

Group Gradings on G_2 .

Y. A. Bahturin¹

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, NL, A1C5S7, Canada*

M. V. Tvalavadze²

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, NL, A1C5S7, Canada*

Abstract

In this paper we describe all group gradings by a finite abelian group Γ of a simple Lie algebra of type G_2 over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic 0.

Key words: Graded algebra, simple associative superalgebra, matrix algebra,

1 Introduction

In this paper we describe, up to an isomorphism, all group gradings on a simple Lie algebra L of the type G_2 over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero. It is well known (see, for example, [12]) that the elements of the support of the grading in the case of a simple Lie algebra must commute, and so one may always assume that the grading group Γ is finitely generated abelian. If Γ is torsion free then it is well-known that a Cartan subalgebra

Email addresses: yuri@math.mun.ca (Y. A. Bahturin), marina@math.mun.ca (M. V. Tvalavadze).

¹ Work is partially supported by NSERC grant 227060-04

² Work is partially supported by Atlantic Algebra Centre and NSERC grants

H of L is contained in the identity component of the grading. In this case any root space is Γ -graded so that any graded component is the sum of the root subspaces. On the other hand, gradings by finite cyclic groups have been completely described by Victor Kac [11](see also a very useful book [13]). As mentioned in [13], the so called *Jordan gradings* of G_2 have been determined in 1974 by A. Alekseevsky [1].

In the case of arbitrary gradings by abelian groups, an important reference is the paper [8] where the author determines the equivalence classes of abelian group gradings of the octonions. In this paper we use the realization of L as the Lie algebra of derivations of the split octonions [9] to obtain all possible gradings of G_2 by Γ when this group is *finite abelian*. An independent paper is [14] where the authors also produce a list of arbitrary gradings on G_2 . Both papers use [8], but in a rather different way, maybe thanks to a different approach to the definition of a grading in general.

2 Basic Facts and Notation

Given an algebra A over a field F , and a group Γ , we say that A is Γ -graded if $A = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$ where each A_γ is a vector subspace of A and $A_\gamma A_\delta \subset A_{\gamma\delta}$, for any $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$. A subspace (subalgebra, ideal) B of A is called *graded* if $B = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (B \cap A_\gamma)$. The set $\text{Supp } A = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid A_\gamma \neq \{0\}\}$ is called the *support* of the above grading. For any abelian group Γ we denote by $\widehat{\Gamma}$ the group of multiplicative characters of Γ , that is, the group homomorphisms $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow F^*$. If F is algebraically closed of characteristic zero and Γ is finite abelian, then Γ -gradings are completely determined by $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -actions in the sense that a subspace B of A is Γ -graded if and only if B is invariant under the action of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ by the automorphisms of A . The action in the case of a Γ -grading is defined by setting

$$\chi \circ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma) a_\gamma \text{ where } a_\gamma \in A_\gamma \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (1)$$

Conversely, if there is an action of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ by automorphisms, we set

$$A_\gamma = \{a \in A \mid \chi \circ a = \chi(\gamma)a \text{ for all } \chi \in \widehat{\Gamma}\}.$$

Note that the natural embedding of Γ in $\text{Aut } A$ defined by this action is injective if and only if Γ is generated by $\text{Supp } A$. We will always assume that this condition holds for our gradings.

Two Γ -gradings $A = \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} A_g$ and $A = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{A}_\gamma$ are called *isomorphic* if there exists an automorphism $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ and an automorphism $f : A \rightarrow A$ such that $f(A_\gamma) = \tilde{A}_{\sigma(\gamma)}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We recall that the split octonion algebra over a field F can be interpreted as the set of matrices

$$C = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & u \\ v & \beta \end{bmatrix} \middle| \alpha, \beta \in F, u, v \in F^3 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with the product

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & u \\ v & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' & u' \\ v' & \beta' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\alpha' - (u, v') & \alpha u' + \beta' u + v \times v' \\ \alpha' v + \beta v' + u \times u' & \beta\beta' - (v, u') \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where $u \times v$ is the standard cross product in F^3 , and (u, v) is the standard inner product (see [9]). The derivation algebra $L = \text{Der } C$ is the simple Lie

algebra of type G_2 . Let us set $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $u_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

and $v_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Here $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\}$ is the standard basis

in F^3 with the cross product given by $\varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_2 = -\varepsilon_2 \times \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3$, $\varepsilon_2 \times \varepsilon_3 = -\varepsilon_3 \times \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_3 \times \varepsilon_1 = -\varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_2$ and the inner product $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}$, the Kronecker delta. The basis $\{e_1, e_2, u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is called *standard*

with the multiplication table given by:

	e_1	e_2	u_1	u_2	u_3	v_1	v_2	v_3
e_1	e_1	0	u_1	u_2	u_3	0	0	0
e_2	0	e_2	0	0	0	v_1	v_2	v_3
u_1	0	u_1	0	v_3	$-v_2$	e_1	0	0
u_2	0	u_2	$-v_3$	0	v_1	0	e_1	0
u_3	0	u_3	v_2	$-v_1$	0	0	0	e_1
v_1	v_1	0	e_2	0	0	0	$-u_3$	u_2
v_2	v_2	0	0	e_2	0	u_3	0	$-u_1$
v_3	v_3	0	0	0	e_2	$-u_2$	u_1	0

Note that $1 = e_1 + e_2$ is the identity element in C .

A canonical basis of $L = \text{Der } C$ can then be given in the following way. We recall that for any $x, y \in C$ the mapping $D_{x,y} = [x_L, y_L] + [x_L, y_R] + [x_R, y_R]$, where x_L, x_R are the left and right multiplications by x in C , is a derivation of C called the *inner* derivation. It is well-known that all derivations of C are inner. If T is a traceless 3×3 -matrix then the mapping

$$d_T : \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & u \\ v & \beta \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & uT \\ -vT^t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

is also a derivation of C and the set of all such derivations forms a subalgebra S of L isomorphic to $\text{sl}(3)$. It is claimed in [9, p.143] that the derivations $D_{e_1,u}, D_{e_2,v}, d_T$, where $u \in U = \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, $v \in V = \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $T \in \text{sl}(3)$ span the whole of L . So we can graphically view L as the set of

4×4 -matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} T & {}^t u \\ v & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Now it is known from [9, p. 285] that any automorphism of L can be written in the form $D \rightarrow ADA^{-1}$ where A is an automorphism of C . Thus the following is true.

Proposition 1 *For any grading $L = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} L_\gamma$ there is exactly one grading*

$C = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} C_\gamma$ such that $L_\delta = L \cap (\text{End } C)_\delta$ for any $\delta \in \Gamma$. Here

$$(\text{End } C)_\delta = \{\varphi : C \rightarrow C \mid \text{such that } \varphi(C_\gamma) \subset C_{\delta\gamma} \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Two Γ -gradings of L are isomorphic if and only if there corresponding gradings of C are isomorphic.

Proof. It is obvious that if L_δ is defined in the way as claimed, then we obtain a Γ -grading on L .

Now let us assume that we have a Γ -grading on L . Then $\widehat{\Gamma}$ acts by the automorphisms $\bar{\chi}$ for each $\chi \in \widehat{\Gamma}$, defined by $\bar{\chi}(x) = \chi \circ x$ (see (1)). To each $\bar{\chi}$ there is an automorphism $A_\chi : C \rightarrow C$ such that $\bar{\chi}(D) = A_\chi D A_\chi^{-1}$. Such A_χ is defined uniquely because according to [9, p.43] there is a subspace C_0 in C of codimension 1, which is invariant under L . Now if A_χ induces a trivial mapping on L then by Schur's Lemma A_χ is scalar on C_0 with coefficient λ . Recall that C_0 is

the subspace of the octonions $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & u \\ v & \beta \end{bmatrix}$ with $\alpha + \beta = 0$. Now $A_\chi(u_1 u_2) = A_\chi(v_3)$,

$A_\chi(u_1)A_\chi(u_2) = A_\chi(v_3)$ implies $\lambda^2 = \lambda$. So we must have $\lambda = 1$. In this case the mapping $\chi \mapsto A_\chi \in \text{Aut } C$ is a group homomorphism. It follows that there is a grading by Γ on C . But $D \in L_\gamma$ if and only if $\bar{\chi}(D) = \chi(\gamma)D$ for any $\chi \in \Gamma$. Now $\bar{\chi}(D) = A_\chi D A_\chi^{-1}$. If $z \in C_\gamma$ then $A_\chi(z) = \chi(\gamma)z$ for any $\chi \in \widehat{\Gamma}$. Thus

$$A_\chi(D(z)) = (A_\chi D A_\chi^{-1})(A_\chi(z)) = \bar{\chi}(D)(A_\chi(z)) = \chi(\delta)\chi(\gamma)D(z) = \chi(\delta\gamma)D(z)$$

and so $D(z)$ has degree $\delta\gamma$.

Now if two Γ -gradings of C are isomorphic by means of an automorphism $A \in \text{Aut } C$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \Gamma$ the obviously the respective gradings of L are isomorphic by $f : D \mapsto ADA^{-1}$, $D \in L$, and the same σ . Actually, as shown just above, since an isomorphism f of L uniquely defines $A_f \in \text{Aut } C$, the converse is also true. \square

As a result, if we know all group gradings on the octonions, up to isomorphism, then using Proposition 1, we can completely describe all group gradings on L , up to isomorphism.

3 Gradings on octonions

In [8] the author obtains a full description of equivalence classes of gradings on C . In that paper two gradings $A = \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} A_g = \bigoplus_{h \in \Lambda} \tilde{A}_h$ by finite groups Γ and Λ of an algebra A are said to be *equivalent* if there is an automorphism φ of A such that for any $g \in \Gamma$ with $A_g \neq 0$ there is an $h \in \Lambda$ with $\varphi(A_g) = \tilde{A}_h$. It may happen that two equivalent gradings correspond to non-isomorphic groups Λ and Γ . In other words, the same decomposition as a direct sum of subspaces may give gradings by non-isomorphic groups.

In this paper we are mainly interested in the description of group gradings on G_2 up to an isomorphism. In order to apply Proposition 1, we need to know the description of all gradings on the octonions up to an isomorphism of this kind. The following restatement of Theorem 8 from [8] provides us with a full description of group gradings on C up to isomorphism.

Theorem 1 *Let Γ be a finite Abelian group, and $C = \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} C_g$ be a Γ -grading of the split octonion algebra C . Then, as a Γ -graded algebra, C is isomorphic to one of the following:*

Type 1. $C = C_e \oplus C_g \oplus C_{g^{-1}} \oplus C_h \oplus C_{gh} \oplus C_{g^{-1}h}$ where $h \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order 2, $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order > 2 , and all elements $\{e, g, g^{-1}, h, gh, g^{-1}h\}$ are different. Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2$, $C_g = Fu_1$, $C_{g^{-1}} = Fv_1$, $C_h = Fu_3 + Fv_3$, $C_{gh} = Fv_2$, $C_{g^{-1}h} = Fu_2$.

Type 2. $C = C_e \oplus C_g \oplus C_h \oplus C_{gh} \oplus C_{g^{-1}} \oplus C_{h^{-1}} \oplus C_{g^{-1}h^{-1}}$ where $g, h \in \Gamma$ are of order > 2 , and all elements $\{e, g, h, gh, g^{-1}, h^{-1}, g^{-1}h^{-1}\}$ are different. Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2$, $C_g = Fu_1$, $C_h = Fu_2$, $C_{gh} = Fv_3$, $C_{g^{-1}} = Fv_1$, $C_{h^{-1}} = Fv_2$, $C_{g^{-1}h^{-1}} = Fu_3$.

Type 3. $C = C_e \oplus C_h \oplus C_{h^{-1}} \oplus C_{h^2} \oplus C_{h^{-2}}$ where $h \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order > 4 . Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2$, $C_h = Fu_2 + Fu_3$, $C_{h^{-1}} = Fv_2 + Fv_3$, $C_{h^{-2}} = Fu_1$, $C_{h^2} = Fv_1$.

Type 4. $C = C_e \oplus C_g \oplus C_{g^{-1}}$ where $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order > 2 . Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2 + Fu_1 + Fv_1$, $C_g = Fu_2 + Fv_3$, $C_{g^{-1}} = Fu_3 + Fv_2$.

Type 5. $C = C_e \oplus C_g \oplus C_{g^{-1}}$ where $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order 3, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3$. Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2$, $C_g = Fu_1 + Fu_2 + Fu_3$, $C_{g^{-1}} =$

$$Fv_1 + Fv_2 + Fv_3.$$

Type 6. $C = C_e \oplus C_g \oplus C_{g^{-1}} \oplus C_{g^2}$ where $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order 4, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4$. Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2$, $C_g = Fu_1 + Fu_2$, $C_{g^{-1}} = Fv_1 + Fv_2$, $C_{g^2} = Fu_3 + Fv_3$.

Type 7. $C = C_e \oplus C_g$ where $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order 2, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2 + Fu_1 + Fv_1$, $C_g = Fu_2 + Fv_2 + Fu_3 + Fv_3$.

Type 8. $C = C_e \oplus C_g \oplus C_h \oplus C_{gh}$ where $g, h \in \Gamma$, $g \neq h$ are arbitrary elements of order 2, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, $C_e = Fe_1 + Fe_2$, $C_g = Fu_1 + Fv_1$, $C_h = Fu_2 + Fv_2$, $C_{gh} = Fu_3 + Fv_3$.

Type 9. $C = C_e \oplus C_h \oplus C_g \oplus C_k \oplus C_{gh} \oplus C_{hk} \oplus C_{gk} \oplus C_{hghk}$ where $g, h, k \in \Gamma$ are arbitrary elements of order 2, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, $C_e = F1$, $C_h = F(e_2 - e_1)$, $C_g = F(v_1 - u_1)$, $C_k = F(v_2 - u_2)$, $C_{gh} = F(u_1 + v_1)$, $C_{hk} = F(u_2 + v_2)$, $C_{gk} = F(u_3 + v_3)$, $C_{hghk} = F(v_3 - u_3)$.

Proof. In the case when Γ contains at least one element of order strictly greater than 2, the proof is completely the same as the proof of Theorem 8 from [8]. Therefore, we can assume that there are no elements in Γ of order greater than 2. According to [8], the following cases may occur.

Case 1. Let $\text{Supp } \Gamma$ be generated by one element of order 2. We denote this element by g . It follows from [8] that the grading of C by Γ takes the following form: $C_e = H$, a quaternion subalgebra, and $C_g = Hx$ where $x \in H^\perp$ such $n(x) \neq 0$ where n is the quadratic form on C (see [10]). Next we want to show that all such gradings are indeed isomorphic. Since $n(x) \neq 0$, normalizing x (if necessary), we can actually assume that $n(x) = -1$. Further, we choose a basis of H of the form $\{1, v, w, vw\}$ such that $v^2 = w^2 = 1$. Since $x \in H^\perp$, we have that $x \in (F1)^\perp$, and, as a consequence, $\bar{x} = -x$ and $x^2 = 1$. Moreover, for any $h \in H$, $n(x, h) = 0$ where $n(x, h) = n(x + h) - n(x) - n(h)$. Due to the relation $n(x) = x\bar{x}$, the latter is equivalent to $h\bar{x} = -x\bar{h}$. If t denote any element from the set $\{v, w, vw\}$, then $\bar{t} = -t$. Therefore, $tx = -xt$ whenever t is in $\{v, w, vw\}$. Since $C = H \oplus Hx$, we have that $\{1, v, w, vw, x, vx, wx, (vw)x\}$ is a basis of C . Next we look at the multiplication table of this basis. Namely,

$$(vx)(wx) = -(xv)(wx) = -x(vw)x = (vw)x^2 = vw,$$

$$(vx)(vx) = -(xv)(vx) = -x(vv)x = -1,$$

$$(wx)(wx) = -(xw)(wx) = -x(ww)x = -1,$$

$$((vw)x)(vx) = -(x(vw))(vx) = -x((vw)v)x = (vw)v = -w.$$

In the same way one can easily find all the remaining products. As a consequence, the multiplication table for this basis remains the same no matter what $x \in H^\perp$ with $n(x) \neq 0$ is initially fixed. Finally, if $C = H \oplus Hx = H' \oplus H'x'$ where H, H' are two quaternion subalgebras and $x \in H^\perp, x' \in H'^\perp$ with $n(x) \neq 0, n(x') \neq 0$, then we can fix bases defined above for both H and H' . These bases can be extended to the bases of C with the same multiplication tables. Clearly, the mapping that sends each element of the first basis to the corresponding element of the second basis is in fact a graded automorphism of C , therefore, the first grading is isomorphic to the second. In particular, any such grading is isomorphic to the grading of type 7.

Case 2. Let $\text{Supp } \Gamma$ be generated by two elements of order 2. We denote these elements by g and h , respectively. It follows from [8] that the grading of C by Γ takes the following form: $C_e = K$, a two-dimensional composition algebra, $C_g = Kx, C_h = Ky, C_{gh} = K(xy)$ where $x \in K^\perp, y \in (K + Kx)^\perp$ with $n(x) \neq 0, n(y) \neq 0$. Using the same strategy as in case 1, we can fix a basis of K of the form: $\{1, v\}$ where $v^2 = 1$, and, then, extend this basis to a basis of C as follows: $\{1, v, x, vx, y, vy, xy, (vx)y\}$. For the same reason as above, the multiplication table of this basis does not depend on the choice of x and y . Any two gradings in this case are isomorphic, and, in particular, can be reduced to the grading of type 8.

Case 3. Let $\text{Supp } \Gamma$ be generated by three elements of order 2. We denote these elements by g, h and k , respectively. It follows from [8] that the grading of C by Γ takes the following form: $C_e = F1, C_h = Fx, C_g = Fy, C_k = Fz, C_{gh} = F(xy), C_{hk} = F(xz), C_{gk} = F(yz), C_{hghk} = F(xy)z$ where $x \in (F1)^\perp, y \in (F1 + Fx)^\perp$ and $z \in (F1 + Fx + Fy + Fxy)^\perp$ with $n(x) \neq 0, n(y) \neq 0$ and $n(z) \neq 0$. In the same way as above, we can show that the multiplication table that corresponds to the basis of C of the form: $\{1, x, y, z, xy, yz, xz, (xy)z\}$ does not depend on the choice of x, y and z . Any two gradings in this case are also isomorphic, and, in particular, can be reduced to the grading of type 9. The proof is complete. \square

4 Elementary Gradings of G_2

Almost all gradings of C , as described in Theorem 1, are such that the subspace $\text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ is in the identity component. In all these cases the subspaces U and V of C are also graded. Actually, each u_i, v_j is homogeneous and

$\deg u_i = (\deg v_i)^{-1}$, for any $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, obviously, the subspaces $D_{e_1, U}$ and $D_{e_2, V}$ are graded in a compatible way, that is, say, $\deg D_{e_1, u} = \deg u$ for u homogeneous. Let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ be the tuple of degrees of elements u_1, u_2, u_3 . Then one can easily check that $d_{E_{ij}} \in L_{\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j}$, for all $i \neq j$ and $d_T \in L_e$ if T is diagonal. So we have a grading on $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ isomorphic to a restriction of an elementary grading of $M_3 \cong U \otimes U^*$ defined by the 3-tuple $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ (see [2]). So the tuple $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ completely defines the Γ -grading of L in the case of elementary gradings. Based on Theorem 1, we are able to list all gradings on L induced by Γ -gradings on the octonions of Types 1-8.

4.1 Gradings of Type 1

In this case $U_g = Fu_1$, $U_{g^{-1}h} = Fu_2$, $U_h = Fu_3$. Thus we have an elementary Γ -grading on L given by the tuple $(g, g^{-1}h, h)$, where $h \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order 2, $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order > 2 , and all elements $\{e, g, g^{-1}, h, gh, g^{-1}h\}$ are different.

4.2 Gradings of Type 2

In this case, $U_g = Fu_1$, $U_h = Fu_2$, $U_{g^{-1}h^{-1}} = Fu_3$. Then the corresponding elementary grading on L is given by the tuple $(g, h, g^{-1}h^{-1})$, where $g, h \in \Gamma$ are of order > 2 , and all elements $\{e, g, h, gh, g^{-1}, h^{-1}, g^{-1}h^{-1}\}$ are different.

4.3 Gradings of Type 3

We have that $U_h = Fu_2 + Fu_3$, $U_{h^{-2}} = Fu_1$. Then an elementary Γ -grading on L is defined by (h^{-2}, h, h) , where $h \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order > 4 .

4.4 Gradings of Type 4

In this case, $U_e = Fu_1$, $U_g = Fu_2$, $U_{g^{-1}} = Fu_3$. This induces an elementary Γ -grading defined by the tuple (e, g, g^{-1}) , where $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order > 2 .

4.5 Gradings of Type 5

In this case, $U_g = Fu_1 + Fu_2 + Fu_3$. This induces an elementary grading defined by the tuple (g, g, g) , where $g \in \Gamma$ is an arbitrary element of order 3.

4.6 Gradings of Type 6

We have that $U_g = Fu_1 + Fu_2$, $U_{g^2} = Fu_3$. Then an elementary Γ -grading on L is given by (g, g, g^2) , where $g \in G$ is an arbitrary element of order 4.

4.7 Gradings of Type 7

Here, $U_e = Fu_1$, $U_g = Fu_2 + Fu_3$. Then, an elementary Γ -grading on L is given by (e, g, g) , where $g \in G$ is an arbitrary element of order 2.

4.8 Gradings of Type 8

In this case, $U_g = Fu_1$, $U_h = Fu_2$, $U_{gh} = Fu_3$. An elementary Γ -grading on L is given by (g, h, gh) , where $g, h \in \Gamma$, $g \neq h$ are arbitrary elements of order 2.

5 Non-elementary gradings of G_2

In the case of a Γ -grading of the Type 9, the grading on C is obtained by applying the ‘‘Cayley - Dickson’’ process to the composition algebra F . As a result, up to isomorphism, any grading is isomorphic to the following:

5.1 Gradings of Type 9

For this grading all components of C are one-dimensional. None of U , V , or $S \cong \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ are Γ -graded. An immediate verification says that $L_e = \{0\}$ and the other components of the Γ -grading of L are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_h &= \text{Span} \{d_{E_{11}-E_{22}}, d_{E_{11}-E_{33}}\}, \\
L_g &= \text{Span} \{-D_{e_1, u_1} + D_{e_2, v_1}, d_{E_{23}-E_{32}}\}, \\
L_k &= \text{Span} \{-D_{e_1, u_2} + D_{e_2, v_2}, d_{E_{13}-E_{31}}\}, \\
L_{hg} &= \text{Span} \{D_{e_1, u_1} + D_{e_2, v_1}, d_{E_{23}+E_{32}}\}, \\
L_{hk} &= \text{Span} \{D_{e_1, u_2} + D_{e_2, v_2}, d_{E_{13}+E_{31}}\}, \\
L_{gk} &= \text{Span} \{D_{e_1, u_3} + D_{e_2, v_3}, d_{E_{12}+E_{21}}\}, \\
L_{h g k} &= \text{Span} \{-D_{e_1, u_3} + D_{e_2, v_3}, d_{E_{12}-E_{21}}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 *Let Γ be a finite abelian group. Any grading by Γ on a simple Lie algebra L of type G_2 is isomorphic to one of the gradings of the types 1 to 9. Any two gradings belonging to different types 1 to 9 are not isomorphic.*

We conclude with a couple of remarks.

Remark 1 *Depending on particular values of the elements of Γ satisfying the conditions in the definition of gradings of types 1 to 8 the number and the dimensions of homogeneous components can be different. This explains why the list in [14] is longer than ours. If an elementary grading is given by a tuple $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ then $\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j \in \text{Supp } L$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Some of these elements can be equal, which may reduce the number of homogeneous components while increasing their dimensions. But all these gradings are obtained by a clear uniform procedure, so we decided to list them as a single item.*

Remark 2 *If Γ is a finite abelian group then a Γ -symmetric space is a homogeneous space of the form G/H where G is a simply connected Lie group with Γ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut } G$ such that $(G^\Gamma)_1 \subset H \subset G^\Gamma$. Here G^Γ is the set of fixed points of G under the action of Γ and $(G^\Gamma)_1$ the connected component of the identity element of G^Γ . Any such structure leads to the grading of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G by (a group dual to) Γ . The converse is also true. Thus, for the classification of such spaces we need to know the structure of gradings on \mathfrak{g} by a particular group Γ , the point we adopt in this paper.*

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Professor Efim Zelmanov for his interest in this research and valuable advice. The first author thanks Department of Mathematics of University of California - San Diego for hospitality during his visits as a guest of Professor Efim Zelmanov in 2004 and 2006. The second authors thanks Atlantic Algebra Centre for supporting her research in 2006/07 academic year.

References

- [1] Alekseevskij, A.V., *On finite commutative Jordan subgroups of simple complex Lie algebras*, *Functs. Anal. Prilozh.* **8**(1969), 1 - 4.
- [2] Bahturin, Y., S. Sehgal, M. Zaicev, *Group gradings on associative algebras*, *J. Algebra*, **241** (2001), 677-698.
- [3] Y. Bahturin, I. Shestakov, M. Zaicev, *Gradings on simple Jordan and Lie algebras*, *J. Algebra*, **283** (2005), 849-868.
- [4] Y. Bahturin, M. Zaicev, *Involutions of group graded matrix algebras*, to appear in *J. Algebra*.
- [5] Y. Bahturin, M. Zaicev, *Graded algebras and graded identities*, *Polynomial Identities and Combinatorial Methods* (Pantelleria, 2001), 101 – 139, *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* **235**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2003.
- [6] Y. Bahturin, M. Zaicev, *Group gradings on matrix algebras*, *Canad. Math. Bulletin*, **45**(2002), 499 - 508.
- [7] Y Bahturin, M. Zaicev, *Gradings on Simple Lie Algebras of Type “A”*, To appear in *J. Lie Theory*.
- [8] Elduque, A., *Gradings on octonions*, *J. Algebra*, **207** (1998), 342 - 354.
- [9] Jacobson, N., *LIE ALGEBRAS*, John Wiley and Sons, New York - London, 1962.
- [10] Jacobson, N., *STRUCTURE AND REPRESENTATIONS OF JORDAN ALGEBRAS*, AMS, 1968.
- [11] Kac, V.G., *Automorphisms of finite order of semisimple Lie algebras*, *Functs. Anal. Prilozh.* **3**(1969), 94 - 96.
- [12] Patera J., Zassenhaus, H., Patera, Jiri; Zassanhaus, Hans, *On Lie gradings, I*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **112** (1989), 87 - 159.
- [13] Vinberg, E.B., Onishchik A.L., *LIE ALGEBRAS*, JOHN WILEY AND SONS, New York - London, 1962.
- [14] Draper, C., Martin, C., *Gradings on \mathfrak{g}_2* , *Linear Algebra and Applications*, **411** (2006), 85 - 111.