

Standard bases with respect to the Newton filtration

Stephan Endraß

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz, Germany

June 28, 2021

Abstract

The aim of this article is to introduce standard bases of ideals in polynomial rings with respect to a class of orderings which are not necessarily semigroup orderings. Our approach generalises the concept of standard bases with respect to semigroup orderings described in [Gr, GP]. To compute these standard bases we give a slightly modified version of the Buchberger algorithm [B]. The orderings we consider are refinements of certain filtrations. In the local case these filtrations are Newton filtrations. For a zero dimensional ideal, an algorithm converting standard bases with respect to local orderings is given. As an application, we show how to compute the spectrum of an isolated complex hypersurface singularity $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with nondegenerate principal part.

0 Introduction

The theory of standard bases has developed since B. Buchberger introduced standard bases of ideals in polynomial rings with respect to semigroup wellorderings (now called Gröbner bases) in 1965 [B]. It has been extended to certain localisations of the polynomial rings by allowing semigroup orderings which are not wellorderings [Mo1, Gr, GP, Mo2]. The main tool to compute such a standard basis is the Buchberger algorithm [B, BW]. By choosing an appropriate semigroup ordering, invariants of ideals may be computed from a standard basis with

respect to this ordering. For example, the Hilbert function can be obtained from a standard basis with respect to a degree ordering. However there are some invariants which are not related to semigroup orderings. Consider an isolated hypersurface singularity $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with nondegenerate principal part. Its Milnor number $\mu(f)$ is readily computed from a standard basis of the Jacobian ideal J_f of f with respect to any local semigroup ordering (in fact with respect to any local ordering as will be shown). However the spectrum of f is the Poincare series of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}}/J_f$ graded with respect to the Newton filtration given by f [S, KV]. The Newton filtration can be refined to a local semigroup ordering if and only if f is semiquasihomogeneous. Here we study standard bases with respect to orderings which are refinements of Newton filtrations. In general, such an ordering is not a semigroup ordering.

In the first section normal and noetherian orderings are introduced. This is the class of orderings for which we are able to give a normal form algorithm which terminates (algorithm 2.4). The setup for standard bases with respect to normal orderings is outlined in the second section. To compute standard bases, s -polynomial sets and reducing sets are introduced. With their help a modified Buchberger algorithm can be formulated (algorithm 2.9). It terminates for any normal noetherian ordering for which reducing sets exist (theorem 2.10) and returns a standard basis (theorem 2.11).

In the next section Newton orderings are introduced as refinements of Newton filtrations. Some Newton orderings are not normal (lemma 3.2), but they all are noetherian and admit reducing sets (propositions 3.5 and 3.8). The case of a zero dimensional ideal and a local ordering is studied in the fourth section. Here standard bases do always exist (proposition 4.1). Moreover a standard basis with respect to a given local ordering can be computed from a standard basis with respect to another local ordering using only linear algebra (algorithm 4.3). In the last section we show how to compute the spectrum of an isolated complex hypersurface singularity with nondegenerate principal part (corollary 5.4). The author implemented the computation of the spectrum into the computer algebra program `Singular` [GPS] using algorithm 4.3.

1 Orderings

First fix some notation. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the nonnegative integers, K a field and $K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring in $n \geq 1$ indeterminates over K . We use the exponent notation $\mathbf{x}^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\} \text{ be the set of all monomials of } K[\mathbf{x}] \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{T} &= \{c\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid c \in K^*, \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}\} \text{ the set of all terms in } K[\mathbf{x}]. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials of $K[\mathbf{x}]$ are sums of the form $\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$, where $A \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ is a finite set and $c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $\alpha \in A$ (we do not write monomials with zero

coefficient). Consider a total ordering \prec on \mathbb{N}^n (which is not necessarily a semigroup ordering) and denote the induced ordering on \mathcal{M} also by \prec .

Definition 1.1 Let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subset K[\mathbf{x}]$ be a finite set of polynomials, $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ an ideal and $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in K[\mathbf{x}]$.

- 1) $LM(f) = \mathbf{x}^{\max A}$ is called the *lead monomial* of f .
- 2) $LC(f) = c_{\max A}$ is called the *lead coefficient* of f .
- 3) $LT(f) = LC(f) \cdot LM(f)$ is called the *lead term* of f .
- 4) $L(G) = \{LM(\mathbf{x}^\alpha g) \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}, g \in G\}$ is called the *lead monomial set* of G .
- 5) $L(I) = \{LM(g) \mid g \in I\}$ is called the *lead monomial set* of I .

Any nonempty set of monomials which is the lead monomial set of a finite set of polynomials or of an ideal will be called *lead monomial set*. For a semigroup ordering $L(I)$ and $L(G)$ can be identified with monomial ideals. In general this is not the case. But the ordering \prec still satisfies

$$LM(f + g) \preceq \max(LM(f), LM(g))$$

for all $f, g \in K[\mathbf{x}]$. Instead of respecting the semigroup structure of \mathbb{N}^n , the ordering \prec should satisfy the following condition.

Definition 1.2 The ordering \prec is called *normal*, if for all $f \in K[\mathbf{x}]$, $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\mathbf{x}^\alpha \prec 1 \implies LM(\mathbf{x}^\alpha f) \prec LM(f).$$

A normal ordering \prec is called *global* (resp. *local*) if $x_i \succ 1$ (resp. $x_i \prec 1$), $1 \leq i \leq n$. A normal ordering is called *mixed* if it is neither global nor local.

For a normal ordering the set $S_\prec = \{g \in K[\mathbf{x}] \mid LM(g) = 1\}$ is multiplicatively closed. Moreover $LM(f) = LM(gf)$ for all $f, g \in K[\mathbf{x}]$ with $LM(g) = 1$. For semigroup orderings the lead monomial sets behave like ideals (in a noetherian ring), i.e. every increasing sequence gets stationary.

Definition 1.3 The ordering \prec is called *noetherian* if the following condition is satisfied:

Every increasing sequence of lead monomial sets $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$ gets stationary.

Any semigroup ordering is normal and noetherian, but the converse does not hold.

2 Standard bases

Proceeding along the lines of [GP], we introduce standard bases with respect to normal orderings. From now on let \prec be a normal ordering. Let $S_{\prec}^{-1}K[\mathbf{x}]$ be the localisation of $K[\mathbf{x}]$ in S_{\prec} . For every ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$, let $S_{\prec}^{-1}I = I \otimes_{K[\mathbf{x}]} S_{\prec}^{-1}K[\mathbf{x}]$ and $\bar{I} = S_{\prec}^{-1}I \cap K[\mathbf{x}]$. Note that always $L(I) = L(\bar{I})$.

Definition 2.1 Let $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ be an ideal and $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subseteq \bar{I}$.

- 1) G is called a *standard basis* of I if $L(G) = L(I)$.
- 2) G is called *interreduced* if $L(\{f_i\}) \not\subseteq L(G \setminus \{f_i\})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.
- 3) G is called *reduced* if for every $f \in G$ no monomial of f except its lead monomial is contained in $L(G)$.

If \prec is not a semigroup ordering, then in general not every ideal has a standard basis. Albeit for noetherian orderings this is true.

Lemma 2.2 *If \prec is noetherian, the every ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ has a standard basis.*

Proof: The standard proof applies. \square

Every standard basis G of I can be shortened to an interreduced standard basis by iteratively deleting those $f \in G$ for which $L(\{f\}) \subseteq L(G \setminus \{f\})$. Reduced standard bases do in general only exist for global orderings. To do standard basis computations a normal form is needed:

Definition 2.3 [GP, def. 1.5] Let $\mathcal{F} = \{G \subset K[\mathbf{x}] \mid G \text{ ordered and finite}\}$. A function $NF: K[\mathbf{x}] \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow K[\mathbf{x}]$ is called *normal form* if for all $p \in K[\mathbf{x}]$ and $G \in \mathcal{F}$ $NF(p, G) \neq 0 \Rightarrow LM(NF(p, G)) \notin L(G)$. Then $NF(p, G)$ is called *normal form of p with respect to G* .

Following [GP], to get a normal form which does not only work in the global case, one considers homogeneous polynomials in $K[t, \mathbf{x}]$. So let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_t &= \{t^{\alpha_0} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mid \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^n\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_t &= \{c t^{\alpha_0} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mid c \in K^*, \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^n\}, \end{aligned}$$

be the monomials and terms of $K[t, \mathbf{x}]$. Define a global wellordering \prec_h on \mathcal{M}_t by setting

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\alpha_0} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \prec_h t^{\beta_0} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &\iff \alpha_0 + |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| < \beta_0 + |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \quad \text{or} \\ &\alpha_0 + |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = \beta_0 + |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \prec \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to powers of t , this ordering behaves like a semigroup ordering. We frequently need to consider lead monomials in $K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ and $K[\mathbf{x}]$. For every polynomial $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \in K[\mathbf{x}]$, let $f^h = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_{\alpha} t^{\deg f - |\alpha|} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$ be the homogenisation with respect to t . Conversely, for $f = \sum_{(\alpha_0, \alpha) \in A} c_{(\alpha_0, \alpha)} t^{\alpha_0} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$ let $f|_{t=1} = \sum_{(\alpha_0, \alpha) \in A} c_{(\alpha_0, \alpha)} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$ be its dehomogenisation. For polynomials of $K[\mathbf{x}]$ (resp. $K[t, \mathbf{x}]$) we always use \prec (resp. \prec_h) in the computation of lead monomials, lead terms, \dots . Let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subset K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ be a finite ordered set of homogeneous polynomials and let $p \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ homogeneous. For a semigroup ordering, the following is just the normal form **NFMora** of [GP].

Algorithm 2.4

```

 $h := \text{NormalForm}(p, G)$ 
   $h := p$ 
   $H := \emptyset$ 
  WHILE  $\left( \text{exist } f \in G \cup H, \eta \in \mathcal{T}_t, \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \right)$  DO
     $LT(\eta f) = LT(t^{\alpha} h)$  and  $\eta \preceq 1$  if  $f \in H$ 
    choose first such  $f$  with  $\alpha$  minimal
    IF  $\alpha > 0$  THEN
       $H := H \cup \{h\}$ 
       $h := t^{\alpha} h - \eta f$ 
    IF  $t \mid h$  THEN
      choose  $\alpha$  maximal with  $t^{\alpha} \mid h$ 
       $h := h/t^{\alpha}$ 

```

Lemma 2.5 *If h is a normal form of p with respect to $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ computed by **NormalForm**, then there exist $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{T}_t$, $g \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ homogeneous and $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that*

$$gp = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i f_{j_i} + h$$

with $LM(g|_{t=1}) = 1$ and $LM(h|_{t=1}) \notin L(G|_{t=1})$. Moreover $gp, \xi_1 f_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_k f_{j_k}$ and h (if nonzero) are homogeneous of the same degree with

$$LM(gp) = LM(\xi_1 f_{j_1}) \succ_h \dots \succ_h LM(\xi_k f_{j_k}) \succ_h LM(h).$$

Proof: This just the proof of [GP, theorem 1.9 2)]. \square

Proposition 2.6 *If the ordering \prec is noetherian, then **NormalForm** is a normal form in the sense of definition 2.3.*

Proof: In view of lemma 2.5 it is enough to show that **NormalForm** terminates. But \prec is noetherian, so the proof of [GP, prop. 1.9 1)] applies. \square

To actually compute such a standard basis one needs s -polynomials.

Definition 2.7 Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$, $g = \sum_{\beta \in B} d_\beta \mathbf{x}^\beta \in K[\mathbf{x}]$. Then

$$\text{spoly}(f, g)_{(\alpha, \beta)} = (d_\beta \mathbf{x}^\beta f - c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha g) / \gcd(\mathbf{x}^\alpha, \mathbf{x}^\beta)$$

is called *s-polynomial of f and g at (α, β)* . Moreover

$$\text{Spoly}(f, g) = \left\{ \text{spoly}(f, g)_{(\alpha, \beta)} \mid \begin{array}{l} (\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B, \exists \mathbf{x}^\gamma \in \mathcal{M} : \\ LM(\mathbf{x}^\gamma \text{spoly}(f, g)_{(\alpha, \beta)}) = \mathbf{x}^\gamma \text{lcm}(\mathbf{x}^\alpha, \mathbf{x}^\beta) \end{array} \right\}$$

is called the *s-polynomial set of f and g*.

For a semigroup ordering $|\text{Spoly}(f, g)| = 1$ and we get the usual *s-polynomial*. Now we want to imitate the Buchberger algorithm. Given a finite set of generators $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ of an ideal I , this algorithm enlarges G by some elements of I such that all *s-polynomials* $\text{spoly}(f, g)$, $f, g \in G$ reduce to zero. For a semigroup ordering this implies that $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \text{spoly}(f, g)$ reduces to zero for all $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$. In our setup this is not the case. Let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subset K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ be a finite set of homogeneous polynomials and let $f \in I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ homogeneous. Assume that that **NormalForm** terminates for \prec .

Definition 2.8 A finite set $R(f, G) \subset \mathcal{M}$ of monomials is called a *reducing set for (f, G)* if the following holds: For all $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist

- a homogeneous polynomial $g \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ with $LM(g|_{t=1}) = 1$,
- $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{T}_t$ and
- $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G \cup \{\mathbf{NormalForm}(\mathbf{x}^\beta f, G) \mid \mathbf{x}^\beta \in R(f, G)\}$

such that the polynomial $\mathbf{x}^\alpha f$ has a representation of the form

$$g \mathbf{x}^\alpha f = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i h_i$$

with $LM(g \mathbf{x}^\alpha f) = LM(\xi_1 h_1) \succ_h LM(\xi_i h_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq k$. If there exists a reducing set for every (f, G) as above, then we say that *reducing sets exist*.

For a semigroup ordering we always can take $R(f, G) = \{1\}$. Now we can formulate a standard basis algorithm. Let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subset K[\mathbf{x}]$ be a finite set of polynomials. Let \prec be such that **NormalForm** terminates and that reducing sets exist. Moreover assume that the procedure **ReducingSet** (h, S) computes a

reducing set for (h, S) .

Algorithm 2.9

```

S := StandardBasis(G)
  S := Gh
  P := {(f, g) | f, g ∈ S}
  WHILE P ≠ ∅ DO
    choose (f, g) ∈ P; P := P \ {(f, g)}
    FOR ALL h ∈ Spoly(f, g) DO
      R := ReducingSet(h, S)
      FOR ALL xα ∈ R DO
        p := NormalForm(xαh, S)
        IF p ≠ 0 THEN
          S := S ∪ {p}
          P := P ∪ {(p, f) | f ∈ S}
  S := S|t=1

```

Theorem 2.10 *Let \prec be noetherian such that reducing sets exist. Then **StandardBasis** terminates.*

Proof: Again the standard proof applies. \square

Theorem 2.11 *Let \prec be such that **NormalForm** terminates and reducing sets exist. Let $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ be an ideal. Equivalent for $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subseteq \bar{I}$ are:*

- i) G is a standard basis of I .
- ii) $G = \mathbf{StandardBasis}(G)$.
- iii) $\mathbf{NormalForm}(x^\alpha g^h, G^h) = 0$ for all $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$, $h \in \text{Spoly}(f_i, f_j)$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$.
- iv) $f \in \bar{I} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{NormalForm}(f^h, G^h) = 0$.
- v) $f \in \bar{I} \Leftrightarrow gf = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i f_{j_i}$ for some $g \in K[\mathbf{x}]$, $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{T}$ and $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $LM(g) = 1$ and $LM(f) = LM(\xi_1 f_{j_1}) \succ \dots \succ LM(\xi_k f_{j_k})$.

Proof: The standard proof applies to $i) \Rightarrow ii)$, $iii) \Rightarrow iv)$, $iv) \Rightarrow v)$ and $v) \Rightarrow i)$.

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$: Assume that $ii)$ holds and let $f \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ homogeneous. First we show the following

Claim: If f has a representation of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i f_{j_i} \quad \text{with} \quad LM(f) = LM(\xi_1 f_{j_1}) \succ_h LM(\xi_i f_{j_i}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k,$$

then $\tilde{f} = f - \xi_1 f_{j_1}$ has a representation of the form

$$g\tilde{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{k}} \tilde{\xi}_i f_{\tilde{j}_i} \quad \text{with} \quad LM(g\tilde{f}) = LM(\tilde{\xi}_1 f_{\tilde{j}_1}) \succ_h LM(\tilde{\xi}_i f_{\tilde{j}_i}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq \tilde{k},$$

for a homogeneous $g \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ with $LM(g|_{t=1}) = 1$.

Indeed, after a permutation of summands $\tilde{f} = \sum_{i=2}^k \xi_i f_{j_i}$ with $LM(\tilde{f}) = LM(\xi_2 f_{j_2}) = \dots = LM(\xi_l f_{j_l}) \succ_h LM(\xi_i f_{j_i})$, $l+1 \leq i \leq k$ for a $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. If $l = 1$ we are done. Otherwise let $c_i = LC(\xi_i f_{j_i})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=2}^l \xi_i f_{j_i} &= \xi_2 f_{j_2} - \underbrace{\frac{c_2}{c_3} \xi_3 f_{j_3}}_{\text{lead terms cancel}} + \left(1 + \frac{c_2}{c_3}\right) \xi_3 f_{j_3} + \sum_{i=4}^l \xi_i f_{j_i} \\ &= \eta h + \left(1 + \frac{c_2}{c_3}\right) \xi_3 f_{j_3} + \sum_{i=4}^l \xi_i f_{j_i} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in \mathcal{T}_t$ and $h \in \text{Spoly}(f_{j_2}, f_{j_3})$. Since *ii*) holds ηh has a representation $g\eta h = \sum_{i'=1}^{k'} \xi'_i f_{j'_i}$ with $LM(g\xi_2 f_{j_2}) \succ_h LM(g\eta h) = LM(\xi'_1 f_{j'_1}) \succ_h LM(\xi'_i f_{j'_i})$, $2 \leq i \leq k'$. Then

$$g\tilde{f} = \left(1 + \frac{c_2}{c_3}\right) g\xi_3 f_{j_3} + \sum_{i=4}^k g\xi_i f_{j_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \xi'_i f_{j'_i}$$

and $LM(g\tilde{f}) = LM(g\xi_3 f_{j_3}) = \dots = LM(g\xi_l f_{j_l})$ and $LM(g\tilde{f}) \succ_h LM(\xi_i g f_{j_i})$, $l+1 \leq i \leq k$ and $LM(g\tilde{f}) \succ_h LM(g\xi'_i f_{j'_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq k'$. Thus (after expansion) we have found a representation of $g\tilde{f}$ with $l-1$ lead terms instead of l . Then the claim follows by induction.

Now let $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $h \in \text{Spoly}(f_i, f_j)$. Then there exists a $g \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ with lead monomial 1 such that $g\mathbf{x}^\alpha h$ has a representation as in the claim. Then the claim shows that during the computation of **NormalForm**($\mathbf{x}^\alpha h, G$) on never ends up with an element which cannot be reduced except zero. Thus **NormalForm**($\mathbf{x}^\alpha h, G$) = 0 and *iii*) follows. \square

Corollary 2.12 *Let \prec be such that **NormalForm** terminates and reducing sets exist. If G is a standard basis of the ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$, then G generates $S_{\prec}^{-1}I$ over $S_{\prec}^{-1}K[\mathbf{x}]$.*

3 The Newton ordering

Let \mathcal{L} be a nonempty finite set of nonzero linear forms $l: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\delta} \in (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n$ be fixed. We call the real number

$$w_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \min\{l(\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \mid l \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

the *weight* of $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n$ with respect to \mathcal{L} . Let $C_\delta(l) = \{\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n \mid w_\delta(\alpha) = l(\alpha + \delta)\}$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$. A subscript of $\delta = \mathbf{0}$ will be omitted. Then $C_\delta(l)$ is a cone with respect to $C(l)$: for all $\alpha \in C_\delta(l)$, $\beta \in C(l)$ by definition $w_\delta(\alpha + \beta) = l(\alpha + \beta)$, so $\alpha + \beta \in C_\delta(l)$.

Definition 3.1 \mathcal{L} is called *rational* if all cones $C(l)$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$ are rational (i.e. every cone is the locus where a finite set of linear forms with rational coefficients is nonnegative).

For the rest of this section let \mathcal{L} be rational and $\delta \in (\mathbb{Q}_0^+)^n$. Restricting $w_\delta(\cdot)$ to \mathbb{N}^n and identifying \mathcal{M} with \mathbb{N}^n we call

$$w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = \min\{l(\alpha + \delta) \mid l \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

the *Newton weight* of $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$. Define the Newton weight of $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$ to be

$$w_\delta(f) = \min\{w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\}.$$

The induced filtration $K[\mathbf{x}]_s = \{f \in K[\mathbf{x}] \mid w_\delta(f) \geq s\}$ of $K[\mathbf{x}]$ is called a *Newton filtration*. This filtration does not distinguish all monomials in general. So take any semigroup order \prec_0 and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \prec \mathbf{x}^\beta &\iff w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) > w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\beta) \quad \text{or} \\ &w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^\alpha \prec_0 \mathbf{x}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathbf{x}^\alpha, \mathbf{x}^\beta \in \mathcal{M}$. This ordering is called a *Newton ordering*. A Newton filtration (resp. a Newton ordering) is a filtration (resp. an ordering) which arises in the above way.

Lemma 3.2 *Let \prec be a Newton ordering. Then \prec is normal in the following three cases:*

- 1) All linear forms $l \in \mathcal{L}$ have nonnegative coefficients.
- 2) All linear forms $l \in \mathcal{L}$ have nonpositive coefficients.
- 3) $\delta = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof: The first two cases are obvious. So let $\delta = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x}^\alpha, \mathbf{x}^\beta \in \mathcal{M}$. We have to show that $\mathbf{x}^\beta \prec 1$ implies $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta \prec \mathbf{x}^\alpha$. There exist linear forms $l, l', l'' \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = l(\alpha)$, $w(\mathbf{x}^\beta) = l'(\beta)$ and $w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta) = l''(\alpha + \beta)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta) &= l''(\alpha + \beta) = l''(\alpha) + l''(\beta) \\ &\geq l(\alpha) + l'(\beta) = w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) + w(\mathbf{x}^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{x}^\beta \prec 1$ implies either $w(\mathbf{x}^\beta) < 0 \Rightarrow w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta) < w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha)$ or $w(\mathbf{x}^\beta) = 0$ and $\beta \prec_0 1 \Rightarrow w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta) = w(\mathbf{x}^\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta \prec_0 \mathbf{x}^\alpha$. In both cases we get $\mathbf{x}^\beta \mathbf{x}^\alpha \prec \mathbf{x}^\alpha$. \square

Note that \prec is an ordering on \mathcal{M} which is not a semigroup ordering in general. For example let $\mathcal{L} = \{x + 2y, 2x + y\}$ and $f = x + y$ (figure 1).




Figure 1: $\mathcal{L} = \{x + 2y, 2x + y\}$

Then $w_0(x^2 f) = w_0(x^3 + x^2 y) = w(x^3) = 3/2$, so $LM(x^2 f) = x^3$. On the other hand $w_0(y^2 f) = w_0(xy^2 + y^3) = w(y^3) = 3/2$, hence $LM(y^2 f) = y^3$. So \prec is not a semigroup order. It follows from [R] that every semigroup ordering is a Newton ordering with $|\mathcal{L}| = 1$ and $\delta = \mathbf{0}$.

If the coefficients of every $l \in \mathcal{L}$ are positive (negative), then \prec is a local (global) ordering. Consider the set of monomials

$$\mathcal{M}_l = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = l(\alpha + \delta)\}, \quad l \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$ is a cone with respect to $\mathcal{M}(l)$: if $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$ and $\mathbf{x}^\beta \in \mathcal{M}(l)$, then $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{x}^\beta \in \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$. Let $R_\delta(l)$ be the K -algebra generated by the monomials in $\mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$. Then $R_\delta(l)$ is a $R(l)$ -module. Since \mathcal{L} is rational, $R(l)$ is noetherian and $R_\delta(l)$ is finitely generated since δ has rational coordinates. Now let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subset K[\mathbf{x}]$ be a finite set of polynomials and $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ an ideal. Define $L(G)_\delta(l) = L(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$ and $L(I)_\delta(l) = L(I) \cap \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$. Let $R(G)_\delta(l)$ (resp. $R(I)_\delta(l)$) be the K -algebra generated by the monomials in $L(G)_\delta(l)$ (resp. $L(I)_\delta(l)$).

Example 3.3 Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\} = \{3x + 3y, 2x + 6y, 6x + 2y\}$ and $\delta = (1, 1)$. Then (figure 2)

$$\begin{aligned} R(l_1) &= \mathbb{C}[xy, x^2y, x^3y, xy^2, xy^3], \\ R(l_2) &= \mathbb{C}[xy^3, y] \quad \text{and} \\ R(l_3) &= \mathbb{C}[x, x^3y]. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: $\mathcal{L} = \{3x + 3y, 2x + 6y, 6x + 2y\}$

The corresponding modules are (figure 3):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\delta}(l_1) &= x^2R(l_1) \oplus xR(l_1) \oplus R(l_1) \oplus yR(l_1) \oplus y^2R(l_1), \\ R_{\delta}(l_2) &= y^2R(l_2) \quad \text{and} \\ R_{\delta}(l_3) &= x^2R(l_3). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 3: $\mathcal{L} = \{3x + 3y, 2x + 6y, 6x + 2y\}$, $\delta = (1, 1)$

Lemma 3.4 $L(G)_{\delta}(l)$ and $L(I)_{\delta}(l)$ are cones with respect to $\mathcal{M}(l)$. $R(G)_{\delta}(l)$ and $R(I)_{\delta}(l)$ are finitely generated $R(l)$ -submodules of $R_{\delta}(l)$.

Proof: Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \in K[\mathbf{x}]$ with $LM(f) = \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{M}(I)_{\delta}(l)$. For every $\mathbf{x}^{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}(l)$ and every $\alpha \in A$ there exists a $l' \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\beta}) &= l'(\alpha + \beta + \delta) = l'(\alpha + \delta) + l'(\beta) \\ &\geq l(\alpha_0 + \delta) + l(\beta) = w_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_0} \mathbf{x}^{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

so $LM(\mathbf{x}^\beta f) = \mathbf{x}^\beta LM(f)$. Therefore both $L(G)_\delta(l)$ and $L(I)_\delta(l)$ are cones with respect to $\mathcal{M}(l)$. This shows that $R(G)_\delta(l)$ and $R(I)_\delta(l)$ are indeed $R(l)$ -submodules of $R_\delta(l)$. They are finitely generated since $R_\delta(l)$ is a noetherian module. \square

Proposition 3.5 *Let \prec be a Newton ordering. Then \prec is noetherian.*

Proof: Let $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an increasing sequence of lead monomial sets, i.e. every L_i is either $L(G)$ for a finite set of polynomials $G \subset K[\mathbf{x}]$ or $L(I)$ for an ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$. Let $l \in \mathcal{L}$. By lemma 3.4 the monomials of $L_i \cap \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$ span over K a $R(l)$ -submodule R_i of $R_\delta(l)$. Hence $R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \dots$ is a increasing sequence of submodules. But $R_\delta(l)$ is noetherian, so this sequence gets stationary and so does the sequence $(L_i \cap \mathcal{M}_\delta(l))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since $|\mathcal{L}| < \infty$ also the sequence $(L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ gets stationary. \square

Corollary 3.6 *Let \prec be a Newton ordering. Then every ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ has a standard basis with respect to \prec .*

Corollary 3.7 *Let \prec be a normal Newton ordering. Then **NormalForm** terminates.*

Let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subseteq K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ be a finite set of homogeneous polynomials, let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ and $f \in I$ homogeneous. We want to construct a reducing set for (f, G) . Let S_l be a finite set of monomials which generates $R(\{f|_{t=1}\})_\delta(l)$ over $R(l)$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$ and let $S = \bigcup_{l \in \mathcal{L}} S_l$. For every $\mathbf{x}^\beta \in S$ there exists a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $LM(\mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f|_{t=1}) = \mathbf{x}^\beta$.

Proposition 3.8 $R = \{\mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \mid \mathbf{x}^\beta \in S\}$ is a reducing set for (f, G) .

Proof: Let $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $t^{\gamma_0} \mathbf{x}^\gamma = LM(\mathbf{x}^\alpha f) \in \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$. We have to find a representation of $\mathbf{x}^\alpha f$ as in definition 2.8. Then there exist monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \in R \cap \mathcal{M}_\delta(l)$ and $\mathbf{x}^\tau \in \mathcal{M}(l)$ such that $\mathbf{x}^\gamma = \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \mathbf{x}^\tau$ and $\mathbf{x}^\alpha = \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \mathbf{x}^\tau$. It follows from lemma 2.5 that there exists a homogeneous $g \in K[t, \mathbf{x}]$ with $LM(g|_{t=1}) = 1$, $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{T}_t$ and $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G \cup \{\mathbf{NormalForm}(\mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f, G) \mid \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \in R\}$ such that

$$g \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i h_i, \quad LM(g \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f) = LM(\xi_1 h_1) \succ LM(\xi_i h_i), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k.$$

But then $g \mathbf{x}^\alpha f = \mathbf{x}^\tau g \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}^\tau \xi_i h_i$ with

$$\begin{aligned} LM(g \mathbf{x}^\alpha f) &= LM(\mathbf{x}^\tau g \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f) = \mathbf{x}^\tau LM(g \mathbf{x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f) \\ &= \mathbf{x}^\tau LM(\xi_1 h_1) = LM(\mathbf{x}^\tau \xi_1 h_1) \\ &\succ LM(\mathbf{x}^\tau \xi_i h_i), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.9 *Let \prec be a normal Newton ordering. Then reducing sets do exist.*

Corollary 3.10 *Let \prec be a normal Newton ordering. Then **StandardBasis** terminates.*

4 Local orderings and zero dimensional ideals

Let \prec be a local ordering and let $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ be a zero dimensional ideal, i.e. an ideal with $\dim_K(K[\mathbf{x}]/I) < \infty$. In this case $S_{\prec}^{-1}K[\mathbf{x}] = K[\mathbf{x}]_{(\mathbf{x})} = K[\mathbf{x}]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$. We show that there always exists a finite standard basis of I . Moreover such a standard basis can be computed from a given standard basis with respect to another local semigroup ordering using only linear algebra.

Proposition 4.1 *Every zero dimensional ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ has a standard basis with respect to any local order.*

Proof: Since $\dim I = 0$ there exists a $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\mathbf{x})^m \subseteq I_{(\mathbf{x})}$. Then $L(I) \setminus (\mathbf{x})^m = \{\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k}\}$ is a finite set of monomials. There exist polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \bar{I}$ with $LM(f_i) = \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ together with all monomials of degree m is a standard basis of I with respect to \prec . \square

For a local Newton ordering a standard basis can be computed with our variant of Buchbergers algorithm. For an arbitrary local ordering however it is not clear that this works. In particular, we do not know the corresponding reducing sets. One the other hand in the case of zero dimensional ideals and global semigroup orderings, one can do the following: given a standard basis with respect to a given ordering, the FGLM-algorithm [FGLM] computes a standard basis with respect to another ordering using only linear algebra. The key is that zero dimensional ideals admit finite defining systems.

There are two reasons why the FGML-algorithm will not work in our case: Firstly, for a local ordering, a standard basis of I does not necessarily generate \bar{I} as a $K[\mathbf{x}]$ -module. The second reason is that the FGLM-algorithm depends on the fact that the set of lead monomials has the structure of a monomial ideal, which is in general only true for semigroup orderings.

Nevertheless it is possible to convert standard bases between different local orderings. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\mathbf{x})^m \subseteq I_{(\mathbf{x})}$ and let $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ be a standard basis of I with respect to \prec . Then \bar{I} is an ideal in $K[\mathbf{x}]$ with $(\mathbf{x})^m \subseteq I_{(\mathbf{x})}$. The following lemma shows the relation between G and \bar{I} .

Lemma 4.2 *G together with all monomials of degree m generates \bar{I} as $K[\mathbf{x}]$ -module.*

Proof: This follows immediately from $L(I) = L(\bar{I})$. \square

The algorithm

First choose a monomial ideal $Z \subseteq \bar{I}$ which contains a power of the maximal ideal. The best choice for Z would be the maximal monomial ideal contained in \bar{I} , but this ideal may be expensive to compute. Since Z contains a power of the maximal ideal, $M \setminus Z$ is a finite set of monomials. Consider the following partition of $M \setminus Z$:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in M \setminus Z \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin L(I)\}, \\ H &= \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in M \setminus Z \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in L(I)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $H = \{\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k}\}$ and $N = \{\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{k+1}}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{k+\mu}}\}$. There exist polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \bar{I}$ such that $LT(h_i) = \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. These polynomials are straightforward to compute from G . The ideal $\bar{I} \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ is an infinite dimensional K -vector space with basis $\{h_1, \dots, h_k\} \cup (\mathcal{M} \cap Z)$. So after some linear algebra we can assume $h_i - \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i} \in K\langle N \rangle$ (this simplification is just of cosmetic nature). Then

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & & 0 & * \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & 1 & \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k} \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{k+1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{k+\mu}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote the $k \times (k + \mu)$ matrix on the left hand side by A . Now reorder the monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{k+\mu}}$ with respect to a second local ordering \prec_2 . This defines a matrix \tilde{A} (which arises from A by permuting columns) on which we perform Gauss elimination. Call the resulting matrix again \tilde{A} . Then there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_{k+\mu}$ (operating on the columns of \tilde{A}) such that

$$\sigma(\tilde{A}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k)}} \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+1)}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+\mu)}} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & & 0 & * \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & 1 & \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k)}} \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+1)}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+\mu)}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h'_1 \\ \vdots \\ h'_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with $LT(h'_i) = \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(i)}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, thus $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k)}} \in L_{\prec_2}(I)$. As a K -vector space \bar{I} still has as basis $\{h'_1, \dots, h'_k\} \cup (Z \cap \mathcal{M})$, so $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+1)}}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+\mu)}} \notin L_{\prec_2}(I)$. Let Z_{gen} be a finite set of generators of the monomial ideal Z . Then by construction $\{h'_1, \dots, h'_k\} \cup Z_{gen}$ is a standard basis of I with respect to \prec_2 .

Now we formulate the algorithm. So let G be a standard basis of I with respect to \prec . Assume that we are given the following procedures:

ComputeZgen(G, \prec)

Input: G is a standard basis of a zero dimensional ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ with respect to the local ordering \prec .

Output: A reduced set of generators of a monomial ideal contained in \bar{I} which contains a power of (\mathbf{x}) .

GaussEliminate(A)

Input: A is a $k \times (k + \mu)$ matrix over K of rank k .

Output: The $k \times (k + \mu)$ matrix over K which arises from A by Gaussian elimination.

Then the description of our algorithm is as follows.

Algorithm 4.3

$G_2 := \mathbf{StandardBasisChange}(G, \prec, \prec_2)$
 $Z_{gen} := \mathbf{ComputeZgen}(G, \prec)$
 $M := \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin (Z_{gen})\}$
 $N := \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in M \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin L(G)\}$
 $H := M \setminus N$
 $F := \emptyset$
 FOR ALL $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in H$ DO
 choose the first $f \in G$ with $LM(\eta f) = \mathbf{x}^\alpha$ for some $\eta \in \mathcal{T}$
 $F := F \cup \{\eta f\}$
 $A :=$ the matrix of coefficients of all $f \in F$ with respect to M
 sorted according to \prec_2
 $A := \mathbf{GaussEliminate}(A)$
 $F :=$ the elements of the vector which arises from multiplying A with
 the column vector of the elements of M sorted according to \prec_2
 $G_2 := F \cup Z_{gen}$

Altogether we have proved the

Proposition 4.4 *Let G be a standard basis of the ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ with respect to the local ordering \prec and let \prec_2 be another local ordering. Then **StandardBasisChange** computes a standard basis of I with respect to \prec_2 .*

Corollary 4.5 *For every ideal $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ and every local ordering \prec*

$$\dim_K K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I} = |\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin L(I)\}|.$$

For degree orderings like *degrevlex*⁻ the set of generators Z_{gen} can be computed as follows: One computes the highest corner \mathbf{x}^τ of I , i.e. the smallest monomial not contained in $L(I)$. Then $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \prec \mathbf{x}^\tau$ implies $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \bar{I}$. For degree orderings the set $\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \succcurlyeq \mathbf{x}^\tau\}$ is finite. Let $Z_1 = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid |\alpha| = |\tau|, \mathbf{x}^\alpha \prec \mathbf{x}^\tau\}$ and

$Z_2 = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid |\alpha| = |\tau| + 1, \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin (Z_1)\}$. Then we may take $Z_{gen} = Z_1 \cup Z_2$. This will also work (with a few modifications) in the case of a weighted degree ordering or a Newton ordering.

5 Applications

Let \prec be a normal Newton ordering, $I \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ an ideal and G a standard basis of I with respect to \prec . The Newton filtration on $K[\mathbf{x}]$ induces a filtration on the quotient $K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I}$. Let $Gr_{\prec}K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{R}} (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_s / (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_{>s}$ be the associated graded module. Now assume that $\dim_K (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_s / (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_{>s} < \infty$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $P(t)$ be the Poincare series of $Gr_{\prec}K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I}$. The coefficients of this series can be computed as follows.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The coefficient c_s of t^s in $P(t)$ is*

$$c_s = \dim_K (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_s / (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_{>s} = |\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin L(I), w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = s\}|.$$

Proof: By definition the elements of $B = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin L(I), w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = s\}$ are linearly independent in the K -vector space $V = (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_s / (K[\mathbf{x}]/\bar{I})_{>s}$. Now let $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in L(I)$ be the smallest monomial of Newton weight $w_\delta(\mathbf{x}^\alpha) = s$. Then there exists a $f \in \bar{I}$ with $LM(f) = \mathbf{x}^\alpha$. By construction $f - LT(f) \in K \langle B \rangle \cup K[\mathbf{x}]_{>s}$, so $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in K \langle B \rangle$ in V . By induction this holds for all $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in L(I)$ of Newton weight s . \square

5.1 The spectrum of a hypersurface singularity

Let $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be the germ of a holomorphic function with an isolated critical point at $\mathbf{0}$. Let $J_f = (\partial f / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\}$ be the Jacobi ideal of f . The Milnor number of f

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\} / J_f)$$

is a topological invariant of f . Since f has an isolated singularity $\mu(f)$ is finite. Then f is $(\mu + 1)$ -determined, which means that f does not change its analytical type if we forget about terms of order higher than $\mu + 1$. So we can assume that f is given by a polynomial. Moreover there exists a $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $(\mathbf{x})^m \subseteq J_f$, so $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\} / J_f \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]_{(\mathbf{x})} / J_f$. This means that we can compute the Milnor number of f from a standard basis of J_f with respect to a local ordering \prec as

$$\mu(f) = |\mathcal{M} \setminus L(J_f)|.$$

The spectrum of f is an analytical invariant of f which is finer than the Milnor number. It consists of $\mu = \mu(f)$ rational numbers $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\mu\}$ which often are

written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} t^{\alpha_i}$. These rational numbers are defined in terms of the mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology of the Milnor fibre of f [AGV]. In many cases the spectrum is determined by the Newton polygon of f which is constructed as follows. If $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$ let $Or(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha})$ be the positive orthant in \mathbb{R}^n centred at $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in A$. Then the Newton polygon Σ_f of f is the convex hull of the union $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} Or(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha})$.

Let Σ_f^c be the set of compact faces of Σ_f . For every compact face $\sigma \in \Sigma_f^c$, let $f_{\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha \in A \cap \sigma} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$ be the sum of the monomials of f with support on σ .

Definition 5.2 f has *nondegenerate principal part* if for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_f^c$ the polynomials $\partial f_{\sigma} / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_{\sigma} / \partial x_n$ do not have a common root in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

For every compact face $\sigma \in \Sigma_f^c$ there exists a unique linear form $l_{\sigma}: \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ with $l_{\sigma}|_{\sigma} \equiv 1$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_f^c\}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, \dots, 1)$. Consider the Newton filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ associated to \mathcal{L} and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$. This induces a filtration on $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\}$. The following theorem is due to M. Saito.

Theorem 5.3 [S, KV] *If f has nondegenerate principal part then the spectrum of f coincides with the Poincare series of the artinian module $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\}/J_f$ graded by the Newton filtration.*

Now let \prec be a Newton ordering for \mathcal{L} and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$. Clearly \prec is local. Since we have assumed that f is given by a polynomial, as a consequence of proposition 5.1 and theorem 5.3 we get the

Corollary 5.4 *If f has nondegenerate principal part then the spectrum of f consists of the μ rational numbers*

$$\{w_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}) \mid \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \notin L(J_f)\}.$$

Example 5.5 Consider the surface singularity

$$\begin{aligned} f = & x^{12} + y^{12} + z^{12} + x^5 y^5 + x^5 z^5 + y^5 z^5 \\ & + xyz(x^2 y^2 + x^2 z^2 + y^2 z^2) + x^2 y^2 z^2. \end{aligned}$$

The principal part of f is nondegenerate and the Newton polygon of f has twelve faces:




Figure 4: Newton polygon of f

Using corollary 5.4 and algorithm 4.3, the spectrum of f is

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3t^{\frac{7}{12}} + 3t^{\frac{2}{3}} + 6t^{\frac{3}{4}} + 9t^{\frac{5}{6}} + 9t^{\frac{11}{12}} + 13t \\
& + 18t^{\frac{13}{12}} + 18t^{\frac{7}{6}} + 21t^{\frac{5}{4}} + 24t^{\frac{4}{3}} + 24t^{\frac{17}{12}} + 25t^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& + 24t^{\frac{19}{12}} + 24t^{\frac{5}{3}} + 21t^{\frac{7}{4}} + 18t^{\frac{11}{6}} + 18t^{\frac{23}{12}} + 13t^2 \\
& + 9t^{\frac{25}{12}} + 9t^{\frac{13}{6}} + 6t^{\frac{9}{4}} + 3t^{\frac{7}{3}} + 3t^{\frac{29}{12}} + t^{\frac{5}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular $\mu(f) = 323$ and $p_g(f) = 44$.

6 Concluding remarks

This work arose from the desire to have a program which computes the spectrum of an isolated hypersurface singularity. Our variant of the Buchberger algorithm has been successfully coded. However it turned out that it is much faster to compute a standard basis with respect to a semigroup ordering and to convert it to a standard basis with respect to the corresponding Newton ordering using **StandardBasisChange**. This not really a surprise: standard basis algorithms for semigroup orderings have been carefully optimised for years, see [GM, Ma].

The computation of the spectrum of an isolated hypersurface singularity with nondegenerate principal part is now implemented in the computer algebra program `Singular` and will hopefully be available in further releases. For convenience, our web site

www.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/AlgebraischeGeometrie/Spectrum/index.shtml

offers an interface to this implementation.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank D. van Straten for telling me about this problem, G.-M. Greuel for valuable suggestions and H. Schönemann for his support during the implementation. G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann gave me the opportunity to implement the computation of the spectrum of an isolated hypersurface singularity into `Singular`.

References

- [AGV] *I. V. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, A. N. Varchenko, Singularities of Differentiable Maps Volume II*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1988).
- [BW] *T. Becker, V. Weispfenning, Gröbner Bases*, Springer, New York (1993).
- [B] *B. Buchberger, Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal*, Thesis, Univ. Innsbruck (1965),
- [FGLM] *J. C. Faugere, P. Gianni, D. Lazard, T. Mora, Efficient computation of zero dimensional gröbner bases by change of ordering*, J. Symb. Computation **66** (1989), 163–176.
- [Gr] *H.-G. Gräbe, The tangent cone algorithm and homogenisation*, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra **97** (1994), 303–312.
- [GGM] *H. Grassmann, G.-M. Greuel, B. Martin, W. Neumann, G. Pfister, W. Pohl, H. Schönemann, T. Siebert, Standard bases, syzygies and their implementation in singular*, in *Reports On Computer Algebra 01* Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern (1996).
- [GP] *G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, Advances and improvements in the theory of standard bases and syzygies*, Arch. Math. **66** (1996), 163–176.

- [GPS] *G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann*, Singular version 1.2 User Manual, in *Reports On Computer Algebra* **21** Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern (1998),
<http://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/~zca/Singular>.
- [KV] *A. G. Khovanskii, A. N. Varchenko*, Asymptotics of integrals over vanishing cycles and the Newton Polyhedron, Soviet Math. Dokl. Vol. 32 **No. 1** (1985), 122–127.
- [Ma] *D.R. Grayson, M.E. Stillman*, *Macaulay 2 version 0.8.44*, (1998),
<http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2> .
- [Mo1] *T. Mora*, An algorithm to compute the equations of tangent cones, Proceedings of EUROCAM 82, LNCS **144** (1982), 24–31.
- [Mo2] *T. Mora*, Seven variations on standard bases, preprint (1989).
- [R] *L. Robbiano*, Termorderings on the polynomial ring, Proceedings of EUROCAL 85, LNCS **204** (1985), 513–517.
- [S] *M. Saito*, Exponents and Newton polyhedra of isolated hypersurface singularities, Math. Ann. 281 **No. 3** (1988), 411–417.

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität
 Fachbereich 17
 Staudinger-Weg 9
 55099 Mainz, Germany
endrass@mathematik.uni-mainz.de