

## REMARKS ON THE INTERSECTION OF FILTERS

TOMEK BARTOSZYŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We will show that the existence of an uncountable family of nonmeager filter whose intersection is meager is consistent with  $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $\omega$ . We identify elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  with their characteristic functions and think of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a subset of  $2^\omega$ . It is well known that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a nonprincipal filter (which we assume to be always the case) then  $\mathcal{F}$  is meager or  $\mathcal{F}$  does not have the property of Baire. Similarly,  $\mathcal{F}$  has measure zero or is nonmeasurable. Since an intersection of filters is again a filter we want to know how many nonmeager filters one needs to intersect to produce a meager filter. Define

- $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}} = \min\{|\mathbf{H}| : \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{H} \mathcal{F} \text{ is a filter without the Baire property and } \bigcap \mathbf{H} \text{ has the Baire property}\},$
- $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{N}} = \min\{|\mathbf{H}| : \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{H} \mathcal{F} \text{ is a nonmeasurable filter and } \bigcap \mathbf{H} \text{ has measure zero}\}.$

**Theorem 1.1** ([4]).  $\mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . *In particular,  $\mathbf{MA}$  implies that the intersection of less than  $2^{\aleph_0}$  filters without the Baire property does not have the Baire property.*

In [3] Plewik found sharper estimates. In particular, he showed that  $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \mathfrak{d}$ . Repicky improved the lower bound and showed that  $\mathfrak{g} \leq \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} = \min\{|\mathbf{H}| : \forall H \in \mathbf{H} H \text{ is groupwise dense and } \bigcap \mathbf{H} = \emptyset\}$ .

Recall that a family  $H \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  is groupwise dense if

1.  $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega [x]^\omega \cap H \neq \emptyset,$
2. if  $x \subseteq^* y$  and  $y \in H$ , then  $x \in H$ , and
3. for every partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets,  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$ , there is  $a \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in a} I_n \in H$ .

For measure the situation is quite different.

**Theorem 1.2** (Fremlin, [1]). *Assume  $\mathbf{MA}$ . Then there exists a family  $\{\mathcal{F}_\xi : \xi < 2^{\aleph_0}\}$  of nonmeasurable filters such that  $\bigcap_{\xi \in \mathbf{X}} \mathcal{F}_\xi$  is a measurable filter for every uncountable set  $\mathbf{X} \subseteq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{MA}$  implies that there exists a family of  $\aleph_1$  nonmeasurable filters with measurable intersection.*

The goal of this note is to show that the equality  $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}} = \aleph_1$  is consistent with a relatively strong version of Martin's Axiom.

Recall that a forcing notion  $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$  is Suslin if

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 04A20.

*Key words and phrases.* filter, meager.

Research partially supported by Idaho State Board of Education grant #95-041 and NSF grant DMS 95-05375.

1.  $\mathcal{P}$  is ccc,
2.  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ ,
3. relations  $\leq, \perp$  are  $\Sigma_1^1$ .

Let  $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$  denote the Martin's Axiom for Suslin partial orders. It is well known that  $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$  implies that additivity of measure,  $\mathfrak{b}$ , etc. are all equal to  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

## 2. CONSISTENCY RESULT

The goal of this section is to show  $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$  is consistent with  $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}} = \aleph_1$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *There exists a model of  $\mathbf{V}' \models \text{ZFC}$  and a family of filters  $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0}\} \in \mathbf{V}'$  such that:*

1.  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is not meager for each  $\alpha$ ,
2.  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbf{X}} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is meager for every uncountable set  $\mathbf{X}$ ,
3.  $\mathbf{V}' \models \mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin}) + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .

**PROOF** Let  $\langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$  be a finite support iteration such that

1.  $\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha$  is Suslin,
2. if  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal then  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  adds a Cohen real.

The second requirement is purely technical, its purpose it is to simplify notation later on.

By careful bookkeeping we can ensure that  $\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}} \models \mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin}) + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .

Let  $\mathbf{Z} = \{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$  be the sequence of Cohen reals added by  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$ 's (represented as elements of  $[\omega]^\omega$ ).

**Lemma 2.2.**  *$\mathbf{Z}$  is a generalized Luzin set. In particular, every subset of  $\mathbf{Z}$  of size  $\aleph_2$  is nonmeager.*

**PROOF** Suppose that  $A \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  is a Borel meager set. Let  $\gamma$  be such that  $A \in \mathbf{V}[\mathcal{P}_\gamma \cap G]$ . Then  $X_\alpha \notin A$  for  $\alpha > \gamma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \omega_2$  then  $X_{\alpha_1} \cap X_{\alpha_2} \cap \dots \cap X_{\alpha_n}$  is a Cohen real over  $\mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1-1}]$ .*

**PROOF** Let  $\phi : ([\omega]^\omega)^n \rightarrow [\omega]^\omega$  be defined as  $\phi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1 \cap \dots \cap X_n$ . Suppose that  $A \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  is a meager Borel set in  $\mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1-1}]$ . Then  $B_0 = \phi^{-1}(A)$  is a meager set. Let

$$C_0 = \left\{ X : \{ \langle X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle : \langle X, X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle \in B_0 \} \text{ is meager} \right\}.$$

$C_0$  is a comeager set so  $X_{\alpha_1} \in C_0$ .

Let

$$B_1 = \left\{ \langle X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle : \langle X_{\alpha_1}, X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle \in B_0 \right\}.$$

$B_1$  is a meager set coded in  $\mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}] \subseteq \mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2-1}]$ . We apply the construction above to get the set

$$C_1 = \left\{ X : \{ \langle X_3, \dots, X_n \rangle : \langle X, X_3, \dots, X_n \rangle \in B_1 \} \text{ is meager} \right\},$$

and continue in this fashion.

It follows that  $\langle X_{\alpha_1}, X_{\alpha_2}, \dots, X_{\alpha_n} \rangle \notin \phi^{-1}(A)$  which finishes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\{Z_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$  be a partition of the set  $\{\alpha : \alpha = \beta + 1, \beta < \omega_2\}$  into disjoint cofinal sets.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  be a filter generated by sets  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in Z_\beta\}$ . Since  $X_\alpha$ 's are Cohen reals each  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  is indeed a filter.

Note that  $\mathcal{F}_\beta \supseteq \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in Z_\beta\}$ . Thus it follows from 2.2 that  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  is not meager for every  $\beta < \omega_2$ .

**Theorem 2.4.**  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbf{X}} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is the filter of cofinite sets for every uncountable set  $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \omega_2$ .

**PROOF** For simplicity assume that  $\mathbf{X} = \omega_1$ . The proof of the general case is the same.

Suppose that  $X \in \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} \mathcal{F}_\xi$  and  $|\omega \setminus X| = \aleph_0$ .

For each  $\xi < \omega_1$  we can find  $\alpha_1^\xi < \alpha_2^\xi < \dots < \alpha_{n^\xi}^\xi \in Z_\xi$  such that

$$X_{\alpha_1^\xi} \cap X_{\alpha_2^\xi} \cap \dots \cap X_{\alpha_{n^\xi}^\xi} \subseteq^* X.$$

By passing to a subsequence we can assume that  $n^\xi = n$  for all  $\xi < \omega_1$ . Moreover, we can assume that  $n$  is minimal, that is,

$$\forall \xi < \omega_1 \quad X_{\alpha_1^\xi} \cap X_{\alpha_2^\xi} \cap \dots \cap X_{\alpha_{n-1}^\xi} \not\subseteq^* X.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be the least ordinal such that  $\{\xi < \omega_1 : \alpha_n^\xi < \gamma\}$  is uncountable. By the minimality of  $n$ ,  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal and  $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \aleph_1$ . By passing to a subsequence again we can assume that

1.  $\alpha_n^\xi < \gamma$  for all  $\xi < \omega_1$ ,
2.  $\forall \delta < \gamma \exists \alpha \forall \xi > \alpha \alpha_1^\xi > \delta$  (since  $Z_\alpha$ 's are disjoint).

We will show that  $X \notin \mathbf{V}[\mathcal{P}_\delta \cap G]$  for all  $\delta < \omega_2$  and this contradiction will finish the proof.

For  $\xi < \omega_1$  let  $X_\xi = X_{\alpha_1^\xi} \cap X_{\alpha_2^\xi} \cap \dots \cap X_{\alpha_n^\xi}$ . By the assumption  $X_\xi \subseteq^* X$  for all  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**  $X \notin \mathbf{V}[\mathcal{P}_\gamma \cap G]$ .

**PROOF** Arguing as in 2.2 and using 2.3 and condition (2) above, we show that  $\{X_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  is a Luzin set in  $\mathbf{V}[\mathcal{P}_\gamma \cap G]$ . Since the set  $\{Z \in [\omega]^\omega : Z \subseteq^* X\}$  is meager it follows that  $\{\xi : X_\xi \subseteq^* X\}$  is countable.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.**  $X \notin \mathbf{V}[\mathcal{P}_\delta \cap G]$  for  $\gamma < \delta < \omega_2$ .

**PROOF** We will work in a model  $\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}[\mathcal{P}_\delta \cap G]$ . Suppose that the lemma is false. Let  $\dot{X}$  be a  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma, \omega_2}$ -name for  $X$ . Let  $M$  be a countable elementary submodel of  $\mathbf{H}(\chi)$  containing  $\dot{X}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}$ . Define a finite support iteration  $\langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha(M), \dot{Q}_\alpha(M) : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$  as follows:

$$\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha = \begin{cases} \dot{Q}_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in M \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \alpha \notin M \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \alpha < \omega_2.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \lim \mathcal{P}_\alpha(M)$ .

$\mathcal{P}$  is isomorphic to a countable iteration of Suslin forcings. It may not be Suslin itself but it has enough absoluteness properties to carry out the rest of the proof (see [2] or [1] lemma 9.7.4). In particular,  $\mathcal{P}$  has a definition that can be coded as a real number.

From Suslinness it follows that  $\mathcal{P} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}$  and that  $\dot{X}$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -name.

Let  $N \prec \mathbf{H}(\chi)$  be a countable model containing  $M, \dot{X}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since  $\{X_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  is a Luzin set in  $\mathbf{V}'$  we can find  $\xi$  such that  $Y = X_\xi$  is a Cohen real over  $N$ . By the assumption  $\Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Y \subseteq^* \dot{X}$ .

By absoluteness,  $N[Y][G \cap N[Y]] \models Y \subseteq^* \dot{X}[G \cap N[Y]]$  and therefore

$$N[Y] \models \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Y \subseteq^* \dot{X}.$$

Represent Cohen algebra as  $\mathbf{C} = [\omega]^{<\omega}$  and let  $\dot{Y}$  be the canonical name for a Cohen real. There is a condition  $p \in \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$N \models p \Vdash_{\mathbf{C}} \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} \dot{Y} \subseteq^* \dot{X}.$$

Let  $Y' = p \cup ((\omega \setminus Y) \setminus \max(p))$ .  $Y'$  is also a Cohen real over  $N$  and since  $p \subseteq Y'$  we get that  $N[Y'] \models \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Y' \subseteq^* \dot{X}$ . It follows that

$$N[Y'][G \cap N[Y']] \models Y' \subseteq^* \dot{X}[G \cap N[Y']].$$

Note that  $\dot{X}[G] = \dot{X}[G \cap N[Y']] = \dot{X}[G \cap N[Y]]$ . Thus  $\mathbf{V}[G] \models Y \cup Y' \subseteq^* \dot{X}[G]$  which means that  $\dot{X}[G]$  is cofinite. Contradiction.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Tomek Bartoszyński and Haim Judah, *Set Theory: on the structure of the real line*, A.K. Peters, 1995.
- [2] Haim Judah and Saharon Shelah, *Suslin forcing*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic **53** (1988), 1188–1207.
- [3] Szymon Plewik, *Ideals of the second category*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **138** (1991), no. 1, 23–26.
- [4] Michel Talagrand, *Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres non mesurables*, Studia Mathematica **67** (1980), no. 1, 13–43 (French).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY, BOISE, IDAHO 83725, USA  
*E-mail address:* tomek@math.idbsu.edu