

A remark about distortion,
by Bernard Maurey.

In this note we show that every Banach space X not containing ℓ_1^n uniformly and with unconditional basis contains an arbitrarily distortable subspace.

Let X be a Banach space and $\lambda > 1$ a real number. We say that X is λ -*distortable* if there exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on X such that for every infinite dimensional vector subspace Y of X ,

$$\sup\{|y|/|z|; y, z \in Y, \|y\| = \|z\| = 1\} \geq \lambda.$$

We say that X is *arbitrarily distortable* if it is λ -distortable for every $\lambda > 1$. The space X is *distortable* if it is λ -distortable for some $\lambda > 1$. It is a classical result of R.C. James that ℓ_1 and c_0 are not distortable ([J]; see also [LT1], 2.e.3). On the other hand, V. Milman proved that a non-distortable space X must contain some ℓ_p or c_0 [M2]. He also formulated there the general distortion problem, or rather the “no distortion conjecture”, namely that there exists no distortable space. This was disproved by Tsirelson’s example [T] of a space containing no subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p or c_0 . The distortion problem for ℓ_p (i.e. the question whether ℓ_p , for $1 < p < \infty$, is distortable) remained open for several more years. It was solved by Odell and Schlumprecht [OS], who actually proved that ℓ_p is arbitrarily distortable for every p such that $1 < p < \infty$.

A subset A of the unit sphere $S(X)$ of X is called *asymptotic* if every infinite dimensional vector subspace Y of X almost intersects A , i.e. $\inf\{\|y - a\|; y \in Y, a \in A\} = 0$ (this notion appears without a name in [M1], in connection with the distortion conjecture). When X has a basis, it is easy to see that for A to be asymptotic in X , it is enough to check that A almost intersects every block subspace Y of X (a *block subspace* of X is an infinite dimensional vector subspace of X generated by a sequence of successive blocks from the given basis); this is because every infinite dimensional subspace Y of X almost contains a block subspace Z , in the sense that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find Z such that $d(z, Y) \leq \varepsilon\|z\|$ for every z in Z .

Our proof relies heavily on the solution by Odell and Schlumprecht of the distortion problem for ℓ_2 , as it appear in [OS]. It is shown there that one can construct a family (C_n) of asymptotic subsets of the unit sphere of ℓ_2 , with the property that these sets are almost pairwise orthogonal. Using these sets we shall prove that every Banach space not containing ℓ_1^n uniformly and with unconditional basis contains an arbitrarily distortable subspace; this applies for example to the convexified Tsirelson spaces (but it is still unknown whether T or T^* – the original Tsirelson space and its dual – contains an arbitrarily distortable subspace). The strategy of our proof is as follows: first, we use a result of Milman-Tomczak, that gives the existence of either a subspace that is arbitrarily distortable, or of an asymptotically- ℓ_p or asymptotically- c_0 subspace (see definition below). With our hypothesis we have necessarily $1 < p < \infty$. The remaining part of the proof will be to transfer the sets (C_n) from ℓ_2 to an asymptotically- ℓ_p space Y with unconditional basis and not containing ℓ_1^n uniformly. To this end we will mimic in Y the construction of the sets (C_n) .

It is clear that our problem is an isomorphic problem, which means that we can replace the given norm on X by an equivalent norm. In particular we can renorm the space so that the equivalent new norm gets some nicer features (for example, 1-unconditional basis and uniformly convex and uniformly smooth norm for a space with unconditional basis not containing ℓ_1^n uniformly, see [LT2].)

In order to show that a given Banach space X is arbitrarily distortable, we need to find some $\delta > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist two asymptotic subsets A and B in $S(X)$ and a

δ -norming set A^* for A in $B(X^*)$ such that the action of A^* on B is less than ε , i.e. $|a^*(b)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $a^* \in A^*$, $b \in B$ (we say that A^* is δ -norming for A if $\sup\{|a^*(a)|; a^* \in A^*\} \geq \delta\|a\|$ for every $a \in A$). Consider on X the following equivalent norm

$$|x|_\varepsilon = \|x\| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sup\{|a^*(x)|; a^* \in A^*\}.$$

For every infinite dimensional subspace Y of X , we can find by definition of asymptotic sets a point $a \in A$ and a point $b \in B$ such that a and b almost belong to Y . We get $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1$ while $|a|_\varepsilon \geq \delta/\varepsilon$ and $|b|_\varepsilon \leq 2$. This shows that the norm $|\cdot|_\varepsilon$ is a $\frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon}$ -distortion of the original norm on X . Since by assumption $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrary, we deduce that X is arbitrarily distortable.

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a sequence of vectors in X . We say that it is C -equivalent to the ℓ_p^n -basis if there exist two numbers d, D with $d > 0$ and $D/d \leq C$ such that

$$d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \right\| \leq D \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for all scalars $(c_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Suppose that X has a basis $(e_n)_{n=0}^\infty$. The *support* of a vector $x = \sum_i a_i e_i$ is the subset of \mathbb{N} consisting of all integers i such that $a_i \neq 0$, it is denoted by $\text{supp}(x)$. We say that x and y are successive if $\max \text{supp}(x) < \min \text{supp}(y)$. We say that x is supported after k if $k < \min \text{supp}(x)$.

The first part of these remarks will make use of a result of Milman-Tomczak. Following them, we say that a Banach space Y with a basis is asymptotically- ℓ_p (resp: asymptotically- c_0) if there exists a constant C such that for every integer $n \geq 1$, there exists an integer $N = N(n)$ such that every sequence of n successive normalized blocks supported after N is C -equivalent to the ℓ_p^n -basis (resp: ℓ_∞^n -basis).

Theorem (Milman-Tomczak). *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. If X does not contain an arbitrarily distortable subspace, then X contains an asymptotically- ℓ_p or asymptotically- c_0 subspace.*

For the convenience of the reader, we shall prove this theorem. Our proof is slightly shorter than that of [MT].

Since we may replace X by a subspace and use renorming, we suppose that X is a Banach space with bimonotone basis. We also assume that X does not contain any arbitrarily distortable subspace, and we will show that X contains an asymptotically ℓ_p - or c_0 -subspace.

If Y is a block subspace of X , let $(Y > k)$ denote the subspace of Y consisting of all vectors in Y supported after k . We shall use a simple stabilization principle:

Suppose that to every block subspace Y of X is associated a scalar $\alpha(Y) \geq 0$ such that $Y_1 \subset Y$ implies $\alpha(Y_1) \leq \alpha(Y)$ and $\alpha(Y > k) = \alpha(Y)$ for every $k \geq 1$. Then there exists a block subspace Z of X such that $\alpha(Z_1) = \alpha(Z)$ whenever Z_1 is a block subspace such that $Z_1 \subset Z$.

Let us sketch the proof of the above statement: let (Z_k) be a decreasing sequence of block subspaces of X such that $Z_0 = X$ and

$$\alpha(Z_{k+1}) \leq \inf\{\alpha(Y); Y \subset Z_k\} + 2^{-k}$$

for every $k \geq 0$. Let Z be a diagonal subspace of this sequence (Z_k) . It is easy to check that $\alpha(Z') = \alpha(Z)$ for every block subspace Z' of Z .

If $(\alpha_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of such functions, let

$$\alpha(Y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \alpha_m(Y) / \alpha_m(X).$$

If Z stabilizes α , then Z stabilizes each α_m . In other words, it is possible to stabilize countably many functions of this type on the same block subspace Z .

For every block subspace Y of X , consider the set K_Y of all ps such that Y contains for every integer $m \geq 1$ a sequence of m successive blocks 2-equivalent to the ℓ_p^m -basis. This set is non empty by Krivine's theorem [K], and it is closed (easy). If we consider for each rational q the function

$$\alpha_q(Y) = 1 - \max(1, d(q, K_Y))$$

and apply the preceding discussion we see that passing to some block subspace Y we can stabilize this set K_Y (in other words, $K_Z = K_Y$ for any further block subspace Z of Y). Let p be any fixed element of $K = K_Y$, and let q be the conjugate exponent. For every block subspace Y of X and every integer $n \geq 1$ let $\tilde{F}_Y(n)$ be the smallest constant C such that

$$\|y_1 + \cdots + y_n\| \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for all sequences y_1, \dots, y_n of successive elements in $B(Y)$; let $\tilde{G}_Y(n)$ be the smallest constant C such that

$$\sup\{(x_1^* + \cdots + x_n^*).y; y \in B(Y)\} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i^*\|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

for all sequences x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* of successive elements in $B(X^*)$, i.e. successive blocks of the biorthogonal functionals. Let now

$$F_Y(n) = \lim_k \tilde{F}_{(Y>k)}(n), \quad G_Y(n) = \lim_k \tilde{G}_{(Y>k)}(n).$$

We can stabilize F_Y and G_Y by passing to some further block subspace Y . It is clear that F_Y and G_Y are non-decreasing functions of n , with $F_Y(1) = G_Y(1) = 1$.

From now on we fix a stabilizing block subspace Y ; we set $F = F_Y$, $G = G_Y$. Using the stabilization assumption we know that every block subspace of Y contains for every integer $m \geq 1$ a sequence of m successive blocks that is 2-equivalent to the ℓ_p^m -basis. We have assumed that X does not contain any arbitrarily distortable subspace, hence Y is not arbitrarily distortable, therefore there exists $D \geq 1$ such that for every equivalent norm on Y , there exists an infinite dimensional subspace Z of Y on which the new norm is D -equivalent to the initial norm. We will prove now that Y is asymptotically- ℓ_p . This is clearly equivalent to showing that $\sup_m F(m)$ and $\sup_m G(m)$ are both finite.

We first show that $\sup_m G(m) \leq 8D$. Working by contradiction, let us assume that $G(m) > 8D$ for some integer m . Since $G(m) > 1$, it implies that $q > 1$, hence $p < \infty$. We consider the subset A^* of $B(Y^*)$ consisting of all functionals on Y that can be represented as $(x_1^* + \cdots + x_m^*)/G(m)$ with successive x_j^* s in X^* such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^*\|^q \leq 1$. Let A be the set of norm one vectors in Y that are

1/4-normed by some element in A^* , i.e. the set of all vectors $a \in S(Y)$ such that $\sup\{|a^*(a)|; a^* \in A^*\} \geq \|a\|/4$. For every block subspace Z of Y , we have by stabilization $G_Z(m) = G(m)$, hence there exist $z \in S(Z)$ and x_1^*, \dots, x_m^* successive in $B(X^*)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^*\|^q \leq 1$,

$$\|x_1^* + \dots + x_m^*\|_{Y^*} \leq 2G(m) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1^* + \dots + x_m^*).z \geq G(m)/2;$$

this shows that $z \in A$, hence A is asymptotic in Y . Let $N \gg m$ and let B be the set of ℓ_p^N -vectors with constant 2 in Y (i.e. normalized vectors of the form $(y_1 + \dots + y_N)/N^{1/p}$, where y_1, \dots, y_N are successive and 2-equivalent to the ℓ_p^N -basis.) It follows from our assumptions that B is asymptotic in Y , and by an easy argument –used by Schlumprecht (see [S] or [GM], Lemma 4) in the context of Schlumprecht’s space– the action of A^* on B is bounded by $2/G(m)$. Indeed, if $b = (y_1 + \dots + y_N)/N^{1/p} \in B$ and $a^* = (x_1^* + \dots + x_m^*)/G(m) \in A^*$, then up to a small perturbation of b (bounded by $2(m/N)^{1/p}$, hence small since $p < \infty$) the action of the x_j^* s cuts b into m pieces corresponding to successive subsets A_1, \dots, A_m of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Then for each $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$|x_j^* \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^N y_i)| \simeq |x_j^* \cdot (\sum_{i \in A_j} y_i)| \leq \|x_j^*\| \sum_{i \in A_j} \|y_i\| \leq 2\|x_j^*\| |A_j|^{1/p}$$

and we apply Hölder’s inequality $\sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j^*\| |A_j|^{1/p} \leq N^{1/p}$ to get $|a^*(b)| \leq 2/G(m)$. If we define a new norm on Y which is essentially given by the supremum on A^* , namely

$$|y| = \sup\{|a^*(y)|; a^* \in A^*\} + \tau \|y\|$$

(for some small $\tau > 0$) then any block subspace of Y contains elements in B , whose new norm will not exceed $\tau + 2/G(m)$, while the new norm of elements in A is at least 1/4. This shows that the equivalent new norm is distorted by more than $G(m)/(8 + 4\tau G(m)) > D$ (for τ small enough), contradicting our assumption of bounded distortion for Y .

We know now that $C = \sup_m G(m) \leq 8D$; we are going to show that $\sup_m F(m) \leq 4CD$. If not, let m be such that $F(m) > 4CD$. This implies that $p > 1$ and $q < \infty$. Let $N \gg m$ and let k be such that $\tilde{G}_{(Y > k)}(N) \leq 2G(N) \leq 2C$. Let B be the set of all vectors in $B(Y)$ of the form $(y_1 + \dots + y_m)/F(m)$ where the y_i s are successive vectors in Y and $\sum_{i=1}^m \|y_i\|^p \leq 1$; Let A be the set of ℓ_p^N -vectors with constant 2 in $(Y > k)$. If $(x_1 + \dots + x_N)/N^{1/p} \in A$ and $x_j^* \in X^*$ is a norming functional for x_j when $j = 1, \dots, N$, x_j^* supported on the smallest interval supporting x_j , the action of $(x_1^* + \dots + x_N^*)/N^{1/q}$ on $(x_1 + \dots + x_N)/N^{1/p}$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i\| \geq 1/2.$$

We take as A^* the set of all functionals $(x_1^* + \dots + x_N^*)/N^{1/q}$, with x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* successive in $B(X^*)$, and supported after k . With the same argument as before we check that the action of A^* on B is bounded by $2C/F(m)$. Indeed, if $b = (y_1 + \dots + y_m)/F(m) \in B$ and $a^* = (x_1^* + \dots + x_N^*)/N^{1/q} \in A^*$, then up to a small perturbation (using $q < \infty$) the action of the y_j s cuts a^* into m pieces corresponding to successive subsets A_1, \dots, A_m of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Then for each $j = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain using $\tilde{G}_{(Y > k)}(N) \leq 2C$ that

$$|(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^*).y_j| \simeq |(\sum_{i \in A_j} x_i^*).y_j| \leq 2C |A_j|^{1/q} \|y_j\|$$

and we apply Hölder's inequality $\sum_{j=1}^m \|y_j\| |A_j|^{1/q} \leq N^{1/q}$ to get $|a^*(b)| \leq 2C/F(m)$. By definition of $F(m)$ and stabilization, every block subspace of Y contains elements of B that have norms tending to 1. If we define as before a new norm on Y by taking the supremum on A^* , the new norm of elements in B will not exceed $2C/F(m)$, while the new norm of elements in A is at least $1/2$. This shows that the equivalent norm is distorted by more than $F(m)/(4C) > D$, contradicting again our initial assumption. At this point we have proved that Y is asymptotically- ℓ_p . This ends the proof of the theorem.

Here is the main result of this Note:

Remark. *Let X be a Banach space with unconditional basis and not containing ℓ_1^n uniformly. Then X contains an arbitrarily distortable subspace.*

It is clear from the proof of the Theorem that if a Banach space X with a basis contains no arbitrarily distortable subspace, then we find an asymptotically- ℓ_p subspace Y as a block subspace of X . If we start with a space X with unconditional basis, then Y has an unconditional basis, and if X does not contain ℓ_1^n uniformly, then Y of course does not contain ℓ_1^n uniformly. All we need is to show that Y is arbitrarily distortable. Actually, we are going to prove slightly more.

A Banach space X is *sequentially arbitrarily distortable* ([OS]) if there exists a sequence $|\cdot|_i$ of equivalent norms on X and a sequence (ε_i) decreasing to 0 such that

- $|\cdot|_i \leq \|\cdot\|$ for each i and
- for every subspace Y of X and for every i_0 there exists $y \in S(Y, |\cdot|_{i_0})$ such that $|y|_i \leq \varepsilon_{\min(i, i_0)}$ for $i \neq i_0$.

Remark 2. *Let Y be an asymptotically ℓ_p -space with unconditional basis and not containing ℓ_1^n uniformly. Then Y is sequentially arbitrarily distortable.*

This remark applies for example to the convexified Tsirelson spaces (see [CS]).

We can first renorm Y in such a way that the basis is 1-unconditional and the norm uniformly convex and uniformly smooth (see [LT2], section 1f). Since Y is asymptotically- ℓ_p , there exists C such that for every integer $n \geq 1$, every sequence of n successive normalized blocks "far enough" (depending upon n) is C -equivalent to the ℓ_p^n -basis, and the same in Y^* with the ℓ_q^n -basis. Precisely, to every integer $n \geq 1$ we can associate an integer $P(n)$ such that

$$C^{-p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p \right) \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\|^p \leq C^p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p \right)$$

$$C^{-q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i^*\|^q \right) \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^* \right\|^q \leq C^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i^*\|^q \right)$$

for all sequences of successive elements y_1, \dots, y_n in Y or y_1^*, \dots, y_n^* in Y^* that are supported after $P(n)$. We shall refer to this property as property A_n in what follows. It is clear that $1 < p < \infty$, since Y does not contain ℓ_1^n uniformly.

The construction in [OS] uses properties of the space S constructed by Schlumprecht in [S]. It is perhaps useful for the reader to recall a minimal amount of information about the space S . Let $\varphi(x) = \log_2(x+1)$ for $x \geq 0$. Let B be the smallest subset of $B(c_0)$ containing $\pm e_i$ for every basis vector of c_0 , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and such that for every integer $n \geq 1$, when v_1, \dots, v_n are successive elements in B , then $(v_1 + \dots + v_n)/\varphi(n)$ belongs to B . Define a norm on ℓ_1 by

$$\|u\|_S = \sup\{|v(u)|; v \in B\}$$

and let S be the completion of ℓ_1 for this norm. We recall two important facts about S : the canonical basis is 1-unconditional in S ; using the notation introduced in the proof of the Theorem, we have that the Krivine set K_S for Schlumprecht's space reduces to $\{1\}$.

Since Y has a 1-unconditional basis, we can consider Y and Y^* as lattices of functions on \mathbb{N} . We shall do the same for Schlumprecht's space S and its dual S^* . When x is a vector in Y or S or S^* and E a subset of \mathbb{N} , we denote by Ex the projection of x on the subset E . If u is a vector in S and $v \in S^*$, we consider the pointwise product uv : this is the vector of ℓ_1 such that $(uv)_i = u_i v_i$ for every $i \geq 0$; we also use sometimes the notation $u.v$ instead of $v(u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i v_i$. We say that a vector u is non-negative if $u_i \geq 0$ for every $i \geq 0$.

We need some notation about the space S ; we say that $x \in S$ is a ℓ_1^n -average if $\|x\| = 1$ and $x = n^{-1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$, where x_1, \dots, x_n is a sequence of successive vectors, 2-equivalent to the ℓ_1^n -basis. Next, a sequence u_1, \dots, u_k of successive vectors in S is called a *rapidly increasing sequence* of ℓ_1 -averages (in short: RIS) of length k and sizes n_1, \dots, n_k if every u_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ is a $\ell_1^{n_i}$ -average and the sequence $(n_i)_{i=1}^k$ satisfies the following lacunarity condition:

$$\varphi(n_1/4) \geq 36k^2 \text{ and } \varphi(n_j)^{1/2} \geq 2|\text{supp}(u_{j-1})| \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, k.$$

For every integer $k \geq 1$, we denote by A_k the subset of S corresponding to vectors of the form $u = \frac{\varphi(k)}{k}(u_1 + \dots + u_k)$ where u_1, \dots, u_k is a RIS of length k . We call A_k^* the set of all elements in $B(S^*)$ of the form $v = (v_1 + \dots + v_k)/\varphi(k)$, where v_1, \dots, v_k are successive elements in $B(S^*)$. It is proved in [S] or [GM] that

Lemma 1. *There exists a sequence (ε_k) tending to 0 and a sequence $(L(k))$ of integers such that when $x^* \in A_k^*$ and $x \in A_l$, or when $x \in A_k$, $x^* \in A_l^*$, with $l \geq L(k)$, then $|x^*(x)| \leq \varepsilon_k$.*

The fundamental building blocks for the distortion of ℓ_2 in [OS] are the subsets D_m of the unit sphere $S(\ell_1)$ of ℓ_1 consisting of pointwise products uv where u is an ℓ_1^m -average in Schlumprecht's space S and $v \in 2B(S^*)$ is almost norming u . It is proved in [OS] that for every integer $m \geq 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K = K(m, \varepsilon)$ such that given h_1, \dots, h_K successive in $S(\ell_1)$, there exists a subset J of $\{1, \dots, K\}$, an ℓ_1^m -average $u \in S$ and $v \in 2B(S^*)$ such that

$$\|uv\|_1 = 1, \quad \|uv - \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} h_j\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

(See [OS], Lemma 3.5 and sublemma 3.6). Suppose that Z is a block subspace of Y . Let $K = K(m, 1/m)$ and let z_1, \dots, z_K be successive elements in $S(Z)$, supported after $P(K)$, so that A_K applies. Let z_1^*, \dots, z_K^* be the norming functionals for these vectors. Since we assumed Y smooth, each z_j admits a unique norming functional z_j^* ; since the basis of Y is 1-unconditional, we have $\text{supp}(z_j^*) = \text{supp}(z_j)$ and the pointwise product $z_j z_j^*$ is non-negative. Apply the result of [OS] to the sequence $h_j = z_j z_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, K$. We find a subset J of $\{1, \dots, K\}$, an ℓ_1^m -average $u \in S$ and $v \in 2B(S^*)$ such that

$$\|uv\|_1 = 1, \quad \|uv - \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} z_j z_j^*\|_1 < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Since the basis in S is also 1-unconditional, and since we observed that h_j is non-negative, we may assume that u and v are non-negative vectors. Consider now

$$z = |J|^{-1/p} \sum_{j \in J} z_j, \quad z^* = |J|^{-1/q} \sum_{j \in J} z_j^*.$$

Then $z \in Z$, and by A_K we get $C^{-1} \leq \|z\| \leq C$, $C^{-1} \leq \|z^*\| \leq C$. Also $zz^* = |J|^{-1} \sum_{j \in J} z_j z_j^*$ therefore $\|uv - zz^*\|_1 < 1/m$.

Let Δ_m be the subset of $Y \times Y^*$ consisting of all couples (z, z^*) such that $z = N^{-1/p} \sum_{j=1}^N z_j$, $z^* = N^{-1/q} \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^*$ for some integer $N \geq 1$, where z_1, \dots, z_N are successive in $S(Y)$ and supported after $P(N)$, z_j^* is the norming functional for z_j , and there exists an ℓ_1^m -average $u \in S$ and $v \in 2B(S^*)$ such that $\|uv\|_1 = 1$, $\|uv - zz^*\|_1 < 1/m$, u and v non-negative. Notice that zz^* is non-negative and that $\|zz^*\|_1 = 1$.

Let Q and Q^* be the projections from $Y \times Y^*$ onto Y and Y^* respectively. It follows from the preceding discussion that for every integer $m \geq 1$, every block subspace of Y contains an element $z \in Q\Delta_m$. In other words, this set $Q\Delta_m$ is a non-normalized asymptotic set in Y .

Next we recall the construction of the sets (C_k) in [OS]: actually we rather use subsets (B_k) of $S(\ell_1)$; each C_k consists of elements whose absolute values are square root of elements in B_k . The sets (B_k) are built from averages between very different sets D_{m_i} , using the lacunarity conditions for RIS. More precisely, an element x in B_k has the form $x = \frac{1}{k}(u_1v_1 + \dots + u_kv_k)$, where $u_iv_i \in D_{m_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ are successive, u_1, \dots, u_k is a RIS of length k and sizes m_1, \dots, m_k in S , and $v_i \in 2B(S^*)$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This vector x has a representation as pointwise product

$$x = \left(\frac{\varphi(k)}{k}(u_1 + \dots + u_k) \right) \left((v_1 + \dots + v_k)/\varphi(k) \right)$$

hence B_k appears as a subset of $S(\ell_1) \cap 2A_kA_k^*$. The sets (B_k) have mutual distances almost 2 in ℓ_1 , when the indices are very different; in other words, any element $x \in B_k$ is almost disjoint from any element $y \in B_l$ when $l \geq L(k)$. This follows easily from the fact that the scalar product between $\sqrt{|x|}$ and $\sqrt{|y|}$ is almost 0, by Lemma 1 (write $|\sqrt{|x|} - \sqrt{|y|}| \leq \sqrt{|x-y|}$ and compute the ℓ_2 -norms), and it will be used at the end of the proof.

Corresponding to the sets (B_k) we construct now sets of couples (Γ_k) in $Y \times Y^*$. A couple (y, y^*) belongs to Γ_k if there exists a sequence of successive couples $(z_1, z_1^*), \dots, (z_k, z_k^*)$ supported after $P(k)$ such that $(z_i, z_i^*) \in \Delta_{m_i}$, and there exists a RIS u_1, \dots, u_k of length k with sizes m_1, \dots, m_k , a sequence v_1, \dots, v_k in $2B(S^*)$, u_i and v_i non-negative, such that

$$y = k^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^k z_i, \quad y^* = k^{-1/q} \sum_{i=1}^k z_i^*,$$

$$\|u_iv_i\|_1 = 1, \quad \|u_iv_i - z_iz_i^*\|_1 < \frac{1}{m_i}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Observe again that yy^* is non-negative and $\|yy^*\|_1 = 1$. It follows from A_k that $C^{-2} \leq \|y\| \leq C^2$, $C^{-2} \leq \|y^*\| \leq C^2$. It is clear that for every integer $k \geq 1$, the set $Q\Gamma_k$ is a non-normalized asymptotic set in Y .

Lemma 2. *There exists a function $\alpha(\varepsilon)$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha(\varepsilon) = 0$ such that for every integer $m \geq 1$, if $(y, y^*) \in \Gamma_m$ and $\|Eyy^*\|_1 < \varepsilon$ for some subset $E \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $\|Ey\| < \alpha(\varepsilon)$ and $\|Ey^*\| < \alpha(\varepsilon)$.*

Proof: since Y is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth, it is clear that there exists a function $\alpha_1(\varepsilon)$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_1(\varepsilon) = 0$ such that, if $y^* \in S(Y^*)$ norms $y \in S(Y)$, if E is any subset of \mathbb{N} and if $\|Eyy^*\|_1 < \varepsilon$, then $\|Ey\|, \|Ey^*\| < \alpha_1(\varepsilon)$. Indeed, if F denotes $\mathbb{N} \setminus E$, we have

$$\|(Fy)y^*\|_1 = \|yy^*\|_1 - \|Eyy^*\|_1 = 1 - \|Eyy^*\|_1 > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

hence $\|Fy\| > 1 - \varepsilon$; now $\|Fy \pm Ey\| = 1$, and it follows by uniform convexity that $\|Fy\| \leq 1 - \delta(\|Ey\|)$, where δ is the modulus of convexity of Y . We finally obtain $\delta(\|Ey\|) < \varepsilon$. The same

reasoning applies in the dual. This implies our claim, taking for α_1 the maximum of the inverse function of δ and the inverse function of δ^* , the modulus of convexity of Y^* .

Suppose that (z, z^*) is an element of Δ_m , with $z = N^{-1/p} \sum_{j=1}^N z_j$ and $z^* = N^{-1/q} \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^*$, with z_i^* norming $z_i \in S(Y)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, $z_i z_i^*$ successive and supported after $P(N)$, so that A_N applies. Then $zz^* = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i z_i^*$. Suppose that $\|Ezz^*\|_1 < \varepsilon^2$ for some subset E of \mathbb{N} . If we consider $J = \{i; \|Ez_i z_i^*\|_1 \geq \varepsilon\}$, we see that $|J| < \varepsilon N$. For $i \notin J$ we have $\|Ez_i z_i^*\|_1 < \varepsilon$, hence using the preliminary remark we deduce that $\|Ez_i\| < \alpha_1(\varepsilon)$ for $i \notin J$. We can then estimate $\|Ez\|$, using A_N

$$\|Ez\| < C(\alpha_1(\varepsilon) + (|J|/N)^{1/p}) < C(\alpha_1(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{1/p}).$$

We can work similarly in the dual for $\|Ez^*\|$. We deduce that

$$\|Ezz^*\|_1 < \varepsilon^2 \text{ implies } \|Ez\| < \alpha_2(\varepsilon), \quad \|Ez^*\| < \alpha_2(\varepsilon),$$

where $\alpha_2(\varepsilon) = C(\alpha_1(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{\min(1/p, 1/q)})$.

Let (y, y^*) belong to some Γ_m , with $y = m^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$, $y^* = m^{-1/q} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^*$, where the products $(y_i y_i^*)$ s are successive, supported after $P(m)$, and each (y_i, y_i^*) belongs to some Δ_{n_i} . By the preceding discussion we have that $\|Ey_i y_i^*\|_1 < \varepsilon^2$ implies $\|Ey_i\|, \|Ey_i^*\| < \alpha_2(\varepsilon)$. Using the same argument and losing an other factor ε we get that $\|Eyy^*\|_1 < \varepsilon^3$ implies $\|Ey\|, \|Ey^*\| < \alpha_3(\varepsilon)$. This ends the proof of Lemma 2: we simply set $\alpha(\varepsilon) = \alpha_3(\varepsilon^{1/3})$.

We are now in a position to finish the proof of Remark 2. Let again (y, y^*) belong to some Γ_m , with $y = m^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$, $y^* = m^{-1/q} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^*$, where the products $(y_i y_i^*)$ s are successive, supported after $P(m)$, each (y_i, y_i^*) belongs to some Δ_{n_i} , and there exist for $i = 1, \dots, m$ vectors $u_i \in S$, $v_i \in 2B(S^*)$, u_i and v_i non-negative such that u_1, \dots, u_m is a RIS of length m and sizes n_1, \dots, n_m in S , and finally $\|u_i v_i\|_1 = 1$, $\|u_i v_i - y_i y_i^*\|_1 < 1/n_i$. Let

$$u = \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u_i; \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i / \varphi(m);$$

We have

$$uv = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u_i v_i; \quad yy^* = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i y_i^*$$

$$\|uv\|_1 = 1, \quad \|uv - yy^*\|_1 < 1/n_1 < 2^{-36m^2}.$$

(We used the lacunarity condition for RIS). We observe that $u \in A_m$ and $v \in 2A_m^*$.

In the same way let (\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}^*) belong to Γ_l , with $l > L(m)$. In a similar way $\|\tilde{u}\tilde{v} - \tilde{y}\tilde{y}^*\|_1 < 2^{-36l^2}$, for some $\tilde{u} \in A_l$ and $\tilde{v} \in 2A_l^*$. We assumed $u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ non-negative. If $l \geq L(m)$, we know by Lemma 1 that $\tilde{v}.u$ and $v.\tilde{u}$ are smaller than $2\varepsilon_m$, hence \sqrt{uv} is almost orthogonal to $\sqrt{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}}$. Indeed by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\sqrt{uv}.\sqrt{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} = \sqrt{u\tilde{v}}.\sqrt{\tilde{u}v} \leq \sqrt{u.\tilde{v}} \sqrt{\tilde{u}.v} \leq 2\varepsilon_m.$$

therefore uv and $\tilde{u}\tilde{v}$ have distance almost 2 in ℓ_1 , because letting $t = uv$, $t' = \tilde{u}\tilde{v}$,

$$\|t - t'\|_1 = \|\sqrt{|t - t'|}\|_2^2 \geq \|\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t'}\|_2^2 = 2 - 2\sqrt{t}.\sqrt{t'} \geq 2(1 - 2\varepsilon_m).$$

Let us choose m such that $\varepsilon_m < \varepsilon/4$ and $2^{-36m^2} < \varepsilon/4$. The preceding estimates yield

$$\|yy^* - \tilde{y}\tilde{y}^*\|_1 > 2(1 - \varepsilon).$$

Now $f = yy^*$ and $\tilde{f} = \tilde{y}\tilde{y}^*$ are non-negative, thus

$$\|f - \tilde{f}\|_1 = \|f\|_1 + \|\tilde{f}\|_1 - 2\|\min(f, \tilde{f})\|_1 = 2(1 - \|\min(f, \tilde{f})\|_1),$$

hence $\|\min(f, \tilde{f})\|_1 < \varepsilon$. Let $E = \{i \in \mathbb{N}; f_i > \tilde{f}_i\}$ and $F = \{i \in \mathbb{N}; f_i \leq \tilde{f}_i\}$. We have a partition of \mathbb{N} into two sets E and F such that

$$\|Fyy^*\|_1 < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|E\tilde{y}\tilde{y}^*\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

Using Lemma 2 we deduce that $\|E\tilde{y}\| < \alpha(\varepsilon)$ and $\|Fy^*\| < \alpha(\varepsilon)$. We also know that $\|\tilde{y}\|, \|y^*\| \leq C^2$. Finally

$$|y^* \cdot \tilde{y}| = |Fy^* \cdot \tilde{y} + y^* \cdot E\tilde{y}| \leq 2C^2\alpha(\varepsilon).$$

We see that $|\tilde{y}^* \cdot y|$ is small for the same reasons; finally, for $l \geq L(m)$, the actions of $Q^*\Gamma_l$ on $Q\Gamma_m$ and of $Q^*\Gamma_m$ on $Q\Gamma_l$ are small, depending upon m . This allows to show that Y is sequentially arbitrarily distortable. To this end let us select a sequence (m_k) of integers such that

$$m_{k+1} \geq L(m_k), \quad \varepsilon_{m_k} < 2^{-k-2},$$

and define for every integer $k \geq 1$ an equivalent norm on Y by the formula

$$|y|_k = C^{-2} \sup\{|z^*(y)|; z^* \in Q^*\Gamma_{m_k}\}.$$

First, observe that $\|y\|_k \leq \|y\|$ since we know that $\|z^*\| \leq C^2$ when $z^* \in Q^*\Gamma_{m_k}$. Suppose that $l > k$. By the above proof, we know that the action of $Q^*\Gamma_{m_k}$ on $Q\Gamma_{m_l}$ and the action of $Q^*\Gamma_{m_l}$ on $Q\Gamma_{m_k}$ are less than $2C^2\alpha(2^{-k})$. In other words, $\|y\|_k \leq 2C^2\alpha(2^{-k})$ when $y \in Q\Gamma_{m_l}$ and $\|z\|_l \leq 2C^2\alpha(2^{-k})$ when $z \in Q\Gamma_{m_k}$. On the other hand, $z^*(z) = 1$ when $(z, z^*) \in \Gamma_{m_k}$, thus $\|z\|_k \geq C^{-2}$. Finally we know that every block subspace Z of Y contains elements from $Q\Gamma_{m_k}$. If $z \in Z \cap Q\Gamma_{m_k}$, we obtain for every $j \neq k$

$$\|z\|_j \leq 2C^4\alpha(2^{-\min(j,k)})\|z\|_k.$$

This ends the proof of our remark.

We end with some comments and questions. It follows from our remark that a uniformly convex Banach space contains an arbitrarily distortable subspace, provided it contains an unconditional basic sequence. According to a recent result of W.T. Gowers [G], the question of whether every uniformly convex Banach space contains an arbitrarily distortable subspace reduces now to the case of a uniformly convex HI space (a Banach space X is called HI –for *hereditarily indecomposable*– if the unit sphere of every infinite dimensional subspace is an asymptotic set in X); actually X can also be assumed to be asymptotically- ℓ_p ; is it possible for an HI space to be asymptotically- ℓ_p ?

It is not so natural to try to find a distortable subspace rather than trying to distort the whole space. If X is a uniformly convex Banach lattice, is it arbitrarily distortable? We did not exactly prove this, even for a space with unconditional basis.

Finally recall a problem raised by Milman-Tomczak: if X does not contain ℓ_1 or c_0 , does it contain an arbitrarily distortable subspace (or more: is it arbitrarily distortable)? A first step in that direction would be to elucidate the case of the Tsirelson space T or of its dual T^* .

References

- [CS] P.G. Casazza, T.J. Shura, *Tsirelson's space*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1363 (1989).
- [G] W.T. Gowers, *A new dichotomy for Banach spaces*, preprint.
- [GM] W.T. Gowers, B. Maurey, *The unconditional basic sequence problem*, Journal of AMS, to appear.
- [J] R.C. James, *Uniformly non-square Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. 80 (1964), 542–550.
- [K] J.L. Krivine, *Sous-espaces de dimension finie des espaces de Banach réticulés*, Ann. of Math. 104 (1976), 1–29.
- [LT1] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I: sequence spaces*, Springer Verlag, 1977.
- [LT2] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II: function spaces*, Springer Verlag, 1979.
- [M1] V.D. Milman, *Spectrum of continuous bounded functions on the unit sphere of a Banach space*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. 3 (1969), 67–79 (translated from Russian).
- [M2] V.D. Milman, *The geometric theory of Banach spaces, part II: Geometry of the unit sphere*, Uspekhi Math. Nauk 26 (1971), 73–149. English translation in Russian Math. Surveys 26 (1971), 79–163.
- [MT] V.D. Milman, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Asymptotic ℓ_p spaces and bounded distortions*, preprint.
- [OS] E. Odell, T. Schlumprecht, *The distortion problem*, preprint.
- [S] T. Schlumprecht, *An arbitrarily distortable Banach space*, Israel J. Math. 76 (1991), 81–95.
- [T] B.S. Tsirelson, *Not every Banach space contains ℓ_p or c_0* , Funct. Anal. Appl. 8 (1974), 138–141 (translated from Russian).