

# Gromov-Witten Invariants of Blow-ups Along Points and Curves

Jianxun Hu <sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University,  
Guangzhou, 510275 P. R. China

and

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Madison, WI 53706, USA

## Abstract

In this paper, using the gluing formula of Gromov-Witten invariants under symplectic cutting, due to Li and Ruan, we studied the Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups at a smooth point or along a smooth curve. We established some relations between Gromov-Witten invariants of  $M$  and its blow-ups at a smooth point or along a smooth curve.

## 1 Introduction

During last several years, there was a great deal of activities to establish the mathematical foundation of the theory of quantum cohomology or Gromov-Witten invariants. Ruan-Tian [R1],[RT1],[RT2] first established for semipositive symplectic manifolds. Recently, semipositivity condition has been removed by many authors [B], [FO], [LT1], [LT2], [R2], [S]. The focus now is on the calculations and applications. Many Fano manifolds were computed. We think it is important to study the change of Gromov-Witten invariants under surgery. Li-Ruan [LR] gave a gluing formula about contact surgery and symplectic cutting. Ionel-Parker [IP] also studied the Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic sums.

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of symplectic manifold  $M$ . There are at least two motivations to study the Gromov-Witten invariants of blowups. First at all, the curves in the blowup  $\tilde{M}$  of a symplectic manifold  $M$  are closely related to curves in  $M$ . At least for irreducible curves not contained in the exceptional divisor, we can give a correspondence between curves in  $\tilde{M}$  of a specified homology class and curves in  $M$  intersecting the blow-up submanifold with a given multiplicity in terms of the strict transform of curves. Secondly, some recent

---

<sup>1</sup>supported by NNSF of China and Lingnan Foundation

research indicated that there is a deep amazing relation between quantum cohomology and birational geometry. The quantum minimal model conjecture, [R3] [R4], lead to attempt to find quantum cohomology of a minimal model without knowing minimal model. This problem requires a thorough understanding of blow-up type formula of Gromov-Witten invariants and quantum cohomology.

According to McDuff [M1] the blow-up operation in symplectic geometry amounts to a removal of an open symplectic ball followed by a collapse of some boundary directions. Lerman [L] gave a generalization of blow-up construction, “ the symplectic cut”. In the case of symplectic manifolds with hamiltonian circle action, the construction allows us to embed the reduced spaces in a symplectic manifold as codimension 2 symplectic submanifolds.

In this paper, we use symplectic cutting to construct blow-ups at a smooth point or along smooth submanifolds and use the gluing formula of Gromov-Witten invariants in [LR] to study the Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups.

Throughout this paper, let  $M$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of  $M$  at a smooth point or along smooth submanifolds. Denote by  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  the natural projection. Denote by  $\Psi_{(A,g)}^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  the genus  $g$  Gromov-Witten invariants of  $M$ ,  $\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  the genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants of  $M$ . In this paper, we established some relations between Gromov-Witten invariants of  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$ . Since those curves representing a homology class in the exceptional divisor have to be contained in the exceptional divisor and the fact that a GW-invariant  $\Psi_{(A,g,m)}^{\tilde{M}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0$  if there is no stable  $J$ -holomorphic map representing the class  $A$  satisfying the condition given by chomology classes  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ , we have

**Lemma 1.1:** Suppose that at least one of  $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , is the pullback of a cohomology class in  $M$  and let  $A = re$ . Then

$$\Psi_A^{\tilde{M}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0,$$

where  $e$  denotes the class of a line in the exceptional divisor.

Intuitively, those curves in  $\tilde{M}$  which do not intersect with the exceptional divisor can be identified with curves in  $M$ . Since GW-invariants count curves which represent the given homology class and satisfy the conditions given by some cohomology classes, the corresponding invariants on  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  should be equal. We showed

**Theorem 1.2:** Suppose that  $A \in H_2(M)$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in H^*(M)$ ,  $g \leq 1$ .

Then

$$\Psi_{(A,g)}^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(p!(A),g)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m),$$

where  $p!(A) = PDp^*PD(A)$ .

We conjecture that the genus condition  $g \leq 1$  is a technical one, i. e. this theorem is true for any genus. But I can not prove it now. I will study this problem in the future. If we only consider the symplectic manifolds of dimension less than 6, we may remove this condition and prove

**Theorem 1.3:** Suppose that  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} M \leq 6$  and  $A \in H_2(M)$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in H^*(M)$ . Then for any genus  $g$

$$\Psi_{(A,g)}^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(p!(A),g)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m).$$

From the point of geometry, if we want to express the condition that curves of homology  $A$  pass through a generic point in  $M$ , we expect to be able to do this in two different ways: either we add the cohomology class of a point to the invariants in  $M$ , or we blow up the point and count curves with homology class  $p!(A) - e$ , where  $e$  is a class of a line in the exceptional divisor. We show that these two methods will always give the same result.

**Theorem 1.4:** Suppose that  $A \in H_2(M)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in H^*(M)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then

$$\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, [pt]) = \Psi_{p!(A)-e}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m),$$

where  $e$  denotes the homology class of a line in the exceptional divisor.

So far we are only concerned with the blow-ups of a symplectic manifold at a smooth point in previous theorems whose proofs are contained in section 3. In the case of convex projective variety and genus zero, Gathmann [G] obtained similar results to our previous theorems using completely different method. But the convex condition is very restrictive one and most symplectic manifolds are not convex.

In section 4, we will discuss the case of blow-ups of any symplectic manifolds along some submanifolds. If the blown-up submanifolds are smooth curves with nonzero genus or special surfaces, we can show the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.5:** Suppose that  $C$  is a smooth curve in  $M$  such that either its genus  $g_0 \geq 1$  or  $g_0 = 0$  and  $C_1(M)(C) \geq 0$ , where  $C_1(M)$  denotes the first Chern classes of  $M$  and its normal bundle respectively.  $A \in H_2(M)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in H^*(M)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then

$$\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{p!(A)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m)$$

About the changes of GW-invariants of blow-up of symplectic manifold along a smooth surface, in this paper, we only consider the case that the smooth surface  $S$  satisfies one of the followings:

- (1)  $S = C_1 \times C_2$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have positive genus;
- (2)  $S$  is a  $K3$  surface or a torus.

**Theorem 1.6:** If  $S$  is a smooth surface in  $M$  satisfying one of the above two conditions,  $A \in H_2(M)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in H^*(M)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , satisfy either  $\deg \alpha_i \geq 2$  or  $\deg \alpha_i \leq 2$  and support away from  $S$ . Then

$$\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{p!(A)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m).$$

**Acknowledgement:** The author is grateful to the Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison for its kind hospitality. The author would like to thank Prof. Yongbin Ruan for his many suggestive discussion and encouragement. Thanks also to Prof. An-Min Li, Wanchuan Zhang, Bohui Chen, Shengda Hu for the valuable dicussions.

## 2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we describe some notations and preliminary results that will be used throughout this work. The readers can find their proofs in the reference [LR].

### 2.1 Symplectic Cutting

During the last ten years, symplectic surgeries have been sucessfully used to study symplectic topology, for example, symplectic blow-up and blow-down by McDuff [MS1] and symplectic norm sum by Gompf [Go] and McCarthy and Wolfson [MW]. Now we will briefly describe Lerman's generalization of the blow-up construction, "the symplectic cut", [L] and [LR].

Suppose that  $H : M \rightarrow R$  is a periodic hamiltonian function. The hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$  generates a circle action. By adding a constant, we can assume that 0 is a regular value. Then,  $N = H^{-1}(0)$  is a smooth submanifold preserved by circle action. The quotient  $H^{-1}(0)/S^1$  is the famous symplectic reduction. Namely, it has an induced symplectic structure. Let

$$\pi : H^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow Z = H^{-1}(0)/S^1. \tag{2.1}$$

$Z$  admits a natural symplectic structure  $\tau_0$  such that

$$\pi^* \tau_0 = i_0^* \omega,$$

where  $i_0 : H^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion. We note that  $Z$  is a symplectic orbit-fold in general. Furthermore, it is enough that  $H$  is defined in a neighborhood of  $H^{-1}(0)$ . (2.1) is a circle bundle.

According to McDuff [M1], McCarthy-Wolfson [MW], since 0 is a regular value, there is a small interval  $I = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  of regular values. We use a  $S^1$ -invariant connection on the fibration  $H^{-1}(I) \rightarrow I$  to show that there is a  $S^1$ -diffeomorphism  $H^{-1}(I) \cong N \times I$ . We will identify  $H^{-1}(I)$  with  $N \times I$  without any confusion. Then the hamiltonian function is simply the projection onto the second factor. In such way, we also identify the symplectic reduction  $H^{-1}(t)/S^1$  with  $Z$ . Suppose that its symplectic form is  $\tau_t$ . A beautiful theorem of Duistermaat-Heckman [DH] says that

$$[\tau_t] = [\tau_0] + tc, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $c$  is the first Chern class of circle bundle (2.1). Hence, if the boundary components of two symplectic manifolds have the same  $\tau_0$ ,  $c$ , we can glue them together.

In the rest of this subsection, we will discuss  $\varepsilon$ -blow-up along a submanifold and how to cut the symplectic manifold along a hypersurface  $N$  and collapse the  $S^1$ -action on  $N$  to form two closed symplectic manifolds if  $H^{-1}(I) \cong N \times I$  is symplectically embedded in a symplectic manifold.

Let  $S$  be a compact symplectic submanifold in  $(M, \omega)$  of codimension  $2k$ . By symplectic neighborhood theorem, there is a tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_\delta(S)$  of  $S$  which is symplectomorphic to the normal bundle  $N_S$ . The normal bundle  $N_S$  is also a symplectic vector bundle and has a compatible complex structure. Therefore, we may consider it as a bundle with fiber  $(C^k, -\sqrt{-1} \sum dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i)$ . Furthermore, we may consider  $N_S$  over  $S$  with the symplectic form

$$\omega_S = \omega|_S + -\sqrt{-1} \sum dz \wedge d\bar{z}_i,$$

where  $\omega|_S$  is the restriction of the symplectic form  $\omega$  to  $S$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$  are the coordinates in the fiber. The hamiltonian function is

$$H(x, z) = |z|^2 - \varepsilon$$

and the  $S^1$ -action is given by

$$e^{i\theta}(x, z) = (x, e^{i\theta} z).$$

Consider the symplectic vector bundle  $N_S \oplus \mathcal{O}$  with symplectic form  $\omega_S + -\sqrt{-1}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$  and the momentum map  $\mu(x, z, w) = H(x, z) + |w|^2$  arising from the action of  $S^1$  on  $N_S \oplus \mathcal{O}$ . As [LR] and [L], the manifold  $M^+ := \{(x, z) \mid H(x, z) < 0\}$  embeds as an open dense submanifold into the reduced space

$$\overline{M}_S^+ := \{(x, z, w) \mid |z|^2 + |w|^2 = \varepsilon\}/S^1$$

and the difference  $\overline{M}_S^+ - M_S^+$  is symplectomorphic to the reduced space  $H^{-1}(0)/S^1$ .

A similar procedure defines

$$\overline{M}^- := \{(x, z, w) \mid |z|^2 - |w|^2 = \varepsilon\}/S^1.$$

It is easy to see that the symplectic manifold  $H^{-1}(0)/S^1$  is embedded on both  $\overline{M}_S^+$  and  $\overline{M}_S^-$  as a codimension 2 symplectic submanifold but with opposite normal bundles. So the symplectic gluing of  $\overline{M}_S^+$  and  $\overline{M}_S^-$  along the reduced space  $H^{-1}(0)/S^1$  recovers the neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_\delta(S)$ , i. e. the normal bundle  $N_S$ .

We define  $\overline{M}^+ := \overline{M}_S^+$  and  $\overline{M}^- := (M - \mathcal{N}_\delta(S)) \cup \overline{M}_S^-$ . From the above description, we know the symplectic gluing of  $\overline{M}^+$  and  $\overline{M}^-$  recovers the original manifold  $M$ . We will call the operation that produces  $\overline{M}^+$  and  $\overline{M}^-$  symplectic cutting.

According to [MS1],[L],[LR], we have  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_S \oplus \mathcal{O})$  and  $\overline{M}^- = \tilde{M}$ . Specially, when  $S$  is a point in  $M$ , we have  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $\overline{M}^- = \tilde{M}$ .

## 2.2 Moduli Spaces

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ ,  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a local hamiltonian function such that there is a small interval  $I = (-\delta, \delta)$  of regular values. Denote  $N = H^{-1}(0)$ . Suppose that the hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$  generates a circle action on  $H^{-1}(I)$ . We identify  $H^{-1}(I)$  with  $I \times N$ . By a uniqueness theorem on symplectic forms, see [MW], we may assume that the symplectic form on  $N \times I$  is expressed by

$$\omega = \pi^*(\tau_0 + t\Omega) - \alpha \wedge dt$$

where  $\Omega := d\alpha$  is the curvature form, which is a 2-form on  $Z$ . We assume that the hypersurface  $N = H^{-1}(0)$  divides  $M$  into two parts  $M^+$  and  $M^-$ . As in [LR], we may consider  $M^\pm$  as a manifold with cylindrical end:

$$M^+ = M_0^+ \cup \{[0, \infty) \times N\}$$

$$M^- = M_0^- \cup \{(-\infty, 0] \times N\}$$

with symplectic forms  $\omega_{\phi^\pm} |_{M_0^\pm} = \omega$  and over the cylinder

$$\omega_{\phi^\pm} = \pi^*(\tau_0 + \phi^\pm \Omega) + (\phi^\pm)' \alpha \wedge da \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\phi^+ : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [-\delta_0, 0)$  and  $\phi^- : (-\infty, -1] \rightarrow (0, \delta_0]$  are functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^\pm &> 0, \\ \phi^+(1) &= -\delta_0, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \phi^+(a) = 0, \\ \phi^-(-1) &= \delta_0, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} \phi^-(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M^\pm$  we define the energy  $E(u)$  as

$$E_{\phi^\pm} = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega_{\phi^\pm}.$$

For any  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times N$  we write  $u = (a, \tilde{u})$  and define

$$\tilde{E}_{\phi^\pm}(u) = \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{u}^*(\pi^* \tau_0).$$

where  $\pi$  is the projection in (2.1). Let  $(\Sigma, i)$  be a compact Riemannian surface and  $P \subset \Sigma$  be a finite collection of points. Denote  $\mathring{\Sigma} = \Sigma \setminus P$ . Let  $u : \mathring{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times N$  be a  $J$ -holomorphic curve, i.e.  $u$  satisfies

$$du \circ i = J \circ du.$$

Following [HWZ1] we impose an energy condition on  $u$ . Let  $\delta_1 < \delta_2$  be two real numbers and  $\Phi$  be the set of all smooth functions  $\phi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [\delta_1, \delta_2]$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' &> 0 \\ \phi(a) &\rightarrow \delta_2 \text{ as } a \rightarrow \infty \\ \phi(a) &\rightarrow \delta_1 \text{ as } a \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\phi \in \Phi$  we equip the tube  $\mathbf{R} \times N$  with a symplectic form  $d(\phi\lambda)$ . We will call such a  $u$  a finite energy  $J$ -holomorphic curve if

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \left\{ \int_{\mathring{\Sigma}} u^* d(\phi\lambda) \right\} < \infty.$$

If we collapse the  $S^1$ -action on  $N = H^{-1}(0)$  we obtain symplectic cuts  $\overline{M}^+$  and  $\overline{M}^-$ . The reduced space  $Z$  is a codimension 2 symplectic submanifold of both  $\overline{M}^+$  and  $\overline{M}^-$ . We also can view the symplectic cuts  $\overline{M}^+$  and  $\overline{M}^-$  as

the completions of  $M^\pm$  with respect to the metric  $\langle, \rangle_{\omega_{\phi^\pm}}$  see [LR]. We also note that the almost complex structure on  $M^\pm$  is invariant.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}$  be the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  and with  $m$  marked points, and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$  its Deligne-Mumford compactification. Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$  consists of all stable curves of genus  $g$  and with  $m$  marked points. It is well-known that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$  is a Kahler orbitfold.

Let  $(\Sigma; y_1, \dots, y_m, p_1, \dots, p_\nu) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m+\nu}$ , and  $u : \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \rightarrow M^\pm$  be a finite energy  $J$ -holomorphic curve. Suppose that  $u(z)$  converges to a  $k_i$ -periodic orbit  $x_{k_i}$  as  $z$  tends to  $p_i$ . By using the removable singularity theorem we get a  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $\bar{u}$  from  $\Sigma$  into  $\overline{M}^\pm$ . Let  $A = [\bar{u}(\Sigma)]$ . It is obvious that

$$E_{\phi^\pm}(u) = \omega(A)$$

which is independent of  $\phi^\pm$ . For a map  $u$  from  $\Sigma$  into  $\mathbf{R} \times N$ , we let  $A = [\pi u(\Sigma)]$ . Then

$$\tilde{E}_{\phi^\pm}(u) = \tau_0(A).$$

We say  $u$  represents the homology class  $A$ .

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $(\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p})$  be a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  with  $m$  marked points  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\nu$  punctured points  $\mathbf{p}$ . A relative stable holomorphic map with  $\{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}$ -ends from  $(\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p})$  into  $M^\pm$  is an equivalence class of continuous maps  $u$  from  $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}'$  into  $(M^\pm)'$ , modulo the automorphism group  $stb_u$  and the translations on  $\mathbf{R} \times N$ , where  $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}'$  is obtained by joining chains of  $\mathbf{P}^1$ s at some double points of  $\Sigma$  to separate two components, and then attaching some trees of  $\mathbf{P}^1$ s;  $(M^\pm)'$  is obtained by attaching some  $\mathbf{R} \times N$  to  $M^\pm$ . We call components of  $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}'$  principal components and others bubble components. Furthermore,

- (1) If we attach a tree of  $\mathbf{P}^1$  at a marked point  $y_i$  or a punctured point  $p_i$ , then  $y_i$  or  $p_i$  will be replaced by a point different from intersection points on a component of the tree. Otherwise, the marked points or punctured points do not change;
- (2)  $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}'$  is a connected curve with normal crossings;
- (3) Let  $m_j$  be the number of special points on  $\Sigma_j$  which are nodal points or marked points or punctured points. Then either  $u|_{\Sigma_j}$  is not a constant or  $m_j + 2g_j \geq 3$ ;
- (4) The restriction of  $u$  to each component is  $J$ -holomorphic;



- (5)  $u$  converges exponentially to some periodic orbits  $(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_\nu})$  as the variable tends to the punctured points  $(p_1, \dots, p_\nu)$  respectively;
- (6) Let  $q$  be a nodal point of  $\Sigma'$ . Suppose  $q$  is the intersection point of  $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$ . If  $q$  is a removable singular point of  $u$ , then  $u$  is continuous at  $q$ ; If  $q$  is a nonremovable singular point of  $u$ , then  $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  are mapped into  $\mathbf{R} \times N$ . Furthermore,  $u|_{\Sigma_i}$  and  $u|_{\Sigma_j}$  converge exponentially to the same periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field  $X$  on  $N$  as the variable tend to the nodal point  $q$ .

If we drop the condition (4), we simply call  $u$  a relative stable map. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_A(M^\pm, g, m, \mathbf{k})$  be the space of the equivalence class of relative stable holomorphic curves with ends representing the homology class  $A$ , and  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_A(M^\pm, g, m, \mathbf{k})$  be the space of stable maps with ends representing the homology class  $A$ .

## 2.3 The Fredholm Index

Denote by  $W$  one of  $\{M^+, M^-, \mathbf{R} \times N\}$ . For simplicity, we consider the case  $W = M^\pm$ , the situation for  $\mathbf{R} \times N$  is the same. Let  $(\Sigma; y_1, \dots, y_m, p_1, \dots, p_\nu) \in \mathcal{M}_{g, m+\nu}$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma} = \Sigma - \{p_1, \dots, p_\nu\}$ . Let  $u : \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \rightarrow W$  be a finite energy  $J$ -holomorphic curve. Suppose that  $u(z)$  converges to a  $k_i$ -periodic orbit  $x_{k_i}$  with  $k_i \in \mathbf{Z}$  as  $z$  tends to  $p_i$ . We consider the linearization of  $\overline{\partial}$ -operator

$$D_u = D\overline{\partial}_J(u) : C^\infty(\Sigma; u^*TW) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW).$$

Because the operator  $D_u$  is not a Fredholm operator, see [D], [LR], To recover Fredholm theory we choose a sufficiently small weight and define the weighted Sobolev spaces as follows:

For any section  $h \in C^\infty(\Sigma; u^*TW)$  and section  $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW)$  we define the norms

$$\|h\|_{1,p,\alpha} = \left( \int_\Sigma (|h|^p + |\nabla h|^p) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_\Sigma e^{2\alpha|s|} (|h|^2 + |\nabla h|^2) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|\eta\|_{p,\alpha} = \left( \int_\Sigma |\eta|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_\Sigma e^{2\alpha|s|} |\eta|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.5)$$

for  $p \geq 2$ , where all norms and covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the metric  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $u^*TW$  and the metric on  $\Sigma$ . Denote

$$\mathcal{C}(\Sigma; u^*TW) = \{h \in C^\infty(\Sigma; u^*TW); \|h\|_{1,p,\alpha} < \infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}(u^*TW \otimes \wedge^{0,1}) = \{\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW); \|\eta\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty\}.$$

Denote by  $W^{1,p,\alpha}(\Sigma; u^*TW)$  and  $L^{p,\alpha}(u^*TW \otimes \wedge^{0,1})$  the completions of  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma; u^*TW)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(u^*TW \otimes \wedge^{0,1})$  with respect to the norms (2.4) and (2.5) respectively. Let  $h_{i0} = (b_{i0}, \tilde{h}_{i0}) \in \ker L_{i\infty}$ . Put  $L_\infty = (L_{1\infty}, \dots, L_{\nu\infty})$ ,  $h_0 = (h_{10}, \dots, h_{\nu0})$ . We fix a cutoff function  $\rho$ :

$$\rho(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |s| \geq L, \\ 0, & \text{if } |s| \leq \frac{L}{2} \end{cases}$$

where  $L$  is a large positive number. We can consider  $h_0$  as a vector field defined in the Darboux coordinate neighborhood we introduced previously. We put  $\hat{h}_0 = \rho h_0$ . Then  $\hat{h}_0$  is a section in  $C^\infty(\Sigma; u^*TW)$  supported in the tube  $\{(s, t) \mid |s| \geq \frac{L}{2}, t \in S^1\}$ . Set

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha} = \{h + \hat{h}_0 \mid h \in W^{1,p,\alpha}, h_0 \in \ker L_\infty\}.$$

The operator

$$D_u : \mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha} \longrightarrow L^{p,\alpha}$$

is a Fredholm operator so long as  $\alpha$  does not lie in the spectrum of the operator  $L_{i\infty}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ . We thus have a Fredholm index  $\mathbf{Ind}(D_u, \alpha)$ .

Let  $u = (u^+, u^-) : (\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-) \longrightarrow (M^+, M^-)$  be  $J$ -holomorphic curves such that  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  have  $\nu$  ends and they converge to the same periodic orbits at each end. According to our convention  $\Sigma^\pm$  may not be connected. In this case  $Ind(D_{u^\pm}, \alpha)$  denotes the sum of indices of its components. Li and Ruan [LR] proved the following addition formula for operator  $D_u$

**Proposition 2.2:** ([LR] Theorem 5.14) Suppose that  $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \wedge \Sigma^-$  has genus  $g$  and  $[u(\Sigma)] = A$ , Then

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n-1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1). \quad (2.6)$$

**Remark 2.3:** ([LR] Remark 5.15) Let  $u$  be a  $J$ -holomorphic curve from  $(\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}; y_1, \dots, y_m, p_1, \dots, p_\nu)$  into  $M^\pm$  such that each end converges to a periodic orbit. By using the removable singularity theorem we get a  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $\bar{u}$  from  $\Sigma$  into  $\overline{M}^\pm$ . Therefore, we have a natural identification of finite energy pseudo-holomorphic curves in  $M^\pm$  and closed pseudo-holomorphic curves in the closed symplectic manifolds  $\overline{M}^\pm$ . Moreover, the operator  $D_u$  is identified with the operator  $D_{\bar{u}}$  in a natural way. Under this identification, the condition that  $u$  converges to a  $k$ -multiple periodic orbit at a punctured point  $p$  is naturally interpreted as  $\bar{u}$  being tangent to  $B$  at  $p$  with order  $k$ . Since  $\ker L_\infty$  consists of constant vectors, we can identify the vector fields in  $\mathcal{W}_\pm^{1,p,\alpha}$

along  $u$  with the vector fields in  $\mathcal{W}_\pm^{1,p,\alpha}$  along  $\bar{u}$ , the space  $L_\pm^{p,\alpha}$  along  $u$  is also identified with the space  $L_\pm^{p,\alpha}$  along  $\bar{u}$ . Thus we have

**Proposition 2.4:** ([LR] Proposition 5.16)

$$\text{Ind}(D_u, \alpha) = \text{Ind}D_{\bar{u}}.$$

## 2.4 Relative Invariants and Gluing Formula

From previous subsections, we know that  $Z$  is a compact, real codimension two symplectic submanifold of  $\overline{M}^+$  ( $\overline{M}^-$  respectively). In this section, we will recall the definition of relative GW-invariants for the pair  $(\overline{M}^+, Z)$  and state a gluing formula representing the GW-invariants of  $M$  in terms of the relative GW-invariants of  $(\overline{M}^\pm, Z)$ , which are due to Li and Ruan [LR].

First we recall the definition of virtual neighborhood.

**Definition 2.5:** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact topological space. We call  $(U, E, S)$  a virtual neighborhood of  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $U$  is a finite dimensional oriented V-manifold (not necessarily compact),  $E$  is a finite dimensional V-bundle on  $U$  and  $S$  is a smooth section of  $E$  such that  $S^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{M}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}_{(t)} = \bigcup_t \mathcal{M}_t \times \{t\}$  is compact. We call  $(U_{(t)}, S_{(t)}, E_{(t)})$  a virtual neighborhood cobordism if  $U_{(t)}$  is a finite dimensional oriented V-manifold with boundary and  $E_{(t)}$  is a finite dimensional V-bundle and  $S_{(t)}$  is a smooth section such that  $S_{(t)}^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{M}_{(t)}$ .

Li and Ruan [LR] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6:** ([LR] Section 7.1) For  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_A(M^+, g, m, \mathbf{k})$ , there exists a virtual neighborhood  $(U, E, S)$ .

Using the virtual neighborhood we can define the relative GW-invariants. Recall that we have two natural maps:

$$ev : \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A(M^+, g, m, \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow (M^+)^m$$

defined by evaluating at marked points and

$$P^+ : \overline{\mathcal{B}}_A(M^+, g, m, \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow Z^\nu.$$

defined by projecting to its periodic orbits. To define the relative GW-invariants, choose a  $r$ -form  $\Theta$  on  $E$  supported in a neighborhood of the zero section, where  $r$  is the dimension of the fiber, such that

$$\int_{E_x} i^* \Theta = 1$$

for any  $x \in U$ , where  $i$  is the inclusion map  $E_x \rightarrow E$ . We call  $\Theta$  a Thom form. Now we can define the relative GW-invariant as follows:

**Definition 2.7:** Suppose that  $\alpha_i \in H^*(M^+, \mathbf{R})$  and  $\beta_j \in H^*(S_{k_j}, \mathbf{R})$  represented by differential form. Define the relative GW-invariants for  $(\overline{M}^+, Z)$  as

$$\Psi_{(A,g,m,\mathbf{k})}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\nu) = \int_U ev^* \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \wedge \prod_{j=1}^\nu \beta_j \wedge S^* \Theta. \quad (2.7)$$

Clearly  $\Psi = 0$  if  $\sum deg(\alpha_i) + \sum deg(\beta_j) \neq ind$ .

Now we want to state a general gluing formula representing GW-invariants of a closed symplectic manifold in terms of relative GW-invariants of its symplectic cutting.

In [LR], Li and Ruan showed that one can glue two pseudo-holomorphic curves  $(u^+, u^-)$  in  $M^+, M^-$  with the same end point to obtain a pseudo-holomorphic curve  $u$  in  $M$ . Suppose that the homology classes of  $u^+, u^-, u$  are  $A^+, A^-, A$ . Denote by  $\overline{M}^+ \cup_Z \overline{M}^-$  the quotient of  $M$  by circle action on level set  $H^{-1}(0)$ . Therefore, we have a projection map

$$\pi : M \rightarrow \overline{M}^+ \cup_Z \overline{M}^-. \quad (2.8)$$

$\pi$  induces a homomorphism

$$\pi_* : H_2(M, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\overline{M}^+ \cup_Z \overline{M}^-, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Using Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $(\overline{M}^+, \overline{M}^-, \overline{M}^+ \cup_Z \overline{M}^-)$ ,  $(u^+, u^-)$  defines a homology class  $[u^+ + u^-] \in H_2(\overline{M}^+ \cup_Z \overline{M}^-)$ . The existence of glued map  $u$  implies  $[u^+ + u^-] = \pi_*([u])$ . If  $(u^+, u^-)$  is another representative and glued to  $f'$ ,

$$\pi_*([f']) = [u'^+ + u^-] = [u^+ + u^-] = \pi_*([u]).$$

When  $\ker \pi_* \neq 0$ ,  $[u], [u']$  could be different from a vanishing cycle in  $\ker \pi_*$ .

Let  $[A] = A + \ker \pi_*$ . Define

$$\Psi_{([A], \dots)} = \sum_{B \in [A]} \Psi_{(B, \dots)}.$$

By the compactness theorem, the summation of right hand side is finite. To abuse the notation, we use  $[A] = A^+ + A^-$  to represent the set of homology classes of glued maps.

The Moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{[A]}(M, m)$  consists of the components indexed by the following data:

- (1) The combinatorial type of  $(\Sigma^\pm, u^\pm) : \{A_i^\pm, g_i^\pm, m_i^\pm, (k_1^\pm, \dots, k_\nu^\pm)\}, i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ ;
- (2) A map  $\rho : \{p_1^+, \dots, p_\nu^+\} \longrightarrow \{p_1^-, \dots, p_\nu^-\}$ , where  $(p_1^\pm, \dots, p_\nu^\pm)$  denote the punctured points of  $\Sigma^\pm$ .

Using the virtual neighborhood technique as in [R2] and [LR], we can define GW-invariants  $\Psi_C$  for each component  $C$  and we have

$$\Psi_{(M,[A],m)} = \sum \Psi_C.$$

For the GW-invariants  $\Psi_C$ , Li and Ruan proved

**Remark 2.8:** ([LR] Remark 7.8) It is easy to see that

- (i) For  $C = \{A^+, g^+, m^+\}$ , we have

$$\Psi_C(\alpha_i^+) = \Psi_{(A^+, g^+, m^+)}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}(\alpha_i^+); \quad (2.9)$$

- (ii) For  $C = \{A^-, g^-, m^-\}$ , we have

$$\Psi_C(\alpha_i^-) = \Psi_{(A^-, g^-, m^-)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_i^-). \quad (2.10)$$

This remark described the contribution of stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves which don't go through the middle to the GW-invariants. Now we want to state a general gluing formula which describes the contribution of stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves which go through the middle. For simplicty, we will only state the gluing formula for the component  $C = \{A^+, g^+, m^+, k; A^-, g^-, m^-, k\}$ . For more general components  $C$ , Ruan [R4] gave the steps to write the gluing formula. Choose a homology basis  $\{\beta_b\}$  of  $H^*(S_{k_b}, \mathbf{R})$ . Let  $(\delta_{ab})$  be its intersection matrix.

**Theorem 2.9:** ([LR] Theorem 7.10) Suppose that  $\alpha_i^+|_Z = \alpha_i^-|_Z$  and hence  $\alpha_i^+ \cup_Z \alpha_i^- \in H^*(\overline{M}^+ \cup_Z \overline{M}^-, \mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\alpha_i = \pi^*(\alpha_i^+ \cup_Z \alpha_i^-)$ , where  $\pi$  is the map in (2.8).

For  $C = \{A^+, g^+, m^+, k; A^-, g^-, m^-, k\}$ . we have the gluing formula

$$\Psi_C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = k \sum_{a,b} \sum_{i^+, i^-} \delta^{ab} \Psi_{(A^+, g^+, m^+, k)}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}(\alpha_{i^+}, \beta_a) \Psi_{(A^-, g^-, m^-, k)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_{i^-}, \beta_b) \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\{i^+, i^-\}$  is an divison of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Remark 2.10:** For the symplectic blow-up, we have  $\ker \pi_* = 0$ . Therefore we have  $\Psi_{(A, \dots)} = \Psi_{([A], \dots)}$ .

### 3 Blowup at a smooth point

In this section, we will only consider the case of blowup at a smooth point. We will describe the changes of Gromov-Witten invariants under blowup. Actually we will give the proofs of Theorems we state in the introduction.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2:** Let  $P_0$  be the blow-up point. We perform the symplectic cutting for  $M$  at  $P_0$  as in section 2.1. We have

$$\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n, \quad \overline{M}^- = \tilde{M}.$$

We first consider the contribution of each component to the GW-invariants. Therefore, we consider the component

$$C = \{A^+, g^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, g^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n-1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1). \quad (3.1)$$

According to our convention,  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  may have many connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ . Suppose  $\Sigma_i^\pm$  has arithmetic genus  $g_i^\pm$ ,  $g^\pm = \sum g_i^\pm$  with  $m_i^\pm$  marked points. Note that  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n$ . From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see that  $\bar{u}_i^+$  can be identified as a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} Ind(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} Ind D_{\bar{u}_i^+} \\ &= 2 \sum C_1([h_i^+]) + 2(3-n)(g^+ - l^+) + 2\nu - 2 \sum k_i. \end{aligned}$$

An intersection multiplicity calculation shows  $\sum [h_i^+] = \sum k_i e$ , where  $e$  is the homology class of a line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Hence  $\sum C_1([h_i^+]) = (n+1) \sum k_i$ . Therefore

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) = 2(3-n)(g^+ - l^+) + 2n \sum k_i + 2\nu.$$

Therefore

$$Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g - g^+ + l^+ - 1) + 2(n-2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i.$$

Since  $\alpha_i \in H^*(M)$ , we may assume all  $\alpha_i$  support away from the neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_\delta(P_0)$  (see Section 2) of the blowup point  $P_0$ . So we have  $\alpha_i^+ = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Therefore, if  $m^+ > 0$ , we have for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$ ,

$$\Psi_{(A^+, g^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\})}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}(\alpha_i^+, \beta_b) = 0.$$

This implies  $\Psi_C = 0$  except  $m^- = m$ . Now we assume  $m^- = m$ , i. e.  $m^+ = 0$ . On the other hand, if

$$\sum \deg \alpha_i \neq 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1) + 2m$$

where  $C_1$  denotes the first Chern class of  $M$ , by the definition of the GW-invariants, we have

$$\Psi_{(A,g)}^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(p!(A),g)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m) = 0.$$

We have proved the assertion of the theorem. Therefore, we also assume

$$\sum \deg \alpha_i = 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1) + 2m. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $l^+ \geq 1$ ,  $\nu > 0$ ,  $g^+ \leq g \leq 1$ ,  $k_i > 0$ , we have

$$2(3-n)(l^+ - g^+) - 2 \sum k_i - 2\nu < 0.$$

In fact, if  $n \geq 3$ , this inequality is obvious. If  $n = 2$ , it follows from the inequality  $2l^+ - 2\nu - 2 \sum k_i < 0 \leq 2g^+$  since  $l^+ \leq \nu$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \deg(\alpha_i^-) &= 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1) + 2m \\ &> 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1) + 2m \\ &\quad + 2(3-n)(l^+ - g^+) - 2 \sum k_i - 2\nu \\ &\geq 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g - g^+ + l^+ - 1) - 2 \sum k_i - 2\nu \\ &\quad + 2(n-1)(\nu - \sum k_i) + 2m \\ &= \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) + 2m, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\nu > 0$ ,  $g \leq 1$ ,  $k_i > 0$ . Therefore, by the definition of relative GW-invariants, we have for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$ ,

$$\Psi_{(A^-,g^-,m,\{k_1,\dots,k_\nu\})}^{(\overline{M}^-,Z)}(\alpha_i^-, \beta_b) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\Psi_C = 0$  except  $C = \{A^-, g, m\}$ .

Now it remains to prove

$$\Psi_{(p!(A),g)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^*\alpha_1, \dots, p^*\alpha_m) = \Psi_{(A^-,g,m)}^{(\overline{M}^-,Z)}(\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_m^-).$$

To prove this, we perform the symplectic cutting for  $\tilde{M}$ . Note that the divisor  $E$  has normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . We choose the symplectic form

$$\tilde{\omega} + dz \wedge d\bar{z}$$

on  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ . Consider the Hamiltonian function  $H(x, z) = |z|^2 - \epsilon$  with the  $S^1$ -action given by

$$e^{2\pi it}(x, z) = (x, e^{2\pi it}z).$$

We perform the symplectic cutting along the hypersurface  $N = H^{-1}(0)$  as in section 2.1. We have

$$\widetilde{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}), \quad \widetilde{M}^- \cong \widetilde{M}.$$

Now we use the gluing theorem to prove that the contribution of relative stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves in  $\widetilde{M}$  which touch the exceptional divisor  $E$  to the GW-invariant of  $\widetilde{M}$  is zero. We consider the component

$$C = \{p!(A)^+, g^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; p!(A)^-, g^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

For the support reasons, we have  $\Psi_C = 0$  except

$$C = \{p!(A)^+, g^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; p!(A)^-, g^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n-1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1).$$

As in the first part of our proof, we assume  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  has  $l^\pm$  connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$  and  $\Sigma_i^\pm$  has arithmetic genus  $g_i^\pm$ ,  $g^\pm = \sum g_i^\pm$  with  $m_i^\pm$  marked points. From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see that  $\bar{u}_i^+$  can be identified as a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\widetilde{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} Ind(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} Ind D_{\bar{u}_i^+}.$$

To calculate  $Ind D_{\bar{u}_i^+}$ , we need to extend Mori's cone theory to cover stable maps. Mori's cone theory tells us that for any algebraic manifold  $X$  the set

$$NE(X) = \left\{ \sum_i a_i A_i \mid a_i \geq 0, A_i \text{ is represented by a } J\text{-holomorphic curve} \right\}$$

is a closed cone in  $H_2(X, \mathbf{R})$ . We have

**Claim:** If  $A \in H_2(X, \mathbf{R})$  is represented by stable  $J$ -holomorphic maps, then  $A \in NE(X)$ .

In fact, suppose that  $A \in H_2(X, \mathbf{R})$  is represented by a stable  $J$ -holomorphic map  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  and  $\Sigma$  has  $l$  components  $\Sigma_i$ . Then  $f|_{\Sigma_i} : \Sigma_i \rightarrow X$  are  $J$ -holomorphic curves. Therefore, we have  $A = \sum [f(\Sigma_i)]$ . Hence  $A \in NE(X)$ . So our claim is true.



Now we want to calculate  $IndD_{\tilde{u}_i^+}$ . Observe that we obtained  $\overline{M}^+$  from  $M$  by performing the symplectic cutting twice. We also note that  $\overline{M}^+$  is independent of the order of these two symplectic cuttings. Therefore, if we commute the order of these two symplectic cuttings, it is easy to see  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^n$ . By Mori's cone theory, we have  $[h_i^+] = a(L - e) + be$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , where  $L$  is the class of a line in  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^n$  with  $L \cdot E = 1$  and  $e$  is the class of a line in the exceptional divisor. Let  $H$  be the infinite section in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Since  $H \cdot [h_i^+] = \sum k_j$ , where summation runs over the ends of  $u_i^+$ . So we have  $a = \sum k_j$ . Since  $p!(A) \cdot E = 0$ , then  $E \cdot [h_i^+] = 2a - b = 0$ . Therefore,  $b = 2 \sum k_j$ , i. e.  $[h_i^+] = \sum k_j(L - e) + 2 \sum k_j e = \sum k_j L + \sum k_j e$ . A simple index calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} C_1[h_i^+] &= ((n+1)H - (n-1)E) \cdot [h_i^+] \\ &= ((n+1)H - (n-1)E) \cdot \sum k_j(L + e) = (n+1) \sum k_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} IndD_{\tilde{u}_i^+} &= 2C_1[h_i^+] + 2(3-n)(g_i^+ - 1) + 2\nu_i - 2 \sum k_j \\ &= 2(3-n)(g_i^+ - 1) + 2\nu_i + 2n \sum k_j \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu_i$  is the number of ends in  $u_i^+$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= 2(3-n)(g^+ - l^+) + 2\nu + 2n \sum k_i, \\ Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) &= 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g - g^+ + l^+ - 1) + 2(n-2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as in the first part of the proof shows that for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$ ,

$$\Psi_{(p!(A)^-, g^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\})}^{\overline{M}^-}((p^* \alpha_i)^-, \beta_b) = 0.$$

Therefore, the contribution of  $J$ -holomorphic curves to the GW-invariant is nonzero only if it doesn't touch the exceptional divisor  $E$ , i. e.  $C = \{p!(A)^-, g, m\}$ . So from the gluing theorem – Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\Psi_{(p!(A), g, m)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^* \alpha_1, \dots, p^* \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(p!(A)^-, g, m)}^{\overline{M}^-, Z}((p^* \alpha_1)^-, \dots, (p^* \alpha_m)^-). \quad (3.3)$$

However,  $\overline{M}^- = \tilde{M} = \overline{M}^-$ . Hence Theorem 1.2 follows.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3:** Let  $P_0$  be the blow-up point. We perform the symplectic cutting for  $M$  at  $P_0$  as in Section 2.1. We have

$$\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n, \quad \overline{M}^- = \tilde{M}.$$

We use the same notations and also first consider the contribution of each component to the GW-invariants as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider the component

$$C = \{A^+, g^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, g^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

A similar calculation as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= 2(3-n)(g^+ - l^+) + 2\nu + 2n \sum k_i \\ \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) &= 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g - g^+ + l^+ - 1) + 2(n-2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that the contribution of the component  $C$  to the GW-invariant of  $M$  is nonzero only if  $C$  is the form

$$C = \{A^+, g^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, g^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

We also assume

$$\sum \text{deg} \alpha_i = 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g-1) + 2m.$$

Otherwise, the theorem is obvious. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \text{deg} \alpha_i &> 2C_1(A) + 2(3-n)(g - g^+ + l^+ - 1) - 2 \sum k_i - 2\nu + 2m \\ &\geq \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) + 2m. \end{aligned}$$

We used the conditions  $n \leq 3$ ,  $\nu > 0$ ,  $k_i > 0$ . Therefore, by the definition of relative GW-invariants, we have for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$ .

$$\Psi_{(A^-, g^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\})}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_i^-, \beta_b) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\Psi_C = 0$  except  $C = \{A^-, g, m\}$ . From the gluing theorem, we have

$$\Psi_{(A, g)}^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(A^-, g, m)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_m^-).$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows

$$\Psi_{(A^-, g, m)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_m^-) = \Psi_{(p!(A), g)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^* \alpha_1, \dots, p^* \alpha_m).$$

we omit this argument.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4:** We perform symplectic cutting at the point  $P_0$ . Then we obtain  $\overline{M}^+$ ,  $\overline{M}^-$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume the class  $[pt]$  with support in a sufficiently small neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_\delta(P_0)$  (see section

2) of the blow-up point  $P_0$ . In fact, we may also assume that  $[pt]$  with support in  $M^+$  and  $\alpha_i$  with support in  $M^-$ .

As in the proof of the above theorems, for the reasons of support, the contribution of the component  $C$  to the GW-invariants of  $M$  is nonzero only if  $C$  is the form

$$C = \{A^+, 1, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n-1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6.$$

We assume that  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  has  $l^\pm$  connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ . From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see that  $\bar{u}_i^+$  can be identified as a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\bar{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n$ . Then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} Ind(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} Ind D_{\bar{u}_i^+} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] + (2n-6)l^+ + 2\nu - 2 \sum k_i. \end{aligned}$$

The same calculation as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows  $\sum C_1[h_i^+] = (n+1) \sum k_i$ . Therefore,

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) = (2n-6)l^+ + 2\nu + 2n \sum k_i.$$

Therefore,

$$Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2C_1(A) + (2n-6)(1-l^+) + 2(n-2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i.$$

We assume, without loss of generality,

$$\sum deg \alpha_i + 2n = 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6 + 2m + 2.$$

Otherwise, for dimension reasons, we have

$$\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, [pt]) = \Psi_{p^{l(A)-e}}^{\tilde{M}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0.$$

This proves the assertion of the theorem. Therefore,

$$\sum deg(\alpha_i) = 2C_1(A) + 2m - 4.$$

We claim that the contribution of the component to the GW-invariant of  $M$  is nonzero only if  $l^+ = \nu = k = 1$ . In fact, from the connectness of stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves, it is easy to see that  $l^+ \neq 0$ . If  $l^+ > 1$ , then  $\nu > 1$ ,  $\sum k_i > 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$(2n - 6)(1 - l^+) + 2(n - 2)(\nu - \sum k_i) - 4 \sum k_i < -4.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \text{deg} \alpha_i &= 2C_1(A) + 2m - 4 \\ &> 2C_1(A) + (2n - 6)(1 - l^+) + 2(n - 2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i + 2m \\ &= \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) + 2m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$ ,

$$\Psi_{(A^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m; \beta_b) = 0.$$

So the contribution of the component  $C$  to the GW-invariants of  $M$  is nonzero only if

$$C = \{L, 1, 1; A^-, m, 1\},$$

where  $L$  is the class of a line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . From Theorem 2.9, for the dimension reasons, it follows

$$\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, [pt]) = \Psi_{(A^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, [Z]) \Psi_{(L, 1, 1)}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}([pt], [pt]_Z), \quad (3.4)$$

where  $[Z] = 1 \in H^0(Z)$ ,  $[pt]_Z$  is the fundamental class of the manifold  $Z$ , and in the proof of this theorem we will denote  $\alpha_i^-$  and  $\alpha_i$  by the same symbol if there is no confusion.

Now we want to prove

$$\Psi_{(L, 1, 1)}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}([pt], [pt]_Z) = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Before we prove (3.5), we first prove the following claim: For any two general points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , we have

$$\Psi_L^{\mathbf{P}^n}([pt], [pt]) = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $J_0$  be the standard complex structure on  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . From Lemma 3.5.1 in [MS2], it follows that  $D_u$  is surjective for any  $J_0$ -holomorphic curve  $u : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ . Hence we do not need virtual neighborhood to calculate this invariant. By Theorem 5.3.1 in [MS2] and the definition of GW-invariant,  $\Psi_e^{\mathbf{P}^n}([pt], [pt])$  is

exactly the number of lines through two points (see Example 7.3.1 in [MS2]). Because two points lie on a unique line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , we have

$$\Psi_L^{\mathbf{P}^n}([pt], [pt]) = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

If we choose one of two points in (3.7) to be a general point in the infinite hyperplane  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ , it is not difficult to see from (3.7)

$$\Psi_L^{\mathbf{P}^n}([pt], [pt]_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) = 1, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $[pt]_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}$  means the point belongs to the infinite hyperplane  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ .

In fact, we may identify  $Z$  with  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, we may consider  $Z$  as an infinite hyperplane in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . By Remark 2.3, we have a natural identification of finite energy pseudo-holomorphic curves in  $M^+$  and closed pseudo-holomorphic curves in the closed symplectic manifold  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n$ . The equality (3.8) tell us that there exists only one unreparameterized pseudo-holomorphic curve through one point in the infinite hyperplane  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and one point outside the infinite hyperplane in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Therefore, by the definition of relative GW-invariant and GW-invariant, we have

$$\Psi_{(e,1,1)}^{(\overline{M}^+, Z)}([pt], [pt]_Z) = \Psi_L^{\mathbf{P}^n}([pt], [pt]_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) = 1.$$

So we proved (3.5).

To prove our theorem, from (3.4), it suffices to prove

$$\Psi_{(A^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, 1) = \Psi_{p!(A)-e}^{\tilde{M}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m). \quad (3.9)$$

To prove (3.9), we perform the symplectic cutting for  $\tilde{M}$ . Note that the exceptional divisor  $E$  has normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}), \quad \overline{M}^- \cong \tilde{M}.$$

Now we consider the contribution of relative stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves in  $\tilde{M}$  which touch the exceptional divisor  $E$  to the GW-invariants of  $\tilde{M}$ . For the support reason, we only consider the component

$$C = \{(p!(A) - e)^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; (p!(A) - e)^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2C_1(A) + 2(n-1)\nu - 4.$$

We assume  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  has  $l^\pm$  connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ . From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see that  $\bar{u}_i^+$  can be identified as a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\bar{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind}(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind} D_{\bar{u}_i^+} \\ &= 2 \sum C_1[h_i^+] + (2n - 6)l^+ + 2\nu - 2 \sum k_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now we want to calculate  $C_1[h_i^+]$ . Observe that  $\bar{M}^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^n$ . By Mori's cone theory, we have  $[h_i^+] = a(L - e) + be$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , where  $L$  is the class of a line in  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^n$  with  $L \cdot E = 1$  and  $e$  is the class of a line in the exceptional divisor. Let  $H$  be the infinite section in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Since  $H \cdot [h_i^+] = \sum k_j$ , where summation runs over the ends of  $u_i^+$ , so we have  $a = \sum k_j$ . Since  $(p!(A) - e) \cdot E = 1$ , then  $E \cdot [h_i^+] = 2a - b = 1$ . Therefore,  $b = 2 \sum k_j - 1$ , i. e.  $[h_i^+] = \sum k_j L + (\sum k_j - 1)e$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1[h_i^+] &= [(n+1)H - (n-1)E] \cdot [\sum k_j L + (\sum k_j - 1)e] \\ &= (n+1) \sum k_j - (n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= 2n \sum k_i - 4l^+ + 2\nu \\ \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) &= 2C_1(A) + 4(l^+ - 1) + 2(n-2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the contribution of the component to GW-invariant of  $\tilde{M}$  is nonzero only if  $l^+ = \nu = k = 1$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \text{deg} \alpha_i &= 2C_1(A) + 2m - 4 \\ &\geq 2C_1(A) + 4(l^+ - 1) + 2(n-2)\nu - 2n \sum k_i + 2m \\ &= \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) + 2m \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$4l^+ + 2(n-2)(\nu - \sum k_i) - 4 \sum k_i = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

It is easy to see (3.11) holds if and only if  $l^+ = \nu = \sum k_i$  because  $l^+ \leq \nu \leq \sum k_i$ . From  $\nu = \sum k_i$  it follows that  $k_i = 1$ . Hence Each component  $[h_i^+]$  is just the line  $L$ . If  $l^+ > 1$ , then we have  $1 = E \cdot (p!(A) - e) = E \cdot \sum [h_i^+] = l^+$ . This is a

contradiction. So the contribution of the component  $C$  to the GW-invariants of  $\tilde{M}$  is nonzero only if

$$C = \{L, 1, 1; (p!(A) - e)^-, m, 1\}.$$

From Theorem 2.9, for the dimension reasons, it follows

$$\Psi_{p!(A)-e}^{\tilde{M}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{((p!(A)-e)^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, 1) \Psi_{(L, 1)}^{(\overline{M}, Z)}([pt]_Z). \quad (3.12)$$

Because there is a unique line passing through a point in the infinite section of  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^n$  and intersecting at one point with the exceptional divisor, it is easy to show that

$$\Psi_{(L, 1)}^{(\overline{M}, Z)}([pt]_Z) = 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Psi_{p!(A)-e}^{\tilde{M}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{((p!(A)-e)^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, 1). \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.9) and (3.13), to prove our theorem, it suffices to prove

$$\Psi_{(A^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, 1) = \Psi_{((p!(A)-e)^-, m, 1)}^{(\overline{M}, Z)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, 1). \quad (3.14)$$

Assume that  $\tilde{u} : \Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  is a pseudo-holomorphic curve representing  $p!(A) - e$ . Performing symplectic cutting, we obtained  $\tilde{u}^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow \overline{M}^\pm$  and  $[\tilde{u}^\pm] = (p!(A) - e)^\pm$ . Let  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the projection of the blowup. The map  $p\tilde{u} : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  is also a pseudo-holomorphic curve representing  $A$ . Since  $\overline{M}^- \cong \tilde{M} \cong \overline{M}^+$ , we may consider  $(p!(A) - e)^-$  and  $A^-$  as homology classes in a same manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . From the calculation in our proof, it follows that  $[p\tilde{u}^+]$  is the class of a line in  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}^n$ . From Remark 2.10, we have  $[p\tilde{u}^+ + p\tilde{u}^-] = A$  and  $[p\tilde{u}^-] = A^-$ . From symplectic cutting, we may identify  $\tilde{u}^-$  and  $p\tilde{u}^-$  in  $\tilde{M} \setminus E$ . Therefore,  $A^- = (p!(A) - e)^-$ . By the definition of relative GW-invariant, we have (3.14). This proves Theorem 1.4.

**Corollary:**  $\Psi_e^{\tilde{M}}([pt]_E, [pt]_E) = 1$ , where  $[pt]_E$  denotes the fundamental class of the exceptional divisor  $E$  and  $e$  is the class of a line in the exceptional divisor  $E$ .

**Proof:** Lemma 1.1 tells us that those curves representing a homology class in the exceptional divisor have to be contained in the exceptional divisor  $E$ . Since  $E$  may be identified with  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . So the corollary follows from (3.6).

## 4 Blow-up along submanifolds

In last section, we described some changes of GW-invariants under blow-up of symplectic manifold at a general point. In this section, we will consider the changes of GW-invariants of blow-up of symplectic manifold along a smooth curve or an smooth surface. As the author knew, so far only Gathmann [G] delt with two easy examples: the blow-up of a space curve  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  and the blow-up of an abelian surface in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.5:** Since  $C$  is a smooth curve of  $M$ , the normal bundle  $N_C$  is a symplectic vector bundle. By symplectic neighborhood theorem, there is a tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_\delta(C)$  of  $C$  which is symplectomorphic to the normal bundle  $N_C$ . We perform the symplectic cutting as in section 2.1. We obtained

$$\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_C \oplus \mathcal{O}), \quad \overline{M}^- = \tilde{M}.$$

From the divisor property and skew symmetry of GW-invariants, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\deg \alpha_i > 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Therefore, if we choose a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we may also assume  $\alpha_i^+ = 0$ .

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we first consider the contribution of each component to the GW-invariants. Therefore, we consider the component

$$C = \{A^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n-1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we assume  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  has  $l^\pm$  connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ . From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see that  $\bar{u}_i^+$  can be identified as a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_C \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind}(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind} D_{\bar{u}_i^+} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] + (2n-6)l^+ + 2\nu - 2 \sum k_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Now we want to calculate  $C_1[h_i^+]$  in two cases of our theorem.

**Case 1:** The genus  $g_0 \geq 1$ .



In this case, we claim that all stable  $J$ -holomorphic maps  $h_i^+$  can only stay in fibers of  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_C \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Otherwise, suppose that there is a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+ : \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{M}^+$  which doesn't stay in a fiber. Since we only consider the genus zero GW-invariants, we assume that  $\Sigma$  has genus zero. Denote by  $\pi : \mathbf{P}(N_C \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow C$  the projection of the projective bundle. Then we have a stable  $J$ -holomorphic map  $\pi \circ h_i^+ : \Sigma \rightarrow C$  satisfying  $[\pi \circ h_i^+] \neq 0$ . We can perform pre-gluing as in the section 6 of [LR] and obtain a system of small perturbed  $J$ -holomorphic curves  $f_n : \Sigma_n \rightarrow C$  which represent the class  $[\pi \circ h_i^+]$  and satisfy the perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equation  $\overline{\partial}_J f_n = \nu_n$ , here  $\Sigma_n$  is a smooth Riemann surface. Actually we can choose  $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by Gromov compactness theorem, we have that  $f_n$  weakly converges to a (possibly reducible)  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$  and  $[\pi \circ h_i^+] = \sum_{j=1}^N [u^j] \neq 0$ . Therefore we have a nonconstant  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow C$  and  $\Sigma_1$  has genus zero. it is wellknown that if  $f' : S \rightarrow S'$  is a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces, then the genus of  $S$  and  $S'$  satisfy  $g(S) \geq g(S')$  unless  $f'$  is constant (see [GH] p.219). Since  $g(C) = g_0 \geq 1$ , we have a contradiction. So our claim is true.

An simple index calculation shows  $C_1[h_i^+] = n \sum k_j$  where summation runs over ends of component  $u_i^+$ . In this case, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) = (2n - 6)l^+ + 2(n - 1) \sum k_i + 2\nu.$$

**Case 2:**  $g_0 = 0$  and  $C_1(M)(C) \geq 0$ .

A simple calculation show that  $C_1(\mathbf{P}(N_C \oplus \mathcal{O})) = C_1(C) + C_1(N_C) + n\xi = C_1(M) + n\xi$ , here  $\xi$  is the class of infinite section in  $\mathbf{P}(N_C \oplus \mathcal{O})$  over  $C$ . Therefore, from the assumption of the theorem and an intersection multiplicity calculation shows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] \geq n \sum k_i.$$

In this case, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) \geq (2n - 6)l^+ + 2(n - 1) \sum k_i + 2\nu.$$

Summarise the above two cases, we have

$$Ind(D_{u^+}, \alpha) \geq (2n - 6)l^+ + 2(n - 1) \sum k_i + 2\nu,$$

$$Ind(D_{u^-}, \alpha) \leq 2C_1(A) + (2n - 6)(1 - l^+) - 2(n - 1)(\nu - \sum k_i) - 2\nu.$$

Since  $\alpha_i^+ = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , if  $m^+ > 0$ , we have for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$

$$\Psi_{(A^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\})}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_i^+, \beta_b) = 0.$$

This implies  $\Psi_C = 0$  except  $m^- = m$ . So we may assume  $m^- = m$ . By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also may assume

$$\sum \deg \alpha_i = 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6 + 2m.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \deg(\alpha_i^-) &= 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6 + 2m \\ &> 2C_1(A) + (2n - 6)(1 - l^+) + 2(n - 1)(\nu - \sum k_i) - 2\nu + 2m \\ &\geq \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) + 2m^-, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\nu > 0$ ,  $k_i > 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Therefore, by the definition of relative GW-invariants, we have for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$

$$\Psi_{(A^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\})}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_i^-, \beta_b) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\Psi_C = 0$  except  $C = \{A^-, g, m\}$ .

So now it remains to show

$$\Psi_{p!(A)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^* \alpha_1, \dots, p^* \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(A^-, m)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_m^-).$$

To prove this, we perform the symplectic cutting for  $\tilde{M}$  around  $E$  as in the proof Theorem 1.2. Therefore, we have

$$\overline{\tilde{M}}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_E \oplus \mathcal{O}), \quad \overline{\tilde{M}}^- \cong \tilde{M}.$$

Now we use the gluing theorem to prove the contribution of stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves in  $\tilde{M}$  which touch the exceptional divisor  $E$  to the GW-invariants of  $\tilde{M}$  is zero. We consider the component

$$C = \{p!(A)^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; p!(A)^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

Since  $\alpha_i^+ = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have  $\Psi_C = 0$  except

$$C = \{p!(A)^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; p!(A)^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n - 1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6,$$

where  $C_1$  denotes the first Chern class of  $M$ .

We assume that  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  has  $l^\pm$  connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ . From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see that  $\bar{u}_i^+$

can be identified as stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind}(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind} D_{\bar{u}_i^+} \\ &= (2n - 6)l^+ + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] + 2\nu - 2 \sum k_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $C_1$  is the first Chern class of  $\widetilde{M}^+$ .

Let  $V$  be a complex rank  $r$  vector bundle over  $X$ , and  $\pi : \mathbf{P}(V) \rightarrow X$  be the corresponding projective bundle. Let  $\xi_V$  be the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle in  $\mathbf{P}(V)$ . A simple calculation shows

$$C_1(\mathbf{P}(V)) = \pi^* C_1(X) + \pi^* C_1(V) - r\xi_V. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that  $\widetilde{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_E \oplus \mathcal{O})$  and  $E = \mathbf{P}(N_C)$ . Applying (4.3) to  $\widetilde{M}^+$  and  $E$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\widetilde{M}^+) &= C_1(E) + C_1(N_E) - 2\xi \\ &= C_1(C) + C_1(N_C) - (n - 1)\xi_1 + C_1(N_E) + 2\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi$  are the first Chern classes of the tautological line bundles in  $\mathbf{P}(N_C)$  and  $\mathbf{P}(N_E \oplus \mathcal{O})$  respectively. Here we denote Chern class and its pullback by a same symbol. It is well known that the normal bundle to  $E$  in  $\widetilde{M}$  is just the tautological bundle on  $E \cong \mathbf{P}(N_C)$ . Therefore  $C_1(N_E) = \xi_1$ . So we have

$$C_1(\widetilde{M}^+) = C_1(M) - (n - 2)\xi_1 - 2\xi.$$

We know that  $\widetilde{M}$  is a projective bundle over  $E$  with fiber  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Let  $L$  be the class of a line in the fiber  $\mathbf{P}^1$  and  $e$  be the class of a line in the fiber  $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$  in  $E = \mathbf{P}(N_C)$ . Denote by  $[h_i^+]^C$  the homology class of the projection in  $C$  of the curve  $h_i^+$ . Denote by  $[h_i^+]^F$  the difference of  $[h_i^+]$  and  $[h_i^+]^F$  i. e.  $[h_i^+]^F = [h_i^+] - [h_i^+]^C$ . Then it is easy to know  $[h_i^+]^F = aL + be$ . Since  $\xi \cdot [h_i^+] = \sum k_j$ , where the summation runs over ends of  $u_i^+$ , and  $E \cdot [h_i^+] = 0$ , so we have  $\xi \cdot [h_i^+]^F = a = \sum k_j$  and  $E \cdot [h_i^+]^F = a - b = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $a = b = \sum k_j$ . So we have  $[h_i^+]^F = \sum k_j(L + e)$ . For Case 1, we have  $[h_i^+]^C = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] = 2(n - 1) \sum k_i.$$

For Case 2, since  $C_1(C) + C_1(N_C) \geq 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] \geq 2(n-1) \sum k_i.$$

Plugging in (4.2), we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) \geq (2n-6)l^+ + 2(2n-3) \sum k_i + 2\nu.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) \leq 2C_1(A) + (2n-6)(1-l^+) + (2n-2)(\nu - \sum k_i) - 2(n-2) \sum k_i.$$

For the same reasons as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also may assume

$$\sum \text{deg}(p^* \alpha_i) = 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6 + 2m.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \text{deg}(p^* \alpha_i) &= 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6 + 2m \\ &> 2C_1(A) + (2n-6)(1-l^+) + (2n-2)(\nu - \sum k_i) \\ &\quad - 2(n-2) \sum k_i + 2m \\ &\geq \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) + 2m, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\nu > 0$ ,  $k_i > 0$ . Therefore, by the definition of relative GW-invariants, we have for any  $\beta_b \in H^*(Z)$ ,

$$\Psi_{(p!(A)^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\})}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}((p^* \alpha_i)^-, \beta_b) = 0.$$

Therefore, the contribution of  $J$ -holomorphic curves to the GW-invariant is nonzero only if it doesn't touch the exceptional divisor  $E$ , i. e.  $C = \{p!(A)^-, m\}$ . So from Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\Psi_{(p!(A), m)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^* \alpha_1, \dots, p^* \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(p!(A)^-, m)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}((p^* \alpha_1)^-, \dots, (p^* \alpha_m)^-).$$

The rest of the proof is the same as that of the proof of Theorem 1.2. So we omit it here. This proves Theorem 1.5.

**Proof of Theorem 1.6:** Since  $S$  is a smooth surface, the normal bundle  $N_S$  is a symplectic vector bundle. By symplectic neighborhood theorem, there is a tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_\delta(S)$  of  $S$  which is symplectomorphic to the normal bundle  $N_S$ . We perform the symplectic cutting as in section 2.1. We obtain

$$\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_S \oplus \mathcal{O}), \quad \overline{M}^- = \tilde{M}.$$

We may assume  $\alpha_i^+ = 0$  if we choose a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  because of the assumption of  $\alpha_i$ .

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5, we first consider the contribution of each component to the GW-invariants. Therefore, we consider the component

$$C = \{A^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) + \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2(n-1)\nu + 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6.$$

We assume  $u^\pm : \Sigma^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$  has  $l^\pm$  connected components  $u_i^\pm : \Sigma_i^\pm \rightarrow M^\pm$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l^\pm$ . From Remark 2.3, it is not difficult to see  $u_i^+$  can be identified as a stable  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $h_i^+$  in  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_S \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . Then from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind}(D_{u_i^+}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} \text{Ind} D_{\overline{u}_i^+} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l^+} C_1[h_i^+] + (2n-6)l^+ + 2\nu - 2 \sum k_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is the first Chern class of  $\overline{M}^+$ .

Now we want to calculate  $C_1[h_i^+]$ . It is wellknown that there is no non-constant stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves in  $S$  if  $S$  satisfies the conditions (2). If  $S$  satisfies the condition (1), the similar argument as in the case 1 of Theorem 1.5 shows there is no nonconstant stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves in  $S$ . Therefore, all stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves  $h_i^+$  can only stay in fibers of  $\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_S \oplus \mathcal{O})$  over  $S$ .

An simple index calculation shows  $C_1[h_i^+] = (n-1) \sum k_j$  where summation runs over ends of component  $u_i^+$ . In this case, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) = (2n-6)l^+ + 2(n-2) \sum k_i + 2\nu.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) = 2C_1(A) + (2n-6)(1-l^+) + 2(n-2)(\nu - \sum k_i).$$

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.5 shows that the contribution of the component  $C$  to the GW-invariant of  $M$  is nonzero only if  $S$  is the form

$$C = \{A^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; A^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

We also assume

$$\sum \deg \alpha_i = 2C_1(A) + 2n - 6 + 2m.$$

The same argument as in the proof of theorem 1.5 shows  $\Psi_C = 0$  except  $C = \{A^-, m\}$ . From the gluing theorem, we have

$$\Psi_A^M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(A^-, m)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_m^-).$$

Now it remains to prove

$$\Psi_{p!(A)}^{\tilde{M}}(p^* \alpha_1, \dots, p^* \alpha_m) = \Psi_{(A^-, m)}^{(\overline{M}^-, Z)}(\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_m^-).$$

To prove this, we perform the symplectic cutting for  $\tilde{M}$  around  $E$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.5. Therefore, we have

$$\overline{M}^+ = \mathbf{P}(N_E \oplus \mathcal{O}), \quad \overline{M}^- \cong \tilde{M}.$$

We also use the gluing theorem to prove that the contribution of stable  $J$ -holomorphic curves in  $\tilde{M}$  which touch the exceptional divisor  $E$  to the GW-invariant of  $\tilde{M}$  is zero. We consider the component

$$C = \{p!(A)^+, m^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; p!(A)^-, m^-, \{k_1, \dots, K_\nu\}\}.$$

Since  $\alpha_i^+ = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have  $\Psi_C = 0$  except

$$C = \{p!(A)^+, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}; p!(A)^-, m, \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}\}.$$

The similar calculation to that in the proof of Theorem 1.5 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{u^+}, \alpha) &= (2n - 6)l^+ + 2\nu + 2(2n - 5) \sum k_i, \\ \text{Ind}(D_{u^-}, \alpha) &= 2C_1(A) + (2n - 6)(1 - l^+) + 2(n - 2)(\nu - \sum k_i) - 2(n - 4) \sum k_i. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is the same as that of the proof Theorem 1.5. so we omit it. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

## References

- [B] K. Behrend, Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry, Invent. Math. 127(1997), 601-617.
- [D] S. K. Donaldson, Lecture notes on Floer homology.

- [DH] J. Duistermaat, G. J. Heckman, On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space, *Invent. Math.* 69(1982), 259-269.
- [FO] K. Fukaya, K. Ono, Arnold conjecture and Gromov-Witten invariant, preprint.
- [G] A. Gathmann, Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups, preprint, math.AG/9804043.
- [Go] R. Gompf, A new construction of symplectic manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* 142(1995), 527-595.
- [GH] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley Interscience, 1978.
- [HWZ1] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, E. Zehnder, Properties of pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectisations 1: Asymptotics, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare* 13(1996), 337-371.
- [IP] E. Ionel, T. Parker, Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic sums. preprint, math.sg/9806013
- [L] E. Lerman, Symplectic cuts, *Math. Research Lett.* 2(1995), 247-258
- [LR] A. Li, Y. Ruan, Symplectic surgery and Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds,I, Preprint. alg-geom/9803036
- [LT1] J. Li, G. Tian, Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11(1998), 119-174.
- [LT2] J. Li, G. Tian, Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of general symplectic manifolds, preprint. alg-geom/9608032
- [M1] D. McDuff, Blow-ups and symplectic embeddings in dimension 4, *Topology*, 30(1991), 409-421.
- [MS1] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [MS2] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and quantum cohomology*, University Lecture series, vol. 6, AMS.
- [MW] G. McCarthy, J. Wolfson, Symplectic normal connect sum, *Topology*, 33(1994), 729-764.

- [R1] Y. Ruan, Topological sigma model and Donaldson type invariants in Gromov theory, *Math. Duke J.* 83(2)(1996),461-500.
- [R2] Y. Ruan, Virtual neighborhoods and pseudoholomorphic curves, preprint.
- [R3] Y. Ruan, Quantum cohomology and its applications, Lecture on ICM98.
- [R4] Y. Ruan, Quantum cohomology and birational geometry, preprint.
- [RT1] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology, *J. Diff. Geom.* 42(1995), 259-367.
- [RT2] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, Higher genus symplectic invariants and sigma model coupled with gravity, *Invent. Math.* 130(1997), 455-516.
- [S] B. Siebert, Gromov-Witten invariants for general symplectic manifolds, preprint.

Email address: stsjxhu@zsu.edu.cn