and is $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ - $\sigma(S^*, S)$ continuous. Let U and U_A be respectively the closed unit balls of S^* and A . Then $U_A = i_S^*(\kappa_A(U_A))$ is $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -dense in U (where κ_A is the canonical map from A to A^{**}). Therefore, if f_0 is the identity of S^* , there exists a net $\{f_i\}$ in A such that $\|f_i\| \leq \|f_0\|$ and $\{f_i\}$ $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -converges to f_0 . It is clear that f_i is a bounded left $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity for A (in fact, for S^*).

We have a similar result for right (as well as 2-sided) Hopf ideals of S^* . Note that the topology considered in Proposition 2.4 (i.e. $\sigma(S^*, S)|_A$) is strictly weaker than $\sigma(A, A^*)$ (consider S as a subset of A^*). Therefore, (1-sided and 2-sided) H_1 -coamenability is stronger than H_2 -coamenability. However, there is no reason to believe that the existence a bounded $\sigma(S^*, S)|_A$ -approximate identity will imply the existence of a bounded approximate identity. Therefore, H_1 -coamenability and H_2 -coamenability are probably distinct in general.

On the other hand, Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 1.4 also imply the following unexpected result (note that a right approximate identity is considered instead of a left one).

Corollary 2.5: Let A be a left Hopf ideal of S^* with a bounded right $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity (in particular, if A has a bounded right approximate identity). Then S^* equals $\overline{A}^{\sigma(S^*, S)}$ and is unital.

Thus, in the case when $A = S^*$, we obtain the following interesting proposition.

Proposition 2.6: Let S be a (saturated) Hopf C^* -algebra. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) S^* is unital.
- (ii) S^* has a bounded left (or right) $\sigma(S^*, S^{**})$ -approximate identity.
- (iii) S^* has a bounded left (or right) approximate identity.
- (iv) S^* has a bounded left (or right) $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -approximate identity.

In fact, it is clear that (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) and Proposition 2.4 shows that (iv) implies (i).

Next, we will study the relation between H_2 -coamenability and H_3 -coamenability.

Proposition 2.7: Let A be a 2-sided Hopf ideal of S^* . Suppose that S^* is unital and A is $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -dense in S^* . Then $M(A) \cong S^*$ canonically.

Proof: It is required to show that the canonical map from S^* to $M(A)$ is surjective. Let (l, r) be an element in $M(A)$. We recall from Remark 1.2 that any $s \in S$ can be approximated by sums of elements of the form $(f \otimes \text{id})\delta_S(t)$ ($f \in A, t \in S$). As the canonical map j from S to A^* (which is the composition of κ_S with the canonical map from S^{**} to A^*) respects the comultiplications (i.e. $\delta_{A^*} \circ j = (j \otimes j) \circ \delta_S$), we see that $j(s)$ can be approximated (in norm) by sums of elements of the form $(f \otimes \text{id})\delta_{A^*}(j(t))$. Moreover since for any $f, g \in A$ and $t \in S$, $l^*(j(t) \cdot f)(g) = (fl(g))(j(t)) = (r(f)g)(j(t)) = (g \cdot j(t))(r(f))$,

$$l^*((f \otimes \text{id})\delta_{A^*}(j(t))) = (r(f) \otimes \text{id})\delta_{A^*}(j(t))$$

which is in $j(S)$. Thus, $l^*(j(S)) \subseteq j(S)$. Similarly, we have $r^*(j(S)) \subseteq j(S)$. Therefore, as j is injective (for A separates points of S), we can regard $l^*, r^* \in \mathcal{L}(S)$. Note that under this identification, we still have $g \cdot l^*(s) = l^*(g \cdot s)$ (as j respects the comultiplication and A separates points of S). Now, if u is the identity in S^* , then $h = u \circ l^* \in S^*$. For any $g \in A$ and $s \in S$,

$$g(l^*(s)) = (u \otimes g)\delta_S(l^*(s)) = u(g \cdot l^*(s)) = h(g \cdot s).$$

Therefore, $l(g) = hg$. On the other hand, let $\{g_i\}$ be a net in A that $\sigma(S^*, S)$ -converges to u . For any $f \in A$, we have $l^*(s \cdot f)(g_i) = r^*(g_i \cdot s)(f)$. The left hand side of the equality converges to $h(s \cdot f)$ while the right hand side equals $(r(f) \otimes g_i)\delta_S(s)$ which converges to $r(f)(s)$. Hence $r(f) = fh$. Now the image of $h \in S^*$ is $(l, r) \in M(A)$ and the result is proved.

It is not known whether Proposition 2.7 holds for left (or right) Hopf ideals in general. Note that it is not clear whether the image of $\overline{A}^{\sigma(S^*, S)}$ is a left ideal of $M_l(A)$. Nevertheless, we can still prove that one-sided H_1 -coamenability is stronger than H_3 -coamenability.

Theorem 2.8 (a) Left (respectively, right or 2-sided) H_1 -coamenability will imply left (respectively, right or 2-sided) H_2 -coamenability.

(b) 2-sided H_2 -coamenability implies 2-sided H_3 -coamenability.

(c) Left (or right) H_1 -coamenability will imply left (respectively, right) H_3 -coamenability.

Proof: Because of Proposition 2.7 and the paragraph after Proposition 2.4, we need only to prove part (c). Let A be a left Hopf ideal of S^* with a bounded left approximate identity $\{f_i\}$. Note that A can be regarded as a subspace of $M_l(A)$ (as the canonical map is injective). By Remark 1.2, $A \cdot S$ is dense in S . Hence $\{f_i\}$ is an approximate identity for the Banach left A -module S . Suppose that $\{g_j\}$ is a net in A that \mathcal{S}_l -converges to an element $g \in A$ (see Definition 1.9). For any $s \in S$, since $s = h \cdot t$ for some $h \in A$ and $t \in S$, $g_j(s) = g_j h(t)$ converges to $gh(t) = g(s)$. This shows that $\sigma(S^*, S)|_A$ is weaker than $\mathcal{S}_l|_A$. Hence Lemma 1.10 ensures that there is a continuous map Ψ from $M_l(A)$ to the completion of $(A, \sigma(S^*, S)|_A)$ which can be regarded as a subspace of the algebraic dual S^d of S . Now for any $l \in M_l(A)$, $g_i = l(f_i) \in A$ will \mathcal{S}_l -converge to l and so $\Psi(l)(s) = \lim_i g_i(s)$ for any $s \in S$. Therefore, $\|\Psi(l)(s)\| \leq \|l\| \sup_i \|f_i\| \|s\|$ which implies that $\Psi(l) \in S^*$. Thus, Ψ is a continuous map from $(M_l(A), \mathcal{S}_l)$ to $(S^*, \sigma(S^*, S))$ which extends the identity map on A . Now let j be the canonical injection from S^* to $M_l(A)$. For any $l \in M_l(A)$ and $g \in A$,

$$j(\Psi(l))(g) = \Psi(l)g = w - \lim_i l(f_i)g = w - \lim_i l(f_i g).$$

However, since $f_i g$ converges to g , $l(f_i g)$ will converge to $l(g)$ in norm. Therefore, $j \circ \Psi = \text{id}$ and j is surjective and hence bijective. Now, part (c) is proved by putting $A = A_S^l$.

We now consider some implications of these coamenabilities. The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 2.9: Let A be a left Hopf ideal of S^* . Then each of the following conditions is weaker than the previous ones.

(i) $S^* = M_l(A)$.

(ii) the norm given by $\|a\|_l = \sup\{\|ab\| : b \in A; \|b\| \leq 1\}$ is equivalent to the original norm on A .

(iii) A is norm closed in $(M_l(A), \|\cdot\|_l)$.

Note that in the case when $S = C^*(G)$ and $A = A(G)$, the above conditions are all equivalent to the amenability of G (see [9]). However, we do not know whether it is the case in this general situation.

Lemma 2.10: Let (T, X, S) be a Fourier duality such that $j_S(A_T^r)$ (see Section 1) is dense in S . If T is right H_1 -coamenable, then the following conditions hold.

(i) A_T^r has a bounded approximate identity for the right A_T^r -module S (the module structure is given by $j_S(g) \bullet h = j_S(gh)$ for any $g \in T^\#$ and $h \in A_T^r$).

(ii) If N is any S -invariant subspace of S^* , then $M_{A_T^r}^r(A_T^r, N) \cong N$.

Proof: (i) We first note that by the definition of Fourier duality (see Definition 1.5(a)), $j_S(T^\#)$ is dense in S . It is clear that $\|j_S(g) \bullet h\| \leq \|j_S(g)\| \|j_S(h)\| \leq \|j_S(g)\| \|h\|$. Since A_T^r has a bounded right approximate identity and $j_S(T^\#) \bullet A_T^r = j_S(T^\# A_T^r)$, $A_T^r A_T^r = A_T^r$ and

$S \bullet A_T^r$ is dense in S (as $j_S(A_T^r)$ is dense in S by assumption). Therefore, the bounded right approximate identity of A_T^r is a bounded approximate identity for S .

(ii) Note that as $j_S(A_T^r)$ is dense in S , the corresponding dual left A_T^r -module structure on S^* is given by $h \bullet f = j_S(h) \cdot f \in S^*$ ($h \in A_T^r$; $f \in S^*$). Thus, N is a A_T^r -submodule of S^* . By Proposition 1.12(a)(iv), $M_{A_T^r}^r(A_T^r, S^*) \cong S^*$. Hence for any $r \in M_{A_T^r}^r(A_T^r, N)$, there exists $f_0 \in S^*$ such that $r(h) = h \bullet f_0 \in N$ for any $h \in A_T^r$. Since $j_S(A_T^r)$ is dense in S , $S \cdot f_0 \subseteq N$ which implies that $f_0 \in N$.

In the case when $S = C_0(G)$, $A_T^r = A(G)$ and $N = L^1(G)$, the above two conditions are also equivalent to the amenability of G (see [10, Theorem 1]). Again, we do not know whether it is true in general.

3. Amenable covariant representations

In this section, we will define and study amenable covariant representations. In particular, we will give a partial analogue of [5, 2.4]. Moreover, we will study the crossed products as in [11] and show that nuclearity is preserved under crossed products of coactions of amenable Hopf C^* -algebras. We will then apply these results to Kac-Fourier dualities and show that the three coamenabilities in Section 2 are essentially the same in this case (see Corollary 3.13 and Remark 3.15).

Throughout this section, unless specified, S is a (saturated) dualizable Hopf C^* -algebra (which is the case if S comes from a regular or manageable multiplicative unitary) and (\hat{S}_p, V_S, S) is the intrinsic duality of S (see Section 1).

Definition 3.1: (a) A V_S -covariant representation (μ, ν) (see the paragraph after Definition 1.5) is said to be *amenable* if ν is injective.

(b) S is said to be *amenable* if there exists an amenable V_S -covariant representation.

Example 3.2 (a) $C_r^*(G)$ and $C^*(G)$ are automatically amenable. In fact, the unitary corepresentations of $C_r^*(G)$ and $C^*(G)$ are the same. Let $(C_0(G), V_G)$ and $(C_0(G), V_G^r)$ be the strong dual object of $C^*(G)$ and $C_r^*(G)$ respectively. Since V_G^r is a quotient of V_G and there exists an amenable V_G^r -covariant representation, $C^*(G)$ and $C_r^*(G)$ are amenable.

(b) Let $(C^*(G), W_G)$ be the strong dual of $C_0(G)$. Theorem 1.8 implies that for any W_G -covariant representation (μ, ν) , $\nu(C^*(G)) = C_r^*(G)$. Therefore, $C_0(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is amenable (using Theorem 1.8 again).

(c) Let V be a regular or manageable multiplicative unitary and $S = S_V$. If V is amenable in the sense of [2, A13(c)], then S_V is amenable. If, in addition, V is irreducible, then the amenability of S_V will imply the amenability of V (by Theorem 1.8).

Lemma 3.3: Suppose that (μ, ν) is a V_S -covariant representation of S on a Hilbert space H . Let $T_0 = \nu(\hat{S}_p)$ and $B = \nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$. Then $\nu^*(T_0^*)$ and B are both left Hopf ideals of \hat{S}_p^* such that $\nu^*(T_0^*) = \overline{B}^{\sigma(\hat{S}_p^*, \hat{S}_p)}$.

Proof: By [12, 5.5 & 1.9], B is a left Hopf ideal of \hat{S}_p^* . It is not hard to see that $\nu^*(T_0^*) = \overline{B}^{\sigma(\hat{S}_p^*, \hat{S}_p)}$ and hence $\nu^*(T_0^*)$ is also a left Hopf ideal of \hat{S}_p^* .

Corollary 3.4: If \hat{S}_p is left H_2 -coamenable, then any V_S -covariant representations is amenable.

Similar to the case of Kac algebras (see [5, §2]), we have the following list of equivalent conditions for amenable V_S -covariant representations. Note that these equivalences are known in the case of regular multiplicative unitary (see [3, 5.5]).

Proposition 3.5: Let (μ, ν) be a V_S -covariant representation on H and $V = (\nu \otimes \mu)(V_S)$. Let $T_0 = \nu(\hat{S}_p)$ and $B = \nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- i. (μ, ν) is amenable.
- ii. T_0^* is unital.
- iii. The trivial representation π_e of \hat{S}_p is weakly contained in ν .
- iv. There exists a net of unit vectors $\{\eta_i\}$ in H such that $\|V(\eta_i \otimes \xi) - \eta_i \otimes \xi\|$ converges to zero for any $\xi \in H$.
- v. B has a bounded left approximate identity.

Proof: It is clear that condition (i) implies both (ii) and (iii). To show that (ii) implies (i), we first note that, by the proof of the equality in Lemma 1.6, the image of the identity of T_0^* in \hat{S}_p^* is an identity. Now Lemma 3.3 shows that condition (i) holds. We can use a similar argument as [5, 2.7.1] and [5, 2.7.2] to prove that condition (iii) is stronger than (iv) and condition (iv) is stronger than (v). Finally, it follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 2.4 that condition (v) implies condition (i).

Note that the condition of B having a bounded right approximate identity is stronger than it having a bounded left approximate identity (see Proposition 3.6(b) below).

Suppose that $A = \mu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$. Then $A^* \cong \mu(S)'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)_*$ is a Hopf von Neumann algebra and hence A is a LB -algebra (see Definition 1.3(a)). We have a sort of invariant mean characterisation for amenability.

Proposition 3.6: Let the situation be the same as Proposition 3.5.

(a) Let $A = \mu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$ and j be the canonical non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism from S to A^* . Then conditions (i)-(v) imply condition (vi) below. If, in addition, $\{(\text{id} \otimes \omega \circ \mu)(V_S) : \omega \in \mathcal{L}(H)_*\}$ is dense in \hat{S}_p , then conditions (i)-(vi) are equivalent to one another.

vi. A^{**} has a right invariant mean m such that $m(\{(g \circ \nu \otimes j)(V_S) : g \in T_0^*\}) \neq (0)$.

(b) If $B = \nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$ has a bounded right approximate identity, then (μ, ν) is amenable.

Proof: (a) We will first show that (iv) implies (vi). For any $\zeta \in H$, let $\mu_\zeta = \mu^*(\omega_\zeta)$. Since $\{\mu_{\eta_i}\} \subseteq A$ is a bounded net, it has a subnet $\{\mu_{\zeta_j}\}$ $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -converging to $m \in A^{**}$. For any $\xi \in H$ and $x \in A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m \cdot \mu_\xi)(x) &= \lim_j \omega_{\zeta_j \otimes \xi}(V(\tilde{\mu}(x) \otimes 1)V^*) = \lim_j \langle (\tilde{\mu}(x) \otimes 1)V^*(\zeta_j \otimes \xi), V^*(\zeta_j \otimes \xi) \rangle \\ &= \lim_j \langle (\tilde{\mu}(x) \otimes 1)(\zeta_j \otimes \xi), \zeta_j \otimes \xi \rangle = \mu_\xi(1)m(x) \end{aligned}$$

(where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the induced map from A^* to $\mathcal{L}(H)$ and $V = (\nu \otimes \mu)(V_S)$). Hence, $m \cdot a = a(1)m$ if a is a linear combination of μ_ξ 's. Therefore, m is a right invariant mean for A^{**} (as m is continuous and $(m \cdot a)(z) = m(a \cdot z)$). Moreover, as $m(1) = 1$ and T_0^* is unital, we have by the proof of the equality in Lemma 1.6, $m(\{(g \otimes j)(V) : g \in T_0^*\}) \neq (0)$. Conversely, suppose that condition (vi) is true. Let $\{a_i\}$ be a net in A bounded by $K = \|m\|$ and $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -converging to m . Take any $f \in T_0^*$ such that $m((f \circ \nu \otimes j)(V_S)) = 1$. Then for any $a \in A \subseteq S^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_\epsilon(j_{\hat{S}_p}(a))| &= |a(1)| = |m \cdot a((f \circ \nu \otimes j)(V_S))| \\ &= |\lim_i (f \circ \nu \otimes a_i \otimes a)((V_S)_{12}(V_S)_{13})| \\ &= \lim_i |f \circ \nu(j_{\hat{S}_p}(a_i)j_{\hat{S}_p}(a))| \leq K\|f\| \|\nu(j_{\hat{S}_p}(a))\| \end{aligned}$$

(where $j_{\hat{S}_p}(a) = (\text{id} \otimes a)(V_S)$). Since $j_{\hat{S}_p}(A)$ is dense in \hat{S}_p , this shows that condition (iii) holds.

(b) This follows directly from Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 3.3.

Remark 3.7: (a) Let V be a regular or manageable multiplicative unitary and $S = S_V$. Let (μ, ν) be the V_S -covariant representation defined by V . Then $\{(\text{id} \otimes \omega \circ \mu)(V_S) : \omega \in \mathcal{L}(H)_*\}$ is dense in \hat{S}_p . On the other hand, if S is an arbitrary Hopf C^* -algebra such that both A_S and $A_{\hat{S}_p}$ are non-zero, the density condition will be true for any V_S -covariant representation (see the proof of [12, 5.3]).

(b) If the invariant mean m in condition (iv) satisfies the following stronger condition: $m(\{(\omega \circ \nu \otimes j)(V_S) : \omega \in \mathcal{L}(H)_*\}) \neq (0)$, then S will have a Haar state (which is the restriction of m) and is a compact quantum group.

(c) If the algebra B in the above proposition has a bounded right approximate identity, then B has a bounded 2-sided approximate identity (by Proposition 1.12(b)).

Definition and Proposition 3.8: Let (T, X, S) be a general Fourier duality. Then a X -covariant representation (μ, ν) on H is said to be *amenable* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

1. $T_0 = \nu(T)$ is counital.
2. There exists a net of unit vectors $\{\eta_i\}$ of H such that for any $\xi \in H$, $\|(\nu \otimes \mu)(X)(\eta_i \otimes \xi) - \eta_i \otimes \xi\|$ converges to zero.
3. $\nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$ has a bounded left approximate identity.

Proof: The proofs of (2) implies (3) and (3) implies (1) are the same as those of Proposition 3.5 (note that $\nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)$ is a left Hopf ideal of T^* and $\nu^*(T_0^*) = \overline{\nu^*(\mathcal{L}(H)_*)}^{\sigma(T^*, T)}$). Suppose that (1) holds. $Y = (\nu \otimes \text{id})(X)$ is clearly a T_0 - S -birepresentation such that $\{(\text{id} \otimes f)(Y) : f \in S^\#\}$ is dense in T_0 . Therefore, by the proof of Lemma 1.6, the counit of T_0 is a Hopf $*$ -homomorphism π of T_0 such that $(\pi \otimes \text{id})(Y) = 1$. It is clear that $\pi \circ \nu$ is weakly contained in ν and condition (2) follows from the same argument as in [5, 2.7.1].

Since S is dualizable, T is a quotient Hopf C^* -algebra of \hat{S}_p (by [12, 3.5]) and any X -covariant representation induces a V_S -covariant representation. In this case, the induced representation is amenable if and only if the original one is.

Moreover, we also have the corresponding results of Proposition 3.6 in the case of a general Fourier duality. Note that $\{(\text{id} \otimes \omega \circ \mu)(X) : \omega \in \mathcal{L}(H)_*\}$ is automatically dense in T in the case of Kac-Fourier duality or duality arising from a regular or manageable multiplicative unitary (see Remark 3.7(a)).

Next, we would like to study crossed products of coactions of amenable Hopf C^* -algebras. We refer the readers to [12, §2 & §4] for the basic definitions and properties of (full and reduced) crossed products. From now on, A and B are two C^* -algebras and ϵ is a coaction of S on A . Let ϵ' be the coaction on $A \otimes B$ defined by $\epsilon'(a \otimes b) = (\epsilon(a) \otimes b)^{\sigma_{23}}$ (where σ_{23} is the flip of the second and the third variables). Using the same sort of argument as in [11, 2.14 & 3.2], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.9: (a) If (μ, ν) is an amenable V_S -covariant representation on H , then $A \times_{\epsilon}^{\mu, \nu} \hat{S} = A \times_{\epsilon} \hat{S}$.

(b) For any V_S -covariant representation (μ, ν) , $(A \times_{\epsilon}^{\mu, \nu} \hat{S}) \otimes B = (A \otimes B) \times_{\epsilon'}^{\mu, \nu} \hat{S}$.

(c) If A is nuclear, then $(A \times_{\epsilon} \hat{S}) \otimes_{\max} B = (A \otimes B) \times_{\epsilon'} \hat{S}$.

This clearly implies the following result.

Proposition 3.10: Let A be a nuclear Hopf C^* -algebra with a coaction ϵ by an amenable Hopf C^* -algebra S . Then the crossed product $A \times_{\epsilon} \hat{S}$ is nuclear. Consequently, if S is a amenable Hopf C^* -algebra, then \hat{S}_p is a nuclear C^* -algebra.

The final statement applies, in particular, to the case when there exists a V_S -covariant representation and \hat{S}_p is H_2 -coamenable (see Corollary 3.4).

Remark 3.11: We can also show that the corresponding results of [11, 3.9-3.11] hold in this situation (with virtually the same arguments). Hence we have the following: If A is a C^* -exact C^* -algebra with coaction ϵ by an amenable Hopf C^* -algebra S , then $A \times_{\epsilon} \hat{S}$ is C^* -exact.

Finally, we would like to see the relation between the H_i -coamenability ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and amenability in the case of Kac-Fourier duality.

Proposition 3.12: Let (T, X, S) be a Kac-Fourier duality. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) T is left (or right) H_1 -coamenable.
- (ii) T is counital.
- (iii) S is amenable.

In this case, $T \cong \hat{S}_p$. Consequently, if S is amenable, then A_S has a right invariant mean.

Proof: Let (μ, ν) be a X -covariant representation such that both μ and ν are injective (see Definition 1.5(b)). By Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 1.8, (i) is equivalent to (ii). It is clear

from Proposition 3.5 that (ii) implies (iii). Moreover, for any V_S -covariant representation $(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ (note that S is dualizable by assumption and (\hat{S}_p, V_S, S) is the intrinsic duality of S), $\tilde{\nu}(\hat{S}_p) = T$ (by [12, 5.13]) and we have (iii) implies (ii) (by Proposition 3.5 again). The last two statements are clear (using Proposition 3.6).

Corollary 3.13: Let (T, X, S) be a Kac-Fourier duality.

(a) The one sided and two sided H_i -coamenability (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) of T are all the same.

(b) If T is H_i -coamenable for some $i = 1, 2, 3$, then T is a nuclear C^* -algebra.

Proof: Note that by Theorem 1.8, $A_T^l = A_T = A_T^r$. Moreover, Proposition 3.12 and Proposition 1.12(b) show that the existence of bounded left (or right or 2-sided) approximate identity on A_S is equivalent to T being counital. Hence, part (a) is proved. Now part (b) clearly follows from part (a), Propositions 3.12 and 3.10.

This initiates us to make the following definition.

Definition 3.14: A Kac-Fourier duality (T, X, S) is said to be *amenable* (respectively, *coamenable*) if S (respectively, T) is amenable.

Remark 3.15: (a) For a Kac-Fourier duality (T, X, S) , H_1 -coamenability and H_2 -coamenability of \hat{S}_p are equivalent to each other. In fact, we have $A_{\hat{S}_p} = A_T$ and if \hat{S}_p is H_2 -coamenable, then any V_S -covariant representation is amenable (by Corollary 3.4) and so by Proposition 3.5, $A_{\hat{S}_p}$ have a bounded approximate identity.

(b) However, we do not know whether the H_3 -coamenability of \hat{S}_p is equivalent to the other two. Note that it is the case for locally compact groups (see [9, Theorem 1]).

REFERENCE:

- [1] S. Baaj, Représentation régulière du groupe quantique des déplacements de Woronowicz, *Asterisque* (1995), no. 232, 11-48.
- [2] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis, Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^* -algèbres, *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 4^e série, t. 26 (1993), 425-488.
- [3] É. Blanchard, Déformations de C^* -algèbres de Hopf, *Bull.-Soc.-Math.-France* 124 (1996), no. 1, 141–215.
- [4] Jean De Cannière and Ronny Rousseau, The Fourier Algebra as an Order Ideal of the Fourier-Stieltjes Algebra, *Math. Z.* 186 (1984), 501-507.
- [5] M. Enock and J.-M. Schwartz, Algèbres de Kac moyennables, *Pacific J. Math.* 125 (1986), 363-379.
- [6] J.M.G. Fell, Weak containment and induced representations of groups, *Cand. J. Math.* 14 (1962), 237-268.
- [7] B. Forrest, Amenability and Derivations of the Fourier algebra, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1988), 437-442.
- [8] A.T. Lau, Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras of a locally compact group and amenability (Topological vector spaces, algebras and related areas), *Pit. Res. Notes in Math.* 316

(1994), 79-92.

- [9] V. Losert, Properties of the Fourier algebras that are equivalent to amenability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1984), 347-354.
- [10] C. Nebbia, Multipliers and asymptotic behaviour of the Fourier algebra of nonamenable groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1982), 549-554.
- [11] C.K. Ng, Coactions and crossed products of Hopf C^* -algebras, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.(3) 72 (1996), 638-656.
- [12] C.K. Ng, Duality of Hopf C^* -algebras, preprint.
- [13] T.W. Palmer, Banach algebras and the general theory of $*$ -algebras Vol. I: Algebras and Banach algebras, Encyclopedia of Math. (Camb. Univ. Press, 1994).
- [14] A.T. Paterson, Amenability, Math. Surveys and Monographs 29 (Amer. Math. Soc., 1988).
- [15] J.-P. Pier, Amenable Banach algebras, Pit. Res. Notes in Math. 172 (Longman, 1988).
- [16] Z.J. Ruan, The operator amenability of $A(G)$, Amer. J. of Math. 117 (1995), 1449-1474.
- [17] J.C.S. Wong and A. Riazi, Characterisations of amenable locally compact semigroups, Pacific J. of Math. 108 (1983), 479-496.
- [18] S. L. Woronowicz, From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups, Internat. J. Math. 7(1996), no.1, 127-149.
- [19] S. L. Woronowicz, Compact quantum groups, Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1998, pp. 845-884.

Mathematical Institute, 24-29 St. Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, United Kingdom.

e-mail address: ng@maths.ox.ac.uk