

Hölder continuity of random processes

Witold Bednorz^{*†‡}

Abstract

For a Young function φ and a Borel probability measure m on a compact metric space (T, d) the minorizing metric is defined by

$$\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) := \max\left\{\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(s, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon, \int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon\right\}.$$

In the paper we extend the result of Kwapien and Rosinski [2] relaxing the conditions on φ under which there exists a constant K such that

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \varphi\left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)}\right) \leq 1,$$

for each separable process $X(t)$, $t \in T$ which satisfies $\sup_{s,t \in T} \mathbf{E} \varphi\left(\frac{|X(s) - f(t)|}{d(s,t)}\right) \leq 1$.

In the case of $\varphi_p(x) \equiv x^p$, $p \geq 1$ we obtain the somewhat weaker results.

Key words: majorizing measures, minorizing metric, regularity of samples

2000 MSC: primary 60G17, secondary 60G60

1 Introduction

Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ its Borel σ -field. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}(X)$, $\mathfrak{B}_b(X)$, $C(X)$, $C_b(X)$ the set of all measurable, bounded measurable, continuous and bounded continuous functions respectively. Furthermore $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denotes the family of all Borel, probability measures on X . For each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, $f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we define

$$\int_A f(u) \mu(du) := \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A f(u) \mu(du),$$

where, we have used the convention $0/0 = 0$ (as we do throughout the whole paper). By $\text{supp}(\mu)$ we denote the support of μ .

¹Department of Mathematic, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

²Partially supported by the Funds of Grant MENiN 1 P03A 01229

³E-mail: wbednorz@mimuw.edu.pl

In the paper we consider finite Young functions; that is increasing convex functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty$. For a simplicity we will be assuming also that $\varphi(1) = 1$. As in ([3], Def. 5, page 40), we let Δ^2 denote the set of all finite Young functions satisfying for some $c \geq 0, r > 1$

$$\varphi(x)^2 \leq \varphi(rx), \text{ for some for } x \geq c. \quad (\Delta^2)$$

and let ∇' (see [3], Def 7, page 28) denote the set of all finite Young functions φ verifying for some $c \geq 0, r > 1$

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(y) \leq \varphi(rxy), \text{ for } x, y \geq c. \quad (\nabla')$$

Note that if (Δ^2) , resp. (∇') holds for some $c > 0$, then (Δ^2) , resp. (∇') , holds for every $c' > 0$ with appropriate choice of r' . If $h \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ we let

$$|h|_\varphi^\mu := \inf\{a > 0 : \int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h(s)|}{a}\right)\mu(ds) \leq 1\}, \quad \|h\|_\varphi^\mu := \inf_{a>0} a\left(1 + \int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h(s)|}{a}\right)\mu(ds)\right).$$

denote the two Orlicz norms of h . Then $|\cdot|_\varphi^\mu$ and $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\mu$ are semi-norms on $\mathfrak{B}(X)$, satisfying $|h|_\varphi^\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow \|h\|_\varphi^\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow h = 0$, μ -a.e. Note that $|h|_\infty^\mu < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right) < \infty$ for some $0 < a < \infty \Leftrightarrow \|h\|_\varphi^\mu < \infty$ and recall that the Orlicz space $L^\varphi(\mu)$ is the set of all measurable functions satisfying one of the three equivalent conditions (see [3]). Then $(L^\varphi(\mu), |\cdot|_\varphi)$ is a complete semi-normed space. As we prove in Lemma 1 semi-norms $|\cdot|_\varphi^\mu$ and $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\mu$ are comparable.

Let (T, d) be a fixed compact, metric space and m a fixed probability measure (defined on Borel subsets) on T . For $x \in T$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $B(x, \varepsilon), B^\circ(x, \varepsilon)$ denote respectively the closed and the open ball with the center at x and the radius ε i.e. $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in T : d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$, $B^\circ(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in T : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. The diameter of T , i.e. $\sup\{d(s, t) : s, t \in T\}$ is denoted by $D(T)$. We define the minorizing metric

$$\tau_{m, \varphi}(s, t) := \max\left\{\int_0^{d(s, t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(s, \varepsilon))}\right)d\varepsilon, \int_0^{d(s, t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t, \varepsilon))}\right)d\varepsilon\right\} \text{ for } s, t \in T.$$

Kwapień and Rosinski [2] introduced these metrics to prove results on Hölder continuity of random processes with bounded increments. However their method requires that φ verifies (Δ^2) which means the exponential growth of φ . The goal of this paper is to obtain similar results, yet under relaxed conditions imposed on φ .

Theorem 1 *Let φ and ψ be Young functions (verifying $\varphi(1) = \psi(1) = 1$) and for some $R > 1, n_0 \geq 1, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+1})} \leq \frac{\varphi(R^{k-1})}{\varphi(R^k)}, \text{ for } k \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\psi(R^{k+n_0})} < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Let $\psi_+(x) = (\psi(x) - 1)_+$ for all $x > 0$. Then there exists a Borel probability measure ν on $T \times T$ and a constant $0 < K < \infty$ only depending on (φ, ψ) such that for every continuous function $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there holds

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leq K |f^d|_{\psi_+}^\nu \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t), \text{ for } s, t \in T, \text{ where } f^d(u, v) = \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}. \quad (3)$$

and if $\psi \in \nabla'$, then we have

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} \psi_+ \left(\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{Kr \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} \right) \leq \int_{T \times T} \psi_+ \left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right) \nu(du, dv), \quad (4)$$

where r is chosen such that condition (∇') holds with $c = 1$.

Theorem 1 has an application to the stochastic analysis. We say that process $X(t)$, $t \in T$ has φ -bounded increments if it verifies

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} \mathbf{E} \varphi \left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{d(s, t)} \right) \leq 1. \quad (5)$$

Corollary 1 Suppose (φ, ψ) verify conditions (1) and (2). For each separable stochastic process $X(t)$, $t \in T$ which has ψ -bounded increments there holds

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{2K \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} \leq 1$$

and if $\psi \in \nabla'$ then also

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi \left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{2K \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} \right) \leq 1$$

where K is the same constant as in Theorem 1.

Proof. Following arguments from the proof of Theorem 2.3 in Talagrand [5] it is enough to prove the result assuming that $X(t)$, $t \in T$ has a.s. continuous samples. Theorem 1, namely (3) the Fubini theorem and the definition of $|\cdot|_{\psi_+}^\nu$ give

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} \leq 1 + \mathbf{E} \int_{T \times T} \psi_+ \left(\frac{|X(u) - X(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right) \nu(du, dv) \leq 2.$$

It proves the first thesis. If $\psi \in \nabla'$, then we can apply (4) instead of (3) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi \left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} \right) &\leq 1 + \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi_+ \left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} \right) \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \mathbf{E} \int_{T \times T} \psi_+ \left(\frac{|X(u) - X(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right) \nu(du, dv) \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

By the convexity of φ , we derive the second claim. ■

Remark 1 Note that if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+\tau})} < \infty$, for some $R > 1, n_0 \geq 1$ then we can take $\psi \equiv \varphi$ in Theorem 1. Thus all processes which verify (5) (for φ) are Hölder continuous with respect to $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)$. If $\varphi(x) \equiv x^p$ we can take $\psi(x) \equiv x^{p+\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and consequently obtain a generalization of basic Kolmogorov result [4].

We then prove the converse statement that minorizing metrics are optimal when considering Hölder continuity of processes with bounded increments.

Theorem 2 Assume (φ, ψ) verify for some $R, n_0 \geq 1$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+n_0})} < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Suppose ρ is a metric on T such that for each separable process $X(t), t \in T$ which has ψ -bounded increments (verifies condition (5) for ψ), we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{\rho(s,t)} < \infty\right) = 1,$$

then there exist a constant K and a Borel probability measure m (which depends on (φ, ψ) only) such that $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \leq K\rho(s,t)$.

Remark 2 If $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+n_0})} < \infty$ then we can take $\psi = \varphi$ in Theorem 2. That means there exists $m \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ such $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \leq K\rho(s,t)$ for each ρ with respect to which all process with φ -bounded increments are Hölder continuous.

We also prove some generalization of Talagrand's Theorem 4.2 [5] and the author's Theorem 1 in [1].

Theorem 3 Assume that φ verifies (1) for some $R > 1$. There exist constants C, K (depending on φ only) and a Borel probability measure ν on $T \times T$ such that for each continuous function f on T the inequality holds

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} \varphi_+\left(\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{C\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)\varphi_+^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}\right)}\right) \leq \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right)\nu(du, dv),$$

where $\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi) := \int_T \int_0^{D(T)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon m(dt) < \infty$.

Corollary 2 For each separable process $X(t), t \in T$ which satisfies (5) (for φ) there holds

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \varphi\left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{C\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)\varphi_+^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}\right)}\right) \leq 1.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 1 it is enough to show the result for $X(t)$, $t \in T$ with a.s. continuous samples. Note that $\varphi(x) \leq 1 + \varphi_+(x)$, thus due to Theorem 3 the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \varphi\left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{C\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)\varphi_+^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}\right)}\right) \leq 1 + \int_{T \times T} \mathbf{E}\varphi\left(\frac{|X(u) - X(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right)\nu(du, dv) \leq 2.$$

Now by the convexity we establish the result. ■

In the paper we follow methods from [1]. For a completeness we repeat from there some of the arguments.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Young functions

Lemma 1 *There holds $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leq \|h\|_\varphi^\mu \leq 2|h|_\varphi^\mu$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.*

Proof. First note either $\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right)d\mu \leq 1$ or $\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right)d\mu > 1$ and in this case using that $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)$ is decreasing we derive

$$\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a \int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right)d\mu}\right)d\mu \leq \frac{\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right)d\mu}{\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right)d\mu} = 1.$$

Consequently $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leq a + a \int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{a}\right)d\mu$ for all $a > 0$. That means $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leq \|h\|_\varphi^\mu$. The last inequality follows by taking $a = |h|_\varphi^\mu$ in the definition of $\|h\|_\varphi^\mu$. ■

Lemma 2 *Let φ be a Young function satisfying condition (∇') with $c = 0$ and $r > 0$. Then we have $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{r}|h|_\varphi^\mu\right) \leq \int_S \varphi(|h|)d\mu$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.*

Proof. If $\int_S \varphi(|h|)d\mu$ is either 0 or ∞ , then the inequality holds trivially. Suppose that $0 < \int_X \varphi(|h|)d\mu < \infty$ and let us take $C > 0$ so that $\varphi(C) = \int_X \varphi(|h|)d\mu$. By (∇') property we have $\varphi(C)\varphi\left(\frac{x}{rC}\right) \leq \varphi(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$ and consequently

$$\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{|h|}{rC}\right)d\mu \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(C)} \int_X \varphi(|h|)d\mu = 1.$$

Hence, we see that $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leq rC$ which proves the lemma. ■

Observe that for each Young function φ there holds

$$\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)}, \quad \text{for } \frac{x}{y} \geq 1. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 3 *If φ satisfies (1) then $\varphi \in \nabla'$ with $r = R^2$ and $c = 1$.*

Proof. By (1) we have

$$\frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+1})} \leq \frac{\varphi(R^{k-1})}{\varphi(R^k)}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

Let $i, j \geq 0$ be such that $R^i \leq x < R^{i+1}$ and $R^j \leq y < R^{j+1}$. Clearly

$$\frac{\varphi(R^{i+1})}{\varphi(R^{i+1}R^{j+1})} = \frac{\varphi(R^{i+1}R^j)}{\varphi(R^{i+1}R^{j+1})} \cdots \frac{\varphi(R^{i+1})}{\varphi(R^{i+2})} \leq \frac{\varphi(R^j)}{\varphi(R^{j+1})} \cdots \frac{\varphi(R^0)}{\varphi(R^1)} = \frac{1}{\varphi(R^{j+1})}$$

and hence $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) \leq \varphi(R^{i+1})\varphi(R^{j+1}) \leq \varphi(R^{i+1}R^{j+1}) \leq \varphi(R^2xy)$. ■

The main construction

Fix any $R > 2$. For $k \geq 0$ and $x \in T$ we define $r_0(x) = D(T)$ and

$$r_k(x) := \min\{\varepsilon \geq 0 : \frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))} \leq \varphi(R^k)\}. \quad (8)$$

Let us notice that $r_k \leq D(T)$, for $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 4 *The functions r_k verify the Lipschitz condition with constant 1.*

Proof. Clearly r_0 is a constant function so it is 1-Lipschitz. For $k > 0$ and $s, t \in T$ it is

$$\frac{1}{m(B(s, r_k(t) + d(s, t)))} \leq \varphi(R^k), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{m(B(t, r_k(s) + d(s, t)))} \leq \varphi(R^k).$$

Hence $r_k(s) \leq r_k(t) + d(s, t)$, $r_k(t) \leq r_k(s) + d(s, t)$, thus r_k is 1-Lipschitz. ■

Lemma 4 gives that $r_k \in C(T)$.

Remark 3 *Note that if $r(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(x)$, we have $r(x) = \inf\{\varepsilon \geq 0 : m(B(x, \varepsilon)) > 0\} = \text{ess inf } d(x, \cdot)$ where the essential infimum is taken with respect to the probability measure m . In particular $r(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \text{supp}(m)$.*

For each positive integer c we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R-1}{R} \sum_{k \geq c} r_k(x) R^k &\leq \sum_{k \geq c} r_k(x) (R^k - R^{k-1}) \leq \sum_{k \geq c} (r_k(x) - r_{k+1}(x)) R^k + \\ &+ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{k+1}(x) R^{k+1} \leq \sum_{k \geq c} \int_{r_{k+1}(x)}^{r_k(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon + \\ &+ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_{k+1}(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon = \int_0^{r_c(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k \geq c} r_k(x) R^k \leq \frac{R}{R-1} \int_0^{r_c(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon. \quad (9)$$

Let us abbreviate $B(x, r_k(x))$ by $B_k(x)$ and $B^\circ(x, r_k(x))$ by $B_k^\circ(x)$ for $k > 0$. For $k = 0$ we put $B_0^\circ(x) = B_0(x) = T$. Due to (8) it is clear that

$$\frac{1}{m(B_k(x))} \leq \varphi(R^k) \leq \frac{1}{m(B_k^\circ(x))}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

For each $k \geq 0$ we define the linear operator $S_k : \mathfrak{B}_b(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$ by the formula

$$S_k f(x) := \int_{B_k(x)} f(u) m(du) = \frac{1}{m(B_k(x))} \int_{B_k(x)} f(u) m(du).$$

If $f, g \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$, $k \geq 0$, then we easily check that:

- (i) $S_k 1 = 1$;
- (ii) if $f \leq g$ then $S_k f \leq S_k g$ and hence $|S_k f| \leq S_k |f|$;
- (iii) if $f \in C(T)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(x) = 0$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k f(x) = f(x)$.

Fix $l \geq 0$. There exists unique $m_{x,k}^l \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ such that for each $f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$ we have

$$S_l S_{l-1} \dots S_k f(x) = \int_T f(u) m_{x,k}^l(du), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq l. \quad (11)$$

Let us define

$$r_k^l := \sum_{i=k}^l 2^{i-k} r_i, \quad B_k^l(x) := B(x, r_k^l(x)), \quad \text{for } k \leq l.$$

Lemma 5 For each $u \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$ $0 \leq k < l$ we have $B_k(u) \subset B_k^l(x)$ and

$$r_k(u) \leq r_k(x) + r_{k+1}^l(x) \leq r_k^l(x).$$

Proof. Fix $u \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$. Since r_k are 1-Lipschitz, we get

$$r_k(u) \leq r_k(x) + d(x, u) \leq r_k(x) + r_{k+1}^l(x) \leq r_k^l(x).$$

Clearly $r_k(u) \leq r_k(x) + r_{k+1}^l(x)$. Furthermore $d(x, u) \leq r_{k+1}^l(x)$, thus

$$B(u, r_k(u)) \subset B(u, r_k(x) + r_{k+1}^l(x)) \subset B(x, r_k(x) + 2r_{k+1}^l(x)) = B(x, r_k^l(x))$$

and by the definition $B_k(u) = B(u, r_k(u))$, $B_k^l(x) = B(x, r_k^l(x))$. ■

Lemma 6 For all $0 \leq k \leq l$ we have $m_{x,k}^l(B_k^l(x)) = 1$ i.e. $\text{supp}(m_{x,k}^l) \subset B_k^l(x)$.

Proof. We prove Lemma 6 by the reverse induction on k . Clearly $\text{supp}(m_{x,l}^l) = B(x, r_l(x)) = B_l^l(x)$. Suppose that for some $k < l$ we have $\text{supp}(m_{x,k+1}^l) \subset B_{k+1}^l(x)$, then the definition gives

$$\int_T f(u) m_{x,k}^l(du) = \int_T \int_{B_k(u)} f(v) m(dv) m_{x,k+1}^l(du), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T).$$

Due to Lemma 5 we have $B_k(u) \subset B_k^l(x)$, for $u \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$. It ends the proof. ■

Corollary 3 For each $f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$, and $k \leq l$ the inequality holds

$$S_l S_{l-1} \dots S_k |f|(x) = \int_T |f(u)| m_{x,k}^l(du) \leq \varphi(R^k) \int_{B_k^l(x)} |f(u)| m(du).$$

Proof. If $k = l$ the inequality is obvious. If $k < l$, using Lemma 6, and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_l S_{l-1} \dots S_k |f|(x) &= \int_T \int_{B_k(u)} |f(v)| m(dv) m_{x,k+1}^l(du) \leq \\ &\leq \varphi(R^k) \int_T \int_{B_k^l(x)} |f(v)| m(dv) m_{x,k+1}^l(du) = \varphi(R^k) \int_{B_k^l(x)} |f(v)| m(dv). \end{aligned}$$
■

Let us notice that for a positive integer c with $0 \leq c < l$ we have

$$\sum_{k=c}^{l-1} r_k^l R^k = \sum_{k=c}^{l-1} \sum_{i=k}^l \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{i-k} r_i R^i \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^j \sum_{i=c}^l r_i R^i = \frac{R}{R-2} \sum_{i=c}^{\infty} r_i R^i.$$

Together with (9) it gives

$$\sum_{k=c}^{l-1} r_k^l(x) R^k \leq \frac{R^2}{(R-1)(R-2)} \int_0^{r_c(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. We may assume that (1) and (2) hold with $R > 5$ (note that if (1) and (2) hold for some R then they hold also for R^l , where $l \in \mathbb{N}$). Fix $s, t \in T$, without losing the generality we may assume also $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) < \infty$, which implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(x) = 0$, for $x = s, t$. If $d(s, t) < D(T)$ then there exist positive integers a, b such that

$$r_a(s) \leq d(s, t) < r_{a-1}(s), \quad r_b(t) \leq d(s, t) < r_{b-1}(t),$$

and we can define $c := \max\{a, b\}$. If $d(s, t) = D(T) = r_0$, we put $c := 0$. For a fixed $l > c$ let us denote

$$\tau_x := \max\{k \geq 1 : B_k^l(s) \cup B_k^l(t) \subset B_{k-1}^\circ(u), \text{ for all } u \in B_k^l(x)\}, \quad x = s, t.$$

and $\tau := \min\{\tau_s, \tau_t\}$. Observe that $B_0^\circ(u) = T$, for all $u \in T$ so τ_x is well defined and clearly $1 \leq \tau \leq c$. For simplicity we put also $r_k^l(s, t) := r_k^l(s) + r_k^l(t)$ and $d_k(s, t) := \min\{r_k^l(s, t) + d(s, t), D(T)\}$. Note that

$$d_\tau(s, t) \leq r_{\tau-1}(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in B_\tau^l(x) \text{ if } \tau = \tau_x. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 7 *The inequality holds*

$$d_\tau(s, t)R^\tau + \sum_{k=\tau}^c R^k r_k^l(s, t) \leq \frac{R}{R-5} R^c \left(\frac{3}{2} d(s, t) + 2r_c^l(s, t) \right).$$

Proof. Let $\tau \leq k < c$ be given and let x be either s or t . There exist $u_x \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$, $x = s, t$ such that $r_k(u_x) \leq d_k(s, t)$. Indeed, otherwise

$$B_{k+1}^l(s) \cup B_{k+1}^l(t) \subset B(u, d_{k+1}(s, t)) \subset B_k^\circ(u) \text{ for all } u \in B_{k+1}^l(t) \cup B_{k+1}^l(s)$$

which is impossible due to the definition of τ .

By Lemma 4 functions r_k are 1-Lipschitz, therefore

$$r_k(x) \leq r_k(u_x) + r_{k+1}^l(x) \leq d_{k+1}(s, t) + r_{k+1}^l(x), \quad x = s, t.$$

Since $r_k^l = r_k + 2r_{k+1}^l$, we obtain $r_k^l(x) \leq d_{k+1}(s, t) + 3r_{k+1}^l(x)$. Consequently

$$r_k^l(s, t) \leq 2d_{k+1}(s, t) + 3r_{k+1}^l(s, t) = 2d(s, t) + 5r_{k+1}^l(s, t).$$

Iterating this inequality, we obtain the following result

$$r_k^l(s, t) \leq 2d(s, t) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{c-k-1} 5^i + 5^{c-k} r_c^l(s, t) = \frac{d(s, t)}{2} (5^{c-k} - 1) + 5^{c-k} r_c^l(s, t) \quad (14)$$

for all $\tau \leq k \leq c$ (observe that inequality holds trivially for $k = c$). Hence, we have

$$\sum_{k=\tau}^c r_k^l(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{d(s, t)}{2} + r_c^l(s, t)\right) \sum_{k=\tau}^c R^k 5^{c-k} \leq \frac{R}{R-5} R^c \left(\frac{d(s, t)}{2} + r_c^l(s, t)\right)$$

and by (14) we have (recall that $R > 5$)

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(s, t) R^\tau &\leq R^\tau (d(s, t) + r_\tau^l(s, t)) \leq d(s, t) (1 + \frac{1}{2}(5^{c-\tau} - 1)) R^\tau + 5^{c-\tau} R^\tau r_c^l(s, t) \leq \\ &\leq 5^{c-\tau} R^\tau (d(s, t) + r_c^l(s, t)) \leq R^c (d(s, t) + r_c^l(s, t)). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Since $\frac{R}{R-5} > 1$, we obtain the inequality. ■

We remind that $f^d(u, v) = \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}$. For simplicity we denote

$$F_k := \{(u, v) \in T \times T : f^d(u, v) \geq R^k\}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Lemma 8 *If φ satisfies (1), then for each positive integer n and $f \in C(T)$ there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} |S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| &\leq d_\tau(s, t) R^{\tau+n} + \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x) R^{k+n} + \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} r_k(u) \int_{B_k(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{k+n}} m(dv) m(du) + \\ &+ d_\tau(s, t) \varphi(R^{\tau+1}) \int_{B_\tau^l(y)} \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{\tau+n}} m(dv) m(du), \end{aligned}$$

where $y = t$ if $\tau = \tau_t$ and $y = s$ if $\tau \neq \tau_t$.

Proof. Fix $f \in C(T)$. Without losing the generality we can assume that $\tau = \tau_t$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} S_l f(s) - S_l f(t) &= \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} S_l \dots S_{k+1} (\text{Id} - S_k) f(s) - \\ &- \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} S_l \dots S_{k+1} (\text{Id} - S_k) f(t) + (S_l \dots S_\tau f(s) - S_l \dots S_\tau f(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We have also

$$|S_l \dots S_{k+1} (\text{Id} - S_k) f(x)| \leq \int_T |(\text{Id} - S_k) f(u)| m_{x, k+1}^l(du), \quad (17)$$

Since $f^d(u, v) \leq R^{k+n} + f^d(u, v)1_{F_{k+n}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\text{Id} - S_k)f(u)| &\leq \int_{B_k(u)} |f(u) - f(v)|m(dv) \leq r_k(u) \int_{B_k(u)} f^d(u, v)m(dv) \leq \\ &\leq r_k(u)R^{k+n} + r_k(u) \int_{B_k(u)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv), \text{ for all } u \in T. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, $r_k(u) \leq r_k^l(x)$, whenever $u \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$. This, (17) and Corollary 3 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_l \dots S_{k+1}(\text{Id} - S_k)f(x)| &\leq \int_T |(\text{Id} - S_k)f(u)|m_{x, k+1}^l(du) \leq r_k^l(x)R^{k+n} + \\ &+ \int_T r_k(u) \int_{B_k(u)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv)m_{x, k+1}^l(du) \leq r_k^l(x)R^{k+n} + \\ &+ \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} r_k(u) \int_{B_k(u)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv)m_{x, k+1}^l(du). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

To bound the last part in (16) let us observe that

$$|S_l \dots S_\tau f(s) - S_l \dots S_\tau f(t)| \leq \int_T \int_T |f(u) - S_\tau f(w)|m_{s, \tau+1}^l(dw)m_{t, \tau}^l(du). \quad (19)$$

By Lemma 6 $\text{supp}(m_{x, k}^l) \subset B_k^l(x)$, $x \in T$. If $w \in B_{\tau+1}^l(s)$ and $u \in B_\tau^l(t)$, then

$$|f(u) - S_\tau f(w)| \leq \int_{B_\tau(w)} |f(u) - f(v)|m(dv).$$

Lemma 5 implies that $B_\tau(w) \subset B_\tau^l(s)$. Hence for each $u \in B_\tau^l(t)$, $v \in B_\tau(w)$

$$d(u, v) \leq \min\{d(u, t) + d(t, s) + d(s, v), D(T)\} \leq d_\tau(s, t). \quad (20)$$

Applying (20) and $f^d(u, v) \leq R^{\tau+n} + f^d(u, v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u) - S_\tau f(w)| &\leq d_\tau(s, t) \int_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u, v)m(dv) \leq \\ &\leq d_\tau(s, t)(R^{\tau+n} + \int_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv)). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since $\tau = \tau_t$ we have $B_\tau(w) \subset B_\tau^l(s) \subset B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)$ for all $w \in B_{\tau+1}^l(t)$. Together with (10) it implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv) &\leq \varphi(R^\tau) \int_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi(R^\tau)}{\varphi(R^{\tau-1})} \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u, v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The condition (1) gives $\frac{\varphi(R^\tau)}{\varphi(R^{\tau-1})} \leq \frac{\varphi(R^{\tau+1})}{\varphi(R^\tau)}$. Hence, due to (21) and (22) we obtain

$$|f(u) - S_\tau f(w)| \leq d_\tau(s, t)(R^{\tau+n} + \frac{\varphi(R^{\tau+1})}{\varphi(R^\tau)} \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{\tau+n}} m(dv)). \quad (23)$$

Inequalities (19), (23) and Corollary 3 imply

$$\begin{aligned} & |S_l \dots S_\tau f(s) - S_l \dots S_\tau f(t)| \leq \\ & \leq d_\tau(s, t)(R^{\tau+n} + \frac{\varphi(R^{\tau+1})}{\varphi(R^\tau)} \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{\tau+n}} m(dv) m_{t, \tau}^l(du)) \leq \\ & \leq d_\tau(s, t)(R^{\tau+n} + \varphi(R^{\tau+1}) \int_{B_\tau^l(t)} \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{\tau+n}} m(dv) m(du)). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Note that (18) and (24) give the result ■

Lemma 9 *If $A = \frac{4R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)(R-5)} + \frac{3R^2}{2(R-5)}$, then we have*

$$d_\tau(s, t)R^\tau + \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^k \leq A\tau_{m, \varphi}(s, t).$$

Proof. Lemma 7 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & d_\tau(s, t)R^\tau + \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^k = \sum_{k=\tau}^c r_k^l(s, t)R^k + \sum_{k=c+1}^{l-1} r_k^l(s, t)R^k \leq \\ & \leq \frac{R}{R-5} \left(\frac{3}{2} d(s, t) + 2 \sum_{k=c}^{l-1} r_k^l(s, t)R^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $r_c(x) \leq d(s, t)$, $x \in \{s, t\}$, thus by (12) we obtain

$$2 \sum_{k=c}^{l-1} (r_k^l(s) + r_k^l(t))R^k \leq \frac{4R^2}{(R-1)(R-2)} \max_{x \in \{s, t\}} \int_0^{d(s, t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon.$$

Since $d(s, t) < \max\{r_{c-1}(s), r_{c-1}(t)\}$ if $c > 0$ and $d(s, t) = D(T)$ if $c = 0$, we have

$$R^{c-1} \leq \max_{x \in \{s, t\}} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, d(s, t)))}\right).$$

It follows that

$$d(s, t)R^c \leq R \max_{x \in \{s, t\}} \int_0^{d(s, t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon.$$

Hence, due to the definition of $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)$ we deduce

$$d_\tau(s, t)R^\tau + \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^k \leq A\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t).$$

■

Lemma 5 implies $r_k(u) \leq r_k^l(x)$, for $u \in B_k^l(x)$. This observation together with Lemma 8 (with $n = n_0 + 1$) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| &\leq d_\tau(s, t)R^{\tau+n_0+1} + \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^{k+n_0+1} + \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^{k+n_0+1} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} \int_{B_k(u)} \frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^{k+n}} 1_{F_{k+n}} m(dv)m(du) + \\ &+ d_\tau(s, t)R^{\tau+n_0+1} \varphi(R^{\tau+1}) \int_{B_\tau^l(y)} \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} \frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^{\tau+n_0+1}} 1_{F_{\tau+n_0+1}} m(dv)m(du). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| &\leq AR^{n_0+1} \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) (1 + \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_T \int_{B_k(u)} \frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^{k+n_0+1}} 1_{F_{k+n_0+1}} m(dv)m(du) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_T \int_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} \frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^{k+n_0+1}} 1_{F_{k+n_0+1}} m(dv)m(du)). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

For each $k \geq 0$ applying (7) (for ψ) we have

$$\frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^k} 1_{F_k} \leq \frac{1}{\psi_+(R^k)} \psi_+(f^d(u, v)) \leq \frac{1}{\psi_+(R^k)} \psi_+(f^d(u, v)). \quad (26)$$

The right hand side of (25) does not depend on l , furthermore the property (iii) of S_l gives that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} S_l f(x) = f(x)$, for $x \in \{s, t\}$. Hence combining (26) and (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{AR^{n_0+1} \tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} &\leq 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})} \int_T \int_{B_k(u)} \psi_+(f^d(u, v)) m(dv)m(du) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})} \int_T \int_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} \psi(f^d(u, v)) 1_{F_0} m(dv)m(du). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

It remains to construct a suitable $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(T \times T)$. For each $g \in C(T \times T)$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g) &:= \frac{1}{B} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})} (2 \int_T \int_{B_k(u)} g(u, v) m(dv)m(du) + \\ &+ \int_T \int_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} g(u, v) m(dv)m(du)), \end{aligned}$$

where B is such that $\nu(1) = 1$. This constant exists due to (2), indeed

$$\begin{aligned} B &= 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})} = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\psi(R^{k+n_0+1}) - 1} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{3}{1 - R^{-n_0-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\psi(R^{k+n_0+1})} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\psi(x) \leq \psi_+(x) + 1$ and $\psi(R^{k+n_0+1}) - 1 \geq (1 - R^{-n_0-1})\psi(R^{k+n_0+1})$ (by convexity). Plugging ν in (27) and then using homogeneity, we see

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{ABR^{n_0+1}|f^d|_{\psi_+}^{\nu} \tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)} \leq 1 + 2 \int_{T \times T} \psi_+\left(\frac{f^d(u,v)}{|f^d|_{\psi_+}^{\nu}}\right) \nu(du, dv) \leq 3. \quad (28)$$

Thus we obtain (3) with $K = 3ABR^{n_0+1}$. Suppose now that $\psi(x)\psi(y) \leq \psi(rxy)$ for all $x, y \geq 1$. Since $\psi(x) \geq \psi(1) = 1$ for all $x \geq 1$, we have $\psi_+(x)\psi_+(y) \leq \psi_+(rxy)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$ and so we see that (4) follows from (3) and Lemma 2. ■

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. We give a proof which modifies the idea from the paper [2]. In the same way as Theorem 2.3 in [5] it can be proved that the existence of metric ρ on $T \times T$ such that for each separable process $X(t)$, $t \in T$ which satisfies (5) (for ψ) there holds

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{\rho(s,t)} < \infty\right) = 1,$$

implies the existence of a constant K_0 and a continuous positive functional Λ on $C_b(T \times T \setminus \Delta)$ (where $\Delta := \{(t,t) : t \in T\}$) with $\Lambda(1) = 1$ such that for each $f \in C(T)$

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{K_0 \rho(s,t)} \leq 1 + \Lambda(\psi(f^d)), \quad (29)$$

where $f^d(u,v) = \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}$. We define measure $m \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ by the requirement

$$\int_T g(t) m(dt) = \Lambda\left(\frac{g(u) + g(v)}{2}\right), \quad \text{for } g \in C(T). \quad (30)$$

Fix $s, t \in T$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us denote

$$h_l(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} R^{-n_0} & r_1(t) \leq \varepsilon \leq r_0(t) \\ R^{k-n_0} & r_{k+1}(t) \leq \varepsilon < r_k(t), \quad 0 < k \leq l \\ 0 & 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq r_{l+1}(t), \end{cases}$$

where $r_k(x) = \min\{\varepsilon : \frac{1}{m(x,\varepsilon)} \leq \varphi(R^k)\}$, for $k \geq 0$ as in our main construction. Observe that h_l , $l \geq 1$ is an increasing family of functions, so $h := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} h_l$ is well defined. We denote $f_l(x) := \int_0^{d(t,x)} h_l(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon$ and observe that

$$\frac{|f_l(u) - f_l(v)|}{d(u,v)} \leq \frac{1}{|d(t,u) - d(t,v)|} \left| \int_{d(t,v)}^{d(t,u)} h_l(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \right| = \left| \int_{d(t,v)}^{d(t,u)} h_l(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \right|.$$

The Jensen's inequality gives

$$\psi\left(\frac{|f_l(u) - f_l(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right) \leq \left| \int_{d(t,v)}^{d(t,u)} \psi(h_l(\varepsilon)) d\varepsilon \right| \leq \psi(h_l(d(t,u))) + \psi(h_l(d(t,v))),$$

thus by (30) we have

$$\Lambda(\psi(f_l^d)) \leq 2 \int_T \psi(h_l(d(t,u))) m(du). \quad (31)$$

Using the definition of h_l and (10) we obtain

$$\int_T \psi(h_l(d(t,u))) m(du) = \sum_{k=0}^l \psi(R^{k-n_0}) m(B_k^\circ(t) \setminus B_{k+1}^\circ(t)) \leq \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{\psi(R^{k-n_0})}{\varphi(R^k)}. \quad (32)$$

Applying (6) we derive $D := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(R^{k-n_0})}{\varphi(R^k)} < \infty$. Consequently (29), (31), (32) yield

$$\frac{\int_0^{d(s,t)} h_l(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon}{K_0 \rho(s,t)} \leq 1 + \Lambda(\psi(f_l^d)) \leq 1 + 2D.$$

The right hand side does not depend on l , so

$$\frac{\int_0^{d(s,t)} h(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon}{K_0 \rho(s,t)} \leq 1 + 2D. \quad (33)$$

The definition of h gives

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right) \leq R^{k+1} = R^{n_0+1} h(\varepsilon), \quad \text{for } r_{k+1}(t) \leq \varepsilon < r_k(t),$$

thus for $\delta \in [r_{k+1}(t), r_k(t)]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$R^{-n_0-1} \int_{r_{k+1}(t)}^{\delta} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon \leq \int_{r_{k+1}(t)}^{\delta} h(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon$$

and hence due to (33) we obtain

$$\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon \leq K \rho(s,t),$$

where $K = (1 + 2D)R^{n_0+1}K_0$. Similarly

$$\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(s,\varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon \leq K \rho(s,t),$$

which means $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \leq K \rho(s,t)$. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. Fix $R > 5$, $s, t \in T$ and $f \in C(T)$. We can assume that $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) < \infty$ which implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(x) = 0$ for $x = s, t$. By Lemma 8 (with $n = 1$) and (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| &\leq d_\tau(s, t) R^{\tau+1} + \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x) R^{k+1} + \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} r_k(u) \int_{B_k(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{k+1}} m(dv) m(du) + \\ &+ \varphi(R^{\tau+1}) \int_{B_\tau^l(y)} r_{\tau-1}(u) \int_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u, v) 1_{F_{\tau+1}} m(dv) m(du), \end{aligned}$$

where $y = t$ if $\tau = \tau_t$ and $y = s$ if $\tau \neq \tau_t$. By Lemma 9 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| &\leq AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) + \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \{s, t\}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+1} \int_{B_k(u)} \frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^{k+1}} 1_{F_{k+1}} m(dv) m(du) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_T r_{k-1}(u) R^{k+1} \int_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} \frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^{k+1}} 1_{F_{k+1}} m(dv) m(du). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The condition (7) gives that for each $k \geq 0$

$$\frac{f^d(u, v)}{R^k} 1_{F_k} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_+(R^k)} \varphi(f^d(u, v)) 1_{F_k} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_+(R^k)} \varphi_+(f^d(u, v)). \quad (35)$$

The right hand side of (34) does not depend on l thus we can take the limit on left-hand side which is $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} S_l f(x) = f(x)$, for all $x \in T$ (by property (iii) of S_l). Observe also that by the convexity $\varphi_+(R^{k+1}) - 1 \geq (1 - R^{-1})\varphi(R^{k+1})$. Consequently due to (34) and (35) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)} &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{1 - R^{-1}} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+1} \int_{B_k(u)} \varphi_+(f^d(u, v)) m(dv) m(du) + \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_T r_{k-1}(u) R^{k+1} \int_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} \varphi_+(f^d(u, v)) m(dv) m(du) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

To construct a probability measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(T \times T)$ we put for each $g \in C(T \times T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g) &:= \frac{1}{M(1 - R^{-1})} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2 \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+1} \int_{B_k(u)} g(u, v) m(dv) m(du) + \right. \\ &\left. + \int_T r_{k-1}(u) R^{k+1} \int_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} g(u, v) m(dv) m(du) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where M is such that $\nu(1) = 1$. Applying (9) and the definition $\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{1}{M(1-R^{-1})} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2 \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+1} m(du) + \int_T r_{k-1}(u) R^{k+1} m(du) \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{3}{M(1-R^{-1})} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+2} m(du) \leq \frac{3R^4}{M(R-1)^2} \mathcal{M}(m, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M \leq B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)$, where $B = \frac{3R^4}{(R-1)^2}$. Plugging ν into (36) we obtain

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leq AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) + B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi) \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+(f^d(u, v)) \nu(du, dv).$$

By homogeneity we obtain for all $a > 0$

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{aR^2|f^d|_{\varphi_+}^{\nu}} \leq AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) + B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi) \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+\left(\frac{f^d(u, v)}{aR^2|f^d|_{\varphi_+}^{\nu}}\right) \nu(du, dv). \quad (37)$$

Due to Lemma 3 we know that $\varphi \in \nabla'$ with $r = R^2$ and $c = 1$, thus $\varphi_+ \in \nabla'$ with $c = 0$ and $r = R^2$. Consequently by (∇') we get

$$\varphi_+(a) \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+\left(\frac{f^d(u, v)}{aR^2|f^d|_{\varphi_+}^{\nu}}\right) \nu(du, dv) \leq \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+\left(\frac{f^d(u, v)}{|f^d|_{\varphi_+}^{\nu}}\right) \nu(du, dv) = 1.$$

Using the above inequality in (37) we obtain

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{aR^2|f^d|_{\varphi_+}^{\nu}} \leq AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t) + \frac{B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{\varphi_+(a)}, \quad \text{for } a > 0.$$

We can obviously take a such that

$$\frac{B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{\varphi_+(a)} = AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t), \quad \text{i.e. } a = \varphi_+^{-1}\left(\frac{B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)}\right),$$

thus denoting $K = ARB^{-1}$ we derive

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{2AR^3\tau_{m,\varphi}(s, t)\varphi_+^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{K\tau_{\varphi,m}(s, t)}\right)} \leq |f^d|_{\varphi_+}^{\nu}.$$

Lemma 2 gives the result with $C = 2AR^5$. ■

Acknowledgment I would like to thank professor Stanislaw Kwapien and the anonymous referee for numerous remarks which helped me to improve the paper.

References

- [1] BEDNORZ, W. (2006). A theorem on majorizing measures. *Ann. Probab.* (to appear)
- [2] KWAPIEN, S. AND ROSINSKI, J. (2004). Sample Hölder continuity of stochastic processes and majorizing measures. *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications IV, Progr. in Probab.* **58**, 155–163. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [3] RAO, M.M AND REN, Z.D (1991). Theory of Orlicz spaces, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Bassel and Hong Kong.
- [4] SLUTSKY, E. (1939). Quelques propositions sur la théorie des fonctions aléatoires. (Russian) *Acta [Trudy] Univ. Asiae Mediae. Ser. V-a.* **31**, 15 pp.
- [5] TALAGRAND, M. (1990). Sample boundedness of stochastic processes under increment conditions. *Ann. Probab.* **18**, no. 1, 1–49.