

2-TORUS MANIFOLDS, COBORDISM AND SMALL COVERS

ZHI LÜ

ABSTRACT. Let \mathfrak{M}_n be the set of equivariant unoriented cobordism classes of all n -dimensional 2-torus manifolds, where an n -dimensional 2-torus manifold M is a smooth closed manifold of dimension n with effective smooth action of a rank n 2-torus group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. Then \mathfrak{M}_n forms an abelian group with respect to disjoint union. This paper determines the group structure of \mathfrak{M}_n and shows that each class of \mathfrak{M}_n contains a small cover as its representative in the case $n = 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

An n -dimensional 2-torus manifold M is a smooth closed manifold of dimension n with effective smooth action of a rank n 2-torus group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. Since the action is effective, the fixed point set of the action is 0-dimensional (i.e., it is formed by finitely many isolated points) if M has a fixed point. In this paper, we shall study this class of geometrical objects from the viewpoint of cobordism.

Let \mathfrak{M}_n denote the set of equivariant unoriented cobordism classes of all n -dimensional 2-torus manifolds. Then \mathfrak{M}_n forms an abelian group with respect to disjoint union, and in particular, \mathfrak{M}_n also forms a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 . The zero element of \mathfrak{M}_n is given by a canonical 2-torus manifold, which is the n -dimensional standard sphere S^n with the standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action defined by

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto (x_0, g_1x_1, \dots, g_nx_n),$$

fixing two isolated points with same $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -representation, where $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^n$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. When $n = 1, 2$, it is known from the work of Conner and Floyd [CF] that \mathfrak{M}_1 is trivial and \mathfrak{M}_2 is generated by the standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ -action on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. As for $n \geq 3$, as far as the author knows, the structure of \mathfrak{M}_n is still far from well understood. One of main objectives of this paper considers the following problem.

Problem: *To determine the group structure of \mathfrak{M}_n when $n \geq 3$.*

In 1991, Davis and Januszkiewicz introduced and studied a kind of special 2-torus manifolds—small covers, each of which is locally isomorphic to a faithful representation of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and its orbit space is a simple convex polytope. This establishes a direct link between equivariant topology and combinatorics. A typical example of an equivariant nonbounding small cover is a real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^n$ with a standard action of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. Its orbit space is an n -simplex. Another typical example of a bounding small cover is a product of n copies of a circle S^1 with reflection, and its orbit space is an n -cube. Thus, we see that when $n = 2$, two typical examples above can be used as representatives of

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R85, 57S17, 55N22, 05C10, 57M60.

Key words and phrases. 2-torus manifold, cobordism, small cover.

Supported by grants from NSFC (No. 10371020 and No. 10671034).

two classes in \mathfrak{M}_2 , respectively. This leads us to another objective of this paper, i.e., the following conjecture.

Conjecture: *Each class of \mathfrak{M}_n contains a small cover as its representative.*

Note that in non-equivariant case, the above conjecture has been shown to be true by Bukhshtaber and Ray in [BR].

In this paper we settle the above problem and conjecture in 3-dimensional case, see Theorems 6.2 and 7.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate the complete equivariant cobordism invariant (i.e., prime tangent representation set \mathcal{N}_β) of 2-torus manifolds from Stong homomorphism, and then we study some properties of the complete equivariant cobordism invariant \mathcal{N}_β . In Section 3 we introduce the notion of an essential generator of \mathfrak{M}_n , and show that any element of \mathfrak{M}_n is a linear combination of essential generators. In Section 4, we review the work of Davis and Januszkiewicz [DJ] and give two kinds of 3-dimensional small covers, which play a key role in the study of \mathfrak{M}_3 . In Section 5 we introduce the moment graphs induced by 2-torus manifolds. The group structure of \mathfrak{M}_3 is determined completely in Section 6, and the above conjecture is settled in the 3-dimensional case in Section 7.

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor M. Masuda for his valuable suggestions and comments, and especially for helpful conversation in the argument of Proposition 2.3. The author also expresses his gratitude to Professor R.E. Stong for his valuable suggestions and comments.

2. G -REPRESENTATIONS AND STONG HOMOMORPHISM

Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, and let $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ be the set of all homomorphisms $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$, which consists of 2^n distinct homomorphisms. One agrees to let ρ_0 denote the trivial element in $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, i.e., $\rho_0(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. The irreducible real G -representations are all one-dimensional and correspond to all elements in $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Thus, every irreducible real representation of G has the form $\lambda_\rho : G \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_\rho(g, x) = \rho(g) \cdot x$ for $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Given an element β of \mathfrak{M}_n , let (M, ϕ) be a representative of β such that M has a fixed point. Taking an isolated point p in M^G , the G -representation at p can be written as

$$\tau_p M = \bigoplus_{\rho \neq \rho_0} \lambda_\rho^{q_\rho}$$

with $\sum_{\rho \neq \rho_0} q_\rho = n$. By the Borel Theorem (see [AP]) and the effectiveness of the action, if $q_\rho \neq 0$, then q_ρ must be one. Thus, $\tau_p M$ is the direct sum of n irreducible real G -representations (which are linearly independent). The collection $\mathcal{N}_M = \{[\tau_p M] \mid p \in M^G\}$ is called the *tangent representation set of (M, ϕ)* , where $[\tau_p M]$ denotes the isomorphism class of $\tau_p M$.

By $R_n(G)$ denote the vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 , generated by the representation classes of dimension n . Then $R_*(G) = \sum_{n \geq 0} R_n(G)$ is a graded commutative algebra over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unit. The multiplication in $R_*(G)$ is given by $[V_1] \cdot [V_2] = [V_1 \oplus V_2]$. We can identify $R_*(G)$ with the graded polynomial algebra over \mathbb{Z}_2 generated by $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where the addition in $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is given by the tensor product of representations $(\rho + \mu)(g) = \rho(g) \cdot \mu(g)$,

and the multiplication is given by the direct sum of representations. The homomorphisms $\rho_i : (g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto g_i$ give a standard basis of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then $R_*(G)$ is isomorphic to the graded polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n]$. Obviously, each $[\tau_p M]$ of \mathcal{N}_M uniquely corresponds to a monomial of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n]$ such that the n factors of the monomial form a basis of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

There is a natural homomorphism $\delta_n : \mathfrak{M}_n \rightarrow R_n(G)$ defined by

$$\delta_n([M, \phi]) = \sum_{p \in M^G} [\tau_p M].$$

The following result is essentially due to Stong [S].

Theorem 2.1 (Stong). δ_n is a monomorphism.

Theorem 2.1 implies that for each β in \mathfrak{M}_n , there must be a representative (M, ϕ) of β such that \mathcal{N}_M is *prime* (i.e., either all elements of \mathcal{N}_M are distinct or \mathcal{N}_M is empty), and \mathcal{N}_M is uniquely determined by β . Define

$$\mathcal{N}_\beta := \mathcal{N}_M$$

and it is called the prime tangent representation set of β . Then

Corollary 2.2. Let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_n$. Then

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 \iff \mathcal{N}_{\beta_1} = \mathcal{N}_{\beta_2}.$$

Remark 2.1. Since $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is isomorphic to G , each $[\tau_p M]$ of \mathcal{N}_M actually corresponds a unique element (denoted by $[\Delta_p]$) in the quotient $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)/\mathbf{S}_n$, where \mathbf{S}_n is a subgroup generated by all matrices of the form E_{ij} (i.e., the identity matrix E makes an exchange between the i -th column and the j -th column), and it is isomorphic to the symmetric group of rank n . Thus, for any two σ_1, σ_2 in $[\Delta_p]$, there exists a matrix θ in \mathbf{S}_n such that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \theta$. This also means that there is a one-to-one correspondence between all bases of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ and $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)/\mathbf{S}_n$. Here we call $[\Delta_p]$ the *tangent matrix* at p . With this understood, we often regard each element $[\tau_p M]$ of \mathcal{N}_M as being $[\Delta_p]$. Note that $|\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)| = 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)$, see [AB].

Proposition 2.3. Let β be a nonzero element of \mathfrak{M}_n . Then

$$n + 1 \leq |\mathcal{N}_\beta| \leq \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{n!}.$$

In particular, such upper and lower bounds are the best possible.

Proof. The lower bound of $|\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ is a special case of the Theorem 1.2 in [L]. Thus, it suffices to give the proof of the upper bound. For this, it needs to merely show that there is a nonzero element $\beta' \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ such that $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta'}| = \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{n!}$.

Consider the standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action $(\mathbb{R}P^n, T_0)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ on the real n -dimensional projective space $\mathbb{R}P^n$ defined by n commuting involutions

$$t_i : ([x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]) = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, -x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n], \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where t_1, \dots, t_n generate $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. This action fixes $n + 1$ isolated points

$$p_{i+1} = \underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}_i, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$$

where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, and one easily sees that its tangent matrix set is

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \left\{ [\Delta_i] = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

where the row vector $(1, \dots, 1, \dots, 1)$ in Δ_i denotes i -th row, and one makes the convention that $\Delta_0 = E$ when $i = 0$; especially, each $[\Delta_i]$ corresponds to the isolated point p_{i+1} . Obviously, \mathcal{N}_0 is prime. By direct computations, one has that $\Delta_i \Delta_i = E$ and the result of the product $\Delta_i \Delta_j$ ($i, j \neq 0, j \neq i$) just makes an exchange between i -th column and j -th column of Δ_j . Thus, for $i, j \neq 0$, one has

$$(2.1) \quad [\Delta_i \Delta_j] = \begin{cases} [E] & \text{if } i = j \\ [\Delta_j] & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Now, let B_{n+1} denote the subset of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ defined as follows:

$$B_{n+1} = \{ \sigma \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2) \mid \sigma \mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}_0 \}$$

where $\sigma \mathcal{N}_0 = \{ [\sigma \Delta_0], [\sigma \Delta_1], \dots, [\sigma \Delta_n] \}$. Obviously, B_{n+1} is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and each element of B_{n+1} actually makes a permutation for $[\Delta_0], [\Delta_1], \dots, [\Delta_n]$. One then knows from (2.1) that each $\Delta_i \in B_{n+1}$.

Claim I. $|B_{n+1}| = (n+1)!$.

First, we prove that B_{n+1} contains the symmetric group \mathbf{S}_n . Actually, this is because for any E_{ij} in \mathbf{S}_n and any Δ_l ,

$$[E_{ij} \Delta_l] = \begin{cases} [\Delta_l] & \text{if } i, j \neq l \text{ or } l = 0 \\ [\Delta_i] & \text{if } j = l \neq 0 \\ [\Delta_j] & \text{if } i = l \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Next, it is easy to see that for any σ in B_{n+1} , σ can be expressed as a product by some matrices of \mathbf{S}_n and some of the Δ_i 's. Obviously, when $i \neq 0$, $\Delta_i \notin \mathbf{S}_n$. Thus, B_{n+1} is generated by those matrices of \mathbf{S}_n and all Δ_i , and Claim I then follows from this.

Claim II. For any $\sigma, \tau \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$,

$$\sigma \mathcal{N}_0 \cap \tau \mathcal{N}_0 \neq \emptyset \iff \sigma \mathcal{N}_0 = \tau \mathcal{N}_0.$$

It is obvious that if $\sigma \mathcal{N}_0 = \tau \mathcal{N}_0$ then $\sigma \mathcal{N}_0 \cap \tau \mathcal{N}_0 \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, if $\sigma \mathcal{N}_0 \cap \tau \mathcal{N}_0 \neq \emptyset$, then there are $[\Delta_i], [\Delta_j] \in \mathcal{N}_0$ such that $[\sigma \Delta_j] = [\tau \Delta_i]$. By the definition of B_{n+1} , one has that

$$\sigma \mathcal{N}_0 = \tau \mathcal{N}_0 \iff \sigma^{-1} \tau \in B_{n+1}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that $\sigma^{-1}\tau \in B_{n+1}$. From $[\sigma\Delta_j] = [\tau\Delta_i]$, there is an element $s \in \mathbf{S}_n$ such that $\sigma\Delta_j s = \tau\Delta_i$, so

$$\sigma^{-1}\tau = \Delta_j s \Delta_i.$$

Note that $\Delta_i^{-1} = \Delta_i$. Furthermore, one concludes that $\sigma^{-1}\tau \in B_{n+1}$.

For any automorphism $\sigma : (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ where $\sigma \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, one obtains new generators $\sigma(t_1), \dots, \sigma(t_n)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, and then one obtains a new $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$ from $(\mathbb{R}P^n, T_0)$ by using generators $\sigma(t_1), \dots, \sigma(t_n)$ such that its tangent matrix set is $(\sigma^{-1})^\top \mathcal{N}_0$. By the above arguments with Corollary 2.2 together, up to equivariant cobordism, there are $\frac{|\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)|}{|B_{n+1}|} = \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{(n+1)!}$ different $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -actions $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$, and especially, the union of their tangent matrix sets just consists of all elements of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)/\mathbf{S}_n$. Therefore, taking

$$(T', M'^n) = \bigsqcup_{\{(\sigma^{-1})^\top\} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)/B_{n+1}} (\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$$

then the tangent representation set of this action is prime, and the number of its all elements is

$$(n+1) \times \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{(n+1)!} = \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{n!}.$$

This completes the proof of the upper bound. \square

3. ESSENTIAL GENERATORS OF \mathfrak{M}_n

Definition 3.1. Let $\beta \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{M}_n . One says that β is an *essential generator* if $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta+\gamma}| \geq |\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ for any $\gamma \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ with $|\mathcal{N}_\gamma| < |\mathcal{N}_\beta|$.

We know from Proposition 2.3 that up to equivariant cobordism there are $\frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{(n+1)!}$ different $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -actions $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$, $\sigma \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and each $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$ fixes just $n+1$ isolated points with different representations. Since the lower bound of $|\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ for any nonzero element β of \mathfrak{M}_n is $n+1$, we have that each $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$ is an essential generator.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_n$. If β is an essential generator, then*

$$|\mathcal{N}_\beta| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{2n!} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)}{2(n-1)!(n+1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = n+1$, then obviously the lemma holds. Now suppose that $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| > n+1$ so β is not just one of the $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$, $\sigma \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then one claims that for each $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action $(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)$, \mathcal{N}_β cannot contain more than $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ elements in $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)}$. Actually, if not, then one has that $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| > |\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(\mathbb{R}P^n, \sigma T_0)]}|$, but this is impossible since β is an essential generator. The lemma then follows from this claim. \square

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_n$. Then β is a linear combination of essential generators.*

Proof. The argument is trivial if $\beta = 0$ or β is an essential generator. Suppose that β is nonzero and is not an essential generator. Then there exists some element γ with $|\mathcal{N}_\gamma| < |\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ in \mathfrak{M}_n such that $\beta = (\beta + \gamma) + \gamma$ with $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta+\gamma}| < |\mathcal{N}_\beta|$. If γ or $\beta + \gamma$ is not an essential generator, since \mathfrak{M}_n contains finite elements, by continuing the above process, finally β may be expressed as a linear combination of essential generators. \square

4. SMALL COVERS

An n -dimensional convex polytope P^n is said to be *simple* if exactly n faces of codimension one meet at each of its vertices. Each point of a simple convex polytope P^n has a neighborhood which is affine isomorphic to an open subset of the positive cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. A smooth closed n -manifold M^n is said to be a *small cover* if it admits an effective smooth $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action and is locally isomorphic to the standard action of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that the orbit space of the action is a simple convex polytope P^n .

A small cover is a special 2-torus manifold. A canonical example of small cover is the n -dimensional real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^n$ with the standard $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action whose orbit space is the n -simplex Δ^n .

Suppose that $\pi : M^n \rightarrow P^n$ is a small cover over a simple convex polytope P^n . Let $\mathcal{F}(P^n) = \{F_1, \dots, F_\ell\}$ be the set of codimension-one faces (facets) of P^n . Then there are ℓ connected submanifolds M_1, \dots, M_ℓ determined by π and F_i (i.e., $M_i = \pi^{-1}(F_i)$), which are called *characteristic submanifolds* here. Each submanifold M_i is fixed pointwise by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -subgroup G_i of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, so that each facet F_i corresponds to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -subgroup G_i . Since there is a canonical isomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ to $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$, such the \mathbb{Z}_2 -subgroup G_i corresponds to an element v_i in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. For each face F of codimension s , since P^n is simple, there are s facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_s} such that

$$F = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_s}.$$

Then, the corresponding characteristic submanifolds M_{i_1}, \dots, M_{i_s} intersect transversally in the $(n-s)$ -dimensional submanifold $\pi^{-1}(F)$, and the isotropy subgroup G_F of $\pi^{-1}(F)$ is a subtorus of rank s and is generated by G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_s} (or is determined by v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s} in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$). Thus, this actually gives a characteristic function (see [DJ])

$$\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$$

defined by $\lambda(F_i) = v_i$ such that for any face $F = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_s}$ of P^n , $\lambda(F_{i_1}), \dots, \lambda(F_{i_s})$ are linearly independent in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. When $\dim F = 0$ (i.e., $s = n$), F is a vertex of P^n , which corresponds to a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -fixed point p of M . In this case, $\lambda(F_{i_1}), \dots, \lambda(F_{i_n})$ uniquely determines a dual basis of $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which just gives the tangent representation at p . Thus, the characteristic function λ completely determines the tangent representation set \mathcal{N}_M of fixed points of M^n .

By the work of Davis and Januszkiewicz [DJ], there is a reconstruction process of M^n by using the product bundle $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \times P^n$ and λ . Note that each point $q \in \partial P^n$ must lie in the relative interior of a unique face $F(q)$ of P^n . Then, one may define an equivalence relation on $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \times P^n$ as follows:

$$(t_1, x) \sim (t_2, x) \iff t_1^{-1}t_2 \in G_{F(q)}$$

where $x \in F(q)$, so that the quotient space

$$M(\lambda) := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \times P^n / (t_1, x) \sim (t_2, x)$$

is equivariantly homeomorphic to M^n . Obviously, both M^n and $M(\lambda)$ have the same characteristic function, so they also are cobordant equivariantly.

By $\Lambda(P^n)$ we denote the set of all characteristic functions on P^n . Then we have

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\pi : M^n \longrightarrow P^n$ be a small cover over a simple convex polytope P^n . Then all small covers over P^n are given by $\{M(\lambda)|\lambda \in \Lambda(P^n)\}$ from the viewpoint of cobordism.*

Remark. Generally speaking, one cannot make sure that there always exist characteristic functions (or colorings) over a simple convex polytope P^n when $n \geq 4$. For example, see [DJ, Nonexamples 1.22]. However, the Four Color Theorem makes sure that every 3-dimensional simple convex polytope always admits characteristic functions.

The correspondence $\lambda \longmapsto \sigma \circ \lambda$ defines an action of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ on $\Lambda(P^n)$, and it then induces an action of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ on $\{M(\lambda)|\lambda \in \Lambda(P^n)\}$, given by $M(\lambda) \longmapsto M(\sigma \circ \lambda)$. It is easy to check that such two actions are free.

The following two kinds of small covers play a key important role on indicating the structure of \mathfrak{M}_3 .

Example 4.1 (Small covers over a 3-complex Δ^3). A 3-simplex Δ^3 has four 2-faces, and a canonical characteristic function λ_0 on it is defined by assigning to $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$ the four 2-faces of Δ^3 , where $\{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*\}$ is the standard basis of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, which corresponds to ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 of $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Thus, $\{\sigma \circ \lambda_0|\sigma \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)\}$ gives all characteristic functions on Δ^3 . Since the characteristic function of the standard action T_0 of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ on $\mathbb{R}P^3$ is just λ_0 , $\{M(\sigma \circ \lambda_0)|\sigma \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{(\mathbb{R}P^3, \sigma T_0)|\sigma \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)\}$. Proposition 2.3 has shown that, up to equivariant cobordism, there are 7 different small covers in $\{M(\sigma \circ \lambda_0)|\sigma \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{(\mathbb{R}P^3, \sigma T_0)|\sigma \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)\}$, denoted by $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0), (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_1), \dots, (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6)$, respectively. A direct calculation gives the following table about the tangent representation sets of seven different small covers.

Table I

Small cover M	tangent representation set \mathcal{N}_M
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_1)$	$\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_2)$	$\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3)$	$\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_4)$	$\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_5)$	$\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6)$	$\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$

Example 4.2 (Small covers over a prism P^3). There exists only one simple convex 3-polytope with six vertices (i.e., a prism P^3), see [E]. Let F_1, F_2, F_4 denote three square facets, and F_3, F_5 two triangular facets in P^3 . From [CCL] we know that essentially there are five different characteristic functions $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5$ under the action of $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ on $\Lambda(P^3)$, which are respectively defined by

	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	F_5
λ_1	ρ_1^*	ρ_2^*	ρ_3^*	$\rho_1^* + \rho_2^*$	$\rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$
λ_2	ρ_1^*	ρ_2^*	ρ_3^*	$\rho_1^* + \rho_2^*$	$\rho_1^* + \rho_3^*$
λ_3	ρ_1^*	ρ_2^*	ρ_3^*	$\rho_1^* + \rho_2^*$	$\rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$
λ_4	ρ_1^*	ρ_2^*	ρ_3^*	$\rho_1^* + \rho_2^*$	ρ_3^*
λ_5	ρ_1^*	ρ_2^*	ρ_3^*	$\rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$	ρ_3^*

It is easy to check that for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, every one of $M(\sigma \circ \lambda_4)$ and $M(\sigma \circ \lambda_5)$ always bounds equivariantly. A direct calculation shows that for $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$\mathcal{N}_{M(\sigma_1 \circ \lambda_1)} = \mathcal{N}_{M(\lambda_2)}$, and for $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{N}_{M(\sigma_2 \circ \lambda_1)} = \mathcal{N}_{M(\lambda_3)}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{M(\lambda_1)}$ is prime,

by Corollary 2.2, all nonzero equivariant cobordism classes in $\{M(\sigma \circ \lambda_1) | \sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)\}$ give those in all small covers over P^3 . By further computations, one obtains that there are only four matrices

$$\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tau_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tau_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $\tau_i \mathcal{N}_{M(\lambda_1)} = \mathcal{N}_{M(\lambda_i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and these four matrices form a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Thus, up to equivariant cobordism, there are $\frac{|\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)|}{4} = 42$ different nonbounding small covers over P^3 . We can even construct such small covers as follows. Consider the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ -action Φ_0 on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2 = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{R})$ defined by the following three commutative involutions

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &: (z, [v, w]) \mapsto (\bar{z}, [\bar{z}v, w]) \\ t_2 &: (z, [v, w]) \mapsto (z, [z\bar{v}, w]) \\ t_3 &: (z, [v, w]) \mapsto (z, [-z\bar{v}, w]). \end{aligned}$$

This action fixes six isolated points $(\pm 1, [0, 1])$, $(\pm 1, [1, 0])$, $(\pm 1, [\sqrt{-1}, 0])$, and its orbit space is just a prime P^3 . A direct calculation shows that $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)}$ consists of six distinct monomials $\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3$, $\rho_1 \rho_2 (\rho_2 + \rho_3)$, $\rho_1 \rho_3 (\rho_2 + \rho_3)$, $\rho_1 (\rho_1 + \rho_2) (\rho_1 + \rho_3)$, $\rho_1 (\rho_1 + \rho_2) (\rho_2 + \rho_3)$, $\rho_1 (\rho_1 + \rho_3) (\rho_2 + \rho_3)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3]$, so $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)$ is nonbounding. Further, up to equivariant cobordism, 42 different nonbounding small covers over P^3 can be given by applying automorphisms of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ to $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)$, and they are denoted by $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)$, $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)$, ..., $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{41})$, respectively.

5. GRAPHS OF ACTIONS

Given a nonzero element β in \mathfrak{M}_n . Let (M^n, ϕ) be a representative of β such that \mathcal{N}_M is prime. Choose a nontrivial irreducible representation ρ in $\mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, let C be a component of the fixed point set of $\ker \rho (\cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1})$ acting on M such that $\dim C > 0$, and the action of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n / \ker \rho$ on C has a nonempty fixed point set. Then the dimension of C must be 1 since the action is effective, and thus C is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the circle S^1 with a reflection fixing just two fixed points. Then one has an edge joining these two fixed points, which is labeled by ρ . Furthermore, one can obtain a graph Γ_M , which is the union of all those edges chosen for each ρ and C . Clearly, the set of vertices of Γ_M is just the fixed point set of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ acting on M . Since the tangent representation at a fixed point p has n irreducible summands, the number of edges in Γ_M meeting at p is exactly n , so Γ_M is a regular graph of valence n . It should be pointed out that, generally, Γ_M is not determined by β uniquely, and it depends upon the choice of representatives of β .

Let E_{Γ_M} denote the set of all edges in Γ_M , and let V_{Γ_M} denote the set of all vertices in Γ_M . Given a vertex p in V_{Γ_M} , let E_p denote the set of n edges joining to p . Then there is a natural map $\alpha : E_{\Gamma_M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (called an axial function or a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -coloring, cf [GZ1], [GZ2], [BL]). One knows from [L] that α satisfies the following properties:

- 1) for each vertex p in V_{Γ_M} , $\alpha(E_p)$ spans $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$;
- 2) for each edge e in E_{Γ_M} ,

$$\prod_{x \in E_p - E_e} \alpha(x) \equiv \prod_{y \in E_q - E_e} \alpha(y) \pmod{\alpha(e)}$$

where p, q are two endpoints of e , and E_e denotes the set of all edges joining two endpoints of e . The pair (Γ_M, α) is called the *moment graph* of (M^n, ϕ) . Since \mathcal{N}_M is prime, one has from [L] that for each edge e in Γ_M , $|E_e| = 1$.

Note. If M is a small cover over a simple convex polytope P^n , then Γ_M is just the 1-skeleton of P^n . In this case, it is easy to see that the map $\alpha : E_{\Gamma_M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is dual to the characteristic function $\lambda : \mathcal{F}(P^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. In other words, both α and λ are determined to each other.

By [BL] we know that (Γ_M, α) is a “good” $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -coloring, so that each k -nest Δ^k of (Γ_M, α) is a connected regular k -valent subgraph of Γ_M with $\dim \text{Span} \alpha(\Delta^k) = k$, where $\text{Span} \alpha(\Delta^k)$ denotes the linear space spanned by all colors of edges in Δ^k . By $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$ one denotes the set of all nests of (Γ_M, α) . Since each k -nest ($k > 0$) determines a k -dimensional subspace of $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, it corresponds to an $(n-k)$ -dimensional subspace in the dual space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. This actually gives a dual map η from $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$ to the set of all subspaces of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$, which is just the characteristic function when M is a small cover. Obviously, η maps each $(n-1)$ -dimensional nest of $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$ to a nonzero element in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$. Since each vertex p is the intersection of n $(n-1)$ -nests of $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$, it corresponds to a basis of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$, which is just the dual basis of the basis $\alpha(E_p)$ in $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

From [BL] one knows that if $\dim M \leq 3$, then (Γ_M, α) always admits a skeletal expansion (note that if $\dim M > 4$, under what condition (Γ_M, α) admits a skeletal expansion is still open). This will directly lead us to use this result to study the group structure of \mathfrak{M}_3 .

Proposition 5.1 ([BL]). *If $\dim M = 3$, then (Γ_M, α) admits a 2-skeletal expansion (N, K) such that N is a closed surface.*

6. DETERMINATION OF \mathfrak{M}_3

The main task of this section is devoted to determining the structure of \mathfrak{M}_3 .

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_3$. Then $|\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ is even.*

Proof. The Euler characteristic of any 3-dimensional closed manifold is always zero, and the lemma then follows from the classical Smith Theorem. \square

By Proposition 3.1, the key point of determining the structure of \mathfrak{M}_3 is to find out all essential generators in \mathfrak{M}_3 . The following proposition characterizes the essential generators of \mathfrak{M}_3 .

Proposition 6.1. *A nonzero element $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ is an essential generator if and only if $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| \leq 6$. Further, all essential generators of \mathfrak{M}_3 are given by two kinds of small covers $(\mathbb{R}P^3, \sigma T_0)$ and $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \sigma \Phi_0)$.*

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ be nonzero. If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| \leq 6$, then β is an essential generator.*

Proof. If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 4$, then β is one of $[(\mathbb{R}P^3, \sigma T_0)]$'s so β is an essential generator. Thus, it suffices to consider the case $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 6$ by Lemma 6.1. From Example 4.1, we see that all $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$ are disjoint to each other. We first claim that any intersection $\mathcal{N}_\beta \cap \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$ cannot contain four elements. If not, then there exists some i' such that $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i'})]}| = 2$. By [KS], $\beta + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i'})]$ must be zero in \mathfrak{M}_3 , but this is impossible. Next, we shall prove that any intersection $\mathcal{N}_\beta \cap \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$ cannot contain three elements. If not, then there exists some i'' such that $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i''})]}| = 4$, so that $\beta + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i''})]$ must be the equivariant cobordism class of another $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_j)$ with $j \neq i''$. Further, β is the sum $[(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i''})] + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_j)]$, so $|\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ is 8 rather than 6. This is a contradiction. Combining the above argument, one has that $|\mathcal{N}_\beta \cap \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}|$ is less than 3. Then the lemma follows from this. \square

The following lemma indicates the connection between $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i) | i = 0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j) | j = 0, 1, \dots, 41\}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Each $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ of \mathcal{A} corresponds to six small covers $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{i_1}), \dots, (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{i_6})$ of \mathcal{B} for which such six small covers are not cobordant to each other, and $|\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)} \cap \mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{i_u})}| = 2, u = 1, \dots, 6$.*

Proof. Since all $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$, are distinct and since all $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$, can be translated to each other up to cobordism by applying automorphisms of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$, it suffices to consider the case of $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)$. We see from the table I of Example 4.1 that

$$\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)} = \{\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3, \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)\}.$$

Obviously, any two monomials of $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}$ give five elements of $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and there are exactly six such pairs in $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}$. Consider two monomials $\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3, \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)$ of $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}$, we get five elements $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_1 + \rho_2, \rho_1 + \rho_3$ of $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Using these five elements, we can define an axial function α on the 1-skeleton of a prism P^3 as shown in Figure 1. Since α uniquely determines a characteristic function on P^3 , we obtain a small




FIGURE 1. An axial function α on the 1-skeleton of a prism P^3

cover $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_1})$ with six fixed points over P^3 such that its tangent representation set $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_1})}$ consists of six monomials $\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3, \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1 \rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1 \rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$. Similarly, for other five pairs in $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}$, we

can obtain five small covers $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_u})$, $u = 2, \dots, 6$ with their tangent representation sets as follows

u	$\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_u})}$
2	$\{\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)\}$
3	$\{\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)\}$
4	$\{\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)\}$
5	$\{\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)\}$
6	$\{\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)\}$

Then the lemma follows from the above argument and Corollary 2.2. \square

Remark 6.1. Lemma 6.3 also gives the method of constructing 42 different small covers (up to equivariant cobordism) with 6 fixed points. In particular, we easily see the following property that for each $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$, two of $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)}$ are in some $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$, and others are just distributed in four different $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i_1})}, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i_2})}, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i_3})}, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_{i_4})}$ with $i_v \neq i, v = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In addition, we also see from the argument of Lemma 6.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_3([(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_1})] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_6})] + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)]) &= 0 \\ \delta_3([(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_2})] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_5})] + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)]) &= 0 \\ \delta_3([(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_3})] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{0_4})] + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)]) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where δ_3 is the monomorphism of Theorem 2.1. This means that actually we need only to consider the half of 42 different small covers $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 41$, such that up to equivariant cobordism the union of any two of them is not one of $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$. With no loss we may assume that such 21 different small covers are just $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 20$, with their tangent representation sets stated in Table II.

Table II

Small cover M	tangent representation set \mathcal{N}_M
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_2)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_3)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_4)$	$\rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_5)$	$\rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_6)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_7)$	$\rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_8)$	$\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_9)$	$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$

$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{10})$	$\rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{11})$	$\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{12})$	$\rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{13})$	$\rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{14})$	$\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{15})$	$\rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{16})$	$\rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{17})$	$\rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{18})$	$\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{19})$	$\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$
$(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_{20})$	$\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3),$ $\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), (\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$

Now let $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ be an essential generator. By Lemma 3.1, one has known that $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| \leq 14$.

Claim 1. $|\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ must be less than 12.

Proof. If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 14$, then for each i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$), there must be two monomials $\delta_1^{(i)}, \delta_2^{(i)}$ in $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$ such that $\delta_1^{(i)}$ and $\delta_2^{(i)}$ contain in \mathcal{N}_β . By Lemma 6.3 and Remark 6.1, an easy argument shows that there must be some $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)} \subset \mathcal{N}_\beta$. Then $8 = |\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)]}| < |\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 14$. However, this is a contradiction since β is an essential generator. Thus, $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 14$ is impossible.

If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 12$, since each $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$ contains at most two monomials in \mathcal{N}_β , then $\mathcal{N}_\beta = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{11}, \delta_{12}\}$ has the following two possible cases: (i) all elements of \mathcal{N}_β may be divided into six pairs $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}, \dots, \{\delta_{11}, \delta_{12}\}$ that are distributed in six different $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$ respectively; (ii) \mathcal{N}_β may be divided into seven parts $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}, \dots, \{\delta_9, \delta_{10}\}, \{\delta_{11}\}, \{\delta_{12}\}$ such that these seven parts are just distributed in $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}, \dots, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6)}$, respectively. The similar argument as above also shows that there must be some $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$ such that for the case (i), at least five elements of $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)}$ contain in \mathcal{N}_β , and for the case (ii), at least four elements of $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)}$ contain in \mathcal{N}_β . Then $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)]}| \leq 10 < |\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 12$. This contradicts that β is an essential generator. Thus, $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 12$ cannot occur. \square

Let (M, ϕ) be a representative of β such that \mathcal{N}_M is prime, and let (Γ_M, α) be the moment graph of (M, ϕ) .

Claim 2. Γ_M is connected.

Proof. Suppose that Γ_M is disconnected. Let Γ' be a connected component of Γ_M . Then the restriction $\alpha|_{\Gamma'}$ is still an axial function of Γ' . By Claim 1, one has $|\mathcal{N}_M| \leq 10$ so the number of vertices of Γ_M is less than or equal to 10. If $|V_{\Gamma'}| = 2$, then obviously $\alpha(E_{p_1}) = \alpha(E_{p_2})$ for $p_1, p_2 \in V_{\Gamma'}$, but this is impossible since \mathcal{N}_M is prime. If $|V_{\Gamma'}| = 4$, then Γ' must be the 1-skeleton of a 3-simplex, and thus $(\Gamma', \alpha|_{\Gamma'})$ is the moment graph of some $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$. Further, the disjoint union of (M, ϕ) and $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ forms a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ -action with at most six fixed points. This contradicts to the assumption that β is an essential generator. If $|V_{\Gamma'}| = 6$, since the number of vertices of Γ_M is less than or equal to 10, Γ_M must have another connected component with 2 or 4 vertices, so that the problem is reduced to the case $|V_{\Gamma'}| = 2$ or 4. This completes the proof. \square

By Proposition 5.1 and Claim 2, the 2-skeletal expansion N of (Γ_M, α) is a connected closed surface. By F_{Γ_M} one denotes the set of all 2-nests in $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$. Then one has the formula

$$(6.1) \quad \chi(N) = |V_{\Gamma_M}| - |E_{\Gamma_M}| + |F_{\Gamma_M}|$$

where $\chi(N)$ is the Euler characteristic of N . Note that $|V_{\Gamma_M}| = |\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ and $3|V_{\Gamma_M}| = 2|E_{\Gamma_M}|$.

Claim 3. *The 2-skeletal expansion N of (Γ_M, α) is a sphere of dimension 2.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the Euler characteristic $\chi(N)$ is 2. By Claim 1, one has $|\mathcal{N}_M| \leq 10$ so one needs to consider the cases of $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 4, 6, 8, 10$.

When $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 4$, if $\chi(N)$ is not 2, then from (6.1) one has that $|F_{\Gamma_M}| \leq 3$, so all 2-nests in (Γ_M, α) correspond to at most three nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$. However, any three nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ cannot produce four different bases of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$. Thus, $\chi(N)$ must be 2.

When $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 6$, since any four nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ cannot produce six different bases of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, one has that $|F_{\Gamma_M}|$ must be 5 so $\chi(N)$ is 2.

When $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 8$, if N is not a sphere of dimension 2, then the above argument makes sure that $|F_{\Gamma_M}|$ must be 5, and the dual map η of α maps five 2-nests of $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$ to five different nonzero elements of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, respectively. An easy argument shows that any five nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ can be translated into five given nonzero elements by applying an automorphism of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$. Thus we may choose five special elements $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*$ as being the images of η on five 2-nests of $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$, where $\{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*\}$ is the standard basis of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, which corresponds to the standard basis $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$ of $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then from these five chosen elements, one may produce just 8 bases of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \\ & \{\rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, \mathcal{N}_M consists of 8 monomials $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$. Further, we see from Table I that $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3)} \subset \mathcal{N}_M$, so $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta+[(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3)]}| < 8$. This means that β is not an essential generator, which gives a contradiction. Thus, when $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 8$, $|F_{\Gamma_M}|$ must be 6 so $\chi(N)$ is still 2.

When $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 10$, suppose that $\chi(N)$ is not 2. As shown above, any five nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ cannot produce ten different bases of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, and

thus the only possibility of $|F_{\Gamma_M}|$ is 6. Further, one has from (6.1) that $\chi(N)$ must be 1. To ensure that $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 10$, six 2-nests in $\mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma_M, \alpha)}$ must then correspond to six different nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ by the dual map η . It is easy to check that any six different nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ can still be translated into the given six different nonzero elements by an automorphism of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$. Thus, as in the argument of the case $|\mathcal{N}_M| = 8$, it needs to merely consider six special nonzero elements $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$. Take six nonzero elements $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$ in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ such that they are the images of η on six 2-nests, one then may produce 16 different bases of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$ as follows: $\{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*\}, \{\rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*\}, \{\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}, \{\rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*\}$. These 16 bases are dual to 16 bases in $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which give the following 16 monomials.

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \\ & \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \\ & (\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \\ & \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3). \end{aligned}$$

One sees that the first row above is just $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}$, the second row is $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3)}$, and the third row is $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6)}$, but $\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)$ belong to $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_1)}, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_2)}, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_4)}, \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_5)}$, respectively. Then \mathcal{N}_M must contain $\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)$, and $|\mathcal{N}_M \cap \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}| = 2$ for $i = 0, 3, 6$.

Now choose any two γ_1, γ_2 of $\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)$, it is easy to show that there is always one $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)}$ contains γ_1, γ_2 . Without loss of generality, we may let $\gamma_1 = \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$ and $\gamma_2 = \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3)$. Then one has that $\mathcal{N}_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)} = \{\rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)\}$ with $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3 \in \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)}, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3) \in \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3)}, \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3) \in \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6)}$. If \mathcal{N}_M contains at least two of $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$, form the disjoint union $(M, \phi) \sqcup (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$, then

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)]}| < 10,$$

which contradicts that β is an essential generator. Thus, this case cannot occur. If \mathcal{N}_M contains only one (say ω) of $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$, form the union $(M, \phi) \sqcup (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j) \sqcup (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ where $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ is some one of $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0), (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3), (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6)$ such that $\omega \notin \mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)}$, then

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\beta + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)] + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)]}| < 10,$$

which leads to a contradiction (note that $|\mathcal{N}_{[(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)] + [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)]}| < 10$). Finally, if \mathcal{N}_M does not contain any one of $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3, \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3)$, consider the disjoint union of $(M, \phi) \sqcup (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$ with $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_3)$, then a contradiction still occurs (i.e., β is not an essential generator). This is impossible. Therefore, $\chi(N)$ must be 2.

Combining the above arguments, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_3$. If β is an essential generator, then $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| \leq 6$.*

Proof. By Claim 1 it suffices to show that $|\mathcal{N}_\beta|$ is not equal to 8 and 10. One knows by Claim 3 that the 2-skeletal expansion N is a sphere of dimension 2, so Γ_M is planar and in particular, it is the 1-skeleton of a simple convex 3-polytope P^3 . In this case, M is a small cover over P^3 , so the axial function α on Γ_M is dual to the characteristic function λ on P^3 .

The argument proceeds as follows.

Case (i): $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 8$.

If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 8$, then Γ_M is the 1-skeleton of a simple convex polytope with 8 vertices. From [G] one knows that there are only two different combinatorial types of simple 3-polytopes with eight vertices, as shown in Figure 2. If Γ_M is the 1-skeleton of 3-dimensional cube P_1 ,




FIGURE 2. Two simple 3-polytopes with eight vertices

then it is easy to check that P_1 does not admit any characteristic function of mapping six 2-faces into six different nonzero elements in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, but this is impossible. Thus, Γ_M cannot be the 1-skeleton of P_1 . If Γ_M is the 1-skeleton of P_2 , taking a triangular facet F of P_2 , then, up to automorphisms of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3)$, it is easy to see that the characteristic function λ on P_2 maps F with its 3 adjacent 2-faces into either $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$ or $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*$. When λ maps F with its 3 adjacent 2-faces into $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^* + \rho_3^*$, obviously there must be some $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ such that $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta+[(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)]}| = 6 < 8$. This contradicts the fact that β is an essential generator, and thus this case cannot occur. When λ maps F with its 3 adjacent 2-faces into $\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, \rho_3^*, \rho_1^* + \rho_2^*$, it is easy to check that there must be some $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)$ such that $|\mathcal{N}_{\beta+[(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)]}| = 6 < 8$. This also is impossible, so Γ_M cannot be the 1-skeleton of P_2 . Thus, if β is an essential generator, then $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 8$ is impossible.

Case (ii): $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 10$.

If $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 10$, then Γ_M is the 1-skeleton of a simple convex polytope with 10 vertices. From [G] one knows that there are only five different combinatorial types of simple 3-polytopes with ten vertices, as shown in Figures 3 and 4. An easy argument shows that




FIGURE 3. Simple 3-polytopes with ten vertices




FIGURE 4. Simple 3-polytopes with ten vertices

Γ_M cannot be the 1-skeleton of P_3 . Since each of P_4, P_5, P_6, P_7 has at least one triangular facet, similarly to the proof of case (i), one may prove that Γ_M cannot be the 1-skeleton of P_4, P_5, P_6, P_7 , respectively. Therefore, $|\mathcal{N}_\beta| = 10$ is impossible, too.

Combining the above arguments, one completes the proof. \square

Together with Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.4 and Remark 6.1, we complete the proof of Proposition 6.1.

Theorem 6.2. *As a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 , \mathfrak{M}_3 has dimension 13, and it is generated by $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0), (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_1), \dots, (\mathbb{R}P^3, T_6), (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0), (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1), \dots, (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_4), (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_6)$.*

Proof. By Propositions 3.1 and 6.1, any element of \mathfrak{M}_3 is a linear combination of 28 small covers $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$, and $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j), j = 0, 1, \dots, 20$. Thus, in order to calculate the dimension of \mathfrak{M}_3 , one needs to determine a maximal linearly independent set of the above 28 small covers. Let

$$\sum_{i=0}^6 l_i [(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)] + \sum_{j=0}^{20} k_j [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)] = 0$$

where $l_i, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Using Stong-homomorphism δ_3 in Theorem 2.1, one then has that

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^6 l_i \delta_3([(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)]) + \sum_{j=0}^{20} k_j \delta_3([(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_j)]) = 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ gives 28 different bases, from (6.2) and Tables I and II, one obtains a equation system formed by 28 equations, such that the coefficient matrix of this equation system is

7. REPRESENTATIVES OF EQUIVARIANT COBORDISM CLASSES OF \mathfrak{M}_3

Given two small covers $\pi_i : M_i^n \rightarrow P_i^n, i = 1, 2$, their equivariant connected sum along fixed points can be proceeded as follows: Take a vertex v_i from P_i^n and let p_i be its preimage in $M_i, i = 1, 2$. With no loss one may assume that $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -actions are equivalent in a neighborhood of p_i (actually, if necessary, one can change the action by using an automorphism of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$). Then one can perform the connected sum equivariantly near the fixed points p_1, p_2 . The result is a 2-torus manifold $M_1^n \# M_2^n$, and its orbit space, $P_1^n \# P_2^n$, is given by removing a small ball around v_i from P_i^n and gluing the results together. As pointed out in [DJ], generally $P_1^n \# P_2^n$ is not canonically identified with a simple polytope but is almost as good in that its boundary complex is dual to some PL triangulation of S^{n-1} . However, it is easy to see that if $n = 3$, $P_1^n \# P_2^n$ is also a simple polytope, so $M_1^n \# M_2^n$ is a small cover over $P_1^n \# P_2^n$.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a 3-dimensional small cover $\pi : M^3 \rightarrow P^3$ such that M is equivariantly cobordant to a 2-torus 3-manifold N with \mathcal{N}_M prime and $|\mathcal{N}_N| = 28$.*

Proof. Consider two small covers $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)$ and $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)$ over a prism P^3 , one sees from Table II that they have fixed points with the same representation $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3$. Then one can make an equivariant connected sum along the fixed points with representation $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3$, such that $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0) \# (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)$ is also a small cover over a simple 3-polytope with 10 vertices, and its tangent representation set is just equal to $\mathcal{N}_{[(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)]}$, consisting of $\rho_1\rho_2(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_3(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2\rho_3(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_3)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$. From Table I one sees the following properties:

(a) For any $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$, the intersection of $\mathcal{N}_{[(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)]}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{[(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)]}$ is always non-empty.

(b) Two elements $\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3), \rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_2 + \rho_3)$ of $\mathcal{N}_{[(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)]}$ contain in $\mathcal{N}_{[(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_0)]}$.

Next, one preforms an equivariant connected sum of two copies of $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0) \# (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)$ along the fixed point with representation $\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)$. Then the resulting $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ -manifold M' fixes 18 isolated points and is also a small cover over a simple polytope with 18 vertices. Obviously, the representations at 18 fixed points of M' appear in pairs, so M' bounds equivariantly. Since $\mathcal{N}_{[(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_0)] + [(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^2, \Phi_1)]} \setminus \{\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_3)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{M'}$, by properties (a) and (b), one has that for any $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$, the intersection $\mathcal{N}_{M'} \cap \mathcal{N}_{[(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)]}$ is non-empty, so that M' can perform an equivariant connected sum with each $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ along the fixed points with the same representation. Let M be the equivariant connected sum of M' with all $(\mathbb{R}P^3, T_i)$ in the above way. Then M is just a desired small cover. \square

Theorem 7.1. *Any element β in \mathfrak{M}_3 contains a small cover as its representative.*

Proof. If $\beta = 0$, then the bounding small cover M' in Lemma 7.1 can be chosen as a representative of β . If $\beta \neq 0$, then β is a linear combination of those 13 small covers stated in Theorem 6.2. Consider the small cover M constructed in Lemma 7.1 and take a fixed point p of M with representation $\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3$, one first preforms an equivariant connected sum $M \# M$ of two copies of M along the fixed point p , so that $M \# M$ is also a small cover and bounds equivariantly. Obviously, one can still preform an equivariant connected sum of $M \# M$ with some chosen arbitrarily from 13 small covers stated in Theorem 6.2 such

that the resulting 2-torus manifold is a small cover. This means that β must contain a small cover as its representative. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB] J.L. Alperin and R.B. Bell, *Groups and representations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **162** (1995), Springer-Verlag.
- [AP] C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological Methods in Transformation Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **32**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [BL] Z. Q. Bao and Z. Lü, *Manifolds associated with $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -colored regular graphs*, arXiv: math.GT/0609557.
- [BR] V. M. Bukhshtaber and N. Ray, *Toric manifolds and complex cobordisms*, Russian Math. Surveys **53** (1998), 371-373.
- [CCL] M. Z. Cai, X. Chen and Z. Lü, *Small Covers over Prisms*, arXiv: math.DG/0606438.
- [CF] P.E. Conner and E.E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete, N. F., Bd. **33**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
- [DJ] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1991), 417-451.
- [E] G. Ewald, *Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer, 1996.
- [G] B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **221**, Springer, 2003.
- [GZ1] V. Guillemin and C. Zara, *1-Skeleta, Betti numbers, and equivariant cohomology*, Duke Math. J. **107** (2001), 283-349.
- [GZ2] V. Guillemin and C. Zara, *The existence of generating families for the cohomology ring of a graph*, Advances in Mathematics **174** (2003), 115-153.
- [KS] C. Kosniowski and R.E. Stong, *$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$ -actions and characteristic numbers*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **28** (1979), 723-743.
- [L] Z. Lü, *Graphs and $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$ -actions*, arXiv: math.AT/0508643.
- [S] R.E. Stong, *Equivariant bordism and $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$ -actions*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 779-785.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: zlu@fudan.edu.cn