

ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS AND LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

ALEXANDER SERGEEV

ABSTRACT. For $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$, in addition to the conventional set of orthogonal polynomials, another set is produced with the help of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$. Difficulties related with expression of Dyson's constant for the Lie superalgebras are discussed.

§0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. History. In 1962 while studying statistical mechanics Dyson [D] considered the constant term in the expression

$$\prod_{i \neq j} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

depending on indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n . Dyson conjectured the explicit form of this constant term. His conjecture was soon related with the root system of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, generalized to other root systems of simple Lie algebras and proved. The expressions obtained for the *Dyson constant* are called *Macdonald's identities*, see [M].

Let us briefly recall the main results. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple (finite dimensional) Lie algebra, R its root system, P the group of weights; $A = \mathbb{C}[P]$ the group of formal exponents of the form e^λ , where $\lambda \in P$; let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} and

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{\alpha \in R} (1 - e^\alpha).$$

On A , define the scalar product by setting

$$(f, g) = [f\bar{g}\Delta]_0, \tag{0.0}$$

where $\bar{e^\lambda} = e^{-\lambda}$ and $[f]_0$ is the constant term of the power series f .

It turns out that

the characters χ_λ of finite dimensional irreducible representations of \mathfrak{g} are uniquely determined by their properties

(i) *to form an orthogonal (with respect to the form (0.0)) basis in A^W , the algebra of W -invariant elements of A ;*

(ii) $\chi_\lambda = e^\lambda +$ *terms with exponents μ such that $\mu < \lambda$.*

Here for Lie superalgebras I consider the following problem: what are the analogs of the scalar product (0.0) (hence, of Δ and W) for which (i) and (ii) hold? If (i) and (ii) do not hold *as stated*, how to modify the definitions and the statement to make them reasonably interesting?

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E35, 33C50, 17A70, 33D45.

Key words and phrases. Dyson's constant, Macdonald's identities, Lie superalgebras, orthogonal polynomials.

I am thankful to D. Leites, who raised the problem, for support and help.

0.2. Main result. So far, there is not much that can count as a result, actually. I consider this note as a remark on the results from [M] and a report on the work in progress.

It turns out that for Lie superalgebras there is no function Δ (understood as a formal distribution) such that the characters of irreducible representations would satisfy (0.1), i.e., were orthonormal. With one exception: the series $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$. Thanks to this exception, the main results of this note are:

1) For $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ I reproduce an observation of Rittenberg and Scheunert [RS] on a correspondence between irreducible $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ -modules and $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ -modules. (I also give a short and lucid demonstration of this correspondence. ¹) From this correspondence I deduce in the $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ case the existence of another set of orthogonal polynomials in addition to the set described in [M].

2) For any simple Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} I can produce a function Δ for which the characters of the typical representations are orthonormal with respect to (0.0). I hope to return to this topic elsewhere.

Remark . Observe that for the simple Lie algebras, Δ appears in the Weyl integration formula: if f is a class function on a compact Lie group G such that $Lie(G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{g}$ and $T \subset G$ is a maximal torus, then

$$\int_G f dg = \int_T f \Delta dt. \quad (0.2)$$

For the general Lie superalgebras the analog of identity (0.2) is unknown to me. Here are several little problems: not every simple Lie superalgebra (supergroup) over \mathbb{C} has a compact form; the volume of those that have may vanish identically, cf. [B].

§1. THE ORTHOGONALITY OF THE CHARACTERS OF $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ -MODULES

We recall some basic facts from the representation theory of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ (see, e.g., [K]) and (for convenience) $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$.

1.1. $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$, its roots and characters. Set

$$R_{\bar{0}} = \{\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \text{ for } i \neq j; \pm 2\varepsilon_i\}, \quad R_{\bar{1}} = \{\pm\varepsilon_i\};$$

$$S_{\bar{0}} = \{\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} \subset R_{\bar{0}};$$

$$2\rho_0 = \sum_{i < j} (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) + \sum_i 2\varepsilon_i = 2 \sum_{i < j} \varepsilon_i + 2 \sum_i \varepsilon_i = 2 \sum (n - i + 1) \varepsilon_i.$$

For the Lie superalgebras

$$\rho = \rho_0 - \rho_1, \text{ where } \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \varepsilon_i.$$

All $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ -modules are typical. The invariant bilinear form is $\text{str}(\text{ad}(x)^2)$. Explicitly, the restriction of this form onto Cartan subalgebra reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{str}(\text{ad}(x)^2) &= \sum (\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j)^2 + \sum (\pm 2\varepsilon_i)^2 - \sum (\pm\varepsilon_i)^2 = \\ &= 2 \sum (\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j)^2 + 4 \sum (\pm\varepsilon_i)^2 - \sum (\pm\varepsilon_i)^2 = \\ &= 2 \sum ((\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)^2 + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)^2) + 6 \sum (\varepsilon_i)^2 = \\ &= (4n + 2) \sum (\varepsilon_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

¹Leites informed me, that this demonstration basically coincides with the one Deligne communicated to Leites in 1991 (unpublished).

The supercharacter of the finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ -module V^λ with highest weight λ is

$$\text{sch}V^\lambda = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}'(w)e^{w(\lambda+\rho)}}{L},$$

where

$$L = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R_0^+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2})}{\prod_{\beta \in R_{\bar{1}}^+} (e^{\beta/2} - e^{-\beta/2})}$$

and $\text{sign}'(w)$ is equal to -1 to the power equal to the number of reflections in the even roots α except those α for which $\frac{\alpha}{2} \in R_{\bar{1}}$.

The Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{S}_n \circ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ and

$$\text{sign}'(\sigma \cdot \tau_1 \dots \tau_n) = \text{sign}(\sigma) \text{ for any } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \text{ and } \tau_i \text{ from the } i\text{-th copy of } \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Observe that

$$L = \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}'(w)e^{w\rho}.$$

Indeed, apply the character formula to the trivial module.

In other words, everything is the same as for $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ but instead of the character sign on W we now take sign' .

The unique, up to W -action system of simple roots in \mathfrak{g} is of the form

$$\Pi = \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_n\}.$$

Observe that

$$\lambda + \rho = \sum (\lambda_i + n - i + \frac{1}{2})\varepsilon_i.$$

Since

$$L = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R_0^+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}) \prod_i (e^{\varepsilon_i} - e^{-\varepsilon_i})}{\prod_i (e^{\varepsilon_i/2} - e^{-\varepsilon_i/2})} = \prod_{\alpha \in S_0^+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}) \prod_{\alpha \in R_{\bar{1}}^+} (e^{\alpha/2} + e^{-\alpha/2}). \quad (1.1)$$

We will use the latter expression of L as well.

1.2. $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$, its roots and characters. Clearly, $R(\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)) = S(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{0}} \cup R(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{1}}$ and ρ is the half-sum of the positive roots; the restriction of the Killing form is proportional to $\sum \varepsilon_i^2$, the Weyl group is $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \circ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ and for the nontrivial homomorphism $\text{sign} : \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ we have

$$\text{sign}(\sigma \cdot \tau_1 \dots \tau_n) = \text{sign}(\sigma)\text{sign}(\tau_1) \dots \text{sign}(\tau_n)$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and τ_i from the i -th copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

The system of simple roots is the same as for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$; the character of the finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ -module V^λ with highest weight λ is

$$\text{ch}V^\lambda = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}(w)e^{w(\lambda+\rho)}}{L},$$

where

$$L = \prod_{\alpha \in S(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{0}}^{\dagger}} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}) \prod_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{1}}^{\dagger}} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}). \quad (1.2)$$

Set

$$(a, q)_{\infty} = (1 - a)(1 - aq)(1 - aq^2) \dots$$

Set, further (for an indeterminate ϵ such that $\epsilon^2 = 1$; it corresponds to the 1-dimensional odd superspace; we hope that the reader will not confuse ϵ with the root ε):

$$\Delta(q, t, \epsilon) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in S(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{0}}} (e^{\alpha}, q)_{\infty}}{(te^{\alpha}, q)_{\infty}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{1}}} (\epsilon e^{\alpha}, q)_{\infty}}{(t\epsilon e^{\alpha}, q)_{\infty}} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in R(\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)).$$

Let

$$t = q^k \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(q, t, \epsilon) &= \prod_{\alpha \in S(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{0}}} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (1 - q^r e^{\alpha}) \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{1}}} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (1 - \epsilon q^r e^{\alpha}) = \\ &= \prod_{\alpha \in R} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^r e^{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $p(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in S(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{0}}$ and $p(\alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n))_{\bar{1}}$.

Let P be the group of weights of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and let $A = \mathbb{C}[P]$ be the group of formal exponents of the form e^{λ} , where $\lambda \in P$. Recall that $\lambda \in P$ if and only if $\lambda = \sum n_i \varepsilon_i$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all the i .

The Weyl group $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \circ (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ acts on P , hence, on A , as follows: \mathfrak{S}_n permutes the ε_i and $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ changes their signs.

Observe that for $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ the group of weights is larger than same for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$: the former includes the half-integer n_i .

4.2. Theorem . *For $\mathfrak{o}(2n+1)$ there exists a unique (up to a constant factor) basis of A^W consisting of $\lambda \in P^+$ such that*

$$\text{a) } F_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} u_{\lambda, \mu} m_{\mu}, \text{ where } m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu \in \{\text{the orbit of } \lambda\}} e^{\nu} \text{ and the coefficients } u_{\lambda, \mu} \text{ are rational}$$

functions in t and ϵ ;

b) for $f, g \in A$ define the pairing (f, g) by means of formula (0.0), where Δ is determined by (1.3). Then

$$(F_{\lambda}, F_{\mu}) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

Proof. Uniqueness. Since $F_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} u_{\lambda, \mu} m_{\mu}$, it follows that the transition matrix from F_{λ} to F_{λ} is an uppertriangular one, i.e., $m_{\lambda} = F_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} a_{\lambda, \mu} F_{\mu}$. If F_{λ}' is another set of elements from A with the properties needed, then the transition matrix from m_{λ} to F_{λ}' is also an uppertriangular one, so $F_{\lambda}' = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} b_{\lambda, \mu} F_{\mu}$. If both the bases are orthogonal, i.e.,

$$(F_{\lambda}, F_{\mu}) = (F_{\lambda}', F_{\mu}') = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq \mu, \text{ this means that } F_{\lambda} = F_{\lambda}' \cdot C_{\lambda}.$$

Existence. It suffices to prove the existence of an operator $D : A^W \rightarrow A^W$ such that

$$\text{i) } (Df, g) = (f, Dg);$$

$$\text{ii) } Dm_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} c_{\lambda, \mu} m_{\mu};$$

$$\text{iii) if } \lambda, \mu \in P^+ \text{ are distinct, then } c_{\lambda, \lambda} \neq c_{\mu, \mu}.$$

Set

$$\Delta_+ = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^r e^\alpha).$$

In the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ select $h_1 = \varepsilon_1^*$ which plays the role of a miniscule weight for the dual root system. More exactly, for $R^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j; \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j; \varepsilon_i\}$ we have $\alpha(h_1) = 0$ or 1 for any $\alpha \in R^+$.

Define the action of the operator $T = T_{h_1}$ by setting

$$Te^\lambda = q^{\lambda(h_1)} e^\lambda$$

and define D by setting

$$Df = \sum_{w \in W} w(\Delta_+^{-1} T(\Delta_+ f)).$$

The operator D is self-adjoint. Indeed,

$$\Delta = w\Delta = w\Delta_+ \overline{w\Delta_+} \text{ for any } w \in W.$$

Further on,

$$\begin{aligned} (Df, g) &= \sum (w(\Delta_+^{-1} T(\Delta_+ f)), g) = \\ &= \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \left[w\left(\frac{T(\Delta_+ f)}{\Delta_+}\right) \cdot \bar{g} \cdot w\Delta_+ \overline{w\Delta_+} \right]_0 = \\ &= \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \left[w(T(\Delta_+ f)) \cdot \bar{g} \cdot \overline{w\Delta_+} \right]_0 = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \left[w(T(\Delta_+ f)) \cdot \bar{g} w\overline{\Delta_+} \right]_0 = \\ &= \left(\text{since } g^w = g \right) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \left[w(T(\Delta_+ f)) \cdot \bar{g} \overline{\Delta_+} \right]_0 = \\ &= \left(\text{since the constant term is always } W\text{-invariant} \right) = [T(\Delta_+ f) \cdot \bar{g} \overline{\Delta_+}]_0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(Dg, f) = [T(\Delta_+ g) \cdot f \overline{\Delta_+}]_0.$$

But, as is easy to verify,

$$[T(f) \cdot \bar{g}]_0 = [T(g) \cdot \bar{f}]_0.$$

Therefore,

$$(Df, g) = (f, Dg) \text{ for any } f, g \in A^W.$$

Let us show that D sends A into A . Set $\Phi = \Delta_+^{-1} T(\Delta_+)$. Then $D = \sum_{w \in W} w(\Phi T(f))$ since T is an automorphism.

Let us compute Φ . Since $\alpha(h_1) = 0$ or 1 for any $\alpha \in R^+$, it follows that

$$T(e^\alpha) = \begin{cases} qe^\alpha & \text{for } \alpha(h_1) = 1 \\ e^\alpha & \text{for } \alpha(h_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The case $\epsilon = 1$ is considered in [M1]. Therefore, in what follows we assume that $\epsilon = -1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= \frac{T(\Delta_+)}{\Delta_+} = \frac{T\left(\prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^r e^\alpha)\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} (1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^r e^\alpha)} = \\
&= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^{\alpha(h_1)} q^r e^\alpha}{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^r e^\alpha} = \\
&= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+, \alpha(h_1)=1} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^{r+1} e^\alpha}{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} q^r e^\alpha} = (t = q^r) \\
&= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+, \alpha(h_1)=1} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} t e^\alpha}{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} e^\alpha} = \\
&= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} t^{\alpha(h_1)} e^\alpha}{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} e^\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} t^{\alpha(h_1)} \frac{\epsilon^{p(\alpha)} - t^{-\alpha(h_1)} e^{-\alpha}}{\epsilon^{p(\alpha)} - e^{-\alpha}} = \\
&= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} t^{\alpha(h_1)} \frac{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} t^{-\alpha(h_1)} e^{-\alpha}}{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} e^{-\alpha}} = t^{\alpha(2\rho)} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} t^{-\alpha(h_1)} e^{-\alpha}}{1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} e^{-\alpha}} = \\
&= t^{\alpha(2\rho)} e^\rho \delta^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (1 - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} t^{-\alpha(h_1)} e^{-\alpha}),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\delta = \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}'(w) e^{w\rho}.$$

Observe that $w\delta = \text{sign}'(w)$. Observe also that

$$\delta = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (e^{\alpha/2} - \epsilon^{p(\alpha)} e^{-\alpha/2}).$$

For any $X \subset R_+$ set

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in X} \alpha.$$

If we simplify (1.4) by eliminating parentheses, then Φ takes the form

$$\Phi = t^{2\rho(h_1)} e^\rho \delta^{-1} \sum_{X \subset R^+} \varphi_X(t) e^{-\sigma(X)},$$

where

$$\varphi_X(t) = (-1)^{|X|} \epsilon^{p(X)} t^{-\sigma(X)(h_1)}$$

and $p(X) = \#(\text{odd roots that occur in } X)$. Let us calculate De^μ for $\mu \in P$. Observe that $w(Te^\mu) = q^{\mu(h_1)} e^{w\mu}$, hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
De^\mu &= \delta^{-1} q^{\mu(h_1)} t^{2\rho(h_1)} \sum_{X \subset R^+} \varphi_X(t) \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}'(w) e^{w(\mu + \rho - \sigma(X))} = \\
&= q^{\mu(h_1)} t^{2\rho(h_1)} \sum_{X \subset R^+} \varphi_X(t) \left(\delta^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}'(w) e^{w(\mu + \rho - \sigma(X))} \right) = \\
&= q^{\mu(h_1)} t^{2\rho(h_1)} \sum_{X \subset R^+} \varphi_X(t) \chi_{\mu - \sigma(X)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\chi_{\mu - \sigma(X)} \neq 0 \iff \text{there exists a } \nu \in P^+ \text{ such that } \nu + \rho = w(\mu - \sigma(X) + \rho).$$

The last result does not depend on the choice of the one-dimensional character (sign or sign') on W because the orbit of the weight λ contains a dominant weight if and only if the λ_i^2 are pairwise distinct. Therefore,

$$Dm_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda} De^\mu = t^{2\rho(h_1)} \sum_{X \subset R^+} \varphi_X(t) \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda} q^{\mu(h_1)} \chi_{\mu - \sigma(X)}. \quad (1.5)$$

But

$$\rho - \sigma(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \varepsilon_\alpha \alpha \quad (1.6)$$

where $\varepsilon_\alpha = \pm 1$; hence, $w(\rho - \sigma(X))$ is of the same form (1.6) and, therefore,

$$w(\rho - \sigma(X)) = \rho - \sigma(Y) \text{ for some } Y \subset R^+.$$

Thus,

$$\nu = w\mu - \sigma(Y) \leq w\mu \leq \lambda.$$

Consequently, $Dm_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \leq \lambda} C_{\lambda\nu}(h_1) \cdot m_\nu$ and a) is verified.

Let us prove b). In (1.4), $\nu = \lambda$ if and only if $Y = \emptyset$ and

$$w\mu = \lambda \iff w(\rho - \sigma(X)) = \rho, \mu = w^{-1}\lambda, X = R^+ \cap (-wR^+).$$

Therefore,

$$C_{\lambda\lambda}(h_1) = t^{2\rho(h_1)} \sum_{X \subset R^+} q^{w^{-1}\lambda(h_1)} \text{sign}'(w) \chi_{R^+ \cap (-wR^+)}(t)$$

because

$$\chi_{R^+ \cap (-wR^+)} = (-1)^{|R^+ \cap (-wR^+)|} (-1)^{p(R^+ \cap (-wR^+))} t^{(w^{-1}\rho - \rho)(h_1)} = \text{sign}'(w) t^{(w^{-1}\rho - \rho)(h_1)}.$$

Hence,

$$C_{\lambda\lambda}(h_1) = t^{\rho(h_1)} \sum_{w \in W} q^{\lambda(wh_1)} t^{\rho(wh_1)},$$

as in [M]. Therefore (see (6.14) in [M]), if $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$, then $C_{\lambda\lambda} \neq C_{\mu\mu}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [B] Berezin F., Representations of the supergroup $U(p, q)$. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 10 (1976), n. 3, 70–71
- [D] Dyson F. Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I., J. Math. Phys., 3, 1962, 140 – 156
- [K] Kac V., Representations of classical Lie superalgebras, Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics, II (Proc. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1977), Lecture Notes in Math., 676, Springer, Berlin, 1978, 597–626
- [M] Macdonald I., Symmetric functions and orthogonal polynomials. Dean Jacqueline B. Lewis Memorial Lectures presented at Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ. University Lecture Series, 12. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998
- [RS] Rittenberg, V.; Scheunert, M. A remarkable connection between the representations of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n)$ and the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}(2n + 1)$. Comm. Math. Phys. 83 (1982), no. 1, 1–9

DEPT. OF MATH., UNIV. OF STOCKHOLM, ROSLAGSV. 101, KRÄFTRIKET HUS 6, S-106 91, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN (ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE FROM BALAKOVO INST. OF TECHNOLOGY TECHNIQUE AND CONTROL), E-MAIL: MLEITES@MATEMATIK.SU.SE SUBJECT: FOR SERGEEV