

Cohen-Macaulay graphs arising from digraphs

GIUSEPPA CARRA' FERRO

DANIELA FERRARELLO

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Catania

Viale Andrea Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

e-mail:carra@dmi.unict.it, ferrarello@dmi.unict.it

Abstract

In this paper we show a correspondence between directed graphs and bipartite undirected graphs with a perfect matching, that allows to study properties of directed graphs through the properties of the corresponding undirected graphs. In particular it is shown that a directed graph is transitive iff a corresponding undirected graph is Cohen-Macaulay.

Keywords: graph, digraph, DAG, Cohen Macaulay graph.

1 Introduction

There are several papers on the correspondence between undirected graphs and polynomial ideals. In particular, there exist many ways to get properties of an undirected graph from certain binomial and monomial ideals ([SVV94],

[DS98], [HO98], [HO99], [Ka99], [BPS01], [Vi01], [HH03],[CF06a]). The aim of this paper is to study some undirected graphs defined as in [CF05c] and associated to a directed graph G and some relations between their properties. Furthermore such properties can be verified either by using the well known algorithms in graph theory or by using the computational algebra algorithms in polynomial algebra.

After some preliminaries about polynomial toric ideals and graph theory, in section 4 we study the undirected graph H_G associated to a digraph G . In particular we show a one to one correspondence between a transitive digraph G and the Cohen-Macaulay undirected graph H_G associated to it. We also study the cases when G is a directed acyclic graph (DAG, for short) by finding the corresponding properties of H_G . DAG's play an important role in graph theory and applications. In fact DAG's can be used in the study of Bayesian networks, that are directed graphs with vertices representing variables and edges representing dependence relations among the variables. Finally digraphs are very useful in computational molecular biology ([dJ02]) and in the minimum cost flow problem in networks, which has many physical applications ([IsIm00]).

2 Preliminary tools

2.1 Toric ideals

In this section we introduce some properties of the toric ideals.

Let $\mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ and let X_1, \dots, X_n be n variables. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Let $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and let $T_A = PP(X_1, \dots,$

X_n) be the set of terms of A .

DEFINITION 2.1. *A term ordering σ on T_A is a total order such that:*

- (i) $1 <_\sigma t$ for all $t \in T_A \setminus \{1\}$;
- (ii) $t_1 <_\sigma t_2$ implies $t_1 t' <_\sigma t_2 t'$ for all $t' \in T_A$.

If σ is a term ordering on T_A and $f \in A$, then $M_\sigma(f)$ is the monomial $c_j t_j$ such that $t_i <_\sigma t_j$ for all $i \neq j$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. $M_\sigma(f)$ is called the *leading monomial* of f .

DEFINITION 2.2. *Let σ be a term ordering on T_A and let I be an ideal in A . The monomial ideal $M_\sigma(I) = (M_\sigma(f) : f \in I)$ is the initial ideal of I .*

DEFINITION 2.3. ([Bu76]) *Let I be an ideal in A and let σ be a term ordering on T_A . If $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$, then $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to σ on T_A iff $M_\sigma(I) = (M_\sigma(f_1), \dots, M_\sigma(f_r))$.*

$\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis iff $M_\sigma(f_h) = T_\sigma(f_h)$ and f_h is reduced with respect to $F \setminus f_h$ for all $h = 1, \dots, r$.

Every ideal I in A has a finite Gröbner basis ([Bu76]). Now we introduce the notion and some properties of a toric ideal.

DEFINITION 2.4. *Let $M = (m_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n}$ be a (m, n) -matrix with m_{ij} in \mathbf{Z} . Let $\pi : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K[t_1, \dots, t_m, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_m^{-1}]$ be the homomorphism of semigroup algebras defined by $\pi(X_j) = \prod_{i=1, \dots, m} t_i^{m_{ij}}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. $I_M = \ker(\pi)$ in $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is called the toric ideal of M .*

It is well known that I_M is a prime binomial ideal. Moreover the ideal

$(X_j - \prod_{i=1, \dots, m} t_i^{m_{ij}}, j = 1, \dots, n)$ is in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n, t_1, \dots, t_m, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_m^{-1}]$ and $I_M = (X_j - \prod_{i=1, \dots, m} t_i^{m_{ij}}, j = 1, \dots, n) \cap K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ([St95]).

THEOREM 2.1. [St95]. I_M is generated by binomials of the type $X^{u^+} - X^{u^-}$, where $u^+, u^- \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ are non negative with disjoint support.

2.2 Graphs and digraphs

In this paper $G=(V(G), E(G))$ will be a finite graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$. Furthermore $[v_i, v_j]$ will denote the directed edge from v_i to v_j , while $\{v_i, v_j\}$ will denote the undirected edge between v_i and v_j .

The *underlying graph* G_u of a directed graph G is the undirected graph with $V(G_u) = V(G)$ and the same undirected edges of G . All graphs in this paper will be simple, i.e. without multiple edges. A directed graph G will be shortly called a *digraph*.

DEFINITION 2.5. An undirected graph G is bipartite if its vertices can be divided in two sets, such that no edge connects vertices in the same set. Equivalently G is bipartite iff all cycles in G are even. G is acyclic if it has no cycle.

DEFINITION 2.6. A digraph G is called a directed acyclic graph, DAG for short, when there are no directed cycles in G .

DEFINITION 2.7. A digraph $G = (V, E)$ is called transitive if for all $u, v, w \in V$, such that $[u, v] \in E$ and $[v, w] \in E$ we have $[u, w] \in E$.

Equivalently G is a transitive graph if there exist a directed edge from u to v , whenever there is a directed walk from u to v .

REMARK 2.1. *A simple directed transitive graph is a DAG. In fact every possible cycle has to be undirected.*

DEFINITION 2.8. *A vertex cover V' of an undirected graph G is a subset of vertices of G , such that at least one of the vertices of every edge in G is in V' . A vertex cover V' is said to be minimal if no subsets of V' is a vertex cover. Finally a graph is said unmixed if all minimal vertex covers have the same cardinality.*

3 Ideals arising from graphs and digraphs

Here we will introduce the binomial and monomial ideals, that are associated to an undirected graph (respectively a digraph) as in ([CF06a]) and ([CF05c]). All ideals in the paper are in a polynomial ring with coefficients in a field K of characteristic zero.

DEFINITION 3.1. *Let G be an undirected graph. The binomial extended edge ideal of G is the ideal $I(G, E(G)) = (e_h - v_i v_j : e_h = \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G), i = 1, \dots, n)$ in $K[e_1, \dots, e_m, v_1, \dots, v_n, z_1, \dots, z_n]$. The ideal $I(G)_{E(G)} = I(G, E(G)) \cap K[e_1, \dots, e_m]$ is the binomial edge ideal of G .*

REMARK 3.1. *$I(E)_G$ is the toric ideal of the matrix $IM(G)^t$, that is the incidence matrix $IM(G) = (a_{ih})_{i=1, \dots, n, h=1, \dots, m}$ of G defined by $a_{ih} = 1$ if $v_i \in e_h$ and $a_{ih} = 0$ if $v_i \notin e_h$ for every $v_i \in V(E)$ and $e_h \in E(G)$. Its definition can be also found in ([HO98]) and ([Ka99]).*

This notion can be extended to digraphs.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let G be a digraph. The binomial extended diedge ideal of G is the ideal $I(G, E(G)) = (e_h - z_i v_j, z_i v_i - 1 : e_h = [v_i, v_j] \in E(G), i = 1, \dots, n)$ in $K[e_1, \dots, e_m, v_1, \dots, v_n, z_1, \dots, z_n]$. The ideal $I(G)_{E(G)} = I(G, E(G)) \cap K[e_1, \dots, e_m]$ is the binomial diedge ideal of G .

REMARK 3.2. $I(G)_{E(G)}$ is the toric ideal of the matrix $IM(G)^t$, that is the transpose of the incidence matrix $IM(G) = (a_{ih})_{i=1, \dots, n, h=1, \dots, m}$ of G defined by $a_{ih} = -1$ if e_h leaves v_i , $a_{ih} = 1$ if e_h arrives to v_i and $a_{ih} = 0$ if $v_i \notin e_h$ for every $v_i \in V(E)$ and $e_h \in E(G)$.

Given an undirected graph G and an even closed walk $C = (e_{i_1} = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}\}, e_{i_2} = \{v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}, \dots, e_{i_{2q-1}} = \{v_{i_{2q-1}}, v_{i_{2q}}\}, e_{i_{2q}} = \{v_{i_{2q}}, v_{i_1}\})$ of G , let

$f_C = \prod_{k=1, \dots, q} e_{i_{2k-1}} - \prod_{k=1, \dots, q} e_{i_{2k}}$ be the corresponding binomial in $I(G)_{E(G)}$.

Given a digraph G and a closed walk $C = (e_{i_1} = [v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}], e_{i_2} = [v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}], \dots, e_{i_{q-1}} = [v_{i_{q-1}}, v_{i_q}], e_{i_q} = [v_{i_q}, v_{i_1}])$ of G , let $f_C = \prod_{i \in I} e_i - \prod_{j \in J} e_j$, be the corresponding binomial in $I(G)_{E(G)}$ with $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|I| + |J| = q$.

If G is an undirected graph, then the toric ideal $I(G)_{E(G)}$ is generated by all binomials f_C , where C is an even closed walk of G ([Vi95] and [HO99]).

If G is a digraph, then toric ideal $I(G)_{E(G)}$ is generated by all binomials f_C , where C is a cycle of G ([CF05c]).

Now we introduce some binomial ideals associated to the vertices of a graph and a digraph.

DEFINITION 3.3. ([CF06a])

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. The ideal $I(G, V(G)) = (v_i - \prod e_h : v_i \text{ belongs to the edge } e_h)$ in $K[v_1, \dots, v_n, e_1, \dots, e_m]$ is the binomial extended

vertex ideal of G .

$I(G)_{V(G)} = I(G, V(G)) \cap K[v_1, \dots, v_n, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is the vertex ideal of G .

DEFINITION 3.4. ([CF05c])

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a finite digraph. The ideal $I(G, V(G)) = (v_i - \prod e_h : e_h \text{ arrives in } v_i, z_i - \prod e_h : e_h \text{ leaves from } v_i)$ in $K[v_1, \dots, v_n, z_1, \dots, z_n, e_1, \dots, e_m]$ is the binomial extended divertex ideal of G .

$I(G)_{V(G)} = I(G, V(G)) \cap K[v_1, \dots, v_n, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is the divertex ideal of G .

4 The graph H_G

The following undirected graph associated to a digraph has some nice properties.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let G be a digraph. Let H_G be the undirected graph with $V(H_G) = V(G) \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n, z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ and $E(H_G) = \{e_h = \{z_i, v_j\} : \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G), h = 1 \dots, m\} \cup \{f_i = \{z_i, v_i\} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $R = K[v_1, z_1, f_1, \dots, v_n, z_n, f_n, e_1, \dots, e_m]$ and let $\pi : R \rightarrow R/(f_1 - 1, \dots, f_n - 1)$ be the canonical ring homomorphism defined by $\pi(v_i) = v_i$, $\pi(z_i) = z_i$, $\pi(f_i) = 1$, $\pi(e_j) = e_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. $\pi(I(H_G, E(H_G))) = I(G, E(G))$.

It is proved in [CF05c] that an undirected graph G' is bipartite with a perfect matching if and only if there exists a digraph G without loops, such that $G' = H_G$.

REMARK 4.1. G is not unique. If we consider the graph G^S , edge symmetric of G , i.e. the graph with $V(G^S) = V(G)$ and $[v_i, v_j] \in E(G^S)$ if and only if $[v_j, v_i] \in E(G)$, then $H_{G^S} = G'$.

Now we study some properties of H_G that are in correspondence with properties of G and depend on the cycles in both of them.

We start with the case when G has no directed cycles and the relation with undirected Cohen-Macaulay graphs.

To every undirected graph G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ it is possible to associate a monomial ideal $I(G)$, that is generated by all square free monomials $v_i v_j$, such that $\{v_i, v_j\} = e_h$ is an edge of G . This ideal is usually called the monomial *edge ideal*.

DEFINITION 4.2. *An undirected graph G is Cohen-Macaulay (CM for short) with respect to the field K , if the quotient ring $K[v_1, \dots, v_n]/I(G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

It is known that every CM graph is unmixed.

CM graphs are studied in several works ([Vi90], [Vi01], [HH03], [HHX04], [Fa04], [Fa05], [FV05]). Actually there is no general decision procedure for CM graph just by using only combinatoric tools. There is a combinatoric characterization of bipartite CM graphs in [HH03] and a decision procedure for bipartite CM graphs can be found in [CF04b].

Here we will investigate the case when H_G is Cohen-Macaulay.

We will show that the condition on G for H_G to be CM is that G is a transitive graph, while a weaker condition than Cohen-Macaulayness for H_G is equivalent for G to be a DAG. In order to show these results we need the following useful theorem by Herzog and Hibi, that characterizes bipartite CM graphs.

THEOREM 4.1. ([HH03])

Let G' be a simple bipartite graph without loops on the vertex set $W \cup W'$, with $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $W' = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ such that

(a) $\{x_i, y_i\}$ is an edge for all $1 \leq i \leq n$;

(b) if $\{x_i, y_j\}$ is an edge then $i \leq j$;

then G' is CM iff

(c) whenever $\{x_i, y_j\}$ and $\{x_j, y_k\}$ are edges, then $\{x_i, y_k\}$ is an edge.

REMARK 4.2. Since G' is bipartite with the bipartition sets $W = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $W' = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, then the condition (a) is equivalent to the existence of the perfect matching $M = \{\{v_i, z_i\} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ in G' .

If $G' = H_G$ for some digraph G , then the condition (a) is always true.

We have the following results on DAG's and transitive graphs.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let G be a digraph with $|V(G)| = n$. If G is a DAG, then it has a topological sort, i.e. a total ordering of the vertices $\{v_{i(1)}, \dots, v_{i(n)}\}$, such that each edge in G is of the kind $[v_{i(1)}, v_{i(j)}]$ with $i < j$.

In general, this ordering is not unique ([Sk90]).

THEOREM 4.2. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple digraph. Then condition (b) for H_G is equivalent to say that G is a DAG.

Proof. Suppose that H_G satisfies (b) and G is not a DAG. Then G contains at least a directed cycle $C = \{[v_{i1}, v_{i2}], [v_{i2}, v_{i3}], \dots, [v_{ik}, v_{i1}]\}$. So,

the set $L = \{\{v_{i1}, z_{i1}\}, \{z_{i1}, v_{i2}\}, \{v_{i2}, z_{i2}\}, \{z_{i2}, v_{i3}\}, \dots, \{v_{ik}, z_{ik}\}, \{z_{ik}, v_{i1}\}\}$ is a cycle in H_G and it is impossible to relabel the vertices in order to satisfy condition **(b)**. Now let G be a DAG with $|V(G)| = n$. By proposition 4.1 we can relabel the vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of G in such a way as $[v_i, v_j] \in E(G)$ if and only if $i < j$, for all $i, j \in V(G)$. By using this ordering $\{z_i, v_j\} \in E(H_G)$ if and only if $i \leq j$. So we have condition **(b)**. \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple digraph. The following facts are equivalent:*

1. G is transitive
2. H_G is Cohen-Macaulay

Proof. Let G be a directed simple graph. First suppose that G is transitive. By remark 4.2, condition **(a)** of theorem 4.1 is satisfied. Condition **(b)** is true, by theorem 4.2, since every simple transitive graph is a DAG, as already observed. Condition **(c)** follows by definition of transitivity. Conversely suppose that H_G is CM. Condition **(c)** implies that G is transitive. \square

The construction of H_G and the previous theorem are used in [VV07].

REMARK 4.3. *In [?] it is shown a decision procedure for checking when a bipartite undirected graph G is CM. By using the same procedure we have a decision procedure for checking when a digraph is transitive, without using the other well known algorithms in graph theory ([Sk03] and [Tr99]). Conversely we can use the well known algorithms in graph theory for checking the transitivity of a digraph in order to check the Cohen-Macaulay property of a bipartite undirected graph.*

5 The graph K_G

Another undirected graph associated to a digraph is now introduced.

DEFINITION 5.1. *Let G be a digraph. Let K_G be the undirected graph with $V(K_G)=V(G)\cup\{z_1,\dots,z_n\}=\{v_1,\dots,v_n,z_1,\dots,z_n\}$ and $E(K_G)=\{e=\{z_i,v_j\}: [v_i,v_j]\in E(G)\}$. K_G is called the sink-source undirected graph associated to G .*

K_G is a subgraph of H_G by its own definition.

REMARK 5.1. *If G is a digraph, and $G^* = K_G \setminus L$, where L is the set of isolated vertices, then the extended divertex ideal of K_G is equal to $I(G^*, V(G^*))$.*

5.1 The Cohen-Macaulay property for K_G

Since we know the characterization of the Cohen-Macaulay property for H_G through the corresponding properties of the digraph G as in theorem 4.3 and K_G is a subgraph of H_G , it is natural to ask whether this property is preserved in K_G .

The answer in general is negative. In fact when H_G is C-M graph there are several cases when K_G is C-M and when K_G is not C-M as in the examples below.

REMARK 5.2. *A necessary condition on G for the Cohen-Macaulay property on K_G is*

(1) *The number of vertices that are pure sources is equal to the number of vertices that are pure sinks.*

In fact every source and every sink in G determines an isolated vertex in K_G . Now the cardinalities of the two bipartition sets in every connected component in K_G have to be equal, so it is necessary to have the same number of isolated vertices among z 's and v 's.

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let G_1 be the digraph with $V(G_1) = \{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$ and $E(G_1) = \{[v_1, v_2], [v_1, v_3], [v_1, v_4], [v_2, v_3], [v_2, v_4]\}$. G_1 is transitive, so H_{G_1} is C-M, by 4.3. K_{G_1} is given by $V(K_{G_1}) = \{z_1, \dots, z_4, v_1, \dots, v_4\}$ and $E(K_{G_1}) = \{\{z_1, v_2\}, \{z_1, v_3\}, \{z_1, v_4\}, \{z_2, v_3\}, \{z_2, v_4\}\}$, K_{G_1} is not C-M. In fact it has four connected components: three isolated vertices (v_1 , z_3 and z_4), that are trivially Cohen-Macaulay, and a bipartite graph with 5 vertices, that is not C-M by 4.1.

EXAMPLE 5.2. Let G_2 be the digraph with $V(G_2) = \{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$ and $E(G_2) = \{[v_1, v_2], [v_1, v_4], [v_3, v_2], [v_3, v_4]\}$. G_2 is transitive, so H_{G_2} is C-M, by 4.3. K_{G_2} is given by $V(K_{G_2}) = \{z_1, \dots, z_4, v_1, \dots, v_4\}$ and $E(K_{G_2}) = \{\{z_1, v_2\}, \{z_1, v_4\}, \{z_3, v_2\}, \{z_3, v_4\}\}$, K_{G_2} is not C-M. In fact it has four connected components: four isolated vertices (v_1 , v_3 , z_2 and z_4), that are trivially Cohen-Macaulay, and a bipartite graph with 4 vertices, that is not C-M by 4.1. G_2 is transitive and K_{G_2} verifies the condition (1) expressed in the previous remark, but once again it is not C-M.

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let G_3 be the digraph with $V(G_3) = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ and $E(G_3) = \{[v_1, v_2], [v_1, v_3], [v_1, v_5], [v_2, v_3], [v_2, v_5], [v_4, v_5]\}$. G_3 is transitive, and so H_{G_3} is Cohen-Macaulay. K_{G_3} has four isolated vertices (two pure sources and two pure sinks) and a connected component whose vertices are $\{z_1, z_2, z_4, v_2, v_3, v_5\}$ and whose edges are $\{\{z_1, v_2\}, \{z_1, v_3\}, \{z_1, v_5\}, \{z_2, v_3\}$,

$\{z_2, v_5\}, \{z_4, v_5\}$, that is C-M by 4.1.

Here we show some necessary conditions, that allow to prove the C-M property for the undirected graph K_G associated to a digraph G .

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let G be a transitive digraph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, satisfying (1) and let K_G be the associated source-sink undirected graph. Let us suppose K_G has a perfect matching M and condition (b) in 4.1 is not satisfied.*

Then there exists a perfect matching M_1 for K_G , with $M_1 \neq M$.

Proof. The graph K_G has a perfect matching, by hypothesis, and it is bipartite, by definition, so thanks to ?? there exists a digraph G' , such that $K_G = H_{G'}$. Moreover condition (b) is not verified for K_G , so by virtue of 4.2 G' is not a dag. Then there exists a directed cycle in G' of length k , that determines a cycle C in K_G of length $2k$; let $C = \{e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_{2k}}\}$. By construction (see [CF05c] teorema sui cicli (thm 5)), C is such that k disjoint edges of C are contained in the matching M . Then the set of edges of C is $N_1 \cup N_2$, with $N_1 \subseteq M$.

Let $M_1 = N_2 \cup (M \setminus N_1)$. M_1 is a perfect matching for K_G . In fact every edge in N_2 is not in M , because it shares its vertices with edges in N_1 , so in M , that is a matching. So, the edges in M_1 are disjoint and M_1 is a matching. Finally, since $N_2 \cap M = \emptyset$, a fortiori $N_2 \cap (M \setminus N_1) = \emptyset$, so $|M_1| = |N_2| + |M - N_1| = k + (n - k) = n$; this suffices to state that M_1 is perfect.

□

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let G be a transitive digraph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and let K_G be the associated source-sink undirected graph. If K_G has a unique perfect matching, then the conditions **(a)** and **(b)** described in 4.1, in order to be Cohen-Macaulay are satisfied.*

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let G be a transitive digraph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and let K_G be the associated source-sink undirected graph. K_G is CM if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) $s = \text{sink}(G) = |\{v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a sink in } G\}| = \text{source}(G) = |\{v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a source in } G\}|$;
- (2) $|E(G)| \leq \frac{(n-s+1)(n-s)}{2}$;
- (3) K_G has a connected component G' with $|E(G')| = |K_G|$ and G' has a unique perfect matching M ;
- (4) if $[v_i, v_j], [v_h, v_j], [v_h, v_k] \in E(G)$, with $\{z_h, v_j\} \in M$, then $[v_i, v_k] \in E(G)$ for all $i, j, h, k = 1, \dots, n$, $i \neq j \neq h \neq k$.

Proof. If K_G is CM, then (1), (2), (3) and (4) are satisfied. In fact since K_G is a subgraph of the bipartite graph H_G , then it is bipartite by its own definition. In fact K_G cannot have odd cycles. K_G CM implies that it has the connected components $\{v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a sink in } G\}$, $\{v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a source in } G\}$ and the connected component G' with $V(G') = \{z_{\sigma(j)}, v_{\tau(j)} : j = 1, \dots, n-s, v_{\sigma(j)} \text{ source in } G \text{ and } v_{\tau(j)} \text{ sink in } G \text{ for all } j = n-s+1, \dots, n, \sigma, \tau \in S_n\}$ by (a) of 4.1. Since G' has to be bipartite and CM, then $|V(G')|$ is even and then (1) is satisfied. (2) follows from the definition of K_G . In fact $|E(G)| = |E(K_G)|$ and (2) must be satisfied, because

a bipartite CM graph with $2(n - s)$ vertices has at most $\frac{(n-s+1)(n-s)}{2}$ edges by (a), (b) and (c) of 4.1. (3) is satisfied because a bipartite CM graph has a perfect matching by (a) of 4.1 and such matching is unique because it is a comparability graph by (b) and (c) of 4.1. Finally (4) is equivalent to the property (c). In fact by 4.1 we can suppose that $E(K_G) = \{ [z_{\sigma(i)}, v_{\tau(j)}] : i, j = 1, \dots, n - s \text{ and } i \leq j \}$ and (4) is equivalent to the property (c) with $\sigma(i) = i, \sigma(j) = h, \tau(j) = j, \tau(h) = k$.

Conversely suppose that G satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4). Condition (1) implies that K_G is a subgraph of H_G with connected components $\{ v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a sink in } G \}, \{ v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a source in } G \}$ and the connected component G' with set of vertices $V(G') = \{ z_{\sigma(j)}, v_{\tau(j)} : j = 1, \dots, n - s, v_{\sigma(j)} \text{ source in } G \text{ and } v_{\tau(j)} \text{ sink in } G \text{ for all } j = n - s + 1, \dots, n, \sigma, \tau \in S_n \}$. $E(K_G) = E(G')$ by definition of K_G and G' is a bipartite subgraph of H_G with $2(n - s)$ vertices and bipartition set (Z, V) , with $Z = \{ z_{\sigma(j)} : j = n - s + 1, \dots, n \}$ and $V = \{ v_{\tau(j)} : j = n - s + 1, \dots, n \}$. Since every vertex in G' is in some edge in K_G by definition of K_G and by (1), then G' bipartite implies by Hall's theorem that it has a perfect matching and the edge set $E(G')$ is union of disjoint matchings of G' . Furthermore such perfect matching is unique by (3). By (2) $|E(G)| = |E(K_G)| = |E(G')|$ satisfies the necessary condition on the maximal number of edges in a CM bipartite graph. By (3) we can suppose that $M = \{ [z_{\sigma(j)}, v_{\tau(j)}] : j = n - s + 1, \dots, n \}$ is the perfect matching of G' and $M' = \{ [z_{\sigma(j)}, v_{\tau(j)}] : j = n - s + 2, \dots, n \}$ is not a perfect matching of G' . Since M is unique, then it follows that there is no edge $[z_{\sigma(j)}, v_{\tau(n-s+1)}], j = n - s + 2, \dots, n$ in $E(G')$. \square

REMARK 5.3. *Directed paths in G*

Let G be a transitive digraph on the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, with s number of sources and sinks and let M be the unique perfect matching in K_G . Then it is possible to say something on some directed paths in G , coming from M .

Since G is transitive, it is a DAG and so it is possible to reorder the vertices in such a way by drawing them left to right, all the edges are directed from left to right (topological sort). In general there are several of these orderings on V , but there is at least one of them, namely $O = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}\}$, such that the edges coming from M are directed from v_{i_j} to $v_{i_{j+1}}$; in fact it is sufficient to choose the vertices in O by using the rule that v_i is on the left on v_j if the edge $\{z_i, v_j\}$ is in the matching M . This rule is consistent with our graph, because it can not happen to find a vertex on the left of itself, otherwise the graph G should have a directed cycle, against the hypothesis of transitivity. So, there exist in G a set P of s directed paths, drawn from left to right. In particular every path in P starts in one of the s source and finishes in one of the s sinks. Moreover, the set P mentioned above touches all the vertices in V , so it is a particular edge cover for G .

EXAMPLE 5.4. Let G_4 be the digraph on the vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ and edges $\{[v_2, v_1], [v_1, v_5], [v_2, v_5], [v_2, v_3], [v_4, v_3]\}$.

G_4 is transitive, and it has two sources (v_2 and v_4) and two sinks (v_3 and v_5). The associated sink-source graph K_{G_4} has four isolated vertices (v_2, v_4, z_3, z_5) and a unique perfect matching $M = \{\{z_2, v_1\}, \{z_1, v_5\}, \{z_4, v_3\}\}$. Among the possible topological sorts over the vertices, we can choose our ordering in such a way $v_2 < v_1, v_1 < v_5$ and $v_4 < v_3$. By taking $O = \{v_2 < v_1 < v_5 < v_4 < v_3\}$, it is possible to draw the vertices in such a way all the edges

are directed from left to right and the directed paths $p_1 = \{v_2, v_1, v_5\}$ and $p_2 = \{v_4, v_3\}$ can be drawn in such a way every edge goes from a vertex to the following one.

The problem of finding a perfect matching in a undirected graph can be solved by finding the complement of the minimal edge covers of K_G , that coincide with the minimal vertex cover of the edge hypergraph associated to K_G . Another approach for the perfect matching is the Hungarian method in the package *Combinatorica* of Mathematica 4.2 .

References

- [BPS01] D. Bayer, S. Popescu and B. Sturmfels *Sygygies of Unimodular Lawrence Ideals*, *J. REine Angew. Math.* 534 (2001). 169-186
- [Bu76] B. Buchberger *A theoretical basis for the reduction of polynomials to canonical forms*, *ACM SIGSAM Bull.* 10 (1976). 19-29
- [CF06a] G. Carra' Ferro and D. Ferrarello *Ideals and Graphs, Gröbner bases and decision procedures in graphs (to appear in Discrete Mathematics) 2006 available at <http://www.dmi.unict.it/~ferrarello/nidgra28mar.pdf>*
- [CF04b] G. Carra' Ferro and D. Ferrarello *Deciding the Cohen-Macaulay property of bipartite graphs* preprint 2004
- [CF05c] G. Carra' Ferro and D. Ferrarello *Polynomial ideals and directed graphs* preprint 2005

- [CK05] J. Chen and I. A. Kanj *On approximating minimum vertex cover for graphs with perfect matchings. Theoretical Computer Science 337 (2005), no. 1-3, 305-318*
- [dJ02] H. de Jong *Modeling and Simulation of Genetic Regulatory Systems: A Literature Review, J. of Computational Biology 9 (2002), 67-103*
- [DS98] P. Diaconis and B. Sturmfels, *Algebraic algorithms for sampling from conditional distributions, Annals of Statistics 26 (1998) 363-397*
- [Fa04] Faridi S. "Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay", *J. Pure and Applied Algebra, Volume 190, Issues 1-3, Pages 121-136 (2004)*
- [Fa05] Faridi S. "Cohen-Macaulay properties of square-free monomial ideals", *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 109 (2005), no. 2, 299-329*
- [FV05] Francisco C. A., Van Tuyl A. "Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay Edge Ideals". *Preprint (2005)*
- [HH03] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Distributive lattices, bipartite graphs and Alexander duality, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, Volume 22 , Issue 3 (November 2005) pp 289 - 302*
- [HO98] T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, *Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, J. of Algebra, 207 (1998), 409-426*
- [HHX04] Herzog J., Hibi T. and Zheng X. *Cohen-Macaulay Chordal Graphs, preprint (2004)*

- [HO99] T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, *Toric ideals generated by quadratic binomials*, *J. of Algebra*, 218 (1999), 509-527
- [IsIm00] T. Ishizeki and H. Imai, *Complexity of Gröbner bases for Toric Ideals of Acyclic Tournament Graphs*, *Theoretical foundations of computer science: toward a paradigm for computing in the 21st century (2000)*, 134-139
- [Ka99] M. Katzman, *Bipartite graphs whose edge algebras are complete intersections*, *J. of Algebra*, 220 (1999), 519-530.
- [Ku05] H. W. Kuhn, , *The Hungarian method for the assignment problem*, *Naval Research Logistics*, 52(1) (2005)
- [SVV94] A. Simis , W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, *On the ideal theory of graphs*, *J. of Algebra*, 167 (1994), 389-416
- [Sk90] Skiena, S. *Implementing Discrete Mathematics: Combinatorics and Graph Theory with Mathematica*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, pp. 208-209, 1990
- [Sk03] Skiena, S. *Computational Discrete Mathematics: Combinatorics and Graph Theory with Mathematica*. Cambridge University Press, p. 353, (2003)
- [St95] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, *Am. Math. Soc.*, Providence, (1995)
- [Tr99] J. Truss *Discrete Mathematics for computer scientists*, Addison Wesley, 2nd edition, pp. 338-345 (1999)

- [VV07] A. Van Tuyl , R. H. Villarreal *Shellable graphs and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. Preprint (2007) available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701296>*
- [Vi90] Villarreal R.H., "*Cohen-Macaulay graphs*", *Manuscripta Math.* **66**, no 3 pp 277-293 (1990)
- [Vi95] R.H. Villarreal, Rees Algebras of Edge Ideals, *Comm. Algebra*, **23** (1995), 3513-3524
- [Vi01] Villarreal R.H., *Monomial algebras*, Marcel Dekker Inc., *Pure and Applied Mathematics* 238, (2001)