TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANCE OF INTERSECTION LATTICES OF ARRANGEMENTS IN \mathbb{CP}^2

TAN JIANG AND STEPHEN S.-T. YAU

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{A}^* = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$ be a line arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 , i.e., a collection of distinct lines in \mathbb{CP}^2 . Let $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$ be the set of all intersections of elements of \mathcal{A}^* partially ordered by $X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow Y \subseteq X$. Let $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ be $\mathbb{CP}^2 - \bigcup \mathcal{A}^*$ where $\bigcup \mathcal{A}^* = \bigcup \{l_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. The central problem of the theory of arrangement of lines in \mathbb{CP}^2 is the relationship between $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ and $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$.

Main Theorem. The topological type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ determines $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$.

As a corollary of this, we show that the algebra and homotopy type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ do not determine the topological type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$ be a central arrangement of hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^3 . Let $M(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}^3 - \bigcup \{H_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. There is a standard procedure in [8] or [10] for passing from arrangements of hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^3 to arrangements of lines in \mathbb{CP}^2 . In fact, $M(\mathcal{A}) = M(\mathcal{A}^*) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. The intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all intersections of elements of \mathcal{A} partially ordered by reversed inclusion. [9] shows $L(\mathcal{A})$ completely determines the cohomology ring $H^*(M(\mathcal{A}))$.

This result brings the relation between $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$ and $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ into focus. An example question: Does $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$ determine the homotopy type, topological type, and diffeomorphic type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$? Conversely, do any latter invariants of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ determine $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$?

For a general class of projective arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 , we have shown $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$ determines the diffeomorphic type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ [4, 5].

Falk introduced an algebraic invariant for $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$. For two particular projective arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 , he asked if they have isomorphic Orlik-Solomon algebras [1, 2]. Rose and Terao produced such an isomorphism [11, 10]. Then Falk showed the $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ s in his example have the same homotopic type. In view of this example, one would like to ask whether $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$ is determined by the topological type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$. The purpose of this note is to announce an affirmative answer to the above question.

Let us restate the main theorem more clearly:

Main Theorem. Let \mathcal{A}_1^* and \mathcal{A}_2^* be two projective line arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 . If $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$, then $L(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$.

In view of the results of Rose-Terao [11] and Falk [3], the following statement follows immediately from the main theorem.

©1993 American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05B35, 14B05, 14F45, 57N20.

Received by the editors May 5, 1992 and, in revised form, December 31, 1992 Research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS8822727

Corollary. There exist \mathcal{A}_1^* and \mathcal{A}_2^* , two projective line arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 such that $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ and $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$ have the same homotopy type and isomorphic cohomological algebra, but not the same topological type.

Because of the main theorem, it makes the first question raised above more interesting. In fact, we believe that the following conjecture is true.

Conjecture. For any projective line arrangement \mathcal{A}^* in \mathbb{CP}^2 , the topological type of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$ is determined by $L(\mathcal{A}^*)$.

In order to prove the main theorem, we have to separate arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 into two categories. An arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 is called *exceptional* if one of its lines has at most two intersection points. An arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 is called *nonexceptional* if every line in the arrangement has at least three intersection points.

A regular neighborhood of an arrangement \mathcal{A}^* in \mathbb{CP}^2 can be defined as follows: Choose a finite triangulation of \mathbb{CP}^2 in which $\bigcup \mathcal{A}^*$ is a subcomplex. The closed star of $\bigcup \mathcal{A}^*$ in the second barycenter subdivision of this triangulation is then a regular neighborhood of \mathcal{A}^* .

The fundamental observation is the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let U_1 and U_2 be regular neighborhoods of \mathcal{A}_1^* and \mathcal{A}_2^* respectively. If $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$, then ∂U_1 is homotopic equivalent to ∂U_2 .

Let \mathcal{A}^* be an arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 . Suppose that \mathcal{A}^* has x_1, \ldots, x_k $(k \ge 0)$ as multiple intersection points (i.e., multiplicity $t(x_i) \ge 3$). By blowing up \mathbb{CP}^2 at $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$, we get a set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*}$ of lines in a blown-up surface \mathbb{CP}^2 . $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*}$ is called an *associated* arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 induced by \mathcal{A}^* . Each pair of lines of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*}$ intersects at most one point. Let $U(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*})$ be a regular neighborhood of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*}$ and $K(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*}) =$ $\partial U(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*})$. Thus $K(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*})$ is a plumbed 3-manifold which is homeomorphic to $K(\mathcal{A}^*)$, the boundary of a regular neighborhood of \mathcal{A}^* in \mathbb{CP}^2 .

A class of 3-manifolds was classified by Waldhausen [12] in terms of *graphs* and *reduced graph structures* of 3-manifolds. We call these 3-manifolds classified in [12] as *Waldhausen graph manifolds*.

Lemma 2. If \mathcal{A}^* is a nonexceptional arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 , then $K(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^*})$ is a Wald-hausen graph manifold.

We define a graph $G(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*)$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*$ as follows. Let each vertex correspond to a line in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*$ with the weight of the self-intersection number of this line. Let each edge correspond to the intersection point of two lines in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*$.

We state some definitions and results derived from [12, 13]. Let M and N be compact orientable 3-manifolds. An isomorphism ψ of $\pi_1(N)$ onto $\pi_1(M)$ is said to respect the peripheral structure if for each boundary surface F in N there is a boundary surface G of M such that $\psi(i_*(\pi_1(F))) \subset R$ and R is conjugate in $\pi_1(M)$ to $i_*(\pi_1(G))$ where i_* denotes inclusion homomorphism.

Theorem 3 (cf. [13, (6.5)]. If M and N are two Waldhausen graph manifolds and ψ is an isomorphism from $\pi_1(N)$ onto $\pi_1(M)$ which respect the peripheral structure and $H_1(M)$ is infinite, then there exists a homeomorphism from N to M which induces ψ .

Theorem 4 (cf. [12, (9.4)]). Two Waldhausen graph manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if the corresponding graphs are equivalent.

Now suppose that \mathcal{A}_1^* and \mathcal{A}_2^* are two nonexceptional arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 and $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$. In view of Theorem 3 and Lemmas 1 and 2, we have that $K(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^*)$ is homeomorphic to $K(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^*)$. By Theorem 4 we conclude that there is an isomorphism from $L(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^*)$ to $L(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^*)$. This isomorphism also preserves weights (i.e., self-intersection number). So the main theorem follows from

Theorem 5. Let \mathcal{A}_1^* and \mathcal{A}_2^* be two arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2 . By blowing up their multiple points (of multiplicity ≥ 3), we obtain two associated arrangements $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^*$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^*$ in some blown-up surfaces \mathbb{CP}^2 . Then there exists an isomorphism from $L(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ onto $L(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$ which preserves weights if and only if there is an isomorphism from $L(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^*)$ onto $L(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^*)$.

We next suppose that both \mathcal{A}_1^* and \mathcal{A}_2^* are exceptional. Write

(1)
$$\mathcal{A}_1^* = \{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_p, H_{p+1}, \dots, H_{p+q}\}$$

(2)
$$\mathcal{A}_2^* = \{H_0, G_1, \dots, G_s, \ G_{s+1}, \dots, G_{s+t}\}$$

where H_0 (respectively G_0) intersects with H_1, \ldots, H_p (respectively G_1, \ldots, G_s) at one point and interacts with H_{p+1}, \ldots, H_{p+q} (respectively, G_{s+1}, \ldots, G_{s+t}) at another point. If $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$, then the Orlik-Solomon algebras associated to A_1 and A_2 are isomorphic. It follows that p + q = s + t and pq = st. So $L(A_1)$ is isomorphic to $L(A_2)$.

Finally, we assume that \mathcal{A}_1^* is exceptional, but \mathcal{A}_2^* is not. We need to show that $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is not homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$. There are four subcases to consider.

Case a. \mathcal{A}_1^* consists of at most three lines. We need to observe only that the first betti number of M(A) is precisely |A|. So we have $b_1(M(A_1)) \leq 3 < b_1(M(A_2))$, and $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is not homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$.

Case b. \mathcal{A}_1^* is a pencil, and $|\mathcal{A}_1^*| \ge 4$. This follows immediately from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6. Let \mathcal{A}^* be an arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 . If \mathcal{A}^* is not a pencil (i.e., $\bigcap \mathcal{A}^* = \emptyset$) and $|\mathcal{A}^*| \geq 3$, then $b_3(M(\mathcal{A}))$, the third betti number of $M(\mathcal{A})$, is nonzero.

Lemma 7. Let \mathcal{A}^* be an arrangement in \mathbb{CP}^2 . If \mathcal{A}^* is a pencil (i.e., $\bigcap \mathcal{A}^*$ is a point), then $b_3(M(\mathcal{A}))$, the third betti number of $M(\mathcal{A}^*)$, is zero.

Case c. \mathcal{A}_1^* consists of a pencil and a line in general position, and $|\mathcal{A}_1^*| \ge 4$ (see Figure 1).

By using Neumann's calculus of plumbing [7], one can show that $K(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$, the boundary of a regular neighborhood of \mathcal{A}_1^* , is a reduced graph manifold with reduced graph structure equal to empty set. It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorems 3 and 4 that $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is not homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$.

Case d. $\mathcal{A}_1^* = \{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_p, H_{p+1}, \dots, H_{p+q}\}$ where $\bigcap_{i=0}^p H_i$ and $H_0 \cap (\bigcap_{i=p+1}^{p+q} H_i)$ are two different nonempty intersections, p > 1 and q > 1 (see Figure 2).

By blowing up the points $\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} H_i$ and $\bigcap_{j=p+1}^{p+q} H_j$, we get the following pictures (Figure 3) where E_1 and E_2 are exceptional lines.

Figure 1

FIGURE 2

Here the numbers in the parenthesis are the self-intersection numbers. We blow down H_0 to a point and get the following pictures (see Figures 4 and 5).

The graph manifold $K(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is then a Waldhausen graph manifold with the graph G. Notice that G has only zero weights, while the graph of $K(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$ has nonzero weight. Therefore, by Lemma 1 and Theorems 3 and 4 again, we know that $M(\mathcal{A}_1^*)$ is not homeomorphic to $M(\mathcal{A}_2^*)$.

FIGURE 3

Figure 4

References

- M. Falk, The cohomology and fundamental group of a hyperplane complement, Proceedings Iowa City Conference on Singularities, Contemp. Math. 90 (1989), 55–72.
- 2. ____, On the algebra associated with a geometric lattice, Adv. in Math. 80 (1990), 152–163.
- 3. _____, Homotopy types of line arrangements, preprint.
- 4. T. Jiang and S. S.-T. Yau, *Topological and differential structures of the complement of an arrangement of hyperplanes*, Amer. Math. Soc. Summer Institute on Differential Geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 54, part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp.

Figure 5

337-358.

- Diffeomorphic type of the complement of arrangement of hyperplanes, submitted.
 Lattices and the topological structures of complements of arrangements in CP², submitted.
- 7. W. Neumann, A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 268 (1981), 299–344.
- 8. P. Orlik, Introduction to arrangements, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 72, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- 9. P. Orlik and L. Solomon, Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes, Invent. Math. 56 (1980), 167-189.
- 10. P. Orlik and H. Terao, Arrangements of hyperplanes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1992.
- 11. L. Rose and H. Terao, Private communication, 1988.
- 12. F. Waldhausen, Ein Klasse von 3-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten, Invent. Math. 3 (1967), 308–333; 4 (1967), 87–117.
- 13. ___, On irreducible 3-manifolds that are sufficiently large, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 56 - 88.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, BOX 4348, M/C 249, Chicago, Illinois 60680

 $E\text{-}mail\ address$, Tan Jiang: u25147@uicvm.bitnet

E-mail address, Stephen S.-T. Yau: u32790@uicvm.bitnet