UNBOUNDED REGIONS OF INFINITELY LOGCONCAVE SEQUENCES

KAREN YEATS DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS BOSTON UNIVERSITY

AND

DAVID UMINSKY DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS BOSTON UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT. We study the properties of a logconcavity operator on a symmetric, unimodal subset of finite sequences. In doing so we are able to prove that there is a large unbounded region in this subset that is ∞ -logconcave. This problem was motivated by the conjecture of Moll and Boros in [1] that the binomial coefficients are ∞ -logconcave.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the asymptotic behavior of the logconcavity operator on finite sequences. Before we can state the problem we will need a few definitions.

We say that a sequence $\{c_0, c_1, ..., c_n\}$ is 1-logconcave (or logarithmically concave) if $c_i^2 - c_{i+1}c_{i-1} \ge 0$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. We also only consider the sequence to be logconcave if $c_i > 0$ for $0 \le i \le n$.

We can extend this idea of logconcave as follows: Since $\{a_i\}$ is a finite sequence of length n we define $a_i = 0$ for i < 0 and i > n, then define the operator

(1)
$$\mathcal{L}\{a_i\} = \{a_i^2 - a_{i-1}a_{i+1}\}.$$

If $\{a_i\}$ is logconcave then $\mathcal{L}\{a_i\}$ is a new positive sequence. We now define a sequence $\{a_i\}$ to be ∞ -logconcave if $\mathcal{L}^k\{a_i\}$ is a positive sequence for all k.

While studying a new class of integrals related to Ramanujan's Master Theorem, Moll and Boros proposed that a particular family of finite sequences of coefficients $\{d_l(m)\}\$ were ∞ -logconcave. Moll and Boros then point out that showing that the binomial coefficients are ∞ -logconcave (project 7.9.3 in [1]) would go a long way in showing the sequence $\{d_l(m)\}\$ is ∞ -logconcave. Kauers and Paule in [3] show that the $\{d_l(m)\}\$ are 1-logconcave. It is these conjectures that motivated us to start our investigation of the operator \mathcal{L} on the space of finite sequences.

Numerical experiments suggest that the binomial coefficients are ∞ -logconcave, moreover, many sequences "near" the binomial sequence also appear to be ∞ -logconcave. These numerics motivated us to take an alternative approach. We

Date: March 3, 2007.

Thanks to Cameron Morland for making better figures.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: Primary 05A10; Secondary 39B12.

instead begin to study the properties of ${\mathcal L}$ on the subset of finite sequences of the forms

 $\{\dots, 0, 0, 1, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_1, x_0, 1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ $\{\dots, 0, 0, 1, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n, \dots, x_1, x_0, 1, 0, 0, \dots\}$

We will refer the first sequence above as the odd case and, the second sequence, the even case because of the repetition of the middle term in the sequence. Notice that all the binomial coefficients belong to one of the above cases.

Our approach to the problem is for a given sequence of the form above of length 2n + 3 or 2n + 4 we analyzing the dynamics of \mathcal{L} on the subset of euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with all positive coordinates, a.k.a the first quadrant. This differs from the approach of Moll in [4].

Our main result in this paper is to show that there is a large unbounded region \mathcal{R} in this quadrant that contains only ∞ -logconcave sequences. Moreover \mathcal{R} can act like a trapping region for ∞ -logconcave sequences, i.e., sequences not starting in \mathcal{R} can land in \mathcal{R} after a number of iterates of \mathcal{L} .

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we present some of the simple cases along with some numerical evidence. The general arguments are presented in detail for the even case in section 3 and the odd case is briefly covered in similar fashion in section 4.

2. Low Dimensional Cases

2.1. The one dimensional cases, $\{1, x, 1\}$ and $\{1, x, x, 1\}$. For these two cases the underlying dynamics of \mathcal{L} is rather easy to compute explicitly. We first consider the sequence $\{1, x, 1\}$.

Theorem 2.1. $\mathcal{L}\{1, x, 1\} = \{1, x^2 - 1, 1\}$. Thus the fix point for this sequence is are $x = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Moreover, if $x \ge \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ then our sequence is ∞ -logconcave and not otherwise.

Proof. A simple calculation shows that $x = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{L} for the sequence $\{1, x, 1\}$. Moreover it is easy to see that if if $x > \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ then x grows under the iterates of \mathcal{L} and hence always positive. It is also easy to see that the interval $[1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}]$ is mapped over the interval $[0, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}]$ monotonically thus any values of $x \in [1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}]$ are eventually mapped below x = 1 thus our sequence is no longer unimodal, hence not logconcave.

Notice that the binomial sequence $\{1, 2, 1\}$ lies securely in this region thus we have shown that $\{1, 2, 1\}$ is ∞ -logconcave. The sequence $\{1, x, x, 1\}$ is handled in a similar fashion.

Theorem 2.2. $\mathcal{L}\{1, x, x, 1\} = \{1, x^2 - x, x^2 - x, 1\}$. Thus the fix point for this sequence is x = 2, moreover, if $x \ge 2$ then our sequence is ∞ -logconcave and not otherwise.

Proof. Nearly identical to the one above.

Notice that the key to the easy theorems above were finding the fixed points of \mathcal{L} in our underlying Euclidean space and then monotonicity gave us the rest. Fixed "points" will no longer remain the key in the general argument but as we will see in the 2-D cases below we will have hypersurfaces that bound open regions of ∞ -logconcave.

2.2. The 2-D cases, $\{1, x, y, x, 1\}$ and $\{1, x, y, y, x, 1\}$. The 2-D cases are more complicated than the 1-D cases but they give better insight into how we might hope to find "regions" of ∞ -logconcavity. To better see the general techniques to finding such regions, we first focus on the even case.

If one is to investigate this question numerically one can compute the following picture.



FIGURE 1. The filled region is the numerical region of ∞ -logconcavity for the 2-D even case. The X indicates the position of the binomial coefficient.

The first thing to notice is that the binomial coefficient x = 5, y = 10 is in the numerical region of ∞ -logconcavity, which is encouraging. This picture also suggests that there is an ∞ -logconcave region bounded away from the origin, below by some line and above by some curve, which turn out to be y = 2x and $y = (1 + \sqrt{5})x^2/2$ respectively. This picture is remarkably stable. The boundary points in the 1-D cases have now been replaced by curves.

For odd sequence $\{1, x, y, x, 1\}$ the results are similar. The numerical picture looks as follows.



FIGURE 2. The filled region is the numerical region of ∞ -logconcavity for the 2-D odd case. The X indicates the position of the binomial coefficient.

Again, we notice that the binomial coefficient x = 4, y = 6 is in the numerical region of ∞ -logconcavity, which is quite encouraging. This picture suggests as well

that there is an ∞ -logconcave region bounded away from the origin, below by the line $y = (1 + \sqrt{5})x/2$ and above by $y = (1 + \sqrt{5})x^2/2$. It is important to point out that the regions in both cases are different with the odd case containing a wider region.

2.3. Note on a 3-D case. If one is to investigate numerically the even 3-D case, $\{1, x, y, z, z, y, x, 1\}$, we would arrive at the following boundary hypersurfaces.



FIGURE 3. The region of ∞ -logconcavity for the 3-D Even case. From the picture above the region of interest is bounded on the left by the "vertical" plane (\mathcal{H}_0) , below by the "horizontal" plane (\mathcal{H}_2) , and above by the curved surface (\mathcal{H}_1) .

In this case the binomial coefficient sequence, x = 7, y = 21, z = 35, is not in the region. However its first iterate, x = 28, y = 196, z = 490, is in the region of interest.

It is the observation of these hypersurfaces as boundaries of the ∞ -logconcave region that is important. As it turns out, we can construct these boundaries for arbitrarily long finite sequences as will be shown in section 3 for the even cases and in section 4 for the odd cases. While the 1-D and 2-D cases seem to have an "exclusive" region of ∞ -logconcavity, this is not true in general as we saw in the 3-D case.

3. The General Case for Even Length

3.1. Leading order behavior. Consider the sequence of length 2n + 4

 $s = \{1, a_0 x, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, a_2 x^{1+d_1+d_2}, \dots, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, \dots, a_0 x, 1\}$

For the moment we are interested in the leading terms of elements of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ viewed as polynomials in x. We will restrict ourselves to values of the d_i for which $a_i^2 x^{2(1+d_1+\cdots+d_i)}$ contributes to the leading term of the corresponding element in the first iteration:

and to values of $a_i > 0$ which give that the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ has the same form as s itself for some new x.

Using (2), the leading term condition is equivalent to

$$0 \le d_n \le d_{n-1} \le \dots \le d_1 \le 1$$

which we can view as defining a simplex. The values of a_i may then be determined for each face by solving the systems of equations arising from matching the coefficients of the leading terms in $\mathcal{L}(s)$ with the coefficients of s.

Of greatest interest are the *n*-faces of the simplex since they define the boundaries of what will be our open region of convergence. The *n* faces are defined by $d_1 = 1$, $d_j = d_{j+1}$ for $0 \le j < n$, and $d_n = 0$; in all cases with the unspecified d_i distinct and strictly between 0 and 1.

For $d_1 = 1$ the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ are

$$\{1, x^2(a_0^2 - a_1), x^4a_1^2, x^{4+2d_2}a_2^2, \dots, x^{4+2d_2 + \dots + 2d_n}a_n^2, x^{4+2d_2 + \dots + 2d_n}a_n^2, \dots, 1\}$$

so we are led to the system

$$a_0^2 - a_1 = a_0$$
$$a_1^2 = a_1$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a_n^2 = a_n$$

We are interested in positive solutions so $a_i = 1$ for $0 < i \le n$ and $a_0 = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. For $d_j = d_{j+1}$ the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ are

$$\{1, x^2 a_0^2, x^{2+2d_1} a_1^2, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_j} (a_j^2 - a_{j-1}a_{j+1}), x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j} a_{j+1}^2, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_n} a_n^2, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_n} a_n^2, \dots, 1\}$$

so we are led to the system

$$a_0^2 = a_0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{j-1}^2 = a_{j-1}$$

$$a_j^2 - a_{j-1}a_{j+1} = a_j$$

$$a_{j+1}^2 = a_{j+1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_n^2 = a_n$$

which has unique positive solution $a_i = 1$ for $i \neq j$ and $a_j = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$.

Finally for $d_n = 0$ the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ are

$$\{1, x^2 a_0^2, x^{2+2d_1} a_1^2, \dots, x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} a_{n-1}^2, x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} (a_n^2 - a_n a_{n-1}), x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} (a_n^2 - a_n a_{n-1}), \dots, 1\}$$

2124 1 124

2

so we are led to the system

$$a_0^2 = a_0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{n-1}^2 = a_{n-1}$$

$$a_n^2 - a_n a_{n-1} = a_n$$

which has unique positive solution $a_i = 1$ for $0 \le i < n$ and $a_n = 2$.

3.2. Interior. In the region of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} where the coordinates are all positive and increasing, consider the following parametrically defined hypersurfaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{0} &= \left\{ \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x, x^{2}, x^{2+d_{2}}, \dots, x^{2+d_{2}+\dots+d_{n}} \right) : 1 \leq x, 1 > d_{2} > \dots > d_{n} > 0 \right\} \\ \mathcal{H}_{j} &= \left\{ \left(x, x^{1+d_{1}}, \dots, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+d_{j}}, x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+2d_{j}}, \dots, x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+2d_{j}+\dots+d_{n}} \right) \\ &: 1 \leq x, 1 > d_{1} > \dots > d_{j} > d_{j+2} > \dots > d_{n} > 0 \right\} \\ \mathcal{H}_{n} &= \left\{ \left(x, x^{1+d_{1}}, \dots, x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+d_{n-1}}, 2x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+d_{n-1}} \right) \\ &: 1 \leq x, 1 > d_{1} > \dots > d_{n-1} > 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for 0 < j < n. These are precisely the results of the leading order analysis of the previous subsection.

Let \mathcal{R} be the region with positive increasing coordinates defined as greater in the *i*th coordinate than \mathcal{H}_i . For example in the 3-D case handled in 2.3, figure 2.3, the region in question is above \mathcal{H}_2 , below \mathcal{H}_1 and to the right of \mathcal{H}_0 .

We say a sequence $\{1, x_0, \ldots, x_n, x_n, \ldots, x_0, 1\}$ is in \mathcal{R} if $(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 3.1. \mathcal{R} is nonempty and unbounded.

Proof. Let $\{1, x_0, \ldots, x_n, x_n, \ldots, x_0, 1\}$ be any 1-logconcave sequence, for instance the binomial sequence of appropriate length [2], then consider the following sequence

$$s = \left\{ 1, ax_0, \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^2 a^2 x_1, \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^2} a^3 x_2, \dots, \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^n} a^{n+1} x_n, \\ \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^n} a^{n+1} x_n, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

for $a > 8(1 + \sqrt{5})^2$. For 0 < j < n by choosing x and then choosing the d_i we can match all the coordinates of s with the corresponding coordinates of \mathcal{H}_i except

 $\mathbf{6}$

possibly for the *j*th. But $x^{1+d_1+\cdots+2d_j}/x^{1+d_1+\cdots+d_{j-1}} = x^{2d_j}$, so

$$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_j} = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}}\sqrt{\frac{x^{1+d_1+\dots+2d_j}}{x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}}}}$$
$$= \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\sqrt{x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}}x^{1+d_1+\dots+2d_j}}$$

comparing with s we have that

$$\left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^{j}} a^{j+1} x_{j}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^{j}} a^{j} \sqrt{x_{j-1} x_{j+1}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^{j+1}-2^{j+1}-2^{j-1}} \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^{j-1}} a^{j} x_{j-1} \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^{j+1}} a^{j+2} x_{j+1} }$$

$$= \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2^{j-1}} \sqrt{x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+d_{j-1}} x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+2d_{j}}}$$

$$\geq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x^{1+d_{1}+\dots+d_{j}}$$

So s is on the correct side of \mathcal{H}_j .

For \mathcal{H}_0 by suitable choice of x and d_i we can match all the coordinates of s other than the 0th. So

$$ax_0 \ge \sqrt{a^2 x_1} = \sqrt{\frac{x^2(1+\sqrt{5})^2}{4}} = \frac{x(1+\sqrt{5})}{2}$$

Thus s is on the correct side of \mathcal{H}_0 .

For \mathcal{H}_n similarly by suitable choice of x and d_i we can match all the coordinates of s other than the *n*th. Then $x_n^2 \ge x_{n-1}x_n$ giving

$$\left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^n} a^{n+1} x_n \ge \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^n} a^{n+1} x_{n-1}$$
$$= a \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^2 x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}$$
$$> 2x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}$$

So s is also on the correct side of \mathcal{H}_n . Consequently s is in \mathcal{R} . So we see that \mathcal{R} is nonempty, and, by the freedom to increase a, \mathcal{R} is unbounded.

Lemma 3.2. Let \mathcal{H} be a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Call it *j*-monotone if when \mathcal{H} is viewed as a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with the *j*th variable as the dependent variable, then $f(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \ge f(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ if $y_i \ge z_i$. Let (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) be a point on \mathcal{H} . Then for $\epsilon_i > 0$,

$$(x_0 - \epsilon_0, \dots, x_{j-1} - \epsilon_{j-1}, x_j + \epsilon_j, x_{j+1} - \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, x_n - \epsilon_n)$$

and

$$(x_0,\ldots,x_{j-1},x_j+\epsilon_j,x_{j-1},\ldots,x_n)$$

lie on the same side of \mathcal{H} .

Proof. View \mathcal{H} as $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with x_j as the depend-ant variable. Then

$$f(x_0 - \epsilon_0, \dots, x_{j-1} - \epsilon_{j-1}, x_{j+1} - \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, x_n - \epsilon_n) \\\leq f(x_0, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j-1}, \dots, x_n) = x_j < x_j + \epsilon_j$$

So both points lie on the side of \mathcal{H} which is greater in the *j*th coordinate.

Lemma 3.3. Each of the \mathcal{H}_j is *j*-monotone in the sense of Lemma 3.2.

Proof. For \mathcal{H}_0 , x_0 is determined by x_1 and increases when x_1 increases so \mathcal{H}_0 is 0-monotone. For \mathcal{H}_n , x_n is determined by x_{n-1} and increases when x_{n-1} increases so \mathcal{H}_n is *n*-monotone. For \mathcal{H}_j , 0 < j < n, x_j is $(1 + \sqrt{5})\sqrt{x_{j-1}x_{j+1}}/2$ which increases when either x_{j-1} or x_{j+1} increase so \mathcal{H}_j is *j*-monotone.

Theorem 3.1. Any sequence in \mathcal{R} is ∞ -logconcave.

Proof. Suppose $s = \{1, y_0, \ldots, y_n, y_n, \ldots, y_0, 1\}$ is in \mathcal{R} . Then for any 0 < j < n, by the definition of \mathcal{R} , we can choose $x, \epsilon > 0$, and the $d_i, i \neq j + 1$, such that

$$s = \left\{ 1, x, \dots, x^{1+d_1 + \dots + d_{j-1}}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x^{1+d_1 + \dots + d_j} + \epsilon, x^{1+d_1 + \dots + 2d_j}, \dots, x^{1+d_1 + \dots + 2d_j + \dots + d_n}, x^{1+d_1 + \dots + 2d_j + \dots + d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

Iterate to get

$$\mathcal{L}(s) = \left\{ 1, x^2 - x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{j-2}+d_{j-1}+d_j} - \epsilon x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-2}}, \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 - 1 \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_j} + (1+\sqrt{5})x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_j}\epsilon + \epsilon^2, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j} - \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x^{2+2d_1+\dots+3d_j+d_{j+1}} - \epsilon x^{1+d_1+\dots+2d_j+d_{j+1}}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_n} - x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+d_n}, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_n} - x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

Since $(1+\sqrt{5})/2)^2 - 1 = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ by using x^2 in place of x and applying Lemma 3.2, which is valid in view of Lemma 3.3, we can conclude that $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is on the side of \mathcal{H}_j which is larger in the *j*th coordinate. This is the same side which s is on.

Similarly for \mathcal{H}_0 we can choose $x, \epsilon > 0$, and the d_i , such that

$$s = \left\{ 1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}x + \epsilon, x^2, \dots, x^{2+d_2+\dots+d_n}, x^{2+d_2+\dots+d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

Iterate to get

$$\mathcal{L}(s) = \left\{ 1, \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 - 1 \right) x^2 + \epsilon (1+\sqrt{5})x + \epsilon^2, x^4 - x^{3+d_2}, \dots, x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_n} - x^{4+2d_2+\dots+d_n}, x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_n} - x^{4+2d_2+\dots+d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

which, as above, by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 shows that $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is on the same side of \mathcal{H}_0 as s is.

Finally for \mathcal{H}_n choose $x, \epsilon > 0$, and the d_i , such that

$$s = \left\{ 1, x, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} 2x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon, 2x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

Iterate to get

$$\mathcal{L}(s) = \left\{ 1, x^2 - x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} - x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + d_{n-1}}, \\ (4-2)x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} + 4\epsilon x^{1+d_1 + \dots + d_{n-1}} + \epsilon^2, \\ (4-2)x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} + 4\epsilon x^{1+d_1 + \dots + d_{n-1}} + \epsilon^2, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

which again by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 shows that $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is on the same side of \mathcal{H}_n as s is.

Consequently $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is in \mathcal{R} . Since \mathcal{R} is a subregion of the region of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with positive coordinates, this implies that any sequence in \mathcal{R} is ∞ -logconcave.

4. The General Case for Odd Length

4.1. Leading order behavior. Consider the sequence of length 2n + 3

$$s = \{1, a_0 x, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, a_2 x^{1+d_1+d_2}, \dots, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, \dots, a_0 x, 1\}$$

Again we are interested in the leading terms of elements of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ viewed as polynomials in x. We will restrict ourselves to values of the d_i for which $a_i^2 x^{2(1+d_1+\cdots+d_i)}$ contributes to the leading term of the corresponding element in the first iteration:

(3)
$$\{1, x(a_0^2x - a_1x^{d_1}), x^{2+d_1}(a_1^2x^{d_1} - a_2a_0x^{d_2}), x^{2+2d_1+d_2}(a_2^2x^{d_2} - a_1a_3x^{d_3}), \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}(a_n^2x^{2d_n} - a_{n-1}^2), \dots, 1\}$$

and to values of $a_i > 0$ which give that the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ has the same form as s itself for some new x.

Using (3), the leading term condition is equivalent to

$$0 \le d_n \le d_{n-1} \le \dots \le d_1 \le 1$$

which we can again view as defining a simplex. The *n* faces are defined by $d_1 = 1$, $d_j = d_{j+1}$ for $0 \le j < n$, and $d_n = 0$; in all cases with the unspecified d_i distinct and strictly between 0 and 1.

For $d_n = 0$ the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ are

$$\{1, x^2 a_0^2, x^{2+2d_1} a_1^2, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} a_{n-1}^2, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} (a_n^2 - a_{n-1}^2), x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} (a_n^2 - a_n a_{n-1}), \dots, 1\}$$

so we are led to the system

$$a_0^2 = a_0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{n-1}^2 = a_{n-1}$$

$$a_n^2 - a_{n-1}^2 = a_n$$

which has unique positive solution $a_i = 1$ for $0 \le i < n$ and $a_n = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. For $d_1 = 1$ and $d_j = d_{j+1}$ the systems are identical to the even case.

4.2. Interior. The hypersurfaces \mathcal{H}_j , $0 \leq j < n$ are the same.

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \left(x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \right) \\ : 1 \le x, 1 > d_1 > \dots > d_{n-1} > 0 \right\}$$

Let \mathcal{R} be the region with positive increasing coordinates defined as greater in the *i*th coordinate than \mathcal{H}_i .

Lemma 4.1. \mathcal{R} is nonempty and unbounded.

Proof. The proof begins as before, but with $a > 8(1 + \sqrt{5})^3$ We only need consider \mathcal{H}_n . For \mathcal{H}_n by suitable choice of x and d_i we can match all the coordinates of s other than the *n*th. Then $x_n^2 \ge x_{n-1}^2$ giving

$$\left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^n} a^{n+1} x_n \ge \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{2^n} a^{n+1} x_{n-1}$$
$$= a \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^2 x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}$$
$$> \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}$$

So s is also on the correct side of \mathcal{H}_n . Consequently s is in \mathcal{R} . So we see that \mathcal{R} is nonempty, and, by the freedom to increase a, \mathcal{R} is unbounded.

Lemma 4.2. Each of the \mathcal{H}_j is *j*-monotone in the sense of Lemma 3.2.

Proof. We only need to consider \mathcal{H}_n , in which x_n is determined by x_{n-1} and increases when x_{n-1} increases so \mathcal{H}_n is *n*-monotone.

Theorem 4.1. Any sequence in \mathcal{R} is ∞ -logconcave.

Proof. Again, in view of the even case, we only need to check \mathcal{H}_n . Using notation from the even case choose $x, \epsilon > 0$, and the d_i , such that

$$s = \left\{ 1, x, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

10

Iterate to get

$$\mathcal{L}(s) = \left\{ 1, x^2 - x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} - x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + d_{n-1}}, \\ \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 - 1 \right) x^{2+2d_1 + \dots + 2d_{n-1}} + (1+\sqrt{5})\epsilon x^{1+d_1 + \dots + d_{n-1}} + \epsilon^2, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

which by Lemmas 3.2 and 4.2 shows that $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is on the same side of \mathcal{H}_n as s is.

Consequently $\mathcal{L}(s)$ is in \mathcal{R} . Since \mathcal{R} is a subregion of the region of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with positive coordinates, this implies that any sequence in \mathcal{R} is ∞ -logconcave.

References

- Boros, G. and Moll, V.: Irresistible Integrals: Symbolics, Analysis and Experiments in the Evaluation of Integrals. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [2] Brenti, Francesco: Log-concave and Unimodal sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry: an update. Contemporary Math., 178 (1994), 71-89.
- Kauers, Manuel and Paule, Peter: A Computer Proof of Moll's Log-Concavity Conjecture. SFB F13. Technical report no. 2006-15, Altenbergerstrasse 69, 2006.
- [4] Moll, Victor H.: Combinatorial sequences arising from a rational integral. Online Journal of Analytic Combinatorics, 2 (2007), #4.