

A class of simple proper Bol loops*

Gábor P. Nagy

November 26, 2024

The existence of finite simple non-Moufang Bol loops was considered as one of the main open problems in the theory of loops and quasigroups. In this paper, we present a class of proper simple Bol loops. This class also contains finite and new infinite simple proper Bol loops.

1 Preliminaries

For a loop Q , we call the maps $L_a(x) = ax$, $R_a(x) = xa$ *left* and *right translations*, respectively. These are permutations of Q , generating the *left* and *right multiplication groups* $\text{LMlt}(Q)$, $\text{RMlt}(Q)$ of Q , respectively. The group closure $\text{Mlt}(Q)$ of $\text{LMlt}(Q)$ and $\text{RMlt}(Q)$ is the *full multiplication group* of Q . Just like for groups, normal subloops are kernels of homomorphisms of loops. The loop Q is *simple* if it has no proper normal subloop. The *commutator-associator subloop* Q' is the smallest normal subloop of Q such that Q/Q' is an Abelian group. For basic introductory reference on loops see [11].

The loop Q is a *left (right) Bol loop* if the identity $x(y(xz)) = (x(yx))z$ ($((xy)z)y = x((yz)y)$) holds in Q . Loops which satisfy both identities are called *Moufang loops*.

For any field F , L. J. Paige [10] constructed a simple nonassociative Moufang loop $M(F)$. Using the classification of finite simple groups, M. Liebeck [5] showed that the only finite simple nonassociative Moufang loops are $M(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The existence of finite simple non-Moufang Bol loops was considered as the one of the main open problems in the theory of loops and quasigroups, cf. [12] and [1, Question 4].

In this paper, Bol loops are left Bol loops and with proper Bol loops we mean left Bol loops which are not Moufang.

2 Bol loops as sections in groups

Let Q be a Bol loop, G the group generated by the left translations of Q , H the stabilizer of 1 in G and S the set of left translations. (S is called the *left section* of the loop.) It is widely known that the triple (G, H, S) satisfies the following properties:

*This paper was written during the author's Marie Curie Fellowship MEIF-CT-2006-041105.

(F1) $1 \in S$ and for all $s, t \in S$, we have $sts \in S$.

(F2) S is a system of left coset representative for all conjugates H^g of H in G .

Conversely, let (G^*, H^*, S^*) be a triple satisfying (F1) and (F2). For $s, t \in S^*$, let u be the unique element in $stH^* \cap S^*$. Then, the binary operation $s \circ t = u$ turns S^* into a left Bol loop. Following M. Aschbacher [1], we call the triples (G^*, H^*, S^*) satisfying (F1) and (F2) *Bol loop folders*.

The map $\alpha : S \rightarrow G/H$, $\alpha(s) = sH$ is a bijection. Let us denote by \tilde{g} the permutation induced by $g \in G$ on the left cosets of H in G : $\tilde{g}(hH) = ghH$. Then $\alpha L_s = \tilde{s}\alpha$, where $L_s : S \rightarrow S$, $L_s(t) = s \circ t$ is the left translation in the Bol loop (S, \circ) . This means that we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi : \hat{G} = \langle S \rangle \rightarrow \text{LMlt}(S, \circ), \quad s \mapsto L_s = \alpha^{-1}\tilde{s}\alpha.$$

The kernel of φ is the largest normal subgroup of \hat{G} contained in $H \cap \hat{G}$. If the permutation representation of G on the left cosets of H is faithful, that is, if H contains no proper normal subgroup of G , then φ is a bijection.

In the remaining of this section, we show some *folklore* results which connect the structure of the Bol loop Q and its left multiplication group.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Q be a loop with left multiplication group $G = \text{LMlt}(Q)$. Then $Q = Q'$ if and only if G' acts transitively on Q .*

Proof. Let A be an Abelian group and assume $\varphi : Q \rightarrow A$ is a surjective homomorphism. Then the map $\tilde{\varphi} : L_x \mapsto \varphi(x)$ extends to a surjective homomorphism $G \rightarrow A$. Indeed, if $L_{x_1} \cdots L_{x_n} = 1$ then $x_1(x_2(\cdots x_n)) = 1$ and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(L_{x_1}) \cdots \tilde{\varphi}(L_{x_n}) = \varphi(x_1) \cdots \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x_1(x_2(\cdots x_n))) = \varphi(1) = 1.$$

Moreover, $N = \ker \tilde{\varphi}$ contains the stabilizer G_1 of the unit element of Q in G :

$$\tilde{\varphi}(L_{xy}^{-1}L_xL_y) = \varphi(xy)^{-1}\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = 1.$$

Therefore, N and $G' \leq N$ are not transitive. Conversely, if G' is not transitive then $N = G'G_1$ is a proper normal subgroup of G . Then the map $\varphi : Q \rightarrow G/N$, $\varphi(x) = L_xN$ is a surjective homomorphism:

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = L_xNL_yN = L_{xy}L_{xy}^{-1}L_xL_yN = L_{xy}N = \varphi(xy). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let Q be a Bol loop and let σ be an automorphism of $\text{LMlt}(Q)$ such that $L_x^\sigma = L_x^{-1}$ for all $x \in Q$. Let K be proper normal subloop of Q . Then, $K = N(1)$ for some σ -invariant normal subgroup N of $\text{LMlt}(Q)$. In particular, Q is simple if all σ -invariant normal subgroups of $\text{LMlt}(Q)$ act transitively on Q .*

Proof. Put $G = \text{LMlt}(Q)$ and define the subset

$$M = \{g \in G \mid g(yK) = yK \text{ for all } y \in Q \}.$$

of G . Clearly, $M \triangleleft G$ and $L_x \in M \cap M^\sigma$ for all $x \in K$. For the σ -invariant normal subgroup $N = M \cap M^\sigma$, $K = N(1)$ holds. \square

We notice that for any simple proper Bol loop Q , the left multiplication group possesses an involutorial automorphism σ with $L_x^\sigma = L_x^{-1}$ for all $x \in Q$.

The bijection $u : Q \rightarrow Q$ is a *left pseudo-automorphism* of the loop Q with *companion element* $c \in Q$ if

$$cu(xy) = (cu(x))u(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in Q$. Equivalently, $u(1) = 1$ and $L_c u L_x = L_{cu(x)} u$ for all $x \in Q$.

Two loops (Q, \cdot) and (K, \circ) are *isotopes* if bijections $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : Q \rightarrow K$ exist such that $\alpha(x) \circ \beta(y) = \gamma(x \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. The loop Q is a *G-loop* if it is isomorphic to all its isotopes.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Q be a left Bol loop and let us denote by S the set of left translations of Q . The loop Q is a G-loop if and only if for all $c \in Q$ there is an permutation u of Q such that $u(1) = 1$ and $uSu^{-1} = L_c^{-1}S$ hold.*

Proof. Combining Theorem III.6.1 and IV.6.16 of [11], we see that a left Bol loop is a G-loop if and only if every element of Q occurs as a companion of some left pseudo-automorphism. Let $c \in Q$ be given and let $u : Q \rightarrow Q$ be a bijection such that $u(1) = 1$ and for all $x \in Q$ holds $uL_x u^{-1} = L_c^{-1}L_{x'}$, where $x' \in Q$ depends on x, c and u . Then, $x' = cu(x)$ and u is a left pseudo-automorphism with companion c . \square

We close this section with a lemma on the left multiplication groups of nonproper Bol loops.

Lemma 2.4. *Let Q be a simple Moufang loop. Then $\text{LMlt}(Q)$ is a simple group.*

Proof. If Q is a simple group, then $\text{LMlt}(Q_{\mathcal{T}}) \cong Q_{\mathcal{T}}$ is simple. The left and right Bol identities can be written in the form

$$R_{xz}R_x^{-1} = L_x^{-1}R_zL_x, \quad L_{xy}L_y^{-1} = R_y^{-1}L_xR_y.$$

This means that for Moufang loops, the left and right multiplication groups are normal in the full multiplication group. Theorem 4.3 of [8] says that for an arbitrary nonassociative simple Moufang loop Q , the multiplication group is simple. Hence, $\text{LMlt}(Q) = \text{RMlt}(Q) = \text{Mlt}(Q)$ is a simple group. \square

3 Construction of left Bol loops using exact factorizations of groups

Definition 3.1. *The triple (X, Y_0, Y_1) is called an exact factorization triple if X is a group, Y_0, Y_1 are subgroups of X satisfying $Y_0 \cap Y_1 = 1$ and $Y_0Y_1 = X$. The exact factorization triple (X, Y_0, Y_1) is faithful if Y_0, Y_1 do not contain proper normal subgroups of X .*

If Y_1 does not contain any proper normal subgroup of X , then an equivalent definition of exact factorization triples is that Y_0 is a regular subgroup in the permutation representation of X on the cosets of Y_1 . In the mathematical literature, the group X is also called the *Zappa-Szép product* of the subgroups Y_0, Y_1 .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (X, Y_0, Y_1)$ be a faithful exact factorization triple. Let us define the triple (G, H, S) by*

$$G = X \times X, \quad H = Y_0 \times Y_1 \leq G, \quad S = \{(x, x^{-1}) \mid x \in X\}.$$

Then (G, H, S) is a Bol loop folder. The associated left Bol loop (S, \circ) is a G-loop.

Proof. We first show that S is a left transversal for all conjugate of H . Let $a, b \in X$ be arbitrary elements; we can write $a^{-1} = a_0 a_1$ and $b = b_0 b_1$ in a unique way with $a_0, b_0 \in Y_0$ and $a_1, b_1 \in Y_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x \in X : (x, x^{-1}) \in (a, b)H &\iff \exists y_0 \in Y_0, y_1 \in Y_1 : ay_0 = y_1^{-1}b^{-1} \\ &\iff \exists y_0 \in Y_0, y_1 \in Y_1 : a_1^{-1}a_0^{-1}y_0 = y_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}b_0^{-1} \\ &\iff \exists y_0 \in Y_0, y_1 \in Y_1 : a_0^{-1}y_0b_0 = a_1y_1^{-1}b_1^{-1} \in Y_0 \cap Y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_0 \cap Y_1 = 1$, we obtain $y_0 = a_0 b_0^{-1}$, $y_1 = b_1^{-1} a_1$ and the unique element of $(a, b)H \cap S$ is $(a_1^{-1} b_0^{-1}, b_0 a_1)$. This shows that S is a left transversal to H in G . In order to prove the same fact for the conjugates of H , let us take an arbitrary $g \in G$ and write $g = sh$; we have $H^g = H^s$. For $a \in G$ let us define $t \in S$ as the unique element of $S \cap s^{-1} a s^{-1} H$. Then sts is the unique element of $S \cap a H^s$. This proves (F1) and (F2).

Let $b \in X$ and write $b = y_0 y_1^{-1}$ with $y_0 \in Y_0, y_1 \in Y_1$. Then

$$(y_0, y_1)(a, a^{-1})(y_0, y_1)^{-1} = (b, b^{-1})(y_1 a y_0^{-1}, y_0 a^{-1} y_1^{-1}) \in (b, b^{-1})S.$$

Since $b \in X$ is arbitrary and $(y_0, y_1) \in H$, Lemma 2.3 implies that (S, \circ) is a G-loop. \square

Definition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (X, Y_0, Y_1)$ be a faithful exact factorization triple and let us define G, H, S as in Proposition 3.2. The Bol loop corresponding to the Bol loop folder (G, H, S) will be denoted by $Q_{\mathcal{T}}$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (X, Y_0, Y_1)$ be a faithful exact factorization triple and let us define G, H, S as in Proposition 3.2. Then $\text{LMlt}(Q_{\mathcal{T}})$ is isomorphic to $\hat{G} = \langle S \rangle \cong X.X' \triangleleft G$.*

Proof. We claim that H contains no normal subgroup of G . Indeed, the projections of H to the direct factors of $G = X \times X$ are Y_0, Y_1 which contain no normal subgroup of X . Thus a normal subgroup of H must have trivial projections, hence it must be trivial. Therefore, the permutation action of G on the left cosets of H is faithful and we can consider G as a permutation group. Moreover, by the definition of $Q = Q_{\mathcal{T}}$, the left translations are precisely the permutations induced by the elements of S . This proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (X, Y_0, Y_1)$ be a faithful exact factorization triple such that $X'Y_0 = X''Y_1 = X$ and let us define G, H, S as in Proposition 3.2. Then*

(i) $\hat{G}'H = G$ with $\hat{G} = \langle S \rangle$.

(ii) $Q_{\mathcal{T}} = Q_{\mathcal{T}}$. In particular, $Q_{\mathcal{T}}$ is not solvable.

Proof. Since $X' \times X' \leq \hat{G}$, we have $X'' \times X'' \leq \hat{G}'$. By $1 \times Y_1 \leq H$ and $X''Y_1 = X$, we obtain $1 \times X \leq \hat{G}'H$. Clearly, for any $a, b \in X$, $([a, b], [a^{-1}, b^{-1}]) \in \hat{G}'$, thus $([a, b], 1) \in \hat{G}'H$. This implies $X' \times 1 \leq \hat{G}'H$, hence $X \times 1 \leq \hat{G}'H$ by $Y_0 \times 1 \leq H$ and $X'Y_0 = X$. This proves (i). Lemma 3.4 says that \hat{G} is isomorphic to the left multiplication group of Q and the commutator subgroup of \hat{G} acts transitively on the left cosets of H in G . Therefore, (ii) follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

We call the group X *almost simple* if $T \leq X \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ for some nonabelian simple group T . The group T is the *socle* of X .

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be an almost simple group with socle T . Let $\mathcal{T} = (X, Y_0, Y_1)$ be a faithful exact factorization triple and assume $X = TY_0 = TY_1$. Then $Q_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a simple proper left Bol loop.*

Proof. Let σ be the automorphism of G mapping $(a, b) \mapsto (b, a)$. Since $S^\sigma = S$, we have $\hat{G}^\sigma = \hat{G}$ and $\text{LMlt}(Q_{\mathcal{T}})$ has an automorphism which inverts the left translations. Clearly, $T \times T \leq X' \times X' \leq \hat{G}$ and every σ -invariant normal subgroup of \hat{G} contains $T \times T$. However, $T \times T$ is transitive by assumption, thus, $Q_{\mathcal{T}}$ is simple by Lemma 2.2. Moreover, Q is proper Bol by Lemma 2.4. \square

4 Some classes of simple proper Bol loops

In this section we present some finite and infinite simple proper Bol loops by applying the construction of Proposition 3.2.

Example I: Put $X = PSL(n, 2)$, let Y_0 be a Singer cycle and Y_1 be the stabilizer of a projective point. Then $Q_{(X, Y_0, Y_1)}$ is a finite simple proper Bol loop by Theorem 3.6. We notice that many other finite simple groups have exact factorizations. The factorizations of finite groups are intensively studied, cf. [6], [4] and the references therein.

Example II: Let n be an even integer and put $X = S_n$, $Y_0 = \langle (1, 2, \dots, n) \rangle$ and $Y_1 = S_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 4$. Define the loop $Q_n = Q_{(X, Y_0, Y_1)}$. If $n \geq 6$ then Q_n is simple by Theorem 3.6. If $n = 4$ then by Proposition 3.5 Q_4 is a nonsolvable Bol loop of order 24. It is known that all Bol loops of order at most 12 are solvable, thus, Q_4 is simple. We emphasize the fact that the left multiplication group of Q_4 is a solvable group of order 288. The computer result [7] of G. E. Moorhouse shows that all Bol loops of order less than 24 are solvable, hence Q_4 is a simple Bol loop of least possible order.

Example III: Put $X = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and define the subgroups

$$Y_0 = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad Y_1 = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of X . By Theorem 3.6, $Q_{(X,Y_0,Y_1)}$ is a proper simple proper Bol loop which is isomorphic to all its isotopes. In particular, $Q_{(X,Y_0,Y_1)}$ is not isotopic to a Bruck loop. Moreover, the left translation group is $PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

In [2], the author classifies all differentiable Bol loops having a semi-simple left multiplications group of dimension at most 9. Our construction shows that the classification cannot be complete. (The author seems not to consider the case when the group G topologically generated by the left translations is a proper direct product of simple Lie groups G_1, G_2 and the stabilizer of $1 \in Q$ in G is a direct product $H = H_1 \times H_2$ with $1 \neq H_i \leq G_i, i = 1, 2$.)

Example IV: Let Σ be the set of non-zero squares in \mathbb{F}_{27} , $|\Sigma| = 13$. Let X be the set of transformations

$$X = \{f(z) = az^\tau + b \mid a \in \Sigma, b \in \mathbb{F}_{27}, \tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{27})\}$$

of \mathbb{F}_{27} . Then X has order $1053 = 3^4 \cdot 13$ and it acts primitively on \mathbb{F}_{27} . Moreover,

$$X' = \{f(z) = az + b \mid a \in \Sigma, b \in \mathbb{F}_{27}\}, \quad X'' = \{f(z) = z + b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_{27}\}.$$

We define Y_1 as the stabilizer of 0 in X . Since X'' acts regularly, we have $X''Y_1 = X$. Let U be the 3-Sylow subgroup of X , $|U| = 81$. Clearly, $U/X'' \cong C_3$, thus $U' \cap U_0 = 1$ where U_0 is the stabilizer of 0 in U . Therefore, U has a subgroup Y_0 of order 27 such that $Y_0 \neq X''$ and $Y_0 \cap U_0 = 1$; in other words, Y_0 acts regularly on \mathbb{F}_{27} . Since X'' is the unique 3-Sylow subgroup of X' , Y_0 cannot be contained in X' . This implies $X'Y_0 = X$ because X' has index 3 in X .

We define now the Bol loop $Q = Q_{(X,Y_0,Y_1)}$. Let K be a maximal proper normal subloop of Q , that is, Q/K be a simple loop. If Q/K were associative then by the Odd Order Theorem, it would be a cyclic group and we had a surjective homomorphism from Q to an Abelian group. By Proposition 3.5 this is not possible. Hence, Q/K is a proper simple Bol loop of odd order. (It can be shown by computer that Q itself is a simple Bol loop.) This last construction shows that the Odd Order Theorem does not hold for finite Bol loops. (Cf. [3].)

Acknowledgement I would like to thank Peter Müller (Uni. Würzburg) for his help in finding the group X in Example IV. I also thank Petr Vojtěchovský and Michael Kinyon (Uni. Denver) for many stimulating conversations and helpful comments.

References

- [1] M. ASCHBACHER. On Bol loops of exponent 2. *J. Algebra* **288**, No. 1, 99-136 (2005).
- [2] A. FIGULA. Bol loops as sections in semi-simple Lie groups of small dimension. *Manuscr. Math.* **121**, No. 3, 367-384 (2006).
- [3] T. FOGUEL, M. K. KINYON and J. D. PHILLIPS. On twisted subgroups and Bol loops of odd order. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0208231> (2004).

- [4] M. GIUDICI. Factorisations of sporadic simple groups. *J. Algebra* **304**, No. 1, 311-323 (2006).
- [5] M. W. LIEBECK. The classification of finite simple Moufang loops. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **102**, 33-47 (1987).
- [6] M. W. LIEBECK, C. E. PRAEGER and J. SAXL. Transitive subgroups of primitive permutation groups. *J. Algebra* **234**, No.2, 291-361 (2000).
- [7] G. E. MOORHOUSE. Bol loops of small order. <http://www.uwo.edu/moorhouse/pub/bo1/> (2007).
- [8] G. P. NAGY and M. VALSECCHI. Splitting automorphisms and Moufang loops. *Glasgow Math. J.* **46**, 305-310 (2004).
- [9] P. T. NAGY and K. STRAMBACH. *Loops in Group Theory and Lie Theory*. W. de Gruyter, Berlin, New York (2002).
- [10] L. J. PAIGE. A class of simple Moufang loops. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7**, 471-482 (1956).
- [11] H. O. PFLUGFELDER. *Quasigroups and loops*. Heldermann-Verlag, Berlin (1990).
- [12] *Problems in Loop Theory and Quasigroup Theory*. <http://www.math.du.edu/plq/> (2005).