

# A WEIL PAIRING ON THE $p$ -TORSION OF ORDINARY ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER $K[\epsilon]$

JULIANA V. BELDING

ABSTRACT. For an elliptic curve  $E$  over any field  $K$ , the Weil pairing  $e_n$  is a bilinear map on  $n$ -torsion. For  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , the map  $e_n$  is degenerate if and only if  $n$  is divisible by  $p$ . In this paper, we consider  $E$  over the dual numbers  $K[\epsilon]$  and define a non-degenerate “Weil pairing on  $p$ -torsion” which shares many of the same properties of the Weil pairing. We also show that the discrete logarithm attacks on  $p$ -torsion subgroups of Semaev and Rück may be viewed as Weil-pairing-based attacks, just like the MOV attack. Finally, we describe an attack on the discrete logarithm problem on anomalous curves, analogous to that of Smart, using a lift of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon]$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E$  be an ordinary elliptic curve over  $K$ , an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . For  $n$  relatively prime to  $p$ , the Weil pairing is a bilinear, non-degenerate map

$$e_n : E[n] \times E[n] \rightarrow \mu_n(K)$$

where  $E[n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is the  $n$ -torsion subgroup of  $E$  and  $\mu_n(K)$  is the group of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity of  $K$ . The Weil pairing is a useful tool in both the theory and application of elliptic curves.

For  $p|n$ , however, the Weil pairing is degenerate. This is true for two reasons:  $K$  contains no non-trivial  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity and  $E[p] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Each of these facts implies that  $e_p(P, Q) = 1$  for all  $P, Q \in E[p]$ . (The second implies degeneracy since the Weil pairing satisfies the property that  $e_n(P, P) = 1$ .)

In this paper, we remedy this situation by considering  $E$  over the ring of dual numbers  $K[\epsilon]$ . Through this deformation of  $K$ , we find substitutes for the “missing” geometric points and therefore are able to define a non-degenerate “Weil pairing” for  $n = p$ . In the process, we demonstrate that the discrete logarithm attacks on  $p$ -torsion subgroups of [5] and [6] are essentially Weil-pairing-based attacks, no different than the MOV attacks on  $n$ -torsion subgroups for  $(n, p) = 1$ .

In section 2.1, we give an introduction to elliptic curves over the dual numbers. In sections 2.2 and 2.3, we recall Miller’s algorithm for computing the Weil pairing and Semaev’s algorithm for solving the discrete log problem (DLP) on  $p$ -subgroups of elliptic curves. In sections 3 and 4, we define the “Weil pairing on  $p$ -torsion”  $e_p$  over the dual numbers, show its direct relation to Semaev’s algorithm, and prove that it satisfies the basic properties of the Weil pairing. We also describe how  $e_p$

---

*Date:* March 29, 2007.

This work was made possible with the support of a VIGRE fellowship.

Thanks to Professor Lawrence Washington for his helpful discussions about this work.

Keywords: Weil pairing, dual numbers, anomalous elliptic curves, discrete logarithm problem.

can be used to solve the DLP on  $p$ -torsion subgroups of an elliptic curve. In section 5, we give a simple way to compute the pairing using the algorithm of Rück defined in [5]. In section 6, we describe how the map  $e_p$  behaves with respect to isogenies of elliptic curves. In the last section, we give another application of elliptic curves over the dual numbers, namely a DLP attack on anomalous curves, analogous to that of Smart in [9].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Elliptic Curves over the Dual Numbers.** The ring of dual numbers of the ring  $R$  is  $R[x]/(x^2)$ , denoted  $R[\epsilon]$  with  $\epsilon^2 = 0$ . Considering elliptic curves over the dual numbers was proposed in [10], where Virat introduced a cryptosystem based on elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q[\epsilon]$ , the dual numbers of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0, 2, 3$ . Let  $E$  be the elliptic curve over  $K$  given by the Weierstrass equation  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ . Let  $\tilde{A} = A + A_1\epsilon$  and  $\tilde{B} = B + B_1\epsilon$ , for some  $A_1, B_1 \in K$ . We call the curve  $y^2 = x^3 + \tilde{A}x + \tilde{B}$  a **lift of  $E$  to  $K[\epsilon]$** , and denote it as  $\tilde{E}$ .

The set of points  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  consists of two sets:

- *Affine Points:*  $P = (x_0 + x_1\epsilon : y_0 + y_1\epsilon : 1)$  such that

$$(x_0, y_0) \in E(K) \text{ and } (2y_0)y_1 = (3x_0^2 + A)x_1 + A_1x_0 + B_1. \quad (1)$$

- *Points at Infinity:*  $\mathcal{O}_k = (k\epsilon : 1 : 0)$  for all  $k \in K$ .

Let  $\Theta$  denote the set  $\{\mathcal{O}_k | k \in K\}$  and let  $P_\infty$  denote  $\mathcal{O}_0$ . The standard addition law for elliptic curves may be extended to give an addition law on  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  (see [11], p. 61). An easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^+ & \rightarrow & \Theta \\ k & \mapsto & \mathcal{O}_k \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus,  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  contains the  $p$ -torsion subgroup  $\Theta$ , and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Theta \rightarrow \tilde{E}(K[\epsilon]) \rightarrow E(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\tilde{A} = A$  and  $\tilde{B} = B$ , we call  $\tilde{E}$  the **canonical lift** of  $E$ , since the  $p$ -torsion points  $E[p]$  remain  $p$ -torsion points in  $\tilde{E}$ . (This terminology comes from the definition of the canonical lift of an elliptic curve to  $\mathbb{Q}_q$ .) For the remainder of the paper (except in Section 7), we will assume we are in this situation. In this case, the sequence splits and every point of  $\tilde{E}$  may be decomposed as a point of  $E(K)$  and a point of infinity. A straightforward calculation using the addition laws gives the following lemma. (Note that  $3x_0^2 + A \neq 0$  for points of order 2, since the curve is non-singular.)

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  with  $\tilde{P} = (x_0 + x_1\epsilon : y_0 + y_1\epsilon : 1)$ . Then there exists a unique  $k \in K$  such that  $\tilde{P} = P + \mathcal{O}_k$ , with  $P = (x_0 : y_0 : 1) \in E(K)$ . Furthermore*

$$k = \begin{cases} -\frac{x_1}{2y_0} & \text{if } y_0 \neq 0 \\ -\frac{y_1}{3x_0^2 + A} & \text{if } y_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that if  $y_0 \neq 0$ , the point  $(x_1, y_1)$  lies on the line through the origin with slope  $\frac{3x_0^2 + A}{2y_0}$ , which is precisely the tangent space of the elliptic curve point  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Thus points of  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  may be thought of as points of  $E(K)$  with extra ‘‘derivative’’

information. (In fact, the set of points of  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  may be naturally identified with the tangent bundle of the variety  $E$ .)

The canonical lift  $\tilde{E}$  has  $p$ -torsion  $\tilde{E}[p] = E[p] \oplus \Theta$ . Furthermore,  $\mu_p(K[\epsilon])$  has non-trivial  $p^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity, in particular the subgroup  $\{1 + a\epsilon : a \in K\}$ . Thus we will see that there is a non-degenerate ‘‘Weil pairing’’ on the  $p$ -torsion of  $\tilde{E}$ . Before we proceed we recall Miller’s method of computing the Weil pairing.

**2.2. Miller’s algorithm for computing the Weil pairing.** Let  $(n, p) = 1$ . Let  $P, Q \in E[n]$ , and let  $D_P, D_Q$  be divisors with disjoint support which sum to  $P, Q$  respectively. Let  $f_P, f_Q$  be functions with divisors  $nD_P, nD_Q$  respectively. The Weil pairing is defined as

$$e_n(P, Q) = \frac{f_P(D_Q)}{f_Q(D_P)}.$$

This definition is independent of the choices of divisors by Weil reciprocity. In [4], Miller gives a way to compute the value  $f_P(D_Q)$ . As this will be the foundation for the definition of the ‘‘Weil pairing on  $p$ -torsion,’’ we recall the details here.

Let  $P \in E[n]$ . Choose any two points  $T, R \in E(K)$  such that the divisors  $D_P = (P + T) - (T)$  and  $D_Q = (Q + R) - (R)$  are disjoint. Let  $f_P$  be the function with divisor  $nD_P$ . Note that this function is unique only up to a non-zero constant. Following [4], in such situations, we choose the unique function with the value 1 at  $P_\infty$ , which we call the **normalized** function. (Note that since we are calculating the ratio  $f_P(Q + R)/f_P(R)$ , such constants may in fact be disregarded.)

Let  $f_k$  denote the (normalized) function with

$$\text{div}(f_k) = k(P + T) - k(T) - (kP + T) + (T).$$

Note that  $\text{div}(f_1) = 0$ , so  $f_1 \equiv 1$ . Also note that  $\text{div}(f_P) = \text{div}(f_n)$  and  $\text{div}(f_{i+j}) = \text{div}(f_i f_j h_{i,j})$  where

$$\text{div}(h_{i,j}) = -((i + j)P + T) + (iP + T) + (jP + T) - (T).$$

Thus  $f_P(Q) = f_n(Q)$  can be calculated recursively by using an addition chain decomposition for  $n$ .

An addition chain for a positive integer  $n$  is an increasing sequence of integers  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that for each  $k \in S$  with  $k > 1$ , there exist  $i, j \in S$  such that  $i + j = k$ . Given an addition chain  $S$ , an **addition chain decomposition**  $C$  of  $n$  is a sequence of steps of the form  $(k \mapsto i, j)$  with  $i + j = k$  and  $i, j, k \in S$  which decomposes  $n$  into the sum of  $n$  ones:  $\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_n$ . Note that any decomposition will

consist of exactly  $n - 1$  steps.

Thus, since  $f_k(Q) = f_i(Q)f_j(Q)h_{i,j}(Q)$  and  $f_1 \equiv 1$ ,  $f_n(Q)$  will be the product of  $n - 1$  contributions of the form  $h_{i,j}(Q)$ . For example, if  $n = 11$  and  $S = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 11\}$ , then one possible decomposition is

$$f_{11} = f_1 f_{10} h_{1,10} = f_1 f_2 f_8 h_{1,10} h_{2,8} = \dots = f_1^{11} h_{1,10} h_{2,8} h_{4,4} h_{2,2}^2 h_{1,1}^5.$$

Given an addition chain decomposition  $C$  for  $n$ , we write  $\prod_C h_{i,j}(Q)$  to denote the value  $f_n(Q)$ . Note that there always exists a decomposition with  $O(\log n)$  distinct  $h_{i,j}$ .

Let  $\ell_{i,j}$  denote the line through  $iP$  and  $jP$ , and let  $v_i$  denote the vertical line through  $iP$ . Note that

$$\text{div}(\ell_{i,j}) = (iP) + (jP) + (-(i + j)P) - 3P_\infty \text{ and } \text{div}(v_i) = (iP) + (-iP) - 2P_\infty.$$

Let  $\tau$  denote translation by  $-T$ . Then

$$h_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell_{i,j}}{v_{i+j}} \circ \tau & i+j \neq n, \\ v_i \circ \tau & i+j = n. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

As is remarked in [2], this calculation of  $f_P(Q)$  may be interpreted as exponentiation in a generalized jacobian with modulus  $(Q+T) - (T)$ . The  $h_{i,j}$  are simply cocycle values. A good source for this viewpoint is [1].

### 2.3. Semaev's algorithm for solving the DLP on anomalous elliptic curves.

Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . In [6], Semaev proposed a polynomial time algorithm for solving the DLP on elliptic curves over  $K$  which contain a point of order  $p$ , using the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda: E[p] &\rightarrow K^+ \\ P &\mapsto \frac{f'_P}{f_P}(R) \\ P_\infty &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_P$  is any divisor of degree 0 which sums to  $P$ ,  $f_P$  is any function with  $\text{div}(f_P) = pD_P$ , and  $R \in E[p]$  with  $R \neq P_\infty$ . Here  $f'_P$  denotes  $\frac{d}{dx} f_P$ .

To see how this map is used to solve the DLP, consider  $P, Q \in E[p]$  with  $Q = nP$ . Using the standard  $\log p$  addition chain decomposition, we can compute  $\lambda(P), \lambda(Q)$  in time  $O(\log p)$ , and then solve  $n\lambda(P) = \lambda(Q)$  for  $n \in K^+$  by Euclid's algorithm.

**Proposition 2.2.** (Semaev, [6]) *The map  $\lambda$  is defined and non-zero for any  $R \notin E[2]$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda$  is an injective homomorphism with respect to  $P$  and is independent of the divisor  $D_P$ .*

This is proved explicitly in [6] and in fact, the proof holds for any algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . The proposition also follows from considering the map:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} E[p] & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}_K^0(E)[p] & \rightarrow & \Omega_K^h(E) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{\text{div}(dt)} & \rightarrow & K^+ \\ P & \xrightarrow{\delta} & D_P & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \frac{df_P}{f_P} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \frac{df_P}{dt f_P} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \frac{df_P}{dt f_P}(R) \end{array}$$

where  $\text{Pic}_K^0(E)$  is the group of divisor classes of  $E$  of degree 0,  $\Omega_K^h(E)$  are the holomorphic differentials of the one-dimensional  $K(C)$ -vector space of differentials,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}(dt)}$  is the one-dimensional  $K$ -vector space of functions  $g$  with  $\text{div}(gdt) \geq 0$  and  $t$  is a uniformizer for the point  $R$ .

This is an injective homomorphism since  $\rho$  is an injective homomorphism (see [7]) and  $\delta, \psi, \varphi$  are isomorphisms. This is noted in [5], where the attack on the DLP is extended to the  $p$ -subgroup of the divisor class group of a curve of arbitrary genus.

The computation method proposed in [6] is a variation on Miller's algorithm. Let  $T$  be a point of order two and let  $R \in E[p]$ . Let  $f_Q$  be the function with  $\text{div}(f_Q) = D_Q = (Q+T) - (T)$ . As in section 2.2, the value of the function  $\lambda$  may be computed by using an addition chain decomposition and summing contributions of the form  $\frac{h'_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}}(R)$ , where  $h_{i,j}$  is as in Section 2.2. That is,  $\frac{f'_P}{f_P}(R) = \sum_C \frac{h'_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}}(R)$ . (Remark: In [6], the function  $\frac{v_i v_j}{t_{-i,-j}}$  is used, which is equivalent up to constant since it has the same divisor.)

To compute  $h' = \frac{d}{dx}h$ , we make use of the invariant differential property  $\frac{dx}{y} \circ \tau = \frac{dx}{y}$ . Let  $g$  be a function expanded in a power series around  $x$ . Then  $g \circ \tau$  can be expanded in a series around  $x \circ \tau$  with the same coefficients, and so

$$\frac{d(g \circ \tau)}{dx} = \frac{d(g \circ \tau)}{d(x \circ \tau)} \frac{d(x \circ \tau)}{dx} = \left( \frac{dg}{dx} \circ \tau \right) \frac{y \circ \tau}{y}.$$

Therefore, for  $h = \frac{\ell}{v} \circ \tau$ ,  $h'(R) = \frac{y(R+T)}{y(R)} \left( \frac{\ell}{v} \right)'(R+T)$ . (Since  $T$  is order 2, translation by  $T$  and  $-T$  are the same.)

**Remark:** The choice of the divisor  $D_P = (P+T) - (T)$  avoids any possible zeros or undefined values when evaluating the lines through multiples of  $P$  at  $R$ , which is itself a multiple of  $P$ . Note that when  $p > 7$ , for a fixed point  $P$ , it is always possible to choose an  $R \in E[p]$  such that the lines in a  $\log p$  addition chain decomposition will not have  $R$  as a zero. However, since the homomorphism  $\lambda$  is *not* independent of  $R$ , in order to have it well-defined it is necessary to choose an evaluation point that works for all  $P$ , which explains Semaev's use of a translation point.

As is the case for Miller's algorithm to compute the Weil pairing, this calculation may be interpreted as exponentiation in a generalized jacobian, after a slight modification. Note that if we use the divisor  $D_P = (P) - (P_\infty)$ , the  $h_{i,j}$  are simply ratios of lines through multiples of  $P$ , and thus evaluating at  $R+T \notin E[p]$  gives well-defined, non-zero values. In this case, we may calculate the value  $\frac{f'_P}{f_P}(R+T)$  using exponentiation in a generalized jacobian with modulus  $2(R+T)$  for  $R \in E[p]$ , with  $T$  of order 2. The value will differ from the value  $\lambda(R)$  by the constant factor  $y(R+T)/y(R)$ .

### 3. A "WEIL PAIRING" ON THE $p$ -TORSION OF $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$

Let  $\tilde{E}$  denote  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$ , the canonical lift of  $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  to  $K[\epsilon]$ . We define the pairing

$$e_p : \tilde{E}[p] \times \tilde{E}[p] \rightarrow \mu_p(K[\epsilon])$$

by first defining a bilinear map  $e$  on  $E[p] \times \Theta$ , and then extending it to  $\tilde{E}[p]$  in such a way that the necessary properties hold.

Let  $P \in E[p]$  and let  $T$  be a point of order two. Consider the divisor  $D_P = (P+T) - (T)$ . Let  $f_P$  be the function on  $E$  with divisor  $pD_P$ , unique up to a non-zero constant. We use the notation of section 2.2. Recall that to compute  $f_P$  evaluated at a point  $Q$ , we choose an addition chain decomposition for  $p$  and compute the product of cocycle contributions of the form  $h_{i,j}(P)$ , where  $h_{i,j}$  are ratios of lines translated by  $T$ .

Any function in  $K(E)$  is a well-defined function on the affine points of  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$ , provided that the denominator is invertible. We will see that this is true for  $h_{i,j}$  on certain points of  $\tilde{E}$ , thereby making the computation of  $\prod_C h_{i,j}$  legitimate.

**Definition 3.1.** Fix  $R \in E[p]$  such that  $R \notin E[2]$ . Let  $C$  be an addition chain decomposition for  $p$ . Define the map  $e : E[p] \times \Theta \rightarrow \mu_p(K[\epsilon])$  by

$$e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = \begin{cases} \prod_C \frac{h_{i,j}(\mathcal{O}_k+R)}{h_{i,j}(R)} & \text{if } P, \mathcal{O}_k \neq P_\infty \\ 1 & \text{if } P = P_\infty \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_k = P_\infty \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following theorem is given in the next section.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The map  $e$  is well-defined and bilinear and independent of the addition chain decomposition of  $p$ . Furthermore, for any divisor  $D_P$  summing to  $P$  and any  $R \in E(K)$ ,*

$$e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = 1 - 2 \left( y \frac{f'_P}{f_P} \right) (R) k \epsilon,$$

where  $f_P$  is the normalized function with divisor  $pD_P$ .

We now may define the **Weil pairing on  $p$ -torsion**. Extend the map  $e$  to

$$e_p : \tilde{E}[p] \times \tilde{E}[p] \rightarrow \mu_p(K[\epsilon])$$

such that

- $e_p(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = e(P, \mathcal{O}_k)$  for all  $P \in E[p]$ ,
- $e_p(P, Q) = 1$ , for all  $P, Q \in E[p]$ ,
- $e_p(\mathcal{O}_k, \mathcal{O}_j) = 1$ , for all  $j, k \in K$ ,
- $e_p$  is bilinear,
- $e_p$  is anti-symmetric:  $e(P, Q) = e(Q, P)^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *The map  $e_p$  is non-degenerate. That is, if  $e_p(P, Q) = 1$  for all  $P \in \tilde{E}[p]$ , then  $Q = P_\infty$ , and if  $e_p(P, Q) = 1$  for all  $Q \in \tilde{E}[p]$ , then  $P = P_\infty$ .*

The proof of this theorem is given in the next section.

**Remark:** Note that we are defining  $e_p(P, \mathcal{O}_k)$  to be the result of Miller's algorithm to compute

$$\frac{f_P(\mathcal{O}_k + R)}{f_P(R)}.$$

This definition can thus be viewed as the analog of the Weil pairing definition for  $n$  prime to  $p$ :

$$e_n(P, Q) = \frac{f_P(Q + R)}{f_P(R)} \frac{f_Q(R)}{f_Q(P + R)}.$$

Recall that Miller's algorithm computes the value of  $f_Q$  as the product of ratios of lines through multiples of the point  $Q$ . For  $Q = \mathcal{O}_k$ , this involves products of lines through points at infinity (which would then be evaluated at affine points of  $E(K)$ ). Assuming such a line has the form  $\ell = 0$  with  $\ell(x, y, z) = ax + by + cz$  and  $a, b, c \in K[\epsilon]$ , there is not a unique choice for such a line. For example, any line of the form  $a\epsilon x + cz$ , for  $a \in K, c \in K[\epsilon]$ , passes through the points  $\mathcal{O}_k, \mathcal{O}_j$ . We make the choice of the line  $\ell = cz$ . When evaluated at affine points, this becomes the constant function  $c$  which normalized is just 1. The value of  $\frac{f_Q(R)}{f_Q(P+R)}$  for  $Q = \mathcal{O}_k$  may therefore naturally be considered to be 1.

We now show how the Weil pairing  $e_p$  can be used to solve the DLP on  $p$ -subgroups of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Given  $P, Q \in E[p]$  with  $Q = nP$ , calculate  $e_p(P, \mathcal{O}_1) = 1 + a\epsilon$  and  $e_p(Q, \mathcal{O}_1) = 1 + b\epsilon$ . Since  $e_p$  is bilinear,  $e_p(Q, \mathcal{O}_1) = e_p(P, \mathcal{O}_1)^n = (1 + a\epsilon)^n = 1 + na\epsilon$ . Thus it suffices to solve the equation  $b = na$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^+$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  by computing the multiplicative inverse of  $a$ . By Theorem 3.2, for  $R \in E[p]$ , this process is essentially Semaev's algorithm to solve the DLP in  $p$ -subgroups. Therefore, we see that Semaev's algorithm may be interpreted as a Weil-pairing based attack.

## 4. PROOF OF PROPERTIES OF THE PAIRING

To show that  $e$  is well-defined and bilinear, we relate its calculation to the map  $\lambda$  from section 2.3. For this, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\ell_{i,j}$  denote the line through  $iP$  and  $jP$ , and let  $v_i$  denote the vertical line through  $iP$ . Let  $\tau$  denote translation by  $-T$  and let*

$$h_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell_{i,j}}{v_{i+j}} \circ \tau & i+j \neq p, \\ v_i \circ \tau & i+j = p. \end{cases}$$

Let  $R \in E[p]$  with  $R \neq P_\infty$ . Then

$$\frac{h_{i,j}(\mathcal{O}_k + R)}{h_{i,j}(R)} = 1 - 2y(R) \frac{h'_{i,j}(R)}{h_{i,j}} k\epsilon.$$

**Proof:** We first show that

$$h_{i,j}(\mathcal{O}_k + R) = h_{i,j}(R) - 2y(R)h'_{i,j}(R)\epsilon.$$

We can think of this as analogous to the calculus approximation of  $f(x_0 + \epsilon)$  by the value  $f(x_0) + f'(x_0)\epsilon$ .

Let  $S = R + T = (x_0, y_0)$ . Assume  $i+j \neq p$ . Fix  $i, j$  and let  $h_{i,j} = h = \frac{\ell}{v} \circ \tau$ . Since we are evaluating  $h_{i,j}$  at affine points, we have  $\ell = y - mx - b$  and  $v = x - c$  for some  $m, b, c \in K$ .

Since  $v$  is a line through a multiple of  $P$ , and  $S \notin E[p]$ , we see that  $x_0 - c \neq 0$ . Thus  $h(R) = \frac{\ell}{v}(S)$  is well-defined. Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{O}_k + S = (x_0 - 2y_0k\epsilon : y_0 - (3x_0^2 + A)k\epsilon : 1)$ , the denominator of  $h(\mathcal{O}_k + R)$  is invertible, and thus the value  $h(\mathcal{O}_k + R)$  is well-defined. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathcal{O}_k + R) &= \frac{\ell}{v}(\mathcal{O}_k + S) \\ &= \frac{[(y_0 - mx_0 - b) + (2y_0m - (3x_0^2 + A))k\epsilon]}{[(x_0 - c) - 2y_0k\epsilon]} \\ &= \frac{[(y_0 - mx_0 - b) + (2y_0m - (3x_0^2 + A))k\epsilon]}{[(x_0 - c)^{-1} + (x_0 - c)^{-2}2y_0k\epsilon]} \\ &= h(R) + \frac{[(2y_0m - (3x_0^2 + A))(x_0 - c)^{-1} + h(R)(x_0 - c)^{-1}2y_0]}{k\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from section 2.3 that  $h'(R) = \frac{y(S)}{y(R)} \left( \frac{\ell}{v} \right)'(S)$ . Since  $v' = 1$  and  $l'(S) = \frac{3x_0^2 + A}{2y_0} - m$ , we have

$$h'(R) = \frac{1}{2y(R)} \left( (3x_0^2 + A - 2y_0m)(x_0 - c)^{-1} - 2y_0h(R)(x_0 - c)^{-1} \right)$$

and therefore  $h(\mathcal{O}_k + R) = h(R) - 2y(R)h'(R)k\epsilon$ .

For  $i+j = p$ , we have  $h = v \circ \tau$  and  $h'(R) = \frac{y(S)}{y(R)}$  by the equation in Section 2.3. Then

$$h(\mathcal{O}_k + R) = v \circ \tau(\mathcal{O}_k + R) = v(\mathcal{O}_k + S) = (x_0 - c) - 2y_0k\epsilon = h(R) - 2y(R)h'(R)k\epsilon.$$

It remains to show that  $h(R) \neq 0$ . The fact that  $R \in E[p]$  implies that  $S$  is not a zero of the line described by  $\ell$  or  $v$ . Therefore, in both cases,  $h(R) \neq 0$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 3.2 and 3.3.

**Proof (Thm. 3.2):** Fix  $P, \mathcal{O}_k$  and an addition chain decomposition  $C$  for  $p$ . Note that by Lemma 4.1,  $e(P, \mathcal{O}_k)$  is well-defined. Let  $f_P$  be the function with divisor  $pD_P$  for  $D_P = (P + T) - (T)$ . Let  $R \in E[p]$  with  $R \neq P_\infty$ . Then  $\frac{f'_P(R)}{f_P(R)} = \sum_C \frac{h'_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}}(R)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) &= \prod_C \frac{h_{i,j}(\mathcal{O}_{k+R})}{h_{i,j}(R)} \\ &= \prod_C \left(1 - 2y(R) \frac{h'_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}}(R) k\epsilon\right) \\ &= 1 - 2y(R) \left(\sum_C \frac{h'_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}}(R)\right) k\epsilon \\ &= 1 - 2y(R) \frac{f'_P(R)}{f_P(R)} k\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\frac{f'_P(R)}{f_P(R)} = \lambda(R)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the homomorphism with respect to  $P$  from section 2.3. Thus since  $e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = 1 - 2k(y \frac{f'_P}{f_P})(R)\epsilon$ , the map  $e$  is linear in the first coordinate. Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{O}_k + \mathcal{O}_j = \mathcal{O}_{k+j}$ , we have that  $e$  is linear in the second coordinate. Therefore,  $e$  is bilinear. Since  $\frac{f'_P(R)}{f_P(R)}$  is independent of addition chain decomposition, so is the value of  $e$ .

As shown in [6],  $\text{div}(\frac{f'_P}{f_P}) = \text{div}(\frac{1}{y})$ , thus  $y \frac{f'_P}{f_P}$  is a constant function on  $E(K)$ . For  $R \in E[p]$ , we've just seen that  $e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = 1 - 2(y \frac{f'_P}{f_P})(R)k\epsilon$ . Therefore

$$e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = 1 - 2k \left( y \frac{f'_P}{f_P} \right) (R) \epsilon$$

for all  $R$ , and thus  $e$  is independent of  $R$ . Furthermore, since  $\frac{f'_P}{f_P}$  is independent of the divisor for  $P$ , as shown in [6], the value of  $e$  is independent of choice of the divisor for  $P$ .  $\square$

**Proof (Thm. 3.3):** Let  $P \in \tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])[p]$ . We show that if  $P \neq P_\infty$ , then there exists  $Q \in \tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])[p]$  such that  $e_p(P, Q) \neq 1$ . This shows non-degeneracy in the first coordinate, and by the property of anti-symmetry, non-degeneracy in the second coordinate will follow.

By Lemma 2.1,  $P$  may be written as  $P_0 + \mathcal{O}_k$  for  $P_0 \in E(K)$  and  $k \in K$ . If  $P_0 \neq P_\infty$ , let  $Q = \mathcal{O}_1$ . Then  $e_p(P, Q) = e_p(P_0, \mathcal{O}_1) e_p(\mathcal{O}_k, \mathcal{O}_1) = e_p(P_0, \mathcal{O}_1)$ . Let  $R \in E[p]$  with  $R \neq P_\infty$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $\frac{f'_P}{f_P}(R)$  is non-zero. Therefore, since  $e_p(P_0, \mathcal{O}_1) = 1 - 2(y \frac{f'_P}{f_P})(R)\epsilon$  and  $R \notin E[2]$ , we have that  $e_p(P, Q) \neq 1$ .

If  $P_0 = P_\infty$ , then  $k \neq 0$ , since  $P \neq P_\infty$ . Let  $Q, R \in E[p]$  with  $Q, R \neq P_\infty$ . Then  $e_p(P, Q) = e_p(\mathcal{O}_k, Q) = 1 + 2(y \frac{f'_Q}{f_Q})(R)k\epsilon$ . Since  $k \neq 0$  and  $R \notin E[2]$ , we have that  $e_p(P, Q) \neq 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

## 5. RÜCK'S ALGORITHM FOR SOLVING THE DLP ON $p$ -TORSION

Recall the homomorphism from Section 2.3:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E[p] & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}_K^0(E)[p] & \rightarrow & \Omega_K^h(E) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{\text{div}(dt)} & \rightarrow & K^+ \\ P & \xrightarrow{\delta} & D_P & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \frac{df_P}{f_P} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \frac{df_P}{dt f_P} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \frac{df_P}{dt f_P}(R) \end{array}$$

Choosing the divisor  $D_P = (P) - (P_\infty)$  and evaluation point  $R = P_\infty$ , we may compute the value of  $\frac{df_P/dt}{f_P}(R)$  by simply summing the slopes of lines through



multiples of  $P$  for any addition chain decomposition. This fact is noted in [3], where it is referred to as the ‘‘Rück algorithm,’’ and a slight variation is found in [12]. In [5], Rück refers to the result of this algorithm as ‘‘the additive version of the Tate pairing.’’ We make this remark explicit by relating the algorithm to the pairing of  $E[p]$  and  $\Theta$  which we’ve defined.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $m_{i,j}$  be the slope of the line through  $iP$  and  $jP$ , and let  $C$  be an addition chain decomposition for  $p$ . Then*

$$e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = 1 + \left[ \sum_C m_{i,j} \right] k\epsilon.$$

**Proof:** As  $e$  is independent of divisor and evaluation point, we may choose the divisor  $D_P = (P) - (P_\infty)$  and evaluation point  $R = P_\infty$ . This means we must calculate

$$e(P, \mathcal{O}_k) = 1 - 2 \left( y \frac{f'_P}{f_P} \right) (P_\infty) k\epsilon.$$

Since we evaluate at  $P_\infty$ , we want to expand functions around the uniformizer for  $P_\infty$ , namely  $t = -\frac{x}{y}$ . Using the fact that  $\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{x^3 + Ax + 2B}{2y^3}$ , we are looking to compute

$$\frac{df_P/dt}{f_P} \frac{x^3 + Ax + 2B}{y^2} (P_\infty).$$

Recall that  $x$  and  $y$  have poles at  $P_\infty$  of order 2 and 3, respectively. In particular,  $x = \frac{1}{t^2} + O(t)$  and  $y = -\frac{1}{t^3} + O(t)$  ([8], p. 113). Thus  $\frac{x^3 + Ax + 2B}{y^2} = -1 + O(t)$ , and hence this contributes a factor of  $-1$  when we evaluate at  $P_\infty$ .

We now focus on computing  $\frac{df_P/dt}{f_P} (P_\infty)$ . Since  $D_P = (P) - (P_\infty)$ , this reduces to computing  $\frac{dh_{i,j}/dt}{h_{i,j}}$  where  $h_{i,j}$  is defined as in section 2.3. In particular, we show that

$$\frac{dh_{i,j}/dt}{h_{i,j}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{t} - m_{i,j} + O(t) & \text{if } i + j \neq p, \\ -\frac{2}{t} + O(t) & \text{if } i + j = p \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

For  $i + j \neq p$ ,

$$h_{i,j} = \frac{\ell}{v} = \frac{y - mx - b}{x - c} = \frac{1}{t} - m + O(t).$$

Thus

$$\frac{dh/dt}{h} = -\frac{1}{t} - m + O(t).$$

For  $i + j = p$ ,  $h_{i,j} = v$ . Expanding  $v$  around  $t$ , we get  $v = x - c = \frac{1}{t^2} - c + O(t)$ .

Thus

$$\frac{dv/dt}{v} = -\frac{2}{t} + O(t),$$

and (3) is proved.

Note that using an addition chain decomposition for  $p$  to calculate  $f_P$  will result in  $(p - 1)$  terms of the form  $h_{i,j}$  with exactly one such that  $i + j = p$ . Thus the pole contributions of the  $h_{i,j}$  total to zero in characteristic  $p$  and

$$\frac{df_P/dt}{f_P} = -\frac{p}{t} - \sum_C m_{i,j} + O(t) = -\sum_C m_{i,j} + O(t)$$

Evaluating at  $P_\infty$  yields the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** (Rück, [5]) *Let  $f_P$  be any function with divisor  $p(P) - p(P_\infty)$  and let  $t = -\frac{x}{y}$ . Let  $m_{i,j}$  denote the slope of the line through  $iP$  and  $jP$ , and let  $C$  be an addition chain decomposition for  $p$ . Then*

$$\frac{df_P/dt}{f_P}(P_\infty) = -\sum_C m_{i,j}.$$

## 6. THE MAP $e_p$ AND ISOGENIES OF $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$

Let  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be an isogeny between curves given by the Weierstrass form  $y^2 = x^3 + A_i x + B_i$ . Let  $\tilde{E}_i$  denote the canonical lift of  $E_i$ , as defined in 2.1. In this section, we show how to extend  $\phi$  to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2$  in such a way that the following proposition holds:

**Proposition 6.1.** *For any isogeny  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ ,*

$$e_p(\tilde{\phi}(P), \tilde{\phi}(Q)) = e_p(P, Q)^{\deg \phi}.$$

As  $\tilde{E}_i \simeq E_i \oplus \Theta_i$ , it suffices to define  $\phi : \Theta_1 \rightarrow \Theta_2$  and then extend it linearly to a map  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2$ . Let  $x_i, y_i$  denote the coordinate functions of  $E_i$ , and let  $t_i = -\frac{x_i}{y_i}$  be a uniformizer at  $P_\infty^{(i)}$ , the point at infinity of  $E_i$ . Let  $m \in K$  be such that  $t_2 \circ \phi = mt_1 + O(t_1^2)$ . (To obtain the value  $m$ , expand  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  around  $t_1$  and use the fact that  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  are rational functions of  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  to obtain  $t_2 \circ \phi$  as a function of  $t_1$ .)

**Definition 6.2.** *For  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ , define  $\tilde{\phi} : \Theta_1 \rightarrow \Theta_2$  by  $\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}_k) = \mathcal{O}_{mk}$ .*

First note that  $\tilde{\phi} : \Theta_1 \rightarrow \Theta_2$  is a homomorphism with respect to this definition. Furthermore, it is compatible with composition of isogenies. That is, if  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $\psi : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$ , are isogenies, then  $(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\phi})(\mathcal{O}_k) = \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}_k))$ . This follows from the fact that if  $t_2 \circ \phi = m_1 t_1 + O(t_1^2)$  and  $t_3 \circ \psi = m_2 t_2 + O(t_2^2)$ , then  $t_3 \circ (\psi \circ \phi) = (m_1 m_2) t_1 + O(t_1^2)$ .

The motivation for the definition is as follows. If  $\phi$  inseparable, then  $\phi = \phi_s \circ \pi^r$ , where  $\phi_s$  is separable and the degree of inseparability of  $\phi$  is  $p^r$ . The map  $\pi : (x : y : z) \mapsto (x^p : y^p : z^p)$  is well-defined on the points of  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$ , and clearly  $(k\epsilon : 1 : 0) \xrightarrow{\pi} (0 : 1 : 0)$ . Thus we should define  $\phi(\mathcal{O}_k) = P_\infty$ . (Note that this agrees with the idea that  $\Theta$  is acting as the replacement for the “missing” geometric points of  $p$ -torsion, the “kernel of Frobenius.”) But  $m = 0$  if  $\phi$  is inseparable, since the order of  $t_2 \circ \phi$  at  $P_\infty^{(1)}$  is equal to the degree of inseparability ([8], p. 76), so  $\phi(\mathcal{O}_k) = \mathcal{O}_{mk} = P_\infty^{(2)}$ .

Now consider  $\phi$  separable. Then  $t_2 \circ \phi$  is a uniformizer for  $P_\infty^{(1)}$ , so  $m \neq 0$ . Suppose we want  $\phi(\mathcal{O}_k) = \mathcal{O}_j$ , for some  $j \in K$ . Since  $t_2 \circ \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}_k) = t_2((j\epsilon : 1 : 0)) = -j\epsilon$  and  $(mt_1 + O(t_1^2))(\mathcal{O}_k) = -mk\epsilon$ , it makes sense to define  $j = mk$ .

Next we extend the isogeny  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  to the affine points of  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$ .

**Definition 6.3.** *Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a lift of an affine point  $P \in E_1(K)$ . Let  $T \in E_1(K)$  with  $T \notin \ker \phi$ . Let  $\tau$  denote translation and let  $\phi_T = \tau_{\phi(-T)} \circ \phi \circ \tau_T$ . Define*

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{P}) = \begin{cases} \phi(\tilde{P}) & \text{if } P \notin \ker \phi \\ \phi_T(\tilde{P}) & \text{if } P \in \ker \phi \end{cases}$$

Note that this definition is independent of  $T$ . That is, for  $T, T' \notin \ker \phi$ , we have  $\phi_T(\tilde{P}) = \phi_{T'}(\tilde{P})$  for all  $P \in \ker \phi$ . Furthermore,  $\phi_T(\tilde{P}) = \phi(\tilde{P})$  for all  $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_1(K[\epsilon])$  for which both isogenies are defined. This follows from the fact that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a homomorphism (see Proposition 6.4 below).

We need to establish that this definition yields well-defined points in  $\tilde{E}_2(K[\epsilon])$ . First note that any isogeny  $\phi$  can be written as  $\phi(x, y) = (r(x), ys(x))$  where  $r, s$  are rational functions of  $x$  ([11], p. 47). Thus, we can evaluate  $\phi$  on affine points  $\tilde{P} = (x_0 + x_1k\epsilon : y_0 + y_1k\epsilon : 1) \in \tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$  provided that the denominators of  $r$  and  $s$  are invertible when evaluated at  $\tilde{P}$ . This will be the case for all  $\tilde{P}$  such that  $P = (x_0, y_0)$  is not a kernel point of  $\phi$ .

Note that  $\phi(\tilde{P})$  is in fact a point of  $\tilde{E}_2(K[\epsilon])$ . For all  $x, y \in E_1(K)$ ,

$$y^2s(x)^2 = r(x)^3 + A_2r(x) + B_2. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, for all  $x \in K$ , we have

$$(x^3 + A_1x + B_1)s(x)^2 = r(x)^3 + A_2r(x) + B_2. \quad (5)$$

This is an identity in the function field  $K(x)$ . Let  $\tilde{P} = (x, y) \in E_1(K[\epsilon])$ . Then  $x$  satisfies (5) and since  $y^2 = x^3 + A_1x + B_1$ , we have that  $(x, y)$  satisfies (4). Therefore  $\phi(\tilde{P}) \in \tilde{E}_2(K[\epsilon])$ .

Now consider  $\tilde{P}$  such that  $P \in \ker \phi$ . If  $P \neq P_\infty$  and  $\tilde{P} \neq P$ , then  $\tau_{\phi(-T)} \circ \phi \circ \tau_T$  is well-defined on these  $\tilde{P}$  and yields a point of  $\tilde{E}_2(K[\epsilon])$ , since translation by  $T$  is a map of the curve to itself.

Combining definitions 6.2 and 6.3, we can extend  $\phi$  to the map  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2$ , which we show is a homomorphism.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $P, Q \in \tilde{E}_1(K[\epsilon])$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\phi}(P) + \tilde{\phi}(Q) = \tilde{\phi}(P + Q).$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.1, any point of  $\tilde{E}_1(K[\epsilon])$  decomposes as a point of  $E_1(K)$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ . Thus, since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is homomorphism of each of these groups, it suffices to show that

$$\tilde{\phi}(P) + \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}_k) = \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{P}), \quad (6)$$

where  $\tilde{P} = P + \mathcal{O}_k$ .

Consider  $P = (x_0, y_0)$  with  $P \notin \ker \phi$ . Then we have  $\tilde{P} = (x_0 + x_1k\epsilon, y_0 + y_1k\epsilon)$ , where  $x_1 = -2y_0$  and  $y_1 = -(3x_0^2 + A)$ .

Suppose that  $t_2 \circ \tilde{\phi} = mt_1 + O(t_1^2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(x_0, y_0) + \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}_k) &= (r(x_0), y_0s(x_0)) + (mk\epsilon : 1 : 0) \\ &= \left( r(x_0) - 2y_0s(x_0)(mk)\epsilon : y_0s(x_0) - (3r(x_0)^2 + A_2)(mk)\epsilon : 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\tilde{\phi}((x_0 + x_1k\epsilon, y_0 + y_1k\epsilon)) = (r(x_0) + r'(x_0)x_1k\epsilon, y_0s(x_0) + (y_0s'(x_0)x_1 + y_1s(x_0))k\epsilon)$$

Now suppose further that  $P \notin E[2]$ . Since the point satisfies the Weierstrass equation of  $\tilde{E}_2$ , the ratio of the  $\epsilon$ -coefficients of the coordinates equals  $\frac{3r^2 + A_2}{2ys}$  by Lemma 2.1. Thus it suffices to verify that the  $\epsilon$ -coefficients of the  $x$ -coordinates agree. Since  $x_1 = -2y_0$ , this reduces to showing that  $s(x_0)m = \frac{dr}{dx}(x_0)$ , or equivalently, that  $\omega_2 \circ \phi = m \cdot \omega_1$ , where  $\omega_i = \frac{dx_i}{y_i} = (1 + O(t_i))dt_i$  is an invariant differential of  $E_i$ . Expanding  $\omega_2 \circ \phi$  around  $t_1$  and using the fact that  $t_2 \circ \phi = mt_1 + O(t_1^2)$ , we

have that  $\omega_2 \circ \phi = (m + O(t_1))dt_1$ . Thus  $\frac{\omega_2 \circ \phi}{\omega_1}(P_\infty) = m$ . Since  $\omega_2 \circ \phi$  and  $\omega$  are both invariant differentials, this is a constant function and (6) holds for  $P \notin \ker \phi \cup E[2]$ .

Using the equivalence of the  $\epsilon$ -coefficients of the  $y$ -coordinates, we have that

$$(3r(x)^2 + A_2)m = (3x^2 + A_1)s(x)$$

for infinitely many  $x \in K$ , and therefore this is an identity in  $K(x)$ . Thus, for  $P \in E[2]$ ,  $(3r(x_0)^2 + A_2)m = (3x_0^2 + A_1)s(x_0)$ , and (6) holds for points of order two.

Finally, for  $P \in \ker \phi$ , choose  $T \notin \ker \phi$ . By Definition 6.3 and (6),  $\tilde{\phi}(P + \mathcal{O}_k) = \phi_T(P + \mathcal{O}_k) = \phi(P + \mathcal{O}_k + T) + \phi(-T) = \phi(P + T) + \phi(\mathcal{O}_k) + \phi(-T) = \phi(P) + \phi(\mathcal{O}_k)$ . Therefore, (6) holds for all  $P \in E(K)$ , and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be an isogeny with  $t_2 \circ \phi = mt_1 + O(t_1^2)$  for  $m \in K$ . Then*

$$e(\phi(P), \mathcal{O}_{mk}) = e(P, \mathcal{O}_k)^{\deg \phi}.$$

**Proof:** If  $\phi$  is inseparable, then the degree of inseparability is  $q = p^r$  for some  $r > 0$  and thus  $p$  divides  $\deg \phi$ . Furthermore,  $m = 0$  since the order of  $t_2 \circ \phi$  at  $P_\infty^{(1)}$  is the degree of inseparability. So both  $e(P, \mathcal{O}_k)^{\deg \phi}$  and  $e(\phi(P), \mathcal{O}_k)^m$  equal 1, and the result holds.

Now assume  $\phi$  is separable, which implies that  $m \neq 0$ . By the proof of Proposition 5.1, it suffices to show that

$$m \frac{df_{P_2}/dt_2}{f_{P_2}}(P_\infty^{(2)}) = (\deg \phi) \frac{df_{P_1}/dt_1}{f_{P_1}}(P_\infty^{(1)}).$$

Let  $\ker \phi = \{R_1, \dots, R_s\}$ . Since  $\text{div}(f_{P_2}) = p(P_2) - p(P_\infty)$  and  $\phi$  is separable,  $\text{div}(f_{P_2} \circ \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^s p(P_1 + R_i) - p(R_i)$ . Let  $g_i = \frac{P_1 - R_i}{v_{P_1} v_{R_i}}$ . Then  $\text{div}(f_{P_2} \circ \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^s p[(P_1) - (P_\infty) + \text{div}(g_i)] = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{div}(f_{P_1} g_i^p)$ . Thus, up to a constant,  $f_{P_2} \circ \phi = f_{P_1}^{\deg \phi} (\prod_{i=1}^s g_i)^p$ . Since the characteristic of  $K$  is  $p$ ,

$$d(f_{P_2} \circ \phi) = (\deg \phi) f_{P_1}^{\deg \phi - 1} (df_{P_1}) \left( \prod_{i=1}^s g_i \right)^p.$$

Thus

$$\frac{d(f_{P_2} \circ \phi)}{f_{P_2} \circ \phi} = (\deg \phi) \frac{df_{P_1}}{f_{P_1}}. \quad (7)$$

Note that for any function  $g$  expanded around  $t$ ,  $\frac{dg}{dt} \circ \phi = \frac{d(g \circ \phi)}{d(t \circ \phi)}$ . Using this and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m \frac{df_{P_2}/dt_2}{f_{P_2}}(P_\infty^{(2)}) &= m \left( \frac{df_{P_2}/dt_2}{f_{P_2}} \circ \phi \right) (P_\infty^{(1)}) \\ &= m \frac{d(f_{P_2} \circ \phi)/d(t_2 \circ \phi)}{f_{P_2} \circ \phi} (P_\infty^{(1)}) \\ &= m (\deg \phi) \frac{df_{P_1}/d(t_2 \circ \phi)}{f_{P_1}} (P_\infty^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

From that  $\frac{dt_1}{d(t_2 \circ \phi)} = m^{-1} + O(t_1)$ , we have

$$m \frac{df_{P_2}/dt_2}{f_{P_2}}(P_\infty^{(2)}) = (\deg \phi) \frac{df_{P_1}/dt_1}{f_{P_1}}(P_\infty^{(1)}),$$

and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

The proof of Proposition 6.1 is now immediate. From Lemma 6.5 and Definition 6.2, we have

$$e_p(\tilde{\phi}(P), \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}_k)) = e(\phi(P), \mathcal{O}_{mk}) = e_p(P, \mathcal{O}_k)^{\deg \phi}.$$

Thus, since  $e_p$  is bilinear and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a homomorphism, the proposition holds.

## 7. ANOTHER APPLICATION OF ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER THE DUAL NUMBERS

We have seen how the extension of the Weil pairing to  $p$ -torsion over the dual numbers directly leads to the previously defined maps of [5] and [6]. Though we have not gained any “new” information, we have shown that discrete logarithm attacks on  $p$ -torsion subgroups of [5] and [6] may be interpreted as Weil-pairing-based attacks, exactly the same as the MOV attack on prime-to- $p$  torsion subgroups. In this section, we give another example of how looking at elliptic curves over the dual numbers may be a fruitful approach.

The DLP attack on anomalous curves of Smart [9] involves working in  $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})$  where  $\tilde{E}$  is a non-canonical lift of  $E$  (meaning  $p$ -torsion points of  $E$  are no longer  $p$ -torsion when lifted to  $\tilde{E}$ ). The attack involves lifting points  $P, Q \in E[p]$  with  $Q = nP$  to  $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})$ , multiplying the points by  $p$ , and applying the map  $(x, y) \mapsto \frac{x}{y}$ . In this way, solving for  $n$  such that  $nP = Q$  reduces to solving an instance of the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_p^+$ . The fact that this map is a homomorphism may be shown via the  $p$ -adic elliptic logarithm (see [9], or [11], p. 190).

If we consider  $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon])$  instead, the attack works analogously, and the reasoning behind it is elementary. (In fact, the attack works for  $\tilde{E}(K[\epsilon])$ , where  $K$  is any field of characteristic  $p \neq 0, 2, 3$ .) Lift  $P, Q$  to  $\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} \in \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon])$ . The points  $\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}$  may no longer be dependent. However, since  $nP = Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , there exists  $R \in \Theta$  such that  $n\tilde{P} - \tilde{Q} = R$ . Since  $P, Q$  are points of  $p$ -torsion,  $p\tilde{P}, p\tilde{Q} \in \Theta$ . Thus we have the following equation in  $\Theta$

$$p(n\tilde{P}) - p\tilde{Q} = pR = P_\infty. \tag{8}$$

Note that  $p\tilde{P}, p\tilde{Q} = P_\infty$  if and only if  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  are  $p$ -torsion points in  $\tilde{E}$ . Thus if this is not the case, we can translate (8) to an instance of the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_p^+$  via the homomorphism  $(k\epsilon : 1 : 0) \mapsto k$  and then solve for  $n$ .

This version is more efficient, as computations in  $\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon]$  are more straightforward than in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . It may present another advantage as well, related to the fact that the DLP attack requires that the lift of the curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be non-canonical.

Let  $\tilde{E}$  be any lift of the curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ , with  $j$ -invariant  $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Note that  $D = 4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$  since  $E$  is non-singular. Define  $j(\tilde{E})$  as the value  $\frac{4\tilde{A}^3}{4\tilde{A}^3 + 27\tilde{B}^2}$ . Since  $D \neq 0$ , the denominator is invertible, and hence  $j(\tilde{E}) \in \mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon]$ . Let  $\tilde{j}$  denote the value  $j(\tilde{E})$ , and note that  $\tilde{j} \equiv j \pmod{\epsilon}$ . The following proposition shows that  $\tilde{j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$  if and only if the elliptic curve  $\tilde{E}$  can be transformed to the “canonical lift” (as defined in Section 2.1) by an invertible change of coordinates.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $E$  be given by  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ . Let  $\tilde{E}$  be a lift of  $E$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon]$  with  $\tilde{A} = A + A_1\epsilon, \tilde{B} = B + B_1\epsilon$ , for  $A_1, B_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $\tilde{j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$  if and only if there exists  $\mu = 1 + kt$  with  $k \in \mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $\mu^4 A = \tilde{A}$  and  $\mu^6 B = \tilde{B}$ . In this case, there exists a change of coordinates  $x \mapsto \mu^2 x, y \mapsto \mu^3 y$  taking  $E$  to  $\tilde{E}$ , where  $E$  is viewed as an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon]$ .*

**Proof:** Assume there exists  $\mu = 1 + kt$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $\mu^4 A = \tilde{A}$  and  $\mu^6 B = \tilde{B}$ . Then  $\tilde{j} = \frac{4\tilde{A}^3}{4A^3 + 27B^2} = j$ .

For the other implication, assume  $\tilde{j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $\tilde{j} = j$  and a calculation with the  $\epsilon$ -components yields

$$12A^2 A_1 D = 4A^3(12A^2 A_1 + 56BB_1). \quad (9)$$

To find  $\mu = 1 + kt$  such that  $\mu^4 A = \tilde{A}$  and  $\mu^6 B = \tilde{B}$ , we solve  $4kA = A_1$  and  $6kB = B_1$  simultaneously for  $k$ . If either  $A$  or  $B$  is zero this is no problem. If  $A, B \neq 0$ , choose  $k \in \mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $4kA = A_1$ . Then (9) becomes

$$12A^2(4kA)D = 4A^3(12A^2(4kA) + 56BB_1)$$

which simplifies to  $6k(D - 4A^3) = 27BB_1$ . This implies that  $B_1 = 6kB$ , as desired.

□

Thus if  $\tilde{j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , the  $p$ -torsion of  $E$  lifts to  $p$ -torsion of  $\tilde{E}$ , and the DLP attack over the dual numbers fails. Calculations suggest that lifts with  $\tilde{j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$  are the only lifts of  $E$  for which  $p$ -torsion lifts to  $p$ -torsion. Presuming this, it is easy to avoid a lift to  $\mathbb{F}_p[\epsilon]$  for which  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  are  $p$ -torsion simply by choosing a lift with  $j$ -invariant  $\tilde{j} \notin \mathbb{F}_p$ . This differs from the case of lifting to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ , since (to the author's knowledge) there is no analogously simple way to determine from the  $j$ -invariant  $\tilde{j} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  whether or not the lift is canonical.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Dechène, Isabelle, *Arithmetic in generalized jacobians*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, ANTS VII, Vol 4076, pp. 421-435, 2006.
- [2] Frey, G. and Rück, H., *A remark concerning  $m$ -divisibility and the discrete logarithm in the divisor class group of curves*, Mathematics of Computation, Vol 62, No 206, pp. 865-874, 1994.
- [3] Kunihiro, N., Koyama, K., *Two discrete log algorithms for super-anomalous elliptic curves and their applications*, IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, Vol. E83-A, No 1, pp 10- 16, 2000.
- [4] Miller, V. *The Weil pairing, and its efficient calculation*, Journal of Cryptology, Vol 17, pp 235-261, 2004.
- [5] Rück, H. *On the discrete logarithm in the divisor class group of curves*, Mathematics of Computation, Vol 68, No 226, pp 805-806, 1999.
- [6] Semaev, I.A. *Evaluation of discrete logarithms in a group of  $p$ -torsion points of an elliptic curve in characteristic  $p$* , Mathematics of Computation, 67:353-356,1998.
- [7] Serre, J-P., *Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique  $p$* , in *Oeuvres: collected papers*, Vol 1 (1949-1959), Springer-Verlag, 1986, pp 501-530.
- [8] Silverman, J. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [9] Smart, N. *The discrete logarithm problem on elliptic curves of trace one*, Journal of Cryptology, 12:193-196,1999.
- [10] Virat, M., *A cryptosystem "à la" ElGamal on an elliptic curve over  $K[\epsilon]$* , Proceedings of Western European Workshop on Research in Cryptography, pp 32-44, 2005.
- [11] Washington, L. *Elliptic Curves: Number Theory and Cryptography*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2003.
- [12] Zhu, Y.F., Pei, D.Y., *An algorithm for DLP on anomalous elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_p$* , Science in China, Series A, Math, physics, astronomy, Vol 45, No 6, pp 773-777, 2002.