

# On a stronger form of hereditary compactness in product spaces\*

Julian Dontchev

Department of Mathematics  
University of Helsinki  
PL 4, Yliopistonkatu 15  
00014 Helsinki 10  
Finland

Maximilian Ganster

Department of Mathematics  
Graz University of Technology  
Steyrergasse 30  
A-8010 Graz  
Austria

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of sg-compact spaces. The class of sg-compact spaces is a proper subclass of the class of hereditarily compact spaces. In our paper we shall consider sg-compactness in product spaces. Our main result says that if a product space is sg-compact, then either all factor spaces are finite, or exactly one factor space is infinite and sg-compact and the remaining ones are finite and locally indiscrete.

## 1 Introduction

If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is hereditarily compact, then under some additional assumptions either  $X$  or  $\tau$  might become finite (or countable). For example, if  $(X, \tau)$  is a second countable hereditarily compact space, then  $\tau$  is finite. Hence, if  $(X, \tau)$  is a second countable hereditarily compact  $T_0$ -space, then  $X$  must be countable. Moreover, it is well-known that every maximally hereditarily compact space and every hereditarily compact Hausdorff (even kc-) space is finite. For more information about hereditarily compact spaces we refer the reader to A.H. Stone's paper [15].

---

\*1991 Math. Subject Classification — Primary: 54B10, 54D30; Secondary: 54A05, 54G99.

Key words and phrases — sg-compact, hereditarily compact,  $C_2$ -space, semi-open, sg-open, sg-closed, hsg-closed.

In 1995 and in 1996, a stronger form of hereditary compactness was introduced independently in three different papers. Caldas [3], Devi, Balachandran and Maki [6] and Tapi, Thakur and Sonwalkar [17] considered topological spaces in which every cover by sg-open sets has a finite subcover. These spaces have been called *sg-compact* and were further studied by the present authors in [7].

As the property sg-compactness is much stronger than hereditary compactness (for even spaces with finite topologies need not be sg-compact), the general behavior of sg-compactness becomes more ‘unusual’ than the one of hereditarily compact spaces. This will be especially the case in product spaces.

It is well-known that the finite product of hereditarily compact spaces is hereditarily compact, and that if a product space is hereditarily compact, then every factor space is hereditarily compact. What we want to show here is the following: If the product space of an arbitrary family of spaces is sg-compact, then all but one factor spaces must be finite and the remaining one must be (at most) sg-compact. Maki, Balachandran and Devi [14, Theorem 3,7] showed (under the additional assumption that the product space satisfies the weak separation axiom  $T_{gs}$ ) that if the product of two spaces is sg-compact, then every factor space is sg-compact. Tapi, Thakur and Sonwalkar [17, Theorem 2.7] stated the result for two spaces but their proof is wrong as they claimed that the projection mapping is sg-irresolute. They used a wrong lemma from [16] saying that the product of sg-closed sets is sg-closed (we will show that this is not true even for two sets).

We recall some definitions. A set  $A$  is called *semi-open* if  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$  and *semi-closed* if  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$ . The *semi-interior* (resp. *semi-kernel*) of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{sint}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{sker}(A)$ ), is the union (resp. intersection) of all semi-open subsets (resp. supersets) of  $A$ . The *semi-closure* of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{scl}(A)$ , is the intersection of all semi-closed supersets of  $A$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *sg-open* [2] (resp. *g-open* [12]) if every semi-closed (resp. closed) subset of  $A$  is included in the semi-interior (resp. interior) of  $A$ . A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *sg-compact* [3, 6, 17] (resp. *go-compact* [1]) if every cover of  $X$  by sg-open (resp. g-open) sets has a finite subcover.

Complements of sg-open sets are called *sg-closed*. Alternatively, a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called sg-closed if  $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq \text{sker}(A)$ . If every subset of  $A$  is also sg-closed

in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A$  is called *hereditarily sg-closed* (= hsg-closed) [7]. Every nowhere dense subset is hsg-closed but not conversely.

Janković and Reilly [11, Lemma 2] pointed out that in an arbitrary topological space every singleton is either nowhere dense or locally dense. Recall that a set  $A$  is said to be *locally dense* [5] (= *preopen*) if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ . We will make significant use of their result throughout this paper.

**Lemma 1.1** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is locally indiscrete.
- (ii) Every singleton is locally dense.
- (ii) Every subset is sg-open.

**Lemma 1.2** (i) *Every open continuous surjective function is pre-semi-open, i.e., it preserves semi-open sets.*

(ii) *Let  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of spaces and  $\emptyset \neq A_i \subseteq X_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then,  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is preopen (resp. semi-open) in  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  if and only if  $A_i$  is preopen (resp. semi-open) in  $X_i$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $A_i$  is non-dense (resp.  $A_i \neq X_i$ ) for only finitely many  $i \in I$ .*

(iii) *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is open and continuous, then the preimage of every nowhere dense subset of  $Y$  is nowhere dense in  $X$ , i.e.,  $f$  is  $\delta$ -open.*

**Lemma 1.3** [7, Theorem 2.6] *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is sg-compact.
- (2)  $X$  is a  $C_3$ -space, i.e., every hsg-closed set is finite.

**Lemma 1.4** [7, Proposition 2.1] *For a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is hsg-closed.
- (2)  $N(X) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) = \emptyset$ , where  $N(X)$  denotes the set of nowhere dense singletons in  $X$ .

## 2 Sg-compactness in product spaces

We will start with an example showing that Theorem 2.1 of [17] is not true. There, the authors stated (without proof) that every sg-compact space is go-compact (it is our guess that they assumed that g-open sets are sg-open).

**Example 2.1** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be set of all positive integers. We consider the following topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  given by  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{U_n = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\} : n \geq 3\}$ .

We first show that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  is sg-compact. Observe that every singleton of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  is nowhere dense. Since every nonempty semi-open set has finite complement,  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  is semi-compact. By [7, Remark 2.7 (i)],  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  is sg-compact.

However, every singleton of  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  is g-open, and so  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  fails to be go-compact.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  be a product space. If infinitely many  $X_i$  are not indiscrete, then  $X$  contains an infinite nowhere dense subset.*

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be an infinite subset of  $I$  such that  $X_i$  is not indiscrete for each  $i \in J$ . We may choose  $J$  in such a way that  $I \setminus J$  is also infinite. Then, for each  $i \in J$ , there exists a closed set  $A_i \subseteq X_i$  distinct from the empty set and from  $X_i$ . Now form the product of all  $A_i$ ,  $i \in J$ , and of all  $X_i$ ,  $i \notin J$ , and call it  $A$ . Then  $A$  is closed in  $X$ , infinite and clearly nowhere dense.  $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 1.3 we therefore have:

**Corollary 2.3** *If a product space  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is sg-compact, then only finitely many  $X_i$  are not indiscrete.  $\square$*

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $(X_i, \tau_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of topological spaces. If the product space  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is sg-compact, then either all factor spaces are finite or exactly one of them is infinite and sg-compact and the rest are finite and locally indiscrete.*

*Proof.* Suppose that two factor spaces, say  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ , are infinite. Let  $p_i$  denotes the projection from  $X$  onto  $X_i$  for any  $i \in I$ . Let  $k \in I$ . If  $x_k \in X_k$ , then  $p_k^{-1}(\{x_k\})$  is infinite, hence cannot be nowhere dense since  $X$  is sg-compact. Thus  $\{x_k\}$  is not nowhere dense in  $X_k$ . Consequently, each factor space  $X_k$  must be locally indiscrete. By Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 1.2, each singleton in  $X$  is locally dense and so every subset of  $X$  is sg-open. Since  $X$  is sg-compact,  $X$  must be finite, a contradiction. Hence, at most one factor space can be infinite.

Now suppose that  $X_j$  is infinite and that  $X_i$  is finite for  $i \neq j$ . For each  $x_i \in X_i$ , where  $i \neq j$ ,  $p_i^{-1}(\{x_i\})$  is infinite, therefore  $\{x_i\}$  cannot be nowhere dense in  $X_i$ . So  $X_i$  is locally indiscrete for  $i \neq j$ . By Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 1.2 it follows that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is nowhere dense in  $X$  if and only if  $\{x_j\}$  is nowhere dense in  $X_j$ .

Assume now that  $X_j$  is not sg-compact. Then  $X_j$  contains an infinite hsg-closed subset, say  $A_j$ . Let  $A = p_j^{-1}(A_j)$ . We want to show that  $N(X) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) = \emptyset$ , where  $N(X)$  denotes the set of nowhere dense singletons in  $X$ . If there exists a point  $x \in N(X) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ , then  $x$  has an open neighbourhood  $W$  contained in  $\text{cl}(A)$ . Also,  $\{x_j\}$  is nowhere dense in  $X_j$  and  $x_j \in p_j(W) \subseteq p_j(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq \text{cl}(A_j)$ . So  $x_j \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(A_j))$ , a contradiction to the hsg-closedness of  $A_j$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.4,  $A$  is hsg-closed and infinite, a contradiction. Therefore,  $X_j$  is sg-compact.  $\square$

Tapi, Thakur and Sonwalkar [17, Theorem 2.7] stated our result for two topological spaces but their proof is wrong as they claimed the projection mapping being sg-irresolute. They used the wrong lemma from [16] that the product of sg-closed sets is sg-closed. The following example will correct their claims.

**Example 2.5** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Set  $A = \{b, c\}$ .

(i) First observe that  $A$  is sg-closed in  $(X, \tau)$  but  $A \times A$  is not sg-closed in  $X \times X$ , since  $A \times A \subseteq X \times X \setminus \{(a, c)\}$  and  $\text{scl}(A \times A) = X \times X$ .

(ii) If  $p$  is the projection mapping from  $X \times X$  onto  $X$ , then  $p^{-1}(A)$  is not sg-closed in  $X \times X$ , i.e., the projection map need not be always sg-irresolute.

(iii) We already noted that if  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is open and continuous, then the preimage of every nowhere dense subset of  $Y$  is nowhere dense in  $X$ . There is no similar result for

hsg-closed sets. If  $\sigma$  denotes the indiscrete topology on  $X$ , then  $S = \{a, b\}$  is hsg-closed in  $(X, \sigma)$  but  $q^{-1}(S)$  is not hsg-closed in  $(X, \sigma) \times (X, \tau)$ , where  $q$  denotes the projection mapping from  $(X, \sigma) \times (X, \tau)$  onto  $(X, \sigma)$ .

The following result shows when the inverse image of a hsg-closed set is also hsg-closed. Recall that a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called *almost open* if the image of every regular open set is open. We say that  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is *anti- $\delta$ -open* if the image of every nowhere dense singleton is nowhere dense. Observe that if  $Y$  is dense-in-itself and  $T_D$  (= singletons are locally dense), then  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is always anti- $\delta$ -open; in particular every real-valued function is anti- $\delta$ -open.

**Proposition 2.6** *If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an almost open, continuous, anti- $\delta$ -open surjection, then the inverse image of every hsg-closed set is hsg-closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be hsg-closed in  $Y$  and set  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . If for some nowhere dense singleton  $x$  of  $X$  we have  $x \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ , then  $f(x) \in f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq \text{int}(f(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A))) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(B))$ . Since  $f(x)$  is nowhere dense in  $Y$ ,  $B$  is not hsg-closed. By contradiction,  $A$  is hsg-closed.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7** (i) Let  $A$  be an infinite set with  $p \notin A$ . Let  $X = A \cup \{p\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, A, X\}$ . We observed in [7] that  $X \times X$  contains an infinite nowhere dense subset, so even the finite product of sg-compact spaces need not be sg-compact.

(ii) It is rather unexpected that the projection map fails to be sg-irresolute in general, since it is always irresolute and gs-irresolute.

The two examples of infinite sg-compact spaces in [7] and the infinite sg-compact space from Example 2.1 are not even weakly Hausdorff (however one of them is  $T_1$ ). As every hereditarily compact kc-space must be finite, it is natural to ask whether there are any infinite sg-compact semi-Hausdorff spaces (there do exist infinite hereditarily compact semi-Hausdorff spaces). Recall here that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *semi-Hausdorff* [13] if every two distinct points of  $X$  can be separated by disjoint semi-open sets.

Recall additionally that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *hyperconnected* if every open subset of  $X$  is dense, or equivalently, every pair of nonempty open sets has nonempty intersection. In the opposite case  $X$  is called *hyperdisconnected*. If every infinite open subspace of  $X$  is hyperdisconnected, then we will say that  $X$  is *quasi-hyperdisconnected*. Note that not only Hausdorff spaces but also semi-Hausdorff spaces are quasi-hyperdisconnected (but not vice versa).

**Proposition 2.8** *Every quasi-hyperdisconnected sg-compact space  $(X, \tau)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is infinite. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint non-empty open subsets of  $X$ . Note that either  $X \setminus U$  or  $X \setminus V$  is infinite. Assume that  $X \setminus U$  is infinite. Since  $\text{cl}(U) \setminus U$  is hsg-closed (in fact even nowhere dense), by Lemma 1.3,  $\text{cl}(U) \setminus U$  is finite and hence  $X \setminus \text{cl}(U)$  is infinite and open. Set  $A_1 = U$ . Since  $X$  is quasi-hyperdisconnected, proceeding as above, we can construct an open subset of  $X \setminus \text{cl}(U)$  and hence of  $X$ , say  $U_2$ , such that the complement of the closure of  $U_2$  in  $X \setminus \text{cl}(A_1)$  is infinite. Using the method above, we can construct an infinite pairwise disjoint family  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  of non-empty open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since sg-compact spaces are semi-compact and thus satisfy the finite chain condition,  $X$  must be finite.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9** *Every sg-compact, semi-Hausdorff space is finite.*

We have just seen that under some very low separation axioms, sg-compact spaces very easily become finite. If we replace the weak separation axiom with a weaker form of strong irresolvability, we again have finiteness. By definition, a nonempty topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *resolvable* [10] if  $X$  is the disjoint union of two dense (or equivalently codense) subsets. In the opposite case  $X$  is called *irresolvable*. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is *strongly irresolvable* [8] if no nonempty open set is resolvable.

**Proposition 2.10** *Every sg-compact space  $(X, \tau)$  which is the topological sum of a locally indiscrete space and a strongly irresolvable space is finite.*

*Proof.* We will use a result in [9] which states that a space is finite if and only if every cover by  $\beta$ -open sets (i.e., sets which are dense in some regular closed subspace) has a finite subcover. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of  $X$  by  $\beta$ -open sets, then by [4, Theorem 2.1] every element of  $\mathcal{U}$  is sg-open. Since  $X$  is sg-compact,  $\mathcal{U}$  has a finite subcover. This shows that  $X$  is finite.  $\square$

We already mentioned in Remark 2.7 that the product of two sg-compact spaces need not be sg-compact. Thus we have the natural question: When is the product of two sg-compact spaces also sg-compact? What turns out is that only in one very special case the product of a sg-compact space with another sg-compact space is also sg-compact. First we note a result whose proof is easy and hence omitted.

**Proposition 2.11** *Let  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  be a family of topological spaces. For the topological sum  $X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} X_\alpha$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$X$  is a sg-compact space.*
- (2) *Each  $X_\alpha$  is a sg-compact space and  $|\Omega| < \aleph_0$ .*

**Lemma 2.12** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any space and let  $(Y, \sigma)$  be indiscrete. Let  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  and let  $p : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  denote the projection. Then  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(p(A))) \times Y$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(x, y) \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $U_x \times Y \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ . Then  $x \in p(U_x) \subseteq \text{cl}(p(A))$  and so  $(x, y) \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(p(A))) \times Y$ .

Now, let  $x \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(p(A)))$  and  $y \in Y$ . Choose an open set  $U_x \subseteq X$  containing  $x$  such that  $U_x \subseteq \text{cl}(p(A))$ . We claim that  $U_x \times Y \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ . Suppose there is a point  $(x', y') \in U_x \times Y$  not in  $\text{cl}(A)$ . Then there exists an open set  $W_{x'} \subseteq U_x$  containing  $x'$  such that  $(W_{x'} \times Y) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $W_{x'} \cap p(A) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $(x, y) \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is sg-compact and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is finite and locally indiscrete, then  $X \times Y$  is sg-compact.*



*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is a finite topological sum of indiscrete spaces, by Proposition 2.11 it suffices to assume that  $Y$  is indiscrete. Suppose that  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  is infinite and hsg-closed. Then,  $p(A)$  is infinite and hence, by Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, we have  $N(X) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(p(A))) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in N(X) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(p(A)))$  and  $y \in Y$ . Then,  $\{(x, y)\}$  is nowhere dense in  $X \times Y$  and, by Lemma 2.12, we have  $(x, y) \in \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ , a contradiction to the hsg-closedness of  $A$ . Thus  $X \times Y$  is sg-compact.  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and H. Maki, On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math.*, **12** (1991), 5–13.
- [2] P. Bhattacharyya and B.K. Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, *Indian J. Math.*, **29** (3) (1987), 375–382.
- [3] M.C. Caldas, Semi-generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, *Portugal. Math.*, **52** (4) (1995), 399–407.
- [4] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. Reilly, On sg-closed sets and  $g\alpha$ -closed sets, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math.*, **20** (1999), to appear.
- [5] H.H. Corson and E. Michael, Metrizable of certain countable unions, *Illinois J. Math.*, **8** (1964), 351–360.
- [6] R. Devi, K. Balachandran and H. Maki, Semi-generalized homeomorphisms and generalized semi-homeomorphisms in topological spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26** (3) (1995), 271–284.
- [7] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, More on sg-compact spaces, *Portugal. Math.*, **55** (1998), to appear.
- [8] J. Foran and P. Liebnitz, A characterization of almost resolvable spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II*, **40** (1991), 136–141.
- [9] M. Ganster, Every  $\beta$ -compact space is finite, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **84** (1992), 287–288.
- [10] E. Hewitt, A problem of set-theoretic topology, *Duke Math. J.*, **10** (1943), 309–333.
- [11] D. Janković and I. Reilly, On semiseparation properties, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **16** (9) (1985), 957–964.
- [12] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **19** (2) (1970), 89–96.

- [13] S.N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, Some new separation axioms, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, **89** (3) (1975), 395–407.
- [14] H. Maki, K. Balachandran and R. Devi, Remarks on semi-generalized closed sets and generalized semi-closed sets, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **36** (1996), 155–163.
- [15] A.H. Stone, Hereditarily compact sapces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **82** (1960), 900–916.
- [16] U.D. Tapi, S.S. Thakur and A. Sonwalkar, A note on semi-generalized closed sets, *Qatar Univ. Sci. J.*, **14** (2) (1994), 217–218.
- [17] U.D. Tapi, S.S. Thakur and A. Sonwalkar, S.g. compact spaces, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **18** (2) (1996), 255–258.

E-mail: dontchev@cc.helsinki.fi, ganster@weyl.math.tu-graz.ac.at