

On the best possible remaining term in the Hardy inequality

Nassif Ghoussoub* and Amir Moradifam

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia,
Vancouver BC Canada V6T 1Z2

nassif@math.ubc.ca

a.moradi@math.ubc.ca

July 16, 2018

1 Abstract

We give a necessary and sufficient condition on a radially symmetric potential V on Ω that makes it an admissible candidate for an improved Hardy inequality of the following form:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq c \int_{\Omega} V(|x|)|u|^2 dx \quad \text{for all } u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1)$$

A characterization of the best possible constant $c(V)$ is also given. This result yields easily the improved Hardy's inequalities of Brezis-Vázquez [6], Adimurthi et al. [1], and Filippas-Tertikas [11], as well as the corresponding best constants. Our approach clarifies the issue behind the lack of an optimal improvement, while yielding other interesting “dual” inequalities. Another consequence is the following substantial sharpening of known integrability criteria: If a positive radial function V satisfies $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) \int_0^r sV(s)ds > -\infty$, then there exists $\rho := \rho(\Omega) > 0$ such that the improved Hardy inequality (1) holds for the scaled potential $V_{\rho}(x) = V(\frac{|x|}{\rho})$. On the other hand, if $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) \int_0^r sV(s)ds = -\infty$, then there is no $\rho > 0$ for which (1) holds for V_{ρ} . This shows for example, that $V(x) = \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}}$ is an admissible potential for an improved Hardy inequality when $\alpha < 2$, while it is not so for $\alpha \geq 2$. All these results have immediate applications to the corresponding Schrödinger equations. Analogous criteria for inequalities involving the bi-Laplacian will be developed in a forthcoming paper [13].

2 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^n , $n \geq 3$, with $0 \in \Omega$. The classical Hardy inequality asserts that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \quad \text{for all } u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (2)$$

This inequality and its various improvements are used in many contexts, such as in the study of the stability of solutions of semi-linear elliptic and parabolic equations [6, 7, 21], in the analysis of the asymptotic behavior of the heat equation with singular potentials [8, 22], as well as in the study of the stability of eigenvalues in elliptic problems such as Schrödinger operators [10, 12].

Now it is well known that $\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2$ is the best constant for inequality (2), and that this constant is however not attained in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. So, one could anticipate improving this inequality by adding a non-negative correction term to the right hand side of (2) and indeed, several sharpened Hardy inequalities have been established in recent years [4, 5, 11, 12, 22], mostly triggered by the following improvement of Brezis and Vázquez [6].

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx + \lambda_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \quad \text{for every } u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (3)$$

*Partially supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

The constant λ_Ω in (3) is given by

$$\lambda_\Omega = z_0^2 \omega_n^{2/n} |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{n}}, \quad (4)$$

where ω_n and $|\Omega|$ denote the volume of the unit ball and Ω respectively, and z_0 is the first zero of the bessel function $J_0(z)$. Moreover, λ_Ω is optimal when Ω is a ball, but is –again– not achieved in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This led to one of the open problems mentioned in [6] (Problem 2), which is whether the two terms on the RHS of inequality (3) (i.e., the coefficients of $|u|^2$) are just the first two terms of an infinite series of correcting terms. This question was addressed by several authors. In particular, Adimurthi et al [1] proved that for every integer k , there exists a constant c depending on n , k and Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx + c \sum_{j=1}^k \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{|x|}\right)^{-2} dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (5)$$

where $\rho = (\sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|)(e^{e^{\dots e^{(k-\text{times})}}})$. Here we have used the notations $\log^{(1)}(\cdot) = \log(\cdot)$ and $\log^{(k)}(\cdot) = \log(\log^{(k-1)}(\cdot))$ for $k \geq 2$.

Also motivated by the question of Brezis and Vázquez, Filippas and Tertikas proved in [11] that the inequality can be repeatedly improved by adding to the right hand side specific potentials which lead to an infinite series expansion of Hardy’s inequality. More precisely, by defining iteratively the following functions,

$$X_1(t) = (1 - \log(t))^{-1}, \quad X_k(t) = X_1(X_{k-1}(t)) \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

they prove that for any $D \geq \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$, the following inequality holds for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{|x|^2} X_1^2\left(\frac{|x|}{D}\right) X_2^2\left(\frac{|x|}{D}\right) \dots X_i^2\left(\frac{|x|}{D}\right) |u|^2 dx. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, they proved that the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best constant for the corresponding k –improved Hardy inequality which is again not attained in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

In this paper, we show that all the above results –and more– follow from a specific characterization of those potentials V that yield an improved Hardy inequality. Here is our main result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let V be a radial function on a smooth bounded radial domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n with radius R , in such a way that $V(x) = v(|x|)$ for some non-negative function v on $(0, R)$. The following properties are then equivalent:*

1. *The ordinary differential equation*

$$(D_V) \quad y''(r) + \frac{y'(r)}{r} + v(r)y(r) = 0$$

has a positive solution on the interval $(0, R)$.

2. *The following improved Hardy inequality holds*

$$(H_V) \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq \int_\Omega V(|x|)|u|^2 dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Moreover, the best constant $c(V) := \sup \{c; (H_{cV}) \text{ holds}\}$ can be characterized by the formula

$$c(V) = \sup \left\{ c; y''(r) + \frac{y'(r)}{r} + cv(r)y(r) = 0 \text{ has a positive solution on the interval } (0, R) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

We note that the implication 1) implies 2) holds for any smooth bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n containing 0, provided $v(r) + \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{r^2}$ is non-increasing on $(0, \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|)$ and R is the radius of the ball which has the same volume as Ω (i.e. $R = \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$).

It is therefore clear from the above discussion that in order to find what potentials are candidates for an improved Hardy inequality, one needs to investigate the ordinary differential equation $y'' + \frac{y'}{r} + v(r)y(r) = 0$. We shall see that the results of Brezis–Vázquez, Adimurthi et al, and Filippas–Tertikas mentioned above can

be easily deduced by simply checking that the potentials V they consider, correspond to equations (D_V) where an explicit positive solution can be found.

Our approach turned out to be also useful for determining the best constants in the above mentioned improvements. Indeed, the case when $V \equiv 1$ will follow immediately from Theorem 2.1. A slightly more involved reasoning – but also based of the above characterization – will allow us to find the best constant in the improvement of Adimurthi et al, and to recover the best one established by Filippas-Tertikas.

Since the existence of positive solutions for ODEs of the form (D_V) is closely related to the oscillatory properties of second order equations of the form $z''(s) + a(s)z(s) = 0$, Theorem 2.1 also allows for the use of the extensive literature on the oscillatory properties of such equations to deduce various interesting results such as the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let V be a positive radial function on a smooth bounded radial domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n .*

1. *If $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) \int_0^r sV(s)ds > -\infty$, then there exists $\alpha := \alpha(\Omega) > 0$ such that an improved Hardy inequality (H_{V_α}) holds for the scaled potential $V_\alpha(x) := \alpha^2 V(\alpha x)$.*
2. *If $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) \int_0^r sV(s)ds = -\infty$, then there are no $\beta, c > 0$, for which $(H_{V_{\beta,c}})$ holds with $V_{\beta,c} = cV(\beta x)$.*

The following is a consequence of the two results above.

Corollary 2.3 *For any $\alpha < 2$, inequality (H_{cV}) holds on a bounded domain Ω for $V_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}$ and some $c > 0$. Moreover, the best constant $c(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha})$ is equal to the largest c such that the equation*

$$y''(r) + \frac{1}{r}y'(r) + c\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} = 0,$$

has a positive solution on $(0, R)$, where R is the radius of the ball wich has the same volume as Ω . Moreover, if $\alpha \geq 2$ inequality (H_V) does not hold for $V_{\alpha,c}(x) = c\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}$ for any $c > 0$.

Note that the above corollary gives another proof of the fact that $(\frac{n-2}{2})^2$ is the best constant for the classical Hardy inequality.

Define now the class

$$A_\Omega = \{v : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+; v \text{ is non-increasing on } (0, \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|), D_v \text{ has a positive solution on } (0, (\frac{|\Omega|}{\omega_n})^{\frac{1}{n}})\}.$$

An immediate application of Theorem 2.1 coupled with Hölder's inequality gives the following duality statement, which should be compared to inequalities dual to those of Sobolev, recently obtained via the theory of mass transport [2, 9].

Corollary 2.4 *Suppose that Ω is a smooth bounded domain in R^n containing 0. Then for any $0 < p \leq 2$, we have*

$$\inf \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx; u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \|u\|_p = 1 \right\} \geq \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\| |V^{-1}(|x|) \|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}(\Omega)}}; V \in A_\Omega \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Finally, consider the following classes of radial potentials:

$$X = \{V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+; V \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{0\}), \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) \int_0^r sV(s)ds > -\infty\}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$Y = \{V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+; V \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{0\}), \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) \int_0^r sV(s)ds = -\infty\}. \quad (10)$$

For any $0 < \mu < \mu_n := \frac{(n-2)^2}{2}$ we consider the following weighted eigenvalue problem,

$$(E_{V,\mu}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}u = \lambda V u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Our results above combine with standard arguments to yield the following.

Corollary 2.5 *For any $0 < \mu < \mu_n$, and $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^2 V(x) = 0$, the problem $(E_{V,\mu})$ admits a positive weak solution $u_\mu \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_\mu^1(V)$. Moreover, by letting $\lambda_1(V) = \lim_{\mu \uparrow \mu_n} \lambda_\mu^1(V)$, we have*

- If $V \in X$, then there exists $c > 0$ such that $\lambda_1(V_c) > 0$.
- If $V \in Y$, then $\lambda_1(V_c) = 0$ for all $c > 0$,

where $V_c(x) := V(cx)$.

3 Two dimensional inequalities

In this section, we start by establishing the following improvements of “two-dimensional” Poincaré and Poincaré-Wirtinger inequalities.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $a < b$, k is a differentiable function on (a, b) , and φ be a strictly positive real valued differentiable function on (a, b) . Then, every $h \in C^1([a, b])$ with*

$$-\infty < \lim_{r \rightarrow a} k(r)|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow b} k(r)|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < \infty, \quad (12)$$

satisfies the following inequality:

$$\int_a^b |h'(r)|^2 k(r) dr \geq \int_a^b -|h(r)|^2 \left(\frac{k'(r)\varphi'(r) + k(r)\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right) dr. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, assuming (12), the equality holds if and only if $h(r) = \varphi(r)$ for all $r \in (a, b)$.

Proof. Define $\psi(r) = h(r)/\varphi(r)$, $r \in [a, b]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b |h'(r)|^2 k(r) dr &= \int_a^b |\psi(r)|^2 |\varphi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr + \int_a^b 2\varphi(r)\varphi'(r)\psi(r)\psi'(r)k(r) dr + \int_a^b |\varphi(r)|^2 |\psi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr \\ &= \int_a^b |\psi(r)|^2 |\varphi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr - \int_a^b |\psi(r)|^2 (k\varphi\varphi')'(r) dr + \int_a^b |\varphi(r)|^2 |\psi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr \\ &= \int_a^b |\psi(r)|^2 (|\varphi'(r)|^2 k(r) - (k\varphi\varphi')'(r)) dr + \int_a^b |\varphi(r)|^2 |\psi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_a^b |h'(r)|^2 k(r) dr = \int_a^b -|h(r)|^2 \left(\frac{k'(r)\varphi'(r) + k(r)\varphi''(r)}{\varphi} \right) dr + \int_a^b |\varphi(r)|^2 |\psi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr \quad (14)$$

$$\geq \int_a^b -|h(r)|^2 \left(\frac{k'(r)\varphi'(r) + k(r)\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right) dr. \quad (15)$$

Hence (13) holds. Note that the last inequality is obviously an identity if and only if $h(r) = \varphi(r)$ for all $r \in (a, b)$. The proof is complete. \square

By applying Theorem 3.1 to the weight $k(r) = r$, we obtain the following generalization of the 2-dimensional Poincaré inequality.

Corollary 3.2 (Generalized 2-dimensional Poincaré inequality) *Let $0 \leq a < b$ and φ be a strictly positive real valued differentiable function on (a, b) . Then every $h \in C^1([a, b])$ with*

$$-\infty < \lim_{r \rightarrow a} r|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow b} r|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < \infty, \quad (16)$$

satisfies the following inequality:

$$\int_a^b |h'(r)|^2 r dr \geq \int_a^b -|h(r)|^2 \left(\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right) dr. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, under the assumption (16) the equality holds if and only if $h(r) = \varphi(r)$ for all $r \in (a, b)$.

By applying Theorem 3.1 to the weight $k(r) = 1$, we obtain the following generalization of the 2-dimensional Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality.

Corollary 3.3 (Generalized Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality) *Let $a < b$ and φ be a strictly positive real valued differentiable function on (a, b) . Then, every $h \in C^1([a, b])$ with*

$$-\infty < \lim_{r \rightarrow a} |h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow b} |h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < \infty, \quad (18)$$

satisfies the following inequality:

$$\int_a^b |h'(r)|^2 dr \geq \int_a^b -|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} dr. \quad (19)$$

Moreover, under assumption (18), the equality holds if and only if $h(r) = \varphi(r)$ for all $r \in (a, b)$.

Remark 3.4 *Note that all of inequalities presented in the above theorems hold when we replace the conditions (12), (16), and (18) with the following weaker conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow b} k(r)|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow a} k(r)|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)}, \\ \liminf_{r \rightarrow b} r|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow a} r|h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)}, \\ \liminf_{r \rightarrow b} |h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow a} |h(r)|^2 \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, provided both sides in the above inequalities are not equal to $-\infty$ or $+\infty$.

4 Proof of the main theorem

We start with the sufficient condition of Theorem 2.1 by establishing the following.

Proposition 4.1 (Improved Hardy Inequality) *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in R^n with $0 \in \Omega$, and set $R = (|\Omega|/\omega_n)^{1/n}$. Suppose V is a radially symmetric function on Ω and φ is a C^2 -function on $(0, R)$ such that*

$$0 \leq V(|x|) \leq -\frac{\varphi'(|x|) + r\varphi''(|x|)}{|x|\varphi(|x|)} \text{ for all } x \in \Omega, 0 < |x| < R, \quad (20)$$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < \infty, \quad (21)$$

$$\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{|x|^2} + V(|x|) \text{ is a decreasing function of } |x|. \quad (22)$$

Then for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx + \int_{\Omega} V(|x|)|u|^2 dx. \quad (23)$$

Moreover, if $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r\varphi(r)\varphi'(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \varphi(r)\varphi'(r) = 0$, then equality holds if and only if u is a radial function on Ω such that $u(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Proof: We first prove the inequality for smooth radial positive functions on the ball $\Omega = B_R$. For such $u \in C_0^2(B_R)$, we define

$$v(r) = u(r)r^{(n-2)/2}, \quad r = |x|.$$

In view of Corollary 3.2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} dx &= \omega_n \int_0^R \left| \frac{n-2}{2} r^{-n/2} v(r) - r^{1-n/2} v'(r) \right|^2 r^{n-1} dr \\ &- \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \omega_n \int_0^R \frac{v^2(r)}{r} dr \\ &= \omega_n \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^R v^2 \left(\left(1 - \frac{2v'(r)r}{(n-2)v(r)}\right)^2 - 1 \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \omega_n \int_0^R (v'(r))^2 r - \omega_n \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right) \int_0^R v(r)v'(r) dr \\ &= \omega_n \int_0^R (v'(r))^2 r \\ &\geq \omega_n \int_0^R -v^2(r) \left(\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right) dr \\ &= \omega_n \int_0^R -u^2(r) \left(\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right) r^{n-2} dr \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) \left(\frac{\varphi'(|x|) + |x|\varphi''(|x|)}{|x|\varphi(|x|)} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inequality (23) holds for radial smooth positive functions. By density arguments, inequality (23) is valid for any $u \in H_0^1$, $u \geq 0$. For $u \in H_0^1$ which is not positive and general domain Ω , we use symmetrization arguments. Let B_R be a ball having the same volume as Ω with $R = (|\Omega|/\omega_n)^{1/n}$ and let $|u|^*$ be the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of the function $|u|$. Now note that for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $|u|^* \in H_0^1(B_R)$ and $|u|^* > 0$. It is well known that the symmetrization does not change the L^p -norm, and that it decreases the Dirichlet energy, while increasing the integrals $\int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{|x|^2} + V(|x|) \right) |u|^2 dx$, since the weight $\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{|x|^2} + V(|x|)$ is a decreasing function of $|x|$. Hence, (23) holds for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

We shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $x(r)$ be a function in $C^1(0, R]$ that is a solution of*

$$rx'(r) + x^2(r) \leq -F(r), \quad 0 < r \leq R, \quad (24)$$

where F is a nonnegative continuous function. Then

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} x(r) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Proof: Divide equation (24) by r and integrate once. Then we have

$$x(r) \geq \int_r^R \frac{|x(s)|^2}{s} ds + x(1) + \int_r^R \frac{F(s)}{s} ds. \quad (26)$$

It follows that $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} x(r)$ exists. In order to prove that this limit is zero, we claim that

$$\int_r^R \frac{x^2(s)}{s} ds < \infty. \quad (27)$$

Indeed, otherwise we have $G(r) := \int_r^R \frac{x^2(s)}{s} ds \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. From (24) we have

$$(-rG'(r))^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq G(r) + x(1) + \int_r^R \frac{F(s)}{s} ds.$$

Note that $F \geq 0$, and G goes to infinity as r goes to zero. Thus, for r sufficiently small we have $-rG'(r) \geq \frac{1}{2}G^2(r)$ hence, $(\frac{1}{G(r)})' \geq \frac{1}{2} \ln(r)$, which contradicts the fact that $G(r)$ goes to infinity as r tends to zero. Thus, our claim is true and the limit in (25) is indeed zero. \square

Lemma 4.2 *If the equation $\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi'}{r} + v(r)\varphi = 0$ has a positive solution on some interval $(0, R)$, then we have necessarily,*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < \infty. \quad (28)$$

Proof: Since $\varphi(\delta) \geq 0$ and $\varphi(r) > 0$ for $0 < r < \delta$, it is obvious that φ satisfies the second condition. To obtain the first condition, set $x(r) = r \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)}$. one may verify that $x(r)$ satisfies the ODE:

$$rx'(r) + x^2(r) = -F(r), \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq \delta,$$

where $F(r) = r^2v(r) \geq 0$. By Lemma 4.1 we conclude that $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} r \frac{\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \lim_{r \downarrow 0} x(r) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.3 *Let V be positive radial potential on the ball Ω of radius R in R^n ($n \geq 3$). Assume that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} - V(|x|)|u|^2 \right) dx \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Then there exists a C^2 -supersolution to the equation

$$-\Delta u - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{u}{|x|^2} - V(|x|)u = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (29)$$

$$u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad (30)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega. \quad (31)$$

Proof: Define

$$\lambda_1(V) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 |\psi|^2 - V|\psi|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |\psi|^2} \right\}; \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{0\}).$$

By our assumption $\lambda(V) \geq 0$. Let (φ_n, λ_1^n) be the first eigenpair for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (L - \lambda_1(V) - \lambda_1^n)\varphi_r &= 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{n}} \\ \varphi(r) &= 0 \text{ on } \partial(\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{n}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $L = -\Delta - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{|x|^2} - V$, and $B_{\frac{R}{n}}$ is a ball of radius $\frac{R}{n}$, $n \geq 2$. The eigenfunctions can be chosen in such a way that $\varphi_n > 0$ on $\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{n}}$ and $\varphi_n(b) = 1$, for some $b \in \Omega$ with $\frac{R}{2} < |b| < R$.

Note that $\lambda_1^n \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Harnak's inequality yields that for any compact subset K , $\frac{\max_K \varphi_n}{\max_K \varphi_n} \leq C(K)$ with the later constant being independant of φ_n . Also standard elliptic estimates also yields that the family (φ_n) have also uniformly bounded derivatives on compact sets $\Omega - B_{\frac{R}{n}}$.

Therefore, there exists a subsequence $(\varphi_{n_{l_2}})_{l_2}$ of $(\varphi_n)_n$ such that $(\varphi_{n_{l_2}})_{l_2}$ converges to some $\varphi_2 \in C^2(\Omega \setminus B(\frac{R}{2}))$. Now consider $(\varphi_{n_{l_2}})_{l_2}$ on $\Omega \setminus B(\frac{R}{3})$. Again there exists a subsequence $(\varphi_{n_{l_3}})_{l_3}$ of $(\varphi_{n_{l_2}})_{l_2}$ which converges to $\varphi_3 \in C^2(\Omega \setminus B(\frac{R}{3}))$, and $\varphi_3(x) = \varphi_2(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus B(\frac{R}{2})$. By repeating this argument we get a supersolution $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ i.e. $L\varphi \geq 0$, such that $\varphi > 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 4.4 *Let a be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R} , then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $z''(s) + a(s)z(s) = 0$, has a strictly positive solution on (b, ∞) .
2. There exists a function $\psi \in C^1(b, \infty)$ such that $\psi'(r) + \psi^2(r) + a(r) \leq 0$, for $r > b$.

Consequently, the equation $y'' + \frac{1}{r}y' + v(r)y = 0$ has a positive supersolution on $(0, \delta)$ if and only if it has a positive solution on $(0, \delta)$.

Proof: That 1) and 2) are equivalent follows from the work of Wintner [23, 24], a proof of which may be found in [14]).

To prove the rest, we note that the change of variable $z(s) = y(e^{-s})$ maps the equation $y'' + \frac{1}{r}y' + v(r)y = 0$ into $z'' + e^{-2s}v(e^{-s})z(s) = 0$. On the other hand, the change of variables $\psi(t) = \frac{-e^{-t}y'(e^{-t})}{y(e^{-t})}$ maps $y'' + \frac{1}{r}y' + v(r)y$ into $\psi'(t) + \psi^2(t) + e^{-2t}v(e^{-t})$. This proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1: The implication 1) implies 2) follows immediately from Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. It is valid for any smooth bounded domain provided v is assumed to be non-decreasing on $(0, R)$. this condition is not needed if the domain is a ball of radius R .

To show that 2) implies 1), we assume that inequality (H_V) holds on a ball Ω of radius R , and then apply Lemma (4.3) to obtain a C^2 -supersolution for the equation (29). Now take the surface average of u , that is

$$w(r) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_r} u(x) dS = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{|\omega|=1} u(r\omega) d\omega > 0, \quad (32)$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the unit ball in R^n . We may assume that the unit ball is contained in Ω (otherwise we just use a smaller ball). By a standard calculation we get

$$w''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}w'(r) \leq \frac{1}{n\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_r} \Delta u(x) dS. \quad (33)$$

Since $u(x)$ is a supersolution of (29), w satisfies the inequality:

$$w''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}w'(r) + \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{w(r)}{r^2} \leq -v(r)w(r), \quad \text{for } 0 < r < R. \quad (34)$$

Now define

$$\varphi(r) = r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w(r), \quad \text{in } 0 < r < R. \quad (35)$$

Using (34), a straightforward calculation shows that φ satisfies the following inequality

$$\varphi''(r) + \frac{\varphi'(r)}{r} \leq -\varphi(r)v(r), \quad \text{for } 0 < r < R. \quad (36)$$

By Lemma 4.4 we may conclude that the equation $y''(r) + \frac{1}{r}y' + v(r)y = 0$ has actually a positive solution φ on $(0, R)$.

To establish formula (7), it is clear that by the sufficient condition $c(V) \geq c$ whenever $y''(r) + \frac{1}{r}y' + cv(r)y = 0$ has a positive solution on $(0, R)$. On the other hand, the necessary condition yields that $y''(r) + \frac{1}{r}y' + c(V)v(r)y = 0$ has a positive solution on $(0, R)$. The proof is now complete. \square

5 Applications

In this section we start by applying Theorem 2.1 to recover in a relatively simple and unified way, all previously known improvements of Hardy's inequality. For that we need to investigate whether the ordinary differential equation

$$y'' + \frac{y'}{r} + v(r)y(r) = 0, \quad (37)$$

corresponding to a potential v has a positive solution φ on $(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$. In this case, $\psi(r) = \varphi(\frac{\delta r}{R})$ is a solution for $y''(r) + \frac{1}{r}y' + \frac{\delta^2}{R^2}v(\frac{\delta}{R}r)y = 0$ on $(0, R)$, which means that the scaled potential $V_\delta(x) = \frac{\delta^2}{R^2}V(\frac{\delta}{R}x)$ yields an improved Hardy formula (H_{V_δ}) on a ball of radius R , with constant larger than one. Here is an immediate application of this criterium.

1) The Brezis-Vázquez improvement [6]: Here we need to show that we can have an improved inequality with a constant potential. In this case, the best constant for which the equation

$$y'' + \frac{y'}{r} + cy(r) = 0, \quad (38)$$

has a positive solution on $(0, R)$, with $R = (|\Omega|/\omega_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is $z_0^2 \omega_n^{2/n} |\Omega|^{-2/n}$. Indeed, if z_0 is the first root of the solution of the Bessel equation $y'' + \frac{y'}{r} + y(r) = 0$, then the solution of (38) in this case is the Bessel function $\varphi(r) = J_0(\frac{rz_0}{R})$. This readily gives the result of Brezis-Vázquez mentioned in the introduction.

2) The Adimurthi et al. improvement [1]: In this case, one easily sees that the functions $\varphi_j(r) = (\prod_{i=1}^j \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{r})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a solution of the equation

$$-\frac{\varphi_j'(r) + r\varphi_j''(r)}{r\varphi_j(r)} = \frac{1}{4r^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{-2},$$

on $(0, R)$, which means that the inequality (H_V) holds for the potential $V(x) = \frac{1}{4|x|^2} (\prod_{i=1}^n \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{|x|})^{-2}$ which yields the result of Adimurthi et al. In the following, we use our characterization to show that the constant appearing in the above improvement is indeed the best constant in the following sense:

$$\frac{1}{4} = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{|x|} \right)^{-2}}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{|x|} \right)^{-2}}, \quad (39)$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq k$. We proceed by contradiction, and assume that

$$\frac{1}{4} + \lambda = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{|x|} \right)^{-2}}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{|x|} \right)^{-2}},$$

and $\lambda > 0$. From Theorem 2.1 we deduce that there exists a positive function φ such that

$$-\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{r} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{-2} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda\right) \frac{1}{r} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{-2}.$$

Now define $f(r) = \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi_m(r)} > 0$, and calculate,

$$\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \frac{\varphi_m'(r) + r\varphi_m''(r)}{\varphi_m(r)} + \frac{f'(r) + rf''(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^i \log^j \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f'(r) + rf''(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^i \log^j \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)} = -\lambda \frac{1}{r} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \log^{(i)} \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{-2}. \quad (40)$$

If now $f'(\alpha_n) = 0$ for some sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ that converges to zero, then there exists a sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ that also converges to zero, such that $f''(\beta_n) = 0$, and $f'(\beta_n) > 0$. But this contradicts (40), which means that f is eventually monotone for r small enough. We consider the two cases according to whether f is increasing or decreasing:

Case I: Assume $f'(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Then we will have

$$\frac{(rf'(r))'}{rf'(r)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{r \prod_{j=1}^i \log^j \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)}.$$

Integrating once we get

$$f'(r) \geq \frac{c}{r \prod_{j=1}^m \log^j \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)},$$

for some $c > 0$. Hence, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f(r) = -\infty$ which is a contradiction.

Case II: Assume $f'(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Then

$$\frac{(rf'(r))'}{rf'(r)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{r \prod_{j=1}^i \log^j \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)}.$$

Thus,

$$f'(r) \geq -\frac{c}{r \prod_{j=1}^m \log^j(\frac{r}{a})}, \quad (41)$$

for some $c > 0$ and $r > 0$ sufficiently small. On the other hand

$$\frac{f'(r) + rf''(r)}{f(r)} \leq -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{r} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \log^{(i)} \frac{r}{a} \right)^{-2} \leq -\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m \log^j(\frac{r}{a})} \right)'$$

Since $f'(r) < 0$, there exists l such that $f(r) > l > 0$ for $r > 0$ sufficiently small. From the above inequality we then have

$$bf'(b) - af'(a) < -\lambda l \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m \log^j(\frac{b}{a})} - \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m \log^j(\frac{a}{a})} \right).$$

From (41) we have $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} af'(a) = 0$. Hence,

$$bf'(b) < -\frac{\lambda l}{\prod_{j=1}^m \log^j(\frac{b}{a})},$$

for every $b > 0$, and

$$f'(r) < -\frac{\lambda l}{r \prod_{j=1}^m \log^j(\frac{r}{a})},$$

for $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Therefore,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f(r) = +\infty,$$

and by choosing l large enough (e.g., $l > \frac{c}{\lambda}$) we get to contradict (41) and the proof is now complete.

3) The Filippas and Tertikas improvement [11]: Let $D \geq \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$, and define

$$\varphi_k(r) = (X_1(\frac{r}{D})X_2(\frac{r}{D}) \dots X_{i-1}(\frac{r}{D})X_i(\frac{r}{D}))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using the fact that $X'_k(r) = \frac{1}{r}X_1(r)X_2(r) \dots X_{k-1}(r)X_k^2(r)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we get

$$-\frac{\varphi'_k(r) + r\varphi''_k(r)}{\varphi_k(r)} = \frac{1}{4r}X_1^2(\frac{r}{D})X_2^2(\frac{r}{D}) \dots X_{k-1}^2(\frac{r}{D})X_k^2(\frac{r}{D}).$$

This means that the inequality (H_V) holds for the potential $V(x) = \frac{1}{4|x|^2}X_1^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_2^2(\frac{|x|}{D}) \dots X_{k-1}^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_k^2(\frac{|x|}{D})$, which yields the result of Filippas and Tertikas [11]. We now identify the best constant by showing that:

$$\frac{1}{4} = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_2^2(\frac{|x|}{D}) \dots X_{j-1}^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_j^2(\frac{|x|}{D})}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_2^2(\frac{|x|}{D}) \dots X_{m-1}^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_m^2(\frac{|x|}{D})}, \quad (42)$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq k$. We proceed again by contradiction and in a way very similar to the above case. Indeed, assuming that

$$\frac{1}{4} + \lambda = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_2^2(\frac{|x|}{D}) \dots X_{j-1}^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_j^2(\frac{|x|}{D})}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_2^2(\frac{|x|}{D}) \dots X_{m-1}^2(\frac{|x|}{D})X_m^2(\frac{|x|}{D})},$$

and $\lambda > 0$, we use again Theorem 2.1 to find a positive function φ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{r} X_1^2(\frac{r}{D})X_2^2(\frac{r}{D}) \dots X_{j-1}^2(\frac{r}{D})X_j^2(\frac{r}{D}) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda\right) \frac{1}{r} X_1^2(\frac{r}{D})X_2^2(\frac{r}{D}) \dots X_{m-1}^2(\frac{r}{D})X_m^2(\frac{r}{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $f(r) = \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi_m(r)} > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\varphi'(r) + r\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)} = \frac{\varphi'_m(r) + r\varphi''_m(r)}{\varphi_m(r)} + \frac{f'(r) + rf''(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^i X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f'(r) + rf''(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^i X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right) = -\lambda \frac{1}{r} \prod_{j=1}^m X_j^2\left(\frac{r}{D}\right). \quad (43)$$

Arguing as before, we deduce that f is eventually monotone for r small enough, and we consider two cases:

Case I: If $f'(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ sufficiently small, then we will have

$$\frac{(rf'(r))'}{rf'(r)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{r} \prod_{j=1}^i X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right).$$

Integrating once we get

$$f'(r) \geq \frac{c}{r} \prod_{j=1}^m X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right),$$

for some $c > 0$, and therefore $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f(r) = -\infty$ which is a contradiction.

Case II: Assume $f'(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Then

$$\frac{(rf'(r))'}{rf'(r)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{r} \prod_{j=1}^i X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)$$

Thus,

$$f'(r) \geq -\frac{c}{r} \prod_{j=1}^m X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right), \quad (44)$$

for some $c > 0$ and $r > 0$ sufficiently small. On the other hand

$$\frac{f'(r) + rf''(r)}{f(r)} \leq -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{r} \prod_{i=1}^j X_i^2 \leq -\lambda \left(\prod_{j=1}^m X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right) \right)'$$

Since $f'(r) < 0$, we may assume $f(r) > l > 0$ for $r > 0$ sufficiently small, and from the above inequality we have

$$bf'(b) - af'(a) < -\lambda l \left(\prod_{j=1}^m X_j\left(\frac{b}{D}\right) - \prod_{j=1}^m X_j\left(\frac{a}{D}\right) \right).$$

From (44) we have $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} af'(a) = 0$. Hence,

$$f'(r) < -\frac{\lambda l}{r} \prod_{j=1}^m X_j\left(\frac{r}{D}\right),$$

for $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Therefore,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f(r) = +\infty,$$

and by choosing l large enough (i.e. $l > \frac{c}{\lambda}$) we contradict (44) and the proof is complete. \square

We shall now make the connection between improved Hardy inequalities and the existence of non-oscillatory solutions (i.e., those $z(s)$ such that $z(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ sufficiently large) for the second order linear differential equations

$$z''(s) + a(s)z(s) = 0. \quad (45)$$

Interesting results in this direction were established by many authors (see [14, 15, 23, 24, 25]). Here is a typical criterium about the oscillatory properties of equation (45):

1. If $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty a(s) ds < \frac{1}{4}$, then Eq. (45) is non-oscillatory.
2. If $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty a(s) ds > \frac{1}{4}$, then Eq. (45) is oscillatory.

This result combined with Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.4 clearly yields Corollary 2.2.

Proof of Corollary 2.4: It follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} V(|x|)u^2(x)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \geq \frac{\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2}{s}}(x)dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} V^{-\frac{r}{s}}(|x|)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}},$$

where $s \geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$. Letting $p = \frac{2}{s}$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} V(|x|)u^2(x)dx \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^p(x)dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \frac{1}{\|V^{-1}(|x|)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2-p}}(\Omega)}}.$$

Inequality (2.4) now follows from Theorem 2.1. □

Proof of Corollary 2.5: Define the functional

$$F_{\mu}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} dx, \quad (46)$$

which is continuous, Gateaux differentiable and coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let $u_{\mu} > 0$ be a minimizer of F_{μ} over the manifold $M = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} u^2(x)V(x) = 1\}$ and assume λ_{μ}^1 is the infimum. It is clear that $\lambda_{\mu}^1 > 0$. By standard arguments we can conclude that u_{μ} is a weak solution of $(E_{V,\mu})$. The rest of the proof follows from Corollary 2.2 and the fact that

$$\lambda_1(V) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \mu_n} \lambda_{\mu}^1 = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \mu_n \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2}) dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 V(x) dx}.$$

References

- [1] Adimurthi, N. Chaudhuri, and N. Ramaswamy, An improved Hardy Sobolev inequality and its applications, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 489-505.
- [2] M. Agueh, N. Ghoussoub, X. S. Kang: *Geometric inequalities via a general comparison principle for interacting gases*, Geom. And Funct. Anal., Vol 14, 1 (2004) p. 215-244
- [3] H. Brezis, E. H. Lieb, Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms, J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), 73-86.
- [4] H. Brezis, M. Marcus, Hardy's inequality revisited, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa 25 (1997), 217-237.
- [5] H. Brezis, M. Marcus, and I. Shafrir, Extremal functions for Hardy's inequality with weight, J. Funct. Anal. 171 (2000), 177-191.
- [6] H. Brezis and J. L. Vázquez, Blowup solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, Revista Mat. Univ. Complutense Madrid 10 (1997), 443-469.
- [7] X. Cabré, Y. Martel, Weak eigenfunctions for the linearization of extremal elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal. 156 (1998), 30-56.
- [8] X. Cabré, Y. Martel, Existence versus explosion instantanée pour des équations de la chaleur linéaires avec potential singulier, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I 329 (1999), 973-978.
- [9] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani. *A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities*, Adv. Math. 182, 2 (2004), 307-332.

- [10] E. B. Davies, A review of Hardy inequalities, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 110 (1999), 55-67.
- [11] S. Filippas, A. Tertikas, Optimizing improved Hardy inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 192 (2002), no. 1, 186-233.
- [12] J. Fleckinger, E. M. Harrell II, and F. Thelin, Boundary behaviour and estimates for solutions of equations containing the p -Laplacian, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 38 (1999), 1-19.
- [13] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moradifam, Improved Hardy inequalities for the bi-Laplacian, In preparation.
- [14] P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [15] C. Huang, Oscillation and Nonoscillation for second order linear differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 210 (1997) 712-723.
- [16] B. Kawohl, *Rearrangements and Convexity of Level Sets in PDE*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1150, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [17] B. Opic, and A. Kufner, *Hardy type Inequalities*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 219, Longman, New York, 1990.
- [18] I. Peral and J. L. Vázquez, On the stability and instability of the semilinear heat equation with exponential reaction term, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 129 (1995), 201-224.
- [19] B. Simon, Schrödinger semigroups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7 (1982), 447-526.
- [20] A. Tertikas, Critical phenomena in linear elliptic problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 154 (1998), 42-66.
- [21] J. L. Vázquez, Domain of existence and blowup for the exponential reaction diffusion equation, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 48 (1999), 677-709.
- [22] J. L. Vázquez and E. Zuazua, The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential, *J. Funct. Anal.* 173 (2000), 103-153.
- [23] A. Wintner, On the nonexistence of conjugate points, *Amer. J. Math.* 73 (1951) 368-380.
- [24] A. Wintner, On the comparison theorem of Kneser-Hille, *Math. Scand.* 5 (1957) 255-260.
- [25] James S. W. Wong, Oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of second order linear differential equations with integrable coefficients. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (1969) 197-215.