

A SHORT PROOF OF THE IRREFLEXIVITY CONJECTURE

THOMAS JECH

Let $(A, *)$ be the free distributive algebra on one generator; $*$ is a binary operation that satisfies

$$a * (b * c) = (a * b) * (a * c).$$

In his solution of the word problem for $(A, *)$ [], Laver introduced the relation

$$a < b \quad \text{iff} \quad b = ((a * b_1) * b_2 * \cdots) * b_k \text{ for some } b_1, \dots, b_k$$

and proved that $<$ is a linear ordering. Laver's proof uses a large cardinal assumption that is not provable in ordinary mathematics.

In a series of papers [], Dehornoy showed (without any additional assumption) that any distinct $a, b \in A$ are comparable in $<$ and reduced the word problem to the problem of existence of a distributive one-generated algebra A in which $a < a$ does not hold for any $a \in A$, the *irreflexivity conjecture*. A consequence of irreflexivity is that the algebra is free.

Dehornoy's latest paper [] proves the irreflexivity conjecture. A major step of Dehornoy's proof is the idea of embedding the free distributive algebra into the braid group B_∞ (see (5) below).

In this note we give a short proof of Dehornoy's theorem by giving a simple argument showing that the operation $*$ in B_∞ satisfies irreflexivity of $<$.

Let F be the free group on free generators $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$, and let B be the group of automorphisms of F generated by $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots\}$, where

$$(1) \quad \sigma_i(x_i) = x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \quad \sigma(x_{i+1}) = x_i, \quad \sigma_i(x_j) = x_j \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-8918299

Lemma 1. For every i and every integer k , $\sigma_i(x_i^k) = x_i x_{i+1}^k x_i^{-1}$ and $\sigma_i(x_{i+1}) = x_i^k$. $\square$

Lemma 2. (Artin [].) For every i and j ,

$$(2) \quad \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_i + 1, \quad , \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i - j| > 1.$$

Let W be the set of all (reduced) words in F of the form

$$(3) \quad w = x_{i_1}^{k_1} \cdots x_{i_n}^{k_n},$$

where $n \geq 1$, $k_1, \dots, k_n \neq 0$, and $i_1 \neq 1$, $i_n \neq 1$ (words $\neq 1$ that do not begin or end with x_1 or x_1^{-1}).

Lemma 3. If $i \neq 1$ and $w \in W$ then $\sigma_i(w) \in W$ and $\sigma_i^{-1}(w) \in W$.

Proof. Let G be the subgroup of F generated by $\{x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ and let $G^- = G - \{1\}$. Clearly, $\sigma_i(x_1) = x_1$, and if $w \in G^-$ then $\sigma_i(w) \in G^-$. If w is in W , then either $w \in G^-$, or $w = ux_1^m v$ with $u, v \in G^-$ and $m \neq 0$, or $w = ux_1^m zx_1^n v$ with $u, v \in G^-$ and $m, n \neq 0$. In either case, $\sigma_i(w) \in W$. The same holds for σ_i^{-1} . $\square$

Lemma 4. If $w \in W$, then $\sigma_1(x_1 w x_1^{-1}) = x_1 \bar{w} x_1^{-1}$ for some $\bar{w} \in W$.

Proof. Let F_2 be the subgroup of F generated by $\{x_1, x_2\}$.

Case I: $w \in F_2$. In this case,

$$(4) \quad w = x_2^{k_1} x_1^{k_2} x_2^{k_3} \cdots x_1^{k_{n-1}} x_2^{k_n} \quad (n \geq 1, k_1, \dots, k_n \neq 0)$$

and we have (by Lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(x_1 w x_1^{-1}) &= x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} \cdot x_1^{k_1} \cdot x_1 x_2^{k_2} x_1^{-1} \cdots x_1^{k_n} \cdot x_1 x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} \\ &= x_1 x_2 x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_1^{k_n} x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} \\ &= x_1 \bar{w} x_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case II: $w = uzv$ with $u, v \in F_2$ and $z \in Z$ where Z is the set of all words $\neq 1$ that do not begin or end with x_1 , x_1^{-1} , x_2 or x_2^{-1} . As $\sigma_1(z) \in Z$, a similar argument as in Case I shows that $\sigma_1(x_1 w x_1^{-1}) = x_1 \bar{w} x_1^{-1}$ where $\bar{w} \in W$: For instance consider the alternative

$$w = x_2^{k_1} x_1^{k_2} \cdots x_1^{k_{n-1}} x_2^{k_n} z \quad (n \geq 1, k_i \neq 0, z \in Z)$$

(the other alternatives being similar). Then

$$\sigma_1(x_1 w x_1^{-1}) = x_1 x_2 x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_2^{k_{n-1}} x_1^{k_n} \cdot \bar{z} \cdot x_1 x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} = x_1 \bar{w} x_1^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\beta \in B$ be such that it can be written as a word on $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots\}$ with some occurrences of σ_1 but no occurrences of σ_1^{-1} . Then $\beta(x_1) \neq x_1$ and so $\beta \neq 1$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and the fact that $\sigma_1(x_1) = x_1x_2x_1^{-1} \in x_1Wx_1^{-1}$. Since

$$\beta = \alpha_1\sigma_1\alpha_2\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_1\alpha_n,$$

where each α_i is in the subgroup of B generated by $\{\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots\}$, we have $\alpha_1(x_1) = x_1$, $\alpha_1\sigma_1(x_1) \in x_1Wx_1^{-1}$, and so $\beta(x_1) \in x_1Wx_1^{-1}$. $\square$

Definition (Dehornoy). For any $\alpha, \beta \in B$, let

$$(5) \quad \alpha * \beta = \alpha \cdot s(\beta) \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot s(\alpha^{-1})$$

where s is the shift: $s(\sigma_i) = \sigma_{i+1}$, $s(\sigma_i^{-1}) = \sigma_{i+1}^{-1}$, and $s(\xi \cdot \eta) = s(\xi) \cdot s(\eta)$.

Theorem. (Dehornoy [].) *For any $\alpha \in B$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in B$,*

$$\alpha \neq ((\alpha * \beta_1) * \beta_2 * \dots) * \beta_k.$$

Proof. It follows from (5) that $\alpha * \beta_1 * \dots * \beta_k = \alpha \cdot \beta$ where β has some occurrences of σ_1 but no occurrences of σ_1^{-1} . Now apply Lemma 5. $\square$

Lemma 6. (Dehornoy [].) *For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in B$, $\alpha * (\beta * \gamma) = (\alpha * \beta) * (\alpha * \gamma)$.*

Proof. Using (2). $\square$

Corollary. *The free distributive algebra on one generator satisfies the irreflexivity conjecture.*

REFERENCES

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, U.S.A.

E-mail address: jech@math.psu.edu