THE A_ℓ AND C_ℓ BAILEY TRANSFORM AND LEMMA

STEPHEN C. MILNE AND GLENN M. LILLY

ABSTRACT. We announce a higher-dimensional generalization of the Bailey Transform, Bailey Lemma, and iterative "Bailey chain" concept in the setting of basic hypergeometric series very well-poised on unitary A_{ℓ} or symplectic C_{ℓ} groups. The classical case, corresponding to A_1 or equivalently U(2), contains an immense amount of the theory and application of one-variable basic hypergeometric series, including elegant proofs of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities. In particular, our program extends much of the classical work of Rogers, Bailey, Slater, Andrews, and Bressoud.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to announce a higher-dimensional generalization of the Bailey Transform [2] and Bailey Lemma [2] in the setting of basic hypergeometric series very well-poised on unitary [19] or symplectic [14] groups. Both types of series are directly related [14, 18] to the corresponding Macdonald identities. The series in [19] were strongly motivated by certain applications of mathematical physics and the unitary groups U(n) in [10, 11, 15, 16]. The unitary series use the notation A_{ℓ} , or equivalently $U(\ell + 1)$; the symplectic case, C_{ℓ} . The classical Bailey Transform, Lemma, and very well-poised basic hypergeometric series correspond to the case A_1 , or equivalently U(2).

The classical Bailey Transform and Bailey Lemma contain an immense amount of the theory and application of one-variable basic hypergeometric series [2, 12, 25]. They were ultimately inspired by Rogers' [24] second proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities [23]. The Bailey Transform was first formulated by Bailey [8], utilized by Slater in [25], and then recast by Andrews [4] as a fundamental matrix inversion result. This last version of the Bailey Transform has immediate applications to connection coefficient theory and "dual" pairs of identities [4], and q-Lagrange inversion and quadratic transformations [13].

The most important application of the Bailey Transform is the Bailey Lemma. This result was mentioned by Bailey [8; §4], and he described how the proof would work. However, he never wrote the result down explicitly and thus missed the full power of *iterating* it. Andrews first established the Bailey Lemma explicitly in [5] and realized its numerous possible applications in terms of the iterative "Bailey chain" concept. This iteration mechanism enabled him to derive many

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 33D70, 05A19.

S. C. Milne was partially supported by NSF grants DMS 86-04232, DMS 89-04455, and DMS 90-96254

G. M. Lilly was fully supported by NSA supplements to the above NSF grants and by NSA grant MDA 904-88-H-2010

Received by the editors April 28, 1991

S. C. Milne and G. M. Lilly

q-series identities by "reducing" them to more elementary ones. For example, the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities can be reduced to the q-binomial theorem. Furthermore, general multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities are a direct consequence of iterating suitable special cases of Bailey's Lemma. In addition, Andrews notes that Watson's q-analog of Whipple's transformation is an immediate consequence of the second iteration of one of the simplest cases of Bailey's Lemma. Continued iteration of this same case yields Andrews' [3] infinite family of extensions of Watson's q-Whipple transformation. Even Whipple's original work [26, 27] fits into the q = 1 case of this analysis. Paule [22] independently discovered important special cases of Bailey's Lemma and how they could be iterated. Essentially all the depth of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities and their iterations is embedded in Bailey's Lemma.

The process of iterating Bailey's Lemma has led to a wide range of applications in additive number theory, combinatorics, special functions, and mathematical physics. For example, see [2, 5, 6, 7, 9].

The Bailey Transform is a consequence of the terminating $_4\phi_3$ summation theorem. The Bailey Lemma is derived in [1] directly from the $_6\phi_5$ summation and the matrix inversion formulation [4, 13] of the Bailey Transform. We employ a similar method in the A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ} cases by starting with a suitable, higher-dimensional, terminating $_6\phi_5$ summation theorem extracted from [19] and [14], respectively. The A_{ℓ} proofs appear in [20, 21], and the C_{ℓ} case is established in [17]. Many other consequences of the A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ} generalizations of Bailey's Transform and Lemma will appear in future papers. These include A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ} q-Pfaff-Saalschütz summation theorems, q-Whipple transformations, connection coefficient results, and applications of iterating the A_{ℓ} or C_{ℓ} Bailey Lemma.

2. Results

Throughout this article, let i, j, N, and y be vectors of length ℓ with nonnegative integer components. Let q be a complex number such that |q| < 1. Define

(2.1a)
$$(\alpha)_{\infty} \equiv (\alpha; \ q)_{\infty} := \prod_{k \ge 0} (1 - \alpha q^k)$$

and, thus,

(2.1b)
$$(\alpha)_n \equiv (\alpha; q)_n := (\alpha)_\infty / (\alpha q^n)_\infty .$$

Define the Bailey transform matricies, M and M^* , as follows.

Definition $(M \text{ and } M^* \text{ for } A_\ell)$. Let a, x_1, \ldots, x_ℓ be indeterminate. Suppose that none of the denominators in (2.2a-b) vanishes. Then let

(2.2a)
$$M(\boldsymbol{i}; \boldsymbol{j}; A_{\ell}) := \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{j_r - j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left(a q \frac{x_k}{x_\ell} \right)_{i_k + (j_1 + \dots + j_\ell)}^{-1};$$

and

Definition (M and M^* for C_{ℓ}). Let x_1, \ldots, x_{ℓ} be indeterminate. Suppose that none of the denominators in (2.3a-b) vanishes. Then let

(2.3a)
$$M(\boldsymbol{i}; \boldsymbol{j}; C_{\ell}) := \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left[\left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{j_r - j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \left(q x_r x_s q^{j_r + j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \right];$$

and

 $\begin{array}{c} (2.3\mathrm{b}) \\ \\ M^*(\boldsymbol{i};\boldsymbol{j};C_\ell) \end{array}$

$$:= \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left[\left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{j_r - j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \left(x_r x_s q^{j_r + i_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \right] \prod_{\substack{1 \le r < s \le \ell \\ 1 - x_r x_s q^{i_r + j_s} \\ -1 - x_r x_s q^{i_r + i_s}} \left[\frac{1 - x_r x_s q^{j_r + j_s}}{1 - x_r x_s q^{i_r + i_s}} \right] \times (-1)^{(i_1 + \dots + i_\ell) - (j_1 + \dots + j_\ell)} q^{\binom{(i_1 + \dots + i_\ell) - (j_1 + \dots + j_\ell)}{2}}.$$

As in the classical case [1], we have the following theorem.

Theorem (Bailey Transform for A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ}). Let $G = A_{\ell}$ or C_{ℓ} . Let M and M^* be defined as in (2.2) and (2.3), with rows and columns ordered lexicographically. Then M and M^* are inverse, infinite, lower-triangular matricies. That is,

(2.4)
$$\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \delta(i_k, j_k) = \sum_{\substack{j_k \leq u_k \leq i_k \\ k=1, 2, \dots, \ell}} M(\boldsymbol{i}; \boldsymbol{y}; \boldsymbol{G}) \ M^*(\boldsymbol{y}; \boldsymbol{j}; \boldsymbol{G}),$$

where $\delta(r,s) = 1$ if r = s, and 0 otherwise.

Equations (2.2) and (2.3) motivate the definition of the A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ} Bailey pair.

Definition (*G*-Bailey Pair). Let $G = A_{\ell}$ or C_{ℓ} . Let $N_k \geq 0$ be integers for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. Let $A = \{A_{(\boldsymbol{y};G)}\}$ and $B = \{B_{(\boldsymbol{y};G)}\}$ be sequences. Let M and M^* be as above. Then we say that A and B form a G-Bailey Pair if

(2.5)
$$B_{(\boldsymbol{N};G)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_k \le N_k \\ k=1,2,\dots,\ell}} M(\boldsymbol{N};\boldsymbol{y};G) \ A_{(\boldsymbol{y};G)}.$$

As a consequence of the Bailey transform, (2.4), and the definition of the *G*-Bailey pair, (2.5), we have the following result.

Corollary (Bailey Pair Inversion). A and B satisfy equation (2.5) if and only if

(2.6)
$$A_{(\mathbf{N};G)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_k \le N_k \\ k=1,2,\dots,\ell}} M^*(\mathbf{N}; \mathbf{y}; G) \ B_{(\mathbf{y};G)}.$$

Define the sequences $A'=\{A'_{({\bm y};A_\ell)}\}$ and $B'=\{B'_{({\bm y};A_\ell)}\}$ by

(2.7a)
$$A'_{(\mathbf{N};A_{\ell})} := \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{aq}{\rho} \frac{x_k}{x_\ell} \right)_{N_k}^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left(\sigma \frac{x_k}{x_\ell} \right)_{N_k} \times \frac{(\rho)_{N_1 + \dots + N_{\ell}}}{(aq/\sigma)_{N_1 + \dots + N_{\ell}}} (aq/\rho\sigma)^{N_1 + \dots + N_{\ell}} A_{(\mathbf{N}; A_{\ell})}$$

and

$$B'_{(\boldsymbol{N};A_{\ell})} := \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_k \le N_k \\ k=1,2,\dots,\ell}} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[\left(\sigma \frac{x_k}{x_\ell} \right)_{y_k} \left(\frac{aq}{\rho} \frac{x_k}{x_\ell} \right)_{N_k}^{-1} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{y_r - y_s} \right)_{N_r - y_r}^{-1} \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{(aq/\rho\sigma)_{(N_1 + \dots + N_{\ell}) - (y_1 + \dots + y_{\ell})} (\rho)_{y_1 + \dots + y_{\ell}}}{(aq/\sigma)_{N_1 + \dots + N_{\ell}}} \right. \\ \left. \times (aq/\rho\sigma)^{y_1 + \dots + y_{\ell}} B_{(\boldsymbol{y}; A_{\ell})} \right\}$$

Define the sequences $A'=\{A'_{({\bm y};C_\ell)}\}$ and $B'=\{B'_{({\bm y};C_\ell)}\}$ by

(2.8a)
$$A'_{(\mathbf{N};C_{\ell})} := \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[\frac{(\alpha x_k)_{N_k} (qx_k \beta^{-1})_{N_k}}{(\beta x_k)_{N_k} (qx_k \alpha^{-1})_{N_k}} \right] \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{N_1 + \dots + N_{\ell}} A_{(\mathbf{N};C_{\ell})}$$

and

(2.8b)

$$B'_{(\mathbf{N}; \ C_{\ell})} := \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_k \le N_k \\ k=1,2,...,\ell}} \left\{ cr \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[\frac{(\alpha x_k)_{y_k} (qx_k \beta^{-1})_{y_k}}{(\beta x_k)_{N_k} (qx_k \alpha^{-1})_{N_k}} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{y_r - y_s} \right)_{N_r - y_r}^{-1} \\ \times \prod_{1 \le r < s \le \ell} \left[(qx_r x_s q^{y_r + y_s})_{N_s - y_s}^{-1} (qx_r x_s q^{N_s - y_s})_{N_r - y_r}^{-1} \right] \\ \times \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)_{(N_1 + \dots + N_\ell) - (y_1 + \dots + y_\ell)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{y_1 + \dots + y_\ell} B_{(\mathbf{y}; \ C_\ell)} \right\}$$

These definitions lead to our generalization of Bailey's lemma.

Theorem (The G-generalization of Bailey's Lemma). Let $G = A_{\ell}$ or C_{ℓ} . Suppose $A = \{A_{(N;G)}\}$ and $B = \{B_{(N;G)}\}$ form a G-Bailey Pair. If $A' = \{A'_{(N;G)}\}$ and $B' = \{B'_{(N;G)}\}$ are as above, then A' and B' also form a G-Bailey Pair.

3. Sketches of Proofs

Proof of (2.4). In each case, A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ} , we begin with a terminating $_{4}\phi_{3}$ summation theorem. In the C_{ℓ} case, it is first necessary to specialize Gustafson's $C_{\ell} _{6}\phi_{6}$ summation theorem, see [14], terminate it from below and then from above, and further specialize the resulting terminating $_{6}\phi_{5}$ to yield a terminating $_{4}\phi_{3}$. In both the A_{ℓ} and C_{ℓ} cases, the $_{4}\phi_{3}$ is modified by multiplying both the sum and product sides by some additional factors. Finally, that result is transformed term-by-term to yield the sum side of (2.4). \Box

Proof of (2.6). Equation (2.6) follows directly from the definition, (2.5), and the termwise nature of the calculations in the proof of (2.4). \Box

Proof of Bailey's Lemma. The definitions in (2.7) and (2.8) are substituted into (2.5). After an interchange of summation, the inner sum is seen to be a special case of the appropriate $_6\phi_5$. The $_6\phi_5$ is then summed, and the desired result follows. \Box

Detailed proofs of the C_{ℓ} case will appear in [17], as will a discussion of the C_{ℓ} Bailey chain and a connection coefficient result associated with the C_{ℓ} Bailey Transform.

References

- A. K. Agarwal, G. Andrews, and D. Bressoud, *The Bailey lattice*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 51 (1987), 57–73.
- G. E. Andrews, q-Series: Their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics and computer algebra, CBMS Regional Con. Ser. in Math., no. 66, Conf. Board Math. Sci., Washington, DC, 1986.
- _____, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, Theory and Applications of Special Functions (R. Askey, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- <u>—</u>, Connection coefficient problems and partitions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., (D. Ray- Chaudhuri, ed.), vol. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 1–24.
- Multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, Pacific J. Math. 114 (1984), 267–283.
- G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, and P. J. Forrester, *Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities*, J. Statist. Phys. 35 (1984), 193–266.
- G. E. Andrews, F. J. Dyson, and D. Hickerson, *Partitions and indefinite quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), 391–407.
- W. N. Bailey, *Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1949), 1–10.
- 9. R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, London and New York, 1982.
- L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, Angular momentum in quantum physics: Theory and applications, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, (G.-C. Rota, ed.), vol. 8, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- 11. _____, The Racah-Winger algebra in quantum theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, (G.-C. Rota, ed.), vol. 9, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, (G.-C. Rota, ed.), vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- I. Gessel and D. Stanton, Applications of q-Lagrange inversion to basic hypergeometric series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 173–201.
- R. A. Gustafson, The Macdonald identities for affine root systems of classical type and hypergeometric series very well-poised on semi-simple Lie algebras, Ramanujan International Symposium on Analysis (December 26th to 28th, 1987, Pune, India) (N. K. Thakare, ed.), 1989, pp. 187–224.

- W. J. Holman, III, Summation Theorems for hypergeometric series in U(n), SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 (1980), 523–532.
- W. J. Holman III, L. C. Biedenharn, and J. D. Louck, On hypergeometric series well-poised in SU(n), SIAM J. Math. Anal. 7 (1976), 529–541.
- 17. G. M. Lilly and S. C. Milne, The C_{ℓ} Bailey transform and Bailey lemma, preprint.
- S. C. Milne, An elementary proof of the Macdonald identities for A⁽¹⁾_ℓ, Adv. in Math. 57 (1985), 34–70.
- <u>Basic hypergeometric series very well-poised in U(n)</u>, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 122 (1987), 223–256.
- 20. _____, Balanced $_{3}\phi_{2}$ summation theorems for U(n) basic hypergeometric series, (in preparation).
- 21. \ldots , A U(n) generalization of Bailey's lemma, in preparation.
- P. Paule, Zwei neue Transformationen als elementare Anwendungen der q-Vandermonde Formel, Ph.D. thesis, 1982, University of Vienna.
- L. J. Rogers, Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 (1894), 318–343.
- On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities, Proc. London Math. Soc (2) 16 (1917), 315–336.
- 25. L. J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1966.
- 26. F. J. W. Whipple, On well-poised series, generalized hypergeometric series having parameters in pairs, each pair with the same sum, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 24 (1924), 247–263.
- Well-poised series and other generalized hypergeometric series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 25 (1926), 525–544.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210 *E-mail address*: milne@function.mps.ohio-state.edu