

**Complex Interpolation
and
Regular Operators Between Banach Lattices**

by
Gilles Pisier*

Texas A. and M. University
College Station, TX 77843, U. S. A.
and

Université Paris 6
Equipe d'Analyse, Boîte 186, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Abstract. We study certain interpolation and extension properties of the space of regular operators between two Banach lattices. Let R_p be the space of all the regular (or equivalently order bounded) operators on L_p equipped with the regular norm. We prove the isometric identity $R_p = (R_\infty, R_1)^\theta$ if $\theta = 1/p$, which shows that the spaces (R_p) form an interpolation scale relative to Calderón's interpolation method. We also prove that if $S \subset L_p$ is a subspace, every regular operator $u : S \rightarrow L_p$ admits a regular extension $\tilde{u} : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ with the same regular norm. This extends a result due to Mireille Lévy in the case $p = 1$. Finally, we apply these ideas to the Hardy space H^p viewed as a subspace of L_p on the circle. We show that the space of regular operators from H^p to L_p possesses a similar interpolation property as the spaces R_p defined above.

* Supported in part by N.S.F. grant DMS 9003550

In a recent paper [HeP] we have observed that the real interpolation spaces associated to the couples

$$(B(c_0, \ell_\infty), \quad B(\ell_1, \ell_1))$$

and

$$(B(L_\infty, L_\infty), \quad B(L_1, L_1))$$

can be described and an equivalent of the K_t -functional can be given (cf. [HeP]). It is natural to wonder whether analogous results hold for the complex interpolation method and this is the subject of the present paper.

Let X_0, X_1 be Banach lattices of measurable functions defined on some set S (we are deliberately vague, see e.g. [LT] for a detailed theory). We will denote by

$$X_\theta = X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta$$

the space of all measurable functions f on the set S such that there are $f_0 \in X_0, f_1 \in X_1$ satisfying $|f| \leq |f_0|^{1-\theta} |f_1|^\theta$ and we let

$$\|f\|_{X_\theta} = \inf \{ \|f_0\|_{X_0}^{1-\theta} \|f_1\|_{X_1}^\theta \}$$

where the infimum runs over all possible decompositions of f .

We will denote by $(X_0, X_1)_\theta$ and $(X_0, X_1)^\theta$ the complex interpolation spaces as defined for examples in [BL]. Recall the fundamental identity (due to Calderón)

$$X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta = (X_0, X_1)^\theta$$

with identical norms, which is valid under the assumption that the unit ball of $X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta$ is closed in $X_0 + X_1$ (see [Ca]). Moreover, if either X_0 or X_1 is reflexive (cf. also [HP] and [B]) we have

$$(X_0, X_1)_\theta = (X_0, X_1)^\theta,$$

with identical norms. In particular when X_0, X_1 are finite dimensional spaces, there is no need to distinguish $(X_0, X_1)_\theta$ and $(X_0, X_1)^\theta$. We will use this fact repeatedly in the sequel. Let c_0 (resp. ℓ_∞) be the space of all sequences of complex scalars tending to zero

(resp. bounded) at infinity equipped with the usual norm, and let ℓ_1 denote the usual dual space of absolutely summable sequences. Recall $\ell_1 = (c_0)^*$ and $\ell_\infty = (\ell_1)^*$. Given Banach spaces X, Y we denote by $B(X, Y)$ the space of all bounded operators $u: X \rightarrow Y$ equipped with the usual operator norm. We will always identify an operator on a sequence space with a matrix in the usual way. We will denote by A_0 (resp. A_1) the space of all complex matrices (a_{ij}) such that

$$\sup_i \sum_j |a_{ij}| < \infty \quad \left(\text{resp. } \sup_j \sum_i |a_{ij}| < \infty \right)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|(a_{ij})\|_{A_0} = \sup_i \sum_j |a_{ij}|.$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \|(a_{ij})\|_{A_1} = \sup_j \sum_i |a_{ij}|. \right)$$

We will need to work with complex spaces, so we recall that if E is a real Banach lattice its complexification $E + iE$ can be naturally equipped with a norm so that for all $f = a + ib$ in $E + iE$ we have $\|a + ib\| = \|(|a|^2 + |b|^2)^{1/2}\|_E$. We will call the resulting complex Banach space a complex Banach lattice.

Let E, F be real or complex Banach lattices. We will denote by $B_r(E, F)$ the space of all operators $u: E \rightarrow F$ for which there is a constant C such that for all finite sequences $(x_i)_{i \leq m}$ in E we have

$$(1) \quad \left\| \sup_{i \leq m} |u(x_i)| \right\|_F \leq C \left\| \sup_{i \leq m} |x_i| \right\|_E.$$

We will denote by $\|u\|_r$ the smallest constant C for which this holds, i.e. we set $\|u\|_r = \inf\{C\}$. It is known, under the assumption that F is Dedekind complete in the sense of [MN] (sometimes also called order complete), that in the real (resp. complex) case, every regular operator $u: E \rightarrow F$ is of the form $u = u_+ - u_-$ (resp. $u = a_+ - a_- + i(b_+ - b_-)$) where u_+, u_- (resp. a_+, a_-, b_+, b_-) are bounded positive operators from E to F , cf. [MN] or [S] p.233. Since the converse is obvious this gives a very clear description of the space $B_r(E, F)$. Here of course “positive” means positivity preserving. Let E, F be real (resp.

complex) Banach lattices. Under the same assumption on F (cf. e.g. [MN] p.27) it is known that $B_r(E, F)$ equipped with the usual ordering is a real (resp. complex) Banach lattice in such a way that we have

$$\forall T \in B_r(E, F) \quad \|T\|_r = \| |T| \|_{B(E, F)}.$$

We refer to [MN] for more information on the spaces $B_r(E, F)$. We will only use the following elementary particular cases.

If A is in $B_r(\ell_p, \ell_p)$ with associated matrix (a_{ij}) , let us denote by $|A|$ the operator admitting $(|a_{ij}|)$ as its associated matrix. Then we have

$$(2) \quad \|A\|_r = \| |A| \|_{B(\ell_p, \ell_p)}.$$

By a well known result (going back, I believe, to Grothendieck) for any measure spaces $(\Omega, \mu), (\Omega', \mu')$ we have an isometric identity

$$(3) \quad B(L_1(\mu), L_1(\mu')) = B_r(L_1(\mu), L_1(\mu')).$$

On the other hand, we have trivially (isometrically)

$$(4) \quad B(L_\infty(\mu), L_\infty(\mu')) = B_r(L_\infty(\mu), L_\infty(\mu')).$$

The next result is known to many people in some slightly different form (in particular see [W]), I believe that our formulation is useful and hope to demonstrate this in the rest of this note. Motivated by the results in [HeP], I suspected that this result was known and I asked F. Lust-Piquard whether she knew a reference for this, she did not but she immediately showed me the following proof.

Theorem 1. *Let A_0, A_1 be as above. For any fixed integer n , let $A_0^n \subset A_0, A_1^n \subset A_1$ be the subspace of all matrices (a_{ij}) which are supported by the upper left $n \times n$ corner, so that the elements of A_0^n or A_1^n can be viewed as $n \times n$ matrices. Note the elementary identifications*

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^n &= B(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_\infty^n) \quad \text{and} \quad A_1^n = B(\ell_1^n, \ell_1^n) \\ A_0 &= B(c_0, \ell_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = B(\ell_1, \ell_1). \end{aligned}$$

We have then for all $0 < \theta < 1$ the following isometric identities where $p = 1/\theta$:

$$(i) \quad (A_0^n, A_1^n)_\theta = B_r(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^n).$$

$$(ii) \quad A_\theta = (A_0, A_1)^\theta = B_r(\ell_p, \ell_p).$$

Remark. Note that A_0 and A_1 (resp. A_0^n and A_1^n) are isometric as Banach spaces. This is a special case of the fact that the transposition induces an isometric isomorphism between the spaces $B(X, Y^*)$ and $B(Y, X^*)$ when X and Y are Banach spaces.

Proof of Theorem 1. (The main point was shown to me by F. Lust-Piquard.) We will prove (i) only. The second part (ii) follows easily from (i) by a weak-* compactness argument which we leave to the reader.

Now let (X_0, X_1) and (Y_0, Y_1) be compatible couples of finite dimensional complex Banach spaces and let $X_\theta = (X_0, X_1)_\theta$, $Y_\theta = (Y_0, Y_1)_\theta$. It is well known and easy to check from the definitions that we have a norm 1 inclusion

$$(B(X_0, Y_0), B(X_1, Y_1))_\theta \subset B(X_\theta, Y_\theta).$$

Applying this to the spaces $X_0 = Y_0 = \ell_\infty^n(\ell_\infty^m)$ and $X_1 = Y_1 = \ell_1^n(\ell_\infty^m)$ with m an arbitrary integer, we obtain the norm 1 inclusion

$$(A_0^n, A_1^n)_\theta \rightarrow B_r(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^n).$$

To show the converse, consider the spaces

$$B_0^n = A_0^{n*} \quad \text{and} \quad B_1^n = A_1^{n*}.$$

For any $n \times n$ matrix (b_{ij}) we have

$$\|(b_{ij})\|_{B_0^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{j \leq n} |b_{ij}| \quad \text{and} \quad \|(b_{ij})\|_{B_1^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{i \leq n} |b_{ij}|.$$

We claim that for all (b_{ij}) in the unit ball of $B_\theta^n = (B_0^n)^{1-\theta}(B_1^n)^\theta = (B_0^n, B_1^n)_\theta$ we have

$$(5) \quad \forall A \in B_r(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^n) \quad \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij} b_{ij}| \leq \|A\|_r.$$

With this claim, we conclude easily since (5) yields a norm one inclusion $B_r(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^n) \subset (B_\theta^n)^*$, and $(B_\theta^n)^* = (A_0^{n*}, A_1^{n*})_\theta^* = A_\theta^n$. Therefore it suffices to prove the claim (5). Since (b_{ij}) is assumed in the unit ball of $(B_0^n)^{1-\theta}(B_1^n)^\theta$ there are $n \times n$ matrices (b_{ij}^0) and (b_{ij}^1) such that $|b_{ij}| = |b_{ij}^0| \cdot |b_{ij}^1|$ and such that $\sum_i \sup_j |b_{ij}^0|^{p'} \leq 1$, $\sum_j \sup_i |b_{ij}^1|^p \leq 1$, with $p = 1/\theta$ and $p' = 1/(1-\theta)$.

Now let $\beta_i = \sup_j |b_{ij}^0|$ and $\alpha_j = \sup_i |b_{ij}^1|$. Then

$$\sum |a_{ij} b_{ij}| \leq \sum_{i,j} \beta_i |a_{ij}| \alpha_j$$

hence by (2)

$$\leq \|A\|_r.$$

This proves our claim and concludes the proof. ■

It is then routine to deduce the following extension.

Corollary 2. *Let (Ω, μ) and (Ω', μ') be arbitrary measure spaces. Consider the couple*

$$X_0 = B(L_\infty(\mu), L_\infty(\mu')), \quad X_1 = B(L_1(\mu), L_1(\mu')).$$

We will identify (for the purpose of interpolation) elements in X_0 or X_1 with linear operators from the space of integrable step functions into $L_1(\mu') + L_\infty(\mu')$. We have then isometrically

$$(6) \quad X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta = (X_0, X_1)^\theta = B_r(L_p(\mu), L_p(\mu')).$$

Remark. Recalling (3) and (4), we can rewrite (6) as follows

$$(B_r(L_\infty(\mu), L_\infty(\mu')), B_r(L_1(\mu), L_1(\mu'))))^\theta = B_r(L_p(\mu), L_p(\mu')).$$

By [Be], it follows that the space $(X_0, X_1)_\theta$ coincides with the closure in $B_r(L_p(\mu), L_p(\mu'))$ of the subspace of all the operators which are simultaneously bounded from $L_1(\mu)$ to $L_1(\mu')$ and from $L_\infty(\mu)$ to $L_\infty(\mu')$.

We will now consider operators defined on a subspace S of a Banach lattice E and taking values in a Banach lattice F . Let $u : S \rightarrow F$ be such an operator. We will again

say that u is *regular* if there is a constant C such that u satisfies (1) for all finite sequences x_1, \dots, x_m in E . We again denote by $\|u\|_r$ the smallest constant C for which this holds. Clearly the restriction to S of a regular operator defined on E is regular. Conversely, in general a regular operator on S is not necessarily the restriction of a regular operator on E : for instance if E is L_1 , if S is the closed span of a sequence of standard independent Gaussian random variables and if $u : S \rightarrow L_2$ is the natural inclusion map, then u is regular (this is a well known result of Fernique, see e.g. [LeT] p. 60) but does not extend to any bounded map from L_1 into L_2 since by a weakening of Grothendieck's theorem (cf. [P4] p. 57), the identity of S would then be 2-absolutely summing, which is absurd since S is infinite dimensional (cf. e.g. [P4] p. 14).

Nevertheless, it turns out that in several interesting cases, conversely every regular operator on S is the restriction of a regular operator on E with the same regular norm. In particular, the next statement is an extension theorem for regular operators which generalizes a result due to M. Lévy [Lé] in the case $p = 1$. We will prove

Theorem 3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let (Ω, μ) , (Ω', μ') be arbitrary measure spaces. Let $S \subset L_p(\mu)$ be any closed subspace. Then every regular operator $u: S \rightarrow L_p(\mu')$ admits a regular extension $\tilde{u}: L_p(\mu) \rightarrow L_p(\mu')$ such that $\|\tilde{u}\|_r = \|u\|_r$.*

Actually this will be a consequence of the following more general result. (We refer the reader to [LT] for the notions of p -convexity and p -concavity.)

Theorem 4. *Let L, Λ be Banach lattices and let $S \subset \Lambda$ be a closed subspace. Assume that L is a dual space, or merely that there is a regular projection $P: L^{**} \rightarrow L$ with $\|P\|_r \leq 1$. Assume moreover that for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ Λ is p -convex and L p -concave. Then every regular operator $u: S \rightarrow L$ extends to a regular operator $\tilde{u}: \Lambda \rightarrow L$ with $\|\tilde{u}\|_r = \|u\|_r$.*

Remark. Note that by known results (cf. [K] or [LT]) in the above situation every positive operator $u: \Lambda \rightarrow L$ factors through an L_p -space, i.e. there is a measure space (Ω, μ) and operators $B: \Lambda \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ and $A: L_p(\mu) \rightarrow L$ such that $U = AB$ and $\|A\| \cdot \|B\| = \|u\|$. Actually for this conclusion to hold, it suffices to assume that u can be written as the composition of first a p -convex operator with constant ≤ 1 followed by a p -concave

operator with constant ≤ 1 . Therefore, since every regular operator with regular norm ≤ 1 on a p -convex Banach lattice clearly is itself p -convex with constant ≤ 1 , every *regular* $u: \Lambda \rightarrow L$ factors through an L_p -space with factorization constant at most 1. Actually it is easy to modify Krivine's argument to prove that, in the same situation as in Theorem 4, every *regular* $u: \Lambda \rightarrow L$ can be written as $u = AB$ as above but with A, B regular and such that $\|A\|_r \|B\|_r = \|u\|_r$.

Proof of Theorem 4. By a standard ultraproduct argument it is enough to consider the case when L is finite dimensional with an unconditional basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) . As usual in extension problems, we will use the Hahn-Banach theorem. We need to introduce a Banach space X such that $X^* = B_r(\Lambda, L)$. The space X is defined as the tensor product $L^* \otimes \Lambda$ equipped with the following norm, for all $v = \sum_1^n \alpha_k e_k^* \otimes x_k$ with α_i scalar and $x_i \in \Lambda$ we define

$$\|v\|_X = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum_1^n \alpha_i e_i \right\|_{L^*} \left\| \sup_{i \leq n} |x_i| \right\|_\Lambda \right\}.$$

The only assumption needed for our extension theorem is that $\| \cdot \|_X$ is a norm (see the remark below). This follows from the p' -convexity of L^* and the p -convexity of Λ .

To check this we assume as we may that Λ is included in a space of measurable functions $L_0(\mu)$ on some measure space. Let Y_0 be the space of n -tuples of measurable functions y_1, \dots, y_n in $L_\infty(\mu)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(y_i)\|_{Y_0} = \left\| \sum_1^n \|y_i\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p'}} e_i^* \right\|_{L^*}^{p'}.$$

That this is indeed a norm follows from the p' -convexity of L^* .

Let Y_1 be the space of n -tuples of measurable functions y_1, \dots, y_n in $L_0(\mu)$ such that $|y_i|^{\frac{1}{p}} \in \Lambda$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(y_i)\|_{Y_1} = \left\| \sup_{i \leq n} |y_i|^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_\Lambda^p.$$

Again this is a norm by the p -convexity of Λ . But now if we consider the unit ball of the space

$$Y_0^{1-\theta} Y_1^\theta \quad \text{with} \quad \theta = \frac{1}{p}$$

we find exactly the set C . This shows that C is convex as claimed above. We will now check that $X^* = B_r(\Lambda, L)$ isometrically.

Consider $u: \Lambda \rightarrow L$. We have

$$(7) \quad \|u\|_r = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{i \leq m} |\langle u(x_i), e_k^* \rangle| e_k \right\|_L \right\}$$

where the supremum runs over all m and all m -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_m) in Λ such that $\| \sup_{i \leq m} |x_i| \|_\Lambda \leq 1$. Let us denote by β the unit ball of L^* . Then (7) can be rewritten

$$(8) \quad \|u\|_r = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle u(x_{i_k}), e_k^* \rangle \right\| \right\}$$

where the supremum runs over all integers m , all choices i_1, \dots, i_n in $\{1, \dots, m\}$, all elements $\alpha = \sum_1^n \alpha_k e_k^*$ in β and all m -tuples x_1, \dots, x_m in Λ with $\| \sup |x_i| \|_\Lambda \leq 1$. But for such elements clearly $v = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k^* \otimes x_{i_k}$ is in the set C which is the unit ball of X , hence (8) yields

$$\|u\|_r = \sup \{ |\langle u, v \rangle| \mid v \in B_X \}.$$

This proves the announced claim that $X^* = B_r(\Lambda, L)$ isometrically.

We can then complete the proof by a well known application of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Consider the subspace $M \subset X$ formed by all the $v = \sum_1^n \alpha_k e_k^* \otimes x_k$ such that $\alpha_k x_k \in S$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. If $u: S \rightarrow L$ is regular we clearly have for all v in M

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| = \left| \sum_1^n \langle u(\alpha_k x_k), e_k^* \rangle \right| \leq \|u\|_r \|v\|_X$$

hence we can find a Hahn-Banach extension of the linear form $v \in M \rightarrow \langle u, v \rangle$ defined on the whole of X and still with norm $\leq \|u\|_r$. Clearly we can write the extension in the form $v \in X \rightarrow \langle \tilde{u}, v \rangle$ for some operator $\tilde{u}: \Lambda \rightarrow L$ and since $\sup_{\|v\|_X \leq 1} |\langle \tilde{u}, v \rangle| \leq \|u\|_r$, we have $\|\tilde{u}\|_r \leq \|u\|_r$ as announced. ■

Remark. Assume again L finite dimensional as above. The assumption “ Λ p -convex, L p' -concave” can be replaced by the property that in $L^* \otimes \Lambda$ the set

$$C = \left\{ \sum_1^n \alpha_i e_i^* \otimes x_i \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbf{C} \quad x_i \in \Lambda \right. \\ \left. \left\| \sum_1^n \alpha_i e_i^* \right\|_{L^*} \leq 1, \quad \left\| \sup |x_i| \right\|_\Lambda \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a convex set.

As the preceding proof shows this is true is L^* is p' -convex and Λ p -convex. However, it is clearly true also in other cases. For instance if $L^* = \ell_\infty^n$ then C is just the unit ball of $\Lambda(\ell_\infty^n)$ which is clearly convex for all Λ . Moreover if $\Lambda = L_\infty(\mu)$ for some measure μ then C is the unit ball of $L^*(L_\infty(\mu))$ which is convex for all L . More generally, what we really use (and which is then equivalent to the extension theorem, by a reasoning well known to many Banach space specialists) is that the closed convex hull of the set C , satisfies

$$\overline{\text{conv}}(C) \cap M = \overline{\text{conv}}(C \cap M),$$

where M denotes as above the subspace $M = L^* \otimes S \subset L^* \otimes \Lambda$.

We now give some applications to H^p -spaces, mainly motivated by our paper [P2]. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let H^p be the usual H^p -space of functions on the torus \mathbf{T} equipped with its normalized Haar measure $m(dt) = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$. We denote simply $L_p = L_p(\mathbf{T}, m)$. Given a finite dimensional normed space E we denote

$$H^p(E) = \{f \in L_p(m; E) \mid \hat{f}(n) = 0 \quad \forall n < 0\}.$$

By a result of P. Jones [J] (see also [BX, P1, X] for a discussion of the vector valued case) we have isomorphically and with isomorphism constants independent of E

$$(9) \quad H^p(E) = (H^\infty(E), H^1(E))_\theta \quad \text{if } \theta = 1/p.$$

More precisely, there is a constant C_p such that for all f in $H^p(E)$ we have

$$(10) \quad \|f\|_{(H^\infty(E), H^1(E))_\theta} \leq C_p \|f\|_{H^p(E)}.$$

We will prove the following extension of Corollary 2.

Theorem 5. *Let (Ω, μ) be an arbitrary measure space. Let*

$$B_0 = B_r(H^\infty, L_\infty(\mu)) \quad B_1 = B_r(H^1, L_1(\mu)).$$

Then (isomorphically) $(B_0, B_1)^\theta = B_r(H^p, L_p(\mu))$ with $\theta = 1/p$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, if $u: H^p \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ is such that $\|u\|_r < 1$, then $\exists \tilde{u}: L_p \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ extending u such that $\|\tilde{u}\|_r < 1$. By Corollary 2, \tilde{u} is of norm < 1 in the space

$(B_r(L_\infty, L_\infty(\mu)), B_r(L_1, L_1(\mu)))^\theta$ hence by restriction u is of norm < 1 in $(B_0, B_1)^\theta$. Conversely, assume that u is in the unit ball of $(B_0, B_1)^\theta$. Consider then f in the unit ball of $H^p(\ell_\infty^n)$, or equivalently consider an n -tuple (f_1, \dots, f_n) in H^p such that $\int \sup_{k \leq n} |f_k|^p dm \leq 1$. By P. Jones's theorem (10) we have

$$\|f\|_{(H^\infty(\ell_\infty^n), H^1(\ell_\infty^n))^\theta} \leq C_p,$$

hence since $\|u\|_{(B_0, B_1)^\theta} \leq 1$ by assumption, it is easy to deduce

$$\|u(f)\|_{(L_\infty(\ell_\infty^n), L_1(\ell_\infty^n))^\theta} \leq C_p$$

or equivalently since $L_p(\ell_\infty^n) = (L_\infty(\ell_\infty^n), L_1(\ell_\infty^n))^\theta$

$$\int \sup_{k \leq n} |u(f_k)|^p dm \leq C_p^p.$$

By homogeneity we conclude that $\|u\|_{B_r(H^p, L_p(\mu))} \leq C_p$. ■

Remark. Once again by [Be], the space $(B_0, B_1)_\theta$ coincides with the closure in $B_r(H^p, L_p(\mu))$ of the operators which are simultaneously regular from H^1 to $L_1(\mu)$ and from H^∞ to $L_\infty(\mu)$.

Remarks.

- (i) For a version of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 in the case of noncommutative L_p -spaces, we refer the reader to [P3].
- (ii) Of course Theorem 5 and its proof remain valid with the couple (H^∞, H^1) replaced by any couple of subspaces of (L^∞, L^1) for which (10) holds.

References

- [B] J. Bergh. On the relation between the two complex methods of interpolation. Indiana Univ. Math. Journal 28 (1979) 775-777.
- [BL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström. Interpolation spaces. An introduction. Springer Verlag, New York. 1976.
- [BX] O. Blasco and Q. Xu. Interpolation between vector valued Hardy spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 102 (1991) 331-359.

- [Ca] A. Calderón. Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method. *Studia Math.* 24 (1964) 113-190.
- [HP] U. Haagerup and G. Pisier. Factorization of analytic functions with values in non-commutative L^1 -spaces and applications. *Canadian J. Math.* 41 (1989) 882-906.
- [HeP] A. Hess and G. Pisier, On the K_t -functional for the couple $B(L_1, L_1), B(L_\infty, L_\infty)$.
- [K] J.L. Krivine. Théorèmes de factorisation dans les espaces de Banach réticulés. Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 73/74, Exposé 22, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris.
- [Lé] M. Lévy. Prolongement d'un opérateur d'un sous-espace de $L^1(\mu)$ dans $L^1(\nu)$. Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle 1979-1980. Exposé 5. Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau.
- [LeT] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand. Probability in Banach spaces. Springer-Verlag 1991.
- [LT] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. Classical Banach spaces II, Function spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [MN] P. Meyer-Nieberg. Banach Lattices. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [P1] G. Pisier. Interpolation of H^p -spaces and noncommutative generalizations I. *Pacific J. Math.* 155 (1992) 341-368.
- [P2] _____. Interpolation of H^p -spaces and noncommutative generalizations II. *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*. To appear.
- [P3] _____. The Operator Hilbert space OH , Complex Interpolation and Tensor Norms. To appear.
- [P4] _____. Factorization of linear operators and the Geometry of Banach spaces. CBMS (Regional conferences of the A.M.S.) 60, (1986), Reprinted with corrections 1987.
- [S] H.H. Schaefer. Banach lattices and positive operators. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New-York, 1974.
- [W] L. Weiss. Integral operators and changes of density. *Indiana University Math. Journal* 31 (1982) 83-96.
- [X] Q. Xu. Notes on interpolation of Hardy spaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*. To appear.