

ON THE QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY OF BLOW-UPS OF PROJECTIVE SPACES ALONG LINEAR SUBSPACES.

MARCO MAGGESI

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit presentation with generators and relations of the quantum cohomology ring of the blow-up of a projective space along a linear subspace.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let \mathbb{P}^m be the complex projective space, $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ a linear subspace of dimension p and $\alpha: \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^m along Λ . Let k be the hyperplane class on \mathbb{P}^m and η the exceptional divisor on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$. The aim of the present paper is to show a way to compute the quantum cohomology ring $H_Q(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m)$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$. The (classical) cohomology ring of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ can be expressed as (compare with lemma 2.5)

$$(1) \quad H^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m) = \mathbb{Z}[k, \eta]/(g_1, g_2),$$

where the two relations are

$$(2) \quad g_1(k, \eta) = (k - \eta)^{m-p}, \quad g_2(k, \eta) = k^{p+1}\eta.$$

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.1 (Main theorem). *Suppose that $2p + 3 < m$. Then the quantum cohomology ring of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ can be expressed as*

$$(3) \quad H_Q^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m) = \mathbb{Z}[k, \eta]/(\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2),$$

where the two relations are

$$(4) \quad \tilde{g}_1(k, \eta) = (k - \eta)^{m-p} - \eta, \quad \tilde{g}_2(k, \eta) = k^{p+1}\eta - 1.$$

The blow-up $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ can be regarded as a projective bundle on a projective space (proposition 2.1). The quantum cohomology of projective bundles on projective spaces was studied by Qin and Ruan in [8]. The relevant material from their work will be enclosed here for completeness. Other studies on quantum cohomology of blow-ups of projective spaces can be found in [5], [3] and [4].

I wish to thank professor V. Ancona for many useful discussions and for his encouragement.

2. $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ AS PROJECTIVE BUNDLE.

Let $n := m - p - 1$, $r := p + 2$ and V be the rank- r vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n given by

$$(5) \quad V := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2).$$

and consider the associate \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundle $\pi: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

Proposition 2.1. *The two varieties $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ and $\mathbb{P}(V)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Consider \mathbb{P}^m as the projective space $\mathbf{P}(U)$ of lines of a vector space U of dimension $m+1$ and $\Lambda = \mathbf{P}(U_0)$, where U_0 is a subspace of U of dimension $p+1$ (We will use “ \mathbb{P} ” for projective spaces of hyperplanes and “ \mathbf{P} ” for projective spaces of lines). Two subspaces L, W of U of dimension 1 and $p+2$ determine points $[L] \in \mathbf{P}(U)$ and $[W/U_0] \in \mathbf{P}(U/U_0)$ respectively. The blow-up $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ can be identified with the closed incidence subvariety $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(U) \times \mathbf{P}(U/U_0)$ defined by $Y := \{([L], [W/U_0]): L \subset W\}$ and $\alpha: \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ is the projection on the first factor restricted to Y :

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(U/U_0) & & ([L], [W/U_0]) & \longrightarrow & [W/U_0] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathbf{P}(U) & & [L] & & \end{array}$$

Note that $Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(U/U_0)$ is a projective bundle $\mathbf{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(U/U_0)$, where E is the vector bundle $E = \{(w, [W]) \in U \times \mathbf{P}(U/U_0)\}$. We want to show that $\mathbf{P}(E)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V)$ are isomorphic.

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U_0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow U/U_0 \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

The above sequence splits ($\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1), U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$), so $E = (U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$. Since $V = E^*(1)$, then $\mathbb{P}(V) \simeq \mathbb{P}(E^*(1)) \simeq \mathbb{P}(E^*) \simeq \mathbf{P}(E)$. \square

The exceptional locus $\alpha^{-1}(\Lambda) \simeq \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n$ of the blow-up $\alpha: \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ corresponds to the trivial sub-bundle $\mathbb{P}(U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ of $\pi: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ under the isomorphism of proposition 2.1. The two restrictions $\alpha|_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $\pi|_{\mathbb{P}(U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))}: \mathbb{P}(U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ are the canonical projections of the product $\mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n$.

Let h be the hyperplane bundle on \mathbb{P}^n and $\xi = \xi_V$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. We will make no distinction between h and their corresponding pull-back π^*h on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Note that $\xi - h$ and h are nef on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. It is well known that the classical cohomology ring of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is generated by h, ξ with the two relations

$$(8) \quad f_1(h, \xi) = h^{n+1}$$

$$(9) \quad f_2(h, \xi) = (\xi - h)^{r-1}(\xi - 2h) = \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k c_k h^k \xi^{r-k},$$

where $c_i = c_i(V)$ are the Chern classes of V .

The homology classes $A_1 := (h^n \xi^{r-2})_*$ and $A_2 := (h^{n-1} \xi^{r-1} - r h^n \xi^{r-2})_*$ form a system of generators for $H_2(\mathbb{P}(V))$ ($(-)_*$ stands for the Poincaré dual) and

$$(10) \quad (\xi - h)(A_1) = 1, \quad (\xi - h)(A_2) = 0, \quad h(A_1) = 0, \quad h(A_2) = 1.$$

Proposition 2.2. *The variety $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is Fano and the homology classes A_1, A_2 are extremal rays for $NE(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m)$. The canonical divisor is $-K = -K_{\mathbb{P}(V)} = r(\xi - h) + nh$.*

Proof. In fact, $-K = r\xi + (n + 1 - c_1(V))h = r(\xi - h) + nh$. Let C be an effective curve and $[C] = a_1A_1 + a_2A_2$. From (10) we have $(\xi - h) \cdot [C] = a_1$ and $h \cdot [C] = a_2$. Since $\xi - h$ and h are nef, $a_1 \geq 0$ and $a_2 \geq 0$. Moreover, if C is not constant, $a_1 > 0$ or $a_2 > 0$ and $-K \cdot [C] = ra_1 + na_2 > 0$. Since h and $\xi - h$ are globally generated, $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is Fano. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The classes A_1 and A_2 can be represented by rational connected curves as follows:*

- (i) $A_1 = [\ell]$, where ℓ is a line contained in a fiber of $\pi: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.
- (ii) $A_2 = [\tilde{\ell}]$, where $\tilde{\ell} := [\{P\} \times \ell] \subset \mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ with P a point in $\mathbb{P}(U_0)$ and ℓ a line in \mathbb{P}^n .

Moreover, there are no other means to represent A_1 and A_2 as class of a rational connected curve beside (i) and (ii).

Proof. (i) If ℓ is a line in a fiber π , then $\xi \cdot [\ell] = 1$ and $h \cdot [\ell] = 0$, hence $[\ell] = A_1$ by (10). Conversely, let ℓ be a rational connected curve in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ such that $A_1 = [\ell]$. The curve E is contained in a fiber $\pi^{-1}(Q)$ as $[\pi(\ell)] = h^n$. Since $\xi \cdot A_2 = 1$, then $\xi|_{\pi^{-1}(Q)} \cdot [\ell] = 1$ in $\pi^{-1}(Q)$, that is ℓ is a line in $\pi^{-1}(Q)$.

- (ii) Now let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a line and $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$. Note that $(\xi - h)|_{\mathbb{P}(U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))} = \xi_{V(-1)}|_{\mathbb{P}(U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})}$ is the pull-back of the hyperplane divisor of $\mathbb{P}(U_0)$ through the canonical projection $\mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(U_0)$. Then $(\xi - h) \cdot [\ell] = 0$ and $h \cdot [\ell] = 1$ therefore $[\ell] = A_2$ by (10). Conversely, let $\tilde{\ell}$ be a rational connected curve in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ such that $A_2 = [\tilde{\ell}]$ and $\ell = \pi(\tilde{\ell})$. Since $h \cdot [\tilde{\ell}] = 1$, then $\pi|_{\tilde{\ell}}: \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell$ is an isomorphism and ℓ is a line in \mathbb{P}^n . The inclusion $\tilde{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is induced by a surjective morphism $f: V|_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(t)$, where $t = c_1(\xi|_{\ell}) = \xi \cdot [\tilde{\ell}] = 1$ (see [6] II Prop. 7.12). Every morphism $V|_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(1)$ factors through $V|_{\ell} \rightarrow U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\ell}(1)$; hence $\tilde{\ell}$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n$ and has the form $\{P\} \times \ell$. \square

So far we have described classes A_1 and A_2 in the language of projective bundles, now we are going to describe them in the language of blow-ups. Note that the preimage $\alpha^{-1}(\Lambda)$ of Λ under the blow-up morphism $\alpha: \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\alpha|_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n}: \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \Lambda$ is the canonical projection on the first factor. Let $\tilde{\ell}_1$ be the strict transform in the blow-up of a line $\ell_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ not included in Λ and meeting Λ in one point and $\tilde{\ell}_2 := \{P\} \times \ell_2 \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^n = \alpha^{-1}(\Lambda)$ where P is a point of Λ and ℓ_2 is a line in \mathbb{P}^n .

Lemma 2.4. *Under the isomorphism $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m \simeq \mathbb{P}(V)$, homology classes $B_1 = [\tilde{\ell}_1]$ and $B_2 = [\tilde{\ell}_2]$ correspond respectively to A_1 and A_2 .*

Proof. It is obvious that B_2 corresponds to A_2 since both are described as the class of a curve of the form $\{P\} \times \ell \subset \mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n$.

With notations from proposition 2.1, ℓ_1 is determined by a plane $S_{\ell_1} \subset U$ such that $S_{\ell_1} \cap U_0$ has dimension 1 and $\tilde{\ell}_1$ can be described as the subset

of Y of points of the form $([L], [S_{\ell_1}])$ for all subspaces $L \subset S_{\ell_1}$ of dimension 1. Then $\tilde{\ell}_1$ can be regarded as a line in the fiber of the projective bundle $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(U/U_0)$ over the point $[S_{\ell_1}/U_0]$, that is B_1 corresponds to A_1 . \square

Corollary 2.5. *Under the isomorphism $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m \simeq \mathbb{P}(V)$, cohomology classes k, η correspond respectively to $\xi - h, \xi - 2h$. The classical cohomology ring of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$ is given by*

$$(11) \quad \mathrm{H}^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[k, \eta]/(g_1, g_2),$$

where $g_1(k, \eta) = (k - \eta)^{n+1} = (k - \eta)^{m-p}$, $g_2(k, \eta) = k^{r-1}\eta = k^{p+1}\eta$.

Proof. The statement follows easily from (10), from equations

$$(12) \quad k \cdot B_1 = 1, \quad k \cdot B_2 = 0, \quad \eta \cdot B_1 = 1, \quad \eta \cdot B_2 = -1$$

and from the previous lemma. \square

3. STUDY OF $\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)$ be the moduli space of morphisms $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ with $[\mathrm{Im} f] = A$ where A is a class in $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbb{P}(V))$. Recall that the virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)$ is

$$(13) \quad \mathrm{virt}\dim(\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)) = -K \cdot A + n + r - 1.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(A_1, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is smooth, compact and has expected dimension.*

Proof. By lemma 2.3, $\mathfrak{M}(A_1, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \simeq G(2, r) \times \mathbb{P}^n$, where $G(2, r)$ is the grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} ; then it is smooth, compact and has dimension $\dim G(2, r) + \dim \mathbb{P}^n = n + 2r - 4 = -K \cdot A_1 + \dim \mathbb{P}(V) - 3$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(A_2, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is smooth, compact and has expected dimension.*

Proof. By lemma 2.3, $\mathfrak{M}(A_2, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a $\mathbb{P}(U_0)$ -bundle on $G(2, n+1)$. Then it is smooth, compact and has dimension $\dim G(2, n+1) + \dim \mathbb{P}(U_0) = 2n + r - 4 = -K \cdot A_2 + \dim \mathbb{P}(V) - 3$. \square

4. COMPUTATION OF GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS.

If A belongs to $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbb{P}(V))$ and α, β, γ are classes in $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathbb{P}(V))$, $I_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ denotes, as usual, the Gromov-Witten invariant. If one assume the genericity condition

- (\star) the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is smooth, compact, of expected dimension $-K_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \cdot A + (n + r - 1) - 3$

the enumerative interpretation of the Gromov-Witten invariants holds, that is, if B, C, D are three sub-varieties of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ in general position representing classes α, β, γ , then $I_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the number of rational curves, counted with suitable multiplicity, that intersect B, C and D .

We recall that a sufficient condition for the smoothness of the space $\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is given by the vanishing of $h^1(N_f)$ for every map $f \in \mathfrak{M}(A, 0)$, where N_f is the normal bundle. Moreover, if A can be represented only by irreducible and reduced curves, $\mathfrak{M}(A, 0)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is compact.

Lemma 4.1. *If $q_1 + q_2 < br$, then $I_{bA_1}(h^{p_1}\xi^{q_1}, h^{p_2}\xi^{q_2}, \alpha) = 0$.*

Proof. Let B, C, D be three varieties in general position dual to $h^{p_1}\xi^{q_1}$, $h^{p_1}\xi^{q_1}$, $h^p\xi^q$ respectively. It is enough to show that there is no effective connected curve E representing A and intersecting B, C, D . In fact, the genericity condition (\star) can be relaxed by assuming (compare with [8] Lemma 3.7):

$h^1(N_f) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{M}(A, 0)$ such that $\text{Im}(f)$ intersects B, C, D and there is no reducible or non reduced effective (connected) curve E such that $[E] = A$ and E intersects B, C, D .

We can choose α of the form $h^p h^q$ with $q \leq r-1$ and $p+p_1+p_2+q+q_1+q_2 = n+r-1+br$. Notice that $\pi(B), \pi(C), \pi(D)$ in \mathbb{P}^n are dual to h^{p_1}, h^{p_2}, h^p . Since A_1 is an extremal ray, every irreducible component of E is a multiple of A_1 and hence contained in a fiber of π . Therefore the whole E is contained in a fiber of π as E is connected. We deduce that $\pi(B) \cap \pi(C) \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$; thus $p_1 + p_2 + p \leq n$ and $q_1 + q_2 = n + r - 1 + br - p_1 - p_2 - p - q \geq br$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *We have $I_{A_1}(\xi, \xi^{r-1}, h^n \xi^{r-1}) = 1$.*

Proof. By lemma 3.1, $\mathfrak{M}(A_1, 0)$ is smooth, compact, of expected dimension. The class $h^n \xi^{r-1}$ is the dual of a point q in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Let $p := \pi(q)$. A parametrized curve in $\mathfrak{M}(A_1, 0)$ meeting q has support on a line $\ell \subset \pi^{-1}(q)$. Since $\xi|_{\pi^{-1}(q)}$ is the cohomology class of a hyperplane in $\pi^{-1}(q)$, then $I_{A_1}(\xi, \xi^{r-1}, h^n \xi^{r-1}) = 1$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let \tilde{n} be an integer and $1 \leq \tilde{n} \leq n$. Then*

$$(14) \quad I_{A_2}(h^{\tilde{n}}, h^{n+1-\tilde{n}}, h^n \xi^{r-2}) = 1,$$

$$(15) \quad I_{A_2}(h^{\tilde{n}}, h^{n+1-\tilde{n}}, h^{n-1} \xi^{r-1}) = r - 1.$$

Proof. By lemma 3.2, $\mathfrak{M}(A_2, 0)$ is smooth, compact, of expected dimension. As explained in lemma 2.3, A_2 is represented by curves $\tilde{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\ell := \pi(\tilde{\ell}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a line.

First we prove equation (14). Let B, C, D be three sub-varieties of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ in general position representing $h^{\tilde{n}}, h^{n+1-\tilde{n}}, h^n \xi^{r-2}$; then $\pi(B), \pi(C)$ are linear subspaces of \mathbb{P}^n of dimension $\tilde{n}, \tilde{n} - 1$ and $\pi(D)$ is a point. Assume that $A_2 = [\tilde{\ell}]$ and $\tilde{\ell}$ intersects B, C, D . Then ℓ is uniquely determined as the only line meeting $\pi(B), \pi(C)$ and $\pi(D)$. Since D is a line in the fiber of $\pi: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, then $D \cap (\mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ is a set of a single point $P \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. It follows that $\tilde{\ell} = \{P\} \times \ell \subset \mathbb{P}(U_0) \times \mathbb{P}^n$; hence (14) holds.

Now let us show that

$$(16) \quad I_{A_2}(h^{\tilde{n}}, h^{n+1-\tilde{n}}, h^{n-1} \xi^{r-1} + (1-r)h^n \xi^{r-2}) = 0.$$

By linearity, after the proof of equation (14) this is equivalent to equation (15). Let ℓ' be a general line in \mathbb{P}^n and $f: V|_{\ell'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\ell'}(2)$ be a general surjective morphism of vector bundles. Let $\tilde{\ell}'$ be the image of the induced embedding $\mathbb{P}(f): \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\ell'}(2)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{\ell'})$. The curve $\tilde{\ell}'$ represents $h^{n-1} \xi^{r-1} + (1-r)h^n \xi^{r-2}$ since $\xi \cdot [\tilde{\ell}] = 2$ and $h \cdot [\tilde{\ell}] = 1$. Observe that $\tilde{\ell} \cap \tilde{\ell}' = \emptyset$, indeed, the two trivial sub-bundles $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$ and $\mathbb{P}(U_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$

of $\mathbb{P}(V)$, which contain $\tilde{\ell}$ and $\tilde{\ell}'$ respectively, do not intersect. This implies equation (16). \square

5. THE QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY RING OF $\mathbb{P}(V)$:
PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM.

As we are going to work with two ring structures, classical and quantum, on the cohomology group $H^*(\mathbb{P}(V))$, we have to fix some notation. If α, β are cohomology classes in $H^*(\mathbb{P}(V))$ then $\alpha^{*i} * \beta^{*j}$ is the quantum product of i copies of α and j copies of β . For the classical product we write $\alpha^i \beta^j$ as usual. For any homology class $A \in H_2(\mathbb{P}(V))$ we denote by $(\alpha * \beta)_A$ the contribution of A to the product $\alpha * \beta$ defined as follows. Let d be the integer $d := \deg(\alpha) + \deg(\beta) + K \cdot A$. Then $(\alpha * \beta)_A = 0$ for $d < 0$. For $d \geq 0$, $(\alpha * \beta)_A$ is the class of degree d in $H^*(\mathbb{P}(V))$ satisfying the property

$$(17) \quad (\alpha * \beta)_A \cdot \gamma_* = I_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

for every $\gamma \in H^*(\mathbb{P}(V))$ with $\deg \gamma = \dim \mathbb{P}(V) - d$, being $I_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the Gromov-Witten invariant. The quantum product is given by

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha * \beta &= \sum_{A \in H_2(\mathbb{P}(V))} (\alpha * \beta)_A \\ &= \alpha\beta + (\alpha * \beta)_{[E_1]} + (\alpha * \beta)_{[E_2]} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

for some non constant effective curves $[E_1], [E_2], \dots$

Lemma 5.1. *If $r < n$, the two relations*

$$(19) \quad h^{*(n+1)} = \xi - 2h, \quad (\xi - h)^{*(r-1)} * (\xi - 2h) = 1$$

hold in $H_Q^*(\mathbb{P}(V))$.

Proof. Since $r = \min(-K \cdot A_1, -K \cdot A_2)$, we have $h^{*p} * \xi^{*q} = h^p \xi^q$ whenever $p+q < r$. Moreover, for $p+q < n$, the contributions to the quantum product $h^{*p} * \xi^{*q}$ can only arise from curves of type tA_1 . In particular, lemma 4.1 implies that $h^{*p} * \xi^{*q} = h^p \xi^q$ for $p < n$ and $q < r$. By lemma 4.2 we have $\xi^{*r} = 1$, then

$$(\xi - h)^{*(r-1)} * (\xi - 2h) = (\xi - h)^{r-1} (\xi - 2h) + 1 = 1.$$

Analogously, by lemma 4.3 we have $(h^{\tilde{n}} * h^{n+1-\tilde{n}})_{A_2} = \xi - 2h$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h^{*(n+1)} &= h^{*\tilde{n}} * h^{*(n+1-\tilde{n})} = h^{\tilde{n}} * h^{n+1-\tilde{n}} \\ &= h^{n+1} + (h^{\tilde{n}} * h^{n+1-\tilde{n}})_{A_2} = \xi - 2h. \end{aligned}$$

\square

We are finally ready to prove the main result of this work.

Proof of theorem 1.1. If $2p+3 < m$, one has $r < n$ and lemma 5.1 applies. Relations (19) can be easily translated to the ring $H_Q^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m)$ with the aid of lemma 2.5, obtaining the two relations (4). Then \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 are the classical relations (2) evaluated in the quantum cohomology ring. By theorem 2.2 in [9], the quantum cohomology ring of $H_Q^*(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^m)$ is the ring generated by k, η with the two relations \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 (compare also with proposition 10 of [2]). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnaud Beauville. Quantum cohomology of complete intersections. *Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.*, 2(3-4):384–398, 1995, arXiv:alg-geom/9501008.
- [2] William Fulton and Rahul Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology. In Kollar, Janos, et al., editors, *Algebraic geometry.*, number 62 in Proc. Symp. Pure Math., pages 45–96. American Mathematical Society., July 1997, arXiv:alg-geom/9608011. Proceedings of the Summer Research Institute, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, July 9–29, 1995. Providence.
- [3] Andreas Gathmann. Counting rational curves with multiple points and Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups, arXiv:alg-geom/9609010.
- [4] Andreas Gathmann. Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups, arXiv:math.AG/9804043.
- [5] Lothar Göttsche and Rahul Pandharipande. The quantum cohomology of blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 and enumerative geometry. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 48(1):61–90, 1998, arXiv:alg-geom/9611012.
- [6] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry.* Number 53 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1983.
- [7] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler. *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces.* Number 3 in Progress in mathematics. Birkhäuser, Boston - Basel - Stuttgart, 1980.
- [8] Zhenbo Qin and Yongbin Ruan. Quantum cohomology of projective bundles over \mathbb{P}^n . *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 350(9):3615–3638, 1998, arXiv:math.AG/9607223.
- [9] Bernd Siebert and Gang Tian. On quantum cohomology rings of Fano manifolds and a formula of Vafa and Intriligator. *Asian J. Math.*, 1(4):679–695, 1997, arXiv:alg-geom/9403010.

E-mail address: maggesi@math.unifi.it

URL: <http://www.math.unifi.it/~maggesi/>