

PROOF OF THE HYPERPLANE ZEROS CONJECTURE OF LAGARIAS AND WANG

WAYNE LAWTON

ABSTRACT. We prove that a real analytic subset of a torus group that is contained in its image under an expanding endomorphism is a finite union of translates of closed subgroups. This confirms the hyperplane zeros conjecture of Lagarias and Wang for real analytic varieties. Our proof uses real analytic geometry, topological dynamics and Fourier analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

By \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{N} \equiv \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ we will denote the fields of complex, real, and rational numbers, the ring of integers, and the set of natural numbers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{T}^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ denotes the n dimensional torus group, $\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ denotes the canonical homomorphism, and \mathcal{E}_n denotes the set of integer n by n expanding matrices (all eigenvalues have modulus > 1). If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, then $m \equiv |\det(E)| \geq 2$, $E(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n with index m , and E induces an m to 1 *expanding endomorphism* $E : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$. For open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a function $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *real analytic* if $h \in C^\infty(U)$ and the Taylor series of h converges locally at every point in U . A function h on \mathbb{R}^n is *periodic* if $h(x + p) = h(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We denote the zero set of a function h by \mathcal{Z}_h . A subspace of \mathbb{R}^n is a *rational subspace* if it is spanned by a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n . In [22] Lagarias and Wang asserted the following:

Hyperplane Zeros Conjecture : If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic and periodic, and $\mathcal{Z}_h \subseteq E(\mathcal{Z}_h) + \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $\mathcal{Z}_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^p (V_i + x_i) + \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $p \geq 0$ and for every $i = 1, \dots, p$, V_i is a rational subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32C05, 37B05, 43A40.

Key words and phrases. asymptotic, étale mapping, expansive endomorphism of torus group, Lojasiewicz's structure theorem for real analytic sets, Pontryagin duality, resolution of singularities.

Remark 1.1. In [3] Cerveau, Conze and Raugi proved a result that is similar to but weaker than the result asserted by the Hyperplane Zeros Conjecture. In [22] Lagarias and Wang used their result to prove a result about tilings of \mathbb{R}^n that was conjectured, and proved for the case $n = 1$, by Gröchenig and Hass in [10].

Assume that $U \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ is open. A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called real analytic if $f \circ \pi_n$ is a real analytic function on $\pi_n^{-1}(U)$, and $\mathcal{A}(U)$ denotes the ring of real analytic functions on U . A subset $S \subseteq U$ is called a *real analytic variety of U* if there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ such that $S = \mathcal{Z}_f$ and is called a *real analytic subset of U* if for every $x \in U$ there exists an open set $O_x \ni x$ and $f_x \in \mathcal{A}(O_x)$ such that $S \cap O_x = \{y : y \in O_x, f_x(y) = 0\}$. We let $\mathcal{V}(U)$, $\mathcal{S}(U)$ denote the set of real analytic varieties, subsets of U . Clearly $\mathcal{V}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(U)$. $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denotes the set of closed, closed connected subgroups of \mathbb{T}^n , and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denotes the set of finite unions of translates of elements in $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and Lemma 7.1 implies that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Remark 1.2. Cartan [2] has constructed a compact real analytic subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $f|_S = 0$ implies $f = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n) \neq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Lemma 1.1. If V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then V is rational iff $\pi_n(V) \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. This result was proved by Lagarias and Wang in [22], Theorem 4.1. □

Therefore the Hyperplane Zeros Conjecture is equivalent to the statement of:

Theorem 1.1. If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $S \subseteq E(S)$, then $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

The objective of this paper is to prove the following stronger result:

Theorem 1.2. If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $S \subseteq E(S)$, then $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

For $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$, we let \bar{S} denote the closure of S , $\partial S \equiv \bar{S} \setminus S$ denote the boundary of S , and $\dim(S)$ denote the inductive dimension of S (Urysohn's Theorem implies that the small and large inductive dimensions are equal since \mathbb{T}^n is separable and has a countable basis). Then $-1 \leq \dim(S) \leq n$, and $\dim(S) = -1$ iff $S = \phi$. For

$x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ we define $\dim(S, x) \equiv \min\{\dim(S \cap O) : O \text{ open, } x \in O\}$. Lojasiewicz's Structure Theorem, described in Appendix C, shows that if $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $O \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ is open, then $O \cap S$ equals a union of submanifolds of \mathbb{T}^n , and therefore $\dim(O \cap S)$ equals the Lebesgue covering dimension of $O \cap S$. Furthermore, if $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $\dim(S) = n$ iff $S = \mathbb{T}^n$, and $\dim(S) \leq 0$ iff S is finite. These facts justify our use of an induction procedure on the integers n and $\dim(S)$ to prove Theorem 1.2. For $B \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ we define $\mathbb{A}(B) \equiv \{A : A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n), B \subseteq A\}$ and $B^* \equiv \bigcap_{A \in \mathbb{A}(B)} A$.

Lemma 1.2. *Assume that $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$. If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ then $E(S) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. If $B \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ and $B \subseteq E(B)$ then $B^* \subseteq E(B^*)$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows since E is m to 1 and is locally an analytic diffeomorphism. The second assertion then follows from the definition of B^* . \square

We call a subset M of \mathbb{R}^n or of \mathbb{T}^n an m dimensional real analytic submanifold if it satisfies any of five equivalent conditions described by Krantz in [21], p. 38-39. We let $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denote the set of all m dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{T}^n$, and we let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denote the set of all submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{T}^n$, respectively. For $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, a point $x \in S$ is called a *regular point of dimension m* if there exists an open $O \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $S \cap O \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $R_m(S)$ denotes the set of regular points of dimension.

Lemma 1.3. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $d \equiv \dim(S)$, then $\overline{R_d(S)} = \{x \in S : \dim(S, x) = d\}$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 in [27], p. 41. \square

Lemma 1.4. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $d \equiv \dim(S)$, then $\dim((S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^*) < d$.*

Proof. Since $A \equiv S \setminus R_d(S)$ is the set of singular points in S , Proposition 16 in [33] implies that $A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\dim(A) < d$. Since $S \setminus R_d(S) \subseteq A$ it follows that $A \in \mathbb{A}(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})$ hence $(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^* \subseteq A$. Therefore $\dim((S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^*) < d$. \square

Remark 1.3. *The result of Whitney-Bruhat in [33] concerns real analytic varieties in manifolds. Their result can be modified, by considering intersections of S with sufficiently small open subsets $O \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $S \cap O \in \mathcal{V}(O)$, to obtain the result concerning real analytic subsets of \mathbb{T}^n that we use in Lemma 1.4.*

Lemma 1.5. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $d \equiv \dim(S)$, and $E(S) \subseteq S$, then it follows that $E(\overline{R_d(S)}) \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$.*

Proof. Since E is locally a diffeomorphism it preserves dimension. □

We now prove that Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the following:

Theorem 1.3. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $d \equiv \dim(S)$, and $S \subseteq E(S)$, then S satisfies the following three properties:*

- (1) $S = E(S)$,
- (2) $(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$,
- (3) $\overline{R_d(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Assume that E and S satisfy the common hypothesis of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. If $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ then S satisfies the three properties in Theorem 1.3. Conversely, if E and S satisfy the three properties in Theorem 1.3 then property (1) and Lemma 1.5 imply that $E(\overline{R_d(S)}) \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$. Therefore $S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)} \subset E(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})$ and Lemma 1.2 implies that $(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^* \subseteq E((S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^*)$. This inclusion, together with property (2), implies that $(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^*$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, and Lemma 1.4 implies that it has dimension $< \dim(S)$, hence by induction $(S \setminus \overline{R_d(S)})^* \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. This inclusion and property (3) implies that $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and completes the proof.

The remaining four sections of this paper show that if S satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3, then S satisfies properties (1), (2), and (3). Section 2 uses stationary properties of real analytic sets to show properties (1) and (2). Sections 3, 4, and 5 use topological dynamics of mappings associated with E , together with the induction hypothesis on n and $\dim(S)$, to show property (3). Section 3 derives an asymptotic property of the map $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ on submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n and uses it to derive a sufficient condition to ensure that S satisfies the following invariance property: there exists $y \in S$ and $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\dim(H) > 1$ and $y + H \subseteq S$. Section 4 uses results about the Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, derived in Appendix B, to prove that S satisfies property (3) whenever it satisfies special invariance properties. Section 5 uses Lojaciewicz's Structure Theorem for Real Analytic Sets,

stated in Appendix C, together with recent results of Hiraide about the topological dynamics of positively expansive maps, to construct a resolution of singularities for the set $\overline{R_d(S)}$. It uses this resolution to prove that S satisfies these special invariance properties that ensure that it satisfies property (3). This completes the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.2 and confirms the Hyperplane Zeros Conjecture.

2. STATIONARITY PROPERTIES OF REAL ANALYTIC SETS

Let X be a set and $K \subseteq X$. A family $S_\alpha \subseteq X$, $\alpha \in I$ indexed by a partially ordered set (I, \leq) is called a *decreasing filtered family* (DFF) of subsets of X if $S_\beta \subseteq S_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \beta$. It is *stationary on K* if there exists $\alpha \in I$ such that $S_\beta \cap K = S_\alpha \cap K$, $\alpha \leq \beta$.

Proposition 2.1. *The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *If $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha \in I$ is a DFF, then it is stationary on every compact subset.*
- (2) *If $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\alpha \in I$ is a DFF, then it is stationary on \mathbb{T}^n .*
- (3) *If $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\alpha \in I$ is an arbitrary family of subsets, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.*

Proof. Narasimhan proved the first assertion in [27], Corollary 1, p. 99. To show the second assertion construct a compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\pi_n(K) = \mathbb{T}^n$. Then $\pi_n^{-1}(S_\alpha) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a DFF, hence it is stationary on K , hence S_α is stationary on \mathbb{T}^n . An alternative proof can be based on the fact that \mathbb{T}^n is a compact real analytic subset of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The third assertion follows by applying the second assertion to the DFF consisting of finite intersections of elements in $\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ (indexed by the partially ordered set of finite subsets of I). \square

Corollary 2.1. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $S \subseteq E(S)$, then $S = E(S)$.*

Proof. If $S \neq E(S)$ then the sequence defined by $S_p := \bigcap_{k=0}^p E^{-k}(S)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is a strictly decreasing filtered family of subsets of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (indexed by the set \mathbb{N} with standard partial order) thus contradicting Proposition 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.2. *For every $B \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$, $B^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.*

Proof. Follows by applying assertion (3) of Proposition 2.1 to the family $\mathcal{C}(B)$. \square

Remark 2.1. Frisch [7], Theorem I,9 proved that the ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is Noetherian. Therefore, by a standard result [12], if $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\alpha \in I$ is a decreasing filtered family, then it is stationary on \mathbb{T}^n . Furthermore, if $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\alpha \in I$ is an arbitrary family, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Also see [20].

3. ASYMPTOTIC TANGENT VECTORS

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. For $A, B \subseteq X$ we define the asymmetric distance from A to B by

$$\rho(A, B) := \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \rho(a, b).$$

For $r > 0$ and $x \in X$ we define the closed unit ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at x by

$$B_\rho(r, x) := \{y \in X : \rho(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

For $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $v \neq 0$ we define the line through x in the direction of v by

$$\ell(x, v) := x + \text{span}(\{v\}).$$

If $k \geq 1$, M is a k dimensional C^1 submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , and $x \in M$, then we identify the tangent space $T_x(M)$ to M at x with a k dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and we observe that the set $x + T_x(M)$ is a k dimensional affine subset of \mathbb{R}^n that is geometrically tangent to M at x .

Lemma 3.1. Let $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^n and let ρ be the associated metric on \mathbb{R}^n defined by $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If M is a C^2 submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n then there exists a continuous function $\alpha : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\rho(B_\rho(r, x) \cap (x + T_x(M)), M) \leq \alpha(x) r^2, \quad x \in M, \quad r \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Follows from the error bound for the first degree Taylor approximation. \square

Throughout the remainder of this section we assume that $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$. If $j \geq 0$ then $E^j(\ell(x, v)) = \ell(E^j x, E^j v)$, $E^j(M)$ is a k dimensional C^1 submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , and $T_{E^j x}(E^j(M)) = E^j(T_x(M))$. If $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n and F is an n by n matrix then we define the associated matrix norm by $\|F\| \equiv \max\{\|Fv\| : v \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|v\| = 1\}$.

Definition 3.1. A triplet (M, x, v) , where $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in M$, $v \in T_x(M)$, and $v \neq 0$, is E asymptotic if there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n and an associated metric ρ on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(B_\rho(1, E^j x) \cap \ell(E^j x, E^j v), E^j(M)) = 0$.

We observe that this concept is independent of the norm.

Lemma 3.2. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then there exists a continuous function $\alpha : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for $x \in M$, $v \in T_x(M)$, $v \neq 0$,

$$\rho(B_\rho(1, E^j x) \cap \ell(E^j x, E^j v), E^j(M)) \leq \alpha(x) \|v\|^2 \frac{\|E^j\|}{\|E^j v\|^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Clearly $B_\rho(1, E^j x) \cap \ell(E^j x, E^j v) \subseteq E^j(B_\rho(r_j, x) \cap \ell(x, v))$, $r_j \equiv \|v\| \|E^j v\|^{-1}$. Therefore $\rho(B_\rho(1, E^j x) \cap \ell(E^j x, E^j v), E^j(M)) \leq \rho(E^j(B_\rho(r_j, x) \cap \ell(x, v)), E^j(M))$ hence $\rho(E^j(B_\rho(r_j, x) \cap \ell(x, v)), E^j(M)) \leq \|E^j\| \rho(B_\rho(r_j, x) \cap \ell(x, v), M)$. We observe that since $\ell(x, v) \subseteq x + T_x(M)$, Lemma 3.1 implies that there exists a continuous function $\alpha : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\rho(B_\rho(r_j, x) \cap \ell(x, v), M) \leq \alpha(x) r_j^2$ and Inequality 3.2 follows from combining these three inequalities above. \square

Let $\Lambda(E)$ denote the set of eigenvalues of E , let $\sigma := \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \Lambda(E)\}$ denote the spectral radius of E , and let $\sigma_1 := \max\{|\mu| : \mu \in \Lambda(E) \text{ and } |\mu| < \sigma\}$. Let $V_\lambda := \{x \in V : (E - \lambda)^n x = 0\}$ denote the E invariant subspace associated to $\lambda \in \Lambda(E)$. Define subspaces $V_\sigma := \sum_{|\lambda|=\sigma} V_\lambda$ and $V_\sigma^\perp := \sum_{|\mu|<\sigma} V_\mu$.

Theorem 3.1. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in M$, $v \in T_x(M)$, and $v \notin V_\sigma^\perp$, then the triplet (M, x, v) is E asymptotic.

Proof. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|E^j\|}{\|E^j v\|^2} = 0$ since $\|E^j\| \approx \sigma^j$ and $\|E^j v\| \geq \beta \sigma^j$ for some $\beta > 0$. \square

The following result illustrates how asymptotic properties of the E imply invariance properties of $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ that satisfy $E(S) = S$.

Proposition 3.1. If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $E(S) = S$, $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\pi_n(M) \subseteq S$, $x \in M$, then at least one of the following properties hold:

- (1) $T_x(M) \subset V_\sigma^\perp$,
- (2) there exists $y \in S$ and $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\dim(H) > 1$ and $y + H \subseteq S$.

Proof. Assume that $v \in T_x(M)$ and $v \notin V_\sigma^\perp$. It suffices to show that S satisfies property (2) above. We choose a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n and let ρ denote the corresponding metric on \mathbb{R}^n . We also let ρ denote the metric on \mathbb{T}^n defined by $\rho(a, b) \equiv \min\{\rho(\alpha, \beta) : \pi_n(\alpha) = a, \pi_n(\beta) = b\}$. Since \mathbb{T}^n and the set of unit vectors in \mathbb{T}^n are compact there exists a function $j : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that satisfies the following properties

- (1) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} j(i) = \infty$,
- (2) there exists $y \in S$ such that the sequence $y_i \equiv \pi_n(x_i)$, where $x_i \equiv E^{j(i)}x$, converges to y ,
- (3) there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the sequence $u_i \equiv \frac{E^{j(i)}v}{\|E^{j(i)}v\|}$ converges to u .

We construct the vector spaces $U_i \equiv \text{span}\{u_i\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \equiv \text{span}\{u\}$ and construct $H \equiv \overline{\pi_n(U)}$. Clearly $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\dim(H) \geq 1$. It suffices to show that $y + H \subseteq S$. We construct the sequence of submanifolds $M_i \equiv E^{j(i)}(M)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Clearly $\pi_n(M_i) \subseteq S$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\pi_n(M) \subseteq S$ and $E(S) = S$. Theorem 3.1 implies that (M, x, v) is an asymptotic triple in the sense of Definition 3.1. Therefore the sequence $\rho(x_i + B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U_i, M_i)$ converges to zero. Therefore the sequence $\rho(\pi_n(x_i + B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U_i), \pi_n(M_i))$ converges to zero, hence the sequence $\rho(\pi_n(x_i + B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U_i), S)$ converges to zero. Since $\pi_n(x_i) = y_i$ converges to y and $B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U_i$ converges to $B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U$, and S is compact, $\rho(y + \pi_n(B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U), S) = 0$. Therefore $y + \pi_n(B_\rho(1, 0) \cap U) \subseteq S$. Since S is a real analytic set $y + \pi_n(U) \subseteq S$. Since S is closed $y + H \subseteq S$. \square

4. INVARIANCE PROPERTIES OF SUBSETS OF \mathbb{T}^n

We derive properties of certain subsets of \mathbb{T}^n that are either invariant under translation by elements in G , where $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, or that are subsets of $\pi_n(V)$, where V is a proper subspace of \mathbb{R}^n that is invariant under $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

Definition 4.1. For $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ we define $S_G \equiv \{x \in S : x + G \subseteq S\}$. The set S_G is called the G invariant subset of S .

Lemma 4.1. If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $S_G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. Follows from assertion (3) of Proposition 2.1 since $S_G = \bigcap_{g \in G} (S - g)$. \square

For $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ we let $\pi_G : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n / G$ denote the canonical homomorphism. We observe that \mathbb{T}^n / G is isomorphic to \mathbb{T}^m where $m = n - \dim(G)$. Therefore the sets $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$ are defined. Corollary 6.1 implies that there exists $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $G \cap H = \{0\}$ and $G + H = \mathbb{T}^n$. We further observe that $\pi_G|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n / G$ is an isomorphism and that $\pi_G|_H$ induces bijections between $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$ and between $\mathcal{F}(H)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $\pi_G(S_G)$ satisfies:*

- (1) $\dim(\pi_G(S_G)) = \dim(S_G) - \dim(G)$,
- (2) $S_G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ iff $\pi_G(S_G) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$,
- (3) $S_G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ iff $\pi_G(S_G) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$,
- (4) if $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and $E(G) = G$, then E induces an expanding endomorphism $E : \mathbb{T}^n / G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n / G$, and if $S \subseteq E(S)$ then $\pi_G(S_G) \subseteq E(\pi_G(S_G))$.

Proof. If $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the subgroup in Corollary 6.1, then $S_G = (H \cap S_G) + G$ and $H \cap G = \{0\}$ hence $\dim(S_G) = \dim(H \cap S_G) + \dim(G)$. Since $\pi_G|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n / G$ is an analytic bijection, $\dim(\pi_G(H \cap S_G)) = \dim(H \cap S_G)$. Property (1) follows since $\pi_G(S_G) = \pi_G(H \cap S_G)$. If $S_G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $H \cap S_G \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. Therefore, since $\pi_G|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n / G$ induces a bijection between $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$, it follows that $\pi_G(S_G) = \pi_G(H \cap S_G) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$. Since $\pi_G : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n / G$ is real analytic and $S_G = \pi_G^{-1}(\pi_G(S_G))$, it follows that if $\pi_G(S_G) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$ then $S_G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. This proves property (2). Properties (3) and (4) are evident. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $S \subseteq E(S)$, and there exists $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\dim(H) \geq 1$, and $S = S_H$. Then under the induction hypothesis on $\dim(S)$, $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.*

Proof. Theorem 7.2 implies that there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim(G) \geq 1$, $E^p(G) = G$, and $S = S_G$. Clearly $E^p \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and Corollary 2.1 implies that $E^p(S) = S$. Lemma 4.2 implies that $\pi_G(S_G) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$, $\pi_G(S_G) \subseteq E^p(\pi_G(S_G))$, and $\dim(\pi_G(S_G)) = d - \dim(G) < d$. Therefore by induction on d , $\pi_G(S_G) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n / G)$ hence Lemma 4.2 implies that $S_G \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace that satisfies*

$E(\pi_n(V) + x) = \pi_n(V) + x$, then $E(\pi_n(V)) = \pi_n(V)$, $E(V) = V$, and there exists $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $E(y) = y$ and $\pi_n(V) + y = \pi_n(V) + x$.

Proof. Since $E(\pi_n(V) + x) = E(\pi_n(V)) + E(x)$ the assumption $E(\pi_n(V) + x) = \pi_n(V) + x$ implies that $E(\pi_n(V)) = \pi_n(V) + x - E(x)$. Therefore, since both $\pi_n(V)$ and $E(\pi_n(V))$ are subgroups of \mathbb{T}^n , $E(\pi_n(V)) = \pi_n(V)$, $E(V) = V$, and $x - E(x) \in \pi_n(V)$. Let $I : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ denote the identity map. Since $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$ the map $(I - E)|_{\pi_n(V)} : \pi_n(V) \rightarrow \pi_n(V)$ is surjective. Therefore there exists $z \in \pi_n(V)$ such that $z - E(z) = -x + E(x)$. Let $y \equiv x + z$. Then $E(y) = E(x + z) = x + z = y$ and $\pi_n(V) + y = \pi_n(V) + x$. \square

For every subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we let V_{rat} denote the subspace spanned by $V \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Clearly V_{rat} is the largest rational subspace contained in V and $\pi_n(V_{rat}) \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Lemma 4.5. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace that satisfies $E(V) = V$, then $E(\pi_n(V_{rat})) = \pi_n(V_{rat})$.*

Proof. Since $E(V_{rat}) = \text{span}(V \cap E(\mathbb{Z}^n)) \subseteq V_{rat}$, and $E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is injective, and V_{rat} is finite dimensional, $E(V_{rat}) = V_{rat}$. Therefore $E(\pi_n(V_{rat})) = \pi_n(V_{rat})$. \square

In the following result we assume that \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{T}^n , and certain related quotient groups are equipped with a Riemannian structure defined by the standard Euclidean scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n . The length of a C^1 parameterized path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$, where Y is any Riemannian manifold, is defined by $\int_0^1 \|\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\| dt$. We observe that if γ is a C^1 path in \mathbb{T}^n , then $\gamma \circ \pi_n$ is a path in \mathbb{R}^n and that both paths have the same lengths. We observe that if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, W is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m , $\psi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$ is a injective homomorphism such that $\|d\psi(v)\| = \|v\|$, $v \in W$, and γ is a C^1 path in $\psi(W)$, then there exists a unique C^1 path γ_W in W such that $\gamma = \psi \circ \gamma_W$ and that the length of γ_W equals the length of γ . It follows that if $K \subset \pi_n(W)$, $c > 0$, and every two points in K are connected by a C^1 path having length $\leq c$, then $\psi^{-1}(K)$ is a bounded subset of W .

Proposition 4.1. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace that satisfies $E(V) = V$, $K \subseteq \pi_n(V) + x$ is a nonempty, closed subset that satisfies $E(K) = K$, $c > 0$, and every two points in K are connected by a C^1 path having length $\leq c$, then there exists $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $E(y) = y$ and $K \subseteq \pi_n(V_{rat}) + y$.*

Proof. Clearly $K \subseteq \pi_n(V) + E(x)$, therefore, since $\pi_n(V)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{T}^n and since K is nonempty, $\pi_n(V) + E(x) = \pi_n(V) + x$ hence $E(\pi_n(V) + x) = \pi_n(V) + x$. Therefore Lemma 4.4 implies that there exists $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $E(y) = y$ and $\pi_n(V) + y = \pi_n(V) + x$. Construct the set $J \equiv K - y$. Then J is closed, $J \subseteq \pi_n(V)$, and $E(J) = J$. It suffices to prove that $J \subseteq \pi_n(V_{rat})$. We construct the quotient groups $W \equiv V / V_{rat}$, and $G \equiv \pi_n(V) / \pi_n(V_{rat})$, and we let $\psi : W \rightarrow G$ denote the unique homomorphism that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 V_{rat} & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{\pi_W} & W & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n / V_{rat} \\
 \downarrow \pi_n & & \downarrow \pi_n & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \pi_n \\
 \pi_n(V_{rat}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^n / \pi_n(V_{rat})
 \end{array}$$

Here all unlabelled right arrows denote inclusion maps, and π_n , π_W and π_G denote canonical epimorphisms. Furthermore, we observe that since the horizontal sequences are exact and since the kernel of $\pi_n : V \rightarrow \pi_n(V)$ equals $V \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \subset V_{rat}$, the map ψ is injective. We construct the set $\tilde{J} \equiv \psi^{-1}(\pi_G(J))$. We observe that since K has the property that every two points in K can be connected by a C^1 path having length $\leq c$, then both J and $\pi_G(J)$ have this property. It follows from the preceding discussion that \tilde{J} is bounded. Since $E(V_{rat}) = V_{rat}$, E induces an expansive endomorphism $E : W \rightarrow W$. Since the diagram commutes and $E(J) = J$, it follows that $E(\tilde{J}) = \tilde{J}$. Therefore, since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|E^j w\| = \infty$ for every $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$, and since \tilde{J} is bounded, it follows that $\tilde{J} = \{0\}$. Therefore $\pi_G(J) = \{0\}$ hence $J \subseteq \pi_n(V_{rat})$. \square

5. ÉTALE CONSTRUCTION AND RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

If X is a topological space and $x \in X$, we introduce an equivalence relation \approx_x on the set of subsets of X as follows: for subsets $M, N \subseteq X$, $M \approx_x N$ if there exists an open neighborhood O of x such that $M \cap O = N \cap O$, and we denote the corresponding equivalence class of a set M by M_x . The set M_x is called the germ of the set M at x . Clearly $\phi \in M_x$ if and only if $x \notin M$, and $\{x\} \in M_x$ if and only if x is an isolated point in M . A mapping of topological spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an étale mapping if every point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood O such that the restriction $f|_O : O \rightarrow f(O)$ is a homeomorphism. Clearly étale mappings are continuous and open. We refer the reader to Godement [8] for a more detailed discussion of germs and étale maps. We fix $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and let $d = \dim(S)$. For $x \in \overline{R_d(S)}$, we let $[x]$ denote the set of germs M_x where $M \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $M \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$, and $x \in M$. We define $\tilde{S}_0 \equiv \bigcup_{x \in \overline{R_d(S)}} [x]$, and construct the map $\tau : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \overline{R_d(S)}$ so that $\tau(y) = x$ if and only if $y \in [x]$. If $x \in R_d(S)$, then $[x]$ has one point, $R_d(S) \subseteq \tau(\tilde{S}_0) \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$, and the restriction $\tau|_{\tau^{-1}(R_d(S))} : \tau^{-1}(R_d(S)) \rightarrow R_d(S)$ is a bijection. We construct a topology on \tilde{S}_0 generated by the (open) sets $\{M_y : y \in M\}$ where $M \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $M \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$. We let $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$ denote the set of connected components of \tilde{S}_0 .

Lemma 5.1. *The space \tilde{S}_0 is Hausdorff, $\tau : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \tau(\tilde{S}_0)$ is an étale mapping, finite to one, and proper (the inverse image of every compact set is compact) and its restriction $\tau|_{\tau^{-1}(R_d(S))} : \tau^{-1}(R_d(S)) \rightarrow R_d(S)$ is a homeomorphism. The set $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$ is finite.*

Proof. These first assertions follow from Proposition 8.2 and Corollary 8.1. □

Since τ maps \tilde{S}_0 locally onto analytic submanifolds of \mathbb{T}^n , it induces the structure of a real analytic manifold on \tilde{S}_0 and then $\tau : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is a real analytic immersion. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $x \in M$ we let $T_x(M)$ denote the tangent space to M at x . We identify every tangent space to \mathbb{T}^n with \mathbb{R}^n (via the canonical homomorphism $\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$) and we identify $T_x(M)$ with an $\dim(M)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and x is a regular point in S then $T_x(S)$ denotes the tangent space to S at x . We recall that a Riemannian structure on a differentiable manifold

consists of a symmetric positive definite bilinear form at each of its tangent spaces, and that a Riemannian structure defines a metric space whose distance function is the corresponding geodesic distance. We consider \mathbb{T}^n to have the Riemannian structure given by the standard bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^n . Since τ is a smooth étale mapping, it induces a Riemannian structure on \tilde{S}_0 such that τ maps each tangent space of \tilde{S}_0 isometrically into \mathbb{R}^n . We consider \tilde{S}_0 to be a metric space (defined by this Riemannian structure) and we let \tilde{S} denote the completion of the metric space \tilde{S}_0 . Since τ is proper, \tilde{S} is compact. Since $\tau : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is uniformly continuous, τ extends to define a continuous surjection $\tau : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \overline{R_d(S)}$. An analysis based on Proposition 8.2 shows that \tilde{S} is locally connected and has a finite number of connected components.

Lemma 5.2. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $E(S) = S$, then $E(\tau(\tilde{S}_0)) \subseteq \tau(\tilde{S}_0)$ and there exists a unique map $\tilde{E} : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_0$ that satisfies $\tau \circ \tilde{E} = E \circ \tau : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_0$. The map $\tilde{E} : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_0$ is surjective, locally an analytic diffeomorphism, and it extends to a map $\tilde{E} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ that satisfies $\tau \circ \tilde{E} = \tau \circ E : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \overline{R_d(S)}$. \tilde{E} maps each component of \tilde{S}_0 onto a component of \tilde{S}_0 by a locally analytic diffeomorphism and induces a permutation of $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$ hence there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{E}^p(C) = C$, $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$. For each $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$, the closure \overline{C} is compact, connected, and locally connected and \tilde{E}^p extends to give a positively expansive map continuous surjection $\tilde{E}^p : \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}$.*

Proof. Assume that $x \in \tau(\tilde{S}_0)$. Since $\tau(\tilde{S}_0) = \{x \in \overline{R_d(S)} : [x] \neq \phi\}$, there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $M \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$ and $x \in M$. Choose $O \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ open such that $x \in O$ and $E|_O : O \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is injective and construct $N \equiv E(O \cap M)$. Then $N \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $N \subseteq \overline{R_d(S)}$, and $E(x) \in N$, hence $E(x) \in \tau(\tilde{S}_0)$ and this proves the first assertion. The second and fourth assertions follows since $\tilde{E}(M_x) = N_x$ and the fourth assertion follows since $\tilde{E} : \tilde{S}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_0$ is uniformly continuous. The assertions concerning $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$ follow from Proposition 8.2 and the surjectivity of $E : \overline{R_d(S)} \rightarrow R_d(S)$. \square

Definition 5.1. *Let (X, ρ) be a compact metric space. A continuous (not necessarily surjective) map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called expanding (with respect to ρ) if there exists numbers $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that $0 < \rho(x, y) < \epsilon$ implies $\rho(f(x), f(y)) > \lambda \rho(x, y)$*

and is called *positively expansive* if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that if $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ then $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) > c$ for some $i \geq 0$.

Remark 5.1. *Coven and Reddy [4] proved that a positively expansive map of a closed (compact without boundary) topological manifold is an expanding map with respect to some metric. Gromov [11] proved that an expanding differentiable map of a closed smooth manifold is topologically conjugate to an expanding infra-nil-endomorphism. Hiraide [14] proved that a positively expansive map of a closed topological manifold is topologically conjugate to an expanding infra-nil-endomorphism.*

Proposition 5.1. *If X is a metric space that is compact, connected, and locally connected, and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a positively expansive map, and if $K \subset X$ is compact and satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $f(X \setminus K) \subseteq X \setminus K$,
- (2) $F|_{X \setminus K} : X \setminus K \rightarrow X \setminus K$ is an open map,

then $K = \emptyset$.

Proof. This result was proved by Hiraide in [15], p. 566. □

Theorem 5.1. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $E(S) = S$ then each $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$ is a compact manifold (without boundary), $\tau(C) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is irreducible, $S_0 = S$, and $\overline{R_d(S)} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S})} \tau(C)$ is the union of immersed real analytic manifolds.*

Proof. We use Lemma 5.2 to choose $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{E}^p(C) = C$, $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$. Choose $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_0)$ and construct $X \equiv \overline{C}$ and $K \equiv X \setminus C$. Clearly X is compact, connected and locally connected metric space, the map $f \equiv \tilde{E}^p : X \rightarrow X$ is positively expansive, $C = X \setminus K$, and Brouwer's theorem on invariance of domain implies that $f|_C : C \rightarrow C$ is open. Therefore X , f , and K satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 5.1 hence $K = \emptyset$ and $X = C$. The remaining assertions are obvious. □

Remark 5.2. *Grauert's theorem [9], Theorem 3, ensures that \tilde{S} admits a closed analytic embedding in \mathbb{R}^m for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This fact can be used together with a tubular neighborhood construction to provide a resolution of singularities, in the sense of Hironaka [21], [16], for the set $\overline{R_d(S)}$. The existence of the positively*

expansive map makes this resolution particularly easy. Also see the discussion about embeddings into affine space in [1], p. 224.

We recall that the differential $d\tau$ maps tangent vectors of C into \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 5.1. *Assume that $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $E(S) = S$, $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S})$, $\tilde{E}(C) = C$, and for every $c \in C$ at least one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $d\tau(T_c) \in V_\sigma^\perp$,
- (2) there exists $H_c \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\dim(H_c) \geq 1$ and $\tau(x) + H_c \subseteq \tau(C)$.

Then, by induction on n and $\dim(S)$, $\tau(C) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. The hypothesis above implies that C can be expressed as the countable union

$$C = C_1 \cup \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{T}^n)} \tau^{-1}(\tau(C)_H)$$

where C_1 denotes the subset of points x in C that satisfy condition 1 above and where $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denotes the set of closed connected subgroups of \mathbb{T}^n whose dimension is ≥ 1 . Since C is a nonempty complete metric space, the Baire Category Theorem implies that either C_1 or one of the sets $\tau^{-1}(\tau(C)_H)$ has a nonempty interior. Since each of these sets is a real analytic subset of the irreducible real analytic manifold C , either $C_1 = C$ or $\tau^{-1}(\tau(C)_H) = C$ for some $H \in \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. If $C_1 = C$ then a simple argument shows that there exists $z \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\tau(C) \subseteq \pi_n(V_\sigma^\perp) + z$. Define $K \equiv \pi_n((V_\sigma^\perp)_{rat})$. Since $\tau(C)$ is an immersed compact manifold it satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 4.1. Therefore there exists $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $E(y) = y$ and $\tau(C) - y \subseteq K$. Clearly $K \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and K is isomorphic to \mathbb{T}^m where $m < n$, $E(K) = K$, $\tau(C) - y \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, and $E(\tau(C) - y) = \tau(C) - y$. By induction on n it follows that $\tau(C) - y \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ hence $\tau(C) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. If for some $H \in \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\tau^{-1}(\tau(C)_H) = C$ then $\tau(C)_H = \tau(C)$. Then Lemma 4.3 and induction on $\dim(S)$ imply that $\tau(C) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Assume that $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $E(S) = S$, $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S})$, $\tilde{E}(C) = C$, $c \in C$, $w \in T_c(C)$, and $d\tau(w) \notin V_\sigma^\perp$. Then there exists $K \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\dim(K) \geq 1$ and $\tau(c) + K \subset \tau(C)$.*

Proof. Let $d = \dim(S)$. The construction of \tilde{S} ensures that there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in M$, and $v \in T_x(M)$ such that $\pi_n(M) \subseteq \tau(C)$, $\pi_n(x) = \tau(c)$, and $v = d\tau(w)$. From the argument used in Proposition 3.1 and the fact that C is compact, there exists a function $j : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that satisfies the following properties

- (1) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} j(i) = \infty$,
- (2) there exists $y \in \tau(C)$ such that the sequence where $y_i \equiv E^{j(i)}(\pi_n(x))$, converges to y ,
- (3) there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the sequence $u_i \equiv \frac{E^{j(i)}v}{\|E^{j(i)}v\|}$ converges to u .
- (4) there exists $z \in C$ such that the sequence $c_i \equiv \tilde{E}^{j(i)}(c)$ converges to z .

and there exists $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\dim(H) \geq 1$ and $y + H \subseteq \tau(C)$. Clearly, since $\tau \circ \tilde{E} = E \circ \tau : C \rightarrow \tau(C)$, $\tau(c_i) = y_i$ converges to y . Therefore, since c_i converges to z , $\tau(z) = y$. Let ρ be any metric on C . Since τ is an étale map, there exists a sequence $O_k \subset C$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of open neighborhoods of c such that the restrictions $\tau|_{O_k} : O_k \rightarrow \tau(O_k)$ are homeomorphisms and the ρ diameters of O_k converge to zero. Since $\tilde{E} : C \rightarrow C$ is expansive and C is a manifold, Brouwer's theorem on invariance of domain implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an integer $p(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z \in \tilde{E}^{p(k)}(O_k)$. Therefore $y \in E^{p(k)}(\tau(O_k))$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(E^{p(k)})^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and we let H_k denote its connected component that contains the identity. Therefore $H_k \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\dim(H_k) \geq 1$. Since $\tau(C) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, there exists $t_k \in \tau(O_k)$ such that $t_k + H_k \subseteq \tau(C)$. Since the Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is compact, there exists $K \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $\dim(K) \geq 1$ and there exists a subsequence of H_k that converges to K . Since t_k converges to $\tau(c)$, $\tau(c) + K \subseteq \tau(C)$. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.3. It suffices to prove that if E and S satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem then $\overline{R_d(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Corollary 5.1 together with Corollary 5.2 implies that $\tau(C) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ whenever $C \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S})$. Theorem 5.1 implies that $\overline{R_d(S)}$ equals a finite union of $\tau(C)$. The proof is complete.

6. APPENDIX A. THE SMITH NORMAL FORM.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of n by m integer matrices. A minor of order $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of a matrix is the determinant of a k by k submatrix and the elementary

divisor of order k of a matrix, denoted by d_k , is the greatest common divisor of its minors of order k . The Cauchy-Binet theorem [32] implies that if $M \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $m \leq n$ then its elementary divisors satisfy $d_1|d_2|\cdots|d_m$. The matrix M is called unimodular if $d_m = 1$. We let $U_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of all unimodular matrices in $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$. Clearly $U_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of all matrices in $M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ whose determinant equals ± 1 and it forms a group under matrix multiplication.

Theorem 6.1. *If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq n$, and $M \in M_{n,m}$, then there exist $U_n \in U_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $U_m \in U_{m,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $U_n M U_m = D$ where D has the form*

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_{m-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_m \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $d_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, are the elementary divisors of M .

Proof. This decomposition, derived in 1861 by Smith [31], is described in [26]. \square

Corollary 6.1. *If $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ then there exists $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $G \cap H = \{0\}$ and $G + H = \mathbb{T}^n$.*

Proof. Construct $V \equiv \pi_n^{-1}(G)$. Then since $\pi_n(V) = G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ Lemma 1.1 implies that V is a rational subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , hence V is spanned by vectors in $V \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $m \equiv \dim(V)$ and let $M \in M_{n,m}$ such that the columns of M span V . Theorem 6.1 implies that there exists $U_n \in U_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $U_m \in U_{m,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $U_n M U_m = D$ where $D \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the diagonal matrix described in Theorem 6.1. Therefore, since V is spanned by the columns of $U_n^{-1} D U_m^{-1}$, V is spanned by the first m columns

of the matrix U_n^{-1} . Let W denote the subspace W spanned by last $n - m$ columns of U_n^{-1} and let $H = \pi_n(W)$. Since W is a rational subspace, Lemma 1.1 implies that $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Since $V \cap W = \{0\}$ and $V + W = \mathbb{T}^n$ it follows that H satisfies the properties asserted above. \square

7. APPENDIX B: FOURIER ANALYSIS AND HAUSDORFF TOPOLOGY ON $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

In this appendix we prove Theorem 7.2 by exploiting a compact Hausdorff topological space structure on $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ that we construct using Pontryagin duality theory. This theory was initially developed by Lev Semenovich Pontryagin [28], [29] to extend the classical Fourier analysis to compact and discrete abelian groups. It was extended later to locally compact abelian groups by E. R. van Kampen [17], [18] and others. If $E \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ then E induces maps on $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. If $E \in U_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ then E^{-1} induces maps on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We record the following standard results [13], [30].

- (1) We let \mathbb{U} denote the multiplicative group of complex numbers of modulus one. For $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ its Pontryagin dual G^\wedge consists of all continuous homomorphisms $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ under pointwise multiplication and equipped with the discrete topology.
- (2) The map $\mathbb{Z}^n \ni \ell \rightarrow \chi_\ell \in (\mathbb{T}^n)^\wedge$ defined by $\chi_\ell(x + \mathbb{Z}^n) \equiv \exp(2\pi i \ell \cdot x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism. We will identify $(\mathbb{T}^n)^\wedge$ with \mathbb{Z}^n .
- (3) If $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ then the dual $i_G^\wedge : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow G^\wedge$, defined by $i_G^\wedge(\chi) \equiv \chi|_G$, $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, of the inclusion map $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$, is an epimorphism. We let G^\perp denote the kernel of i_G^\wedge . The map $G \rightarrow G^\perp$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and the set of subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^n . Therefore $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is countable.
- (4) If $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ then G^\wedge is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n / G^\perp . This is a finitely generated abelian group that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n-m} \oplus H$, where m is the rank of G^\perp and H is a finite group.
- (5) Let G and H be the groups above and let G_c denote the connected component of G that contains the identity. The group G_c^\perp is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^m , the group

G_c is isomorphic to \mathbb{T}^m , and the group H is isomorphic to each of the following groups: G / G_c , G_c^\perp / G^\perp , $\pi_n^{-1}(G) / \pi_n^{-1}(G_c)$.

Lemma 7.1. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. We first show that if $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$, then $G + y \in \mathcal{V}_{irr}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Let $k = \dim(G)$. Then G^\perp is a rank $n - k$ subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n . Choose $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ that generate G^\perp . We observe that $G = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^n : \chi_j(x) = 1, j = 1, \dots, n - k\}$. The function $h : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $h(x) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |\chi_j(x) - \chi_j(y)|^2$ is in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $G + y = \mathcal{Z}_h$. Therefore $G + y \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. If $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $G + y \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_a \cup \mathcal{Z}_b$ then either a or b must vanish on a subset of $G + y$ that is open (relative to the topology on $G + y$ induced as a subset of \mathbb{T}^n). Since both a and b are real analytic one of them must vanish on $G + y$ and this shows that $G + y \in \mathcal{V}_{irr}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ since $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is closed under finite unions. \square

We state the following result, which follows directly from Theorem 6.1, without proof.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and let $G_c \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denote the connected component of G that contains the identity. Define the subspace $V \equiv \pi_n^{-1}(G_c)$ of \mathbb{R}^n and define the lattice subgroup $L \equiv V \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let m denote the rank of G^\perp and let $M \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that the columns of M generate G^\perp . Choose $U_n \in U_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $U_m \in U_{m,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $U_n M U_m = D$ where D has the form in Theorem 6.1. Then G^\perp is spanned by the columns of the matrix $U_n^{-1} D$, G_c^\perp is generated by the first m columns of the matrix U_n^{-1} , and L is generated (and V is spanned) by the last $n - m$ columns of the matrix U_n^T (the transpose of U_n).*

We let \mathcal{U} denote the set of all subsets of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ that contain as a subset a set having the form $O(F) \equiv \{(G_1, G_2) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n) : G_1^\perp \cap F = G_2^\perp \cap F\}$ where $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is finite. We observe that $(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$ is a uniform space that is Hausdorff and metrizable ([19], Chapter 6).

Lemma 7.2. *A sequence $G_j \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if for every finite $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists $J(F) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(G_j, G_k) \in O(F)$, $j, k \geq J(F)$. If $G_j \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a Cauchy sequence then the following properties hold:*

- (1) the set $C \equiv \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} G_j^{\perp}$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n ,
- (2) G_j converges to $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ defined by: $G^{\perp} = C$,
- (3) if $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a finite set that generates G^{\perp} and $j \geq J(F)$ then $G_j \subseteq G$,
- (4) if $G_j \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. The first assertion is obvious. The second assertion follows since if $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is finite and if $j \geq J(F)$ then $(G_j, G) \in O(F)$. The third assertion follows since if $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is finite and generates G^{\perp} and $j \geq J(F)$ then $F = G^{\perp} \cap F = G_j^{\perp} \cap F$ hence $F \subset G_j^{\perp}$ hence $G^{\perp} \subseteq G_j^{\perp}$ hence $G_j \subseteq G$. The fourth assertion follows since if G_j is connected and $G_j \subseteq G$ then $G_j \subseteq G_c$. \square

Theorem 7.1. *The uniform spaces $(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$ and $(\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$ are compact.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denote the set of all closed subsets of \mathbb{T}^n , let ρ be any metric on \mathbb{T}^d that induces the standard topology on \mathbb{T}^n , and define the associated Hausdorff metric on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as follows

$$\rho_H(A, B) \equiv \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \rho(x, y), \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} \rho(x, y) \right\}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{T}^n). \quad (7.1)$$

The metric space $(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{T}^n), \rho_H)$ is compact ([5], p.205, p.253), ([25], p.279). Furthermore, the uniformity on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ defined by ρ_H is independent of the metric ρ on \mathbb{T}^n and its restriction to $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ coincides \mathcal{U} . Since $(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$, and $(\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$ are complete, they are closed and therefore compact. \square

Remark 7.1. *Since \mathbb{T}^n is a compact Hausdorff space, the Hausdorff topology on the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of all closed subsets of \mathbb{T}^n coincides with both the Vietoris topology and the Fell topology [6], [24]. We will denote the topological space $(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$, $(\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n), \mathcal{U})$ simply by $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ respectively.*

Theorem 7.2. *If $E \in \mathcal{E}_n$, $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$, $\overline{S} = S$, $E(S) = S$, $H \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\dim(H) \geq 1$, and $S = S_H$, then there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim(G) \geq 1$, $E^p(G) = G$, and $S = S_G$.*

Proof. We first observe that E induces an injection $E : \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Construct the orbit $X \equiv \{ E^j(H) : j \geq 0 \}$ of H under E and let \overline{X} denote the topological

closure of X in $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Clearly $E(X) \subseteq X$. Choose $G \in \overline{X}$ so that $\dim(G) \geq \dim(D)$ for all $D \in \overline{X}$ and define $Y \equiv \{E^j(G) : j \geq 0\}$. Property (3) in Lemma 7.2 implies that if Y is infinite then there would exist $D \in \overline{Y}$ with $\dim(D) > \dim(G)$ and this contradicts the choice of G . Therefore Y is finite. Since $E(Y) \subseteq Y$ the map $E|_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$ is an injection and therefore a bijection. Therefore there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E^p(G) = G$. Let $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that $E^{n_j}(H) \rightarrow G$. Then $S = S_H$ implies $S = S + H$ hence $S = E^{n_j}(S) = S + E^{n_j} \rightarrow S + G$ hence $S = S_G$. \square

8. APPENDIX C: LOJASIEWICZ'S STRUCTURE THEOREM

We state, with slight modifications, and derive consequences of Lojasiewicz's structure theorem for real analytic varieties [23], as presented by Krantz in [21], p. 152-156. If $k \geq 1$ and $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ is open (note that $\mathbb{R}^0 \equiv \{0\}$ hence $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^0) = \mathbb{R}$), a function $H : U \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *distinguished polynomial defined on U* if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_j \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ such that

$$H(x, y) = y^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j(x)y^j, \quad x \in U, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition 8.1. (*Weierstrass Preparation Theorem*) *If f is real analytic in a open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $f(0, \dots, 0, x_k) \neq 0$ then there exists an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$, a positive number δ , a function (called a unit) $u \in \mathcal{A}(U \times (-\delta, \delta))$ that never vanishes, and a distinguished polynomial H defined on U such that*

$$f(x, y) = u(x, y) H(x, y), \quad x \in U, y \in (-\delta, \delta).$$

We observe that since the ring of (germs of) real analytic functions in a neighborhood of 0 is a unique factorization domain, the ring of distinguished polynomials in a neighborhood of 0 is also a unique factorization domain. Furthermore, a distinguished polynomial H defined on U has repeated factors iff its discriminant vanishes (everywhere) on U . Therefore, given a distinguished polynomial H defined on U , there exists a unique polynomial H_0 defined on U whose discriminant does not vanish everywhere and whose zeros coincide with the zeros of H . The following renowned result

provides a detailed description of the relationship between real analytic subsets and real analytic submanifolds:

Proposition 8.2. (*Lojaciiewicz's Structure Theorem for Real Analytic Varieties*) *Let O be an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}(O)$ satisfy $f(0, \dots, 0, x_{n-1}) \neq 0$ and $d \equiv \dim(\mathcal{Z}_f, 0) < n$. If $d = 0$ then \mathcal{Z}_f is a discrete set. If $d \geq 1$ then after a suitable rotation of \mathbb{R}^n that effects only the the first $n - 1$ coordinates, there exist positive numbers $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ and for every $1 \leq k \leq d$, $U_k = \prod_{i=1}^k (-\delta_i, \delta_i)$, a system of distinguished polynomials $H_\ell^k(x, y)$, $x \in U_k$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $k + 1 \leq \ell \leq n$ defined on U_k that satisfy the following properties:*

- (1) $f \in \mathcal{A}(U_n)$,
- (2) the discriminant of H_ℓ^k does not vanish everywhere on U_k ,
- (3) if $H_\ell^k(x, y) = 0$ and $x \in U_k$ then $y \in (-\delta_\ell, \delta_\ell)$,
- (4) there exists pairwise disjoint $V^k \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k = 0, \dots, d$ such that $U_n \cap \mathcal{Z}_f = V^0 \cup V^1 \cup \dots \cup V^d$,
- (5) either $V^0 = \phi$ or $V^0 = \{0\}$,
- (6) for $1 \leq k \leq d$ there exists $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise disjoint connected k dimensional real analytic submanifolds $\Gamma_j^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $j = 1, \dots, N_k$ such that $V^k = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_k} \Gamma_j^k$,
- (7) (*Analytic Parameterization*) For $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq N_k$ there exist a open set $U_{j,k} \subseteq U_k$ and real analytic functions $\gamma_{\ell,j,k} \in \mathcal{A}(U_{j,k})$, $k + 1 \leq \ell \leq n$ such that $\Gamma_j^k = \{(x, \gamma_{k+1,j,k}(x), \dots, \gamma_{n,j,k}(x)) : x \in U_{j,k}\}$,
- (8) $H_\ell^k(x, \gamma_{\ell,j,k}(x)) = 0$, $x \in U_{j,k}$,
- (9) the discriminant of $H_\ell^k(x, y)$ satisfies $D_\ell^k(x) \neq 0$, $x \in U_{j,k}$,
- (10) (*Non-Redundancy*) For $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq N_k$ either $U_{i,k} \cap U_{j,k} = \phi$ or $U_{i,k} = U_{j,k}$. In the latter case for $k + 1 \leq \ell \leq n$ either $\gamma_{\ell,i,k} = \gamma_{\ell,j,k}$ or $\gamma_{\ell,i,k}(x) \neq \gamma_{\ell,j,k}(x)$, $x \in U_{\ell,i,k}$.
- (11) (*Stratification*) For $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq N_k$ the $U \cap \partial \Gamma_j^k$ is a union of sets of the form Γ_i^p with $1 \leq p < k$ and $1 \leq i \leq N_p$ and possibly V^0 .

Corollary 8.1. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $d = \dim(S)$, $x \in R_d(S)$, $M, N \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $M \subseteq S$, $N \subseteq S$, and $x \in M \cap N$, then either $M_x = N_x$ or there exists open $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for every $y \in O \cap M \cap N$, $(O \cap M)_y \neq (O \cap N)_y$.*

Proof. Lojasiewicz's Structure Theorem implies that there exists open neighborhood O of x such that if there exists $y \in O \cap M \cap N$ such that $(O \cap M)_y = (O \cap N)_y$ then there exists Γ_j^d such that $O \cap \Gamma_j^d \subseteq O \cap M \cap N$ and $x \in \overline{\Gamma}_j^d$. The principle of analytic continuation then implies that $M_x = N_x$. \square

Acknowledgments The author thanks Zbigniew Jelonek for informing him about the result of Frisch mentioned in Remark 2.1, and his colleague Zhang De-Qi for informing him about the use of étale maps in lifting constructions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andradas, C., Bröcker, L., and Ruiz, J. M., *Constructible Sets in Real Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [2] Cartan, H., *Variétés analytiques réelles et variétés analytique complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 85(1957), 77–99.
- [3] Cerveau, D., Conze, J. P., and Raugi, A., *Ensembles invariants pour un opérateur se transfert dans \mathbb{R}^d* , Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., 27#2(1996), 161–186.
- [4] Coven, E., and Reddy, W., *Positively expansive maps of compact manifolds*, Lecture Notes on Mathematics, vol. 819, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1980, pp. 96–110.
- [5] Dugundji, J., *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [6] Fell, J. M. G., *A Hausdorff topology for the closed sets of a locally compact non-Hausdorff space*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 13(1962), 472–476.
- [7] Frisch, J., *Points de platitude d'un morphisme d'espaces analytiques complexes*, Inventiones Mathematica, 4#2(1967), 118–138.
- [8] Godement, R., *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Actualit'es Sci. Ind., no. 1252, Hermann, Paris, 1964.
- [9] Grauert, H., *On Levi's problem and the embedding of real-analytic manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, 68#2(1958), 460–472.
- [10] Gröchenig, K., and Hass, A., *Self-similar lattice tilings*, The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 1(1994), 131–170.
- [11] Gromov, M., *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 53 (1981), 53–78.

- [12] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [13] Hewitt, E., and Ross, K. A., *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, vol 1*, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [14] Hiraide, K., *Positively expansive maps and growth of fundamental groups*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 104#3(1988), 934–941.
- [15] Hiraide, K., *Nonexistence of positively expansive maps on compact connected manifolds with boundary*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 111#2(1990), 565–568.
- [16] Hironaka, H., *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I, II*, Annals of Mathematics 79(1964),109–203; 79(1964), 205–326.
- [17] van Kampen, E. R., *Locally compact abelian groups*, Proceedings National Academy of Sciences, 20#7(1934), 434436.
- [18] E. R. van Kamen, *Almost periodic functions and compact groups*, Annals of Mathematics, 2nd Ser., 37#1(1936),78-91.
- [19] Kelly, J. L., *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [20] Kiehl, Reinhardt, *Note zu der Arbeit von J. Frisch: "Points de platitude d'un morphism d'espaces analytiques complexes"*, 4#2(1967), 139-141.
- [21] Krantz, S. G., and Parks, H. R., *A Primer of Real Analytic Functions*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.
- [22] Lagarias, J. C., and Wang, Y., *Integral self-affine tiles in \mathbb{R}^n Part II: Lattice tilings*, The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 3#1(1997), 83–102.
- [23] Lojasiewicz, S., *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäser, Boston, 1991.
- [24] Michael, E., *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 71,(1951), 152-182.
- [25] Munkres, J. R., *Topology A First Course*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1975.
- [26] Newman, M., *Integral Matrices*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [27] Narasimhan, R., *Introduction to the Theory of Analytic Spaces*, Lecture Notes on Mathematics, Vol. 25, Springer, New York, 1966.
- [28] Pontryagin, L. S., *The theory of commutative topological groups*, Annals of Mathematics 35#2(1934),361388. (In Russian)
- [29] Pontryagin, L. S., *Topological Groups*, Princeton University Press, London, 1946.
- [30] Rudin, W. *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.
- [31] Smith, H. M. S., *On systems of indeterminate equations and congruences*, Philos. Trans., 151(1861), 293–326.
- [32] Turnbull, H. W., and Aiken, A. C., *An Introduction to the Theory of Canonical Matrices*, Blackie and Son Limited, London, 1932.

- [33] Whitney, H. and Bruhat, F., *Quelques proprietes fondamentales des ensembles analytiques reels*, Comment. Math. Helv. 33(1959), 132–160.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 2 SCIENCE DRIVE
2, SINGAPORE 117543 EMAIL: MATWML(AT)NUS.EDU.SG