

**SMALL DEFORMATIONS AND NON-LEFT INVARIANT
COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON A COMPACT
SOLVMANIFOLD**

KEIZO HASEGAWA

ABSTRACT. We observed in our previous paper that all the complex structures on four-dimensional compact solvmanifolds, including tori, are left-invariant. In this paper we will give an example of a six-dimensional compact solvmanifold which admits a continuous family of non-left-invariant complex structures. Furthermore, we will make a complete classification of three-dimensional compact homogeneous complex solvmanifolds; and determine which of them admit pseudo-Kähler structures.

1. INTRODUCTION

A homogeneous manifold M is a differentiable manifold on which a real Lie group G acts transitively. In the case where M is a complex manifold, we usually assume that the group action is holomorphic, and M is called a *homogeneous complex manifold*. It should be noted [10] that any compact homogeneous complex manifold can be written as $H \backslash G$, where G is a complex Lie group and H is a closed complex subgroup of G . A homogeneous complex structure on a Lie group G (considered as a homogeneous manifold) is nothing but a left-invariant complex structure on G ; and it is a complex Lie group if and only if it is both left and right-invariant. There are already extensive studies on left-invariant complex structures on Lie groups ([6], [7], [8]): for instance, the classification of all homogeneous complex surfaces is known, which includes all two-dimensional compact homogeneous complex manifolds and all left-invariant complex structures on four-dimensional simply connected Lie groups [6]. Any left-invariant complex structure on a Lie group G defines a canonical complex structure on its quotient $M = \Gamma \backslash G$, where Γ is a discrete subgroup of G . We call such a complex structure a *left-invariant complex structure* on M . Remark that unless the canonical right action of G on M is holomorphic, M may not be a homogeneous complex manifold.

In this paper we call a compact homogeneous manifold of solvable (nilpotent) Lie group a *solvmanifold* (*nilmanifold*); and a homogeneous complex solvmanifold (nilmanifold) a *complex solvmanifold* (*complex nilmanifold*). In our previous paper [3], we showed that all the complex structures on a four-dimensional solvmanifold M are left-invariant: that is, expressing M as

$\Gamma \backslash G$ (up to finite covering), where G is a four-dimensional simply connected solvable Lie group and Γ is a lattice of G , they are all induced from some left-invariant complex structures on G . To be more precise, we showed:

Theorem 1 ([3]). *A complex surface is diffeomorphic to a four-dimensional solvmanifold if and only if it is one of the following surfaces: Complex torus, Hyperelliptic surface, Inoue Surface of type S^0 , Primary Kodaira surface, Secondary Kodaira surface, Inoue Surface of type S^\pm . Furthermore, every complex structure on each of these complex surfaces (considered as solvmanifolds) is left-invariant.*

A natural question then arises whether the last assertion in the theorem also holds for higher dimension. We will show in Section 5 that there exists an example of a six-dimensional solvmanifold which admits a continuous family of non-left-invariant complex structures (see Theorem 4). In fact, in the paper [5] Nakamura constructed small deformations of a three-dimensional complex solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$, where G is a complex solvable Lie group of dimension 3 and Γ is a lattice (uniform discrete subgroup) of G ; and showed in particular that there exists a continuous family of complex structures whose universal coverings are not Stein (as noted in the paper, this construction is actually due to Kodaira). Therefore, in order to show that there exist non-left-invariant complex structures on a six-dimensional solvmanifold, it is sufficient to show that all the left-invariant complex structures on G are biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^3 (see Theorem 3). Note that this result implies that neither complex-homogeneity nor left-invariance of complex structure is preserved under small deformations.

We have some conjectures relating to small deformations and left-invariant complex structures on solvmanifolds.

Conjecture. *(i) All the left-invariant complex structures on even-dimensional simply connected unimodular solvable Lie groups (nilpotent Lie groups) are Stein (biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^n respectively); (ii) Small deformations of left-invariant complex structures on even-dimensional nilmanifolds are all left-invariant.*

It should be noted that a simply connected complex solvable Lie group of dimension n is biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^n ; and that small deformations of a complex torus are all left-invariant. Remark also that the conjectures (i) and (ii) hold for dimension 4 (see [3], [6]).

In the paper [5] Nakamura has classified three-dimensional complex solvmanifolds M into four classes: (1) abelian type with $h^1 = 3$, (2) nilpotent type with $h^1 = 2$, (3a) non-nilpotent type with $h^1 = 1$, and (3b) non-nilpotent type with $h^1 = 3$, where $h^1 = \dim H^1(M, \mathcal{O})$. In Section 3, determining all lattices of three-dimensional unimodular complex solvable Lie groups we will complete the classification of three-dimensional complex solvmanifolds.

In the paper [12] Yamada gave the first example of a complex solvmanifold which admits a pseudo-Kähler structure. In Section 4 we will show that a three-dimensional complex solvmanifold admits a pseudo-Kähler structure if and only if it is of type (1) or (3b) (see Theorem 2).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a connected, simply connected Lie group of dimension $2m$, and \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G . We consider a left-invariant almost complex structure J on G as a linear automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , that is, $J \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ such that $J^2 = -I$. As is well known J is integrable (that is, it defines a left-invariant complex structure on G) if and only if the Nijenhuis tensor N_J on \mathfrak{g} vanishes identically, where N_J is defined by

$$N_J(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] - [X, Y]$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ be the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . We will reformulate the integrability condition of J in terms of complex subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$. For an almost complex structure J on \mathfrak{g} , let \mathfrak{h}_J be the complex subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $X + \sqrt{-1}JX, X \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{h}_J = \{X + \sqrt{-1}JX | X \in \mathfrak{g}\}_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Then, we see that J is integrable if and only if \mathfrak{h}_J is a complex Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_J \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}_J}$. On the other hand, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let V be a real vector space of dimension $2m$. Then, for a complex subspace W of $V \otimes \mathbf{C}$ such that $V \otimes \mathbf{C} = W \oplus \overline{W}$, there is a unique $J_W \in \text{GL}(V, \mathbf{R}), J_W^2 = -I$ such that*

$$W = \{X + \sqrt{-1}J_W X | X \in V\}_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

It follows from this Lemma and the above argument that there exists one to one correspondence between complex (integrable almost complex) structures on \mathfrak{g} and complex Lie subalgebras \mathfrak{h} such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. The correspondence is given by $J \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_J$ and $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

We now suppose that J is a complex structure on \mathfrak{g} with its associated complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_J . Then the complex Lie subgroup H_J of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ corresponding to \mathfrak{h}_J is closed, simply connected, and $H_J \backslash G_{\mathbf{C}}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^m . The canonical inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ induces an inclusion $G \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$, and $\Gamma = G \cap H_J$ is a discrete subgroup of G . We have the following canonical map $g = i \circ \pi$:

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \backslash G \xrightarrow{i} H_J \backslash G_{\mathbf{C}},$$

where π is a covering map, and i is an inclusion. We can see that $\text{Im } g$ is an open subset U of \mathbf{C}^m , and the complex structure J on G is the one induced from $U \subset \mathbf{C}^m$ by g . It should be noted that if G is a complex Lie group, we have $\Gamma = G \cap H_J = \{1\}$, and g is a biholomorphic map onto \mathbf{C}^m . For the details of the above argument we refer to the paper [8].

3. THREE-DIMENSIONAL UNIMODULAR COMPLEX SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

A complex solvmanifold can be written as $\Gamma \backslash G$, where G is a simply connected, unimodular complex solvable Lie group and Γ is a lattice (uniform discrete subgroup) of G [1]. In particular, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G must be unimodular; that is, the trace of $\text{ad}(X)$ is 0 for every X of \mathfrak{g} . It is easy to classify all unimodular complex solvable Lie algebras of dimension 3. They are divided into three classes: (1) abelian type, (2) nilpotent type, (3) non-nilpotent type.

In the following list, we express the solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} as having a basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ with the bracket multiplication specified for each type:

(1) Abelian Type:

$$[X, Y] = [Y, Z] = [X, Z] = 0.$$

(2) Nilpotent Type:

$$[X, Y] = Z, [X, Z] = [Y, Z] = 0.$$

(3) Non-Nilpotent Type:

$$[X, Y] = -Y, [X, Z] = Z, [Y, Z] = 0.$$

For each of their corresponding simply connected solvable Lie groups G , we will determine all lattices Γ :

(1) Abelian Type: $G = \mathbf{C}^3$

A lattice Γ of G is generated by a basis of \mathbf{C}^3 as a vector space over \mathbf{R} , and $\Gamma \backslash G$ is a complex torus.

(2) Nilpotent Type: $G = \mathbf{C}^2 \rtimes \mathbf{C}$ with the action ϕ defined by

$$\phi(x)(y, z) = (y, z + xy),$$

or in the matrix form,

$$G = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| x, y, z \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

A lattice Γ of G can be written as

$$\Gamma = \Delta \rtimes \Lambda,$$

where Δ is a lattice of \mathbf{C}^2 and Λ is a lattice of \mathbf{C} . Since an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by $f(x) = \alpha x, \alpha \neq 0$ can be extended to an automorphism $F \in \text{Aut}(G)$ defined by $F(x, y, z) = (\alpha x, \alpha^{-1}y, z)$, we can assume that Λ is generated by 1 and λ ($\lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$) over \mathbf{Z} . Since Δ is preserved by $\phi(1)$ and $\phi(\lambda)$, we see that Δ is generated by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), (0, \alpha_1), (0, \alpha_2)$ over \mathbf{Z} , where β_1 and β_2 are arbitrary complex numbers, and α_1 and α_2 are linearly independent over \mathbf{R} such that (α_1, α_2) is an eigenvector of some $A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ with the eigenvalue λ . Conversely, for any $A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ with non-real eigenvalue λ , we can define a lattice Γ of G .

Example 1. A standard lattice Γ of G with $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ is obtained by putting $\lambda = \sqrt{-1}, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0, \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = \sqrt{-1}$, and $\Gamma \backslash G$ is an Iwasawa manifold.

(3) Non-Nilpotent Type: $G = \mathbf{C}^2 \rtimes \mathbf{C}$ with the action ϕ defined by

$$\phi(x)(y, z) = (e^x y, e^{-x} z),$$

or in the matrix form,

$$G = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} e^x & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & e^{-x} & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| x, y, z \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

A lattice Γ of G can be written as $\Gamma = \Delta \rtimes \Lambda$, where Δ is a lattice of \mathbf{C}^2 , and Λ is a lattice of \mathbf{C} which is generated by λ and μ over \mathbf{Z} . Since Δ is preserved by $\phi(\lambda)$ and $\phi(\mu)$, we see that Δ is generated by $(\alpha_i, \beta_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ over \mathbf{Z} such that

$$\gamma^{-1} \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij} \alpha_j, \quad \gamma \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij} \beta_j,$$

$$\delta^{-1} \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^4 b_{ij} \alpha_j, \quad \delta \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^4 b_{ij} \beta_j,$$

where $\gamma = e^\lambda, \delta = e^\mu$, and $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in \text{SL}(4, \mathbf{Z})$ are semi-simple and mutually commutative. In other word, we have simultaneous eigenvectors $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \in \mathbf{C}^4$ of A and B with eigenvalues γ^{-1}, γ and δ^{-1}, δ respectively. Conversely, for any mutually commutative, semi-simple matrices $A, B \in \text{SL}(4, \mathbf{Z})$ with eigenvalues γ^{-1}, γ and δ^{-1}, δ respectively, take simultaneous eigenvectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}^4$ of A and B . Then, $(\alpha_i, \beta_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{R} , defining a lattice of Δ preserved by $\phi(\lambda)$ and $\phi(\mu)$ ($\lambda = \log \gamma, \mu = \log \delta$). And thus we have determined all lattices of G .

Remark 1. Since λ and μ are linearly independent over \mathbf{R} , we have either $|\gamma| \neq 1$ or $|\delta| \neq 1$. And if, for instance, $|\gamma| \neq 1$ and $\gamma \notin \mathbf{R}$, then A has four distinct eigenvalues $\gamma^{-1}, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}^{-1}, \bar{\gamma}$. For the case where both A and B have real eigenvalues γ^{-1}, γ and δ^{-1}, δ respectively, take simultaneous non-real eigenvectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}^4$ for them; then we see that $(\alpha_i, \beta_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{R} , defining a lattice Δ of \mathbf{C}^2 preserved by $\phi(\lambda)$ and $\phi(\mu)$.

Example 2. Take $A \in \text{SL}(4, \mathbf{Z})$ with four non-real eigenvalues $\gamma, \gamma^{-1}, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}^{-1}$; for instance,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the characteristic polynomial given by

$$\det(tI - B) = t^4 - t^3 + 3t^2 - t + 1.$$

For the lattice Λ of \mathbf{C} generated by $\lambda = \log \gamma$ and $\mu = k\pi\sqrt{-1}$ ($k \in \mathbf{Z}$), and the lattice Δ of \mathbf{C}^2 generated by $(\alpha_i, \beta_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we can define a lattice $\Gamma = \Delta \rtimes \Lambda$ of G , where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4), (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \in \mathbf{C}^4$ are eigenvectors of A with eigenvalue γ, γ^{-1} .

Example 3 ([5]). Take $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ with two real eigenvalues γ^{-1}, γ , $\gamma \neq \pm 1$, and their real eigenvectors $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2$. Then, for any $\epsilon \notin \mathbf{R}$ (e.g. $\epsilon = \sqrt{-1}$), $(a_1, a_2, a_1\epsilon, a_2\epsilon)$ and $(b_1, b_2, b_1\epsilon, b_2\epsilon)$ are non-real eigenvectors for $A \oplus A \in \mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbf{Z})$ with eigenvalues γ^{-1}, γ . For the lattice Λ of \mathbf{C} generated by λ ($\lambda = \log \gamma$) and $\mu = k\pi\sqrt{-1}$ ($k \in \mathbf{Z}$), and the lattice Δ of \mathbf{C}^2 generated by $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_1\epsilon, b_1\epsilon), (a_2\epsilon, b_2\epsilon)$, we define a lattice $\Gamma = \Delta \rtimes \Lambda$ of G .

Let $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a three-dimensional complex solvmanifold, where G is a simply connected solvable Lie group with lattice Γ . Then, since G is linear algebraic, applying a fundamental theorem of Winkelmann [11], we have

$$\dim H^1(M, \mathcal{O}) = \dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{C}) + \dim W,$$

where \mathcal{O} denotes the structure sheaf of M , \mathfrak{n} the nilradical of \mathfrak{g} , and W the maximal linear subspace of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]/[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(\xi)$ on W is a real semi-simple linear endomorphism for any $\xi \in \Gamma$. Note that $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{C}) = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, and $\mathrm{Ad}(\xi)|_W$ is diagonalizable over \mathbf{R} .

We can determine $h^1 = \dim H^1(M, \mathcal{O})$ completely from Winkelmann's formula above and our classification of three-dimensional complex solvmanifolds (cf. [5]):

- (1) Abelian Type: $\dim W = 0, h^1 = 3$;
- (2) Nilpotent Type: $\dim W = 0, h^1 = 2$;
- (3a) Non-Nilpotent Type with either γ or $\delta \notin \mathbf{R}$: $\dim W = 0, h^1 = 1$;
- (3b) Non-Nilpotent Type with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$: $\dim W = 2, h^1 = 3$;

We see that complex solvmanifolds in Example 2 are of type (3a), and those in Example 3 are of type (3b).

Remark 2. *There seems an error in the construction of a lattice in the example of a complex solvmanifold of type (3a) in the paper [5].*

4. PSEUDO-KÄHLER STRUCTURES ON COMPLEX SOLVMANIFOLDS

We recall the definition of pseudo-Kähler structure. Let M be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form ω . If M admits a complex structure J such that $\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y)$ for any vector fields X, Y on M , we call (ω, J) a *pseudo-Kähler* structure on M . For a pseudo-Kähler structure (ω, J) , we have a pseudo-Riemannian structure g defined by $g(X, Y) = \omega(X, JY)$; if, in addition, g is Riemannian (i.e. positive definite), then we call (ω, J) a

Kähler structure on M . Equivalently, a pseudo-Kähler (Kähler) structure is nothing but a pseudo-Hermitian (Hermitian) structure with its closed fundamental form ω .

Theorem 2. *A three-dimensional complex solvmanifold admits a pseudo-Kähler structure if and only if it is of type (1), or of type (3b).*

Proof. It is known (due to Yamada [12]) that a complex solvmanifold of dimension n with pseudo-Kähler structure must have $h^1 \geq n$ (actually the equality holds here); in particular, a complex solvmanifold of nilpotent type or non-nilpotent type with either γ or $\delta \notin \mathbf{R}$ admits no pseudo-Kähler structures. Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that a complex solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ of non-nilpotent type with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$ admits a pseudo-Kähler structure. In Section 3, we observed that we have $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if Λ is generated by $\lambda = a + k\pi\sqrt{-1}, \mu = b + l\pi\sqrt{-1}$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$. We can construct a pseudo-Kähler structure ω on $\Gamma \backslash G$, as in the paper [12], in the following:

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}dx \wedge d\bar{x} + dy \wedge d\bar{z} + d\bar{y} \wedge dz,$$

or using Maurer-Cartan forms (left-invariant 1-forms) $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$, on G ,

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 + e^{-2\text{Im}(x)\sqrt{-1}}\omega_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3 + e^{2\text{Im}(x)\sqrt{-1}}\bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \omega_3,$$

where $\omega_1 = dx, \omega_2 = e^x dy, \omega_3 = e^{-x} dz$. \square

Remark 3. *We know ([9], [4]) that a complex solvmanifold admits Kähler structures if and only if it is a complex torus. On the other hand, we know [2] that a complex solvmanifold admits homogeneous (invariant) pseudo-Kähler structures if and only if it is a complex torus. Therefore, a complex solvmanifold, except a complex torus, admits neither Kähler nor homogeneous pseudo-Kähler structures.*

Remark 4. *In the paper [13] Yamada showed, applying Winkelmann's formula, that a homogeneous complex pseudo-Kähler solvmanifold has the structure of complex torus bundle over a complex torus.*

5. LEFT-INVARIANT COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON COMPLEX SOLVMANIFOLDS

Let G denote a complex solvable Lie group of non-nilpotent type (as defined in section 3), and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Recall that \mathfrak{g} has a basis X, Y, Z over \mathbf{C} with bracket multiplication defined by

$$[X, Y] = -Y, [X, Z] = Z, [Y, Z] = 0. \quad (1)$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ denote the real Lie algebra underlying \mathfrak{g} . Then, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ has a basis X, X', Y, Y', Z, Z' over \mathbf{R} with bracket multiplication defined by

$$[X, Y] = -Y, [X, Y'] = -Y', [X, Z] = Z, [X, Z'] = Z', \quad (2a)$$

$$[X', Y] = -Y', [X', Y'] = Y, [X', Z] = Z', [X', Z'] = -Z, \quad (2b)$$

and all other brackets are 0.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ denote the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

We have the following split short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{a} = [\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}]$, and \mathfrak{b} is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ generated by X, X' over \mathbf{C} .

We now suppose that \mathfrak{g} has a left-invariant complex structure J with its associated complex subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then, $\mathfrak{q} = r(\mathfrak{h})$ has the dimension 1 or 2, and $\mathfrak{k} = \ker(r|_{\mathfrak{h}})$ has the dimension 2 or 1 accordingly. But we see that the second case is not possible, and thus we have the following split short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{q} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\dim \mathfrak{k} = 2, \dim \mathfrak{q} = 1$, and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{k}}, \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$. We can further assume that \mathfrak{q} is generated by $U + \sqrt{-1}U'$ over \mathbf{C} , and \mathfrak{k} is generated by $V + \sqrt{-1}V', W + \sqrt{-1}W'$ over \mathbf{C} such that

$$(U, U') = (X, X')Q, (V, V', W, W') = (Y, Y', Z, Z')P, \quad (3)$$

for some $Q = (q_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and $P = (p_{kl}) \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{R})$. And since \mathfrak{h} is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$, the following condition must be satisfied:

$$[U + \sqrt{-1}U', V + \sqrt{-1}V'] = 2\alpha(V + \sqrt{-1}V') + 2\beta(W + \sqrt{-1}W'), \quad (4a)$$

$$[U + \sqrt{-1}U', W + \sqrt{-1}W'] = 2\gamma(V + \sqrt{-1}V') + 2\delta(W + \sqrt{-1}W'), \quad (4b)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{C}$.

Remark that for the case $Q = I \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and $P = I \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X + \sqrt{-1}X', Y + \sqrt{-1}Y'] &= -2(Y + \sqrt{-1}Y'), \\ [X + \sqrt{-1}X', Z + \sqrt{-1}Z'] &= 2(Z + \sqrt{-1}Z'), \end{aligned}$$

which defines the original complex structure J_0 on G (as a complex Lie group) with its associated complex subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 generated by

$$X + \sqrt{-1}X', Y + \sqrt{-1}Y', Z + \sqrt{-1}Z'$$

over \mathbf{C} .

Lemma 2. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C})$, which satisfies the above equations (4a), (4b). Then, for \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{k} to be Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$, A must be conjugate over \mathbf{R} to $\frac{q_{11}+q_{22}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $q_{11} + q_{22} \neq 0$.

Proof. For simplicity, we divide the proof into three steps.

[Step 1] $P = I$ and $Q = (q_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$, satisfying the equations (2a), (2b)

In this case, we see by calculation that Q is symmetric (i.e. $q_{12} = q_{21}$), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} [U + \sqrt{-1}U', Y + \sqrt{-1}Y'] &= -\frac{q_{11} + q_{22}}{2} (Y + \sqrt{-1}Y'), \\ [U + \sqrt{-1}U', Z + \sqrt{-1}Z'] &= \frac{q_{11} - q_{22}}{2} (Z + \sqrt{-1}Z'). \end{aligned}$$

[Step 2] $Q = I$ and $P = (p_{kl}) \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{R})$, satisfying the equations (2a), (2b)

First, we define a linear automorphism $T \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{k})$ by

$$T(V, V', W, W') = (V, V', W, W') \begin{pmatrix} J & O \\ O & J \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and a linear endomorphism $S_{XX'} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{k})$ by

$$S_{XX'} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{ad} X + \mathrm{ad} X' \circ T).$$

Then, we have the equation:

$$S_{XX'}(Y, Y', Z, Z') = (Y, Y', Z, Z') \begin{pmatrix} -I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

and since \mathfrak{h} is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$, the following equation must be also satisfied:

$$S_{XX'}(V, V', W, W') = (V, V', W, W') \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & \beta I \\ \beta I & \delta I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

where $I \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C})$. Recall that we have defined $P \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{R})$ as

$$(V, V', W, W') = (Y, Y', Z, Z') P. \quad (7)$$

Hence, from the equations (1), (2), (3), we get the equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} P = P \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & \beta I \\ \beta I & \delta I \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows, by simple Linear algebra, that A is conjugate over \mathbf{R} to $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

[Step 3] The general case for $P = (p_{kl}) \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{R})$, $Q = (q_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$, satisfying the equations (2a), (2b)

Following the arguments in the Step 1 and 2, for any $Q \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and $P \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{R})$, we see by calculation that Q is symmetric, and $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ is conjugate over \mathbf{R} to $\frac{q_{11} + q_{22}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

This complete the proof of Lemma 2. \square

We see, from Lemma 2, that there exists a complex automorphism of Lie algebras $\Phi : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\Phi \circ \tau_0 = \tau \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \mathfrak{h}$, where τ and τ_0 are the conjugations with respect to J and J_0 respectively. In fact, we have an equivalence of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{k}_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathfrak{h}_0 & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathfrak{q}_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & k \downarrow & & h \downarrow & & q \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{k} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathfrak{q} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

satisfying $\text{ad}(q(u)) \circ k = k \circ \text{ad}(u)$ ($u = U + \sqrt{-1}U'$), which extends to a complex automorphism of Lie algebras $\Phi : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\Phi \circ \tau_0 = \tau \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \mathfrak{h}$. To be more precise, for $K \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ such that

$$K^{-1}AK = \frac{q_{11} + q_{22}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

k is a linear map defined by K , and q is a scalar multiplication by $\frac{2}{q_{11} + q_{22}}$.

For the original complex solvable Lie group (G, J_0) with its associated complex subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 , the complex subgroup H_0 of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ corresponding to \mathfrak{h}_0 is closed, simply connected and $H_0 \backslash G_{\mathbf{C}}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^3 . We have $\Gamma = G \cap H_0 = \{1\}$, and the canonical map $g_0 = q_0 \circ i$

$$(G, J_0) \xrightarrow{i} G_{\mathbf{C}} \xrightarrow{q_0} H_0 \backslash G_{\mathbf{C}}$$

is a biholomorphic map. The complex automorphism of Lie algebras Φ induces a complex automorphism of Lie groups $\Psi : G_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $q \circ \Psi = \tilde{\Psi} \circ q_0$, which send H_0 to H biholomorphically.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (G, J_0) & \xrightarrow{i} & G_{\mathbf{C}} & \xrightarrow{q_0} & H_0 \backslash G_{\mathbf{C}} \\ & & \Psi \downarrow & & \tilde{\Psi} \downarrow \\ (G, J) & \xrightarrow{i} & G_{\mathbf{C}} & \xrightarrow{q} & H \backslash G_{\mathbf{C}} \end{array}$$

Hence, the canonical map $g = q \circ i$ is also a biholomorphic map. We have thus shown

Proposition 1. *Let G be a three-dimensional simply connected complex solvable Lie group of non-nilpotent type. Then, any left-invariant complex structure on G is biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^3 .*

We can also show that any left-invariant complex structures on three-dimensional complex solvable Lie groups of abelian type or nilpotent type are biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^3 . The proof is almost the same as for the case of non-nilpotent type in Theorem 3. We have thus shown

Theorem 3. *Any left-invariant complex structure on a three-dimensional simply connected complex solvable Lie group is biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^3 .*

We know (due to Kodaira [5]) that among small deformations of a three-dimensional complex solvmanifold of type (3b) there exists a continuous

family of complex structures whose universal coverings are not Stein. We have thus obtained

Theorem 4. *There exists a continuous family of non-left-invariant complex structures on a three-dimensional complex solvmanifold of type (3b)*

Remark 5. *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ be an Iwasawa manifold, a three-dimensional complex nilmanifold (complex solvmanifold of type (2)), where Γ is a lattice of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group G . It is known ([5], [7]) that the moduli space of all left-invariant complex structures on an Iwasawa manifold has the dimension 6, while small deformations (Kuranishi space) of the Iwasawa manifold also has the dimension 6; and all of their universal coverings are biholomorphic to \mathbf{C}^3 . It follows that small deformations of the Iwasawa manifold are all left-invariant.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Barth W., Otte M.: Über fast-uniforme Untergruppen komplexer Liegruppen und auflösbare komplexe Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **44**, 269-281 (1969)
- [2] Dorfmeister J., Guan D.: Classification of compact pseudo-Kähler manifolds. *Comment Math. Helv.* **67**, 499-513 (1992)
- [3] Hasegawa K.: Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds. *Proceedings of the conference on symplectic topology, Stare Jablonki, J. Symplectic Geom.* **3**, 749-767 (2005)
- [4] Hasegawa K.: A note on compact solvmanifolds with Kähler structures. *Osaka J. Math.* **43**, 131-135 (2006)
- [5] Nakamura I.: Complex parallelizable manifolds and their small deformations. *J. Differential Geom.* **10**, 85-112 (1975)
- [6] Oeljeklaus K., Richthofer W.: Homogeneous complex surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **268**, 273-292 (1984)
- [7] Salamon S. M.: Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **157**, 311-333 (2001)
- [8] Snow D.: Invariant complex structures on reductive Lie groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **371** 191-215 (1986)
- [9] Wang C. H.: Complex parallelizable manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5**, 771-776 (1954)
- [10] Wang C. H.: Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure. *Am. J. Math.* **76**, 1-32 (1954)
- [11] Winkelmann J.: Complex analytic geometry of complex parallelizable manifolds, *Mém. Soc. Math. de France Sér. 2*, 72-73 (1998)
- [12] Yamada T.: A pseudo-Kähler structure on a Non-toral compact complex parallelizable solvmanifold. *Geom. Dedicata* **112**, 115-122 (2005)
- [13] Yamada T.: A structure theorem of compact complex parallelizable pseudo-Kähler solvmanifolds. *Osaka J. Math.* **43**, 923-933 (2006)

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Education
 Niigata University, Niigata
 JAPAN

e-mail: hasegawa@ed.niigata-u.ac.jp