

# ON THE GROUP OF POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS IN A GROUP

GÉRARD ENDIMIONI

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a group and let  $n$  be a positive integer. A polynomial function in  $G$  is a function from  $G^n$  to  $G$  of the form  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , where  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an element of the free product of  $G$  and the free group of rank  $n$  freely generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . There is a natural definition for the product of two polynomial functions; equipped with this operation, the set  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of polynomial functions is a group. We prove that this group is polycyclic if and only if  $G$  is finitely generated, soluble, and nilpotent-by-finite. In particular, if the group of polynomial functions is polycyclic, then necessarily it is nilpotent-by-finite. Furthermore, we prove that  $G$  itself is polycyclic if and only if the subgroup of polynomial functions which send  $(1, \dots, 1)$  to 1 is finitely generated and soluble.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $F_n$  be the free group of rank  $n > 0$  freely generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . More or less explicitly, the free product  $G[x_1, \dots, x_n] := G * F_n$  frequently occurs in group theory, for example in the study of equations in groups. Actually, in the class of  $G$ -groups,  $G[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  plays the role of the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in the class of  $K$ -algebras (following the terminology used in [1], a  $G$ -group is by definition a group containing a designated copy of  $G$ ). If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a “polynomial”, one can define in an obvious way the associated polynomial function  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , which is a map from  $G^n$  to  $G$ . We shall denote by  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the set of polynomial functions (understood: in  $n$  variables and with coefficients in  $G$ ). When  $n = 1$ , we shall write  $\overline{G}[x]$  instead of  $\overline{G}[x_1]$ . We define in a natural way the product of two polynomial functions

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F16, 20F18.

by carrying over to  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the product of  $G[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . In other words, the product of  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is equal to the polynomial function

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow f(t_1, \dots, t_n)g(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

Equipped with this operation,  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a group and the map changing  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  into the polynomial function  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is an epimorphism.

In [1], the authors define notions of zero divisor, ideal,... in a group (more precisely in a  $G$ -group); they obtain then a set of results showing a surprising similarity to algebraic geometry. In particular, a notion of “equationally Noetherian group” is introduced (see [1] for a definition) and an analogue of the Hilbert’s basis theorem is proposed as a conjecture [1, p.42], . The notion of “equationally Noetherian group” is different from the usual notion of Noetherian group. Recall here that a Noetherian group is a group satisfying the maximal condition for its subgroups; a polycyclic group is a soluble Noetherian group. The aim of this paper is to investigate the connections between a group  $G$  and the group  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of its polynomial functions for the property of polycyclicity. Remark in passing that such a question is not really interesting for the group  $G[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Indeed, if  $A$  and  $B$  are groups such that  $A \neq \{1\}$  and  $|B| \geq 3$ , the free product  $A * B$  contains a free subgroup of rank 2 [5, p.177] and so is not Noetherian. Using this result, it is not hard to see that  $G[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is Noetherian if and only if  $G$  is trivial and  $n = 1$ .

First we characterize the groups  $G$  such that  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is polycyclic. Notice that in this case, our characterization shows that necessarily  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is then nilpotent-by-finite.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any group  $G$  and for any positive integer  $n$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is polycyclic;
- (ii)  $G$  is finitely generated, soluble, and nilpotent-by-finite;
- (iii)  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is finitely generated, soluble, and nilpotent-by-finite.

An immediate consequence of this theorem is the following.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group such that  $\overline{G}[x]$  is polycyclic; then so is  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for any positive integer  $n$ .*

Theorem 1.1 shows in particular that if  $G$  is polycyclic, then  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is not necessarily polycyclic. The next theorem characterizes in terms of polynomial functions the case where  $G$  is polycyclic. Before to state this result, introduce a subset of polynomial functions: we denote by  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the set of polynomial functions which send the  $n$ -tuple  $(1, \dots, 1)$  to 1. This set is obviously a normal subgroup of  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . More precisely, it is easy to see that  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is the (internal) semidirect product of  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and the subgroup of constant polynomial functions (isomorphic to  $G$ ). We can now state our result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then  $G$  is a polycyclic group if and only if  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated soluble group.*

Notice that in this theorem, it is necessary to assume that  $G$  is finitely generated: for example, if  $G$  is an abelian group which is not finitely generated, then  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is finitely generated and abelian but  $G$  is not polycyclic.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Consider a group  $G$  and an element  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in G^n$ . The map  $\phi_v : \overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\phi_v(f) = f(v)$  (for all  $f \in \overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ) is clearly a homomorphism. Moreover, the intersection  $\bigcap_{v \in G^n} \ker \phi_v$  is trivial. It follows that any law of  $G$  is also a law for  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Conversely, since  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  contains a copy of  $G$  (the subgroup of constant polynomial functions), each law of  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a law for  $G$ . Thus we can state:

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any group  $G$  and for any positive integer  $n$ , the variety generated by  $G$  coincide with the variety generated by  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (that is,  $G$  and  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  have the same set of laws).*

Now we introduce some notations used in the statement of the next lemma. Let  $a, b$  be elements of a group  $G$ . As usual,  $[a, {}_k b]$  is defined for each integer  $k \geq 0$  by  $[a, {}_0 b] = a$  and  $[a, {}_{k+1} b] = [[a, {}_k b], b]$  (where  $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ ). We shall write  $\langle a^{(a,b)} \rangle$  for the normal closure of  $a$  in the subgroup generated by  $a$  and  $b$  and  $\langle a^{(a,b)} \rangle'$  for its derived subgroup. Suppose that there exists a relation of the form

$$w(a, b)[a, {}_r b]^{e_0}[a, {}_{r+1} b]^{e_1} \dots [a, {}_{r+s} b]^{e_s} = 1$$

with  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_s \in \mathbb{Z}$  ( $e_0, e_s \neq 0$ ) and  $w(a, b) \in \langle a^{(a,b)} \rangle'$ . We denote by  $\Omega_\star(a, b)$  (respectively  $\Omega^\star(a, b)$ ) the least integer  $|e_0|$  (respectively  $|e_s|$ ) with this property. If  $a$  and  $b$  do not satisfy a relation of the previous form, we set  $\Omega_\star(a, b) = \Omega^\star(a, b) = +\infty$ . In this way,  $\Omega_\star$  and  $\Omega^\star$  are two functions from  $G^2$  to the set  $\mathbb{N}^\star \cup \{+\infty\}$ . We proved in [3] the following result:

**Lemma 2.2.** [3, Corollary 1] *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated soluble group. Then  $G$  is nilpotent-by-finite if and only if for any  $a, b \in G$ ,  $\Omega^\star(a, b) = 1$  and the sequence  $(\Omega_\star(a, b^k))_{k>0}$  is bounded.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Consider a group  $G$  such that  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is polycyclic for some positive integer  $n$ . Actually, since plainly  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  contains a copy of  $\overline{G}[x]$ , we can assume that  $n = 1$ . First remark that  $G$  is a finitely generated soluble group for  $\overline{G}[x]$  contains a copy of  $G$ . It remains to prove that  $G$  is nilpotent-by-finite. Let  $a$  be an element in  $G$ . Consider the subgroup  $H \leq \overline{G}[x]$  generated by the polynomial functions  $f_k : t \rightarrow [a, {}_k t]$ , for all positive integers  $k$ . In fact, there exists an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  generates  $H$  for  $\overline{G}[x]$  is polycyclic. In particular, we can write  $f_{m+1}$  as a product of factors of the form  $f_j^{\epsilon_j}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\epsilon_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). From this writing, we deduce a relation of the form

$$f_{m+1} = w(a, x)f_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots f_m^{\epsilon_m} \quad (\epsilon_j \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $w(a, x)$  belongs to the derived subgroup of  $H$ . It follows in  $G$  the equality

$$[a, {}_{m+1} b] = w(a, b)[a, b]^{\epsilon_1}[a, {}_2 b]^{\epsilon_2} \dots [a, {}_m b]^{\epsilon_m} \quad (\text{for all } b \in G),$$

with  $w(a, b) \in \langle a^{(a,b)} \rangle'$ . Notice that this relation is independent of  $b$ . Hence  $\Omega^*(a, b) = 1$  and the sequence  $(\Omega_*(a, b^k))_{k>0}$  is bounded. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2,  $G$  is nilpotent-by-finite.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Now suppose that the group  $G$  is finitely generated, soluble and nilpotent-by-finite. More precisely, suppose that  $G$  is (nilpotent of class  $\nu$ )-by-(exponent  $\epsilon$ ), soluble of derived length  $\rho$ , and generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_d$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1,  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is also (nilpotent of class  $\nu$ )-by-(exponent  $\epsilon$ ) and soluble of derived length  $\rho$ . Besides,  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is finitely generated: it is plain that this group is generated by the  $d$  constant functions  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow g_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, d$ ) and by the  $n$  functions  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow t_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). This last implication is an immediate consequence of well known results.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of a finitely generated abelian group  $A$  (written additively). Then, by a result of Cohen [2], one can find a monic polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$  with constant term 1 such that  $P(\varphi) = 0$  (see also [4, Theorem 2]). If  $P = T^\lambda + \epsilon_{\lambda-1}T^{\lambda-1} + \dots + \epsilon_2T^2 + \epsilon_1T + 1$ , we can state this result with the multiplicative notation under the form:

**Lemma 3.1.** [2] *Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of a finitely generated abelian group  $A$  (written multiplicatively). Then there exist a positive integer  $\lambda$  and integers  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{\lambda-1}$  such that*

$$\varphi^\lambda(a)\varphi^{\lambda-1}(a)^{\epsilon_{\lambda-1}} \dots \varphi^2(a)^{\epsilon_2}\varphi(a)^{\epsilon_1}a = 1$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

The next lemma is an extension of Lemma 3.1 to polycyclic groups.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of a polycyclic group  $G$ . Then there exist positive integers  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  (with  $\mu_i < \mu_k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ ) and integers  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{k-1}$  such that*

$$\varphi^{\mu_k}(t)\varphi^{\mu_{k-1}}(t)^{\eta_{k-1}} \dots \varphi^{\mu_2}(t)^{\eta_2}\varphi^{\mu_1}(t)^{\eta_1}t = 1$$

for all  $t \in G$ .

*Proof.* We argue by induction on the derived length  $\rho$  of  $G$ . For  $\rho = 1$ , the result is given by Lemma 3.1. Now consider the case  $\rho > 1$  and suppose that the result holds for  $\rho - 1$ . Put  $A = G^{(\rho-1)}$ . Since the subgroup  $A$  is characteristic,  $\varphi$  induces in  $G/A$  an automorphism. By the inductive hypothesis applied to  $G/A$  with this automorphism, there exist positive integers  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  ( $\mu_i < \mu_k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ ) and integers  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{k-1}$  such that

$$\varphi^{\mu_k}(t)\varphi^{\mu_{k-1}}(t)^{\eta_{k-1}} \dots \varphi^{\mu_2}(t)^{\eta_2}\varphi^{\mu_1}(t)^{\eta_1}t$$

belongs to  $A$  for all  $t \in G$ . Now notice that  $\varphi$  defines by restriction an automorphism in  $A$ . Apply Lemma 3.1 to  $A$  with this automorphism; thus there exist a positive integer  $\lambda$  and integers  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{\lambda-1}$  such that

$$\varphi^\lambda(a)\varphi^{\lambda-1}(a)^{\epsilon_{\lambda-1}} \dots \varphi^2(a)^{\epsilon_2}\varphi(a)^{\epsilon_1}a = 1$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Clearly, by taking

$$a = \varphi^{\mu_k}(t)\varphi^{\mu_{k-1}}(t)^{\eta_{k-1}} \dots \varphi^{\mu_2}(t)^{\eta_2}\varphi^{\mu_1}(t)^{\eta_1}t$$

in this relation (for any  $t \in G$ ), we obtain the required result.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of a polycyclic group  $G$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $\xi$  such that, for each integer  $\zeta \geq \xi$  (resp.  $\zeta \leq -\xi$ ), there exist a positive integer  $m$  and integers  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$  with  $0 \leq \xi_i < \xi$  (resp.  $-\xi < \xi_i \leq 0$ ) for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $\varphi^\zeta(t) = \varphi^{\xi_1}(t)^{\theta_1} \dots \varphi^{\xi_m}(t)^{\theta_m}$  for all  $t \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\xi = \mu_k$ , where  $\mu_k$  is the integer defined in Lemma 3.2. If  $\zeta = \xi$ , Lemma 3.2 gives the required relation, namely

$$\varphi^\xi(t) = t^{-1}\varphi^{\mu_1}(t)^{-\eta_1} \dots \varphi^{\mu_{k-1}}(t)^{-\eta_{k-1}} \quad (\text{for all } t \in G).$$

By using this last relation, an easy induction prove the property for all  $\zeta \geq \xi$ . When  $\zeta \leq -\xi$ , the argument is similar, but one use the relation

$$\varphi^{-\xi}(t) = \varphi^{-\mu_k}(t) = \varphi^{\mu_1-\xi}(t)^{-\eta_1}\varphi^{\mu_2-\xi}(t)^{-\eta_2} \dots \varphi^{\mu_{k-1}-\xi}(t)^{-\eta_{k-1}}t^{-1},$$

which follows from Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

The proof of the next lemma will be omitted: the first part is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.3 and the second part follows from the first part by induction on  $d$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a polycyclic group. Then:*

(i) *If  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $G$ , there exists a positive integer  $\xi$  such that, for each  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exist a positive integer  $m$  and integers  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ , with  $|\xi_i| < \xi$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $\varphi^\zeta(t) = \varphi^{\xi_1}(t)^{\theta_1} \dots \varphi^{\xi_m}(t)^{\theta_m}$  for all  $t \in G$ ;*

(ii) *More generally, if  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$  are  $d$  automorphisms of  $G$ , there exists a positive integer  $\xi$  such that, for each  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there exist a positive integer  $m$  and integers  $\xi_{1,j}, \dots, \xi_{m,j}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, d$ ),  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ , with  $|\xi_{i,j}| < \xi$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , such that*

$$\varphi_1^{\zeta_1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_d^{\zeta_d}(t) = \left( \varphi_1^{\xi_{1,1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_d^{\xi_{1,d}}(t) \right)^{\theta_1} \dots \left( \varphi_1^{\xi_{m,1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_d^{\xi_{m,d}}(t) \right)^{\theta_m}$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

Finally, as a particular case, we obtain the following result, essential in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $b_1, \dots, b_d$  be  $d$  fixed elements of a polycyclic group  $G$  and let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $\overline{G}[x]$  generated by the polynomial functions (in one variable) of the form  $t \rightarrow b_d^{-\beta_d} \dots b_1^{-\beta_1} t b_1^{\beta_1} \dots b_d^{\beta_d}$ , with  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $\xi$  such that  $H$  is generated by the polynomial functions of the form*

$$t \rightarrow b_d^{-\beta_d} \dots b_1^{-\beta_1} t b_1^{\beta_1} \dots b_d^{\beta_d},$$

where  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  are integers such that  $|\beta_i| < \xi$  (in other words,  $H$  is finitely generated).

*Proof.* It suffices to apply Lemma 3.4(ii) in the case where  $\varphi_j$  is the inner automorphism of  $G$  defined by  $\varphi_j(t) = b_j^{-1} t b_j$ , with  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .  $\square$

We need again two easy lemmas:

**Lemma 3.6.** *In each polycyclic group, there exists a finite sequence  $b_1, \dots, b_d \in G$  such that any element  $a \in G$  may be written in the form  $a = b_1^{\beta_1} \dots b_d^{\beta_d}$ , where  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  are integers.*

*Proof.* The group  $G$  being polycyclic, it has a series

$$\{1\} = G_{d+1} \trianglelefteq G_d \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq G_2 \trianglelefteq G_1 = G$$

in which each factor  $G_j/G_{j+1}$  is cyclic. For  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , choose in each  $G_j$  an element  $b_j$  such that  $b_j G_{j+1}$  generates  $G_j/G_{j+1}$ . Then clearly the sequence  $b_1, \dots, b_d$  satisfies the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group in which the normal closure of each element is finitely generated and soluble. Then  $G$  is polycyclic.*

*Proof.* Clearly, a finitely generated group  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$  such that the normal closure of each generator  $g_j$  is soluble is soluble itself. Thus  $G$  is soluble. Now suppose that  $G$  is not polycyclic. Since a polycyclic group is finitely presented, we can assume that each proper homomorphic image of  $G$  is polycyclic (see for example [6, Part 2, Lemma 6.17]). The group  $G$  being soluble, it contains a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup; let  $A$  be the normal closure of a non-trivial element of this subgroup. Then  $G/A$  is polycyclic. Furthermore,  $A$  is abelian and finitely generated by hypothesis; thus  $A$  is polycyclic. It follows that  $G$  is polycyclic, a contradiction.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* First suppose that  $G$  is a polycyclic group. Since  $G$  is soluble, Lemma 2.1 shows that  $\overline{G}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is soluble too; thus so is the subgroup  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For the second part of the property, we begin with the case  $n = 1$ ; thus we want prove that  $\overline{G}_1[x]$  is finitely generated. It is not difficult to see that this group is generated by the functions of the form  $t \rightarrow a^{-1}ta$ , with  $a \in G$ . By Lemma 3.6, there exist elements  $b_1, \dots, b_d \in G$  depending only on  $G$  such that each  $a \in G$  may be written in the form  $a = b_1^{\beta_1} \dots b_d^{\beta_d}$ . Therefore  $\overline{G}_1[x]$  is generated by the functions of the form  $t \rightarrow b_d^{-\beta_d} \dots b_1^{-\beta_1} t b_1^{\beta_1} \dots b_d^{\beta_d}$  ( $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). We can deduce then from Lemma 3.5 that the group  $\overline{G}_1[x]$  is finitely generated.

Now suppose that  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer. For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , one can define a monomorphism  $\Psi_i : \overline{G}_1[x] \rightarrow \overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in the following way: if  $f \in \overline{G}_1[x]$ , then  $\Psi_i(f)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_i)$  for all  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in G^n$ . Therefore each subgroup  $\Psi_i(\overline{G}_1[x])$  is isomorphic to  $\overline{G}_1[x]$  and so is finitely generated. Now remark that  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is generated by the functions of the form  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow a^{-1}t_i a$  (with  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $a \in G$ ); furthermore, such a function belongs to  $\Psi_i(\overline{G}_1[x])$ .



Thus  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is generated by  $\Psi_1(\overline{G}_1[x]) \cup \dots \cup \Psi_n(\overline{G}_1[x])$  and so is finitely generated, as required.

Conversely, suppose now that  $\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated soluble group. Consider an element  $v = (a, 1, \dots, 1) \in G^n$ , where  $a$  is an element of  $G$ . The map  $\Phi_v : \overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\Phi_v(f) = f(v)$  is clearly a homomorphism. Moreover, it is easy to see that  $\Phi_v(\overline{G}_1[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  coincide with the normal closure of  $a$  in  $G$ . Thus the normal closure of each element in  $G$  is a finitely generated soluble subgroup. Since  $G$  is finitely generated by hypothesis, we can apply Lemma 3.7, and hence  $G$  is polycyclic. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baumslag, A. Myasnikov and V. Remeslennikov, Algebraic Geometry over Groups I. Algebraic Sets and Ideal Theory, *J. Algebra* **219** (1999) 16–79.
- [2] J. M. Cohen, Clarification to a result in “A Spectral Sequence Automorphisme Theorem...”, *Topology* **9**, (1970), 299–300.
- [3] G. Endimioni, A Characterization of Nilpotent-by-Finite Groups in the Class of Finitely Generated Soluble Groups, *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1997) 1159–1168.
- [4] P. Hilton and J. Roitberg, On Pseudo-Identities, I, *Arch. Math.* **41** (1983) 204–214.
- [5] R. Lyndon and P. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).
- [6] D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1972).

C.M.I-UNIVERSITÉ DE PROVENCE, 39, RUE F. JOLIOT-CURIE, F-13453 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13

*E-mail address:* endimion@cmi.univ-mrs.fr