A bicombing that implies a sub – exponential Isoperimetric Inequality

Günther Huck Northern Arizona University Stephan Rosebrock Johann–Wolfgang Goethe Universität

October 27, 2018

1 Definitions

Let $\Gamma_X(G)$ be the Cayley graph of a group G with respect to a finite set of generators X, and let $\Gamma_X(G)$ be equipped with the word metric. Let F be the free group on X. For $v \in F$ let |v| denote the length in the free group.

A bicombing as defined in [1] and [7] is essentially a selection of a path $\sigma(g, h)$ for every pair of vertices $g, h \in \Gamma_X(G)$, such that the distance between any two paths which start and end a distance ≤ 1 apart is uniformly bounded. We replace the uniform bound for this distance by a bound that is dependent on the lengths of the paths. More precisely, we define a bicombing of narrow shape as follows:

For each $(g,h) \in G \times G$ let $\sigma(g,h) : [0,\infty[\to \Gamma_X(G)]$ be a path from g to h which is at integer times at vertices (i.e. from t = n to t = n + 1 the path either travels the distance between two adjacent vertices or pauses at a vertex). We define the length:

 $|\sigma(g,h)| = \min\{t|\sigma(g,h)[t,\infty[= \text{constant} = h\}.$ This is the length of the path including the pauses which occur before the end of the path is reached. We will frequently represent such a path by a sequence of elements in $X \cup X^{-1} \cup \{1\}$ which, given the startvertex g, completely determines the path. Let $\sigma(h) = \sigma(1,h)$. We call σ a bicombing of narrow shape if

1. it is "recursive", i.e. if there exists an increasing polynomial $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$|\sigma(g)| \le f(d(1,g)) \qquad \forall g \in G \tag{1}$$

2. there exists an integer M > 1 and a real number k > 2, such that for any $g \in G |\sigma(g,g)| \leq Mk/2$ and for all $g, h \in G$ and $a, b \in X^{\pm 1} \cup \{1\}$

$$|\sigma(\sigma(g,h)(t),\sigma(ga,hb)(t))| \le \max((|\sigma(g,h)| + |\sigma(ga,hb)|)/k, M/2)$$
(2)

holds for all integers $t \in [0, \infty[$.

where d(1,g) denotes the distance in $\Gamma_X(G)$ from 1 to g. If possible we will always choose $\sigma(1)$ to be the identical path. A bicombing is called *geodesic* if f is the identity (i.e. the combing lines are geodesics).

Let the group G be finitely generated with generator set X. Following Gersten [5], a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is called an *isoperimetric function* for G if for any word w in X of length n with w = 1 in G, the minimum number of 2-cells in a van Kampen diagram for w is at most f(n).

Let $P = \langle X | R \rangle$ be a finite presentation of the group G. Following Gersten [5], a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called an *isodiametric function* for P, if for any word w in the generators X with w = 1 in G there is a van Kampen diagram for w, such that any vertex in the diagram has distance at most f(|w|) from the basepoint.

We would like to thank Allan J. Sieradsky, Holger Meinert, Stephen J. Pride, William A. Bogley and all the members of our "Luttach workshop" for helpful discussions.

2 An isoperimetric inequality and an isodiametric function

Theorem 2.1 A group G with finite generator set X and a bicombing of narrow shape is finitely presented and has an isoperimetric function of growth $n^{O(\log n)}$.

Proof: Define a presentation $P = \langle X \mid R \rangle$, where R is the set of all cyclically reduced non-trivial words of length at most M + 2 which are trivial in G. We proof that P is a presentation for G by constructing a van Kampen diagram for each word which is trivial in G, using only 2–cells of R.

Let $w \in F$ be a reduced nontrivial word of length n > M + 2 which is trivial in G. If $w = x_1 \dots x_n$, $x_i \in X^{\pm 1}$, define $w_i = x_1 \dots x_i$. Now consider the "fan" of bicombing lines $\sigma(w_i)$ from 1 to w_i . |w| = n implies $d(1, w_i) \le n/2$ and by (1) it follows

$$|\sigma(w_i)| \le f(n/2) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n.$$
(3)

If $|\sigma(w_i)| + |\sigma(w_{i+1})| \leq M$, then the closed path $\tau_i = \sigma(w_i)x_{i+1}\sigma(w_{i+1})^{-1}$ in $\Gamma_X(G)$ is of length $\leq M + 2$ and therefore represents up to cyclic reduction an element of R.

If $|\sigma(w_i)| + |\sigma(w_{i+1})| > M$ we break up the closed path τ_i again, using the bicombing paths $\sigma_{i,t} = \sigma(\sigma(w_i)(t), \sigma(w_{i+1})(t))$ that connect $\sigma(w_i)(t)$ to $\sigma(w_{i+1})(t)$ for all positive integers $t \leq \max(|\sigma(w_i)|, |\sigma(w_{i+1})|)$. By (2),

$$|\sigma_{i,t}| \le \max(2f(n/2)/k, M/2). \tag{4}$$

Let $\sigma(w_i) = a_1 \dots a_p$, $\sigma(w_{i+1}) = b_1 \dots b_q$, $a_j, b_l \in X^{\pm 1} \cup \{1\}$. We examine the length of the closed paths $\tau_{i,t}$ that are generated by the connecting paths Huck Rosebrock

Figure 1: A diagram for w

 $\sigma_{i,t}$: $\tau_{i,t} = \sigma_{i,t}b_{t+1}\sigma_{i,t+1}^{-1}a_{t+1}^{-1}$ (see fig. 1). If $|\sigma_{i,t}| + |\sigma_{i,t+1}| \leq M$, then $|\tau_{i,t}| < M + 2$ and $\tau_{i,t}$ represents up to cyclic reduction an element in R. Otherwise, we break $\tau_{i,t}$ up again using bicombing paths $\sigma_{i,t,s} = \sigma(\sigma_{i,t}(s), \sigma_{i,t+1}(s))$ for $s \leq \max(|\sigma_{i,t}|, |\sigma_{i,t+1}|)$.

There is one exception, namely if we are close to the boundary. This is because the path of length one between w_i and w_{i+1} is not (necessarily) a combing line. But the condition $|\sigma(g,g)| \leq Mk/2$ implies

$$|\sigma(\sigma(w_i, w_i)(0), \sigma(w_{i+1}, w_{i+1})(0))| \le \max\left(\frac{|\sigma(w_i, w_i)| + |\sigma(w_{i+1}, w_{i+1})|}{k}, \frac{M}{2}\right) \le M$$

and we have a representation of an element of R.

By (4), $|\sigma_{i,t,s}| \leq \max(4f(n/2)/k^2, M/2)$. If $|\sigma_{i,t,s}| + |\sigma_{i,t,s+1}| \leq M$ then the closed path $\tau_{i,t,s}$, using $\sigma_{i,t,s}$, $\sigma_{i,t,s+1}^{-1}$ and the segments of length ≤ 1 along $\sigma_{i,t}$ and $\sigma_{i,t+1}$, is of length $\leq M + 2$ and therefore represents an element in R. Otherwise, we break up further in the same manner using connecting bicombing paths of length $\leq \max(8f(n/2)/k^3, M/2)$, etc. until $2^d f(n/2)/k^d \leq M/2$. In this way we find a van Kampen diagram for w. This proves that G is finitely presented. d can be estimated as the smallest integer greater or equal than $\log_{k/2}(2f(n/2)/M)$.

The isoperimetric inequality has the form:

$$\# (2-\text{cells}) \le n \cdot (f(n/2)+1) \cdot 2(f(n/2)+2)/k \cdots 2^{d-1}(f(n/2)+2)/k^{d-1} \le \frac{n(f(n/2)+2)^d 2^{d(d-1)/2}}{k^{d(d-1)/2}} = n^{O(\log n)}$$

where d is given as above.

 ∇

Remark: 1. Condition (1) is not necessary in order to prove that the presentation is finite.

2. The growth of the isoperimetric function is faster than polynomial but slower than exponential; therefore we call it sub–exponential.

Theorem 2.2 Each group that has a bicombing in the sense of [7] has a bicombing of narrow shape.

Proof: By using the notation of the proof above, the bicombing in the sense of Short is a narrow bicombing with $|\sigma_{i,t}| \leq M/2$ and f(n) = mn for a given constant $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and d = 1 in this case. ∇

Theorem 2.3 Let $P = \langle X | R \rangle$ be a finite presentation for the group G with a bicombing of narrow shape σ and let f be the polynomial from (1) bounding $|\sigma(g)|$.

1. There is a polynomial isodiametric function for P of the same degree as f. 2. If σ is geodesic, then the isodiametric function is linear.

Proof: Let $w \in F$ be a reduced nontrivial word of length n, which is trivial in G, and let D be the van Kampen diagram for w constructed in the proof of theorem 2.1. One can reach every vertex in the diagram D from the basepoint 1 by traveling part of a bicombing line $\sigma(w_i)$ of the first generation then traveling part of a bicombing line $\sigma_{i,t}$ of the second generation then part of a bicombing line $\sigma_{i,t,s}$ of the third generation etc.. The length of a bicombing line of the *l*-th generation is $\leq 2^l f(n/2)/k^l$, and the sum of the lengths of successive generations of bicombing lines therefore is $\leq f(n/2)(1+2/k+(2/k)^2+...) = f(n/2)k/(k-2)$. Hence $\frac{k}{k-2}f(n/2)$ is an isodiametric function for the presentation P. If σ is geodesic, then f is the identity and the above function is linear. ∇

The next theorem follows an idea of M. Bridson [3]. It shows that the definition of a bicombing of narrow shape cannot be sharpened.

Theorem 2.4 Let X be a finite generating set of the group G. Choose for every pair $g, h \in G$ a geodesic $\sigma(g, h) \in \Gamma_X(G)$. Then

 $\forall x, y \in X^{\pm 1}, \ \forall g, h \in G, \quad |\sigma(\sigma(g, h)(t), \sigma(gx, hy)(t))| \le (|\sigma(g, h)| + |\sigma(gx, hy)|)/2 + 1$

holds for all integers $t \in [0, \infty[$.

Proof: Let $C = (|\sigma(g,h)| + |\sigma(gx,hy)|)/2$. If $t \leq C/2$, then following $\sigma(g,h)$ backwards from $\sigma(g,h)(t)$ to g then one edge to gx and then going to $\sigma(gx,hy)(t)$

along $\sigma(gx, hy)$ gives a path of length at most C+1. For t > C/2 follow $\sigma(g, h)$ from $\sigma(g, h)(t)$ to the vertex h, then go one edge to hy and then to $\sigma(gx, hy)(t)$ backwards along $\sigma(gx, hy)$. This gives a path of length at most C. ∇

3 A class of Examples

Let $P_q = \langle x, y, z \mid [x, y^q] = z, [x, z] = [y, z] = 1 \rangle$ be a presentation of the group G_q where $q \geq 1$ and [a, b] denotes the commutator of a and b. G_1 is the 3-dimensional integral Heisenberg group. Let F be the free group on $\{x, y, z\}$. Let $w, v \in F$. If both words are equal in F, we write $w \equiv v$. If they are the same in G_q , we write w = v.

It is easy to see, that

$$z^{jl} = x^j y^{ql} x^{-j} y^{-ql} (5)$$

holds in G_q .

Lemma 3.1 (normal form for G_q) Let $w \in F$. Then, for q > 1, there is a word

$$\tau(w) \equiv y^{s} x^{r_1} y^{s_1} x^{r_2} \dots y^{s_{m-1}} x^{r_m} y^p z^n \in F$$
(6)

with $r_i, s_i \neq 0$ and

for
$$q$$
 even: $s, s_i \in \{-q/2 + 1, \dots, q/2\},$
for q odd: $s, s_i \in \{-(q-1)/2, \dots, (q-1)/2\},$

and, for q = 1, there is a word

$$\tau(w) \equiv x^r y^p z^n \in F \tag{7}$$

such that $\tau(w) = w$ in G_q and for all $v \in F$ with w = v in G_q , $\tau(w) \equiv \tau(v)$.

Proof: The case q = 1 is trivial. For q > 1 it is easy to see that each word $w \in F$ can be transformed into $\tau(w)$ using the relations of P_q . In order to prove uniqueness, let w and v be two words in F representing the same element in G_q . Let $H_q = G_q / \langle \langle z \rangle \rangle$, where $\langle \langle z \rangle \rangle$ denotes the normal closure of z in G_q . T_q = $\langle x, y | xy^q x^{-1} = y^q \rangle$ is a presentation for H_q , which is an HNN-extension. Therefore w and v have the same normal form (see [6]) $\tau'(w) = \tau'(v)$ in H_q which is equal to the normal form in G_q , except that n = 0. Since z is central, $\tau(w)$ and $\tau(v)$ can only differ by a power of z. But z has infinite order in G_q which implies $\tau(v) \equiv \tau(w)$.

The normal forms (6) and (7) define a path $\sigma(w)$ from 1 to w in the Cayley graph $\Gamma_X(G_q)$ of G_q for every $w \in F$. Define paths $\sigma(g, h)$ by taking equivariant lines; define

$$\sigma(g,h)(t) := g \cdot \sigma(1,g^{-1}h)(t) = g \cdot \sigma(g^{-1}h)(t) \qquad \forall g,h \in G_q$$
(8)

Theorem 3.2 The paths $\sigma(g)$ are recursive (i.e. $|\sigma(g)| \leq f(d(1,g))$) with a function $f(x) = 2x^2 + 3x$ for q > 1 and $f(x) = x^2 + x$ for q = 1.

Proof: The relations in P_q say that z commutes with x and y, in particular any power of z can be shifted to any place in a given word, and that x commutes with y^q at the expense of introducing z or z^{-1} .

For q > 1, let $w \equiv \sigma(g) \equiv y^s x^{r_1} y^{s_1} x^{r_2} \dots y^{s_{m-1}} x^{r_m} y^p z^n \in F$ be the normal form for g. We observe first that

$$d(1,g) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s|$$
(9)

This is due to the fact that the exponents of the y-powers which occur in w can only be changed by adding multiples of q (The relations (5) allow to permute powers of x with powers of y^q). However, the range for s_i and s in the normal form w is such that $|s_i|$ and |s| can not decrease under these changes. The same argument also shows that

argument also shows that $d(1,g) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + \max\{|p| - (\sum |s_i| + |s|), 0\}$, which implies:

$$d(1,g) \ge |p| \tag{10}$$

Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p| \le 2d(1,g)$. In order to prove $|w| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p| + |n| \le f(d(1,g)) = 3d(1,g) + 2d^2(1,g)$, we only need to show that $|n| \le d(1,g) + 2d^2(1,g)$:

We claim that

$$d(1,g) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| +$$
(11)

$$\min\left\{\max\left[|n| - (\sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + |r|)[(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p|)/q + |l|], 0\right] + 2|r| + 2q|l|\right\}$$

where the minimum ranges over |r| and |l|. If $|n| \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i|)(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p|)/q$ the minimum term on the right hand will be 0 and the inequality holds by (9). If

 $|n| > (\sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i|)(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p|)/q$ we observe first that |n| may decrease by at most |k||l| if a power y^{ql} is pushed across a power x^k in w.

If we do not introduce new powers of x or y^q by inserting $x^r x^{-r}$ or $y^{ql} y^{-ql}$ into the word, the amount by which |n| may be decreased by means of permuting powers of x with powers of y^q is clearly bounded by $\sum_{i=1}^m |r_i|(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p|)/q$. This coarse estimate stems from the following fact: Among all words in x and y whose sum of absolute values of x-exponents and sum of absolute values of y-exponents is the same as for $w, y \sum_{i=1}^{|s_i|+|s|+|p|} x \sum_{i=1}^{|r_i|} can absorb the$ $largest powers <math>z^{n'}$ or $z^{-n'}$ by permuting powers of x with powers of y^q .

If we prolong the word by inserting $x^r x^{-r}$ and $y^{ql} y^{-ql}$ at suitable places, the amount by which |n| can be decreased by means of (5) is bounded by $(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + |r|)[(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p|)/q + |l|]$; and, at the same time, the length of the x-y-part of the word increases by 2|r| + 2q|l|. This explains inequality (11).

Now, let $|r_0|$ and $|l_0|$ be the values for |r| and |l| for which the minimum occurs in (11). Then $d^2(1,g) \ge (\sum_{i=1}^m |r_i| + 2|r_0|)(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + 2|l_0|)$, and, by (10), $d^2(1,g) \ge (\sum |r_i| + 2|r_0|)|p|$ which implies $2d^2(1,g) \ge (\sum_{i=1}^m |r_i| + |r_0|)[(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |s_i| + |s| + |p|)/q + |l_0|]$. Therefore, by (11) again, $|n| \le d(1,g) + 2d^2(1,g)$ which proves the Theorem for q > 1.

For q = 1 the proof is similar, but easier. Let $\sigma(g) \equiv x^r y^s z^n$. It is clear that $d(1,g) \geq |r| + |s|$. If $|n| \leq |r| + |s|$, then $d(1,g) + d(1,g)^2 \geq |\sigma(g)|$; if |n| > |r| + |s|, then, by the same ideas as in the proof for q > 1, $d(1,g) \geq |r| + |s| + \min\{\max[|n| - (|r| + |r'|)(|s| + |s'|), 0] + 2|r'| + 2|s'|\}$ where the minimum ranges over the values of |r'| and |s'|. Let $|r'_0|$ and $|s'_0|$ be the values for which the minimum occurs, then $|r| + |s| + |n| \geq d(1,g) + (|r| + |r'_0|)(|s| + |s'_0|) \geq d(1,g) + d^2(1,g)$.

Theorem 3.3 $\sigma(g,h)$ defines a bicombing of narrow shape with constants M = 24q + 18 and k = 11/5.

Proof: Recall that a recursive σ is of narrow shape, if there exists an integer M > 1 and a real k > 2, such that for all $g, h \in G$ and $a, b \in X^{\pm 1} \cup \{1\}$

$$|\sigma(\sigma(g,h)(t),\sigma(ga,hb)(t))| \le \max((|\sigma(g,h)| + |\sigma(ga,hb)|)/k, M/2)$$

holds for all integers $t \in [0, \infty[$. Since the bicombing is equivariant, it suffices to show this inequality for g = 1.

For q > 1 let $v \in F$ be in normal form $v \equiv y^s x^{r_1} y^{s_1} x^{r_2} \dots y^{s_{m-1}} x^{r_m} y^p z^n$, such that v = h in G_q ($\sigma(1, h) \equiv v$). Let w be the group element $a^{-1}vb$ brought into normal form ($\sigma(a, vb) \equiv w$) (see fig. 2).

Now calculate the length of the bicombing lines (the *combing distance*) between these two paths w, v in $\Gamma_X(G_q)$. Call the maximal combing distance between two such paths $\delta(\sigma, w, v)$.

Figure 2: Close bicombing lines

If a = 1 and $b \in \{1, z^{\pm 1}\}$, then $\delta(\sigma, w, v) \leq 1$. If a = 1 and $b \in \{y^{\pm 1}\}$ then $\delta(\sigma, w, v) = 2$.

If a = 1 and $b \in \{x^{\epsilon}\}$ $(\epsilon = \pm 1)$, then $\delta(\sigma, w, v) \leq |l| + q + 1$, where l is such that $-q/2 + 1 \leq p - lq \leq q/2$ for q even and $-(q-1)/2 \leq p - lq \leq (q-1)/2$ otherwise. To see this, observe that v ends with $y^p z^n$ but w ends with $x^{\epsilon} y^{ql} z^{n-\epsilon l}$. Since $|w| + |v| \geq 2q|l|$ we get for $q \geq 2$ and $\delta(\sigma, w, v) > M/2$: $(|w| + |v|)/k > \delta(\sigma, w, v)$.

There are a few more cases which are relatively easy. The most critical case which requires the sharpest estimates occurs if $a = y^{\epsilon}$, $b = x^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$; in particular if y^s is at the boundary of its range to which it is restricted by the normal form, and the premultiplication by $a^{-1} = y^{-\epsilon}$ moves it out of this range, as, for example, in the case $\epsilon = -1$, s = q/2 and q even (the other cases can be treated similarly).

In this case
$$v \equiv y^{q/2} x^{r_1} y^{s_1} x^{r_2} \dots y^{s_{m-1}} x^{r_m} y^p z^n$$
 and
 $w \equiv y^{-q/2+1} x^{r_1} y^{s_1} x^{r_2} \dots y^{s_{m-1}} x^{r_m} y^{p-lq} x^{\alpha} y^{(l+1)q} z^{n-\sum r_i - \alpha(l+1)}$

where l is as above. Using the rule $|a| + |a - b| \ge |b|$ we obtain the estimate: $|w| + |v| \ge 2q|l| + 2\sum |r_i| + |\sum r_i + \alpha(l+1)|$. A careful study of the lengths of the combing distances shows that

$$\delta(\sigma, w, v) \le \max\{\max_{j \le m} |\sum_{i=1}^{j} r_i| + 1, |\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i| + |l| + 3q + 2\} \le (\sum_{i=1}^{m} |r_i| + |\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i|)/2 + |l| + 3q + 2.$$

Since $q \ge 2$ and k = 11/5, $(|w|+|v|)/k \ge 20|l|/11+10\sum_{i=1}^{m}|r_i|/11+5|\sum_{i=1}^{m}r_i+\alpha(l+1)|/11$. We will show that the right hand side is $\ge (\sum_{i=1}^{m}|r_i|+|\sum_{i=1}^{m}r_i|)/2+|l|+3q+2$ whenever $\delta(\sigma, w, v) > M/2$ (which, by the above estimate for $\delta(\sigma, w, v)$, proves the Theorem for this case). This is equivalent to:

$$9|l| + 10\sum_{i} |r_i| + 5|\sum_{i} r_i + \alpha(l+1)| \ge 11\sum_{i} |r_i|/2 + 11|\sum_{i} r_i|/2 + 33q + 22.$$

The left hand side can be simplified by the following estimates: $5|l| + 5|\sum r_i + \alpha + \alpha l| \ge 5|\sum r_i + \alpha| \ge 5|\sum r_i| - 5$, and $10\sum |r_i| + 5|\sum r_i| \ge 19\sum |r_i|/2 + 10$

 $\begin{array}{l} 11|\sum r_i|/2 \geq 4\sum |r_i|+11|\sum r_i|/2. \text{ Therefore the above inequality follows from } \\ 4(|l|+\sum |r_i|) \geq 33q+27, \text{ which follows from } \delta(\sigma,w,v) > M/2 \text{ using the value } \\ M=24q+18 \text{ and the estimate } \delta(\sigma,w,v) \leq (\sum |r_i|+|\sum r_i|)/2+|l|+3q+2 \leq \sum |r_i|+3q+2. \end{array}$

 ∇

The proof for q = 1 is much simpler and left to the reader.

In the following we use Cockcroft 2-complexes to get lower bounds for isoperimetric functions. This idea is due to S. Gersten [4].

Theorem 3.4 G_q has no quadratic isoperimetric inequality and therefore no combing in the sense of Short [7].

Proof: There is a van Kampen diagram for $w_n \equiv [x^n, y^{qn}] \cdot [y^{-qn}, x^{-n}]$ in G_q , which has n^3 more 2-cells [x, z] of positive then of negative type. W. A. Bogley proved in [2], that the corresponding 2-complex is Cockcroft. So each π_2 -element has the same number of positive as of negative 2-cells [x, z], which proves that every van Kampen diagram for w_n will contain at least n^3 2-cells [x, z] and so proves the theorem. ∇

References

- J. M. Alonso and M. R. Bridson. Semihyperbolic groups. preprint, Cornell University, 1990.
- [2] W. Bogley. Unions of cockcroft two-complexes. preprint, 1991.
- [3] M. Bridson. On the geometry of normal forms in discrete groups. preprint, Princeton University, 1992.
- [4] S. Gersten. Dehn functions and l₁-norms of finite presentations. In C. F. Miller III and G. Baumslag, editors, *Proceedings of the workshop on algorithmic problems*. Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [5] S. Gersten. Isoperimetric and isodiametric functions of finite presentations. preprint, University of Utah, 1991.
- [6] R. Lyndon and P. Schupp. Combinatorial group theory. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] H. Short. Groups and combings. Laboratoire de Math., Ecole Normale Sup. de Lyon, 1990.

page 10

Stephan Rosebrock Institut f. Didaktik der Mathematik J.- W.- Goethe Universität Senckenberganlage 9 60054 Frankfurt/M. West-Germany

e-mail: huck@nauvax.ucc.nau.edu rosebrock@mathematik.uni-frankfurt.d400.de Günther Huck Dept. of Math. Northern Arizona University Flagstaff AZ 86011 USA