

## CANONICAL INFINITESIMAL DEFORMATIONS

ZIV RAN

## CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Coalgebra	6
2. Products	9
2.1. Very symmetric products	9
2.2. Jacobi complex	11
2.3. Obstructions	13
3. Second order	14
4. $n$ -th order	18
5. Generalizations	25
References	27

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Our purpose here is to develop a 'canonical' approach to infinitesimal and formal deformation theory. For simplicity we shall stick in the paper mainly to one fundamental-and somewhat typical-case, that of a compact complex manifold  $X$  without global vector fields. Our starting point, and model, is the classical (first-order) Kodaira-Spencer formalism: this associates to any deformation  $\mathfrak{X}/S$  with

special fiber  $X$  a 'Kodaira-Spencer' map

$$\kappa : T_0S \rightarrow H^1(X, T)$$

where  $T = T_X$  is the tangent sheaf, and consequently obtains a canonical identification between the set of first-order deformations of  $X$  and the cohomology group  $H^1(X, T)$ . It is then natural to seek a higher-order analogue of this, for  $n$ -th order tangent spaces and  $n$ -th order deformations. At a minimum, one would like an  $n$ -th order analogue of  $\kappa$ :

$$\kappa_n = \kappa_n(\mathfrak{X}/S) : T^{(n)}S \rightarrow (?)_n$$

where  $(?)_n$  is an explicit ( and preferably computable) cohomological functor of  $X$  which, at least in favorable cases (e.g. when a global moduli space exists), should be canonically identifiable with the  $n$ -th order tangent space at a smooth point of the moduli space. Put another way, one knows, when  $H^0(T) = 0$ , the *existence* of an universal formal deformation

$$\hat{X}/\hat{S} = \lim_n X_n/S_n.$$

The problem is to write each  $X_n/S_n$ , i.e, the universal  $n$ -th order deformation, as an explicit cohomological functor of  $X$ , extending the above Kodaira-Spencer identification of first-order deformations.

Our approach to this is to combine some earlier constructions from  $[R_1]$  with an important and very novel insight coming out of recent work of Beilinson, Drinfeld and Ginzburg, cf.  $[BG]$ . The latter is, among other things, concerned specifically with deformations of vector bundles and principal bundles on a fixed complex curve  $X$ . It gives a formula for the  $n$ -th order cotangent space to the moduli of such bundles as  $H^0$  of a suitable sheaf on the Knudsen-Mumford space  $\hat{X}^n$  parametrizing

$n$ -tuples of points on  $X$ . This suggests the simple but stunning—to the author—and very broad philosophy that  $n$ -th order deformations should be related to  $n$ -tuples: e.g. that  $T^{(n)}M$  or something similar ought to be writable in terms of cohomology, at least on some sort of parameter space for  $n$ -tuples on  $X$  (notwithstanding that a good analogue of  $\hat{X}^n$  is not known if  $\dim X > 1$ ).

Here we will realize this philosophy as follows. First, we construct certain spaces  $X \langle n \rangle$ , related to symmetric products, which we call the *very symmetric products* of  $X$ . To be precise,  $X \langle n \rangle$  parametrizes the nonempty subsets of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq n$ . These naturally form a tower:

$$X = X \langle 1 \rangle \subset X \langle 2 \rangle \subset X \langle 3 \rangle \cdots \subset X \langle n \rangle \cdots \subset X \langle \infty \rangle =$$

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} X \langle n \rangle .$$

Then on  $X \langle \infty \rangle$  we construct a certain complex  $J = J(T_X)$  which we call the *Jacobi complex* of  $X$ : this is essentially just a multivariate version of the standard complex used to compute the *Lie algebra homology* of  $T_X$ . cf. [F] (indeed the latter homology coincides with the cohomology of  $J$  along  $X \langle 1 \rangle$ ). The subcomplex  $F^n J =: J_n$  is naturally supported on  $X \langle n \rangle \subset X \langle \infty \rangle$ . With this, we will prove the following

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold with  $H^0(T_X) = 0$  and let  $J$  be the Jacobi complex of  $X$ . Then*

(i) *for each  $n$  there is a canonical ring structure on*

$$R_n^u = \mathbb{C} \oplus H^0(J_n)^*$$

and a canonical flat deformation  $X_n^u/R_n^u$ , these fit together to form a direct system with limit

$$\hat{X}^u/\hat{R}^u = \lim_n X_n^u/R_n^u ;$$

(ii) for any artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R_n$  of exponent  $n$  and flat deformation  $X_n/R_n$  of  $X$ , there is a canonical Kodaira-Spencer ring homomorphism

$$\alpha_n = \alpha_n(X_n/R_n) : R_n^u \rightarrow R_n$$

and an isomorphism

$$X_n/R_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \alpha_n^* X_n^u = X_n^u \times_{R_n^u} R_n ;$$

(iii) if  $\hat{R} = \lim_{\leftarrow} R_n$  is a complete local noetherian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and  $\hat{X} = \lim X_n/R_n$ , then  $\hat{\alpha} = \lim_n \alpha_n : \hat{R}^n \rightarrow \hat{R}$  exists and  $\hat{X}/\hat{R} = \hat{\alpha}^*(\hat{X}^u/\hat{R}^u)$

The result naturally generalizes (cf. Sect. 5). If  $g$  is a sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}$ - Lie algebras on  $X$  and  $E$  a  $g$ -module (both assumed reasonably 'tame'), let  $\bar{g}$  be the unique quotient of  $g$  acting faithfully on  $E$  and assume that  $H^0(\bar{g}) = 0$ . For any artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $(R, m)$  of exponent  $n$  we may define a sheaf of groups  $G_R$  on  $X$  by

$$G_R = \exp(g \otimes m)$$

(i.e.  $G_R$  is  $g \otimes m$  with multiplication determined by the Campbell- Hausdorff formula) and similarly  $\bar{G}_R = \exp(\bar{g} \otimes m)$ . Then  $g$ -deformations of  $E$  over  $R$  are locally trivial deformations with transitions in  $\bar{G}_R$ , and are naturally classified by the nonabelian Cech cohomology set  $H^1(X, \bar{G}_R)$ . Our construction yields a bijection  $v = v_{R,E}$  between these and a certain subset of  $\mathbb{H}^0(J_n(\bar{g})) \otimes m$  (i.e. the set of 'morphic' elements). For  $n \geq 3$  this correspondence is given somewhat indirectly and in particular does not come from an explicit correspondence on the cocycle

level.  $v$  apparently depends on both  $E$  and  $g$ , though its source and target depend only on  $\bar{g}$ . It is unknown to the author whether (say for  $E$  a faithful  $g$ -module)  $v$  is independent of  $E$ , a fortiori whether it can be defined in terms of  $g$  alone. For another, perhaps more 'conceptual' interpretation of our construction of the universal deformation of  $E$ , see [R3], Theorem 3.1.

A further generalization, to the case of a sheaf of differential graded Lie algebras, will be considered in [R3].

As indicated above, the existence of the universal formal deformation  $\hat{X}^u/\hat{R}^u$  was known before, thanks to the work of Grothendieck, Schlessinger et al.: our point is its explicit construction and description. As for applications and extensions of the method, these have been, and will be given elsewhere, but a few can be mentioned here.

(i) An analogous deformation theory for deformations of vector bundles (or more generally locally free sheaves over a fixed locally  $\mathbb{C}$ -ringed space) and, as one application, construction of a symplectic (closed) 2-form on the moduli space, generalising at the same time constructions of Hitchin (for local systems on Riemann surfaces) and Mukai (for holomorphic vector bundles over K3 surfaces)[R5].

(ii) A direct construction of the universal variation of Hodge structure associated to a compact Kahler manifold and resulting study of the (local) period map and characterisation of its image (local Schottky relations), especially for Calabi-Yau manifolds and curves [R3],[L].

(iii) A theory of semiregularity for submanifolds and embedded, as well as relative deformations and resulting dimension bounds for Hilbert schemes and relative deformation spaces [R2][R4].

Higher-order Kodaira-Spencer maps, especially associated to 'geometric' (reduced) families have been independently defined by Esnault and Viehweg, cf. [EV]; that paper defines higher-order (additive) Kodaira-Spencer classes, but does not construct the universal family. Some important antecedents (albeit from a different viewpoint) are in the work of Goldman-Millson [GM].

## 1. COALGEBRA

The purpose of this section is to characterize the vector space  $m^*$  dual to the maximal ideal of an artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $(R, m)$ . While the general concept of coalgebra is well known, our application in the artin local case assigns a special role to the  $m$ -adic filtration and its dual, the 'order' filtration, not present in the general case. Consequently, it will be convenient to give a brief self-contained treatment here.

By an Order-Symbolic (OS) structure of order  $n$  we mean a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space together with an increasing filtration

$$V^0 = 0 \subseteq V^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V^n = V$$

and mutually compatible 'symbol' or 'comultiplication' maps

$$\sigma^{i,j} : V^i/V^j \rightarrow S^2(V^{i-j}), \quad j < i.$$

(Sometimes we shall use the same notation to denote the induced map  $V^i \rightarrow S^2(V^i)$ ; actually, a moment's thought shows that  $\sigma := \sigma^{n,1}$  is sufficient to determine the rest). These are assumed to satisfy the natural (co)associativity condition that the

following diagram should commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & S^2(V^{n-1}) \otimes V^{n-1} & \\
 \nearrow \phi & & \searrow \\
 V/V^1 \rightarrow S^2V^{n-1} \subset V^{n-1} \otimes V^{n-1} & & V^{n-1} \otimes V^{n-1} \otimes V^{n-1} \\
 \searrow \psi & & \nearrow \\
 & V^{n-1} \otimes S^2(V^{n-1}) & \\
 \varphi = \sigma^{n-1,1} \otimes id, & & \psi = id \otimes \sigma^{n-1,1}
 \end{array}$$

An OS structure  $V$  is said to be *standard* if  $\sigma$  is injective ( hence  $\sigma^{n,j}$  is injective for all  $j < n$ ). We can now state the basic result about OS structures, which relates them with artin local algebras.

**Proposition 1.1.** *There is an equivalence of categories between  $\mathcal{OS}_n$ , the category of OS structures of order  $n$ , and  $\mathcal{FR}_n$ , the category of commutative artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras space  $(R, m)$  of exponent  $n$  together with a super- $m$ -adic filtration  $(m_i \supseteq (m)^i)$ , where standard structures correspond with  $m$ -adically filtered algebras. The correspondence is given by*

$$(V, V^*, \varphi) \mapsto (\mathbb{C} \oplus V^*, V^{i\perp} = (V/V^i)^*, \varphi_n^*)$$

$$(S, m, m_\bullet) \mapsto (m^*, m_{i-1}^\perp = (m/m_{i-1})^*, \text{comultiplication}).$$

*Proof.* Basically trivial. Given  $V$  etc. define

$$R = \mathbb{C} \oplus V^*, m = V^*, m_i = (V^{i-1})^\perp = (V/V^{i-1})^* \subset V^*$$

Dualising  $\sigma$  yields the multiplication map

$$S^2(m) \rightarrow S^2(m/m_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} m_2 \subset m$$

This extends in an obvious way to a commutative associative multiplication map  $S^2R \rightarrow R$ . By construction,  $\sigma^*$  descends to a map

$$S^2(m/m_i) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{i+1,2*}} m_2/m_{i+1}$$

hence  $m \cdot m_i \subset m_{i+1}$ . So inductively  $m_i$  is firstly an ideal and then  $m_i \supseteq m^i$  by induction. The rest is similar.  $\square$

Thus in particular, to an artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $(R, m)$  of exponent  $n$ , we have a uniquely determined standard OS structure on  $T^n R = m^*$ , which conversely determines  $(R, m)$ . For later use it is convenient to explicate and amplify the morphism part of the above equivalence.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $(R, m), (R', m')$  be artin local algebras of exponent  $n$ . Then the following are mutually interchangeable:*

- (i) a local homomorphism  $\eta : R' \rightarrow R$ ;
- (ii) an OS morphism  $\kappa : T^n R \rightarrow T^n R'$ ;
- (iii) a compatible collection of elements

$$v_i \in m^{n+1-i} \otimes T^n R' / T^{n-i} R'$$

$$\text{such that } (id \otimes \sigma)(v_n) = v_n \cdot v_n \in m^2 \otimes S^2(T^n R') \tag{1.1}$$

*Proof.* Only (iii) may require comment.  $v_n$  evidently determines  $\kappa$  as well as  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ ; it is the existence of the latter that ensures that  $\kappa$  is filtration-preserving, while (1.1) makes  $\kappa$  compatible with comultiplication.  $\square$

Let us call an element  $v \in m_R \otimes T^n R'$  as above *morphic*.

## 2. PRODUCTS

2.1. **Very symmetric products.** Fix a topological space  $X$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , we denote by  $X^n$  and  $X_n$  the Cartesian and symmetric products, respectively. The system  $(X^n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  forms essentially a *simplicial* configuration ( while the  $X_n$ 's are related to one another in even more complicated ways). On the other hand, the system of the  $n$ -th order neighborhoods of a point (say on a moduli space),  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is simply a *tower*. This indicates that the 'right' spaces of point-configurations to work with in deformation theory are neither  $X^n$  or  $X_n$  but a suitable modifications thereof which form a tower. We now proceed to define these spaces which we call the *very symmetric products* (powers) of  $X$  and denote by  $X \langle n \rangle$ . A word to the wise: defining  $X \langle n \rangle$  may appear to be a fastidious bother as (sheaf) cohomology behaves simply with respect to finite maps; however, it is *complexes* that we must work with, and even to define the coboundary maps in appropriate complexes, a certain minimum amount of 'quotienting' must be effected , e.g. it seems that the Jacobi complexes defined below on  $X \langle n \rangle$  cannot be defined on any natural space strictly 'above'  $X \langle n \rangle$  (and this certainly includes  $X_n$ ).

As a set , we define

$$X \langle n \rangle = X^n / \sim$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ iff } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}.$$

Thus  $X \langle n \rangle$  parametrises precisely the nonempty subsets of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq n$ . We endow  $X \langle n \rangle$  with the quotient topology induced from  $X^n$ . Note that we indeed have a tower of ( closed, for  $X$  separated) embeddings

$$X = X \langle 1 \rangle \subset X \langle 2 \rangle \subset X \langle 3 \rangle \cdots \subset X \langle n \rangle \cdots \subset X \langle \infty \rangle =$$

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} X \langle n \rangle .$$

Alternatively,  $X < n >$  may be defined inductively: let

$$X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow X_n$$

be a 'diagonal' map, e.g.  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \mapsto \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ , whose image  $D_{n-1}$  is the big 'diagonal' in  $X_n$  (and is independent of the choice of which point gets doubled). Then

$$\begin{aligned} X < n > &= X_n \bigcup_{X^{n-1}} X < n-1 > & (2.1) \\ &= X_n \bigcup_{D^{n-1}} X < n-1 > \end{aligned}$$

(it is easy to see inductively that the natural map  $q_n : X_n \rightarrow X < n >$  factors through  $D_n$ ). Via (2.1), very symmetric products may be defined in more general settings, e.g. when  $X$  is a Grothendieck topology.

It is not hard to see that if  $X$  has a structure of (separated) analytic space, then so does  $X < n >$  in a natural way. However, we shall not need this fact. Rather, the sheaves on  $X < n >$  relevant to us will be alternating products of sheaves induced from  $X$ , which now proceed to define. Let  $S$  be a ring and  $A$  a sheaf of  $S$ -modules on  $X$ . Let  $\pi_n : X^n \rightarrow X < n >$  be the natural map, and set

$$\tau_S^n(A) = \pi_{n*}(A \boxtimes_S \cdots \boxtimes_S A)$$

(When  $S$  is understood, e.g.  $S = \mathbb{C}$ , we may suppress it). Note that the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  acts in a natural way on  $\tau_S^n(A)$  and let  $\sigma_S^n(A)$  (resp.  $\lambda_S^n(A)$ ) denote the invariant and antiinvariant factors. Note that this definition makes sense on the symmetric product  $X_n$  already, and may also be extended to mixed ( Schur ) tensors in an obvious way.

When  $A$  is replaced by a complex  $A^\cdot$  of  $S$ -modules, these constructions extend in a natural way to make  $\tau_S^n(A^\cdot), \sigma_S^n(A^\cdot), \lambda_S^n(A^\cdot)$  into complexes; for instance

$$\lambda_S^2(A^\cdot) = \lambda_S^2(A^{even}) \oplus \pi_{2*}(A^{even} \boxtimes A^{odd}) \oplus \sigma_S^2(A^{odd}).$$

The cohomology of  $\tau_S^n(A)$  can be computed by the Künneth formula, at least if  $A$  is  $S$ -free, i.e.

$$H^m(\tau_S^n(A)) = [\otimes_1^n H^\cdot(A)]^m$$

In fact the  $n$ -th tensor power of a Čech complex for  $A$  (with respect to an acyclic cover of  $X$ ) yields one for  $\tau_S^n(A)$ . As everything decompose into  $\pm$  eigenspaces under the action of  $\Sigma_n$ , analogous comments apply to  $\sigma_S^n(A)$  and  $\lambda_S^n(A)$  (one must take into account the usual sign rules for cup products, e.g.  $a \cup b = (-1)^{\deg a \deg b} b \cup a$ ). For instance, in the case of principal interest to us, we have  $H^0(A) = 0$  and then

$$H^i(X < n >, \lambda_S^n(A)) = H^i(X < n >, \tau_S^n(A)) = 0, i < n;$$

$$H^n(X < n >, \lambda_S^n(A)) = S_S^n H^1(A) :$$

in fact, the symmetric power of the Čech complex for  $A$  may be used to compute the cohomology of  $\lambda_S^n(A)$ .

**Remark** The spaces  $X < n >$  have recently appeared in the work of Beilinson and Drinfeld on 'Chiral Algebras'; I am grateful to V. Ginzburg for pointing this out.

**2.2. Jacobi complex.** Let  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$  be a sheaf of complex differential graded Lie algebras (DGLAs) on  $X$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$  is a "lie object" in the category of complexes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules on  $X$ , which means there is a morphism  $bt : \Lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\cdot$ , whose natural extension as a derivation of degree -1 on the Grassmann algebra  $\oplus \Lambda^i(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  satisfies  $bt^2 = 0$ ;

or course 'Grassmann algebra' and wedge must be understood in the graded sense, compatible with the gradation on  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$ . Note that  $br$  induces a map

$$br : \lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\cdot$$

(i.e. restriction followed by  $br$ ). Now we associate to  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$  a complex  $J(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  on  $X < \infty >$  called the Jacobi complex of  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$ , as follows. Set

$$J^{-n}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) = \lambda^n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot), \quad n \geq 1$$

( where the latter is viewed as a sheaf on  $X < \infty >$  via  $X < n > \subset X < \infty >$  and  $\lambda$  is understood in the graded sense); the differential  $d_n : \lambda^n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \lambda^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  is defined as follows. First, let  $alt : \tau^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  be the alternation or skew-symmetrization map, where  $\lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  is viewed as a complex on  $X < 2 >$  via the diagonal embedding  $X \rightarrow X < 2 >$ , and set

$$a = \pi_{n*}(id \boxtimes alt) : \pi_{n*}(\boxtimes^n \mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \pi_{n*}(\boxtimes^{n-2} \mathcal{L}^\cdot \boxtimes \lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)).$$

Next, note that  $\pi_{n*}(\boxtimes^{n-2} \mathcal{L}^\cdot \boxtimes \lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot))$  as defined above coincides with  $\pi_{(n-1)*}(\boxtimes^{n-2} \mathcal{L}^\cdot \boxtimes \lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot))$  and set

$$b = \pi_{(n-1)*}(id \boxtimes br) : \pi_{(n-1)*}(\boxtimes^{n-2} \mathcal{L}^\cdot \boxtimes \lambda^2(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)) \rightarrow \pi_{(n-1)*}(\boxtimes^{n-2} \mathcal{L}^\cdot \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^\cdot) = \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot).$$

Finally let  $p : \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \lambda^{n-1}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  be the natural alternation map and  $i : \lambda^n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) \rightarrow \tau^n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  the inclusion. Then define

$$d_n = p \circ b \circ a \circ i.$$

More explicitly,

$$d_n(t_1 \times \cdots \times t_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [t_{\sigma(1)}, t_{\sigma(2)}] \times t_{\sigma(3)} \times \cdots \times t_{\sigma(n)}$$

The Jacobi identity for  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$  ensures that  $J(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  is a complex. Put  $J_n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) = J^{\geq -n}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$ , which may be viewed as complex on  $X \langle n \rangle$ .

Now note that, viewing  $J_n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)/J_1(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) = J^{-n \leq \cdot \leq -2}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  as a complex on  $X \langle 2n - 2 \rangle$ , it forms a subcomplex of  $\pi_{n-1, n-1*}(Sym^2(J_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)))$ , where  $\pi_{n-1, n-1} : X \langle n - 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \rightarrow X \langle 2n - 2 \rangle$  is the natural map. This gives rise, e.g. to a map

$$\sigma^n : \mathbb{H}^0(J_n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot))/\mathbb{H}^0(J_1(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0((J_n/J_1)(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)) \rightarrow S^2\mathbb{H}^0(J_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot))$$

which we call the symbol map associated to  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$ , it is not hard to see that with this  $V^n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) = \mathbb{H}^0(J_n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot))$  forms an OS structure, which is standard provided  $\mathbb{H}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) = 0$ . By Section 1 then we obtain an inverse system of artin local algebras

$$R_n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot) = \mathbb{C} \oplus V^n(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)^*$$

and their limit  $\hat{R}(\mathcal{L}^\cdot)$  which might be called the deformation ring associated to  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$ .

In particular, if  $X$  is a compact complex manifold, its tangent sheaf  $T = T_X$  forms a Lie algebra under Lie bracket of vector fields, and we denote the associated Jacobi complexes by  $J_{n, X}$  or  $J_n$ , the corresponding OS structure by  $V_X^n$  or  $V^n$ , and the corresponding ring by  $R_{n, X}^u$  or  $R_n^u$ . As we shall see, when  $H^0(T) = 0$  the latter turns out to be the base ring of the n-universal deformation of  $X$ .

**2.3. Obstructions.** Assume  $H^0(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ . Note that the long cohomology sequence associated to

$$0 \rightarrow J_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow J_n(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \lambda^n(\mathcal{L})[n] \rightarrow 0, n \geq 2,$$

gives rise to a 'big obstruction' map

$$Ob_n : Sym^n H^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(J_{n-1}(\mathcal{L})).$$

Let  $K^n = \ker(Ob_n)$ , so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V^{n-1} \rightarrow V^n \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, using the 'comultiplicative' structure on  $J_n(\mathcal{L})$  as above it is easy to see that  $Ob_n$  factors through a map, denoted  $ob_n$ , called the 'small obstruction map

$$ob_n : K_{n-1}.H^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{L}),$$

where  $K^{n-1}.H^1(\mathcal{L})$  denotes the intersection of  $Sym^n H^1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $K^{n-1} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{L})$  considered as subspaces of  $\otimes^n H^1(\mathcal{L})$ , and we have  $K^n = \ker ob_n$  as well. Thus  $K^n$  may be described inductively, starting with  $K^1 = H^1(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $ob_1 = 0$ .

Similar comments apply if  $\mathcal{L}$  is replaced by a dgla  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\mathbb{H}^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ .

### 3. SECOND ORDER

For  $n = 1$ , Theorem 0.1 reduces to standard first -order Kodaira-Spencer deformation theory. Before taking up the general n-th order case in the next section, we consider here the second-order case , which is relatively simple but already illustrates some of the ideas. Thus let us fix an artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $(R_2, m_2)$  with reduction  $(R_1, m_1) = (R_2/m_2^2, m_2/m_2^2)$  as well as an acyclic (say polydisc) open cover  $(U_\alpha)$  of  $X$ , to be used in computing Čech cohomology. To a flat deformation

$$X_2/R_2 = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_2)$$

we seek to associate a Kodaira-Spencer homomorphism

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(X_2/R_2) : R_2^u \rightarrow R_2$$

or equivalently (cf. Section 2) a morphic element

$$v_2 = v_2(X_2/R_2) \in m_2 \otimes H^0(J_2)$$

which is to be described by a hypercocycle

$$v_2 = (u, \frac{1}{2}u^2) \in \check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_2 \oplus S^2\check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_2^2 \subset \check{C}^0(J_2) \otimes m_2 \quad (3.1)$$

where  $u = (u_{\alpha\beta})$  is required to be a lifting of

$$v_1 = (v_{1\alpha\beta}) \in \check{Z}^1(T) \otimes m_1,$$

a cocycle representing  $\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes_{R_2} R_1$  (where  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is the structure sheaf of  $X_2$ ),

and  $u^2$  means exterior cup product in the cochain sense, i.e

$$(u^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = u_{\alpha\beta} \times u_{\beta\gamma} \in S^2\check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_2^2 \subset \check{C}^2(\lambda^2 T) \otimes m_2^2$$

Note that the particular form of (3.1) makes the morphicity of  $v_2$  automatic provided it is a hypercocycle, which means explicitly

$$-\frac{1}{2}[u_{\alpha\beta}, u_{\beta\gamma}] = u_{\alpha\beta} + u_{\beta\gamma} + u_{\gamma\alpha} = \delta(u) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\delta = \check{C}\text{ech coboundary}$$

Note that the LHS of (3.2) depends only on the reduction  $v_{1\alpha\beta}$  of  $u_{\alpha\beta} \bmod m_2^2$

Now to define  $(u)$  we proceed as follows. As  $\mathcal{O}_2/R_2$  in a flat deformation of  $\mathcal{O}$ , it is locally trivial hence we have isomorphisms of  $R_2$ -algebras

$$\psi_\alpha : \mathcal{O}_2(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R_2$$

which give rise to a gluing cocycle given by

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_{R_2}(\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \otimes R_2)$$

which reduces mod  $m_2^2$  to

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^1 = I + v_{1\alpha\beta} \in \text{Aut}_{R_1}(\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \otimes R_1),$$

a gluing cocycle defining  $\mathcal{O}_1$ .

Now it is easy to see that  $D_{\alpha\beta}^2$  is uniquely expressible in the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha\beta}^2 &= \exp(u_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= I + u_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta}^2, \quad u_{\alpha\beta} \in m_2 \otimes T(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) : \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

indeed starting with an arbitrary lift  $u'_{\alpha\beta}$  of  $v_{1\alpha\beta}$  to  $m_2 \otimes T(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ ,  $\exp(u'_{\alpha\beta})$  and  $D_{\alpha\beta}^2$  are  $R_1$ -algebra homomorphisms which agree mod  $m_2^2$ , hence differ by an  $m_2^2$ -valued derivation  $t_{\alpha\beta}$  and we may set  $u_{\alpha\beta} = u'_{\alpha\beta} + t_{\alpha\beta}$ . Now we simply plug (3.3) into the cocycle equation for  $D^2$ :

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^2 D_{\beta\gamma}^2 = D_{\alpha\gamma}^2 \quad (3.4)$$

which becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} I + u_{\alpha\beta} + u_{\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta}^2 + u_{\alpha\beta}u_{\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\beta\gamma}^2 &= I + u_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\gamma})^2 \\ &= I + u_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta}^2 + u_{\alpha\beta}u_{\beta\gamma} + u_{\beta\gamma}u_{\alpha\beta} + u_{\beta\gamma}^2) \end{aligned}$$

as  $(u_{\alpha\beta})$  is a cocycle mod  $m_2^2$ . This is obviously equivalent to (3.2). Thus  $v_2$  is a hypercocycle, as claimed.

Now the foregoing argument can essentially be read backwards given a morphic element

$$v_2 \in m_2 \otimes H^0(J_2),$$

choose a representative for  $v_2$  of the form

$$((u_{\alpha\beta}), (u'_{\alpha\beta\gamma})) \in \check{C}'(T) \otimes m_2 \oplus S^2\check{C}'(T) \otimes m_2^2 \subset \check{Z}^0(J_2),$$

where  $(u_{\alpha\beta})$  is a lifting of  $(v_{1\alpha\beta})$ ; thus compatibility with comultiplication yields that  $v_2$  may also be represented by

$$((u_{\alpha\beta}), \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta})^2).$$

Then simply setting  $D_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \exp(u_{\alpha\beta})$ , the cocycle condition (3.4) follows from the hypercocycle condition (3.2), so that  $(D_{\alpha\beta}^2)$  yields a locally trivial flat deformation  $X_2/R_2 = \text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_2$ , which we denote by  $\Phi_2(\alpha_2)$  ( though it is yet to be established that this is independent of choices).

This construction applies in particular to the identity map  $R_2^u \rightarrow R_2^u$ , thus yielding a flat deformation over  $R_2^u$  which we call an *universal second order deformation* and denote by  $X_2^u = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_2^u)$ . It is moreover clear by construction that  $\Phi_2(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2^*(X_2^k/R_2^n)$  for any  $\alpha_2 : R_2^u \rightarrow R_2$  and also that for any second-order deformation  $X_2/R_2$ ,

$$X_2/R_2 \approx \alpha_2(X_2/R_2)^*(X_2^u/R_2^u) \approx \Phi_2(\alpha_2(X_2/R_2)).$$

Similarly,

$$\alpha_2(\Phi_2(\beta)) = \beta.$$

Thus  $\alpha_2$  and  $\Phi_2$  establish mutually inverse correspondences, albeit on the cocycle level. What has to be established is that this correspondence descends to cohomology, i.e. non-abelian cohomology of Aut-cocycles and hypercohomology respectively. In one direction, consider two cohomologous Aut-cocycles

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^2 \sim D_{\alpha\beta}^{2'} = A_\beta D_{\alpha\beta}^2 A_\alpha^{-1}$$

$A_\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{R_2}(\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha) \otimes R_2)$ , as above uniquely expressible in the form  $\exp(w_\alpha)$ ,  $w_\alpha \in m_2 \otimes T(U_\alpha)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\alpha\beta}^{2'} &= (I + w_\beta + \frac{1}{2}w_\beta^2)(I + u_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta}^2)(I - w_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}w_\alpha^2) \\
&= I + (u_{\alpha\beta} + w_\beta - w_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[w_\beta - w_\alpha, u_{\alpha\beta}] + \frac{1}{2}[w_\alpha, w_\beta]) + \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta} + w_\beta - w_\alpha)^2 \\
&= \exp(u_{\alpha\beta} + w_\beta - w_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[w_\beta - w_\alpha, u_{\alpha\beta}] + \frac{1}{2}[w_\alpha, w_\beta]) \\
&= : \exp(u'_{\alpha\beta})
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $v'_2 = v_2(D^{2'}) = (u', \frac{1}{2}(u')^2)$  is cohomologous to  $v_2$  because

$$v'_2 - v_2 = \partial((w_\alpha), \frac{1}{2}(w_\alpha \times u_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{2}(w_\alpha \times w_\beta))$$

where  $\partial = \delta \pm b$  is the differential of the Čech bicomplex of  $\check{C}(J_2)$ . Conversely, supposing  $v_2 = (u, \frac{1}{2}u^2)$ ,  $v'_2 = (u', \frac{1}{2}u'^2)$  are cohomologous,

$$v'_2 - v_2 = \partial((w_\alpha), (t_{\alpha\beta})) .$$

Now as  $H^0(T) = 0$ ,  $\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u')^2 - \frac{1}{2}u^2$  determines  $(t)$  up to adding a Čech coboundary  $s_\alpha - s_\beta$  and, using  $b\delta = \pm\delta b$  this may be absorbed into  $(w_\alpha)$ . Thus we may assume

$$t_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}w_\alpha \times u_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}w_\alpha \times w_\beta,$$

so that  $(D_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \exp(u_{\alpha\beta}))$  and  $(D_{\alpha\beta}^{2'} = \exp(u'_{\alpha\beta}))$  are cohomologous as above. This finally completes the proof of Theorem 0.1 for  $n=2$ .

#### 4. $n$ -TH ORDER

We now complete the proof of Theorem 0.1 in the general  $n$ -th order case, following in part the pattern of the case  $n=2$  and using induction. However the argument becomes a bit more involved and less direct. Fix an artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $(R_n, m_n)$

of exponent  $n$ , with reduction  $(R_{n-1}, m_{n-1})$ , etc, and an acyclic open cover  $(U_\alpha)$ .

The main point is to associate a morphic hypercocycle

$$v_n = v_n(\mathcal{O}_n/R_n) \in m_n \otimes \check{Z}^0(J_n),$$

hence a Kodaira-Spencer homomorphism  $\alpha_n(O_n/R_n)$  etc- to an  $R_n$ -flat deformation

$\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ . As before we seek  $v_n$  of the form

$$v_n = \epsilon(u_n) := (u_n, \frac{1}{2}(u_n)^2, \dots, \frac{1}{n!}(u_n)^n)$$

for some cochain  $u_n = (u_{n\alpha\beta}) \in \check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_n$  which is a lift of  $u_{n-1} \in \check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_n$

analogously defining  $v_{n-1}$ . To this end we start with isomorphisms of algebras

$$\psi_\alpha^n : \mathcal{O}_n(U_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha) \otimes R_n$$

which yield a gluing cocycle by

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^n = \psi_\beta^n (\psi_\alpha^n)^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_{R_n}(\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \otimes R_n), \quad (4.1)$$

which as above we express in the form

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^n = \exp(t_{n\alpha\beta}), \quad (4.2)$$

This can be done because, assuming inductively that (4.2) holds for  $n-1$  and

letting  $t'_n$  be an arbitrary lift of  $t_{n-1}$  and  $t_n = t'_n + \eta_n, \eta_n \in \check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_n^n$ , (4.2) can

be rewritten as

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^n = \exp(t'_{n\alpha\beta}) + \eta_n.$$

which can clearly be uniquely solved for  $\eta_n$ .

Now before proceeding with the definition of  $u = u_n$  we will consider a Dolbeault analogue, both for its own interest and as motivation for the Čech construction to follow. Consider the DGLA sheaf

$$g^\cdot = (\mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}(T), \bar{\partial}, [,])$$

( $\Gamma(g^\cdot)$  is sometimes called the Frohlicher-Nijenhuis algebra); as  $g^\cdot$  is a soft resolution of  $T$ ,  $J_n(g^\cdot)$  is a soft resolution of  $J_n(T)$  which may be used to compute  $\mathbb{H}^0(J_n(T))$ . As  $g^0$  is soft it is easy to see that, up to shrinking our cover  $(U_\alpha)$  we may assume

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^n = \exp(s_\alpha)\exp(-s_\beta)$$

$$s_\alpha \in g^0(U_\alpha) \otimes m_n.$$

Put another way, we may view  $\psi_\alpha^n$  above as a holomorphic local trivialisation

$$U_\alpha^n \simeq U_\alpha \times \text{Spec}(R_n)$$

$U_\alpha^n =$  open subset of  $X_n$  corresponding to  $U_\alpha$ ; on the other hand there is a global ' $C^\infty$  trivialisation'  $C : X_n \rightarrow X \times \text{Spec}(R_n)$ , and we may set

$$\exp(s_\alpha) = C \circ (\psi_\alpha^n)^{-1} \quad (4.3)$$

Now note that  $\bar{\partial}$  extends formally as a derivation on the universal enveloping algebra  $U(g^\cdot)$  and we set

$$\phi_\alpha = \exp(-s_\alpha)\bar{\partial}\exp(s_\alpha) = D(\text{ad}(s_\alpha))(\bar{\partial}s_\alpha) \quad (4.4)$$

where  $D$  is the function

$$D(x) = \frac{\exp(x) - 1}{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{(i+1)!}.$$

Note that

$$0 = \bar{\partial}D_{\alpha\beta}^n = \bar{\partial}\exp(s_\alpha)\exp(-s_\beta) + \exp(s_\alpha)\bar{\partial}\exp(\exp(-s_\beta)),$$

hence

$$\exp(-s_\alpha)\bar{\partial}\exp(s_\alpha) = -\bar{\partial}\exp(-s_\beta)\exp(s_\beta);$$

since moreover  $\bar{\partial}(\exp(-s_\beta)\exp(s_\beta)) = 0$  we have similarly

$$-\bar{\partial}\exp(-s_\beta)\exp(s_\beta) = \exp(-s_\beta)\bar{\partial}\exp(s_\beta), \quad (4.5)$$

which means precisely that the  $\phi_\alpha$  glue together to a global section

$$\phi \in \Gamma(g^1) = A^{0,1}(T) \otimes m_n.$$

Next, note using (4.4) that

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha = \bar{\partial}\exp(-s_\alpha)\bar{\partial}\exp(s_\alpha) = \bar{\partial}\exp(-s_\alpha)\exp(s_\alpha)\exp(-s_\alpha)\bar{\partial}\exp(s_\alpha) = -\phi_\alpha\phi_\alpha;$$

recalling that for odd-degree elements  $\phi, \psi \in g$ ,  $[\phi, \psi] = \phi.\psi + \psi.\phi$ , we conclude

that the integrability equation

$$\bar{\partial}\phi = \frac{-1}{2}[\phi, \phi] \quad (4.6)$$

is satisfied, and consequently

$$\epsilon(\phi) = \left(\phi, \frac{1}{2}\phi \times \phi, \dots, \frac{1}{n!}\phi \times \dots \times \phi\right) \in \Gamma(J_n(g)) \otimes m_n$$

is a hypercocycle, which may be used to define a Dolbeault analogue of  $v_n$  (automatically morphic, due to the 'exponential' nature of  $\epsilon$ ).

By way of interpretation, note that, as operators,

$$\bar{\partial}(\exp(s_\alpha)) = [\bar{\partial}, \exp(s_\alpha)],$$

therefore clearly

$$\phi. = \exp(-s.)\bar{\partial}\exp(s.) - \bar{\partial}. \quad (4.6)$$

What (4.6) means is this: recall the map  $C$  above which yields a  $C^\infty$  trivialisation of the deformation  $X_n/R_n$  and in particular bundle isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}(X) \otimes R_n \simeq \mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}(X_n/R_n)$$

under which the canonical Dolbeault operator  $\bar{\partial}_n$  on the RHS corresponds on the LHS precisely to  $\bar{\partial}_0 \otimes 1 + \phi.$  The integrability equation (4.5) reads, on the operator level

$$\bar{\partial}\phi + \phi\bar{\partial} = \phi\phi, \bar{\partial} := \bar{\partial}_0 \otimes 1,$$

i.e. is equivalent to  $\bar{\partial}_n^2 = 0$ .

Given this, it is now clear how to go backwards. Given  $\phi \in A^{0,1}(T) \otimes m_n$  we may define an operator  $d_n$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n^{0,\cdot} := \mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}(X) \otimes R_n$  by

$$d_n = \bar{\partial} + \phi.,$$

and the integrability equation (4.5) guarantees that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n^{0,\cdot}, d_n)$  is a complex; by semicontinuity, this complex is clearly exact in positive degrees (because  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n^{0,\cdot} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is) and we may define

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \ker(d_n, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n^{0,0}).$$

As  $d_n$  is an  $R_n$ -linear derivation,  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is a sheaf of  $R_n$ -algebras. That  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is  $R_n$ -flat is a consequence of the following easy observation.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be an artin local ring with residue field  $k$ ,  $M$  an  $R$ -module and  $M \rightarrow N$  a flat resolution such that  $M \otimes k \rightarrow N \otimes k$  is also a resolution. Then  $M$  is flat.*

*Proof.* Our assumption implies that  $Tor_i(M, k) = 0, i > 0$ . Now if  $P$  is any finite  $R$ -module then  $P$  admits a composition series with factors isomorphic to  $k$ , hence  $Tor_i(M, P) = 0, i > 0$ . Finally any  $R$ -module  $Q$  is a direct limit of its finite submodules and  $Tor$  commutes with direct limits, hence  $Tor_i(M, Q) = 0$ , so  $M$  is flat.  $\square$

While the above is sufficient for a Dolbeault proof of Theorem 0.1, it seems desirable to have a translation into the Čech language. To this end, we replace  $g$  by the Čech complex  $C^\cdot(T)$  which, together with the Čech differential  $\delta$  and the natural bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  forms a DGLA. By analogy with  $\phi$ , we set

$$u. = u_n = \delta(s.) = \exp(-s.)\delta(\exp(s.)) = -\delta(\exp(-s.))\exp(s.).$$

As  $C$  is globally defined (albeit nonholomorphic), it commutes with  $\delta$  hence by (4.3)

$$u_{n\alpha} = (\psi_\alpha^n)^{-1}\delta\psi_\alpha^n$$

so actually  $u_n \in \check{C}^1(T)$ , i.e.  $\bar{\partial}(u_n) = 0$ . In particular,

$$\bar{\partial}(\exp(-s.)\delta(\exp(s.))) = -\exp(-s.)\bar{\partial}\delta(\exp(s.)).$$

On the other hand  $\delta(\phi.) = 0$  yields

$$\delta(\exp(-s.))\bar{\partial}(\exp(s.)) = -\exp((-s.))\delta\bar{\partial}(\exp(s.)).$$

As  $\delta$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  commute it follows that

$$\delta(\exp(-s.))\bar{\partial}(\exp(s.)) = \bar{\partial}(\exp(-s.))\delta(\exp(s.)).$$

Hence

$$u.\phi. = \exp(-s.)\delta(\exp(s.))\exp(-s.)\bar{\partial}(\exp(s.)) = -\delta(\exp(-s.))\bar{\partial}(\exp(s.))$$

$$= -\bar{\partial}(\exp(-s.))\delta(\exp(s.)) = \phi.u.,$$

i.e.  $u., \phi.$  commute:

$$[u., \phi.] = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

Now as above we have formally that

$$\delta(u.) = \delta(\exp(-s.))\delta(\exp(s.)) = \frac{-1}{2}[u., u.],$$

and therefore  $v_n = \epsilon(u_n) \in \check{C}^0(J_n(T))$  is a morphic hypercocycle, which may be used to define the required Kodaira-Spencer homomorphism  $\alpha_n(X_n/R_n) : R_n^u \rightarrow R_n$ .

The interpretation of  $u_n$  is analogous to that of  $\phi$ : i.e. the operator

$$\delta + u_n : \check{C}^\cdot(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n \rightarrow \check{C}^{\cdot+1}(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n$$

corresponds to the coboundary operator on  $\check{C}^\cdot(\mathcal{O}_n)$  under the local trivialisation  $(\psi_\alpha^n)$  above. Thus to reverse this construction we may proceed analogously as in the Dolbeault case. Firstly we represent a morphic element  $v_n \in \mathbb{H}^0(J_n) \otimes m_n$  in the form

$$v_n = \epsilon(u_n), u_n \in \check{C}^1(T) \otimes m_n \quad (4.9)$$

where  $u. = u_n$  satisfies the Čech integrability equation

$$\delta(u.) = \frac{-1}{2}[u., u.]. \quad (4.10)$$

Now thanks to (4.9), the deformed coboundary operator

$$\delta' = \delta + u_n : \check{C}^\cdot(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n \rightarrow \check{C}^{\cdot+1}(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n$$

satisfies  $(\delta')^2 = 0$ , thus making  $(\check{C}^\cdot(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n, \delta')$  as well as its sheafy version  $(\check{C}^\cdot(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n, \delta')$  into complexes where the latter is exact in positive degrees. Hence as before,

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \ker(\delta', \check{C}^0(\mathcal{O}) \otimes R_n)$$

is a sheaf of flat  $R_n$ -algebras yielding a flat deformation

$$\Phi_n(v_n) = X_n/R_n = \mathit{Specan}(\mathcal{O}_n).$$

It is worth noting that the Čech construction yields the same deformation as the Dolbeault one: this follows easily from (4.8). Also, it is clear from the construction that either  $\phi$  or  $u_n$  determines the deformation  $X_n/R_n$  up to isomorphism.

Now we may easily complete the proof as in Sect.3. First, taking the element  $v_n$  corresponding to the identity on  $\mathbb{H}^0(J_n)$ , we obtain a corresponding deformation  $X_n^u/R_n^u$ . Next, given any  $X_n/R_n$ , with corresponding  $u_n, \alpha_n$ , it is clear that

$$\alpha_n = \alpha_n(\alpha_n^*(X_n^u/R_n^u)).$$

Since a deformation is determined by its  $\alpha_n$  it follows that

$$X_n/R_n \simeq \alpha_n^*(X_n^u/R_n^u).$$

Thus  $X_n^u/R_n^u$  is  $n$ -universal. Finally it is clear by construction that for different  $n$  these are mutually compatible so the limit  $\hat{X}_n^u/\hat{R}_n^u$  exists and is formally universal, completing the proof of Theorem 0.1.

**Remark** See [R3] for an 'interpretation' of the construction of  $X_n^u$ .

## 5. GENERALIZATIONS

Let  $g$  be a sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}$ - Lie algebras on  $X$  with  $H^0(g) = 0$ ,  $E$  a  $g$ -module. Replacing  $g$  by its unique quotient acting faithfully on  $E$ , we may assume  $E$  is faithful. We also assume  $X, g, E$  are reasonably tame so cohomology can be computed by Čech complexes. We further assume  $g$  and  $E$  admit compatible soft resolutions  $g^\cdot, E^\cdot$  where  $g^\cdot$  is a DGLA acting on  $E^\cdot$ . Typically,  $E$  will have some additional structure and  $g$  will coincide with the full Lie algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms of

the structure: e.g. when  $E$  is a ring  $g$  may be the algebra of internal derivations. For any artin local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $(R, m)$ , we have a Lie group sheaf  $Aut_R^\circ(E \otimes R)$  of  $R$ -linear automorphisms of  $E \otimes R$  which act as the identity on  $E = (E \otimes R) \otimes_R \mathbb{C}$ , and we assume given a Lie subgroup sheaf

$$G_R \subset Aut_R^\circ(E \otimes R)$$

with Lie algebra  $g \otimes m$ , which coincides - by definition if you will -with the subgroup of structure-preserving automorphisms in  $Aut_R^\circ(E \otimes R)$ . Then the above constructions, being essentially formal in nature, carry over to this setting essentially verbatim, yielding  $n$ -universal deformations  $E_n^u/R_n^u$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and a formally universal deformation  $\hat{E}^u/\hat{R}^u$ .

*Examples* (cf. [R5])

5.a.  $E$  is a simple locally free finite-rank  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $g$  is the algebra of all traceless  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear endomorphisms of  $E$ . The deformation obtained is the usual universal deformation of  $E$  as  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

*Subexamples*

5.a<sub>1</sub>.  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the ring of locally constant functions on the topological space  $X$  assumed 'nice', e.g. a manifold. In this case  $E$  is a local system ( i.e. a  $\pi_1$  representation), and we obtain its universal deformation as such.

5.a<sub>2</sub>.  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the sheaf of holomorphic functions on a complex manifold ( or regular functions on a proper  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme). In this case  $E$  is a (holomorphic) vector bundle and we obtain its universal formal deformation as such.

5.b. Let  $Y \subset X$  be an embedding of compact complex manifolds,  $g = T_{X/Y}$  the algebra of vector fields on  $X$  tangent to  $Y$  along  $Y$ , which may be identified with the algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms( i.e. internal derivations) of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  preserving

the subsheaf  $\mathcal{I}_y$ . Assuming  $H^0(T_{X/Y}) = 0$ , we obtain the universal deformation of the pair  $(X, Y)$ .

The case of general holomorphic map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  may be treated in a similar way using the algebra  $T_f$  (cf. [R2]); in fact it is sufficient for many purposes to replace  $f$  by the embedding of its graph in  $Y \times X$  (cf. [R4]). On the other hand the case of deformations of  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $X$  fixed requires the DGLA formalism and will be taken up in [R3].

## REFERENCES

- [BG] A. Beilinson, V. Ginzburg : *Infinitesimal structure on moduli spaces of G-bundles*. Duke Math. J. Internat. math. res. notices 4 (1992), 67-74.
- [D] P. Deligne, Letter to H. Esnault (1992).
- [GM] W. M. Goldman, J.J. Millson : *The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kahler manifolds*. Publ. math. IHES 67 (1988), 43-96.
- [EV] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Higher Kodaira-Spencer classes*. Math. Annalen 299, 491-527 (1994).
- [F] D.B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*. Plenum, New-York 1974.
- [G] R. Godement, *Theorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris 1959.
- [L] G. Liu UCR dissertation 1997.
- [R1] Z. Ran, *Derivatives of Moduli*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1993), 93-106.
- [R2] Z. Ran, *Hodge theory and deformations of maps*. Composition math 97,309-328 (1995).
- [R3] Z. Ran, *Universal variation of Hodge structure* , Preprint.
- [R4] Z. Ran, *Semiregularity , obstructions and deformations of Hodge classes*. Preprint
- [R5] Z. Ran, *On the local structure of moduli spaces of locally free sheaves, in: Moduli of vector bundles*, ed. M. Maruyama. New York: Marcel Dekker 1996.