

Kolyvagin systems of Stark units

KÂZIM BÜYÜKBODUK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct, using Stark elements of Rubin [8], Kolyvagin systems for certain *modified* Selmer structures (that are adjusted to have core rank *one* in the sense of [4]) and prove a Gras-type conjecture, relating these Kolyvagin systems to appropriate ideal class groups, refining the results of [7] (in a sense we explain below), and of [5, 9] applied to our setting.

INTRODUCTION

B. Howard, B. Mazur and K. Rubin show in [4] that the existence of Kolyvagin systems relies on a cohomological invariant, what they call the Selmer core rank. When the Selmer core rank is one, they determine the structure of the Selmer group completely in terms of a Kolyvagin system. However, when the Selmer core rank is greater than one, not much could be said. In fact, one does not expect a similar result for the structure of the Selmer group in general, as a reflection of the fact that Bloch-Kato conjectures do not in general predict the existence of special elements, but a regulator, to compute the relevant L -values.

An example of a core rank greater than one situation arises if one attempts to utilize the Euler system that would come from the Stark elements (whose existence were predicted by K. Rubin [8]). This is what we study in this paper.

Rubin was first to study the Euler system of Stark units in [7]. He proved a Gras-type formula for the χ -isotypic component of a certain ideal class group under certain assumptions on the character χ . These assumptions essentially ensured that the core Selmer rank of $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$, in the sense of Definitions 4.8 and 4.1.11 of [4], is *one*. We prove Theorem A, in the spirit of Gras conjectures and Rubin's prior results (Theorem 4.6 of [7]) for *all* even, non-trivial characters χ which are unramified at all primes of k above p . The setting in which

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R27, 11R29, 11R42.

Key words and phrases. Stark conjectures, Euler systems, Kolyvagin systems.

Rubin [7] places himself is disjoint from ours, however, from the perspective of Kolyvagin system theory Rubin deals with the core Selmer rank *one* situation, whereas in our setting core Selmer rank could be (and in general is) greater than *one*. In that sense, our results may be regarded as a refinement of the results of [7].

Before describing our result in detail, we first set some notation. Fix a totally real number field k of degree r over \mathbb{Q} , an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k , and a rational prime $p \neq 2$. Let χ be a character of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ into \mathbb{Z}_p^\times which is trivial on all complex conjugations inside $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ (we say that χ is *even*) and which is unramified at all primes above p . We also assume that χ has finite prime-to- p order, and let L be the fixed field of $\ker(\chi)$ inside \bar{k} . Fix also a finite set S of places of k that does *not* contain p , but contains all infinite places S_∞ , all places λ that divide the conductor f_χ of χ . Assume also that S contains at least $r+1$ places, only r of which (namely the infinite places) split completely in L/k . We also assume Leopoldt's conjecture (which we often abbreviate as **LC**) for the number field L .

Theorem (A). $|A_L^\chi| \leq [\wedge^r(O_L^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^\chi]$, where A_L^χ is the p -part of the χ -isotypic part of the ideal class group of L , and ε_L^χ is a Stark element (as in §2.1 below) whose existence is predicted in [8].

We note that ε_L^χ is the χ -part of the Stark element of Rubin [8]. Its definition depends on the choice of two disjoint, non-empty finite sets S and T of places of L (see [8] Conjecture B'). Under the assumptions above on the set S , it is guaranteed that the order of vanishing of the modified L -function $L_{S,T}(s, \chi)$ (cf. [8] §1.1 for a definition of the modified L -function) at $s = 0$ is r and thus, by the defining property of Rubin's Stark element $\varepsilon_L^\chi \neq 0$. Although the definition of the element ε_L^χ depends on the finite sets S and T , the truth of the statement of Theorem (A) does not as long as S satisfies the hypotheses above. That's why we fix once and for all such S and drop S from the notation wherever it is convenient.

The proof of Theorem (A) has three steps: We first construct in Section 1 Selmer structures which we denote by $(T, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L})$ modifying the local conditions for the classical Selmer structure (T, \mathcal{F}_{cl}) at p . We prove that (Proposition 1.8) Selmer core rank of each $(T, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L})$ is *one*.

This means (by Theorem 5.2.10 of [4]) the \mathbb{Z}_p -module $\mathbf{KS}(T, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L})$ of Kolyvagin systems for $(T, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L})$ is free of rank *one*. The second step is to construct these Kolyvagin systems using Stark elements of Rubin [8].

Once we have these Kolyvagin systems constructed, we apply the Kolyvagin system machinery to obtain bounds for the dual (modified)

Selmer groups. In Section 3 we compute these bounds quite explicitly in terms of the Stark elements, then compare the modified (dual) Selmer group to the classical (dual) Selmer group and thus obtain the desired bound on the classical (dual) Selmer group. We note that (Proposition 1.2) the classical (dual) Selmer group is exactly the relevant part of the ideal class group.

In the very last section, we prove that above inequality is an equality using an analytic class number formula. We still assume **LC** for L . Again the assumptions (and remarks) above for the set S are in effect; and how T should be chosen to guarantee this equality is explained in §2.1.

Theorem (B). $|A_L^\chi| = [\wedge^r(O_L^\times)^\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^\chi]$

One could of course start with Stark elements of Solomon for the values of Twisted-zeta functions at $s = 1$ to construct the Kolyvagin systems we need, instead of Rubin’s Stark elements. In fact, almost tautologically, one would obtain identical results to what we prove in this paper; by comparing Solomon’s Stark elements to Rubin’s as in [11] Remark 5.3. Possibly more interesting approach would be to start with Solomon’s p -adic Stark conjectures [10]. The author hopes to check in the near future that the “ p -adic Stark elements” (i.e. the solutions to p -adic Stark conjectures) and the techniques employed in this paper could be used to prove identical results, and also to deduce a relation between “complex” and “ p -adic” Stark conjectures using the rigidity of Kolyvagin systems.

We also remark that Popescu [6] has proved Gras-type conjectures (for arbitrary order of vanishing) for function fields of characteristic p using different techniques than ours.

It’s a pleasure to thank Karl Rubin for his guidance, encouragement and patience. We also thank Christian Popescu and David Solomon for helpful conversations and their valuable remarks. We also thank the anonymous referee for many corrections and suggestions to improve the exposition.

1. MODIFIED SELMER STRUCTURES FOR $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$

Fix once and for all a totally real number field k of degree r over \mathbb{Q} , an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k , and a rational prime $p \neq 2$. Let χ be an *even* character of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ (*i.e.* it is trivial on all complex conjugations inside $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$) into \mathbb{Z}_p^\times that has finite order, and let L be the fixed field of $\ker(\chi)$ inside \bar{k} . We define f_χ to be the conductor of χ , and Δ to be the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ of the extension L/k .

For any abelian group A , A^\wedge will always denote its p -adic completion. Define also A^χ to be the χ -isotypic component of A^\wedge .

1.1. Selmer groups for T . Let T be the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$.

One may identify $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ with

$$L^{\times, \wedge} := \varprojlim_n L^\times / (L^\times)^{p^n}$$

using Kummer theory. For any rational prime ℓ , define

$$H^1(L_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) := \bigoplus_{v|\ell} H^1(L_v, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$$

the semi-local Galois cohomology group at ℓ , which similarly may be identified by $L_\ell^{\times, \wedge}$ where $L_\ell = L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Denote by $U_{L, \ell}$ the (p -adic completion of the) local units inside $L_\ell^{\times, \wedge}$. Then the classical Selmer structure \mathcal{F}_{cl} (see Definition 2.1.1 of [4] for the definition of a *Selmer structure*) on $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ is defined by the local conditions determined by $\{U_{L, \ell} \subset (L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\times, \wedge}\}$.

Likewise,

$$H^1(k, T) = H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}) = (H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \otimes \chi^{-1})^\Delta = (L^\times)^\chi$$

If we define $H^1(k_\ell, T)$ analogously, one easily observes that we may identify this semi-local cohomology group with $(L_\ell^\times)^\chi$. We similarly define the classical Selmer structure \mathcal{F}_{cl} on T , by the local conditions determined by $\{U_{L, \ell}^\chi \subset [(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times]^\chi\}$.

For brevity we denote $U_{L, p}$ by V_L . Since Δ has order prime to p , $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ is semi-simple hence it follows that V_L is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module of rank $r = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let \mathcal{L} be a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -submodule V_L of rank *one*, such that the quotient V_L/\mathcal{L} is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module (of rank $r - 1$). Then the χ -isotypic component \mathcal{L}^χ is free of rank *one* over \mathbb{Z}_p . Since taking χ -components is exact, it also follows that $V_L^\chi/\mathcal{L}^\chi$ is free of rank $r - 1$ over \mathbb{Z}_p .

We are now ready to define the modified Selmer structures $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}, T)$ for T , for each choice of \mathcal{L} . Let

- $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_{\ell}, T) := U_{L, \ell}^{\chi} \subset [(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\times, \wedge}]^{\chi} = H^1(k_{\ell}, T)$, if $\ell \neq p$,
- $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_p, T) := \mathcal{L}^{\chi} \subset U_{L, p}^{\chi} \subset [(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\times, \wedge}]^{\chi} = H^1(k_p, T)$.

Namely, we shrink the local conditions that defined the classical Selmer structure (T, \mathcal{F}_{cl}) at p down to the \mathbb{Z}_p -line \mathcal{L}^{χ} and do nothing for the choices at $\ell \neq p$ to obtain $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$.

We define the Selmer group for the local conditions $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{cl}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ to be

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}^1(k, T) := \ker \left\{ H^1(k, T) \longrightarrow \prod_{\ell} H^1(k_{\ell}, T) / H_{\mathcal{F}}^1(k_{\ell}, T) \right\}$$

where the product runs over all rational primes ℓ .

1.2. Local Duality and Selmer groups for T^* . We now define the dual Selmer structure to $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ and the dual Selmer group. Later in this section, we will compute the classical and the modified Selmer groups explicitly and compare their sizes to each other.

Let

$$T^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)(1) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \chi$$

be the Cartier dual of T . For any prime λ of k , let $\langle, \rangle_{\lambda}$ denote the local Tate pairing

$$\langle, \rangle_{\lambda}: H^1(k_{\lambda}, T) \times H^1(k_{\lambda}, T^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

Definition 1.1. For each rational prime ℓ define $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_{\ell}, T^*)$ to be the orthogonal complement of $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_{\ell}, T)$ under the induced pairing. The Selmer structure $(T^*, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}^*)$ will be referred to as the dual Selmer structure to $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$.

Define similarly $(T^*, \mathcal{F}_{cl}^*)$, the dual Selmer structure to the classical Selmer structure on T .

For $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{cl}$, we *propagate* the Selmer structure (T, \mathcal{F}) (*resp.* (T^*, \mathcal{F}^*)) to $T/p^n T$ (*resp.* to $T^*[p^n]$) as follows:

Define $H_{\mathcal{F}}^1(k_{\ell}, T/p^n T)$ (*resp.* $H_{\mathcal{F}^*}^1(k_{\ell}, T^*[p^n])$) as the image (*resp.* inverse image) of $H_{\mathcal{F}}^1(k_{\ell}, T)$ (*resp.* $H_{\mathcal{F}^*}^1(k_{\ell}, T^*)$) under the maps induced by

$$T \twoheadrightarrow T/p^n T, \quad T^*[p^n] \hookrightarrow T^*$$

See also Example 1.1.2 of [4]. We still denote the Selmer structures on $T/p^n T$ (*resp.* on $T^*[p^n]$) obtained this way by $(T/p^n T, \mathcal{F})$ (*resp.* by $(T^*[p^n], \mathcal{F}^*)$) for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{cl}$.

Proposition 1.2. *For every positive integer n , we have a natural exact sequence*

- $0 \longrightarrow (O_L^\times / (O_L^\times)^{p^n})^\chi \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T/p^n T) \longrightarrow A_L^\chi[p^n] \longrightarrow 0$
and an isomorphism
- $H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}^*}^1(k, T^*[p^n]) \cong \text{Hom}(A_L^\chi, \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})$

where A_L is the ideal class group of L , and O_L is the ring of integers of L .

For a proof, see §2, Proposition 2.6 of [9], or §6.1 of [4].

It is easy to see that the classical Selmer structure (T, \mathcal{F}_{cl}) and the modified Selmer structure $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ satisfy the hypotheses H.0-H.5 and (by Lemma 3.7.1 of [4]) H.6 of [4] §3.5 (with base field \mathbb{Q} in their treatment replaced by k). Therefore, the existence of Kolyvagin systems for these Selmer structures will be decided by their *core Selmer ranks* (for a definition *cf.* Definitions 4.1.8 and 4.1.11 of [4]). Let $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F})$ denote the core Selmer ranks of the Selmer structures (T, \mathcal{F}) for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{cl}$ or for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Since the hypotheses H0-H5 and (by Lemma 3.7.1 of [4]) H6 hold, $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F})$ will be (as in Definition 5.2.4 of [4], using Theorem 4.1.3 of [4]) the common value of $\mathcal{X}(T/p^n T, \mathcal{F})$. Further, it will be quite explicitly given by:

Proposition 1.3 (compare to Theorem 5.2.5 of [4]).

$$\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}) = \max\{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H_{\mathcal{F}}^1(k, T)) - \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H_{\mathcal{F}^*}^1(k, T^*)), 0\}$$

In particular the Selmer core rank $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{cl})$ is $r = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. The first part is proved exactly in the same way as Theorem 5.2.5 of [4], which is only stated (and proved) when the base field is \mathbb{Q} . The second part follows now from the first part and Proposition 1.2, using the fact that $(O_L^\times)^\chi$ is free of rank r over \mathbb{Z}_p (*cf.* Proposition I.3.4 of [12]). \square

We now describe the modified Selmer groups explicitly. Recall our definition that $O_L^{\times, \wedge}$ is the p -adically completed group of units of L , and $(O_L^\times)^\chi$ is the χ -isotypic component of $O_L^{\times, \wedge}$. Recall also that V_L is (the p -adic completion of) the local units inside $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ and V_L^χ is the χ -isotypic component of V_L . We also recall that V_L is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module of rank r , therefore V_L^χ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank r .

Proposition 1.4. *Let \mathbb{J}_L denote the idèles of L . Then*

- (i) $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T) = \ker\{(O_L^\times)^\chi \xrightarrow{\iota} V_L^\chi / \mathcal{L}^\chi\}$, where ι is induced from the natural localization map $(O_L^\times)^\chi \rightarrow V_L^\chi$ (which will also be

denoted by ι later),

- (ii) $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{J}_L / \overline{L^\times (\prod_{v|\infty} L_v^\times \prod_{v \nmid p} U_v \mathcal{L})}, \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\chi^{-1}}$,
where U_v denotes the local units inside the completion L_v of L .

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and by Proposition 1.2, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \frac{H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k_p, T)}{H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_p, T)} \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T) & \longrightarrow & (O_L^\times)^\chi & \xrightarrow{\iota} & V_L^\chi / \mathcal{L}^\chi \end{array}$$

with exact rows. This proves (i).

(ii) also follows easily noting that $H^1(k, T^*) = \text{Hom}(G_L, \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\chi^{-1}}$ and by class field theory. \square

We will now compare the classical (dual) Selmer group (which turned out to be the χ -part of the ideal class group of L) to our modified (dual) Selmer groups.

Proposition 1.5. $H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T^*) \subset H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*)$ and

$$[H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*) : H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T^*)] = [V_L^\chi : \mathcal{L}^\chi \cdot \iota((O_L^\times)^\chi)].$$

Proof. By definitions of the Selmer groups $H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T)$ and $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T)$ (and the dual Selmer groups) there are exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T) \xrightarrow{\iota} \frac{H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k_p, T)}{H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_p, T)} = V_L^\chi / \mathcal{L}^\chi$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T^*) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \frac{H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_p, T^*)}{H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k_p, T^*)}$$

Further, by Poitou-Tate global duality, the image of ι and ι^* are orthogonal complements under the local Tate pairing (*cf.* Theorem 2.3.4 of [4] or Theorem 1.7.3(i) of [9]). It follows then that (see Theorem 1.7.3(ii) of [9])

$$H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*) / H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k, T^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\text{coker}(\iota), \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

By Proposition 1.2 it follows immediately that

$$\text{coker}(\iota) \cong V_L^\chi / \mathcal{L}^\chi \iota((O_L^\times)^\chi).$$

This proves that

$$[H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*) : H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}^*}^1(k, T^*)] = |\text{coker}(\iota)| = [V_L^{\times} : \mathcal{L}^{\times} \cdot \iota((O_L^{\times})^{\times})].$$

□

Remark 1.6. We note that the equality Proposition 1.5 still holds true even if one of the indices is infinite, in the sense that if one side is infinite, the other is too.

Remark 1.7. Assume in this paragraph that Leopoldt's conjecture holds for L . Note that

$$V_L^{\times} / \mathcal{L}^{\times} \iota((O_L^{\times})^{\times}) \cong V_L^{\times} / \iota((O_L^{\times})^{\times}) / \mathcal{L}^{\times} / \iota((O_L^{\times})^{\times}) \cap \mathcal{L}^{\times}$$

and thus, by Proposition 1.5

$$[H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*) : H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}^*}^1(k, T^*)] = \frac{[V_L^{\times} : \iota((O_L^{\times})^{\times})]}{[\mathcal{L}^{\times} : \iota((O_L^{\times})^{\times}) \cap \mathcal{L}^{\times}]}.$$

Note that both the numerator and the denominator are finite since we assumed Leopoldt's conjecture.

Proposition 1.8. *The Selmer structure $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ has Selmer core rank one (see 4.1.8 and 4.1.11 of [4] for a definition of Selmer core rank).*

Proof. By Proposition 1.6 of [13]

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{length}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T/p^n T)) - \text{length}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*[p^n])) = \\ & = \text{length}(H^0(k, T/p^n T)) - \text{length}(H^0(k, T^*[p^n])) \\ & - \sum_{\ell | f_{\mathcal{L}, p}} \{ \text{length}(H^0(k_{\ell}, T/p^n T) - \text{length}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_{\ell}, T/p^n T)) \} \end{aligned}$$

which is $p^n \mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$. Applying the same formula to (T, \mathcal{F}_{cl}) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} p^n (\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{cl}) - \mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \\ \text{length}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{cl}}^1(k_p, T/p^n T)) - \text{length}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k_p, T/p^n T)) \end{aligned}$$

and this equals $(r-1)p^n$. Since we already know that $\mathcal{X}(T, \mathcal{F}_{cl}) = r$ the Proposition follows.

□

Let $\mathbf{KS}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ denote the \mathbb{Z}_p -module of Kolyvagin systems for the Selmer structure $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$. See Definition 3.1.3 of [4] for a precise definition.

Corollary 1.9. $\mathbf{KS}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ is free of rank one as a \mathbb{Z}_p -module, generated by a Kolyvagin system $\kappa \in \mathbf{KS}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ whose image inside of $\mathbf{KS}(T/pT, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ is nonzero.

Proof. This is immediate after Proposition 1.8 and Theorem 5.2.10 of [4]. \square

2. KOLYVAGIN SYSTEMS OF STARK UNITS

In this section we review Rubin's integral refinement of Stark conjectures and construct Kolyvagin systems for the modified Selmer structures $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ using Stark elements of Rubin.

For the rest of the paper we assume this refined version of the Stark conjectures (Conjecture B' of [8]).

We first set some notation. Assume k, χ, f_{χ} and L are as above. For a cycle τ of the number field k let $k(\tau)$ be the maximal p -extension inside the ray class field of k modulo τ . Define $L(\tau)$ to be the composite of $k(\tau)$ and L . Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{L(\tau) : \tau \text{ is a (finite) cycle of } k \text{ prime to } f_{\chi}p\}$$

be a collection of abelian extensions of k , where $k(\tau)$, L , $L(\tau)$ and f_{χ} are defined above.

2.1. Stark units of Rubin and Euler systems. Fix a finite set S of places of k that does *not* contain any prime above p , but contains all infinite places S_{∞} and all places λ that divide the conductor f_{χ} of χ . Assume that $|S| \geq r + 1$. For each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ let $S_K = S \cup \{\text{places of } k \text{ at which } K \text{ is ramified}\}$ be another set of places of k . Let O_{K, S_K}^{\times} denote the S_K units of K , and Δ_K (*resp.* δ_K) denote $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ (*resp.* $|\text{Gal}(K/k)|$). Conjecture B' of [8] predicts the existence of certain elements¹

$$\varepsilon_{K, S_K} \in \Lambda_{K, S_K} \subset \frac{1}{\delta_K} \wedge^r O_{K, S_K}^{\times}$$

where Λ_{K, S_K} is defined in §2.1 of [8] and has the property that for any homomorphism

$$\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p[\Delta_K]}(\wedge^r O_{K, S_K}^{\times, \wedge} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, O_{K, S_K}^{\times, \wedge} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

¹In fact, Rubin's conjecture predicts that these elements should be inside $\frac{1}{\delta_K} \wedge^r O_{K, S_K, T}^{\times}$ where T is a finite set of primes disjoint from S_K chosen so that the group $O_{K, S_K, T}^{\times}$ of units which are congruent to 1 modulo all the primes in T is torsion-free. However, in our case any set T which contains a prime other than 2 will suffice (since all the fields that appear in our paper are totally real). Further, T may be chosen in a way that (e.g. $T = \{p\}$) the extra factors that appear in the definition of the modified *zeta function* for K (*c.f.* §1 of [8] for more detail on these zeta functions) will be prime to p , when they are evaluated at 0. We note that for such a chosen T , we have $O_{K, S_K, T}^{\times, \wedge} = O_{K, S_K}^{\times, \wedge}$, for example by the exact sequence (1) in [8]. Since in our paper we will work with the p -adic completion of the units, we will safely exclude T from our notation.

that is induced from a homomorphism

$$\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(\wedge^r O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}, O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge})$$

we have $\tilde{\psi}(\Lambda_{K,S_K}) \subset O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}$. We note that the r -th exterior power $\wedge^r O_{K,S_K}^{\times}$ (and other exterior powers which appear below) is taken in the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]$ -modules. The elements ε_{K,S_K} (which we call Stark elements) satisfy the distribution relation to be satisfied by an Euler system (Proposition 6.1 of [8]). We denote the image of ε_{K,S_K} inside the \mathbb{Z}_p -module $\frac{1}{\delta_K} \wedge^r O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}$ also by ε_{K,S_K} . Since S is fixed (therefore S_K , too), we will often drop S or S_K from notation and denote ε_{K,S_K} by ε_K , or sometimes use S instead of S_K and denote O_{K,S_K} by $O_{K,S}$.

As before, let V_K be the p -adic completion of the units in $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Any $\phi_K \in \wedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(V_K, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])$ induces a homomorphism, which we still denote by ϕ_K , inside $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(\wedge^r O_{K,S}^{\times,\wedge}, O_{K,S}^{\times,\wedge})$, as discussed in §1.2 and §6.3 of [8]. Let M be the composite of the fields inside \mathcal{K} , let

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]] := \varprojlim_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)]$$

denote the completed group ring of $\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)$ and

$$\mathbb{V} := \varprojlim_{K \in \mathcal{K}} V_K$$

We define

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]) := \varprojlim_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(V_K, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])$$

where the inverse limit is with respect to the natural maps induced from the inclusion map $V_K \hookrightarrow V_{K'}^{\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)}$ and the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_{K'}]^{\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K] \\ \mathbf{N}_K^{K'} &\longmapsto 1 \end{aligned}$$

for $K \subset K'$.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $K \in \mathcal{K}$ the projection map*

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(V_K, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}$. The quotient of the local units by the local 1-units has order prime to p , because it injects (under reduction) into the multiplicative group of the residue field(s). So the p -adic completion of the local units (which we have denoted by V_K) is the same as the

p -adic completion of the local 1-units. The rest of the proof follows at once from Corollary 6.5 of [8]. \square

Since S_K contains no primes above p , $O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}$ maps canonically to V_K via a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]$ -equivariant map. This induces a natural map

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{\leftarrow K \in \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(V_K, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]) \longrightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow K \in \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K])$$

The image of $\Phi \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]])$ under the above map will still be denoted by Φ , when there is no confusion.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\{\phi_K\} = \Phi \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]])$ and let*

$$\varepsilon_{K,S_K,\Phi} = \phi_K(\varepsilon_{K,S_K}) \in O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}$$

(Here we view ϕ_K as an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_K]}(\bigwedge^r O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge}, O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge})$ via (2.1) above and the map (4) (with $k = r-1$) of [8].) Then the collection $\{\varepsilon_{K,S_K,\Phi}\}_{K \in \mathcal{K}}$ is an Euler system for the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.1 of [9] (with condition (ii) replaced by (ii)'(b) in §9.1 of [9]).

Proof. This is Proposition 6.6 of [8]. We only remark that since $\varepsilon_{K,S_K} \in \Lambda_{K,S_K}$ it follows that $\varepsilon_{K,S_K,\Phi} \in O_{K,S_K}^{\times,\wedge} \subset K^{\times,\wedge}$ and Kummer theory identifies $K^{\times,\wedge}$ with $H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$. \square

2.2. Twisting by the character χ . Let χ be a character as defined in Section 1. In this section we will show how to twist the Euler systems we obtain the previous section by the character χ . However, one should notice that in Section 3 we will be using the Euler system for the twist by χ^{-1} .

Let ϵ_χ denote the idempotent $\frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \chi(\delta) \delta^{-1}$. For any finite cycle τ which is prime to pf_χ we define

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\chi &= \epsilon_\chi \varepsilon_{L(\tau),S} \in \frac{1}{|G_\tau|} \epsilon_\chi \bigwedge^r O_{L(\tau),S}^{\times,\wedge} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_\tau|} \bigwedge^r (O_{L(\tau),S}^\times)^{\chi} \end{aligned}$$

where $G_\tau := \mathrm{Gal}(k(\tau)/k)$, which is the p -part of $\Delta_\tau := \mathrm{Gal}(L(\tau)/k) \cong G_\tau \times \Delta$ (since $|\Delta|$ is prime to p , it follows that L and $k(\tau)$ are linearly disjoint over k). We also note that the equality on the second line above

$$(2.3) \quad (\bigwedge^r O_{L(\tau),S}^\times)^\chi = \epsilon_\chi \bigwedge^r O_{L(\tau),S}^{\times,\wedge} = \bigwedge^r \epsilon_\chi O_{L(\tau),S}^{\times,\wedge} = \bigwedge^r (O_{L(\tau),S}^\times)^\chi$$

holds simply because $\epsilon_\chi^r = \epsilon_\chi$ (we recall once again that the exterior products in (2.2) and (2.3) are taken in the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]$ -modules).

Lemma 2.3. *For any $\{\phi_K\} = \Phi \in \wedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]])$ we have*

$$\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^\chi := \phi_{L(\tau)}(\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\chi) \in (O_{L(\tau), S}^\times)^\chi$$

Proof. $\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\chi = \epsilon_\chi \varepsilon_{L(\tau), S}$, and by definition, $\phi_{L(\tau)}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]$ -equivariant, therefore

$$\phi_{L(\tau)}(\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\chi) = \epsilon_\chi \phi_{L(\tau)}(\varepsilon_{L(\tau), S}) \in \wedge^r (O_{L(\tau), S}^\times)^\chi$$

□

The natural inclusion

$$V_{L(\tau)}^\chi \hookrightarrow V_{L(\tau)}$$

induces a map

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi)$$

Lemma 2.4. *The map*

$$\Gamma : \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Using the decompositions

$$V_L = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \hat{\Delta}} V_L^\psi = V_L^\chi \oplus (V_L^\chi)^\perp$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau] = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \hat{\Delta}} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\psi = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi)^\perp$$

into $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]$ -modules the proposition follows at once. □

Remark 2.5. For each τ , fixing a basis $\{\epsilon_\chi^{L(\tau)}\}$, where

$$\epsilon_\chi^{L(\tau)} = \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \chi^{-1}(\delta) \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]$$

(we view $\epsilon_\chi^{L(\tau)}$ as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]$ using the decomposition $\Delta_\tau = G_\tau \times \Delta$) for the free-of-rank-one $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$ -module $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi$, one may identify $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi$ by $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$. Abusing this fact, we will allow ourselves to alternate between $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\chi$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$, mostly in favor of the latter.

Let

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times) := \varprojlim_{L(\tau) \in \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times)$$

The inverse limit is with respect to the natural maps above which we used to define $\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]])$.

Remark 2.6. Lemma 2.4 shows that the map

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]])) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times)$$

is surjective.

Proposition 2.7. *For each τ_0 , the projection*

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\tau_0}]}(V_{L(\tau_0)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_{\tau_0}]^\times)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Proof of this is identical to the proof of Proposition 2.1. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\{\phi_\tau\} = \Phi \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times)$, then the collection $\{\phi_\tau(\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\times)\}$ is an Euler system for $(T, f_{\chi p})$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.1 of [9] (with condition (ii) replaced by (ii)'(b) in §9.1 of [9]).*

Remark 2.9. We will often write $\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^\times$ for $\phi_\tau(\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\times)$. Note that

$$\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^\times \in (O_{L(\tau), S}^\times)^\times$$

and thus may also be viewed as an element of $H^1(k(\tau), T)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Phi}$ denote an element of $\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]))$ that lifts Φ . Such a $\tilde{\Phi}$ exists by Remark 2.6. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varepsilon_{L(\tau), S} & \in & \bigwedge^r \Lambda_{L(\tau), S} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_\tau} & O_{L(\tau), S}^{\times, \wedge} & \ni & \varepsilon_{L(\tau), S, \tilde{\Phi}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_\chi & & \downarrow \epsilon_\chi & & \downarrow \\ \varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^\times & \in & \bigwedge^r \Lambda_{L(\tau), S}^\times & \xrightarrow{\phi_\tau} & (O_{L(\tau), S}^\times)^\times & \ni & \varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^\times \end{array}$$

What this diagram essentially says is

$$\phi_\tau(\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^X) = \epsilon_\chi \varepsilon_{L(\tau), S, \tilde{\Phi}}^X (:= \varepsilon_{L(\tau), \tilde{\Phi}}^X)$$

for any lift $\tilde{\Phi}$ of Φ .

By Proposition 2.2 $\{\varepsilon_{F, S, \tilde{\Phi}}\}_{F \in \mathcal{K}}$ is an Euler system for $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$. This means, by Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 in §2 of [9], that $\{\epsilon_\chi \varepsilon_{L(\tau), S, \tilde{\Phi}}^X = \varepsilon_{L(\tau), \tilde{\Phi}}^X\}_\tau$ is an Euler system for $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$. But $\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \tilde{\Phi}}^X = \phi_\tau(\varepsilon_{L(\tau)}^X) = \varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X$ and the Proposition follows. \square

Definition 2.10. Let $\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X$ be as above. We call the collection $\{\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X\}_\tau$ the Euler system of Φ -Stark elements for $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$.

Remark 2.11. By definition ε_L^X belongs to $\frac{1}{|\Delta|} \wedge^r (O_{L, S}^\times)^X$, where $\Delta = \text{Gal}(L/k)$. Since $|\Delta|$ is prime to p , we have

$$\frac{1}{|\Delta|} \wedge^r (O_{L, S}^\times)^X = \wedge^r (O_{L, S}^\times)^X$$

hence

$$\varepsilon_L^X \in \wedge^r (O_{L, S}^\times)^X$$

Further let

$$\tilde{U}_{L, S} = \{u \in O_{L, S}^{\times, \wedge} : \epsilon_{\chi_0} u = 0 \text{ for the trivial character } \chi_0 \in \hat{\Delta}\}$$

be as in §6.3 of [8]. Note that $(O_{L, S}^\times)^X \subset \tilde{U}_{L, S}$ since χ is non-trivial. Also, the proof of Proposition 6.2 of [8] shows that

$$\tilde{U}_{L, S} \subset O_L^{\times, \wedge} : p\text{-adic completion of the global units,}$$

therefore

$$\varepsilon_L^X \in \wedge^r (O_L^\times)^X$$

2.3. Choosing the Homomorphisms Φ : Recall that M was defined to be the composite of all the fields that are inside the collection \mathcal{K} and

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]] := \lim_{\leftarrow K \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$$

as the completed group ring of $\text{Gal}(M/k)$. Recall also that M_0 is the fixed field M^Δ of Δ inside M .

Until the end of the paper, we assume that L/k is unramified at all primes of k above p . Note then that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$, K/k is unramified

at all primes of k which are above p , and are all totally real. Therefore Krasner's lemma [3] on the 1-units implies:

Lemma 2.12 (Krasner). $U_{K,p}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -module of rank $r = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$, where $U_{K,p} = \bigoplus_{\wp|p} U_{K_\wp}$ is the p -adic completion of the group of units in $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

As an immediate consequence of Krasner's lemma (Lemma 2.12) we have

Corollary 2.13.

$$\mathbb{V} = \varprojlim_{K \in \mathcal{K}} V_K$$

is free of rank r over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]$.

Using Corollary 2.13 we may choose a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]$ -line \mathbb{L} inside \mathbb{V} such that the quotient \mathbb{V}/\mathbb{L} is also a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]$ -module (of rank $r - 1$).

Definition 2.14. For all $L(\tau) = K \in \mathcal{K}$ let \mathcal{L}_K be the image of \mathbb{L} under the (surjective) projection map $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow V_K$. When $\tau = 1$ (i.e. when $K = L$), denote \mathcal{L}_K by only \mathcal{L} .

Note that \mathcal{L}_K are free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -modules of rank *one* for all $K \in \mathcal{K}$, and that $(\mathcal{L}_K)^{\text{Gal}(K/K')} = \mathcal{L}_{K'}$ for all $K' \subset K$.

We will often denote $\mathcal{L}_{L(\tau)}$ by simply \mathcal{L}_τ .

Remark 2.15. If there is a prime \wp of k above p of degree one in k/\mathbb{Q} , then for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a natural choice for a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -line \mathcal{L}_K : Define

$$\mathcal{L}_K = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|\wp} U_{K_\mathfrak{q}}$$

where the direct sum is over the places \mathfrak{q} of K that are above \wp , and $U_{K_\mathfrak{q}}$ is defined as before. For $K' \subset K$ it is evident that $(\mathcal{L}_K)^{\text{Gal}(K/K')} = \mathcal{L}_{K'}$. We also know by (a variant of) Lemma 2.12 that \mathcal{L}_K is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -module of rank *one*. It also follows that the χ -isotypic component $(\mathcal{L}_{L(\tau)})^\chi$ of $\mathcal{L}_{L(\tau)}$ is free of rank *one* over $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$, for $K = L(\tau)$.

Definition 2.16. We say that an element

$$\{\phi_\tau\}_\tau = \Phi \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]^\chi)$$

satisfies $H_\mathcal{L}$ if for any $L(\tau) \in \mathcal{K}$ one has $\phi_\tau(\wedge^r V_{L(\tau)}^\chi) \subset \mathcal{L}_\tau^\chi$.

We will next construct a specific element

$$\Phi_0 \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]^\chi)$$

that satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$.

In what follows, we will identify the free of rank *one* $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M_0/k)]]$ -module $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M/k)]]^\chi$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M_0/k)]]$ (as in Remark 2.5), and we allow ourselves to alternate between these two notations.

Fix a basis

$$\{\Psi_{\mathbb{L}}^{(i)}\}_{i=1, \dots, r-1}$$

of the free (of rank $r - 1$) $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M_0/k)]]$ -module

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M_0/k)]]}(\mathbb{V}^\chi/\mathbb{L}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(M_0/k)]])$$

This then fixes a basis $\{\psi_{\mathcal{L}_\tau}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{r-1}$ for the free (of rank $r-1$) $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$ -module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi/\mathcal{L}_\tau^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau])$ for all $L(\tau) \in \mathcal{K}$; such that $\{\psi_{\mathcal{L}_\tau}^{(i)}\}_\tau$ are compatible with respect to the surjections

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi/\mathcal{L}_\tau^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\tau'}]}(V_{L(\tau')}^\chi/\mathcal{L}_{\tau'}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\tau'}])$$

for all $\tau' \mid \tau$. Note that the homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \psi_{\mathcal{L}_\tau}^{(i)} : V_{L(\tau)}^\chi/\mathcal{L}_\tau^\chi \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]^{r-1}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$ -modules, for all τ .

Let $\psi_\tau^{(i)}$ denote the image of $\psi_{\mathcal{L}_\tau}^{(i)}$ under the canonical injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi/\mathcal{L}_\tau^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau])$$

Note then that

$$\Psi_\tau := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \psi_\tau^{(i)} : V_{L(\tau)}^\chi \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$$

is surjective and $\ker(\Psi_\tau) = \mathcal{L}_\tau^\chi$.

Define

$$\phi_\tau = \psi_\tau^{(1)} \wedge \psi_\tau^{(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_\tau^{(r-1)} \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau])$$

Note once again that for $\tau' \mid \tau$, ϕ_τ maps to $\phi_{\tau'}$ under the surjective (by Proposition 2.7) homomorphism

$$\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_{\tau'}]}(V_{L(\tau')}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\tau'}])$$

Therefore $\Phi_0 = \{\phi_\tau\}_\tau$ may be regarded as an element of the group $\bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times)$.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\{\phi_\tau\}_\tau = \Phi_0$ be as above. Then ϕ_τ maps $\wedge^r V_{L(\tau)}^\times$ onto $\mathcal{L}_\tau^\times (= \ker(\Psi_\tau))$, for all τ . In particular Φ_0 satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [1], which also follows the proof of Lemma B.1 of [4] line by line. \square

Corollary 2.18. *Let ϕ be an element of $\bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]}(V_L^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that*

$$\phi(\wedge^r V_L^\times) \subset \mathcal{L}^\times$$

Then there is a

$$\Phi^{(0)} = \{\phi_\tau^{(0)}\}_\tau \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times)$$

which satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ and is such that

$$\phi_1^{(0)}|_{\wedge^r V_L^\times} = \phi|_{\wedge^r V_L^\times}$$

Proof. If ϕ is identically zero the assertion is obvious (choosing $\Phi^{(0)}$ identically zero as well). Otherwise let

$$\{\tilde{\phi}_\tau^{(0)}\}_\tau = \tilde{\Phi}^{(0)} = [\mathcal{L}^\times : \phi(V_L^\times)]\Phi_0$$

where Φ_0 is as above. Then by Proposition 2.17, and noting that the free-of-rank-one \mathbb{Z}_p -module \mathcal{L}^\times has a unique \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule of index $[\mathcal{L}^\times : \phi(V_L^\times)]$ it follows that

$$\tilde{\phi}_1^{(0)}(\wedge^r V_L^\times) = \phi(\wedge^r V_L^\times)$$

Since $\wedge^r V_L^\times$ is free of rank one, we may choose an $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ so that

$$\alpha \tilde{\phi}_1^{(0)}|_{\wedge^r V_L^\times} \equiv \phi|_{\wedge^r V_L^\times}$$

Let now $\Phi^{(0)} := \alpha \tilde{\Phi}^{(0)}$. Then $\Phi^{(0)} \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times)$ clearly has the desired properties. \square

2.4. Kolyvagin Systems for $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$: In this section, we construct a Kolyvagin system² $\{\kappa_\tau^\Phi\} \in \mathbf{KS}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}})$ using the Euler system $\{\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^\times\}_\tau$ of Φ -Stark elements, for each $\Phi \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times)$ that satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. We will use these classes in the next section to prove our main results.

²See Definitions 3.1.3 and 3.1.6 of [4] for a more precise definition of a Kolyvagin system.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set primes of k whose elements do not divide pf_χ . For each positive integer m , let

$$\mathcal{P}_m = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{q} \text{ splits completely in } L(\mu_{p^m})/k\}$$

be a subset of \mathcal{P} . Note that \mathcal{P}_m is exactly the set of primes being determined by Definition 3.1.6 of [4] or §4, Definition 1.1 of [9] when $T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}$. Let \mathcal{N} (*resp.* \mathcal{N}_m) denote the square free products of primes \mathfrak{q} in \mathcal{P} (*resp.* \mathcal{P}_m).

Let \mathcal{F}_{can} denote the *canonical Selmer structure* given by Definition 3.2.1 of [4]. Theorem 3.2.4 of [4] gives a map

$$\mathbf{ES}(T) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P})$$

where $\mathbf{ES}(T)$ denotes the collection of Euler systems for T , and

$$\overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P}) := \varprojlim_m (\varinjlim_j \mathbf{KS}(T/p^m T, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}_j))$$

such that if $\{c_{k(\tau)}\}_\tau = \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{ES}(T)$ maps to $\kappa = \{\{\kappa_{\tau,m}\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{N}_m}\}_m$ under this map (where $\{\kappa_{\tau,m}\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{N}_m} \in \varinjlim_j \mathbf{KS}(T/p^m T, \mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}, \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}_j)$ is a Kolyvagin system for $T/p^m T$), then

$$(2.4) \quad H^1(k, T) = \varprojlim_m H^1(k, T/p^m T) \ni \varprojlim_m \kappa_{1,m} := \kappa_1 = \mathbf{c}_k \in H^1(k, T).$$

Let $\kappa^\Phi = \{\{\kappa_{\tau,m}^\Phi\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{N}_m}\}_m$ be the image of the Euler system $\{\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^\chi\}_\tau$, and let $\kappa_1^\Phi = \varprojlim_m \kappa_{1,m}^\Phi$ as in (2.4). In this particular case, (2.4) reads

$$\kappa_1^\Phi = \varepsilon_{L, \Phi}^\chi.$$

Theorem 2.19. *Assume that $\Phi \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\chi, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]])^\chi$ satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. Then*

$$\kappa^\Phi \in \overline{\mathbf{KS}}(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{P})$$

When there is no danger of confusion, we will simply denote the element $\kappa_{\tau,m}^\Phi \in L^\times / (L^\times)^{p^m}$ by κ_τ^Φ . Note that the statement of Theorem 2.19 says that for each $\tau \in \mathcal{N}_m$, $\kappa_\tau^\Phi \in H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(\tau)}^1(k, T/p^m T)$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(\tau)$ is defined as in Example 2.1.8 of [4]. However, Theorem 3.2.4 of [4] already says that $\kappa_\tau^\Phi \in H_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{can}}(\tau)}^1(k, T/p^m T)$; therefore to prove Theorem 2.19 it suffices to prove the following:

Proposition 2.20. *Let*

$$\text{loc}_p : H^1(k, T/p^m T) \longrightarrow H^1(k_p, T/p^m T) := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(k_\mathfrak{p}, T/p^m T)$$

be the localization map into the semi-local cohomology at p . Then

$$\text{loc}_p(\kappa_\tau^\Phi) \in \mathcal{L}^\times/p^m\mathcal{L}^\times \subset H^1(k_p, T/p^mT)$$

We prove Proposition 2.20 below. We remark that $\mathcal{L}^\times/p^m\mathcal{L}^\times$ is the propagation $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}(\tau)}}^1(k_p, T/p^mT)$ of the local condition $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}(\tau)}}^1(k_p, T) = \mathcal{L}^\times$ at p . Let

$$\{\tilde{\kappa}_{\tau,m}^\Phi \in H^1(k, T/p^mT) = (L^\times/(L^\times)^{p^m})^\times\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{N}_m}$$

be the collection that Definition 4.4.10 of [9] associates to the Euler system $\{\varepsilon_{L(\tau),\Phi}^\times\}_\tau$. Here we write $\tilde{\kappa}_{\tau,m}^\Phi$ for the class denoted by $\kappa_{[k,\tau,m]}$ in [9]. We will also often drop m and denote $\tilde{\kappa}_{\tau,m}^\Phi$ by $\tilde{\kappa}_\tau^\Phi$ if there is no danger for confusion. Note that Equation (33) in Appendix A of [4] relates these classes to κ_τ^Φ .

Lemma 2.21. *Assume $\text{loc}_p(\tilde{\kappa}_\tau^\Phi) \in \mathcal{L}^\times/p^m\mathcal{L}^\times$ then $\text{loc}_p(\kappa_\tau^\Phi) \in \mathcal{L}^\times/p^m\mathcal{L}^\times$ as well.*

Proof. Obvious using Equation (33) in Appendix A of [4]. □

Let D_τ denote the derivative operators as in Definition 4.4.1 of [9]. Definition 4.4.10 (and Remark 4.4.3) defines $\tilde{\kappa}_\tau^\Phi$ as the inverse image of $D_\tau\varepsilon_{L(\tau),\Phi}^\times \pmod{p^m}$ under the restriction map³

$$\begin{aligned} (L^\times/(L^\times)^{p^m})^\times &= H^1(k, T/p^mT) \longrightarrow H^1(k(\tau), T/p^mT)^{G_\tau} \\ &= [(L(\tau)^\times/(L(\tau)^\times)^{p^m})^\times]^{G_\tau} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{loc}_p(\tilde{\kappa}_\tau^\Phi)$ maps to $\text{loc}_p(D_\tau\varepsilon_{L(\tau),\Phi}^\times \pmod{p^m})$ under the map (which is also an isomorphism by Remark 4.4.3, Proposition B.5.1 and Proposition B.4.2 of [9])

$$H^1(k_p, T/p^mT) \longrightarrow H^1(k(\tau)_p, T/p^mT)^{G_\tau}$$

Under this isomorphism $V_L^\times/p^mV_L^\times$ is mapped isomorphically onto $(V_{L(\tau)}^\times/p^mV_{L(\tau)}^\times)^{G_\tau}$ and hence, by definition of \mathcal{L}^\times and the fact that it is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_\tau]$ -module, $\mathcal{L}^\times/p^m\mathcal{L}^\times$ is mapped isomorphically onto $[\mathcal{L}_\tau^\times/p^m\mathcal{L}_\tau^\times]^{G_\tau}$. The diagram below summarizes the discussion in this

³ Note that $(\mu_{p^\infty} \otimes \chi^{-1})^{G_{k(\tau)}}$ is trivial (where $G_{k(\tau)}$ stands for the absolute Galois group of the totally real field $k(\tau)$), since, for example, complex conjugation cannot act by χ on μ_{p^∞} since χ is even. This argument proves that this restriction map is an isomorphism, by Remark 4.4.3 of [9].

paragraph:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(k_p, T/p^m T) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1(k(\tau)_p, T/p^m T)^{G_\tau} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
V_L^X/p^m V_L^X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (V_{L(\tau)}^X/p^m V_{L(\tau)}^X)^{G_\tau} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathcal{L}^X/p^m \mathcal{L}^X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & [\mathcal{L}_\tau^X/p^m \mathcal{L}_\tau^X]^{G_\tau}
\end{array}$$

Proposition 2.22. *Suppose Φ satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. Then*

$$\text{loc}_p(\tilde{\kappa}_\tau^\Phi) \in \mathcal{L}^X/p^m \mathcal{L}^X.$$

Proof. Since loc_p is Galois equivariant $\text{loc}_p(D_\tau \varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X) = D_\tau \text{loc}_p(\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X)$. Further $\text{loc}_p(\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X) \in \mathcal{L}_\tau^X$ since Φ satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.4.2 of [9] $D_\tau \varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X \pmod{p^m}$ is fixed by G_τ , which in return implies

$$\text{loc}_p(\varepsilon_{L(\tau), \Phi}^X) \pmod{p^m} \in [\mathcal{L}_\tau^X/p^m \mathcal{L}_\tau^X]^{G_\tau}$$

This proves that $\text{loc}_p(\tilde{\kappa}_\tau^\Phi)$ maps into $\mathcal{L}^X/p^m \mathcal{L}^X$ by above discussion. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.20. Immediately follows from Lemma 2.21 and Proposition 2.22. \square

By the discussion following the statement of Theorem 2.19, this also completes the proof of Theorem 2.19.

3. APPLICATIONS TO IDEAL CLASS GROUPS

In this section, we apply the Kolyvagin system machinery developed in [4] to the Kolyvagin system we constructed in §2.4. Let

$$\iota : (O_L^\times)^\times \longrightarrow V_L^\times$$

be the localization map at p . The induced map $\wedge^r(O_L^\times)^\times \rightarrow \wedge^r V_L^\times$ will be denoted by $\iota^{(r)}$. Throughout this section we assume the following hypotheses:

H-S The finite set S contains no finite primes which split completely in L/k .

H-F $[\wedge^r V_L^\times : \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \iota^{(r)}(\varepsilon_L^\times)] < \infty$.

Since $\varepsilon_L^\times \in \wedge^r(O_L^\times)^\times$ by Remark 2.11, hypothesis **H-F** makes sense.

Remark 3.1. The proof of Proposition 6.6 of [8] shows that **H-F** holds if one assumes **H-S** and that the map ι above is injective. Note that Leopoldt's conjecture (**LC**) for L guarantees that ι is injective, thus implies **H-F**.

Recall that for an appropriately chosen (namely those satisfying $H_{\mathcal{L}}$)

$$\Phi \in \varprojlim_{L(\tau) \in \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times)$$

we obtained a Kolyvagin system $\{\kappa_\tau^\Phi\}$ for the Selmer structure $(T, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{P})$.

The following theorem (which is really Corollary 5.2.13 of [4]) is the main application of the Kolyvagin systems we constructed. Notice that Corollary 5.2.13 of [4] is valid by Proposition 1.8.

Theorem 3.2.

$$\text{length}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*)) \leq \max\{j : \kappa_1^\Phi \in p^j H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T)\}$$

for all Φ satisfying $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. We have equality if the Kolyvagin system $\{\kappa_\tau^\Phi\}$ is primitive in the sense of Definition 3.4.5 of [4].

Using the computations in Section 2 we prove

Proposition 3.3. *Let τ, Δ_τ, V_K and ι be defined as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\iota(\varepsilon_{L, \Phi}^\times) : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times)\} \\ = [\wedge^r V_L^\times : \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \iota^{(r)}(\varepsilon_L^\times)] V_L^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.12

$$\{\Phi_1(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in \wedge^r V_L^\times, \{\Phi_\tau\}_\tau \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times)\} = V_L^\times.$$

(See also the proof of [8] Proposition 6.6.) On the other hand, V_L is free of rank r over $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(L/k)]$ by Krasner's lemma, therefore V_L^\times is free of rank r over \mathbb{Z}_p . This implies that $\wedge^r V_L^\times$ is free of rank *one* over \mathbb{Z}_p . The proof of the proposition now follows. \square

Lemma 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\iota(\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^\times) : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times), \Phi \text{ satisfies } H_{\mathcal{L}}\} = \\ = \{\iota(\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^\times) : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times)\} \cap \mathcal{L}^\times \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The left hand side is clearly contained in the right hand side. Let

$$c \in \{\iota(\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^\times) : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times)\} \cap \mathcal{L}^\times$$

be an element of the set on the right hand side, so that

$$c = \phi \circ \iota(\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^\times) \in \mathcal{L}^\times$$

for some

$$\phi \in \wedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]}(V_L^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]^\times).$$

Since we assumed **H-F**, $\mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \iota^{(r)}(\varepsilon_L^\times)$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\wedge^r V_L^\times$ and since $V_L^\times/\mathcal{L}^\times$ is torsion free, it follows that $\phi(\wedge^r V_L^\times) \subset \mathcal{L}^\times$. Then by Corollary 2.18 there is a

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi_\tau^{(0)}\}_\tau = \Phi^{(0)} &\in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{V}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(M/k)]]^\times) \\ &= \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^\times) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ and such that

$$\phi_1^{(0)} \big|_{\wedge^r V_L^\times} \equiv \phi \big|_{\wedge^r V_L^\times}$$

This shows $c = \varepsilon_{L,\Phi^{(0)}}^\times$ and thus c belongs to the left hand side as well. \square

Corollary 3.5.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\iota(\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^X) : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^X, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^X), \Phi \text{ satisfies } H_{\mathcal{L}}\} \\ = [\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \iota^{(r)}(\varepsilon_L^X)] \mathcal{L}^X \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 the left hand side above equals

$$\{\iota(\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^X) : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^X, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^X)\} \cap \mathcal{L}^X$$

which, by Proposition 3.3, is equal to $\{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \iota^{(r)}(\varepsilon_L^X)] V_L^X\} \cap \mathcal{L}^X$, and this equals $[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \iota^{(r)}(\varepsilon_L^X)] \mathcal{L}^X$ since V_L^X / \mathcal{L}^X is \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion-free. \square

Note that Corollary 3.5 implies in particular that $\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^X \neq 0$ for some choice of Φ which satisfies $H_{\mathcal{L}}$. This, together with Theorem 3.2 and the right-most equality in (2.4) proves

Corollary 3.6. $H_{\mathcal{F}_L^*}^1(k, T^*)$ is finite.

Remark 3.7. There is of course a direct way to prove Corollary 3.6 using Remark 1.7 and the finiteness of the ideal class group, if one assumes **LC** for L .

Until the end of this section we assume **LC** for the number field L . This in particular means that the canonical map

$$\iota : (O_L^\times)^X \longrightarrow V_L^X$$

is injective. We will then identify $(O_L^\times)^X$ with its image inside V_L^X under the map ι , and drop ι from notation.

Recall the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (O_L^\times)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X \longrightarrow (O_L^\times)^X \longrightarrow V_L^X / \mathcal{L}^X.$$

We note that the intersection $(O_L^\times)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X$ is taken inside V_L^X after identifying $(O_L^\times)^X$ with its image inside V_L^X , and is free of rank *one* (by rank considerations in the above sequence).

Theorem 3.8.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^X : \Phi \in \varprojlim_{\tau} \bigwedge^{r-1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]}(V_{L(\tau)}^X, \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_\tau]^X), \Phi \text{ satisfies } H_{\mathcal{L}}\} = \\ = \frac{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]}{[\mathcal{L}^X : (O_L^X)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X]} (O_L^X)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that we still use the additive notation for the multiplicative group $(O_L^X)^X$.)

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.5 together with our assumption **LC** and that \mathcal{L}^X is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank *one*. □

Corollary 3.9.

$$|H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T^*)| \leq \frac{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]}{[\mathcal{L}^X : (O_L^X)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X]}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 it suffices to show that

$$\min_{\{\Phi \text{ satisfying } H_{\mathcal{L}}\}} \max\{p^j : \varepsilon_{L,\Phi}^X \in p^j H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T)\} = \frac{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]}{[\mathcal{L}^X : (O_L^X)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X]}.$$

This is exactly what Theorem 3.8 says, recalling that

$$H_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}}^1(k, T) = (O_L^X)^X \cap \mathcal{L}^X$$

by Proposition 1.4(i). □

We now use Corollary 3.9, Proposition 1.5 and Remark 1.7 to obtain bounds on the classical Selmer group.

Theorem 3.10. $|A_L^X| \leq [\wedge^r (O_L^X)^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.2, Corollary 3.9 and Remark 1.7 that

$$|A_L^X| \leq \frac{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]}{[V_L^X : (O_L^X)^X]}$$

On the other hand, since V_L^X and the image of $(O_L^X)^X$ inside V_L^X are both free of rank r , it follows that

$$[\wedge^r V_L^X : \wedge^r (O_L^X)^X] = [V_L^X : (O_L^X)^X]$$

But this means

$$\frac{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]}{[V_L^X : (O_L^X)^X]} = \frac{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]}{[\wedge^r V_L^X : \wedge^r (O_L^X)^X]} = [\wedge^r (O_L^X)^X : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^X]$$

and the proof of the theorem follows. □

Recall our assumption on the set S that it does not contain any non-archimedean prime of k which splits completely in L/k . Fix also an embedding of \mathbb{Q}_p into the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . For $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we will write $x \sim y$ if $x/y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

By their conjectural description, the Stark elements of Rubin relate to the L -values:

$$(3.1) \quad R_\chi(\varepsilon_L^\chi) \sim \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-r} L_{S_\infty, T}(s, \chi^{-1})$$

where R_χ is a regulator map (as described in §2.1 of [8]) on $(\wedge^r O_{L, S_\infty, T}^\times)^\chi = (\wedge^r O_{L, T}^\times)^\chi$, S_∞ is the set of infinite places of L and $L_{S_\infty, T}(s, \chi^{-1})$ is the modified Artin L -function attached to χ^{-1} , and T is a set of primes discussed at the beginning of Section 2.1. Note that we use $L_{S_\infty, T}(s, \chi^{-1})$ instead of the modified Artin L -function $L_{S, T}(s, \chi^{-1})$, in the expense of replacing the equality in 3.1 by " \sim ". We further remark that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-r} L_{S, T}(s, \chi^{-1}) \sim \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-r} L_{S_\infty, T}(s, \chi^{-1})$$

since we insist that S does not contain any non-archimedean prime of k which splits completely in L/k .

On the other hand we have the analytic class number formula (see [2])

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{1-\#(S_\infty)} \zeta_{L, S_\infty, T}(s) = -\frac{\#(A_{S_\infty, T}) R_{S_\infty, T}}{\#(\mu_T)}$$

See §1.1 of [8] for definitions of $A_{S_\infty, T}$, $R_{S_\infty, T}$ and μ_T . As we have noted at the beginning of Section 2.1, one may choose the set of primes T in a way that $\#\mu_T = 1$ and also that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{1-\#(S_\infty)} \zeta_{L, S_\infty, T}(s) \sim \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{1-\#(S_\infty)} \zeta_{L, S_\infty}(s),$$

$$\#(A_{S_\infty, T}) \sim \#(A_{S_\infty}), R_{S_\infty, T} \sim R_{S_\infty}$$

Using (3.1) for all non-trivial characters of Δ and (3.2) together with above remarks and Theorem 3.10 yields (see §5 of [7] for more details):

Theorem 3.11. $|A_L^\chi| = [\wedge^r (O_L^\times)^\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \varepsilon_L^\chi]$

This in particular shows that for Φ_0 as defined in §2.4 the Kolyvagin system $\{\kappa_\tau^{\Phi_0}\}$ is *primitive* if the set S contains no non-archimedean prime of k which splits completely in L/k .

Let \mathcal{R}_L^χ be the value of the regulator map R_χ evaluated at a generator of $\wedge^r (O_L^\times)^\chi$. Theorem 3.11 together with (3.1) implies the following class number formula:

Corollary 3.12.

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-r} L_{S_\infty, T}(s, \chi^{-1}) \sim \mathcal{R}_L^\chi \cdot |A_L^\chi|.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Kâzım Büyükboduk. Λ -adic Kolyvagin systems, 2007. 56pp., preprint. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.0377v1>.
- [2] Benedict H. Gross. On the values of abelian L -functions at $s = 0$. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 35(1):177–197, 1988.
- [3] Marc Krasner. Sur la représentation exponentielle dans les corps relativement galoisiens de nombres p -adiques. *Acta Arith.*, 3:133–173, 1939.
- [4] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin. Kolyvagin systems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 168(799):viii+96, 2004.
- [5] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Systèmes d’Euler p -adiques et théorie d’Iwasawa. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 48(5):1231–1307, 1998.
- [6] Cristian D. Popescu. Gras-type conjectures for function fields. *Compositio Math.*, 118(3):263–290, 1999.
- [7] Karl Rubin. Stark units and Kolyvagin’s “Euler systems”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 425:141–154, 1992.
- [8] Karl Rubin. A Stark conjecture “over \mathbf{Z} ” for abelian L -functions with multiple zeros. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 46(1):33–62, 1996.
- [9] Karl Rubin. *Euler systems*, volume 147 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000. Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study.
- [10] David Solomon. On p -adic abelian Stark conjectures at $s = 1$. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 52(2):379–417, 2002.
- [11] David Solomon. Twisted zeta-functions and abelian Stark conjectures. *J. Number Theory*, 94(1):10–48, 2002.
- [12] John Tate. *Les conjectures de Stark sur les fonctions L d’Artin en $s = 0$* , volume 47 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1984. Lecture notes edited by Dominique Bernardi and Norbert Schappacher.
- [13] Andrew Wiles. Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 141(3):443–551, 1995.

KAZIM BUYUKBODUK
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 450 SERRA MALL, BLDG 380
 STANFORD, CA, 94305
 USA
E-mail address: kazim@math.stanford.edu
Web page: math.stanford.edu/~kazim

CURRENT ADDRESS:
 IHÉS, LE BOIS-MARIE. 35,
 ROUTE DE CHARTRES,
 F-91440 BURES-SUR-YVETTE,
 FRANCE