

# Classification of terminal simplicial reflexive $d$ -polytopes with $3d - 1$ vertices.

Mikkel Øbro

July 6, 2017

## Abstract

We classify terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytopes with  $3d - 1$  vertices. They turn out to be smooth Fano  $d$ -polytopes. When  $d$  is even there is 1 such polytope up to isomorphism, while there are 2 when  $d$  is uneven.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$  be a  $d$ -dimensional lattice, and let  $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $M$  be the dual lattice of  $N$  and  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  the dual of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . A *reflexive*  $d$ -polytope  $P$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a fully-dimensional convex lattice polytope, such that the origin is contained in the interior and such that the dual polytope  $P^* := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \ \forall y \in P\}$  is also a lattice polytope. The notion of a reflexive polytope was introduced in [3]. Two reflexive polytopes  $P$  and  $Q$  are called *isomorphic* if there exists a bijective linear map  $\varphi : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , such that  $\varphi(N) = N$  and  $\varphi(P) = Q$ . For every  $d \geq 1$  there are finitely many isomorphism classes of reflexive  $d$ -polytopes, and for  $d \leq 4$  they have been completely classified using computer algorithms ([10],[11]).

Simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytopes have at most  $3d$  vertices ([6] theorem 1). This upper bound is attained if and only if  $d$  is even and  $P$  splits into  $\frac{d}{2}$  copies of *del Pezzo 2-polytopes*  $V_2 = \text{conv}\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm(e_1 - e_2)\}$ , where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is a basis of a 2-dimensional lattice.

A reflexive polytope  $P$  is called *terminal*, if  $N \cap P = 0 \cup \mathcal{V}(P)$ . An important subclass of terminal simplicial reflexive polytopes is the class of *smooth* reflexive polytopes, also known as *smooth Fano* polytopes: A reflexive polytope  $P$  is called *smooth* if the vertices of every face  $F$  of  $P$  is a part of a basis of the lattice  $N$ . Smooth Fano  $d$ -polytopes have been intensively studied and completely classified up to dimension 4 ([1],[4],[14],[16]). In higher dimensions not much is known. There are classification results valid in any dimension, when the polytopes have few vertices ([2],[9]) or if one assumes some extra symmetries ([5], [8], [15]). Some of these results have been generalized to simplicial reflexive polytopes ([13]).

In this paper we classify terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytopes with  $3d - 1$  vertices for arbitrary  $d$ . It turns out that these are in fact smooth Fano  $d$ -polytopes.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope with  $3d - 1$  vertices. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  be a basis of the lattice  $N$ .*

*If  $d$  is even, then  $P$  is isomorphic to the convex hull of the points*

$$\begin{aligned} & e_1, \pm e_2, \dots, \pm e_d \\ & \pm(e_1 - e_2), \dots, \pm(e_{d-1} - e_d). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

*If  $d$  is uneven, then  $P$  is isomorphic to either the convex hull of the points*

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_{d-1}, e_d \\ & \pm(e_1 - e_2), \dots, \pm(e_{d-2} - e_{d-1}), e_1 - e_d. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

*or the convex hull of the points*

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_d \\ & \pm(e_2 - e_3), \dots, \pm(e_{d-1} - e_d). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*In particular,  $P$  is a smooth Fano  $d$ -polytope.*

A key concept in this paper is the notion of a special facet: A facet  $F$  of a simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope  $P$  is called *special*, if the sum of the vertices  $\mathcal{V}(P)$  of  $P$  is a non-negative linear combination of vertices of  $F$ . In particular,  $\langle u_F, \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(P)} v \rangle \geq 0$ , where  $u_F \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the unique element determined by  $\langle u_F, F \rangle = \{1\}$ . The polytope  $P$  is reflexive, so  $\langle u_F, v \rangle$  is an integer for every  $v \in \mathcal{V}(P)$ . As  $\langle u_F, v \rangle \leq 1$  with equality if and only if  $v \in F$ , there are at most  $d$  vertices  $v$  such that  $\langle u_F, v \rangle \leq -1$ . For simplicity, let  $H(F, i) := \{x \in N \mid \langle u_F, x \rangle = i\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is well-known that at most  $d$  vertices of  $P$  are situated in  $H(F, 0)$  for any facet  $F$  of  $P$  ([7] section 2.3 remarks 5(2)). If  $P$  has  $3d - 1$  vertices and  $F$  is a special facet of  $P$ , then

$$d - 1 \leq |\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 0)| \leq d,$$

and there are only three possibilities for the placement of the  $3d - 1$  vertices of  $P$  in the hyperplanes  $H(F, i)$  as shown in the table below.

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 1) $	$d$	$d$	$d$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 0) $	$d$	$d$	$d - 1$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -1) $	$d - 1$	$d - 2$	$d$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -2) $	$0$	$1$	$0$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) $	$3d - 1$	$3d - 1$	$3d - 1$

We prove theorem 1 by considering these three cases separately for terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytopes.

The paper is organised as follows: In section 2 we define some notation and prove some well-known basic facts about simplicial reflexive polytopes. In section 3 we define the notion of special facets. In section 4 we prove some lemmas needed in section 5 for the proof of theorem 1.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank his advisor Johan P. Hansen for advice and encouragement.

## 2 Notation and basic results

In this section we fix the notation and prove some basic facts about simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytopes.

From now on  $N$  denotes a  $d$ -dimensional lattice,  $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , and  $M$  denotes the dual lattice. Let  $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  and let  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  denote the dual of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

By  $\text{conv}K$  we denote the convex hull of a set  $K$ . A polytope is the convex hull of finitely many points, and a  $k$ -polytope is a polytope of dimension  $k$ . Recall that faces of a polytope of dimension 0 are called *vertices*, while codimension 1 and 2 faces are called *facets* and *ridges*, respectively. The set of vertices of any polytope  $P$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{V}(P)$ .

### 2.1 Simplicial polytopes containing the origin in the interior

A  $d$ -polytope  $P$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is called *simplicial* if every face of  $P$  is a simplex.

*In this section  $P$  will be a simplicial  $d$ -polytope in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  with  $0 \in \text{int}P$ .*

For any facet  $F$  of  $P$ , we define  $u_F$  to be the unique element in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  where  $\langle u_F, x \rangle = 1$  for every point  $x \in F$ . Certainly for any vertex  $v$  and any facet  $F$  of  $P$ ,  $\langle u_F, v \rangle \leq 1$  with equality if and only if  $v$  is a vertex of  $F$ .

We also define some points  $u_F^v \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$  for any facet  $F$  of  $P$  and any vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ :  $u_F^v$  is the unique element where  $\langle u_F^v, v \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle u_F^v, w \rangle = 0$  for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{v\}$ . In other words,  $\{u_F^v | v \in \mathcal{V}(F)\}$  is the basis of  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  dual to the basis  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

When  $F$  is a facet of  $P$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ , there is a unique ridge  $R = \text{conv}(\mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{v\})$  of  $P$  and a unique facet  $F'$  of  $P$ , such that  $F \cap F' = R$ . We denote this facet by  $N(F, v)$  and call it a *neighboring facet of  $F$* . The set  $\mathcal{V}(N(F, v))$  consists of the vertices  $\mathcal{V}(R)$  of the ridge  $R$  and a unique vertex  $v'$ , which we call a *neighboring vertex of  $F$*  and denote it by  $n(F, v)$ . See figure 1.

The next lemma shows how  $u_F$  and  $u_{F'}$  are related, when  $F'$  is a neighboring facet of the facet  $F$ .




Figure 1: This illustrates the concepts of neighboring facets and neighboring vertices.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a simplicial  $d$ -polytope containing the origin in the interior. Let  $F$  be a facet of  $P$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ . Let  $F'$  be the neighboring facet  $N(F, v)$  and  $v'$  the neighboring vertex  $n(F, v)$ .*

*Then for any point  $x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,*

$$\langle u_{F'}, x \rangle = \langle u_F, x \rangle + (\langle u_{F'}, v \rangle - 1) \langle u_F^v, x \rangle.$$

*In particular,*

- $\langle u_F^v, x \rangle < 0$  iff  $\langle u_{F'}, x \rangle > \langle u_F, x \rangle$ .
- $\langle u_F^v, x \rangle > 0$  iff  $\langle u_{F'}, x \rangle < \langle u_F, x \rangle$ .
- $\langle u_F^v, x \rangle = 0$  iff  $\langle u_{F'}, x \rangle = \langle u_F, x \rangle$ .

*Proof.* The vertices of  $F$  span  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and

$$x = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(F)} \langle u_F^w, x \rangle w \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u_F, x \rangle = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(F)} \langle u_F^w, x \rangle.$$

The vertices of the neighboring facet  $F' = N(F, v)$  are  $\{v'\} \cup \mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{v\}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_{F'}, x \rangle &= \langle u_F^v, x \rangle \langle u_{F'}, v \rangle + \langle u_F, x \rangle - \langle u_F^v, x \rangle \\ &= \langle u_F, x \rangle + (\langle u_{F'}, v \rangle - 1) \langle u_F^v, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The vertex  $v$  is not on the facet  $F'$ , and then the term  $\langle u_{F'}, v \rangle - 1$  is negative. From this the equivalences follow.  $\square$

## 2.2 Simplicial reflexive polytopes

A polytope  $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is called a *lattice polytope* if  $\mathcal{V}(P) \subset N$ . A lattice polytope is called *reflexive*, if  $0 \in \text{int}P$  and  $\mathcal{V}(P^*) \subset M$ , where

$$P^* := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \ \forall y \in P\}$$

is the dual of  $P$ .

From now on  $P$  denotes a *simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope*.

Reflexivity guarantees that  $u_F \in M$  for every facet  $F$  of  $P$ , and every vertex of  $P$  lies in one of the lattice hyperplanes

$$H(F, i) := \{x \in N \mid \langle u_F, x \rangle = i\} \quad , \quad i \in \{1, 0, -1, -2, \dots\}$$

In particular, for every facet  $F$  and every vertex  $v$  of  $P$ :  $v \notin F$  iff  $\langle u_F, v \rangle \leq 0$ . This can put some restrictions on the points of  $P$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $P$  be a simplicial reflexive polytope. For every facet  $F$  of  $P$  and every vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$  we have*

$$\langle u_F, x \rangle - 1 \leq \langle u_F^v, x \rangle$$

for any  $x \in P$ . In case of equality,  $x$  is on the facet  $N(F, v)$ .

*Proof.* The inequality is obvious, when  $\langle u_F^v, x \rangle > 0$ . So assume  $\langle u_F^v, x \rangle \leq 0$ . Let  $F'$  be the neighboring facet  $N(F, v)$ .

Since  $x \in P$ ,  $\langle u_{F'}, x \rangle \leq 1$  with equality iff  $x \in F'$ . From lemma 2 we then have

$$\langle u_F, x \rangle - 1 \leq (1 - \langle u_{F'}, v \rangle) \langle u_F^v, x \rangle \leq \langle u_F^v, x \rangle$$

as  $\langle u_{F'}, v \rangle \leq 0$ . □

The next lemma concerns an important property of simplicial reflexive polytopes.

**Lemma 4** ([7] section 2.3 remarks 5(2), [12] lemma 5.5). *Let  $F$  be a facet and  $x \in H(F, 0)$  be vertex of a simplicial reflexive polytope  $P$ . Then  $x$  is a neighboring vertex of  $F$ .*

*More precisely, for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$  where  $\langle u_F^w, x \rangle < 0$ ,  $x$  is equal to  $n(F, w)$ . In particular, for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$  there is at most one vertex  $x \in H(F, 0) \cap \mathcal{V}(P)$ , with  $\langle u_F^w, x \rangle < 0$ .*

*As a consequence, there are at most  $d$  vertices of  $P$  in  $H(F, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\langle u_F, x \rangle = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(F)} \langle u_F^w, x \rangle = 0$  and  $x \neq 0$ , there is at least one  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$  for which  $\langle u_F^w, x \rangle < 0$ . Choose such a  $w$  and consider the neighboring facet  $F' = N(F, w)$ . By lemma 2 we get that  $0 < \langle u_{F'}, x \rangle \leq 1$ . As  $P$  is reflexive,  $\langle u_{F'}, x \rangle = 1$  and then  $x = n(F, w)$ .

The remaining statements follow immediately. □

### 3 Special facets

Now we define the notion of special facets, which will be of great use to us in the proof of theorem 1.

*P is a simplicial reflexive d-polytope in this section.*

Consider the sum of all the vertices of  $P$ ,

$$\nu_P := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(P)} v.$$

There exists at least one facet  $F$  of  $P$  such that  $\nu_P$  is a non-negative linear combination of vertices of  $F$ , i.e.  $\langle u_F^w, \nu_P \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ . We call facets with this property *special*.

Let  $F$  be a special facet of  $P$ . In particular we have that

$$0 \leq \langle u_F, \nu_P \rangle,$$

which implies that

$$0 \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(P)} \langle u_F, v \rangle = \sum_{i \leq 1} i |H(F, i) \cap \mathcal{V}(P)| = d + \sum_{i \leq -1} i |H(F, i) \cap \mathcal{V}(P)|. \quad (4)$$

As there are at most  $d$  vertices in  $H(F, 0)$  we can easily see that  $|\mathcal{V}(P)| \leq 3d$ , which was first proved by Casagrande using a similar argument ([6] theorem 1). Notice that  $\langle u_F, v \rangle \geq -d$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $P$ . Notice also, that when  $|\mathcal{V}(P)|$  is close to  $3d$ , the vertices of  $P$  tend to be in the hyperplanes  $H(F, i)$  for  $i \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ .

### 4 Many vertices in $H(F, 0)$

We now study some cases of many vertices in  $H(F, 0)$ , where  $F$  is a facet of a simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope. The lemmas proven here are ingredients in the proof of theorem 1.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $F$  be a facet of a simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope  $P$ . Suppose there are at least  $d - 1$  vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}$  in  $\mathcal{V}(F)$ , such that  $n(F, v_i) \in H(F, 0)$  and  $\langle u_F^{v_i}, n(F, v_i) \rangle = -1$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of the lattice  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from statement 3 in [12] lemma 5.5. □

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $P$  be a simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope, such that*

$$|\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 0)| \geq d - 1$$

*for every facet  $F$  of  $P$ . Then there exists a facet  $G$  of  $P$ , such that  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  is a basis of  $N$ .*

*Proof.* By lemma 5 we are done, if there exists a facet  $G$  such that the set

$$\{v \in \mathcal{V}(G) \mid n(G, v) \in H(G, 0) \text{ and } \langle u_G^v, n(G, v) \rangle = -1\}$$

is of size at least  $d - 1$ . So we suppose that no such facet exists.

For every facet  $F$  we denote the volume of the  $d$ -simplex  $\text{conv}(\{0\} \cup \mathcal{V}(F))$  by  $\text{vol}(F)$ . When  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  are the vertices of  $F$ , the volume  $\text{vol}(F)$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{d!}|\det A_F|$ , where  $A_F$  is the matrix

$$A_F := \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

The volume  $\text{vol}(N(F, v_i))$  of the neighboring facet  $N(F, v_i)$  is then

$$\text{vol}(N(F, v_i)) = \frac{1}{d!}|\det A_{F'}| = \frac{|\langle u_F^{v_i}, n(F, v_i) \rangle|}{d!}|\det A_F|.$$

Now, let  $F_0$  be an arbitrary facet of  $P$ . There must be at least one vertex  $v$  of  $F_0$ , such that  $v' = n(F_0, v) \in H(F_0, 0)$ , but  $\langle u_{F_0}^v, v' \rangle \neq -1$ . Then  $0 > \langle u_{F_0}^v, v' \rangle > -1$  by lemma 3. Let  $F_1$  denote the neighboring facet  $N(F_0, v)$ . Then  $\text{vol}(F_0) > \text{vol}(F_1)$ .

We can proceed in this way to produce an infinite sequence of facets

$$F_0, F_1, F_2, \dots \quad \text{where} \quad \text{vol}(F_0) > \text{vol}(F_1) > \text{vol}(F_2) > \dots$$

But there are only finitely many facets of  $P$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $F$  be a facet of a simplicial reflexive polytope  $P \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ ,  $v_1 \neq v_2$ , and set  $y_1 = n(F, v_1)$  and  $y_2 = n(F, v_2)$ . Suppose  $y_1 \neq y_2$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in H(F, 0)$  and  $\langle u_F^{v_1}, y_1 \rangle = \langle u_F^{v_2}, y_2 \rangle = -1$ .*

*Then there are no vertex  $x \in \mathcal{V}(P)$  in  $H(F, -1)$  with  $\langle u_F^{v_1}, x \rangle = \langle u_F^{v_2}, x \rangle = -1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the statement is not true.

For simplicity, let  $G = \text{conv}(\mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\})$ . The vertex  $x$  written as a linear combination of  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is then

$$x = -v_1 - v_2 + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(G)} \langle u_F^w, x \rangle w.$$

The vertices of the facet  $F_1 = N(F, v_1)$  are  $\{y_1\} \cup (\mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{v_1\})$ , where

$$y_1 = -v_1 + \langle u_F^{v_2}, y_1 \rangle v_2 + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(G)} \langle u_F^w, y_1 \rangle w.$$

In the basis (of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ )  $F_1$  provides we have

$$x = y_1 + (-1 - \langle u_F^{v_2}, y_1 \rangle) v_2 + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(G)} \langle u_F^w, x - y_1 \rangle w$$

The vertex  $x$  is in  $H(F_1, 0)$  by lemma 2. Certainly,  $\langle u_F^{v_2}, y_1 \rangle \leq 0$ , otherwise we would have a contradiction to lemma 3. On the other hand,  $\langle u_F^{v_2}, y_1 \rangle \geq 0$ , as  $n(F, v_2) \neq y_1$ . So  $\langle u_F^{v_2}, y_1 \rangle = 0$  and  $x = n(F_1, v_2)$ . Similarly,  $\langle u_F^{v_1}, y_2 \rangle = 0$ .

$$y_2 = -v_2 + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(G)} \langle u_F^w, y_2 \rangle w.$$

But then  $y_2$  and  $x$  are both in  $H(F_1, 0)$  and both have negative  $v_2$ -coordinate. This is a contradiction to lemma 4.  $\square$

#### 4.1 The terminal case

If we assume that the simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope  $P$  is terminal, we can sharpen our results in case of  $d$  vertices in  $H(F, 0)$  for some facet  $F$  of  $P$ . Recall, that a reflexive polytope is called *terminal* if  $\mathcal{V}(P) \cup \{0\} = P \cap N$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $P$  be a terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope. If there are  $d$  vertices of  $P$  in  $H(F, 0)$  for some facet  $F$  of  $P$ , then*

$$\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 0) = \{-y + z_y \mid y \in \mathcal{V}(F)\}$$

where  $z_y \in \mathcal{V}(F)$  for every  $y$ .

In particular  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of the lattice  $N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ . By lemma 4 there exists exactly one vertex  $x \in H(F, 0)$ , such that  $x = n(F, y)$ . Conversely, there are no vertex  $y' \neq y$  of  $F$ , such that  $x = n(F, y')$ . So  $x$  is on the form

$$x = -by + a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k \quad , \quad 0 < b \leq 1 \quad , \quad 0 < a_i \quad \text{and} \quad w_i \in \mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{y\} \quad \forall i,$$

where  $b = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$ .

Suppose there exists a facet  $G$  containing both  $x$  and  $y$ . Then

$$1 + b = \langle u_G, x + by \rangle = \langle u_G, a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k \rangle \leq \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = b.$$

Which is a contradiction. So there are no such facets.

Consider the lattice point  $z_y = x + y$ . For any facet  $G$  of  $P$ ,  $\langle u_G, z_y \rangle \leq 1$  as both  $\langle u_G, x \rangle, \langle u_G, y \rangle \leq 1$  and both cannot be equal to 1. So  $z_y$  is a lattice point in  $P$ . Since  $P$  is terminal,  $z_y$  is either a vertex of  $P$  or the origin.

As  $1 = \langle u_F, x + y \rangle = \langle u_F, z_y \rangle$ ,  $z_y$  must be a vertex of  $F$  and  $y \neq z_y$ . And then we're done.

The vertex set  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of  $N$  by lemma 5.  $\square$






Figure 2: Terminality is important in lemma 8: This is a simplicial reflexive (self-dual) 2-polytope with 5 vertices. Consider the facet  $F$  containing 3 lattice points. The two vertices in  $H(F, 0)$  is not on the form  $-y + z_y$  for vertices  $y, z_y \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ .

The proof of lemma 8 is inspired by proposition 3.1 in [12].

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $F$  be a facet of a terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope  $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , such that  $|H(F, 0) \cap \mathcal{V}(P)| = d$ . If  $x \in H(F, -1) \cap P$ , then  $-x \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* The vertex set  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of the lattice  $N$ , and every vertex in  $H(F, 0)$  is of the form  $-y + z$  for some  $y, z \in \mathcal{V}(F)$  (lemma 8).

Let  $x$  be vertex of  $P$  in  $H(F, -1)$ .

$$x = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}(F)} \langle u_F^w, x \rangle w,$$

where  $\langle u_F^w, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ . If  $\langle u_F^w, x \rangle \leq -2$  for some  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ , then  $x = n(F, w)$  (lemma 3), which is not the case. So  $\langle u_F^w, x \rangle \geq -1$  for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ . Furthermore, by lemma 7  $x$  is only allowed one negative coordinate with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{V}(F)$ . The only possibility is then  $x = -w$ , where  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of main result

In this section we will prove theorem 1.

*Throughout the section  $P$  is a terminal simplicial reflexive  $d$ -polytope in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  with  $3d - 1$  vertices, whose sum is  $\nu_P$ , and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  is a basis of the lattice  $N$ .*

$$\nu_P := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(P)} v.$$

By the existing classification we can check that theorem 1 holds for  $d \leq 2$  ([12] proposition 4.1). So we may assume that  $d \geq 3$ .

Let  $F$  be a special facet of  $P$ , i.e.  $\langle u_F^w, \nu_P \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $w \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ . Of course, there are  $d$  vertices of  $P$  in  $H(F, 1)$ . The remaining  $2d - 1$  vertices

are in the hyperplanes  $H(F, i)$  for  $i \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots, -d\}$ , such that

$$0 \leq \langle u_F, \nu_P \rangle = d + \sum_{i \leq -1} i \cdot |\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, i)|.$$

So there are three cases to consider.

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 1) $	$d$	$d$	$d$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, 0) $	$d$	$d$	$d - 1$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -1) $	$d - 1$	$d - 2$	$d$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -2) $	$0$	$1$	$0$
$ \mathcal{V}(P) $	$3d - 1$	$3d - 1$	$3d - 1$

We will consider these cases separately.

**Case 1.** There are  $d$  vertices in  $H(F, 0)$ , so by lemma 8  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of  $N$ . We may then assume that  $\mathcal{V}(F) = \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ .

The sum of the vertices is a lattice point on  $F$ , since  $\langle u_F, \nu_P \rangle = 1$ . As  $P$  is terminal, this must be a vertex  $e_i$  of  $F$ , say  $\nu_P = e_1$ . Then a facet  $F'$  of  $P$  is a special facet iff  $e_1 \in \mathcal{V}(F')$ .

There are  $d - 1$  vertices in  $H(F, -1)$ , so by lemma 9 we get

$$\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -1) = \{-e_1, \dots, -e_{j-1}, -e_{j+1}, \dots, -e_d\},$$

for some  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Now, there are two possibilities:  $j = 1$  or  $j \neq 1$ , that is  $-e_1 \notin \mathcal{V}(P)$  or  $e_1 \in \mathcal{V}(P)$ .

$-e_1 \notin \mathcal{V}(P)$ . Then  $-e_i \in \mathcal{V}(P)$  for every  $2 \leq i \leq d$ . There are  $d$  vertices in  $H(F, 0)$ , so by lemma 8 there is a vertex  $-e_1 + e_{a_1}$ , which we can assume to be  $-e_1 + e_2$ .

Consider the facet  $F' = N(F, e_2)$ . This is a special facet, so we can show that

$$\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F', -1) = \mathcal{V}(-F') \setminus \{-e_1\}.$$

The vertex  $-e_1 + e_2$  is in the hyperplane  $H(F', -1)$ . So  $e_1 - e_2$  is a vertex of  $F'$  (lemma 9), and then of  $P$ .

For every  $3 \leq i \leq d$  we use the same procedure to show that  $-e_i + e_{a_i}$  and  $-e_{a_i} + e_i$  are vertices of  $P$ . This shows that  $d$  is even and that  $P$  is isomorphic to the convex hull of the points in (1).

$-e_1 \in \mathcal{V}(P)$ . We may assume  $-e_d \notin \mathcal{V}(P)$ . The sum of the vertices  $\mathcal{V}(P)$  is  $e_1$ , so there are exactly two vertices in  $H(F, 0)$  of the form  $-e_k + e_1$  and  $-e_l + e_1$ ,  $k \neq l$ . We wish to show that  $k = d$  or

$l = d$ . This is obvious for  $d = 3$ . So suppose  $d \geq 4$  and  $k, l \neq d$ , that is  $-e_k, -e_l \in \mathcal{V}(P)$ .

Consider the facet  $F' = N(F, e_k)$ , which is a special facet. So by the arguments above we get that

$$\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F', -1) = \mathcal{V}(-F') \setminus \{-e_d\}.$$

As  $\mathcal{V}(F') = \{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1}, e_d, -e_k + e_1\}$ , we have that  $-e_1 + e_k$  must be a vertex of  $P$ .

In a similar way we get that  $-e_1 + e_l$  is a vertex of  $P$ . But this is a contradiction. So  $k$  or  $l$  is equal to  $d$ , and without loss of generality, we can assume that  $k = 2$  and  $l = d$ .

For  $3 \leq i \leq d - 1$  we proceed in a similar way to get that both  $-e_i + e_{a_i}$  and  $-e_{a_i} + e_i$  are vertices of  $P$ .

And so we have showed that  $d$  must be uneven and that  $P$  is isomorphic to the convex hull of the points in (2).

**Case 2.** Since  $\langle u_F, \nu_P \rangle = 0$ , the sum of the vertices is the origin, so every facet of  $P$  is special. There are  $d$  vertices in  $H(F, 0)$ , so  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of  $N$  (lemma 8). Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\mathcal{V}(F) = \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ . By lemma 9

$$x \in \mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -1) \implies x = -e_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Consider the single vertex  $v$  in the hyperplane  $H(F, -2)$ . If  $\langle u_F^{e_j}, v \rangle > 0$  for some  $j$  then  $\langle u_{F'}^{e_j}, v \rangle < -2$  for the facet  $F' = N(F, e_j)$  (lemma 2), which is not the case as  $F'$  is special. So  $\langle u_F^{e_j}, v \rangle \leq 0$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . As  $v$  is a primitive lattice point we can without loss of generality assume  $v = -e_1 - e_2$ .

There are  $d$  vertices in  $H(F, 0)$ , so there is a vertex of the form  $-e_1 + e_j$  for some  $j \neq 1$ . If  $j = 2$ , then  $-e_1 \in \text{conv}\{-e_1 + e_2, -e_1 - e_2\}$  which is not the case as  $P$  is terminal. So we may assume  $j = 3$ . In  $H(F, 0)$  we also find the vertex  $-e_2 + e_i$  for some  $i$ . A similar argument yields  $i \neq 1$ .

Let  $G = N(F, e_1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  is a basis of the lattice  $N$ . Write  $v$  in this basis.

$$v = (-e_1 + e_3) - e_3 - e_2.$$

As  $i \neq 1$ ,  $-e_2 + e_i$  is in  $H(G, 0)$  and is equal to  $n(G, e_2)$  (lemma 4).

If  $v \neq n(G, e_3)$  we have a contradiction to lemma 7. Therefore  $v = n(G, e_3)$ , and  $\text{conv}\{v, -e_1 + e_3, e_2\}$  is a face of  $P$ .

As  $e_3$  and  $-e_1 + e_3$  are vertices of  $P$ , there are at least two vertices of  $P$  with positive  $e_3$ -coordinate (with respect to the basis  $F$  provides). There is exactly one vertex in  $H(F, 0)$  with negative  $e_3$ -coordinate,

namely  $-e_3 + e_k$  for some  $k$ . Any other has to be in  $H(F, -1)$ . The vertices of  $P$  add to 0, so the point  $-e_3$  must be a vertex of  $P$ .

But  $-e_3 = -(-e_1 + e_3) + v + e_2$ , which cannot be the case as  $P$  is simplicial.

We conclude that case 2 is not possible.

**Case 3.** In this case we also have  $\langle u_F, \nu_P \rangle = 0$ , so every facet is special. Case 2 was not possible, so  $-1 \leq u_G(v) \leq 1$  for any facet  $G$  and any vertex  $v$  of  $P$ . By lemma 6 we may assume that  $\mathcal{V}(F)$  is a basis of  $N$ , say  $\mathcal{V}(F) = \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ . As case 2 was not possible,

$$\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F, -1) = \{-e_1, \dots, -e_d\}.$$

Let  $x$  be any vertex in  $H(F, 0)$ . There exists at least one  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , such that  $\langle u_F^{e_i}, x \rangle = -1$ . Consider the facet  $F' = N(F, e_i)$ :  $\mathcal{V}(F') = (\mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{e_i\}) \cup \{x\}$  is a basis of  $N$ . Case 2 was not possible, so  $\mathcal{V}(P) \cap H(F', -1) = -\mathcal{V}(F')$ , which implies  $-x \in \mathcal{V}(P)$ .

This shows that  $P$  is centrally symmetric and  $d$  must be uneven. By [12] theorem 5.9  $P$  is isomorphic to the convex hull of the points in (3).

This ends the proof of theorem 1.

## References

- [1] V. V. Batyrev, *Toroidal Fano 3-folds*, Math. USSR-Izv. 19 (1982), 13–25.
- [2] V. V. Batyrev, *On the classification of smooth projective toric varieties*, Tohoku Math. J. 43 (1991), 569–585.
- [3] V. V. Batyrev, *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. 3 (1994), no. 3, 493–535.
- [4] V. V. Batyrev, *On the classification of toric Fano 4-folds*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) 94 (1999), 1021–1050.
- [5] C. Casagrande, *Centrally symmetric generators in toric Fano varieties*, Manuscr. Math. 111 (2003), 471–485.
- [6] C. Casagrande, *The number of vertices of a Fano polytope*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 56 (2006), 121–130.
- [7] O. Debarre, *Toric Fano varieties* in Higher dimensional varieties and rational points, lectures of the summer school and conference, Budapest 2001, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies 12, Springer, 2001.

- [8] G. Ewald, *On the classification of toric Fano varieties*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 3 (1988), 49–54.
- [9] P. Kleinschmidt, *A classification of toric varieties with few generators*, Aequationes Math 35 (1988), no.2-3, 254–266.
- [10] M. Kreuzer & H. Skarke, *Classification of reflexive polyhedra in three dimensions*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998), 853–871.
- [11] M. Kreuzer & H. Skarke, *Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4 (2000), 1209–1230.
- [12] B. Nill, *Gorenstein toric Fano varieties*, Manuscr. Math. 116 (2005), 183–210.
- [13] B. Nill. *Classification of pseudo-symmetric simplicial reflexive polytopes*, Preprint, math.AG/0511294, 2005.
- [14] H. Sato, *Toward the classification of higher-dimensional Toric Fano varieties*,. Tohoku Math. J. 52 (2000), 383–413.
- [15] V.E. Voskresenskij & A. Klyachko, *Toric Fano varieties and systems of roots*. Math. USSR-Izv. 24 (1985), 221–244.
- [16] K. Watanabe & M. Watanabe, *The classification of Fano 3-folds with torus embeddings*, Tokyo Math. J. 5 (1982), 37–48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
UNIVERSITY OF ÅRHUS  
8000 ÅRHUS C  
DENMARK

*E-mail address:* oebro@imf.au.dk