

# DELTA EDGE-HOMOTOPY INVARIANTS OF SPATIAL GRAPHS VIA DISK-SUMMING THE CONSTITUENT KNOTS

RYO NIKKUNI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct some invariants of spatial graphs by disk-summing the constituent knots and show the delta edge-homotopy invariance of them. As an application, we show that there exist infinitely many slice spatial embeddings of a planar graph up to delta edge-homotopy, and there exist infinitely many boundary spatial embeddings of a planar graph up to delta edge-homotopy.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we work in the piecewise linear category. Let  $G$  be a finite graph. An embedding of  $G$  into the 3-sphere is called a *spatial embedding* of  $G$  or simply a *spatial graph*. A graph  $G$  is said to be *planar* if there exists an embedding of  $G$  into the 2-sphere, and a spatial embedding of a planar graph  $G$  is said to be *trivial* if it is ambient isotopic to an embedding of  $G$  into the 2-sphere in the 3-sphere. Note that a trivial spatial embedding of a planar graph is unique up to ambient isotopy [7].

A *delta move* is a local deformation on a spatial graph as illustrated in Fig. 1.1 which is known as an unknotting operation [8], [12]. A delta move is called a *self delta move* if all three strings in the move belong to the same spatial edge. Two spatial embeddings of a graph are said to be *delta edge-homotopic* if they are transformed into each other by self delta moves and ambient isotopies [16]. If the graph is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of 1-spheres, then this equivalence relation coincides with *self  $\Delta$ -equivalence* [22] (or *delta link homotopy* [13]) on oriented links.



FIGURE 1.1.

For self  $\Delta$ -equivalence on oriented links, Shibuya proposed the following conjectures in [22] and [23].

**Conjecture 1.1.** ([22]) *Two cobordant oriented links are self  $\Delta$ -equivalent.*

**Conjecture 1.2.** ([23]) *Any boundary link is self  $\Delta$ -equivalent to the trivial link.*

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M15; Secondary 57M25.

*Key words and phrases.* Delta edge-homotopy, Slice spatial embedding, Boundary spatial embedding, Conway polynomial, Jones polynomial.

He gave the partially affirmative answers to the conjectures above at the same time. He showed that any *ribbon link* is self  $\Delta$ -equivalent to the trivial link [22], and any 2-component boundary link is self  $\Delta$ -equivalent to the trivial link [23, Theorem 4.6]. But Nakanishi-Shibuya showed that there exists a 2-component link such that it is not self  $\Delta$ -equivalent but cobordant to the Hopf link [14, Claim 4.5], namely they gave a negative answer to Conjecture 1.1. Moreover, Nakanishi-Shibuya-Yasuhara showed that there exists a 3-component link such that it is not self  $\Delta$ -equivalent but cobordant to the Borromean rings [15, Proposition 1]. Note that both the Hopf link and the Borromean rings are not slice. On the other hand, Conjecture 1.2 was solved affirmatively by Shibuya-Yasuhara [24].

On the outcome of the results above, we investigate a more general case. A spatial embedding of a planar graph is said to be *slice* if it is cobordant<sup>1</sup> to the trivial spatial embedding. A spatial embedding of a graph is called a  $\partial$ -*spatial embedding* if all knots in the embedding bound Seifert surfaces simultaneously such that the interiors of the surfaces are mutually disjoint and disjoint from the image of the embedding [19]. If the graph is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of 1-spheres, then this definition coincides with the definition of the boundary link. We note that any non-planar graph does not have a  $\partial$ -spatial embedding [19, Corollary 1.3]. Then we ask the following questions.

**Question 1.3.** (1) *Is any slice spatial embedding of a planar graph delta edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial embedding?*  
 (2) *Is any  $\partial$ -spatial embedding of a graph delta edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial embedding?*

In fact, for *spatial theta curves*, the affirmative answers to Question 1.3 (1) and (2) have already given by the author [17, Corollary 1.3, Corollary 1.5]. But our purpose in this paper is to give the negative answers to the Questions 1.3 (1) and (2) as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** (1) *There exist infinitely many slice spatial embeddings of a graph up to delta edge-homotopy.*  
 (2) *There exist infinitely many  $\partial$ -spatial embeddings of a graph up to delta edge-homotopy.*

To accomplish this, we construct some invariants of spatial graphs by considering a disk-summing operation among the constituent knots in a spatial graph in section 2, and show the delta edge-homotopy invariance of them in section 3 (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). In section 4, we give some remarkable examples which imply Theorem 1.4. Any of those examples is demonstrated by a spatial handcuff graph (see the next section) all of whose constituent links are trivial up to self  $\Delta$ -equivalence. Therefore our examples also imply that delta edge-homotopy on spatial graphs behaves quite differently than self  $\Delta$ -equivalence on links.

**Remark 1.5.** (1) Recently, Question 1.3 (1) for oriented links was solved affirmatively by Yasuhara [26, Corollary 1.9].  
 (2) A *sharp move* is a local deformation on a spatial *oriented* graph as illustrated in Fig. 1.2 which is also known as an unknotting operation [11]. A sharp move is called a *self sharp move* if all four strings in the move belong to the same spatial edge. Two spatial embeddings of a graph are said to be *sharp edge-homotopic*

<sup>1</sup>See [25] for the precise definition of spatial graph-cobordism.

(or *self sharp-equivalent* [19]) if they are transformed into each other by self sharp moves and ambient isotopies [18].<sup>2</sup> It is known that two delta edge-homotopic spatial embeddings of a graph are sharp edge-homotopic [18, Lemma 2.1 (2)]. The author showed that two cobordant spatial embeddings of a graph are sharp edge-homotopic [18, Lemma 2.2], and the author and R. Shinjo showed that any  $\partial$ -spatial embedding of a graph is sharp edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial embedding [19, THEOREM 1.5 (1)].



FIGURE 1.2.

## 2. INVARIANTS

In this section we introduce the invariants of spatial graphs needed later. Let  $H_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be the graph as illustrated in Fig. 2.1. We give the label to each of the edges and give an orientation to each of the loops as presented in Fig. 2.1. A spatial embedding of  $H_n$  is called a *spatial  $n$ -handcuff graph*, or simply a *spatial handcuff graph* if  $n = 2$ . On that occasion, we regard  $e_1 \cup e_2$  as an edge of  $H_2$  and denote by  $e$ .




FIGURE 2.1.

Let  $L = J_1 \cup J_2 \cup \dots \cup J_n$  be an ordered and oriented  $n$ -component link. Let  $D$  be an oriented 2-disk and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are mutually disjoint arcs in  $\partial D$ , where  $\partial D$  has the orientation induced by the one of  $D$ , and these arcs appear along the orientation of  $\partial D$  in order and each arc has an orientation induced by the one of  $\partial D$ . We assume that  $D$  is embedded in the 3-sphere so that  $D \cap L = x_1 \cup x_2 \cup \dots \cup x_n$  and  $x_i \subset J_i$  with opposite orientations for any  $i$ . Then we call a knot  $K_D^{12 \dots n} = L \cup \partial D - \cup_{i=1}^n \text{int} x_i$  a  *$D$ -sum* of  $L$ . For a spatial  $n$ -handcuff graph  $f$ , we denote  $f(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n)$  by  $L_f$  and consider a  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  so that  $f(e_1 \cup e_2 \cup \dots \cup e_n) \subset D$  and  $f(e_i) \cap \partial D = f(e_i \cap \gamma_i) \subset \text{int} x_i$  for any  $i$ . We call such a  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  a  *$D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$*  and denote it by  $K_D^{12 \dots n}(f)$ .

<sup>2</sup> This equivalence relation does not depend on the edge orientations.

For a spatial handcuff graph  $f$ , we define that

$$n_{12}(f, D) = a_2(K_D^{12}(f)) - a_2(f(\gamma_1)) - a_2(f(\gamma_2))$$

and denote the modulo  $\text{lk}(L_f)$  reduction of  $n_{12}(f, D)$  by  $\bar{n}_{12}(f)$ , where  $\text{lk}$  denotes the *linking number* in the 3-sphere. Then we have the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If two spatial handcuff graphs  $f$  and  $g$  are delta edge-homotopic, then  $\bar{n}_{12}(f) = \bar{n}_{12}(g)$ .*

On the other hand, let  $f$  be a spatial 3-handcuff graph and  $K_D^{123}(f)$  a  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$ . Then, by using the same disk  $D$ , we can obtain three knots  $K_D^{12}(f)$ ,  $K_D^{23}(f)$  and  $K_D^{13}(f)$  by forgetting the components  $f(\gamma_3)$ ,  $f(\gamma_1)$  and  $f(\gamma_2)$ , respectively, namely by the  $D$ -sums of sublinks  $f(\gamma_1) \cup f(\gamma_2)$ ,  $f(\gamma_2) \cup f(\gamma_3)$  and  $f(\gamma_1) \cup f(\gamma_3)$  of  $L_f$ . Then we define that

$$n_{123}(f, D) = -v_3(K_D^{123}(f)) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} v_3(K_D^{ij}(f)) - \sum_{i=1}^3 v_3(f(\gamma_i)),$$

where  $v_3(J) = (1/36)V_J^{(3)}(1)$  and  $V_J^{(3)}(1)$  denotes the third derivative at 1 of the *Jones polynomial*<sup>3</sup> of a knot  $J$ . Assume that  $L_f$  is *algebraically split*, namely all of the pairwise linking numbers of  $L_f$  are zero. Then we denote the modulo  $\mu_{123}(L_f)$  reduction of  $n_{123}(f, D)$  by  $\bar{n}_{123}(f)$ , where  $\mu_{123}$  denotes the *triple linking number*, namely *Milnor's  $\mu$ -invariant* of length 3 of a 3-component algebraically split link [9], [10]. Then we have the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two spatial 3-handcuff graphs which are delta edge-homotopic. Assume that both  $L_f$  and  $L_g$  are algebraically split. Then it holds that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f) = \bar{n}_{123}(g)$ .*

For example, if a spatial handcuff (resp. 3-handcuff) graph  $f$  contains a Hopf link (resp. Borromean rings), then our invariants are no use. But if  $L_f$  is *link-homotopic* [9] to the trivial link, then our invariants take effect on our purpose. Because any slice link is link-homotopic to the trivial link [3], [4], and any boundary link is also link-homotopic to the trivial link [1], [2]. We prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in the next section.

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.1 AND THEOREMS 2.2

To prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we first recall some results and show a lemma needed later.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  be two oriented knots and  $J_0 = K_1 \cup K_2$  an oriented 2-component link which are identical except inside the depicted regions as illustrated in Fig. 3.1. Then we have that*

$$(1) \text{ ([5, Lemma 5.6]) } a_2(J_+) - a_2(J_-) = \text{lk}(J_0).$$

$$(2) \text{ ([18, Proposition 4.2])}$$

$$V_{J_+}^{(3)}(1) - V_{J_-}^{(3)}(1) = 36a_2(J_+) + 18\{\text{lk}(J_0)\}^2 - 36\{a_2(K_1) + a_2(K_2)\}.$$

<sup>3</sup> We calculate the Jones polynomial of a knot by the skein relation

$$tV_{J_+}(t) - t^{-1}V_{J_-}(t) = (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}})V_{J_0}(t),$$

where  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two oriented knots and  $J_0$  an oriented 2-component link which are identical except inside the depicted regions as illustrated in Fig. 3.1.




FIGURE 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K_+$  and  $K_-$  be two oriented knots and  $K_0$  an oriented 3-component link which are identical except inside the depicted regions as illustrated in Fig. 3.2. Then we have that*

- (1) ([20, Theorem 1.1])  $a_2(K_+) - a_2(K_-) = 1$ .
- (2) ([16, Theorem 3.2])  $V_{K_+}^{(3)}(1) - V_{K_-}^{(3)}(1) = 36\text{Lk}(K_0) - 18$ ,

where  $\text{Lk}(L)$  denotes the total linking number of an oriented link  $L$ .




FIGURE 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3.** (1) *Let  $f$  be a spatial handcuff graph. Then any of the self delta moves on  $f(e)$  is realized by self delta moves on  $f(\gamma_1)$  and ambient isotopies.*  
 (2) *Let  $f$  be a spatial 3-handcuff graph. Then any of the self delta moves on  $f(e_i)$  is realized by self delta moves on  $f(\gamma_i)$  and ambient isotopies ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).*

*Proof.* (1) We can see that a self delta move on  $f(e)$  is realized by a “doubled-delta move” on  $f(\gamma_1)$ , see Fig. 3.3. It is easy to see that a doubled-delta move is realized by eight delta moves on the strings in the move and ambient isotopies. Thus we have the result.

(2) We can show in the same way as (1). □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We first show that  $\bar{n}_{12}(f)$  is an ambient isotopy invariant. Let  $K_D^{12}(f)$  be a  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$  and  $K_{D'}^{12}(f)$  another  $D'$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$ . We may assume that  $K_{D'}^{12}(f)$  is obtained from  $K_D^{12}(f)$  by a positive full twist of the band corresponding to  $f(e)$ . Then by Lemma 3.1 (1) we have that

$$n_{12}(f, D') - n_{12}(f, D) = a_2(K_{D'}^{12}(f)) - a_2(K_D^{12}(f)) = \text{lk}(L_f),$$

see Fig. 3.4. This implies that  $\bar{n}_{12}(f)$  is an ambient isotopy invariant.

Next we show that  $\bar{n}_{12}(f)$  is a delta edge-homotopy invariant. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be delta edge-homotopic two spatial handcuff graphs. Then, by Lemma 3.3 (1),  $g$




FIGURE 3.3.




FIGURE 3.4.

is obtained from  $f$  by self delta moves on  $f(\gamma_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and ambient isotopies. Moreover it is known that each of the oriented delta moves can be realized by the one as illustrated in Fig. 3.5 [12, Fig. 1.1]. Hence we may assume that  $g$  is obtained from  $f$  by a self delta move on  $f(\gamma_1)$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.6 without loss of generality. Let  $K_D^{12}(f)$  be the  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.6 and  $K_D^{12}(g)$  the  $D$ -sum of  $L_g$  with respect to  $g$  by using the same  $D$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.6. Namely  $K_D^{12}(f)$  and  $K_D^{12}(g)$  are identical except the depicted parts which represents the delta move. Note that  $f(\gamma_2)$  and  $g(\gamma_2)$  are ambient isotopic. Then by Lemma 3.2 (1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & n_{12}(f, D) - n_{12}(g, D) \\ &= a_2(K_D^{12}(f)) - a_2(K_D^{12}(g)) - \{a_2(f(\gamma_1)) - a_2(g(\gamma_1))\} \\ &= 1 - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since a delta move preserves the linking number, we have that  $\bar{n}_{12}(f) = \bar{n}_{12}(g)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$




FIGURE 3.5.

**Remark 3.4.** (1) By the first half of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can also see that the modulo  $\text{lk}(L_f)$  reduction of  $a_2(K_D^{12}(f))$  is an ambient isotopy invariant of




FIGURE 3.6.

a spatial handcuff graph  $f$ .

(2) For a spatial  $n$ -handcuff graph  $f$  and a  $D$ -sum  $K_D^{12 \cdots n}(f)$  of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$ , we can generalize Theorem 2.1 as follows. Let  $l$  be the greatest common divisor of  $\text{lk}(f(\gamma_i), L_f - f(\gamma_i))$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then it can be shown that the modulo  $l$  reduction of

$$a_2(K_D^{12 \cdots n}(f)) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_2(f(\gamma_i))$$

is a delta edge-homotopy invariant of  $f$  in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.1. But this generalized version for  $n \geq 3$  is not so strong as we will see in Examples 4.2 and 4.4.

To prove Theorem 2.2, we recall another result. By Polyak's formula of the triple linking number [21], we have the following.

**Lemma 3.5.** ([21]) *Let  $L = J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3$  be an ordered and oriented algebraically split 3-component link. Let  $K_D$  be a  $D$ -sum of  $L$  and  $K_D^{23}, K_D^{13}$  and  $K_D^{12}$  three knots obtained from  $K_D^{123}$  by forgetting the components  $J_1, J_2$  and  $J_3$ , respectively. Then it holds that*

$$\mu_{123}(L) = -a_2(K_D^{123}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} a_2(K_D^{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^3 a_2(J_i).$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* We first show that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f)$  is an ambient isotopy invariant. Let  $K_D^{123}(f)$  be a  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$  and  $K_D^{123}(f)$  another  $D'$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$ . We may assume that  $K_D^{123}(f)$  is obtained from  $K_D^{123}(f)$  by a positive full twist of the band corresponding to  $f(e_1)$ , see Fig. 3.7. Then by the

skein relation as illustrated in Fig. 3.8, Lemmas 3.1 (2) and 3.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& n_{123}(f, D') - n_{123}(f, D) \\
&= -\{v_3(K_{D'}^{123}(f)) - v_3(K_D^{123}(f))\} + \{v_3(K_{D'}^{12}(f)) - v_3(K_D^{12}(f))\} \\
&\quad + \{v_3(K_{D'}^{13}(f)) - v_3(K_D^{13}(f))\} \\
&= -a_2(K_{D'}^{123}(f)) - \frac{1}{2}\text{lk}(f(\gamma_1), f(\gamma_2) \cup f(\gamma_3))^2 + \{a_2(f(\gamma_1)) + a_2(K_{D'}^{23}(f))\} \\
&\quad + a_2(K_{D'}^{12}(f)) + \frac{1}{2}\text{lk}(f(\gamma_1), f(\gamma_2))^2 - \{a_2(f(\gamma_1)) + a_2(f(\gamma_2))\} \\
&\quad + a_2(K_{D'}^{13}(f)) + \frac{1}{2}\text{lk}(f(\gamma_1), f(\gamma_3))^2 - \{a_2(f(\gamma_1)) + a_2(f(\gamma_3))\} \\
&= -a_2(K_{D'}^{123}(f)) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} a_2(K_{D'}^{ij}(f)) - \sum_{i=1}^3 a_2(f(\gamma_i)) \\
&= \mu_{123}(L_f).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f)$  is an ambient isotopy invariant.




FIGURE 3.7.

Next we show that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f)$  is a delta edge-homotopy invariant. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be delta edge-homotopic two spatial 3-handcuff graphs. Then, by Lemma 3.3 (2),  $g$  is obtained from  $f$  by self delta moves on  $f(\gamma_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and ambient isotopies. Hence we may assume that  $g$  is obtained from  $f$  by a self delta move on  $f(\gamma_1)$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.9 without loss of generality. Let  $K_D^{123}(f)$  be the  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.9 and  $K_D^{123}(g)$  the  $D$ -sum of  $L_g$  with respect to  $g$  by using the same  $D$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.9. Namely  $K_D^{123}(f)$  and  $K_D^{123}(g)$  are identical except the depicted parts which represents the delta move. Let  $h$  be the spatial 3-handcuff graph and  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  two oriented knots as illustrated in Fig. 3.9, where  $f(H_3)$ ,  $g(H_3)$  and  $h(H_3) \cup k_1 \cup k_2$  are identical except the depicted






FIGURE 3.8.

parts. Let  $K_D^{123}(h)$  be the  $D$ -sum of  $L_h$  with respect to  $h$  by using the same  $D$  as illustrated in Fig. 3.9. Then by Lemma 3.2 (2) and the homological invariance of the linking number, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & n_{123}(f, D) - n_{123}(g, D) \\
 = & -\text{lk}(k_1, K_D^{123}(h)) - \text{lk}(k_2, K_D^{123}(h)) - \text{lk}(k_1, k_2) + \frac{1}{2} \\
 & + \text{lk}(k_1, K_D^{12}(h)) + \text{lk}(k_2, K_D^{12}(h)) + \text{lk}(k_1, k_2) - \frac{1}{2} \\
 & + \text{lk}(k_1, K_D^{13}(h)) + \text{lk}(k_2, K_D^{13}(h)) + \text{lk}(k_1, k_2) - \frac{1}{2} \\
 & - \text{lk}(k_1, h(\gamma_1)) - \text{lk}(k_2, h(\gamma_2)) - \text{lk}(k_1, k_2) + \frac{1}{2} \\
 = & 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $L_f$  and  $L_g$  are self  $\Delta$ -equivalent. Thus they are also link-homotopic, namely  $\mu_{123}(L_f) = \mu_{123}(L_g)$ . Thus we have that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f) = \bar{n}_{123}(g)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. EXAMPLES

**Example 4.1.** Let  $f_m$  be the spatial handcuff graph for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.1. We can see that  $L_{f_m}$  is the trivial 2-component link for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , namely  $\text{lk}(L_{f_m}) = 0$ . We can also see that  $f_m$  is slice by the hyperbolic transformation on  $f_m(\gamma_2)$  along the band  $B$  shown in Fig. 4.1.

Now we consider the  $D$ -sum of  $L_{f_m}$  with respect to  $f_m$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.1. Then by a calculation we have that  $a_2(K_D^{12}(f_m)) = 2m$  and therefore  $\bar{n}_{12}(f_m) = 2m$ . Thus by Theorem 2.1, we have that  $f_m$  is not delta edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial handcuff graph for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  are not delta edge-homotopic for  $i \neq j$ .




FIGURE 3.9.




FIGURE 4.1.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $f_m$  be the spatial 3-handcuff graph for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.2. We can see that  $L_{f_m}$  is the trivial 3-component link for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , namely  $\mu_{123}(L_{f_m}) = 0$ . We can also see that  $f_m$  is slice by the hyperbolic transformation on  $f_m(\gamma_3)$  along the band  $B$  shown in Fig. 4.2.

Now we consider the  $D$ -sum of  $L_{f_m}$  with respect to  $f_m$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.2 and a skein tree as illustrated in Fig. 4.3. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad a_2(K_D^{123}(f_m)) &= a_2(J_m) = a_2(J) - m - 1 \\
 &= a_2(J_{m-1}) + m + 1 - m - 1 \\
 &= a_2(J_{m-1}) = \cdots = a_2(J_0) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 4.2.

Then by Lemma 3.1 and (4.1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_3(K_D^{123}(f)) &= v_3(J_m) = v_3(J) + a_2(J_m) + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)^2 + 1 \\
 &= \left\{ v_3(J_{m-1}) - a_2(J_{m-1}) - \frac{1}{2}(m+1)^2 \right\} \\
 &\quad + a_2(J_m) + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)^2 + 1 \\
 &= v_3(J_{m-1}) + 1 = \cdots = v_3(J_0) + m \\
 &= m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_D^{ij}(f_m)$  is a trivial knot for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ , we have that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f_m) = m$ . Thus by Theorem 2.2, we have that  $f_m$  is not delta edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial handcuff graph for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  are not delta edge-homotopic for  $i \neq j$ . Note that the generalized version of  $\bar{n}_{12}$  for  $n = 3$  as mentioned in Remark 3.4 (2) vanishes for  $f_m$  by (4.1).

**Example 4.3.** Let  $f_m$  be the spatial handcuff graph for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.4. It is easy to see that  $f_m$  is a  $\partial$ -spatial handcuff graph for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $L_{f_m}$  is a 2-component boundary link, we have that  $L_{f_m}$  is self  $\Delta$ -equivalent to the 2-component trivial link for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we consider the  $D$ -sum of  $L_{f_m}$  with respect to  $f_m$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.4. Then by a calculation we have that  $a_2(K_D^{12}(f_m)) = -2m$ . Since  $f_m(\gamma_1)$  and  $f_m(\gamma_2)$  are trivial knots, we have that  $\bar{n}_{12}(f_m) = -2m$ . Thus by Theorem 2.1, we have that  $f_m$  is not delta edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial handcuff graph for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  are not delta edge-homotopic for  $i \neq j$ .

**Example 4.4.** Let  $f$  be the spatial 3-handcuff graph as illustrated in Fig. 4.5. Note that  $f|_{\gamma_i \cup \gamma_j \cup e_i \cup e_j}$  is the trivial spatial handcuff graph for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is a  $\partial$ -spatial 3-handcuff graph. Since  $L_f$  is a 3-component boundary link, we have that  $\mu_{123}(L_{f_m}) = 0$  and  $L_f$  is self  $\Delta$ -equivalent to the 3-component trivial link. Note that  $L_f$  is *Brunnian*, namely any 2-component sublink of  $L_f$  is trivial.

Now we consider the  $D$ -sum of  $L_f$  with respect to  $f$  as illustrated in Fig. 4.5. By a calculation we have that  $a_2(K_D^{123}(f)) = 0$ . Since  $f(\gamma_i)$  is a trivial knot for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , we have that the generalized version of  $\bar{n}_{12}$  for  $n = 3$  as mentioned in




FIGURE 4.3.




FIGURE 4.4.

Remark 3.4 (2) vanishes for  $f$ . On the other hand, by a calculation we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{K_D^{123}(f)}(t) &= -t^{-12} + 6t^{-11} - 11t^{-10} + t^{-9} + 28t^{-8} - 52t^{-7} \\
 &\quad + 36t^{-6} + 17t^{-5} - 61t^{-4} + 67t^{-3} - 43t^{-2} + 11t^{-1} \\
 &\quad + 22 - 57t + 84t^2 - 78t^3 + 32t^4 + 23t^5 - 43t^6 \\
 &\quad + 24t^7 - 4t^9 - 4t^{10} + 5t^{11} + t^{12} - 3t^{13} + t^{14},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{K_D^{123}(f)}^{(3)}(1) = 36.$$




FIGURE 4.5.

Since  $K_D^{ij}(f)$  is also a trivial knot for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ , we have that  $\bar{n}_{123}(f) = -1$ . Thus by Theorem 2.2, we have that  $f$  is not delta edge-homotopic to the trivial spatial 3-handcuff graph.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been done while the author was visiting Waseda University and supported by Fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young scientists. The author is grateful to Professors Kouki Taniyama, Akira Yasuhara and Doctor Yukihiro Tsutsumi for their valuable comments. He would also like to thank Professor Kouji Kodama and his computer program KNOT [6] which enable the author to calculate the Conway polynomials and the Jones polynomials of knots which appear in section 4 without difficulty.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Cervantes and R. A. Fenn, Boundary links are homotopy trivial, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **39** (1988), 151–158.
- [2] D. Dimovski, A geometric proof that boundary links are homotopically trivial, *Topology Appl.* **29** (1988), 237–244.
- [3] C. H. Giffen, Link concordance implies link homotopy, *Math. Scand.* **45** (1979), 243–254.
- [4] D. L. Goldsmith, Concordance implies homotopy for classical links in  $M^3$ , *Comment. Math. Helv.* **54** (1979), 347–355.
- [5] L. H. Kauffman, *Formal knot theory*, Mathematical Notes, **30**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [6] K. Kodama, *KNOT program*, <http://www.math.kobe-u.ac.jp/~kodama/knot.html>
- [7] W. K. Mason, Homeomorphic continuous curves in 2-space are isotopic in 3-space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (1969), 269–290.
- [8] S. V. Matveev, Generalized surgeries of three-dimensional manifolds and representations of homology spheres (Russian), *Mat. Zametki* **42** (1987), 268–278, 345. English translation: *Math. Notes* **42** (1987), 651–656.
- [9] J. Milnor, Link groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **59**, (1954), 177–195.
- [10] J. Milnor, Isotopy of links. Algebraic geometry and topology, *A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz*, pp. 280–306. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.
- [11] H. Murakami, Some metrics on classical knots, *Math. Ann.* **270** (1985), 35–45.
- [12] H. Murakami and Y. Nakanishi, On a certain move generating link-homology, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), 75–89.

- [13] Y. Nakanishi, Delta link homotopy for two component links, Proceedings of the First Joint Japan-Mexico Meeting in Topology (Morelia, 1999). *Topology Appl.* **121** (2002), 169–182.
- [14] Y. Nakanishi and T. Shibuya, Link homotopy and quasi self delta-equivalence for links, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **9** (2000), 683–691.
- [15] Y. Nakanishi, T. Shibuya and A. Yasuhara, Self-delta equivalence of cobordant links, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 2465–2472.
- [16] R. Nikkuni, Delta link-homotopy on spatial graphs, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **15** (2002), 543–570.
- [17] R. Nikkuni, Delta edge-homotopy on theta curves, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **138** (2005), 401–420.
- [18] R. Nikkuni, Sharp edge-homotopy on spatial graphs, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **18** (2005), 181–207.
- [19] R. Nikkuni and R. Shinjo, On boundary spatial embeddings of a graph, *Q. J. Math.* **56** (2005), 239–249.
- [20] M. Okada, Delta-unknotting operation and the second coefficient of the Conway polynomial, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **42** (1990), 713–717.
- [21] M. Polyak, On Milnor’s triple linking number, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **325** (1997), 77–82.
- [22] T. Shibuya, Self  $\Delta$ -equivalence of ribbon links, *Osaka J. Math.* **33** (1996), 751–760.
- [23] T. Shibuya, On self  $\Delta$ -equivalence of boundary links, *Osaka J. Math.* **37** (2000), 37–55.
- [24] T. Shibuya and A. Yasuhara, Boundary links are self delta-equivalent to trivial links, to appear in *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*.
- [25] K. Taniyama, Cobordism, homotopy and homology of graphs in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , *Topology* **33** (1994), 509–523.
- [26] A. Yasuhara, Self delta-equivalence for links whose Milnor’s isotopy invariants vanish, preprint, math.GT/0610492.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY, KAKUMAMACHI, KANAZAWA, ISHIKAWA, 920-1192, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* nick@ed.kanazawa-u.ac.jp