

## RANDOM VECTORS IN THE ISOTROPIC POSITION

M. RUDELSON

MSRI Texas A &amp; M University

ABSTRACT. Let  $y$  be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} y \otimes y = id.$$

Let  $M$  be a natural number and let  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  be independent copies of  $y$ . We prove that for some absolute constant  $C$

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M},$$

provided that the last expression is smaller than 1.

We apply this estimate to obtain a new proof of a result of Bourgain concerning the number of random points needed to bring a convex body into a nearly isotropic position.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem we consider has arisen from a question studied by R. Kannan, L. Lovász and M. Simonovits [K-L-S]. To construct a fast algorithm for calculating the volume of a convex body, they needed to bring it into some symmetric position. More precisely, let  $K$  be a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We shall say that it is in the isotropic position if for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \langle x, y \rangle^2 dy = \|x\|^2$$

By  $\|\cdot\|$  we denote the standard Euclidean norm.

The notion of isotropic position was extensively studied by V. Milman and A. Pajor [M-P]. Note that our definition is consistent with [K-L-S]. The normalization in [M-P] is slightly different.

If the information about the body  $K$  is uncomplete it is impossible to bring it exactly to the isotropic position. So, the definition of the isotropic position has to be modified to allow a small error. We shall say that the body  $K$  is in  $\varepsilon$ -isotropic position if for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \cdot \|x\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \langle x, y \rangle^2 dy \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \|x\|^2.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Consider  $M$  random points  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  independently uniformly distributed in  $K$  and put

$$T = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i.$$

If  $M$  is sufficiently large, then with high probability

$$\left\| T - \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K y \otimes y \right\|$$

will be small, so the body  $T^{-1/2}K$  will be in  $\varepsilon$ -isotropic position. R. Kannan, L. Lovász and M. Simonovits ([K-L-S]) proved that it is enough to take

$$M = c \frac{n^2}{\varepsilon}$$

for some absolute constant  $c$ . This estimate was significantly improved by J. Bourgain [B]. Using rather delicate geometric considerations he has shown that one can take

$$M = C(\varepsilon)n \log^3 n.$$

Since the situation is invariant under a linear transformation, we may assume that the body  $K$  is in the isotropic position. Then the result of Bourgain may be reformulated as follows:

**Theorem 0.** [B] *Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the isotropic position. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose independently  $M$  random points  $x_1, \dots, x_M \in K$ ,*

$$M \geq C(\varepsilon)n \log^3 n.$$

*Then with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  one has*

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \langle x, y_i \rangle^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x\|^2.$$

We shall show that this theorem follows from a general result about random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $y$  be a random vector. Denote by  $\mathbb{E}X$  the expectation of a random variable  $X$ . We say that  $y$  is in the isotropic position if

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{E} y \otimes y = id.$$

If  $y$  is uniformly distributed in a convex body  $K$ , then this is equivalent to the fact that  $K$  is in the isotropic position.

We prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a random vector in the isotropic position. Let  $M$  be a natural number and let  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  be independent copies of  $y$ . Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M},$$

provided that the last expression is smaller than 1.

Here and later  $C, c$ , etc. denote absolute constants whose values may vary from line to line.

*Remark.* Taking the trace of (1.1) we obtain that  $\mathbb{E} \|y\|^2 = n$ , so to make the right hand side of (1.2) smaller than 1, we have to assume that  $M \geq cn \log n$ .

Using Theorem 1 we prove a better estimate of the length of approximate John's decompositions [R1] and thus improve the results about approximating a convex body by another one having a small number of contact points, obtained in [R2]. Estimating the moment of the norm of random vector in a convex body, we obtain a different proof of Theorem 0 which gives also a better estimate.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS.

The proof of Theorem 1 consists of two steps. First we introduce a Bernoulli random process and estimate the expectation of the norm in (1.2) by the expectation of its supremum. Then we construct a majorizing measure to obtain a bound for the latest.

The first step is relatively standard. Let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_M$  be independent Bernoulli variables taking values  $1, -1$  with probability  $1/2$  and let  $y_1, \dots, y_M, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_M$  be independent copies of  $y$ . Denote  $\mathbb{E}_y, \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon$  the expectation according to  $y$  and  $\varepsilon$  respectively. Since  $y_i \otimes y_i - \bar{y}_i \otimes \bar{y}_i$  is a symmetric random variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_y \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| &\leq \mathbb{E}_y \mathbb{E}_{\bar{y}} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \bar{y}_i \otimes \bar{y}_i \right\| = \\ \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \mathbb{E}_y \mathbb{E}_{\bar{y}} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \varepsilon_i (y_i \otimes y_i - \bar{y}_i \otimes \bar{y}_i) \right\| &\leq 2 \mathbb{E}_y \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \varepsilon_i y_i \otimes y_i \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the last expectation, we need the following Lemma, which generalizes Lemma 1 [R3].

**Lemma.** *Let  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_M$  be independent Bernoulli variables taking values  $1, -1$  with probability  $1/2$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M \varepsilon_i y_i \otimes y_i \right\| \leq C \sqrt{\log M} \cdot \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \|y_i\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i \right\|^{1/2}.$$

We postpone the proof of the Lemma to the next section.

Applying the Lemma, we get

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{M} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \|y_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i \right\| \right)^{1/2}.$$

We have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \|y_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \|y_i\|^{\log M} \right)^{2/\log M} \right)^{1/2} \leq M^{1/\log M} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M}.$$

Thus, denoting

$$D = \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\|,$$

we obtain by (2.1)

$$D \leq C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M} \cdot (D+1)^{1/2}.$$

If

$$C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M} \leq 1,$$

we get

$$D \leq 2C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

We turn now to the applications of Theorem 1. Applying Theorem 1 to the question of Kannan, Lovász and Simonovits, we obtain the following

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional convex body in the isotropic position. Let*

$$M \geq C \cdot \frac{n}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \log^2 \frac{n}{\varepsilon^2}$$

*and let  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  be independent random vectors uniformly distributed in  $K$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* It follows from a result of S. Alesker [A], that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left( \frac{\|y\|^2}{c \cdot n} \right) \leq 2$$

for some absolute constant  $c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} &\leq \left( \mathbb{E} e^{\frac{\|y\|^2}{c \cdot n}} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \|y\|^{2 \log M} \cdot e^{-\frac{\|y\|^2}{c \cdot n}} \right) \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\sqrt{2} \cdot \left( \max_{t \geq 0} t^{\log M} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{c \cdot n}} \right)^{1/2} \leq (C \cdot n \cdot \log M)^{\frac{\log M}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.1 follows from this estimate and Theorem 1.  $\square$

By a Lemma of Borell [M-S, Appendix III], most of the volume of a convex body in the isotropic position is concentrated within the Euclidean ball of radius  $c\sqrt{n}$ . So, it might be of interest to consider a random vector uniformly distributed in the intersection of a convex body and such a ball. In this case the previous estimate may be improved as follows

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\varepsilon, R > 0$  and let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional convex body in the isotropic position. Suppose that  $R \geq c\sqrt{\log 1/\varepsilon}$  and let*

$$(2.2) \quad M \geq C_0 \cdot \frac{R^2 \cdot n}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \log \frac{R^2 \cdot n}{\varepsilon^2}$$

and let  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  be independent random vectors uniformly distributed in  $K \cap R\sqrt{n} \cdot B_2^n$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $a = R \cdot \sqrt{n}$  and let  $z$  be a random vector uniformly distributed in  $K \cap aB_2^n$ . Then for  $x \in B_2^n$

$$\mathbb{E} \langle z, x \rangle^2 = \frac{\text{vol}(K)}{\text{vol}(K \cap aB_2^n)} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \langle y, x \rangle^2 dy - \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \langle y, x \rangle^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\|u\| \geq a\}}(y) dy \right).$$

By a result of S. Alesker [A] and Khinchine type inequality [M-P], we have

$$\frac{\text{vol}(K)}{\text{vol}(K \cap aB_2^n)} \leq 1 + e^{-ca^2/n} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \langle y, x \rangle^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\|u\| \geq a\}}(y) dy \leq \\ & \left( \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \langle y, x \rangle^4 dy \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \int_K \mathbf{1}_{\{\|u\| \geq a\}}(y) dy \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ & Ce^{-ca^2/2n} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any  $x \in B_2^n$

$$|\mathbb{E} \langle z, x \rangle^2 - 1| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Define a random vector

$$y = (\mathbb{E} z \otimes z)^{-1/2} z.$$

Then  $y$  is in the isotropic position and

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \|y\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M} \leq \left\| (\mathbb{E} z \otimes z)^{-1/2} \right\| \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \|z\|^{\log M} \right)^{1/\log M} \leq 2a,$$

so

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq C \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log M}}{\sqrt{M}} \cdot 2a \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

provided the constant  $C_0$  in (2.2) is large enough. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M z_i \otimes z_i - id \right\| \leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \cdot \|\mathbb{E} z \otimes z\| + \|\mathbb{E} z \otimes z - id\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

□

The next application is connected to the approximation of a convex body by another one having a small number of contact points [R2]. Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing it is the standard Euclidean ball  $B_2^n$ . Then by the theorem of John, there exist  $N \leq (n+3)n/2$  points  $z_1, \dots, z_N \in K$ ,  $\|z_i\| = 1$  and  $N$  positive numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_N$  satisfying the following system of equations

$$(2.3) \quad id = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i z_i \otimes z_i$$

$$(2.4) \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i z_i.$$

It was shown in [R1] for convex symmetric bodies and in [R2] in the general case, that the identity operator can be approximated by a sum of a smaller number of terms  $x_i \otimes x_i$ . We derive from Theorem 1 the following corollary, which improves Lemma 3.1 [R2].

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $K$  be a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , so that the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing it is  $B_2^n$ . Then there exist*

$$(2.5) \quad M \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot n \cdot \log \frac{n}{\varepsilon}$$

*contact points  $x_1, \dots, x_M$  and a vector  $u$ ,  $\|u\| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{M}}$ , so that the identity operator in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the following representation*

$$id = \frac{n}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (x_i + u) \otimes (x_i + u) + S,$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^M (x_i + u) = 0$$

and

$$\|S : \ell_2^n \rightarrow \ell_2^n\| < \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let (2.3) be a decomposition of the identity operator. Let  $y$  be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , taking values  $\sqrt{n}z_i$  with probability  $c_i/\sqrt{n}$ . Then, by (2.3),  $y$  is in the isotropic position. Obviously, for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$

So, taking  $M$  as in (2.5), we obtain that for sufficiently large  $C$

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i - id \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

with probability greater than  $3/4$ . Since by (2.4),  $\mathbb{E} y = 0$  and  $\|y\| = \sqrt{n}$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \right\| \leq 2\sqrt{M}$$

with probability greater than  $3/4$ . Take  $y_1, \dots, y_M$  for which (2.7) and (2.8) hold and put

$$x_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot y_i, \quad u = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M x_i.$$

Then (2.6) is satisfied and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{n}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (x_i + u) \otimes (x_i + u) - id \right\| \leq \\ & \left\| \frac{n}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M x_i \otimes x_i - id \right\| + n \cdot \|u \otimes u\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{4n}{M} \leq \varepsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Substituting Lemma 3.1 [R2] by Corollary 2.3 in the proof of Theorem 1.1 [R2] we obtain the following

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $B$  be a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists a convex body  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , so that  $d(K, B) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and the number of contact points of  $K$  with the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing it is less than*

$$M(n, \varepsilon) = \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot n \cdot \log \frac{n}{\varepsilon}.$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE LEMMA

The proof of the Lemma is similar to that of Lemma 1 [R3]. For the reader's convenience we present here a complete proof.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i \right\| = 1.$$

Define a random process

$$V_x = \sum_{i=1}^M \varepsilon_i \langle x, y_i \rangle^2$$

for  $x \in B_2^n$ . We have to estimate

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in B_2^n} V_x.$$

Note that the process  $V_x$  has a subgaussian tail estimate

$$\mathcal{P}\{V_x - V_{\bar{x}} > a\} \leq \exp\left(-C \frac{a^2}{\tilde{d}^2(x, \bar{x})}\right),$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant and

$$\tilde{d}(x, \bar{x}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \left( \langle x, y_i \rangle^2 - \langle \bar{x}, y_i \rangle^2 \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The function  $\tilde{d}$  is not a metric on  $B_2^n$ , since  $\tilde{d}(x, \bar{x}) = 0$  does not imply  $x = \bar{x}$ . To avoid this obstacle, we shall estimate  $\tilde{d}$  by a quasimetric  $d$  defined by

$$d(x, \bar{x}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \langle x - \bar{x}, y_i \rangle^2 \left( \langle x, y_i \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{x}, y_i \rangle^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then for all  $x, \bar{x} \in B_2^n$

$$\tilde{d}(x, \bar{x}) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot d(x, \bar{x}),$$

so we may treat  $V_x$  as a subgaussian process with the quasimetric  $d$ . It can be easily shown that  $d$  satisfies a generalized triangle inequality

$$(3.2) \quad d(x, \bar{x}) \leq 4 \cdot (d(x, z) + d(z, \bar{x}))$$

for all  $x, \bar{x}, z \in B_2^n$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\rho(x)$  a ball in the quasimetric  $d$  with center  $x$  and radius  $\rho$ . Then for any  $x \in B_2^n$  and  $\rho > 0$  we have

$$\text{conv } \mathcal{B}_\rho(x) \subset \mathcal{B}_{4\rho}(x).$$

The proof of this fact is the same as that of Lemma 3 [R3], so we shall omit it. To estimate the expression (3.1), we apply the following version of the Majorizing measure theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $(T, d)$  be a quasimetric space. Let  $(X_t)_{t \in T}$  be a collection of mean 0 random variables with the subgaussian tail estimate*

$$\mathcal{P}\{|X_t - X_{\bar{t}}| > a\} \leq \exp\left(-c \frac{a^2}{d^2(t, \bar{t})}\right),$$

for all  $a > 0$ . Let  $r > 1$  and let  $k_0$  be a natural number so that the diameter of  $T$  is less than  $r^{-k_0}$ . Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=k_0}^\infty$  be a sequence of functions from  $T$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on  $r$ . Assume that there exists  $\sigma > 0$  so that for any  $k$  the functions  $\varphi_k$  satisfy the following condition:

for any  $s \in T$  and for any points  $t_1, \dots, t_N \in B_{r^{-k}}(s)$  with mutual distances at least  $r^{-k-1}$  one has

$$(3.3) \quad \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \varphi_{k+2}(t_j) \geq \varphi_k(s) + \sigma \cdot r^{-k} \cdot \sqrt{\log N}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} X_t \leq C(r) \cdot \sigma^{-1}.$$

This Theorem is a combination of the majorizing measure theorem of Fernique [L-T] and the general majorizing measure construction of Talagrand (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 [T1] or Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 [T2]).

Let

$$Q = \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \|y_i\|.$$

Let  $r$  be a natural number and let  $k_0$  and  $k_1$  be the largest numbers so that

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-k_0} &\geq Q \\ r^{-k_1} &\geq \frac{Q}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $k_1 - k_0 \leq (2 \log r)^{-1} \log n$ . Define now the functions  $\varphi_k : B_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(x) &= \min\{\|u\|^2 \mid u \in \text{conv} \mathcal{B}_{8r^{-k}}(x)\} + \frac{k - k_0}{\log M}, & \text{if } k = k_0, \dots, k_1, \\ \varphi_k(w) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 \log r} + \sum_{l=k_1}^k r^{-l} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n \cdot \log(1 + 4Qr^l)}}{Q \cdot \sqrt{\log M}}, & \text{if } k > k_1. \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $\varphi_k$  form a nonnegative nondecreasing sequence bounded by a constant depending only on  $r$ . Indeed, for  $k \leq k_1$ ,

$$\varphi_k(x) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2 \log r} \cdot \frac{\log n}{\log M}.$$

For  $k > k_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(w) &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2 \log r} + \sum_{l=k_1}^{\infty} r^{-l} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n \cdot \log(1 + 4Qr^l)}}{Q \sqrt{\log M}} \leq \\ &1 + \frac{1}{2 \log r} + c(r) \cdot r^{-k_1} \cdot \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\log(1 + 4Qr^{k_1})}}{Q \sqrt{\log M}} \leq C(r). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{B}_{r^{-k}}(x)$  and suppose that

$$d(x_i, x_j) > r^{-k-1}$$

for any  $i \neq j$ . We have to prove that

$$(3.4) \quad \max \varphi_{k+2}(x_i) \geq \varphi_k(x) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\log N}} \cdot \sqrt{\log N}.$$

Note that for  $x, \bar{x} \in B_2^n$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \bar{x}) &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \max_{i=1, \dots, M} |\langle x - \bar{x}, y_i \rangle| \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \langle x, y_i \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{x}, y_i \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\sqrt{2} \cdot \max_{i=1, \dots, M} |\langle x - \bar{x}, y_i \rangle| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i \right\| \cdot \left( \|x\|^2 + \|\bar{x}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &2 \cdot \max_{i=1, \dots, M} |\langle x - \bar{x}, y_i \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Define a norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\|x\|_Y = \max_{i=1, \dots, M} |\langle x, y_i \rangle|.$$

If  $k \geq k_1 - 2$  then (3.4) follows from a simple entropy estimate. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &\leq N(B_2^n, d, r^{-k-1}) \leq N(B_2^n, \|\cdot\|_Y, \frac{1}{2}r^{-k-1}) \leq \\ &N(B_2^n, \|\cdot\|, \frac{1}{2Q}r^{-k-1}) \leq (1 + 4Q \cdot r^{k+1})^n, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|\cdot\|_Y \leq Q \|\cdot\|$ . Suppose now that  $k < k_1 - 2$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, N$  denote  $z_j$  the point of  $\text{conv} \mathcal{B}_{8r^{-k-2}}(x_j)$  for which the minimum of  $\|z\|^2$  is attained and denote  $u$  the similar point of  $\mathcal{B}_{8r^{-k}}(x)$ . Put

$$\theta = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \|z_j\|^2 - \|u\|^2.$$

We have to show that

$$(3.5) \quad r^{-k} \cdot \left( c \cdot Q \cdot \sqrt{\log M} \right)^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{\log N} \leq \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \varphi_{k+2}(x_j) - \varphi_k(x) = \theta + \frac{2}{\log M}.$$

Since  $d(x_i, x_j) \geq r^{-k-1}$ , it follows from (3.2) that

$$d(z_i, z_j) \geq \frac{1}{2}r^{-k-1},$$

provided  $r$  is sufficiently large. From the other side,

$$d(x, z_j) \leq 4 \left( d(x, x_j) + d(x_j, z_j) \right) \leq 8r^{-k}.$$

Since  $\frac{z_j + u}{2} \in \text{conv} \mathcal{B}_{8r^{-k}}(x)$ , and  $\|u\| \leq \|z_j\|$ , we have

$$\left\| \frac{z_j - u}{2} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|z_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \left\| \frac{z_j + u}{2} \right\|^2 \leq \|z_j\|^2 - \left\| \frac{z_j + u}{2} \right\|^2 \leq \|z_j\|^2 - \|u\|^2,$$

so,

$$(3.6) \quad \|z_j - u\| \leq 2\sqrt{\theta}$$

Thus,  $N$  is bounded by the  $\frac{1}{2}r^{-k-1}$ -entropy of the set  $K = u + 2\sqrt{\theta}B_2^n$  in the quasimetric  $d$ . To estimate this entropy we partition the set  $K$  into  $S$  disjoint subsets having diameter less than  $\delta = \frac{1}{16}r^{-k-1}\theta^{-1/2}$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_Y$  metric.

Let  $g$  be a Gaussian vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , normalized by  $\mathbb{E}\|g\|^2 = n$ . Denote by  $N(B, \Delta, \varepsilon)$   $\varepsilon$ -entropy of the set  $B$  in the metric  $\Delta$ . By dual Sudakov minoration [L-T] we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{\log S} &\leq \sqrt{\log N(2\sqrt{\theta}B_2^n, \|\cdot\|_Y, \delta)} \leq \\ &\frac{c}{\delta} \cdot 2\sqrt{\theta} \cdot \mathbb{E}\|g\|_Y \leq C \cdot r^k \theta \cdot \mathbb{E} \max_{i=1, \dots, M} |\langle g, y_i \rangle| \leq C \cdot r^k \theta \cdot Q \cdot \sqrt{\log M}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $S \geq \sqrt{N}$ , we are done, because in this case (3.7) implies (3.5). Suppose that  $S \leq \sqrt{N}$ . Then there exists an element of the partition containing at least  $\sqrt{N}$  points  $z_j$ . Let  $J \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  be the set of the indices of these points. We have

$$(3.8) \quad \|z_j - z_l\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{16}r^{-k-1} \cdot \theta^{-1/2}$$

for all  $j, l \in J$ .

For  $j = 1, \dots, M$  denote

$$I_j = \{i \in \{1, \dots, M\} \mid |\langle z_j, y_i \rangle| \geq 2|\langle u, y_i \rangle|\}.$$

Then (3.6) implies that

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} \langle z_j, y_i \rangle^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle z_j - u, y_i \rangle^2 + 2 \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle u, y_i \rangle^2 \leq 8\theta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle z_j, y_i \rangle^2,$$

so,

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle z_j, y_i \rangle^2 \leq 16\theta.$$

Since  $d(z_j, z_l) \geq \frac{1}{2}r^{-k-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2}r^{-k-1}\right)^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^M \langle z_j - z_l, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot \left(\langle z_j, y_i \rangle^2 + \langle z_l, y_i \rangle^2\right) \leq \\ &\sum_{i=1}^M \langle z_j - z_l, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot 4\langle u, y_i \rangle^2 + \\ &\max_{i \in I_j} \langle z_j - z_l, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle z_j, y_i \rangle^2 + \max_{i \in I_l} \langle z_j - z_l, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot \sum_{i \in I_l} \langle z_l, y_i \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9) we get that the last expression is bounded by

$$2 \cdot 16\theta \cdot \left(\frac{\theta^{-1/2}}{8}r^{-k-1}\right)^2 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^M \langle z_j - z_l, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot \langle u, y_i \rangle^2.$$

Define a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$  by

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \langle x, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot \langle u, y_i \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, for all  $j, l \in J$ ,  $j \neq l$  we have

$$\|z_j - z_l\|_{\mathcal{E}} \geq \frac{1}{8} r^{-k-1}.$$

Applying again dual Sudakov minoration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\log |J|} &\leq \sqrt{\log N(2\sqrt{\theta}B_2^n, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}, \frac{1}{8}r^{-k-1})} \leq cr^k \cdot 2\sqrt{\theta} \cdot \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \\ &cr^k \cdot 2\sqrt{\theta} \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^M \langle g, y_i \rangle^2 \cdot \langle u, y_i \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &Cr^k \cdot 2\sqrt{\theta} \cdot \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \|y_i\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \otimes y_i \right\| \cdot \|u\| \leq Cr^k \cdot 2\sqrt{\theta} \cdot Q. \end{aligned}$$

Since for all  $\theta > 0$

$$2\sqrt{\theta} \leq \sqrt{\log M} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log M}},$$

we get

$$\sqrt{\log N} \leq 2\sqrt{\log |J|} \leq C \cdot Q \cdot r^k \cdot \sqrt{\log M} \cdot \left( \theta + \frac{1}{\log M} \right),$$

so (3.5) is satisfied.

## REFERENCES

- [A] Alesker, S.,  $\psi_2$ -estimate for the Euclidean norm on a convex body in isotropic position, *Operator Theory Advances and Applications*, vol. **77**, 1995, pp. 1–4.
- [B] Bourgain, J., *Random points in isotropic convex sets*, Preprint.
- [K-L-S] Kannan, R., Lovász, L., Simonovits, M., *Random walks and  $O^*(n^5)$  volume algorithm for convex bodies*, Preprint.
- [L-T] Ledoux M., Talagrand M., *Probability in Banach spaces*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.*, 3 Folge, vol. 23, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [M-P] Milman V. D., Pajor A., *Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed  $n$ -dimensional space*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1376, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 64–104.
- [M-S] Milman V. D., Schechtman G., *Asymptotic theory of finite-dimensional normed spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1200, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [R1] Rudelson, M., *Approximate John's decompositions*, *Operator Theory Advances and Applications*, vol. **77**, 1995, pp. 245–249.
- [R2] Rudelson, M., *Contact points of convex bodies*, *Israel Journal of Math.* (to appear).
- [R3] Rudelson, M., *Almost orthogonal submatrices of an orthogonal matrix*, MSRI Preprint.
- [T1] Talagrand, M., *Construction of majorizing measures, Bernoulli processes and cotype*, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **4**, No. **6** (1994), 660–717.
- [T2] Talagrand, M., *Majorizing measures: the generic chaining*, *Ann. of Probability* (to appear).