

INTRODUCTION

This is an expanded version of my paper *Orbits and invariants of the supergroup* GQ_n . Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 26 (1992), no. 1, 69–71 (in Russian)

Problem formulation. For classical Lie groups the problem of description of invariants is completely solved by H. Weyl. In the theory of (super)matrices over a supercommutative superalgebra Λ there naturally arise several problems of description of invariants (the necessary background is given in §1):

There are two superanalogs of the matrix algebra. They are denoted by $\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)$ and $Q(n; \Lambda)$, respectively, on each of these analogs the corresponding group of invertible matrices, $GL(p|q; \Lambda)$ or $GQ(n; \Lambda)$, respectively, acts by conjugations. The actions of $GL(p|q; \Lambda)$ and $GQ(n; \Lambda)$ preserve the parity of matrices, i.e., the decomposition of matrices into even and odd ones:

$$\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}} \oplus \text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(n; \Lambda) = Q(n; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}} \oplus Q(n; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}$$

and, therefore, the invariants of even matrices and the invariants of odd matrices should be described separately. Taking into account a canonical isomorphism $Q(n; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}} \cong Q(n; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}$ of $GQ(n; \Lambda)$ -modules, we get the following three problems of description of invariants of supermatrices:

- 1) $GL(p|q; \Lambda)$ -invariant functions on $\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}$;
- 2) $GL(p|q; \Lambda)$ -invariant functions on $\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}$;
- 3) $GQ(n; \Lambda)$ -invariant functions on $Q(n; \Lambda)_0$.

These are precisely the problems that we are going to study. More exactly, in accordance with the general principles stated in [L], v. 30, §2.4, we should consider these problems functorially in Λ , which in simpler words means that the answer should not depend on Λ .

Recall (cf. [W]) that the description of invariants of $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ consists of two separate statements:

- first, any invariant function of a matrix $A \in \text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C})$ is a function in $\text{tr}A, \dots, \text{tr}A^n$ and
- second, polynomial invariant functions *polynomially* depend on $\text{tr}A, \dots, \text{tr}A^n$.

The first statement is related to the fact that in $\text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C})$ there exists a dense set of matrices that can be reduced to the diagonal form and the second statement is related with the theorem on symmetric polynomials.

In the classical invariant theory the algebra of invariant polynomials usually has a finite set of polynomial generators (Nötherian property). In the supercase, as well as over fields of prime characteristic, this is not so and the above listed problems give us counterexamples.

For the first of these problems, however, F. Berezin and Kac proved that any invariant polynomial on $\text{Mat}(p|q; \mathbb{C})_{\bar{0}}$ can be expressed in terms of $p + q$ polynomials in $\text{str}A, \dots, \text{str}A^{p+q}$ *but not necessarily in a polynomial way*, for instance, as a ratio of two polynomials.

This theorem whose proof is only contained in the English version of Berezin's posthumous book [Be] (see also [Ka]) also consists of two statements:

(1) on existence of a dense in $\text{Mat}(p|q; \mathbb{C})_{\bar{0}}$ set of diagonalizable matrices with pair-wise distinct eigenvalues and

(2) on a possibility to *rationally* express any polynomial in (even) variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q$ symmetric, separately, in λ and in μ in terms of functions $s_1(\lambda, \mu), \dots, s_{p+q}(\lambda, \mu)$, where

$$s_i(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_j \lambda_j^i - \sum_j \mu_j^i.$$

Taking into account the fact that the remarkable invariant function on $\text{Mat}(p|q; \mathbb{C})_{\bar{0}}$ — the Berezinian — is not polynomial but is a rational function, it is natural to interpret the above mentioned theorem as the following statement *the algebra of invariant rational functions on $\text{Mat}(p|q; \mathbb{C})_{\bar{0}}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of rational functions in $p + q$ even generators $\text{str}A, \dots, \text{str}A^{p+q}$, cf. [Ka].*

Related results. At present, the knowledge of $GQ(n)$ -invariants is scanty. On $Q(n)_{\bar{0}}$, there are analogues of the trace and determinant — odd $GQ(n)$ -invariant functions, $\text{qtr}A$ and $\text{qet}A$, the first of which is linear and the second one rational, [BL].

A. Sergeev proved in [S] that the algebra of GQ -invariant *polynomials* on $Q(n)_{\bar{0}}$ is generated by the infinite set of polynomials

$$\text{qtr}A, \text{qtr}A^2, \dots, \text{qtr}A^n, \dots$$

An implicit description of invariant polynomials on $Q(n)$ is also contained in [F]. ??

There are natural maps

$$\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}} \longrightarrow \text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}; \quad M \mapsto M^2$$

and

$$Q(n, \Lambda)_{\bar{0}} \longrightarrow \text{Mat}(n|n, \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}; \quad (A_0 + A_1) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 \\ A_1 & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

but these maps do not give any invariants for $\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}$ and $Q(n, \Lambda)$, since the supertraces of the images under the above mappings are zero.

Observe two discouraging circumstances concerning invariant functions on $Q(n)$.

First, one can show (see §3) that there is no finite set of invariant functions that can generate all the other invariant functions. Second, the invariant functions on $Q(n)$ carry very few information on the corresponding $GQ(n)$ -orbits. In particular, it is impossible to determine from the value of all the invariant polynomials at a given matrix whether the matrix is invertible or not.

Our result. Here I describe $GQ(n; \Lambda)$ -invariant functions on $Q(n; \Lambda)$ and $GL(n; \Lambda)$ -invariant functions on $\text{Mat}(n|n; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}$ (functorially in Λ). More exactly, I describe *the invariants of the action of Lie supergroups $GQ(n)$ and $GL(n|n)$ on the corresponding supermanifolds denoted by $Q(n)$ and $\text{Odd}(n)$.*

The answer obtained is interpreted in terms of $GL(n; \Lambda)$ -invariants on $Q(n; \Lambda)$ and $GL(n|n; \Lambda)$ -invariants on $\text{Mat}(n|n; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}$ described functorially in Λ .

In what follows, in order to describe the invariant functions we will use *semi-invariants*, the functions which are not invariant but which under the action of the supergroup accrew summands that belong to the ideal generated by invariant functions.

It turns out that any $GQ(n)$ -invariant function on $Q(n)$ can be expressed as a function in n odd invariants $\text{qtr}A, \dots, \text{qtr}A^n$ and n odd noninvariant rational functions

$$t_1(A), \dots, t_n(A).$$

We will start with holomorphic invariant functions and then pass to the rational and polynomial functions.

The algebra of $GL(n|n)$ -invariant functions on $\text{Odd}(n)$ turns out to be isomorphic to the algebra of $GQ(n)$ -invariant functions (of the same class, i.e., holonomic, rational or polynomial functions, respectively) on $Q(n)$ in spite of the fact that this isomorphism of algebras of invariants is not induced by any natural map of $Q(n)$ to $\text{Odd}(n)$, or the other way round.

The description of all invariant functions on odd matrices of general form is also similar to that of invariants on $Q(n)$ but is slightly more cumbersome and will be given separately.

The contents of the paper is as follows.

§1 contains the necessary background. In §2 we consider in detail the case $n = 1$. §3 is devoted to the study of functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ invariant with respect to the action of the symmetric group S_n and $0|n$ -dimensional abelian supergroup $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$. In particular, I prove a superanalog of the theorem on symmetric functions:

Any symmetric function in n non-homogeneous variants can be uniquely expressed in terms of n symmetric non-homogeneous (with respect to parity) functions.

In §4 I prove the main results on invariant functions on $Q(n)$ and $Odd(n)$. §5 contains several examples.

§1. BACKGROUND

1.0. The sources of information on superalgebra and supercalculus are [Be], [L], [Ma]. Recall some notations and definitions. If $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$ is a supercommutative superalgebra and I_C the ideal in C generated by $C_{\bar{1}}$, then the image of $x \in C$ in C/I_C is denoted by $\text{cpr}x$.

At first, let C be an arbitrary algebra. Then the algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices $\text{Mat}(n; C)$ acts from the left on the columns of length n . If L is a free right C -module of $\text{rk } n$, then, having fixed a basis e_1, \dots, e_n in C , we determine an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_C(L, L) \simeq \text{Mat}(n; C)$ such that the matrix of each operator acts from the left on the column of right coordinates; the change of basis is performed with the help of invertible matrix — an element from $GL(n; C)$ — and acts in the usual way on the matrices of operators. If an element $c \in C$ belongs to the center of C , then its action on L is given by a scalar matrix.

1.1. If C is a supercommutative superalgebra, then $\text{Mat}(n; C)$ and $GL(n; C)$ are also denoted by $Q(n; C)_{\bar{0}}$ and $GQ(n; C)$, respectively; for motivations see [L], v. 30, Ch. 1. Any matrix $A \in Q(n; C)_{\bar{0}}$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $A_0 + A_1$, where all the elements of A_0 are even, all the elements of A_1 are odd, and $\text{cpr}A = \text{cpr}A_0 \in \text{Mat}(n; C/I_C)$.

A matrix A is invertible if and only if the matrix $\text{cpr}A$ is invertible and A is nilpotent if and only if $\text{cpr}A$ is nilpotent.

In particular, $GQ(n; C)$ consists of all the matrices $A_0 + A_1$ such that $\text{cpr}A_0$ is invertible.

The analogues of the trace and determinant for $Q(n; C)$ are the $GQ(n)$ -invariant functions $\text{qtr} : Q(n; C)_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow C_{\bar{1}}$ and $\text{qet} : GQ(n) \rightarrow C_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{qtr}(A_0 + A_1) &= \text{tr}A_1, \\ \text{qet}(A_0 + A_1) &= \sum_{1 \leq i} \left(\frac{1}{i}\right) \text{tr}(A_0^{-1}A_1)^i. \end{aligned}$$

1.2. On a free right C -module L with a basis e_1, \dots, e_{p+q} introduce a parity (\mathbb{Z}_2 -grading) declaring the first p elements of the basis even and the other ones odd; let the C -action on L be an even map $L \times C \rightarrow L$. Then

$$\text{Hom}(L, L) = \text{Hom}_{\bar{0}}(L, L) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\bar{1}}(L, L),$$

where the operators from $\text{Hom}_{\bar{0}}(L, L)$ preserve and the operators from $\text{Hom}_{\bar{1}}(L, L)$ change the parity of homogeneous elements of L .

The parity of the elements from the space $\text{Mat}(p+q; C)$ is defined as follows: the matrices are split into blocks

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } X \in \text{Mat}(p; C), T \in \text{Mat}(q; C), \quad (*)$$

and the matrix \mathcal{X} is even if the elements of the blocks X and T are even and the elements of the blocks Y and Z are odd whereas \mathcal{X} is odd if the elements of X and T are odd and the elements of Y and Z are even.

The algebra $\text{Mat}(p+q; C)$ with the above parity is denoted by $\text{Mat}(p|q; C)$ and the group of even invertible matrices is denoted by $GL(p|q; C)$; it is identified with the changes of basis that preserve the parity and the *format* of the matrix (see [Ma] or [L], v. 30, Ch.1).

The *supertrace* $\text{str} : \text{Mat}(p+q; C) \rightarrow C$ is determined on the even matrices (*) as $\text{tr}X - \text{tr}T$ and on odd matrices as $\text{tr}X + \text{tr}T$. The supertrace is $GL(p|q)$ -invariant.

1.3. The following Theorem was proved in 1978 but was not published. Since it is not covered by subsequent publications — only in [Be] the first two headings of Corollary are proved — we give a complete proof, especially since it is so simple.

Theorem . *Let C be a local supercommutative superalgebra with unit over an algebraically closed field k and all the elements of the maximal ideal $I \subset C$ are nilpotent. Then:*

1) *For any free C -module L of $\text{rk } n$ and any $A \in \text{Hom}_C(L, L)$ the submodules $L(\lambda) = \bigcup_i \text{Ker}(A - \lambda)^i, \lambda \in C$, are either zero or free and $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in k} L(\lambda)$.*

2) *If $A \in Q(n; C)_{\bar{0}}$ and the collection of distinct eigenvalues of $\text{cpr}A \in \text{Mat}(n; k)$ (we apply the canonical projection $\text{cpr} : C \rightarrow C/I$ to a matrix element-wise) is equal to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ with multiplicities n_1, \dots, n_s , respectively, then there exists a matrix $G \in GQ(n; C)$ such that*

$G^{-1}AG$ is of a block-diagonal form $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & A_s \end{pmatrix}$, where $A_i \in Q(n_i; C)$ and λ_i is the

only eigenvalue of the matrix $\text{cpr}A_i$. The matrix A_i corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i is uniquely defined up to the $GL(n_i; C)$ -action.

3) *If $A = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}(p|q; C)_{\bar{0}}$ and the collection of distinct eigenvalues of $\text{cpr}A = \begin{pmatrix} \text{cpr}X & 0 \\ 0 & \text{cpr}T \end{pmatrix}$ is equal to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$, then there exist elements $G \in GL(p|q; C)$ and a partition of the set $\{1, \dots, p+q\}$ into nonintersecting subsets J_1, \dots, J_s such that all the elements of $G^{-1}AG$ for which the number of the row and the number of the column belong to the distinct subsets of the partition are zeros.*

The square submatrix A_i corresponding to J_i belongs to $\text{Mat}(p_i|q_i; C)_{\bar{0}}$, where p_i and q_i are the multiplicities of λ_i in the spectra of the matrices $\text{cpr}X$ and $\text{cpr}T$, respectively, and where λ_i is the only eigenvalue of $\text{cpr}A_i$. The matrix A_i corresponding to λ_i is determined uniquely up to the action of $GL(p_i|q_i; C)$.

4) *If $A = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}(p|q; C)_{\bar{1}}$ and the set of eigenvalues of $\text{cpr}A^2$ is equal to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$, then there exist a matrix $G \in GL(p|q; C)$ and a partition of the set $\{1, \dots, p+q\}$ into nonintersecting subsets J_1, \dots, J_s such that all the elements of $G^{-1}AG$ for which the number of the row and the number of the column belong to distinct subsets of the partition vanish and the square matrix A_i corresponding to J_i belongs to $\text{Mat}(p_i|q_i; C)_{\bar{1}}$, where p_i and q_i are the multiplicities of λ_i in the spectra of $\text{cpr}(YZ)$ and $\text{cpr}(ZY)$, respectively, and λ_i is the only eigenvalue of $\text{cpr}A_i^2$.*

The matrix A_i corresponding to λ_i is determined uniquely up to the action of $GL(p_i|q_i; C)$ and if $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then $p_i = q_i$ and A_i can be reduced to the form $\begin{pmatrix} R & T \\ 1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\text{cpr}T$ has an only eigenvalue, λ_i .

Corollary . Under the same conditions on C as in Theorem:

1) If $A \in Q(n; C)_{\bar{0}}$ and $\text{cpr}A$ have no multiple eigenvalues, then the $GQ(n; C)$ -action can reduce A to a diagonal form.

2) If $A \in \text{Mat}(p|q; C)_{\bar{0}}$ and $\text{cpr}A$ have no multiple eigenvalues, then the $GL(p|q; C)$ -action can reduce A to a diagonal form.

3) If $A \in \text{Mat}(n|n; C)_{\bar{1}}$ and $\text{cpr}A^2$ has no multiple eigenvalues, then the $GL(n|n; C)$ -action can reduce A to the form $\begin{pmatrix} R & T \\ 1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where R and T are diagonal matrices.

Remark . 1) In this work the theorem is only used in the case when C is the Grassmann (exterior) algebra over \mathbb{C} and $I = I_C$. A more general formulation guarantees the possibility to work, if needed, with algebras obtained from the Grassmann algebra by adjoining to it roots of algebraic equations. For example, if C is a supercommutative superalgebra over \mathbb{C} with two odd generators ξ_1 and ξ_2 , one even generator t satisfying the relation $t^2 = \xi_1\xi_2$, then C/I_C is a two-dimensional algebra but, nevertheless, $C/I = \mathbb{C}$ and Theorem is applicable to matrices over C .

2) The formulations for $A \in \text{Mat}(p|q; C)$ are cumbersome because we have restricted ourselves to matrices of the standard format. If we allow arbitrary formats, then we can reduce matrices from $\text{Mat}(p|q; C)$ to the conventional Jordan block-diagonal form.

Proof of Theorem. The first two statements are proved simultaneously. Fix a basis in L and denote by $A^{(0)}$ the matrix of the operator A in this basis. Since $C/I = k$, then there exists a finite dimensional subalgebra of C containing all the elements of $A^{(0)}$ and, therefore, in what follows we may assume that the decreasing filtration of C with respect to powers of I is finite.

Let us prove that if $\text{cpr}A^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$, where $B \in \text{Mat}(n_1; k)$ and $D \in \text{Mat}(n_2; k)$ have no common eigenvalues, then for any $i > 0$ there exists $G_i \in GL(n; C)$ such that $\text{cpr}G_i = 1$ and

$$A^{(i)} = G_i^{-1}A^{(0)}G_i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} B_i & 0 \\ 0 & D_i \end{pmatrix} \pmod{I^i}.$$

Let $A^{(i)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} B_i & 0 \\ 0 & D_i \end{pmatrix} \pmod{I^i}$. Then $A^{(i)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} B_i + \Delta_1 & \Delta_2 \\ \Delta_3 & D_i + \Delta_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\Delta_i \equiv 0 \pmod{I^i}$. Since B and D have no common eigenvalues, then the linear maps $x \mapsto Bx - xD$ and $y \mapsto Dy - yB$ defined on the spaces of $n_1 \times n_2$ -matrices and $n_2 \times n_1$ -matrices with elements from the ground field k , respectively, are one-to-one ([G], Ch. 8). Therefore, there exists a matrix $\Delta \in I^i \cdot \text{Mat}(n; C)$ such that $\left[\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, \Delta \right] = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta_2 \\ \Delta_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; hence, $1 + \Delta \in GQ(n; C)$ and

$$(1 + \Delta)^{-1}A^{(i)}(1 + \Delta) \equiv A^{(i)} + [A^{(i)}, \Delta] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} B_i + \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_i + \Delta_4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{I^{i+1}}.$$

Thus, having first reduced $\text{cpr}A^{(0)}$ to the Jordan form, we get a basis of L in which the matrix of the operator A is of block-diagonal form described in heading 2) of Theorem:

$$A^{(\infty)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & A_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\text{cpr}A_i$ only has one eigenvalue, λ_i , then $A_i - \lambda$ is invertible for $\lambda \neq \lambda_i$ and $A_i - \lambda_i$ is nilpotent. This means that the submodule of L spanned by basis vectors corresponding to the block A_i coincides with $L(\lambda_i)$ and if λ does not coincide with any of the eigenvalues of $\text{cpr}A^{(0)}$, then $L(\lambda) = 0$. Now, the first two heading of Theorem are completely proved: the matrices A_i are uniquely determined up to a choice of a basis in $L(\lambda_i)$.

3) If A is an even operator, then all the $L(\lambda_i)$ are homogeneous submodules and selecting in each of them a basis consisting of homogeneous elements we get heading 3).

4) If A is an odd operator, then the $L(\lambda)$ are not homogeneous submodules. But A^2 is an even operator and heading 3) is applicable to it.

If $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}(p_i|q_i; C)_{\bar{1}}$ and $\text{cpr}A_i^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{cpr}YZ & 0 \\ 0 & \text{cpr}ZY \end{pmatrix}$ has only one eigenvalue $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then $p_i = q_i$ and Y and Z are invertible. Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} T + ZXZ^{-1} & ZY - ZXZ^{-1}T \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is of the form desired. Theorem is proved. \square

1.4. Let M be a supermanifold. Denote by F_M or just by F the sheaf of functions on M and if f is a function on M , i.e., a (global) section of F , then $\text{cpr}f$ is identified with the restriction of f onto a canonically embedded into M underlying manifold denoted by M_{rd} . An open subsupermanifold $V \subset M$ is determined, see [L], v. 30, Ch. 3, by the open subset $V_{rd} \subset M_{rd}$.

In what follows we will work with complex-analytic supermanifolds (cf. [Ma]) and, except for subsection 4.6, all supermanifolds are superdomains, i.e., open subsuperdomains in $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$. This means that their underlying manifolds are domains in \mathbb{C}^p and F is the sheaf of analytic functions on \mathbb{C}^p with values in the Grassmann algebra with q indeterminates.

On $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$, there exists a global coordinate system consisting of p even functions u_1, \dots, u_p and q odd functions ξ_1, \dots, ξ_q . An arbitrary function $f \in F(M)$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $f = \sum f_\alpha(u_1, \dots, u_p) \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_q^{\alpha_q}$, where α runs over $\{1, 0\}^q$. The morphism of superdomains $\varphi : V \rightarrow W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ is determined by the morphism of superalgebras $\varphi^* : F(W) \rightarrow F(V)$ which in turn is uniquely defined by its coordinate expression — the collection of p even and q odd functions $\varphi^*(u_1), \dots, \varphi^*(u_p), \varphi^*(\xi_1), \dots, \varphi^*(\xi_q)$.

We will only need supermanifolds associated with

$$\text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}, \text{Mat}(p|q; \Lambda)_{\bar{1}}, GL(p|q; \Lambda), Q(n; \Lambda)_{\bar{0}}.$$

Intentional similarity of notations when we deal with distinct categories will not cause a misunderstanding since it is always clear from the contents which category we are talking about.

It is convenient to think that the coordinates on the supermanifold $Q(n) = \mathbb{C}^{n^2|n^2}$ fill in two square matrices of size $n \times n$ each: $X = (X_{ij})$ that consists of even coordinates and $\xi = (\xi_{ij})$ that consists of odd coordinates. Clearly, $Q(n)_{rd} = \text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C})$; the supermanifold $GQ(n)$ is an open subsupermanifold in $Q(n)$ and the underlying group of $GQ(n)$ is $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$.

The action $\text{ad} : GQ(n) \times Q(n) \longrightarrow Q(n)$ is defined which in coordinates X, ξ on $Q(n)$ and Y, η on $GQ(n)$ is given by the formula

$$\text{ad}^*(X + \xi) = (Y + \eta)^{-1}(X + \xi)(Y + \eta).$$

Similarly, the coordinates on the supermanifold of even matrices $\text{Ev}(p|q) = \mathbb{C}^{p^2+q^2|2pq}$ fill out the even matrix $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix}$, where the matrices X and T are filled out by even coordinates whereas the elements of Y and Z are odd coordinates. The supergroup $GL(p|q)$ is an open subsupermanifold of $\text{Ev}(p|q)$ such that

$$GL(p|q)_{rd} = \text{Ev}(p|q)_{rd} \cap GL(p+q; \mathbb{C}).$$

The coordinates on the supermanifold $\text{Odd}(p|q) = \mathbb{C}^{2pq|p^2+q^2}$ of odd matrices fill out the odd matrix $\begin{pmatrix} X' & Y' \\ Z' & T' \end{pmatrix}$ and the coordinate expression of the action

$$\text{ad} : GL(p|q) \times \text{Odd}(p|q) \longrightarrow \text{Odd}(p|q)$$

is similar to the action ad of $Q(n)$:

$$\text{ad}^* \begin{pmatrix} X' & Y' \\ Z' & T' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X' & Y' \\ Z' & T' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix}.$$

In what follows the notation $\text{Odd}(n|n)$ is abbreviated to $\text{Odd}(n)$.

1.6. In contradistinction to the classical calculus, in supercalculus the function on M is not defined by its values at \mathbb{C} -points of M and, therefore, one has to explicitly introduce dependence on parameters, cf. §2.5.

For convenience, we assume that parameters run over an arbitrary supermanifold U though it suffices to take as U “purely odd” supermanifolds $\mathbb{C}^{0|s}$ with sufficiently large s . If M and U are supermanifolds, then a U -family of points of M is any morphism $\varphi : U \longrightarrow M$ and the function f on $U \times M$ is called a U -family of functions on M , etc. The necessity to introduce parameters and the corresponding technique is discussed in detail in [L]. When the work with parameters can be performed automatically we will not mention them.

§2. INVARIANT FUNCTIONS ON $Q(1)$ AND $\text{Odd}(1)$

2.1. The action $\rho : GQ(1) \times Q(1) \longrightarrow Q(1)$ of the supergroup $GQ(1)$ is given in the standard coordinates (a, α) on $Q(1)$ as follows. If (g, γ) are coordinates on $GQ(1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(\alpha) &= (g + \gamma)^{-1}(a + \alpha)(g + \gamma)]_{\bar{1}} = \alpha \\ \rho^*(a) &= [(g + \gamma)^{-1}(a + \alpha)(g + \gamma)]_{\bar{0}} = a + 2g^{-1}\gamma\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that α is the function qtr on $Q(1)$. The following statement is obvious:

Theorem . *The set of functions on $Q(1)$ invariant with respect to the adjoint action of $GQ(1)$ coincides with the set of functions of the form $\alpha \cdot f(a) + c$, where f is an arbitrary function on \mathbb{C} and $c \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Therefore, any invariant function on $Q(1)$ can be expressed in terms of one invariant function $\alpha = \text{qtr}A$ and one noninvariant function, a . The latter is, so to say, an invariant of *second class*: a is invariant on the subsupermanifold singled out by the equation $\alpha = 0$; besides, the map under which a passes to $\text{cpr}(a)$ — a function on $\mathbb{C} = Q(1)_{rd}$ — is $GQ(1)$ -invariant.

2.2. Invariant functions on Odd(1). Let $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ be the dense open subsupermanifold in Odd(1) singled out by the equation

$$\text{cpr}(a_{12}a_{21}) \neq 0,$$

where a_{ij} are standard coordinates on Odd(1). Let us identify $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ with the closed subsupermanifold of Odd(1) consisting of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Define the following even and an odd functions on Odd(1):

$$g = a_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{22}, \quad \gamma = a_{11} + a_{22}.$$

Thus, we have defined a map

$$\pi : \widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1|1} \hookrightarrow \text{Odd}(1) \quad \text{for which } \pi^*(a) = g, \pi^*(\alpha) = \gamma.$$

Lemma . *Any family of matrices $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ is equivalent (with respect to the $GL(1|1)$ -action) to a family $\pi \circ \varphi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$. The set of all families equivalent to φ is mapped by π into the set of all families $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a + \varepsilon\alpha \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where ε is an arbitrary odd function on \mathcal{U} .*

Proof.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a_{22} \\ 0 & a_{21} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a_{22} \\ 0 & a_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + a_{22} & a_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{22} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & b \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}$$

where x, t are even and y, z are odd and xt is invertible, then $\alpha = \beta$ since the supertrace is invariant and it is easy to verify that $b - a = (2t^{-1}za)\alpha$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + a^{-1}\alpha\varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ a^{-1}\varepsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a^{-1}\alpha\varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ a^{-1}\varepsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a + 2\varepsilon\alpha \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Theorem . *The set of $GQ(1)$ -invariant functions on $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ coincides with the set of functions of the form $\gamma \cdot h(g) + c$, where h is an arbitrary function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let f be an invariant function. Denote π^*f by $f'(a, \alpha)$. Then Lemma implies that $f'(a, \alpha) = f'(a + \varepsilon\alpha, \alpha)$ wherefrom

$$f'(a, \alpha) = \alpha h(a) + c, \quad f = f' \circ \pi^* = \gamma h(g) + c.$$

Conversely, if $f = \gamma h(g)$, then by Lemma γ is an invariant and the noninvariance of g is equivalent to the replacement of g by $g + \delta\gamma$ wherefrom

$$\gamma h(g + \varepsilon\gamma) = \gamma h(g) + \gamma\varepsilon\gamma h'(g) = \gamma h(g).$$

Example. It is easy to see that $\text{str}A^{2n} = 0$, $\text{str}A^{2n+1} = (2n + 1)\gamma g^n$.

2.3. As follows from Lemma 2.2, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is equivalent to any of the matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & g + \varepsilon\gamma \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ so for description of invariant functions on $\text{Odd}(1)$ instead of g we could have used any function $g' = g + \varepsilon\gamma$.

The arbitrariness in the choice of g is connected with a slightly more general question: what data on \mathcal{U} -family of matrices A (in other words, on a matrix-valued function A on \mathcal{U}) should be given in order to enable us to compute the values of all the invariant functions. Let a be a function on \mathcal{U} such that the value of any invariant function $f = \gamma h(g)$ on A is equal to $\text{str}A \cdot h(a)$. Then, in particular, $\text{str}A^3 = 3a \cdot \text{str}A$. We get a condition on a which is equivalent to the equation

$$[a - g(A)]\text{str}A = 0.$$

It turns out that fulfilment of this equation suffices.

Theorem . *Let A be a matrix-valued function on \mathcal{U} with values in $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ and a an even function on \mathcal{U} such that*

$$\text{str}A^3 = 3a \cdot \text{str}A.$$

Then for any function h on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\gamma(A)h(g(A)) = \text{str}A \cdot h(a).$$

Proof. Let u_1, \dots, u_k be even and v_1, \dots, v_l odd local coordinates on \mathcal{U} . The condition $[a - g(A)]\text{str}A = 0$ means that for any fixed value of coordinates u_1, \dots, u_k either $\text{str}A = 0$ or $\Delta = a - g(A)$ belongs to the algebra generated by v_1, \dots, v_l .

In the first case both sides vanish; in the second case we have

$$\gamma(A) \cdot h(a) = \gamma(A) \left\{ h(g(A)) + \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\Delta^i}{i!} \frac{d^i h}{dz^i}(g(A)) \right\} = \gamma(A) \cdot h(g(A)).$$

□

Corollary . *If A_1 and A_2 be two \mathcal{U} -families of matrices from $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ then they are indistinguishable by $GL(1|1)$ -invariant functions on $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ if and only if $\text{str}A_1 = \text{str}A_2$ and $\text{str}A_1^3 = \text{str}A_2^3$.*

Remark . 1) The condition $[a - g(A)]\text{str}A = 0$ does not generally imply the equality $a = g(A) + \varepsilon(A)\gamma(A)$ even if $\gamma(A) \neq 0$; for example, take $A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 v_2 v_3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $a = 1 + v_1 v_2$, where v_1, v_2, v_3 are odd coordinates on \mathcal{U} . Nevertheless, if g' is an odd function (or family of functions) on an open subsupermanifold $U \subset \widetilde{\text{Odd}}(1)$ for which $\gamma \cdot g' = \gamma \cdot g|_U$, then $g' = g + \varepsilon\gamma$ for an odd function (or family of functions) ε on U . To make sure of this it suffices to take γ and $a_{11} - a_{22}$ for odd coordinates on $\text{Odd}(1)$.

2) It is not difficult to verify that everything said in this subsection can be translated almost literally to the case of $\text{Q}(1)$ with inessential distinctions: first, there is a distinguished even function, a , on $\text{Q}(1)$, and second, the appearance of a nonzero summand εa is only possible in the presence of odd parameters.

Thus, both on $\text{Q}(1)$ and on $\text{Odd}(1)$ there exists a pair consisting of one odd invariant function τ (α or γ) and one even noninvariant function t (a or g) such that any invariant function can be expressed in the form

$$\tau h(t) + \text{const}, \quad \text{where } \tau \text{ and } t \text{ are polynomials.}$$

In this section the study of invariant functions on $Q(1)$ and $Odd(1)$ was reduced to the study of functions invariant with respect to the action of the supercommutative supergroup $\mathbb{C}^{0|1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$.

The next section is devoted to the $S_n \wr \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -invariant functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$. These functions play a similar role in the study of $GQ(n)$ -invariant functions on $Q(n)$ and $GL(n|n)$ -invariant functions on $Odd(1)$.

§3. INVARIANT FUNCTIONS ON $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$

3.1. The discrete group of permutations S_n acts on the supermanifold $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ with coordinates $a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ by permuting indices of the coordinate functions of the same parity and the supercommutative supergroup $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ with coordinates $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ acts as follows. The action of $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ is the morphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}^{0|n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ given by the formulas

$$\rho^*(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \rho(a_i) = a_i + \varepsilon_i \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus, the semidirect product of these supergroups, the supergroup $S_n \wr \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$, acts on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$ the open subset of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of n -tuples of pair-wise distinct complex numbers and by $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ the subsupermanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ whose underlying is $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$. In what follows we will call the $S_n \wr \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -invariant functions on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ just *invariants* and S_n -invariant functions *symmetric functions* (inside of this section we will not encounter other types of invariance).

Theorem . *The set of invariant functions coincides with the set of functions of the form*

$$f = f_0 \sum_i \alpha_i f_1(a_i) + \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j f_2(a_i, a_j) + \dots + \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n f_n(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad (3.1)$$

where f_k is an even function in k even variables skew-symmetric with respect to these variables for $k > 1$.

Proof. Let us express an arbitrary invariant function in the form

$$f = g_0(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \sum \alpha_i g_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \dots + \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j g_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \dots + \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n g_{12\dots n}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

The invariance with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ is equivalent to the conditions

$$\alpha_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} f = 0 \text{ for all } i$$

wherefrom we see that $g_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ only depend on the $a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_s}$. If $\delta \in S_n$ is such that $\delta(i_1, \dots, i_s) = (1, \dots, s)$ then comparing the coefficient of $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s$ for f and δf we get $g_{i_1 \dots i_s} = g_{1 \dots s}$ and if δ preserves $s+1, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s g_{1 \dots s}(a_1 \dots a_s) &= \alpha_{\delta_1} \dots \alpha_{\delta_s} g_{1 \dots s}(a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_n}) = \\ &= (-1)^{p(\delta)} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s g_{1 \dots s}(a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_n}), \end{aligned}$$

where $p(\delta)$ is the parity of the permutation δ which is equivalent to skew symmetricity of $f_s = g_{1 \dots s}$. The invariance of functions of the form (3.1) is obvious. \square

Remark . Clearly, f is a rational or polynomial function if and only if all the functions f_1, \dots, f_n are of the same class (rational or polynomial). Set $\tau_k = \sum \alpha_i a_i^{k-1}, t_k = \sum a_i^k$. Theorem implies that functions τ_k are invariant whereas functions t_k are not.

It is not difficult to see that the algebra of invariant functions does not have a finite set of generators regardless of the class of functions (polynomials, rational or analytic functions, ...) with which we work: it follows from (3.1) that any invariant function differs by a constant from a nilpotent function — an element of the ideal generated by functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and, therefore, a finite set of invariant functions only generates a finite dimensional subspace even if we admit rational expressions, in infinite dimensional (since τ_k are linearly independent) space of invariant functions.

Let us prove a superanalog of the main theorem on symmetric functions.

3.2. Theorem . *If $f(a, \alpha)$ is a symmetric function, then*

1) *there exists a unique function $g(x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ defined on an open subsupermanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ such that $g(t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = f$;*

2) *if f is a polynomial function, then so is g and if f is a rational function then so is g .*

We will need an auxiliary statement. Set

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^{n-1} & \dots & a_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma .

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i \neq 1} (a_1 - a_i)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \prod_{i \neq n} (a_n - a_i)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} M', \quad \text{where } M' \text{ is a polynomial matrix.}$$

Proof of Lemma. Let M' be a matrix whose s -th row consists of coefficients of the polynomial $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x - a_i)$ written in order of increase of the power of x . Then

$$(M'M)_{kl} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq k} (a_l - a_i). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem. Let us express $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ through τ_1, \dots, τ_n and a_1, \dots, a_n :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

Formula (3.2) implies that $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, a_1, \dots, a_n$ is a global coordinate system on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$. Let $f(a, \alpha)$ be a symmetric function; let us express it in terms of τ, a :

$$f = c_0(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} \tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_s} c_{i_1 \dots i_s}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (3.3)$$

Since the τ_i are S_n -invariant, it follows that all the coefficients $c_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ are symmetric in a_1, \dots, a_n and therefore can be expressed in terms of t_1, \dots, t_n because the Jacobian of the map

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \left(\sum a_i, \sum a_i^2, \dots, \sum a_i^n \right)$$

is an invertible on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$ matrix $M(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and determines a diffeomorphism of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n/S_n$ with an open submanifold $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (the complement to the set of zeros of a polynomial).

Let us establish that a symmetric function $f(a, \alpha)$ can be expressed in the form $g(t, \tau)$ in a unique way. The functions $a_1, \dots, a_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ constitute a global coordinate system on

$\tilde{C}^{n|n}$ and, therefore, if

$$d_0(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} \tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_s} d_{i_1 \dots i_s}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0,$$

then d_0 and all $d_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ vanish on U .

If $f(a, \alpha)$ is a rational function, then $c_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ in (3.3) are also rational since they are linear combinations of rational functions $f_s(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s})$ with rational coefficients — polynomials in matrix elements of M^{-1} and then, as immediately follows from theorem on symmetric polynomials, the functions $d_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ determined from the condition $c_{i_1 \dots i_s}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = d_{i_1 \dots i_s}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ are also rational.

Let now

$$f(a, \alpha) = f_0 + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_s} f_{i_1 \dots i_s}$$

where $f_0, f_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ are polynomials in a_1, \dots, a_n . Then

$$f_{i_1 \dots i_s}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = q_{i_1 \dots i_s}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq s} (a_{i_k} - a_{i_l}) \text{ for } s > 1,$$

where $q_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ are also polynomials since $f_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ are skew-symmetric with respect to permutations of a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s} .

By Lemma

$$\alpha_j = \prod_{1 \leq s \leq n} (a_j - a_s)^{-1} \sum_k (M')_{jk} \tau_k;$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_s} \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq s} (a_{i_k} - a_{i_l}) = \\ & = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_s} \left(\prod_{i \leq k < l \leq s} (a_{i_k} - a_{i_l}) \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq r \leq n, r \neq i_1} (a_{i_1} - a_r)^{-1} \right) \dots \left(\prod_{1 \leq r \leq n, r \neq i_s} (a_{i_s} - a_r)^{-1} \right) \cdot \\ & \quad (M')_{i_1 j_1} \dots (M')_{i_s j_s} \tau_{j_1} \dots \tau_{j_s} = \prod_{i \leq k < l \leq n} (a_k - a_l)^{-1} \cdot P_{i_1 \dots i_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ is a polynomial in $a_1, \dots, a_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$.

Indeed, the factor $a_{i_k} - a_{i_l}$ appears in this expression three times: in $\prod (a_{i_k} - a_{i_l})$, in $\prod (a_{i_s} - a_r)^{-1}$ and in $\prod (a_{i_l} - a_r)^{-1}$ and, therefore, its total power is equal to -1 . This implies that

$$f(a, \alpha) = \prod_{k < l} (a_k - a_l)^{-1} \cdot P(a, \tau),$$

where P is a polynomial. Since f is a symmetric function,

$$P(a, \tau) = f(a, \alpha) \cdot \prod_{k < l} (a_k - a_l)$$

is skew-symmetric and, therefore, is divisible by $a_k - a_l$, i.e., $P = \left(\prod_{k < l} (a_k - a_l) \right) Q(a, \tau)$,

where $Q(a, \tau)$ is a polynomial in $a_1, \dots, a_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ symmetric with respect to a_1, \dots, a_n . Then $f(a, \alpha) = Q(a, \tau)$ and it remains to express $Q(a, \tau)$ in the form of a polynomial in $t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ which is possible thanks to a theorem on symmetric polynomials.

Remark. The theorem proved above will look quite naturally if we allow functions in non-homogeneous argument assuming that there exists a unique decomposition of a non-homogeneous (with respect to parity) x into the sum of an even and an odd summands. Then the theorem means precisely the following:

In the algebra of all (polynomial, rational, etc.) functions in non-homogeneous arguments $x_1 = a_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, x_n = a_n + \alpha_n$ the subalgebra of symmetric functions is generated by

$$y_1 = \sum (a_i + \alpha_i), y_2 = \sum (a_i^2 + 2a_i\alpha_i), \dots, y_n = \sum (a_i^n + n\alpha_i a_i^{n-1}).$$

3.3. Let us write the conditions that single out the invariant functions from the set of all functions in $t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$, i.e., from all the symmetric functions on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$.

Let us denote by $\check{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ the image of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ under the map to $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ given by functions $t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$. Any function h on $\check{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ such that $h(t_1(a, \alpha), \dots, \tau_n(a, \alpha))$ is an invariant function will be referred to a *balanced function*.

Lemma . *A function $h(u, \xi)$ is balanced if and only if*

$$\sum_{s=1}^n s \cdot \tau_{i+s-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_s}(t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Let us transform the conditions of $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -invariance

$$\alpha_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

with the help of an invertible matrix $M(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. This produces equivalent but symmetric conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j \alpha_j a_j^{i-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_j} = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n. \\ & \sum_j \alpha_j a_j^{i-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} h(t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = \\ & = \sum_s \sum_j s \alpha_j a_j^{i+s-2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_s}(t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = \\ & = \sum_s s \tau_{i+s-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_s}(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma gives us a possibility to describe polynomial balanced functions, i.e., invariant polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$.

Actually, invariant polynomials are already described by Sergeev in [S]. Here we give a new proof based on two ideas:

1) the passage to symmetric polynomials in an infinite number of indeterminates makes variables independent (cf. [M]) and

2) homology of the vector field $\sum \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ consist of constants (we can speak about homology because $(\sum \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i})^2 f = 0$).

Theorem . *The algebra of invariant polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ is generated by functions $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \dots$: any invariant polynomial can be uniquely expressed in the form*

$$\sum_{0 \leq s \leq n} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_s} c_{i_1, \dots, i_s} \tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_s}$$

where only a finite number of coefficients is nonzero. All the relations between $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$ are generated by identities of the form

$$\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_{n+1}} = 0.$$

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{P}_n the graded superalgebra of symmetric polynomials in $a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, where $\deg \alpha_i = \deg a_i = 1$, and by $\pi_n : \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ the projection that sends a_{n+1} and α_{n+1} to zero and the remaining generators to their namesakes; denote by \mathcal{P}_∞ the projective limit of \mathcal{P}_n in the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded rings. Theorem 3.2 implies that the \mathbb{Z} -graded supercommutative superalgebra \mathcal{P}_∞ is isomorphic to the graded superalgebra Q of polynomials in two

countable sets of generators: the even ones, f_1, \dots, f_k, \dots and the odd ones, $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k, \dots$, where $\deg f_k = \deg \varphi_k = k$.

Indeed, $t_1, \dots, t_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ are functionally, hence, algebraically, independent in \mathcal{P}_n and, therefore, $\{t_i, \tau_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are algebraically independent in \mathcal{P}_∞ .

On Q , the action of differentiations

$$v_k = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s \varphi_{k+s} \frac{\partial}{\partial f_s}$$

is well-defined since every element of Q only contains a finite number of generators f_s . Let us consider diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\sum_i \alpha_i a_i^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}} & \mathcal{P}_{n+1} & \mathcal{P}_n & \xrightarrow{\sum_i \alpha_i a_i^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}} & \mathcal{P}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P}_n & \xrightarrow{\sum_i \alpha_i a_i^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}} & \mathcal{P}_n & Q & \xrightarrow{v_k} & Q \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the first one is obvious and the commutativity of the second one is proved together with Lemma 3.3; therefore, the limit of the subsuperalgebras of invariant polynomials in \mathcal{P}_n is a subsuperalgebra of \mathcal{P}_∞ which under the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_\infty \cong Q$ turns into the subsuperalgebra singled out by equations $v_k f = 0$.

Let $R \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be an invariant polynomial of degree k ; by Theorem 3.1 it is determined by a set r_0, \dots, r_n , where r_i is a polynomial in i variables and, therefore, for any $m > n$ there exists an invariant polynomial $R_m \in \mathcal{P}_n$ given by the same functions r_0, \dots, r_k . The images of R_m in Q stabilize for $m > k$ giving rise to a polynomial S . The condition

$$0 = v_{k+1} S = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} j \varphi_{j+k+1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial f_j}$$

implies $\frac{\partial S}{\partial f_k} = 0$ since S does not depend on φ_j, f_j , where $j > k$, and the generators of Q are independent. Therefore, S is a polynomial in $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$, i.e.,

$$S = c_0 + \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i, j_1 + \dots + j_i \leq k} c_{j_1 \dots j_i} \varphi_{j_1} \dots \varphi_{j_i}$$

and

$$R = S(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i, j_1 + \dots + j_i \leq k} c_{j_1 \dots j_i} \tau_{j_1} \dots \tau_{j_i},$$

where we have taken into account that $\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_{n+1}} = 0$ in \mathcal{P}_n .

It remains to prove that in \mathcal{P}_n all the polynomials $\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_s}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s$ and $s \leq n$ are linearly independent. In \mathcal{P}_n , introduce a grading setting $\deg \alpha_i = \deg a_i = i$. Then the lowest term of $\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_s}$ is equal to $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s a_1^{i_s} a_2^{i_s-1} \dots a_s^{i_1}$. The equality $\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_{n+1}} = 0$ is obvious. \square

3.4. By analogy with the case $n = 1$ considered in subsec. 2.3 we see that the action of $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ on the set of functions t_i produces a new set of even functions equally suitable for calculation of invariant functions. To study this nonuniqueness it is useful to replace the collection t_1, \dots, t_n with another collection of generators in the algebra of symmetric functions in a_1, \dots, a_n . Set

$$s_j = (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_j}.$$

Then the sets $\{t_i\}$ and $\{s_j\}$ are expressed in terms of each other polynomially and the functions $(s_1, \dots, s_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ constitute a coordinate system on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$.

Lemma .

$$\tau_{n+k} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tau_{n+k-j} s_j \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The equality

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x - a_i) = x^n - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} s_j x^{n-j}$$

implies $a_i^n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} s_j a_i^{n-j}$, i.e., the coefficients of α_i in the left- and right-hand sides of (3.4) coincide.

In what follows an arbitrary supermanifold of parameters is denoted by \mathcal{U} and the sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U})$ generated by odd functions is denoted by $I_{\mathcal{U}}$ or just by I .

Two families of \mathcal{U} -points

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n} : \varphi_1 : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

will be called *equivalent* if

$$\psi_1^*(\alpha_i) = \psi_2^*(\alpha_i), \psi^*(\alpha_i)[\psi_1^*(a_i) - \psi_2^*(a_i)] = 0 \text{ for all } i.$$

Theorem 3.1 implies that the values of any invariant function f on equivalent families ψ_1 and ψ_2 coincide, i.e., $\psi_1^*(f) = \psi_2^*(f)$. \square

Theorem . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ be a family of \mathcal{U} -points of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and g_1, \dots, g_n functions on \mathcal{U} such that

$$\varphi^*(\tau_{n+k}) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \varphi^*(\tau_{n+k-i}) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.5)$$

and either

$$\varphi^*(\alpha_i) \neq 0 \text{ for all } i \leq n$$

or

$$g_j = \varphi^*(s_j) \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}} \text{ for all } j \leq n.$$

Then there exists a unique equivalent to φ family of morphisms $\psi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ such that $g_j = \psi^*(s_j)$ for all j .

Proof. First, consider an homogeneous system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i b_i^{n+k-j-1} \right) = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.6)$$

in which β_i are odd functions on \mathcal{U} and Δ_j and b_i are even functions and $\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - b_j)$ is an invertible element in the algebra of functions.

Denote by $M'(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ an $n \times n$ -matrix whose i -th column consists of coefficients of the polynomial $\prod_{i \neq j} (x - b_j)$ written down in order of decreasing of the power of x . Then similarly to Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1^{n-1} & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_n^{n-1} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} M'(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i \neq 1} (b_1 - b_i) & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & \prod_{i \neq n} (b_n - b_i) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.7)$$

In particular, both factors (matrices) are invertible.

Since

$$0 = \sum_j \Delta_j \left(\sum_i \beta_i b_i^{n+s-j-1} \right) = \sum_i [\beta_i \left(\sum_j \Delta_j b_i^{n-j-1} \right)] b_i^s,$$

then system (3.6) is equivalent to the system

$$\beta_i \left(\sum_j \Delta_j b_i^{n-j-1} \right) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.8)$$

Set $\Delta_j^0 = g_j - \varphi^*(s_j)$. Then $\Delta_1^0, \dots, \Delta_n^0$ satisfies system (3.6) and, therefore, it satisfies (3.8) with $\beta_i = \varphi^*(\alpha_i), b_i = \varphi^*(a_i)$. If $\sum_j \Delta_j b_i^{n-j-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$ for some i , then (3.8) implies $\beta_i = 0$ and, therefore, under conditions of Theorem we always have $g_j \equiv \varphi^*(s_j) \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$.

Let us show that for any $k \geq 0$ there exists a family $\psi^{(k)}$ equivalent to φ such that $\psi^{(k)*}(s_j) \equiv g_j \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}^{k+1}}$. For $k = 0$ it suffices to take $\psi^{(0)} = \varphi$. Let $\Delta_j^{(k)} = \psi^{(k)}(s_j) - g_j$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \square_1^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ \square_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1}(\psi^{(k)*}(a_1), \dots, \psi^{(k)*}(a_n)) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

Then $\square_i^{(k)} \in I_{\mathcal{U}}^{k+1}$ and $\square_i^{(k)} \square_l^{(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}^{k+2}}$ and therefore setting $\psi^{(k+1)*}(a_i) = \psi^{(k)*}(a_i) + \square_i$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(k+1)*}(s_j) &\equiv \psi^{(k)*}(s_j) - \sum_i \psi^{(k)*} \{s_{j-1}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n)\} \square_i^{(k)} \equiv \\ &\equiv g_j \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}^{k+2}} \end{aligned}$$

(here, as usual, hat over a symbol manifests its absence).

Let $\beta_i = \varphi^*(\alpha_i), b_i = \varphi^{(k)*}(a_i)$, then (3.7) and (3.9) imply

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1^{n-1} & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_n^{n-1} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i \neq 1} (b_1 - b_i) \square_1^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ \prod_{i \neq n} (b_n - b_i) \square_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\psi^{(k)}$ is equivalent to φ , then $\Delta_j^{(k)}$ satisfies (3.6) and (3.8) with $\beta_i = \varphi^*(\alpha_i), b_i = \varphi^{(k)*}(a_i)$ wherefrom $\beta_i \square_i^{(k)} = 0$, i.e., the constructed $\psi^{(k+1)}$ is also equivalent to φ .

To completely prove the Theorem it remains to make use of the fact that $I_{\mathcal{U}}^k = 0$ for a sufficiently great k . \square

Corollary . *If families $\varphi_i : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}, i = 1, 2$, are such that $\varphi_1^*(\tau_i) = \varphi_2^*(\tau_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ and at least one of the conditions*

- (i) $\varphi_1^*(\alpha_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$
- (ii) $\varphi_2^*(\alpha_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$
- (iii) $\varphi_1^*(s_i) \equiv \varphi_2^*(s_i) \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$

is satisfied then the values of all the invariant functions on φ_1 and φ_2 coincide.

Proof. Suppose either (i) or (iii) are satisfied. Then by Theorem ?? applied to $\varphi = \varphi_1$ and $g_i = \varphi_2^*(s_i)$ there exists a ψ equivalent to φ_1 such that $\varphi^*(s_i) = \varphi_2^*(s_i)$. If $H = H(s_1, \dots, s_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ is an invariant function then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^*(H) &= \psi^*(H) = H(\psi^*(s_1), \dots, \psi^*(s_n), \varphi_1^*(\tau_1), \dots, \varphi_1^*(\tau_n)) = \\ &= H(\varphi_2^*(s_1), \dots, \varphi_2^*(s_n), \varphi_2^*(\tau_1), \dots, \varphi_2^*(\tau_n)) = \varphi_2^*(H). \end{aligned}$$

If (ii) holds, then we have to interchange φ_1 and φ_2 . \square

Remark . The condition $g_j = \varphi^*(s_j) \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$ in the theorem is essential: if some of $\varphi^*(\alpha_i)$ are equal to zero then a set b_1, \dots, b_n for which $g_j = s_j(b_1, \dots, b_n) \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$ may not satisfy the condition $\prod_{i < j} (b_i - b_j) \neq 0 \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$ and therefore further steps in the construction of $\psi^{(s)}$ may prove impossible to perform. For instance, for $n = 2, \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{C}^{0|2}$ with coordinates ξ_1, ξ_2 and $\varphi^*(\alpha_i) = 0, \varphi^*(a_1) = 1, \varphi^*(a_2) = -1$ any pair of even functions g_1, g_2 satisfies equations (3.5) but for $g_1 = -2, g_2 = 1 + \xi_1 \xi_2$ there are no functions b_1, b_2 on \mathcal{U} such that $b_1 + b_2 = 2, b_1 b_2 = 1 + \xi_1 \xi_2$.

However, in the example considered, for calculation of the values of invariants the functions g_1 and g_2 can be used instead of s_1 and s_2 : they will vanish, anyway.

§4. DESCRIPTION OF INVARIANT FUNCTIONS ON $Q(n)$ AND $\text{Odd}(n)$

4.1. Invariants of $Q(n)$ and of $\text{Odd}(n)$ have a uniform description and, therefore, we will introduce the following notations: $(M(n), \tilde{M}(n), G)$ stands for either of the sets $(Q(n), \tilde{Q}(n), GQ(n))$ or $(\text{Odd}(n), \widetilde{\text{Odd}}(n), GL(n|n))$.

On $M(n)$, there exists a set of G -invariant polynomials τ_k , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\tau_k(A) = \begin{cases} k^{-1} \text{qtr} A^k & \text{for } A \in Q(n), \\ (2k-1)^{-1} \text{str} A^{2k-1} & \text{for } A \in \text{Odd}(n), \end{cases}$$

where A is a family of matrices.

Fix an embedding $j : \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n} \rightarrow \tilde{M}(n)$ having identified $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ with the supermanifold of (nonhomogeneous) diagonal matrices in $\tilde{Q}(n)$ or block matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & A \\ 1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(n)$, where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n), \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. The definition implies that $j^*(\tau_i) = \tau_i$, where in the left-hand side there stands $\tau_i \in F(\tilde{M}(n))$ and in the right-hand side there stands $\tau_i \in F(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n})$.

The embedding j is compatible with the $S_n \wr \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -action on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and the G -action on $M(n)$ in the following sense.

Lemma . *Two families of morphisms $\varphi_i : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$, $i = 1, 2$ pass into each other under the action of $S_n \wr \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ if and only if the families $j \circ \varphi_1$ and $j \circ \varphi_2$ pass into each other under the action of G .*

Proof. Corollary 1.4 and arguments in 2.1 and 2.2 imply that the collection of eigenvalues of the family of matrices from $\tilde{M}(n)$ is defined uniquely up to the $S_n \wr \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -action and it only remains to verify that the equivalence of φ_1 and φ_2 implies the equivalence of $j \circ \varphi_1$ and $j \circ \varphi_2$.

Permutations are realized in a usual way and it suffices to consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ on blocks:

$$(1 + \varepsilon)(a + \alpha)(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} = a + \alpha + 2\varepsilon\alpha \text{ for } Q(n)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a + \varepsilon\alpha & \varepsilon a \\ \varepsilon & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (a + \varepsilon\alpha \quad \varepsilon a \quad \varepsilon \quad a)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a + 2\varepsilon\alpha \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \text{Odd}(n).$$

\square

Remark . It would have been more natural to embed $S_n \vdash \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ into G in order to ensure that this embedding commutes with j . It is clear, however, that such an embedding exists for $GQ(n)$ and does not exist for $GL(n|n)$.

Theorem . *For any point $m \in \tilde{M}(n)_{rd}$ there exist supermanifold morphisms $g_m : U_m \rightarrow G$ and $\pi_m : U_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ defined in a neighborhood of m such that $j \circ \pi_m = \text{ad}g_m : U \rightarrow M(n)$ and the set of functions $\pi_m^*(\alpha_1), \dots, \pi_m^*(\alpha_n)$ can be complemented to a coordinate system on U_m and the ideal generated by $\pi_m^*(\alpha_1), \dots, \pi_m^*(\alpha_n)$ coincides with the ideal generated by $\tau_1|_{U_m}, \dots, \tau_n|_{U_m}$.*

Proof for $M = \text{Odd}(n)$ reduces to the fact that having fixed a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ in a free $n|n$ -dimensional module L over $F(U)$ we can consider a neighborhood U_m of point m as a linear operator on L that we will denote by A .

As we will show in what follows, in a neighborhood of m there are defined projections $P_i : L \rightarrow L$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, to A -invariant submodules. Let us select an even vector y and an odd vector η in L such that the set $P_1y, \dots, P_ny, P_1\eta, \dots, P_n\eta$ is a basis in L . If $m \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{rd}^{n|n}$ then for y and η we can take $e_1 + \dots + e_n$ and $\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n$ and in the general case set $y = g^{-1}(e_1 + \dots + e_n), \eta = g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n)$, where $g \in G_{rd}$ is such that $gmg^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{rd}^{n|n}$.

The pairs $P_iy, P_i\eta$ constitute bases of A -invariant submodules and, therefore, for every i we have a morphism $U_m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ which gives rise to a morphism $\pi_m : U_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and the transition matrix from the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ to the basis $P_1y, \dots, P_ny, P_1\eta, \dots, P_n\eta$ is the desired $g_m : U_m \rightarrow G$.

For $M = Q(n)$ the proof differs only in that L is a free right module of $\text{rk } n$ and we select one vector y such that P_1y, \dots, P_ny is a basis in L .

It only remains to prove the existence of projections P_i . Let μ be an eigenvalue of a complex matrix $m \in \tilde{M}(n)_{rd}, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ an open disk whose interior contains μ and does not contain eigenvalues of m distinct from μ . Then any matrix m' from a neighborhood $U_{rd} \ni m$ has only one eigenvalue μ' in V (we diminish U if necessary without much ado).

Similarly to [RS], set

$$P(A) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial V} (\lambda E_n - A)^{-1} d\lambda & \text{for } M(n) = Q(n) \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial V} (\lambda E_{n|n} - A^2)^{-1} d\lambda & \text{for } M(n) = \text{Odd}(n) \end{cases}$$

Clearly, P is an even operator commuting with A . Let us establish that P is indeed a projection to a 1-dimensional submodule if $M(n) = Q(n)$ or 1|1-dimensional if $M(n) = \text{Odd}(n)$.

On $M(n)$, there is a standard global coordinate system which determines the factorization $U \equiv U_{rd} \times \mathbb{C}^{0|k}$, where k is the number of odd coordinates. Therefore, it is possible to assume that the matrices of operators P and A are $U_{rd} \times \mathbb{C}^{0|k}$ -families of matrices. For any point $m' \in U_{rd}$ the corresponding $\mathbb{C}^{0|k}$ -families of matrices $A(m')$ and $P(A(m')) = P((A)m')$ are matrices with elements from the finite dimensional Grassmann algebra $\Lambda = F(\mathbb{C}^{0|k})$ and, therefore, there exists an even invertible matrix g with elements from Λ such that $g \cdot A(m') \cdot g^{-1}$ is of the standard format; hence, $g \cdot P((A)(m')) \cdot g^{-1} = P(g \cdot A \cdot g^{-1}(m'))$ and coincides with the projection onto the submodule corresponding to eigenvalue μ' .

Actually, $P(A)$ is ‘‘composed’’ from the projections $P(m')$ corresponding to eigenvalues μ' and existing for every $m' \in U_{rd}$ and the explicit formula for $P(A)$ establishes a holomorphic dependence of $P(m')$.

Since τ_k are invariant functions, then

$$\tau_k|_{U_m} = \pi_m^*(\tau_k) = \sum \pi_m^*(a_i^{k-1}) \cdot \pi_m^*(\alpha_i)$$

and, therefore, the passage from the collection $\tau_1|_{U_m}, \dots, \tau_n|_{U_m}$ to $\pi_m^*(\alpha_1), \dots, \pi_m^*(\alpha_n)$ is performed by an invertible linear transformation. By a G -action an arbitrary point of $M(n)_{rd}$ can be transformed into a point of $j(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n})_{rd}$ and, clearly, in a neighborhood of $j(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n})_{rd}$ the functions τ_1, \dots, τ_n can be complemented to a local coordinate system.

In what follows the pairs of morphisms $\pi : U \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}, g : U \rightarrow G$ possessing properties established in the theorem will be called *projections*.

4.2. We intend to establish an isomorphism between G -invariant functions on $\tilde{M}(n)$ and $S_n \vdash \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -invariant functions on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and, therefore, with balanced functions on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$. For this it is necessary to lift the functions s_i to $\tilde{M}(n)$.

Theorem . *There exist even rational functions s_1, \dots, s_n on $M(n)$ without singular points on $\tilde{M}(n)$ and satisfying the system of equations*

$$\tau_{k+n+1} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq h} \tau_{k+i} s_{n-i+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1 \quad (4.1)$$

For $n > 1$ there are no polynomial solutions of system (4.1).

Proof of Theorem. Let the x_i, ξ_j be standard coordinates on $M(n)$. Let us express τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} and the functions s_1, \dots, s_n to be described in the form

$$\tau_i = \sum_{\alpha} c_i^{\alpha}(x) \xi^{\alpha}, \quad s_i = \sum_{\beta} d_i^{\beta}(x) \xi^{\beta},$$

where α and β run over sets of 0's and 1's of length k , c_i^{α} are known polynomials and d_i^{β} unknown functions. Then equating coefficients of ξ^{α} in the left and right-hand sides of (4.1) we get an equivalent to (4.1) system of linear non-homogeneous equations in functions d_i^{β} , where the coefficients and constant terms are polynomials on M_{rd} . Let us call this system *the main* one but will not write it.

In order to avoid confusion, let us denote for the time being the functions τ_i and s_i on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ by τ'_i and s'_i .

Let $m \in M(n)_{rd}$, $\pi_m : U_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$; let $g_m : U_m \rightarrow G$ be the projection that exists by Lemma. Then $\pi^*(\tau'_i) = \pi^* \circ j^*(\tau_i) = \tau_i$ since $j \circ \pi_m = \text{ad}g_m$. The functions $\pi^*(s'_1), \dots, \pi^*(s'_n)$ form a solution of the main system on U since (4.1) on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ is identically satisfied.

Therefore, the main system is compatible in a neighborhood of any point of $M(n)_{rd}$. Since its coefficients are polynomials then for any point $m \in M(n)_{rd}$ there exists a solution that can be extended to a Zariski open neighborhood, a solution that consists of rational functions on $M(n)_{rd}$ and has no singularities at m . The sheaf \mathcal{P} of solutions of the system of homogeneous equations corresponding to the main system is coherent and $\tilde{M}(n)_{rd}$ is an affine algebraic variety (singled out in $M(n)_{rd}$ by the condition $f(m) = 0$, where f is the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of m) and therefore $H^1(\tilde{M}(n)_{rd}, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ by Serre's theorem. This means that there exists a global solution of the main system — the set of rational functions d_i^{β} without singularities on $\tilde{M}(n)_{rd}$.

Setting $s_i = \sum d_i^{\beta} \xi^{\beta}$ we get the required solution of system (4.1).

Remarks. 1) At the moment we cannot explicitly produce the functions s_i .

2) The set of functions s_i is by no means unique but in what follows we will fix one such set.

If h_1, \dots, h_n is a solution of system (4.1) and $n > 1$, then h_1 satisfies the equation

$$h_1 \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{n-1} \tau_{n+1} \quad (4.2)$$

Let us consider $Q(n)$ and $\text{Odd}(n)$ separately. On $Q(n)$ the even and odd coordinates fill in two square matrices B and β , respectively, and $\tau_k = k^{-1} \text{qtr}(B + \beta)^k$ is an homogeneous polynomial of degree k in B and β such that β is only encountered in odd degrees and the highest with respect to B and (simultaneously) lowest with respect to β term is equal to $\text{tr} B^{k-1} \beta$; the second highest in B term is of degree $k - 3$.

The functions τ_1, \dots, τ_n can be included into a local coordinate system on $Q(n)$ and, therefore, the degree of the product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ with respect to β is equal to n and the highest in B term in $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ is $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{tr} B^{i-1} \beta$. After the change $g_1 = \Delta_1 + \text{tr} B$ the equation (4.2) turns into

$$\Delta_1 \tau_1 \dots \tau_n = \tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1} (\tau_{n+1} - \text{tr} B \cdot \tau_n) \quad (4.3)$$

The degree of $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1} (\tau_{n+1} - \text{tr} B \cdot \tau_n)$ with respect to B does not exceed the degree of $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1} (\tau_{n+1} - \text{tr} B^n \cdot \beta)$ which is less than the degree of $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$. The point is that the highest with respect to B term in $\text{tr} B^n \cdot \beta - \text{tr} B \cdot \tau_n$ is equal to $\sum_{1 < i < n-1} k_i(B) \text{tr}(B^{i-1} \beta)$,

where $k_i(B)$ are polynomials and the summand $k_i(B) \text{tr}(B^{i-1} \beta)$ is killed being multiplied by the highest term of τ_i . Therefore, the main system has no polynomial solutions for $Q(n)$.

For $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(n)$ the coordinates fill in the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & B \\ C & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, where α and δ consist of odd coordinates and where B and C consist of even coordinates.

Set $\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\text{tr} \mathcal{U}^{2k-2} \mathcal{V}$ is the lowest term of $\tau_k = \frac{1}{2k-1} \text{str}(\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{U})^{2k-1}$ with respect to \mathcal{V} and simultaneously the highest term with respect to \mathcal{U} . The change $g_1 = \text{tr} BC + \Delta$ turns (4.2) into

$$\Delta \tau_1 \dots \tau_n = \tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1} (\tau_{n+1} - \text{tr} BC \cdot \tau_n). \quad (4.4)$$

In exactly the same way as this was done for $Q(n)$ it is easy to show that for $n > 1$ the degree of the right-hand side of (4.4) with respect to \mathcal{U} is smaller than the degree of $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ and there are no polynomial solutions of the main system.

4.3. Clearly, an infinite dimensional supergroup of morphisms from U to G acts on the set of solutions (4.1) defined on $U \subseteq M(n)$. This completely describes the nonuniqueness of the set s_1, \dots, s_n .

Theorem . *If f_1, \dots, f_n are even functions on an open subsupermanifold $W \subset \tilde{M}(n)$ satisfying (4.1), then*

- 1) *For any point $m \in U_{rd}$ there exists a morphism $h_m : V \rightarrow G$ defined in a neighborhood of m that sends the set s_1, \dots, s_n to f_1, \dots, f_n ;*
- 2) *The functions $\text{cpr} f_i$ on U_{rd} are determined from the relation*

$$\lambda^n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \lambda^i \text{cpr} f_{n-i}(m) = \det(\lambda E - A(m)),$$

where

$$A(m) = \begin{cases} m & \text{for } m \in \tilde{Q}(n)_{rd} \\ BC & \text{for } m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\text{Odd}}(n)_{rd} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Let $g_m : U \rightarrow G$ and $\pi_m : U \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ be the projection (see 4.1). Then having considered π_m as a U -family of points $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ let us apply Theorem 3.4 to the collection of functions f_1, \dots, f_n : they satisfy (3.5) and $\pi_m^*(\alpha_i) \neq 0$ and, therefore, $f_i \equiv \pi^*(s_i) \pmod{I}$,

where I is the ideal generated by odd coordinates on U and there exists a family of morphisms $\pi'_m : U_m \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ equivalent to π_m such that $f_i = (\pi'_m)^*(s_i)$.

Since functions $\pi_m^*(\alpha_i)$ can be included into a local coordinate system and equivalence of π_m and π'_m means that

$$\pi_m^*(\alpha_i) = \pi'_m(\alpha_i) \text{ and } \pi_m^*(\alpha_i)[\pi_m^*(a_i) - \pi'_m(a_i)] = 0,$$

then there exist odd functions k_1, \dots, k_n such that $\pi'_m(a_i) = \pi_m^*(a_i) - \pi_m^*(\alpha_i)k_i$. In other words there exists a U -family of points of $S_n \vdash \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ that sends π'_m to π_m . Having identified $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ with $j(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n})$ let us lift this family to a U -family of points of G that sends $j \circ \pi'_m$ to $j \circ \pi_m$ and, therefore, sends the set $\pi_m^*(s_i)$ to the set f_i . Thus, the sets $\{f_i\}$ and $\{s_i\}$ can be locally obtained from the set $\{\pi_m^*(s_i)\}$, hence from each other.

We have proved above that $\text{cpr}f_i = \text{cpr}s_i$ and therefore the functions $\text{cpr}s_i$ are G_{rd} -invariant. The formulas we are proving for $\text{cpr}s_i$ are also G_{rd} -invariant; they are satisfied on $j(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n})_{rd}$ and therefore they are true on $\tilde{M}(n)$. \square

4.4. Theorem . *The algebra of invariant functions on $\tilde{M}(n)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of $S_n \vdash \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ -invariant functions on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and, therefore, to the algebra of balanced functions and the isomorphism is performed via $j^* : F(\tilde{M}(n)) \rightarrow F(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n})$ under which the polynomials on $\tilde{M}(n)$ are identified with polynomials on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and rational functions with rational functions.*

Proof. The properties of j^* immediately imply that if f is an invariant function on $\tilde{M}(n)$ then $j^*(f)$ is an invariant function on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and since locally f coincides with $\pi_m^* \circ j^*(f)$ then $j^*(f) = 0$ implies $f = 0$. Now let f' be an invariant function on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and \check{f} the corresponding balanced function on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$.

In a neighborhood of any point $m \in M(n)_{rd}$ we can apply Theorem 3.4 to the U -family $\pi_m : U \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ and deduce that the functions τ_1, \dots, τ_n are G -invariant, the G -action sends s_1, \dots, s_n to another solution of (4.1) and, therefore, the function $\check{f}(s_1, \dots, s_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ does not vary, i.e., $\check{f}(s_1, \dots, s_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ is an invariant function.

If f' is an invariant polynomial then by Theorem 3.3 $f' = P(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ is an invariant polynomial in τ_1, \dots, τ_k on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$; hence, $P(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ is an invariant polynomial on $\tilde{M}(n)$. If f' is a rational function then f is also a rational function since \check{f} and s_1, \dots, s_n are rational functions. \square

Corollary . *Any invariant polynomial P on $M(n)$ can be uniquely expressed in the form*

$$P = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} c^{i_1 \dots i_k} \tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_k}$$

where only a finite number of coefficients $c^{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ is nonzero. All the relations between the $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$ are corollaries of supercommutativity and relations $\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_{n+1}} = 0$.

4.5. The case of $\tilde{M}(n)$. In exactly the same way as for $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$, to compute the values of any function it suffices to know τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} .

Theorem . *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \tilde{M}(n)$ be a family of points of $\tilde{M}(n)$ and h_1, \dots, h_n even functions on \mathcal{U} satisfying the equations*

$$\varphi^*(\tau_{n+1+k}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \varphi^*(\tau_{n+k+1-i})h_i, \text{ where } k = 0, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } h_i \equiv \varphi^*(s_i) \pmod{I}\mathcal{U}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then for any invariant function f on $\tilde{M}(n)$ we have $\varphi^*(f) = \check{f}(h_1, \dots, h_n, \varphi^*(\tau_1), \dots, \varphi^*(\tau_n))$, where \check{f} is the balanced function corresponding to f .

Proof. Let us apply Theorem 3.4 to the family $\pi_\varphi \circ \varphi : V \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$ defined in a neighborhood of a point $u \in \mathcal{U}_{rd}$. \square

Corollary . *If the first $2n$ invariant polynomials of the families of morphisms $\varphi_i : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \tilde{M}(n)$, $i = 1, 2$, coincide and $\varphi_1^*(s_i) \equiv \varphi_2^*(s_i) \pmod{I_{\mathcal{U}}}$ then the remaining invariant functions also coincide.*

Remark . 1) Recall that $\text{cpr}(s_i)$ are invariant polynomials on $M(n)_{rd}$ which allows to solve system (4.5).

2) It seems strange that it is possible to determine the value of any invariant function f from τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} whereas f cannot as a rule be expressed in the form of a function in $2n$ odd variables τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} . The point is that the variables τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} are not independent: the product of any $n + 1$ of them is equal to zero.

4.6. The collection of functions s_1, \dots, s_n not only gives a collection of invariants with values in \mathbb{C} but is a set of G -invariants of “the second turn” in the following precise sense.

Denote by $L(n)$ the closed subsupermanifold in $\tilde{M}(n)$ singled out by equations

$$\tau_1 = 0, \dots, \tau_n = 0.$$

It is G -invariant together with τ_i and $L(n)_{rd} = \tilde{M}(n)_{rd}$.

Theorem . 1) *If f is an invariant function on $\tilde{M}(n)$ then $f|_{L(n)}$ is a constant.*

2) *The functions $l_1 = s_1|_{L(n)}, \dots, l_n = s_n|_{L(n)}$ do not depend on the choice of the collection s_1, \dots, s_n — a solution of (4.1) — and are generators of the algebra of invariant functions on $L(n)$.*

Proof. 1) Lemma (3.3) implies that $\check{f} \equiv \text{const} \pmod{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n}$.

2) If $\pi_i : U \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}$, $i = 1, 2$, are two projections then on $L(n)$

$$\pi_1^*(\alpha_i) = \pi_2^*(\alpha_i) = 0 \text{ and } \pi_1^*(a_i) = \pi_2^*(a_{\delta(i)}), \text{ where } \delta \in S_n,$$

and, therefore, all solutions s'_1, \dots, s'_n of (4.1) give the same set of functions l_1, \dots, l_n which are basis symmetric functions in $\pi^*(a_1), \dots, \pi^*(a_n)$ defined uniquely up to the S_n -action. \square

§5. EXAMPLES

5.1. On the image of the embedding $j(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n|n}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(n)$ we have $\text{qet} A = \sum \alpha_i a_i^{-1}$. In particular, for $n = 2$ we have

$$\text{qet} A = \frac{\tau_1(a_1 + a_2) - \tau_2}{a_1 a_2}.$$

If the family of matrices $\psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(n)$ is such that the functions $\tau_l = l^{-1} \text{qtr} A^l$ are defined for l close to 0, then $\text{qet} A = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \tau_l$.

On $\widetilde{\text{Odd}}(n)$, the expression $\sum \alpha_i a_i^{-1}$ also defines an invariant function, $\tau_0 = -\text{str} A^{-1}$, that does not possess, unlike qet , any special properties; in particular, $\tau_0(kA) = k^{-1} A$. However, both $\text{qet}(\lambda - A)$ on $\mathbb{Q}(n)$ and $-\text{str}(\lambda - A)^{-1}$ on $\text{Odd}(n)$ are generating functions for all invariants of A :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{qet}(\lambda - A) &= \sum_i \frac{d_i}{a_i - \lambda} = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-j} \tau_j(A); \\ -\text{str}(\lambda - A)^{-1} &= -\lambda^{-1} \text{str}(1 - \lambda^{-1} A)^{-1} = \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(j+1)} \text{str} A^j = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(2j+2)} \text{str} A^{2j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

5.2. On $Q(2)$, with coordinates that fill in two square matrices: an even one, $B = (b_{ij})$, and an odd one, $\beta = (\beta_{ij})$, one of the rational solutions of (4.1) is given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(B, \beta) &= b_{11} + b_{22} + 2 \frac{(\beta_{22} - \beta_{11})[\beta_{12}b_{21} - \beta_{21}b_{12}] + (b_{11} - b_{22})\beta_{12}\beta_{21}}{(b_{11} - b_{22})^2 + 4b_{12}b_{21}} \\ s_2(B, \beta) &= \frac{1}{2}s_1(B + \beta^2, B\beta + \beta B). \end{aligned}$$

5.3. The results of §4 provide us with a complete system of invariants for a linear superbundle of rank $n|n$ in each fiber of which there is fixed an odd invertible operator A — the set of polynomials

$$\text{str}A, \dots, \frac{1}{4n-1} \text{str}A^{4n-1}.$$

Two particular cases are of special interest: (a) one, connected with an almost complex structure [Po], [Va] and (b) another, connected with a pair (a symplectic structure, a periplectic structure), cf. [Kh], [V], [KN], [NK].

5.3.1. In the first case $A^2 = -1_{n|n}$, i.e., A is “far” from the general position. As shown in [Po], [Va] such operators have no invariants: by a change of basis A can be reduced to the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let us show that a more general statement is also true: the family of odd invertible matrices A reduces to the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if A^2 reduces to the form $\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Indeed, let $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then by acting on A with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix}$ (by conjugations) we may make $Z = 1$; then $X + T = 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ 1 & -X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y + X^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that the results of §4 are inapplicable here since A has only two eigenvalues: ± 1 .

5.3.2. If on a $2n|2n$ -dimensional supermanifold there are given an even and an odd closed nondegenerate differential 2-forms $\omega_{\bar{0}}$ and $\omega_{\bar{1}}$ then $A = \omega_{\bar{0}}^{-1}\omega_{\bar{1}}$ is an invertible odd linear operator in the tangent bundle. If the pair $(\omega_{\bar{0}}, \omega_{\bar{1}})$ is in the general position then the eigenvalues of $\text{cpr}A$ are distinct (for $n = 1$ this holds automatically) and we can make use of the results of §4. The skew-symmetry of $\omega_{\bar{0}}$ and $\omega_{\bar{1}}$ as bilinear forms leads to the fact that $\tau_{2k-1}(A) = 0$ and, therefore, all the invariants of $\omega_{\bar{0}}$ and $\omega_{\bar{1}}$ that can be obtained from $\omega_{\bar{0}}^{-1}\omega_{\bar{1}}$ are $\tau_2, \dots, \tau_{4n-2}$.

§6. CONCLUSION

In this work we have obtained the complete set of invariant functions on $Q(n)$ and $\text{Odd}(n)$ and there is a constructible recipe for computing the values of any invariant function from τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} . Apart from this concrete information certain more abstract considerations seem to be useful.

It is natural to interpret results of §4 as follows: the quotient manifold \tilde{M}/G does not exist in the category of manifolds but exists in a broader category of virtual supermanifolds [L], where

$$\tilde{M}/G = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{n|n}/S_n \vdash \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$$

and G -invariant functions on \tilde{M} are “functions” (whatever this might mean) on \tilde{M}/G . In such terms the mysterious problem of computing invariant functions in τ_1, \dots, τ_{2n} with the help of intermediary non-uniquely defined functions s_1, \dots, s_n and balanced functions on

$\check{C}^{n|n}$ means, it seems, that all the functions on \check{M}/G can be expressed as functions on the virtual supermanifold distinguished in $\mathbb{C}^{0|2n}$ by equations $\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_{n+1}} = 0$ for any i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} .

At the moment there is no theory of virtual supermanifolds. Though in [L], #31, there are given examples of virtual supermanifolds which are not supermanifolds, the virtual supermanifolds were mainly introduced as a convenient means of work with “genuine” supermanifolds. The results obtained above can be considered as an experimental data contributing to the theory of virtual supermanifolds.

REFERENCES

- [Be] Berezin F. *Introduction to superanalysis*. Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Kirillov. With an appendix by V. I. Ogievetsky. Translated from the Russian by J. Niederle and R. Kotecký. Translation edited by Dimitri Leites. Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics, 9. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht-Boston, MA, 1987. xii+424 pp
- [BL] Bernstein J., Leites D., Irreducible representations of type Q , the odd trace and odd determinant. C.r. acad. Bulg. Sci., v. 35, N3, 285–286
- [F] Fuks (Fuchs) D. *Cohomology of infinitesimal Lie algebras*. Consultants Bureau, NY, 1987
- [G] Gantmakher F. *Teoriya matrits*. (Russian) [Theory of matrices] Second supplemented edition. With an appendix by V. B. Lidskii Izdat. “Nauka”, Moscow 1966 576 pp; *Théorie des matrices*. Tome 1: Théorie générale. (French) Traduit du Russe par Ch. Sarthou. Collection Universitaire de Mathématiques, No. 18 Dunod, Paris 1966 xiii+370 pp.; Tome 2: Questions spéciales et applications. (French) Traduit du Russe par Ch. Sarthou. Collection Universitaire de Mathématiques, No. 19 Dunod, Paris 1966 xii+268 pp.
- [Ka] Kac V.G. Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras. Commun. Alg. v. 5, 1977, 889–897
- [KN] Khudaverdyan O., Nersessyan A. Even and odd symplectic and Kählerian structures on projective superspaces. J. Math. Phys. 34 (1993), no. 12, 5533–5548; id, Canonical Poisson brackets of different gradings and strange superalgebras. J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991), no. 7, 1938–1941
- [Kh] Khudaverdian, O. M. Geometry of superspace with even and odd brackets. J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991), no. 7, 1934–1937
- [NK] Nersesyan, A. P.; Khudaverdyan, O. M. Superspaces with two canonical 2-formations of different parities, and the strange superalgebra $U\check{Q}(N)$. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Fiz. 24 (1989), no. 6, 288–294 (1990)
- [L] Leites D. (ed.) *Seminar on Supermanifolds*, Reports of Dept. of Math., Stockholm Univ., ##.1 – 34, 1987-92, 2100 pp., #.32, 44–95 (see also Introduction to the supermanifold theory. Russian Math. Surveys, v. 35, n.1, 1980, 3–53)
- [M] Macdonald I. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford, 1979
- [Ma] Manin Yu. *Gauge fields and complex geometry*, Springer, 1996
- [Po] Poletaeva, E. Analogues of Riemann tensors for the odd metric on supermanifolds. Acta Appl. Math. 31 (1993), no. 2, 137–169; id., Structure functions on the usual and exotic symplectic and periplectic supermanifolds. Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics (Rapallo, 1990), 390–395, Lecture Notes in Phys., 375, Springer, Berlin, 1991
- [RS] Riesz F., Sz-Nady B. *Leqns d’analyse fonctionelle*. Budapest, 1952
- [S] Sergeev A. Invariant functions and Laplace-Casimir operators on Lie superalgebras. In: [L], v.32, 44–95
- [Se] Serre J.-P. *Faisceaux algebriques coherent*. Ann. Math., 1955, 61, 197-278
- [Va] Vaintrob A. Almost complex structures on supermanifolds, In: [L], v.24
- [V] Volkov, D. V.; Pashnev, A. I.; Soroka, V. A.; Tkach, V. I. Hamiltonian dynamical systems with even and odd Poisson brackets. (Russian) Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 79 (1989), no. 1, 117–126; translation in Theoret. and Math. Phys. 79 (1989), no. 1, 424–430
- [W] Weyl H. *The classical groups. Their invariants and representations*, Princeton, Princeton Univ. press, 1939