

A note on 3iet preserving morphisms

P. Ambrož, E. Pelantová

Doppler Institute & Department of Mathematics
FNSPE, Czech Technical University, Trojanova 13, 120 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic
E-mail: petr.ambroz@fjfi.cvut.cz, pelantova@km1.fjfi.cvut.cz

Abstract

An infinite word, which is aperiodic and codes the orbit of a transformation of the exchange of three intervals is called 3iet word. Such a word is thus a natural generalization of a sturmian word to a word over 3-letter alphabet. A morphism is said to be 3iet preserving if it maps any 3iet word to another 3iet word. It is known that the monoid of morphisms preserving sturmian words is finitely generated. On the contrary, in this note we prove that the monoid of 3iet preserving morphisms is not finitely generated, that is, there are infinitely many 3iet preserving morphisms, which cannot be written as a non-trivial decomposition of other 3iet preserving morphisms.

1 Introduction

One of possible generalizations of sturmian words are the words coding orbits of r -interval exchange transformations, called *riet* words. These transformations have been introduced by Katok and Stepin [7] and then studied by other authors [3, 8, 10].

A word coding an exchange of two intervals is either periodic or sturmian. Therefore the combinatorial properties of infinite words coding an exchange of two intervals are well described, in contrast to the *riet* words with $r \geq 3$, which were studied only a little. The only result known for a general r is the estimate on values of the factor complexity, namely $\mathcal{C}(n) \leq (r - 1)n + 1$; other properties remain hidden for general r .

An important step towards the characterization of words coding 3-interval exchange transformations was accomplished by Ferenczi, Holton and Zamboni in the series of papers [4, 5, 6]. Our paper is devoted to the study of morphisms, which preserve the set of 3iet words (the reader is referred to Section 2 for precise definitions). Recall that morphisms preserving sturmian words were completely described in [2, 9, 11]. Berstel, Mignosi and Séébold studied morphisms φ such that $\varphi(u)$ is sturmian for each sturmian word u . They showed that the monoid of such morphisms is finitely generated by three morphisms.

The aim of this note is to show that the monoid of morphisms, which preserve 3iet words, is not finitely generated; strictly speaking we show that there are infinitely many morphisms ψ such that

1. $\psi(u)$ is a 3iet word for all 3iet words u , i.e., ψ is 3iet preserving
2. ψ cannot be written as a non-trivial composition of other 3iet preserving morphisms.

In the paper [13] it is showed that the monoid of morphisms preserving sturmian words coincides with the set of positive invertible substitutions over a 2-letter alphabet. Invertible substitutions over a 3-letter alphabet are characterized in [12]. Even though the set of invertible substitutions over a 3-letter alphabet is not finitely generated, the monoid of their matrices is. It means that the monoid of positive invertible substitutions over a 3-letter alphabet and the monoid of 3iet preserving morphisms are different.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 3iet words

In this paper we deal with finite and infinite words over a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$. The set of all finite words over $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^*$. This set, equipped with the concatenation as a binary operation, is a free monoid having the empty word as its identity. The set of two-sided infinite words over an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., of two-sided infinite sequences of letters of $\mathcal{A}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, its elements are words $u = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

We concentrate on words coding an exchange of three intervals.

Definition. Let α, β, γ be three positive real numbers. Denote

$$\begin{array}{lll} I_A & := & [0, \alpha) \\ I_B & := & [\alpha, \alpha + \beta) \\ I_C & := & [\alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta + \gamma) \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{lll} I_A & := & (0, \alpha] \\ I_B & := & (\alpha, \alpha + \beta] \\ I_C & := & (\alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta + \gamma] \end{array}$$

respectively, and $I := I_A \cup I_B \cup I_C$. A mapping $T : I \rightarrow I$, given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x + \beta + \gamma & \text{if } x \in I_A, \\ x - \alpha + \gamma & \text{if } x \in I_B, \\ x - \alpha - \beta & \text{if } x \in I_C, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

is called a *3-interval exchange transformation* (3iet) with parameters α, β, γ .

With a 3-interval exchange transformation T , one can naturally associate a ternary bi-infinite word $u_T(x_0) = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, which codes the orbit of a point x_0 from the domain of T , as

$$u_n = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } T^n(x_0) \in I_A, \\ B & \text{if } T^n(x_0) \in I_B, \\ C & \text{if } T^n(x_0) \in I_C. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Similarly as in the case of a 2-interval exchange transformation, the infinite word coding a 3iet can be periodic or aperiodic, according to the choice of parameters α, β, γ . In paper [1] it is proved that $u_T(x_0)$ is periodic if and only if $\alpha + \beta$ and $\beta + \gamma$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Analogous to the case of 2-interval exchange transformations, where periodic words are not viewed as sturmian words, we will not consider periodic words.

Definition. A biinfinite word $u_T(x_0)$ given by the prescription (2) is called a *3iet word* with parameters α, β, γ and x_0 if $\alpha + \beta$ and $\beta + \gamma$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

2.2 Morphisms and incidence matrices

A mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is said to be a *morphism* over $\mathcal{A}$ if $\varphi(w\hat{w}) = \varphi(w)\varphi(\hat{w})$ holds for any pair of finite words $w, \hat{w} \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Obviously, a morphism is uniquely determined by the images $\varphi(a)$ for all letters $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The action of a morphism φ can be naturally extended to biinfinite words by the prescription

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi(\cdots u_{-2}u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots) := \cdots \varphi(u_{-2})\varphi(u_{-1})\varphi(u_0)\varphi(u_1)\cdots.$$

To each morphism φ over a k -letter alphabet $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ one can assign its incidence matrix $\mathcal{M}_\varphi \in \mathbb{N}^{k \times k}$ by putting

$$(\mathcal{M}_\varphi)_{ij} = \text{number of letters } a_j \text{ in the word } \varphi(a_i). \quad (3)$$

Morphisms over $\mathcal{A}$ form a monoid, whose neutral element is the identity morphism. Let φ and ψ be morphisms over $\mathcal{A}$, then the matrix of their composition, that is, of the morphism $u \mapsto (\varphi \circ \psi)(u) = \varphi(\psi(u))$ is obtained by

$$\mathbf{M}_{\varphi \circ \psi} = \mathbf{M}_\psi \mathbf{M}_\varphi. \quad (4)$$

Therefore the mapping $\mathcal{R} : \varphi \mapsto \mathbf{M}_\varphi$ is the matrix representation of the monoid of all morphisms over $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition. A morphism over the alphabet $\{A, B, C\}$ is said to be *3iet preserving* if $\varphi(u)$ is a 3iet word for each 3iet word u . Monoid of all such morphisms will be denoted by Φ_{3iet} .

Example 1. It is easy to see that the morphism ξ over $\{A, B, C\}$ given by prescriptions

$$A \mapsto C, \quad B \mapsto B, \quad C \mapsto A, \quad (5)$$

is a 3iet preserving morphism. To a 3iet word, which codes the orbit of x_0 under the transformation T with intervals $[0, \alpha) \cup [\alpha, \alpha + \beta) \cup [\alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta + \gamma)$, it assigns a 3iet word, which codes the orbit of $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - x_0$ under the transformation $\tilde{T}$ with intervals $(0, \gamma] \cup (\gamma, \gamma + \beta] \cup (\gamma + \beta, \gamma + \beta + \alpha]$. The matrix of this morphism is $\mathbf{M}_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

In the proof of the fact that Φ_{3iet} is not a finitely generated monoid we will extensively use the following theorem, proved in [1].

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-singular matrix of a 3iet preserving morphism. Then $\mathbf{M}$ belongs to the monoid $E(3, \mathbb{N}) := \{\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{N}^{3 \times 3} \mid \mathbf{M}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{M}^T = \pm \mathbf{E} \text{ and } \det \mathbf{M} = \pm 1\}$, where $\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet}) := \{\mathbf{M}_\varphi \mid \varphi \in \Phi_{3iet} \text{ and } \det \mathbf{M}_\varphi \neq 0\}.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$ is a monoid too, and the above mentioned result can be expressed as $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet}) \subset E(3, \mathbb{N})$. Moreover, the inclusion is strict, that is, it is known that $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet}) \subsetneq E(3, \mathbb{N})$.

We will prove that none of the monoids Φ_{3iet} , $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$ or $E(3, \mathbb{N})$ is finitely generated; for this we have to introduce the notion of a non-decomposable element of a monoid.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a monoid with an operation $*$. An element $U \in \mathcal{M}$ is called *unit* of $\mathcal{M}$ if for any element $A \in \mathcal{M}$ there exist $B, C \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $A = B * U = U * C$. An element $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is said to be *non-decomposable* in $\mathcal{M}$ if $M = A * B$, $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ implies that either A or B is unit of $\mathcal{M}$.

3 Monoid $E(3, \mathbb{N})$ and its units

At first we state the following necessary condition of a matrix to be an element of $E(3, \mathbb{N})$.

Proposition 3. *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}^{3 \times 3}$. If $M \in E(3, \mathbb{N})$ then $(1, -1, 1)$ is left eigenvector of M .*

Proof. The matrix E has left eigenvector $(1, -1, 1)$ with simple eigenvalue 0. As M is by definition of $E(3, \mathbb{N})$ non-singular, $(1, -1, 1)$ is also left eigenvector of EM^T with simple eigenvalue 0. On the other hand we have $(1, -1, 1)MEM^T = (1, -1, 1)E = (0, 0, 0)$, which means that $(1, -1, 1)M$ is left eigenvector of EM^T with simple eigenvalue 0 as well. This implies $(1, -1, 1)M = c(1, -1, 1)$. $\square$

Let us describe in general units of a monoid $\mathcal{M}$ of non-negative integral matrices. By definition if U is a unit of $\mathcal{M}$ then for the identity matrix $I \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $I = BU$, where $B \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence the inverse matrix U^{-1} has to be also integral and non-negative. This property holds only for permutation matrices; the only permutation matrices fulfilling the necessary condition from Proposition 3 are $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that the second matrix is the matrix of the 3iet preserving morphism ξ from Example 1, and, moreover, ξ is the only one morphism having this matrix. We can summarize these facts in the following corollary.

Corollary 4. (i) *There are exactly two units in the monoids $E(3, \mathbb{N})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$, namely the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.*

(ii) *There are exactly two units in the monoid of 3iet preserving morphisms, namely the identity morphism and the morphism ξ .*

To prove that the monoids $E(3, \mathbb{N})$, Φ_{3iet} and $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$ are not finitely generated we will use the following Lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $k \geq 1$. Then matrix $M_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & k-1 \\ 0 & k+1 & k \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is uniquely decomposable in $E(3, \mathbb{N})$, up to multiples of units.*

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed, and let us consider $A, B \in E(3, \mathbb{N})$, A, B not units of $E(3, \mathbb{N})$, such that $M_k = AB$. We denote elements of A, B and M_k by a_{ij}, b_{ij} and m_{ij} , respectively.

From the null coefficients in the first column of M_k one obtains $a_{11}b_{11} = a_{12}b_{21} = a_{13}b_{31} = a_{21}b_{11} = a_{22}b_{21} = a_{23}b_{31} = 0$. Let us assume that there is only one zero in the first column of B , say $b_{i1} = 0$. This implies that $a_{1j} = a_{1k} = 0$ and $a_{2j} = a_{2k} = 0$ for $j, k \neq i$. Hence A is singular, which is in contradiction with $A \in E(3, \mathbb{N})$. Therefore there are two zeros in $B_{\bullet 1}$. We denote by $B_{\bullet i}$ and $B_{i \bullet}$ denote the i -th column and row of the matrix B , respectively. Recall that $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P^{-1}$ is unit of $E(3, \mathbb{N})$, thus it suffices to discuss only two cases for the first column of B , namely $b_{11} \neq 0$ and $b_{21} \neq 0$.

Case A) $b_{11} \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ 0 & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & k-1 \\ 0 & k+1 & k \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further elements of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ can be enumerated by means of the following facts

- $m_{31} = 1 = a_{31}b_{11} \Rightarrow a_{31} = b_{11} = 1$
- $m_{32} = 0 = b_{12} + a_{32}b_{22} + a_{33}b_{32} \Rightarrow b_{12} = 0$
- Since $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{E}(3, \mathbb{N})$ the vector $(1, -1, 1)$ has to be its left-eigenvector associated with an eigenvalue λ . Multiplying the first and the second column of $\mathbf{B}$ by this vector one has $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{B}_{\bullet 1} = \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda = 1$ and $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{B}_{\bullet 2} = -\lambda \Rightarrow b_{22} = b_{32} + 1$.
- Finally, $m_{32} = 0 = a_{32}(b_{32} + 1) + a_{33}b_{32} \Rightarrow a_{32} = 0$ and $a_{33}b_{32} = 0$.

According to the term $a_{33}b_{32} = 0$ we divide the discussion into two cases.

Case A1) $b_{32} = 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 1 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & k-1 \\ 0 & k+1 & k \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As $\mathbf{B}$ is an upper triangular matrix, the product of its diagonal elements is equal to its determinant, and we have $b_{33} = 1$ and 1 is the only eigenvalue of $\mathbf{B}$. Further $m_{12} = k = a_{12}$ and $m_{22} = k + 1 = a_{22}$. As before multiplying the third column of $\mathbf{B}$ by the left eigenvector $(1, -1, 1)$ associated to the eigenvalue 1, one has $b_{13} - b_{23} + 1 = 1 \Rightarrow b_{13} = b_{23}$. Thus $b_{23} > 0$, otherwise $\mathbf{B}$ is the identity matrix and so a unit of $\mathbf{E}(3, \mathbb{N})$. From $m_{13} = k - 1$ we get $k - 1 = kb_{23} + a_{13}$, which is impossible for $b_{23} \geq 1$.

Case A2) $a_{33} = 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_{13} \\ 0 & b_{32} + 1 & b_{23} \\ 0 & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & k-1 \\ 0 & k+1 & k \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{E}(3, \mathbb{N})$ the vector $(1, -1, 1)$ is its left-eigenvector. Hence $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{A}_{\bullet 1} = \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda = 1$, $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{A}_{\bullet 2} = -1 \Rightarrow a_{22} = a_{12} + 1$ and $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{A}_{\bullet 3} = 1 \Rightarrow a_{13} = a_{23} + 1$. Therefore we have $\pm 1 = \det \mathbf{A} = a_{12}a_{23} - (a_{23} + 1)(a_{12} + 1) = a_{23} + a_{12} + 1$. This is not possible if $\det \mathbf{A} = -1$ and for $\det \mathbf{A} = 1$ the equality can be fulfilled only if $a_{12} = a_{23} = 1$, i.e., $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}$, which is unit of $\mathbf{E}(3, \mathbb{N})$.

Case B) $b_{21} \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & 0 & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ 0 & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & k-1 \\ 0 & k+1 & k \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore

- $m_{31} = 1 = a_{32}b_{21} \Rightarrow a_{32} = b_{21} = 1$
- $m_{32} = 0 = a_{31}b_{12} + b_{22} + a_{33}b_{32} \Rightarrow b_{22} = 0$
- $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{B}_{\bullet 1} = \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda = -1$ and $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{B}_{\bullet 2} = 1 \Rightarrow b_{12} + b_{32} = 1$. Without loss of generality $b_{12} = 0$ and $b_{32} = 1$.
- $m_{12} = k = a_{13}$, $m_{22} = k + 1 = a_{23}$ and $m_{32} = 0 = a_{33}$.
- $\pm 1 = \det \mathbf{B} = b_{13} \Rightarrow b_{13} = 1$.
- $m_{33} = 2 = a_{31} + b_{23}$ and $(1, -1, 1)\mathbf{B}_{\bullet 3} = -1 \Rightarrow b_{23} = b_{33} + 2$ put together give $2 = a_{31} + b_{33} + 2 \Rightarrow a_{31} = b_{33} = 0$.

At this point the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is fully enumerated, it is easy to compute the remaining elements of $\mathbf{A}$, $a_{11} = k - 1$ and $a_{21} = k$.

Therefore the matrix $\mathbf{M}_k$ has the following unique decomposition in $E(3, \mathbb{N})$

$$\mathbf{M}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & k-1 \\ 0 & k+1 & k \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} k-1 & 0 & k \\ k & 0 & k+1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_k} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1}. \quad (6)$$

□

The uniqueness of the decomposition of $\mathbf{M}_k$ means that $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_k$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1$ in (6) are non-decomposable. Hence one gets the following corollary.

Corollary 6. *The monoid $E(3, \mathbb{N})$ is not finitely generated.*

4 Monoid of 3iet preserving morphisms

The matrices $\mathbf{M}_k$ considered in Lemma 5 are elements of $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$ as shows the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varphi_k : \{A, B, C\}^* \rightarrow \{A, B, C\}^*$ be the morphism given by*

$$A \mapsto B(CB)^{k-1}, \quad B \mapsto B(CB)^k, \quad C \mapsto CAC. \quad (7)$$

Then φ_k is 3iet preserving.

Proof. Let us consider an arbitrary 3iet word u with parameters α, β, γ and x_0 . The corresponding 3-interval exchange transformation is given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x + \alpha + \beta & \text{if } x \in [0, \alpha) =: I_A, \\ x + \alpha - \gamma & \text{if } x \in [\alpha, \alpha + \beta) =: I_B, \\ x - \beta - \gamma & \text{if } x \in [\alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta + \gamma) =: I_C. \end{cases}$$

We show that the 3iet word with parameters $|I'_A| = \gamma$, $|I'_B| = k\alpha + (k+1)\beta$, $|I'_C| = (k-1)\alpha + k\beta + 2\gamma$ and $x'_0 = x_0$, where $I_A \cup I_B \subset I'_B$ and $I_C \subset I'_C$ (see Figure 1) coincides with the word $\varphi_k(u)$.




Figure 1: The transformation T' for $k = 3$.

The transformation T' corresponding to $|I'_A|, |I'_B|$ and $|I'_C|$ is the following one

$$T'(x) = \begin{cases} x + |I'_A| + |I'_B| & \text{if } x \in [(1-k)\alpha - k\beta - \gamma, (1-k)\alpha - k\beta) =: I'_A, \\ x + |I'_A| - |I'_C| & \text{if } x \in [(1-k)\alpha - k\beta, \alpha + \beta) =: I'_B, \\ x - |I'_B| - |I'_C| & \text{if } x \in [\alpha + \beta, k\alpha + (k+1)\beta + 2\gamma) =: I'_C. \end{cases}$$

For a point $x \in I_C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T'(x) &= x - |I'_A| - |I'_B| = x - \gamma - k\alpha - (k+1)\beta \in I'_A, \\ (T')^2(x) &= x + |I'_C| - |I'_A| = x + (k-1)\alpha + k\beta + \gamma \in I'_C, \\ (T')^3(x) &= x + |I'_C| - 2|I'_A| - |I'_B| = x - \alpha - \beta = T(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any point $x \in I_C \subset I'_C$ its first iteration under T' is $T'(x) \in I'_A$, the second iteration is $(T')^2(x) \in I'_C$ and the third one $(T')^3(x)$ sends it to the same place as the first iteration of the original transformation T . Therefore we substitute $C \mapsto CAC$.

For a point $x \in I_B$ we successively have

$$\begin{aligned} T'(x) &= x + |I'_C| - |I'_A| = x + (k-1)\alpha + k\beta + \gamma \in I'_C, \\ (T')^2(x) &= x + |I'_C| - 2|I'_A| - |I'_B| = x - \alpha - \beta \in I'_B, \\ (T')^3(x) &= x + 2|I'_C| - 3|I'_A| - |I'_B| = x + (k-2)\alpha + (k-1)\beta + \gamma \in I'_C, \\ (T')^4(x) &= x + 2|I'_C| - 4|I'_A| - 2|I'_B| = x - 2\alpha - 2\beta \in I'_B, \end{aligned}$$

that is, in general

$$\begin{aligned} (T')^{2l+1}(x) &= x + (l+1)|I'_C| - (2l+1)|I'_A| - l|I'_B| = \\ &= x + (k-l-1)\alpha + (k-l)\beta + \gamma \in I'_C, \\ (T')^{2l}(x) &= x + l|I'_C| - 2l|I'_A| - l|I'_B| = x - l\alpha - l\beta \in I'_B. \end{aligned}$$

The iterations belong alternately to intervals I'_C and I'_B , with the last one being $(T')^{2k+1}(x) = x - \alpha + \gamma = T(x)$. Therefore we substitute $B \mapsto B(CB)^k$.

For a point $x \in I_A$ the iterations are the same as in the case of $x \in I_B$ with the last one being $(T')^{2(k-1)+1}(x) = x + \beta + \gamma = T(x)$, and hence $A \mapsto B(CB)^{k-1}$. It follows from the definition that $\mathbf{M}_k$ is the matrix of morphism φ_k given by these three assignments. $\square$

Theorem 8. *The monoid of 3iet preserving morphisms Φ_{3iet} is not finitely generated.*

Proof. At first note that there is an obvious relation between the decomposition of a morphism and of its matrix. Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{3iet}$ be a morphism having non-trivial decomposition $\varphi = \psi \circ \phi$. Then its matrix $\mathbf{M}_\varphi$ is decomposable in $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$, $\mathbf{M}_\varphi = \mathbf{M}_\phi \mathbf{M}_\psi$ with $\mathbf{M}_\phi, \mathbf{M}_\psi$ not being units of $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$.

To prove that Φ_{3iet} is not finitely generated we construct the sequence $(\tilde{\varphi}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-decomposable 3iet preserving morphisms. To meet this aim we exploit the morphism $\varphi_k \in \Phi_{3iet}$, defined in Lemma 7. There are two possible cases, depending on the nature of the matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_k$, to which $\mathbf{M}_k$ (i.e., the matrix of φ) can be decomposed (cf. proof of Lemma 5)

- (i) If $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_1$ is not the matrix of a 3iet preserving morphism then φ_k are non-decomposable morphisms for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore it suffices to put $\tilde{\varphi}_k = \varphi_k$.
- (ii) Let us suppose that $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_1$ is the matrix of a 3iet preserving morphism. We define the sequence $(\tilde{\varphi}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the following way. If $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_k$ is the matrix of a 3iet preserving morphism η_k then $\tilde{\varphi}_k = \eta_k$, otherwise $\tilde{\varphi}_k = \varphi_k$.

□

Corollary 9. *The monoid $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{3iet})$ is not finitely generated.*

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support by Czech Science Foundation GA ĆR 201/05/0169, and by the grant LC06002 of the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic.

References

- [1] P. Ambroř, Z. Masáková, and E. Pelantová. *Matrices of 3iet preserving morphisms*. Submitted to Theoret. Comput. Sci., (2007).
- [2] J. Berstel and P. Séébold. *Morphismes de Sturm*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **1** (1994), 175–189. Journées Montoises (Mons, 1992).
- [3] M. D. Boshernitzan and C. R. Carroll. *An extension of Lagrange’s theorem to interval exchange transformations over quadratic fields*. J. Anal. Math. **72** (1997), 21–44.
- [4] S. Ferenczi, C. Holton, and L. Q. Zamboni. *Structure of three interval exchange transformations. I. An arithmetic study*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **51** (2001), 861–901.
- [5] S. Ferenczi, C. Holton, and L. Q. Zamboni. *Structure of three-interval exchange transformations. II. A combinatorial description of the trajectories*. J. Anal. Math. **89** (2003), 239–276.

- [6] S. Ferenczi, C. Holton, and L. Q. Zamboni. *Structure of three-interval exchange transformations III: ergodic and spectral properties*. J. Anal. Math. **93** (2004), 103–138.
- [7] A. B. Katok and A. M. Stepin. *Approximations in ergodic theory*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), 81–106.
- [8] M. Keane. *Interval exchange transformations*. Math. Z. **141** (1975), 25–31.
- [9] F. Mignosi and P. Séébold. *Morphismes sturmiens et règles de Rauzy*. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **5** (1993), 221–233.
- [10] G. Rauzy. *Échanges d’intervalles et transformations induites*. Acta Arith. **34** (1979), 315–328.
- [11] P. Séébold. *Fibonacci morphisms and Sturmian words*. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **88** (1991), 365–384.
- [12] B. Tan, Z.-X. Wen, and Y. Zhang. *The structure of invertible substitutions on a three-letter alphabet*. Adv. in Appl. Math. **32** (2004), 736–753.
- [13] Z. X. Wen and Z. Y. Wen. *Local isomorphisms of invertible substitutions*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **318** (1994), 299–304.