

## ON LIPSCHITZ AND D.C. SURFACES OF FINITE CODIMENSION IN A BANACH SPACE

LUDĚK ZAJÍČEK, Praha

*Abstract.* Properties of Lipschitz and d.c. surfaces of finite codimension in a Banach space, and properties of generated  $\sigma$ -ideals are studied. These  $\sigma$ -ideals naturally appear in the differentiation theory and in the abstract approximation theory. Using these properties, we improve an unpublished result of M. Heisler which gives an alternative proof of a result of D. Preiss on singular points of convex functions.

*Keywords:* Banach space, Lipschitz surface, d.c. surface, multiplicity points of monotone operators, singular points of convex functions, Aronszajn null sets.

*MSC 2000:* 46T05, 58C20, 47H05

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a real separable Banach space. A number of  $\sigma$ -ideals of subsets of  $X$  are considered in the literature. Besides the most classical system of first category sets mention the  $\sigma$ -ideals of Haar null sets, Aronszajn (equivalently Gaussian) null sets (see [2]),  $\Gamma$ -null sets (see [12], [11]) and  $\sigma$ -(lower or upper) porous sets (see e.g., [21]). In some questions of the differentiability theory and of the abstract approximation theory, the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$  and  $\mathcal{DC}^1(X)$  generated by Lipschitz and d.c. Lipschitz hypersurfaces (i.e., “graphs” of Lipschitz and of d.c. Lipschitz functions), respectively, naturally appear. These  $\sigma$ -ideals are proper subsystems of all  $\sigma$ -ideals mentioned above. The sets from  $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$  were used in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  (under a different but equivalent definition) by W.H. Young (under the name “ensemble ridée”) and by H. Blumberg (under the name “sparse set”); cf. [20, p. 294]. These sets were used in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  e.g., (implicitly) by P. Erdős [4], and in infinite-dimensional spaces (possibly for the first time) in [18] and [17]. The sets from  $\mathcal{DC}^1(X)$  were probably first applied in [19] (cf. [2, p. 93]). In some articles (e.g., [18], [19], [20], [15]) also sets from smaller  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$  and  $\mathcal{DC}^n(X)$  generated by Lipschitz and d.c. Lipschitz surfaces of codimension  $n > 1$  were used.

In the present article we prove some properties of Lipschitz and Lipschitz locally d.c. surfaces of finite codimension (Section 3; Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.7).

Using these properties, we study in Section 4 sets which are projections of sets from  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$  on a closed space  $Y \subset X$  of codimension  $d < n$ . The study of such projections was suggested by D. Preiss in connection with a result of [13] (see Remark 4.7(i)). M. Heisler [7] proved that any such projection is a first category set in  $Y$ , which provides (together with a result of [19]) an alternative proof of a result of [13]. We prove that each such projection is also a subset of an Aronszajn null set in  $Y$  (and even a subset of a set from a smaller class  $\mathcal{C}_n^*$ ). As a consequence, we obtain a result on projections of sets of multiplicity of monotone operators (Theorem 4.9) which improves both [13, Theorem 1.3.] and the corresponding result of [7].

Our proof is more transparent than that of [7] and gives stronger results, since it uses “perturbation” Proposition 3.7. To prove (and apply) it, we need some results on perturbations of finite-dimensional subspaces. These results are collected in Preliminaries, where also needful results on d.c. mappings are recalled.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider only real Banach spaces. By  $\text{sp}\{M\}$  we denote the linear span of the set  $M$ . A mapping is called  $K$ -Lipschitz if it is Lipschitz with a (not necessarily minimal) constant  $K$ . A bijection  $f$  is called bilipschitz ( $K$ -bilipschitz) if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are Lipschitz ( $K$ -Lipschitz).

A real function on an open convex subset of a Banach space is called d.c. (delta-convex) if it is a difference of two continuous convex functions. Hartman’s notion of d.c. mappings between Euclidean spaces [6] was generalized and studied in [16].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces,  $C \subset X$  an open convex set, and let  $F : C \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping. We say that  $F$  is d.c. if there exists a continuous convex function  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $y^* \circ F + f$  is convex whenever  $y^* \in Y^*$ ,  $\|y^*\| \leq 1$ .

It is easy to see (cf. [16, Corollary 1.8.]) that, if  $Y$  is finite dimensional, then  $F$  is d.c. if and only if  $y^* \circ F$  is d.c. for each  $y^* \in Y^*$  (or for each  $y^*$  from a fixed basis of  $Y^*$ ). Note also that each d.c. mapping is locally Lipschitz ([16, p. 10]). If  $X$  is finite-dimensional, then each locally d.c. mapping is d.c. (see. [16, p. 14]) but it is not true (see [9]) if  $X$  is infinite dimensional. We will need also the following well-known facts on d.c. mappings.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X, X_1, Y, Y_1, Y_2, Z$  be Banach spaces.*

- (i) *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be d.c. and let  $g : X_1 \rightarrow X, h : Y \rightarrow Y_1$  be linear and continuous. Then both  $f \circ g$  and  $h \circ f$  are d.c.*
- (ii) *A mapping  $f = (f_1, f_2) : X \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$  is d.c. if and only if both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are d.c.*
- (iii) *If  $g : X \rightarrow Y, h : X \rightarrow Y$  are d.c. and  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ , then  $ag + bh$  is d.c.*
- (iv) *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally d.c. and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is locally d.c., then  $g \circ f$  is locally d.c.*
- (v) *Suppose that  $G : X \rightarrow Y$  is a linear isomorphism,  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is a locally d.c. bilipschitz bijection, and the range of  $g - G$  is contained in a finite dimensional space. Then  $g^{-1}$  is locally d.c.*

*Proof.* The statements (i) and (ii) are very easy (cf. [16, Lemma 1.5. and Lemma 1.7.]) and (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). The statement (iv) is a special case of [16, Theorem 4.2.] and (v) is a special case of [3, Theorem 2.1.].  $\square$

We will need some notions and results concerning distances of two subspaces of a Banach space, which are well-known from the perturbation theory of linear operators ([5], [8], [1]). Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $S(X)$  be the unit sphere of  $X$ . Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be closed non-trivial subspaces of  $X$ . Then the *gap between  $Y$  and  $Z$*  (called also the opening or the deviation of  $Y$  and  $Z$ ) is defined by

$$\gamma(Y, Z) = \max\left\{ \sup_{y \in Y \cap S(X)} \text{dist}(y, Z), \sup_{z \in Z \cap S(X)} \text{dist}(z, Y) \right\}.$$

We set  $\gamma(\{0\}, \{0\}) := 0$  and  $\gamma(Y, Z) = 1$  if one and only one of  $Y, Z$  is  $\{0\}$ . The gap need not be a metric on the set of all non-trivial subspaces of  $X$ ; this property has

the distance  $\rho(Y, Z)$  between  $Y$  and  $Z$  defined as the Hausdorff distance between  $Y \cap S(X)$  and  $Z \cap S(X)$ .

We will work with the gap  $\gamma(Y, Z)$ . However, since it is easy to prove (see e.g., [8]) that (for nontrivial  $Y, Z$ ) always

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(Y, Z)/2 \leq \gamma(Y, Z) \leq \rho(Y, Z),$$

we could work also with  $\rho(Y, Z)$ . We will need the following well-known facts.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $F, \tilde{F}, K$  be finite dimensional subspaces of  $X$ . Then:*

- (i) *If  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < 1$ , then  $\dim F = \dim \tilde{F}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $F \cap K = \{0\}$ , then there exists  $\omega > 0$  such that  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \omega$  implies  $\tilde{F} \cap K = \{0\}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $E \oplus F = X$ , then there exists  $\omega > 0$  such that  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \omega$  implies  $E \oplus \tilde{F} = X$ .*

*Proof.* Statement (i) is proved in [5] (see [1, Theorem 2.1]) and (ii) is an easy consequence of (2.1). (We can also apply [1, Theorem 5.2] with  $Y := F, Z := K$  and  $X := F \oplus K$ .) The statement (iii) immediately follows from [1, Theorem 5.2].  $\square$

The following simple lemma is also essentially well-known. Although it is not stated explicitly in [10], it follows from [10, Theorem 2.2.] which works with complex Banach spaces. Since the formulation of [10, Theorem 2.2.] is rather complicated and we work with real spaces, for the sake of completeness we give a proof.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be a basis of a space  $V \subset X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the inequalities  $\|w_1 - v_1\| < \delta, \dots, \|w_n - v_n\| < \delta$  imply that  $W := \text{sp}\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  is  $n$ -dimensional and  $\gamma(V, W) < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* First we will show that there exists  $\eta > 0$  and  $\delta^* > 0$  such that the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \right\| \geq \eta \|c\|_\infty$$

holds whenever  $\|w_1 - v_1\| < \delta^*, \dots, \|w_n - v_n\| < \delta^*$  and  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is arbitrary. To this end observe that there exists  $\eta^* > 0$  such that (2.2) holds for  $\eta = \eta^*$ ,  $w_i = v_i$ , and arbitrary  $c$ . Put  $\eta := \eta^*/2$  and  $\delta^* := \eta^*/2n$ . Then the inequalities  $\|w_1 - v_1\| < \delta^*, \dots, \|w_n - v_n\| < \delta^*$  imply that, for each  $0 \neq c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{\|c\|_\infty} v_i \right\| - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{\|c\|_\infty} w_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{\|c\|_\infty} (v_i - w_i) \right\| < n\delta^* = \eta^*/2.$$

Consequently, using the definition of  $\eta^*$ , we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{\|c\|_\infty} w_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{\|c\|_\infty} v_i \right\| - \eta^*/2 \geq \eta^* - \eta^*/2 = \eta,$$

which implies (2.2).

Now set  $\delta := \min\{\delta^*, \varepsilon\eta/2n\}$  and suppose that the inequalities  $\|w_1 - v_1\| < \delta, \dots, \|w_n - v_n\| < \delta$  hold. Let  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i$  with  $\|w\| = 1$  be given. Set  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$ . Since  $\|c\|_\infty \leq 1/\eta$  by (2.2), we obtain

$$\|v - w\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i| \delta \leq n(1/\eta)\delta \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

Consequently,  $\sup_{w \in W \cap S(X)} \text{dist}(w, V) < \varepsilon$ . By a quite symmetrical way we obtain  $\sup_{v \in V \cap S(X)} \text{dist}(v, W) < \varepsilon$ , so  $\gamma(V, W) < \varepsilon$ . Since we can suppose  $\varepsilon < 1$ , we know that  $W$  is  $n$ -dimensional by Lemma 2.3(i).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear isomorphism. Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$C^{-1}\gamma(F(V), F(W)) \leq \gamma(V, W) \leq C\gamma(F(V), F(W)),$$

whenever  $V$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $X$ .

*Proof.* We can clearly suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are non-trivial. Since  $F^{-1}$  is also a linear isomorphism, it is clearly sufficient to find  $D > 0$  such that  $\gamma(V, W) \leq D\gamma(F(V), F(W))$  always holds. Choose  $K > 0$  such that  $F$  is  $K$ -bilipschitz and consider  $v \in V$  with  $\|v\| = 1$ . We can clearly find  $\tilde{w} \in F(W)$  for which  $\|\tilde{w} - \|F(v)\|^{-1} \cdot F(v)\| \leq 2\gamma(F(V), F(W))$ . Since  $\|F(v)\| \leq K$ , we have  $\|F(v) - \|F(v)\| \cdot \tilde{w}\| \leq 2K\gamma(F(V), F(W))$ , and therefore  $\|v - \|F(v)\| \cdot F^{-1}(\tilde{w})\| \leq 2K^2\gamma(F(V), F(W))$ . Since the roles of  $V$  and  $W$  are symmetric, we can clearly set  $D := 2K^2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space,  $V, W \subset X$  non-trivial finite dimensional spaces, and  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists a space  $\tilde{V} \subset X$  with  $\gamma(V, \tilde{V}) < \delta$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap W = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $n := \dim V$ , choose an  $n$ -dimensional space  $Y \subset X$  with  $Y \cap (V + W) = \{0\}$  and a linear bijection  $L : V \rightarrow Y$ . For  $t > 0$ , set  $\tilde{V}_t := \{v + tL(v) : v \in V\}$ . It is easy to check that each  $\tilde{V}_t$  is an  $n$ -dimensional space with  $\tilde{V}_t \cap W = \{0\}$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 to a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  of  $V$  and  $w_i := v_i + tL(v_i)$ , it is easy to see that  $\gamma(V, \tilde{V}_t) \rightarrow 0$  ( $t \rightarrow 0+$ ), which implies our assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $1 \leq n < \dim X$ , and  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $X = E \oplus F$ , where  $F$  is an  $n$ -dimensional space. Suppose that the canonical mapping  $\mu : E \oplus F \rightarrow E \times F$  (where  $E \times F$  is equipped with the maximum norm) is  $K$ -bilipschitz. Then there exists  $\omega > 0$  such that if  $\tilde{F} \subset X$  is a closed space with  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \omega$ , then  $X = E \oplus \tilde{F}$  and the canonical mapping  $\tilde{\mu} : E \oplus \tilde{F} \rightarrow E \times \tilde{F}$  is  $2K$ -bilipschitz.*

*Proof.* Distinguishing the cases  $\lambda < 1$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\lambda > 1$ , it is easy to check that there exists  $1 > \omega_0 > 0$  such the inequalities

$$(2.3) \quad K \max(1 + \omega, \lambda) + \omega \leq 2K \max(1, \lambda),$$

$$K^{-1} \max(1 - \omega, \lambda) - \omega \geq (2K)^{-1} \max(1, \lambda)$$

hold for each  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $0 < \omega < \omega_0$ . By Lemma 2.3(iii), we can choose  $0 < \omega < \omega_0$  such that  $X = E \oplus \tilde{F}$  whenever  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \omega$ . Let  $\tilde{F}$  with  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \omega$  be given, and consider arbitrary  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{F}$  and  $e \in E$ . We will prove

$$(2.4) \quad (2K)^{-1} \max(\|\tilde{f}\|, \|e\|) \leq \|\tilde{f} + e\| \leq 2K \max(\|\tilde{f}\|, \|e\|).$$

Since the case  $\tilde{f} = 0$  is trivial, by homogeneity of the norm we can suppose  $\|\tilde{f}\| = 1$  and find  $f \in F$  with  $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \omega$ . Applying (2.3) to  $\lambda := \|e\|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f} + e\| &\leq \|f + e\| + \omega \leq K \max(\|f\|, \|e\|) + \omega \\ &\leq K \max(1 + \omega, \|e\|) + \omega \leq 2K \max(1, \|e\|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{f} + e\| \geq \|f + e\| - \omega \geq K^{-1} \max(1 - \omega, \|e\|) - \omega \geq (2K)^{-1} \max(1, \|e\|).$$

Thus, (2.4) holds, and  $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $(2K)$ -bilipschitz.  $\square$

### 3. PROPERTIES OF LIPSCHITZ SURFACES OF FINITE CODIMENSION

If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $X = E \oplus F$ , then we denote by  $\pi_{E,F}$  the projection of  $X$  on  $E$  along the space  $F$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \subset X$ .

- (i) Let  $F$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . We say that  $A$  is an *F-Lipschitz surface* if there exists a topological complement  $E$  of  $F$  and a Lipschitz mapping  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  such that  $A = \{x + \varphi(x) : x \in E\}$ .
- (ii) Let  $1 \leq n < \dim X$  be a natural number. We say that  $A$  is a *Lipschitz surface of codimension  $n$*  if  $A$  is an  $F$ -Lipschitz surface for some  $n$ -dimensional space  $F \subset X$ .
- (iii) If we consider in (i) mappings  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  which are d.c. (resp. Lipschitz d.c., locally d.c., Lipschitz locally d.c.), we obtain the notions of an  $F$ -d.c. surface, d.c. surface of codimension  $n$  (resp.  $F$ -Lipschitz d.c. surface, etc.). A Lipschitz surface (resp. d.c. surface, etc.) of codimension 1 is said to be a *Lipschitz hypersurface* (resp. *d.c. hypersurface*, etc.).
- (iv) The  $\sigma$ -ideals of sets which can be covered by countably many Lipschitz surfaces (d.c. surfaces) of codimension  $n$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$  ( $\mathcal{DC}^n(X)$ ), respectively.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $F \subset X$  a space of dimension  $n$  ( $1 \leq n < \dim X$ ), and  $A \subset X$ . Then the following properties are equivalent.

- (i)  $A$  is an  $F$ -Lipschitz surface (resp. an  $F$ -d.c. surface, an  $F$ -Lipschitz d.c. surface, an  $F$ -Lipschitz locally d.c. surface).
- (ii) There exists a topological complement  $\tilde{E}$  of  $F$  such that  $\tilde{\pi}|_A : A \rightarrow \tilde{E}$  is a bijection and  $(\tilde{\pi}|_A)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz (resp. d.c., etc.), where  $\tilde{\pi} := \pi_{\tilde{E},F}$ .
- (iii) If  $X = F \oplus E$  and  $\pi := \pi_{E,F}$ , then  $\pi|_A : A \rightarrow E$  is a bijection and  $(\pi|_A)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz (resp. d.c., etc.).
- (iv) If  $X = F \oplus E$ , then there exists a Lipschitz mapping (resp. a d.c. mapping, etc.)  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  such that  $A = \{x + \varphi(x) : x \in E\}$ .

*Proof.* In the proof we use Lemma 2.2(i)-(iii).

If (i) holds, then there exists a topological complement  $\tilde{E}$  of  $F$  and a Lipschitz (d.c., etc.) mapping  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow F$  such that  $A = \{x + \tilde{\varphi}(x) : x \in \tilde{E}\}$ . Set  $\tilde{\pi} := \pi_{\tilde{E},F}$ . Then clearly  $\tilde{\pi}|_A : A \rightarrow \tilde{E}$  is a bijection and  $(\tilde{\pi}|_A)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz (d.c., etc.), since  $(\tilde{\pi}|_A)^{-1}(\tilde{e}) = \tilde{e} + \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{e})$ .

Now let  $\tilde{E}$  be as in (ii), and let  $E$  and  $\pi$  be as in (iii). Since  $\pi|_{\tilde{E}} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$  is clearly a linear isomorphism,  $(\pi|_{\tilde{E}})^{-1} = \tilde{\pi}|_E$ ,  $\pi|_A = (\pi|_{\tilde{E}}) \circ (\tilde{\pi}|_A)$  and  $(\pi|_A)^{-1} = (\tilde{\pi}|_A)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{\pi}|_E)$ , we easily obtain (iii).

Letting  $\varphi(x) := (\pi|_A)^{-1}(x) - x$  for  $x \in E$ , we easily see that (iii) implies (iv). The implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** (i) Every Lipschitz surface of codimension  $n$  in  $X$  is clearly a closed subset of  $X$ .

(ii) If  $S \subset X$  is a Lipschitz (resp. d.c., etc.) surface of codimension  $n \geq 2$ , then  $S$  is a subset of a Lipschitz (resp. d.c., etc.) surface of codimension  $n - 1$ . Indeed, suppose that  $S = \{x + \varphi(x) : x \in E\}$ , where  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ ,  $X = E \oplus F$ , and  $F$  is  $n$ -dimensional. Choose  $0 \neq v \in F$ , and write  $F = \text{sp}\{v\} \oplus \tilde{F}$ . Set  $\tilde{E} := E + \text{sp}\{v\}$  and, for  $x \in \tilde{E}$ , define  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{E}}(\varphi(\pi_{E, F}(x)))$ . Set  $\tilde{S} := \{y + \tilde{\varphi}(y) : y \in \tilde{E}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $S \subset \tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow F$  is Lipschitz (resp. d.c., etc) if  $\varphi$  is Lipschitz (resp. d.c., etc.).

Consequently, if  $\dim X > n \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{L}^n(X) \subset \mathcal{L}^{n-1}(X)$ . If  $X$  is separable, then this inclusion is proper, see Remark 4.8, which shows that no Lipschitz surface of codimension  $n - 1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$  (if  $\dim X < \infty$ , it is sufficient to use by the obvious way basic properties of Hausdorff dimension).

(iii) If  $X$  is separable, then the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{DC}^n(X)$  coincides with the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by Lipschitz d.c. surfaces (or Lipschitz locally d.c. surfaces, or locally d.c. surfaces). It easily follows from local Lipschitzness of d.c. functions, from the well-known fact that each Lipschitz convex function defined on an open ball in a space  $E$  can be extended to a Lipschitz convex function on  $E$ , and from separability of  $X$ .

(iv) It is not difficult to show that  $\mathcal{DC}^n(X)$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$  (if  $\dim X > n \geq 1$ ); see [17, p. 295] for  $n = 1$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Suppose that  $X = E \oplus F$  and  $F$  is finite dimensional. An easy argument using local compactness of  $F$  shows that  $\pi_{E, F}(A)$  is closed in  $E$  whenever  $A$  is closed and bounded in  $X$ . Consequently,  $\pi_{E, F}(A)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  subset of  $E$  whenever  $A$  is closed in  $X$ .

We will need the following well-known easy consequence of the Brouwer's Invariance of Domain Theorem. Because of the lack of a suitable reference, we present a short proof.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $C, \tilde{C}$  be Banach spaces with  $0 < \dim C = \dim \tilde{C} < \infty$  and let  $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  be an injective continuous mapping such that  $f^{-1} : f(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  is Lipschitz. Then  $f(\tilde{C}) = C$ .*

*Proof.* We can clearly suppose that  $C = \tilde{C}$  and  $X := C = \tilde{C}$  is an Euclidean space. The Brouwer's Invariance of Domain Theorem implies that  $f(X)$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $y_n \rightarrow y$ , where  $y_n \in f(X)$ . Then  $(y_n)$  is bounded and, since  $f^{-1}$  is Lipschitz,  $(x_n) := (f^{-1}(y_n))$  is bounded as well. Choose a subsequence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in X$ . Then  $f(x_{n_k}) = y_{n_k} \rightarrow f(x) = y$ . Thus, we have proved that  $f(X)$  is closed; the connectivity of  $X$  implies  $f(X) = X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $S \subset X$  a Lipschitz surface of codimension  $n$ , and let  $X = D \oplus F$  with  $\dim F = n$ . Let  $\psi = \pi_{D, F}|_S : S \rightarrow D$  be injective*

and  $\psi^{-1} : \psi(S) \rightarrow S$  be Lipschitz. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -Lipschitz surface. Moreover, if  $S$  is a Lipschitz locally d.c. surface of codimension  $n$ , then  $S$  is an  $F$ -Lipschitz locally d.c. surface.

*Proof.* Choose an  $n$ -dimensional space  $\tilde{F}$  such that  $S$  is an  $\tilde{F}$ -Lipschitz surface. Since the case  $F = \tilde{F}$  is obvious by Lemma 3.2, we suppose  $F \neq \tilde{F}$ . Put  $K := F \cap \tilde{F}$  and choose spaces  $C, \tilde{C}$  such that  $F = K \oplus \tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{F} = K \oplus C$ . Then clearly  $1 \leq \dim C = \dim \tilde{C} < \infty$ . Choose a topological complement  $Z$  of the (finite dimensional) space  $F + \tilde{F} = K \oplus C \oplus \tilde{C}$  and denote  $E := Z \oplus C, \tilde{E} := Z \oplus \tilde{C}$ . Clearly  $X = F \oplus E = \tilde{F} \oplus \tilde{E}$ .

By Lemma 3.2,  $\tilde{\varphi} := \pi_{\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}}|_S : S \rightarrow \tilde{E}$  is a bilipschitz bijection. It is easy to see (proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.2) that  $\varphi := \pi_{E, F}|_S : S \rightarrow E$  is injective and  $\varphi^{-1} : \varphi(S) \rightarrow S$  is Lipschitz. So Lemma 3.2 implies that, to prove the first part of the assertion, it is sufficient to verify  $\varphi(S) = E$ .

To this end choose an arbitrary  $e \in E$  and write  $e = z + c$ , where  $z \in Z$  and  $c \in C$ . For each  $x \in \tilde{C}$ , put  $f(x) := \varphi \circ (\tilde{\varphi})^{-1}(x + z) - z$ . Clearly  $f(x) \in (F + \tilde{F}) \cap E = C$ ; so  $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is continuous injective and  $f^{-1}(y) = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \varphi^{-1}(y + z) - z$  for each  $y \in f(\tilde{C})$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}$  is Lipschitz, and so  $f(\tilde{C}) = C$  by Lemma 3.5. For  $\tilde{c} := f^{-1}(c)$  we have  $\varphi((\tilde{\varphi})^{-1}(\tilde{c} + z)) = c + z = e$ ; so  $\varphi(S) = E$ .

To prove the second part of the assertion, we suppose that  $(\tilde{\varphi})^{-1} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow X$  is moreover locally d.c. Then  $g := \varphi \circ (\tilde{\varphi})^{-1} = \pi_{E, F} \circ (\tilde{\varphi})^{-1}$  is clearly Lipschitz and it is locally d.c. by Lemma 2.2 (i). Since  $\varphi(S) = E$ , we have that  $g : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$  is a bijection and  $g^{-1} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is Lipschitz. Choose a linear bijection  $L : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ , and let  $G : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$  be the mapping which assigns to a point  $\tilde{e} = \tilde{c} + z$  ( $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}, z \in Z$ ) the point  $G(\tilde{e}) := L(\tilde{c}) + z$ . Then clearly  $G$  is a linear isomorphism. Since  $G(\tilde{e}) - \tilde{e} \in C + \tilde{C}$  and  $g(\tilde{e}) - \tilde{e} \in F + \tilde{F}$ , we obtain that  $g - G$  has a finite dimensional range. Consequently, Lemma 2.2(v) implies that  $g^{-1}$  is locally d.c. Thus, Lemma 2.2(iv) implies that  $\varphi^{-1} = (\tilde{\varphi})^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$  is locally d.c. So, Lemma 3.2 implies that  $S$  is an  $F$ -Lipschitz locally d.c. surface.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $F \subset X$  an  $n$ -dimensional space, and  $A \subset X$  an  $F$ -Lipschitz (resp.  $F$ -Lipschitz locally d.c.) surface. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $\tilde{F} \subset X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional space with  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \varepsilon$ , then  $A$  is an  $\tilde{F}$ -Lipschitz (resp.  $\tilde{F}$ -Lipschitz locally d.c.) surface.*

*Proof.* Choose  $E$  such that  $X = E \oplus F$ , and choose  $K \geq 1$  such that the canonical mapping  $\gamma : E \oplus F \rightarrow E \times F$  is  $K$ -bilipschitz. Choose a corresponding  $\omega > 0$  by Lemma 2.7. Denote  $\pi := \pi_{E, F}$ , and choose  $L \geq 1$  such that  $(\pi|_A)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz with constant  $L$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \omega$  and

$$(3.1) \quad 2K^2L\varepsilon < 1/2.$$

Now suppose that an  $n$ -dimensional space  $\tilde{F}$  with  $\gamma(F, \tilde{F}) < \varepsilon$  be given. Since  $\varepsilon < \omega$ , we have that  $X = E \oplus \tilde{F}$  and the canonical mapping  $\tilde{\gamma} : E \oplus \tilde{F} \rightarrow E \times \tilde{F}$  is  $2K$ -bilipschitz. By Proposition 3.6, it is sufficient to prove that, putting  $\tilde{\pi} := \pi_{E, \tilde{F}}$ , the mapping  $(\tilde{\pi}|_A)^{-1}$  is Lipschitz with constant  $2L$ ; i.e., that

$$(3.2) \quad \|x - y\| \leq 2L\|\tilde{\pi}(x) - \tilde{\pi}(y)\| = 2L\|\tilde{\pi}(x - y)\|, \quad x, y \in A.$$

Thus, consider  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \neq y$ , and write  $x - y = e_1 + f = e_2 + \tilde{f}$ , where  $e_1 = \pi(x - y) \in E$ ,  $e_2 = \tilde{\pi}(x - y) \in E$ ,  $f \in F$ , and  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{F}$ . We know that  $\|x - y\| \leq L\|e_1\|$  and so  $\|\tilde{f}\| \leq 2K\|x - y\| \leq 2KL\|e_1\|$ .

If  $\tilde{f} = 0$ , then (3.2) is obvious. If  $\tilde{f} \neq 0$ , put  $\tilde{z} := \|\tilde{f}\|^{-1}\tilde{f}$ , and find  $z \in F$  such that  $\|\tilde{z} - z\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Then  $f_2 := \|\tilde{f}\|z$  satisfies  $\|\tilde{f} - f_2\| \leq \varepsilon\|\tilde{f}\|$ , and so

$$K^{-1}\|e_1 - e_2\| \leq \|e_1 - e_2 + f - f_2\| = \|\tilde{f} - f_2\| \leq \varepsilon\|\tilde{f}\| \leq 2KL\varepsilon\|e_1\|.$$

Thus, by (3.1), we obtain  $\|e_1 - e_2\| \leq \|e_1\|/2$ , and so  $\|e_2\| \geq \|e_1\|/2$ . Therefore  $\|x - y\| \leq L\|e_1\| \leq 2L\|e_2\|$ , which proves (3.2) and finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** I do not know whether the analogies of Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 hold for Lipschitz d.c. surfaces.

#### 4. PROJECTIONS OF LIPSCHITZ SURFACES OF FINITE CODIMENSION

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space, and let a finite-dimensional space  $V \subset X$  be given. We define the following classes of sets:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}(V)$  is the system of all Borel sets  $B \subset X$  such that  $V \cap (B + a)$  is Lebesgue null (in  $V$ ) for each  $a \in X$ . For  $0 \neq v \in X$ , we put  $\mathcal{A}(v) := \mathcal{A}(\text{sp}\{v\})$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}^*(V, \varepsilon)$  (where  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ) is the system of all Borel sets  $B \subset X$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{A}(W)$  for each space  $W$  with  $\gamma(V, W) < \varepsilon$ , and  $\mathcal{A}^*(V)$  is the system of all sets  $B$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ , where  $B_k \in \mathcal{A}^*(V, \varepsilon_k)$  for some  $0 < \varepsilon_k < 1$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{C}_d^*$  (where  $d \in \mathbf{N}$ ) is the system of those  $B \subset X$  that can be written as  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ , where each  $B_k$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}^*(V_k)$  for some  $V_k$  with  $\dim V_k = d$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A}$  is the system of those  $B \subset X$  that can be, for every complete sequence  $(v_k)$  in  $X$ , written as  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ , where each  $B_k$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}(v_k)$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_1^*$  coincide with  $\mathcal{C}^*$  from [14] and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the system of all Aronszajn null sets. For basic properties of sets from  $\mathcal{A}$  see [2]. Lemma 2.5 easily implies the following fact.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isomorphism. Let  $S \subset X$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}^*(V, \delta)$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $F(S) \in \mathcal{A}^*(F(V), \varepsilon)$  (in the space  $Y$ ).*

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable infinite dimensional Banach space and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_1^* \subset \mathcal{C}_2^* \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{A}$  and all inclusions are proper.*

*Proof.* To prove the inclusions  $\mathcal{C}_d^* \subset \mathcal{A}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{A}^*(V, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{A}$  whenever  $V \subset X$  is a  $d$ -dimensional space and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $V, \varepsilon$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}^*(V, \varepsilon)$  be given. Choose a basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_d)$  of  $V$  and consider an arbitrary complete sequence  $(u_i)$  in  $X$ . Choose a  $\delta > 0$  that corresponds to  $(v_1, \dots, v_d)$  and  $\varepsilon$  by Lemma 2.4. We can clearly choose  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_d$  in  $U := \text{sp}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  such that  $\|w_i - v_i\| < \delta$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then, denoting  $W := \text{sp}\{w_1, \dots, w_d\}$ , we have  $\gamma(V, W) < \varepsilon$ , and so  $B \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ . Consequently, by the Fubini theorem,  $B \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ . Using [2, Proposition 6.29], we easily obtain that  $B$  can be decomposed as  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ , where  $B_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$ . So,  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_d^* \subset \mathcal{A}$  is proved.

To prove  $\mathcal{C}_d^* \subset \mathcal{C}_{d+1}^*$ , consider a  $B \in \mathcal{A}^*(V, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\dim V = d$  and  $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  of  $V$  with  $\|v_i\| = 1$  and find a corresponding  $\delta > 0$  by



Lemma 2.4. Now choose an arbitrary  $Z \supset V$  with  $\dim Z = d + 1$ . To prove  $B \in \mathcal{A}^*(Z, \delta)$ , consider an arbitrary  $(d + 1)$ -dimensional  $W$  with  $\gamma(W, Z) < \delta$ . By the definition of  $\gamma$ , find  $w_1, \dots, w_d \in W$  with  $\|w_1 - v_1\| < \delta, \dots, \|w_d - v_d\| < \delta$ , and set  $\widetilde{W} := \text{sp}\{w_1, \dots, w_d\}$ . The choice of  $\delta$  implies that  $\gamma(\widetilde{W}, V) < \varepsilon$ , and so  $\widetilde{W} \cap (B + a)$  is Lebesgue null in  $\widetilde{W}$  for each  $a \in X$ . Consequently, by Fubini theorem,  $W \cap (B + a)$  is Lebesgue null in  $W$  for each  $a \in X$ . So  $B \in \mathcal{A}^*(Z, \delta)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_d^* \subset \mathcal{C}_{d+1}^*$  follows.

A construction of a set in  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{C}_1^*$  is presented in the proof of [14, Proposition 13]. Moreover, it is shown in [14] that this set  $(F_2(I))$  meets any 2-dimensional affine space in a 2-dimensional Lebesgue null set, which shows that even  $\mathcal{C}_2^* \setminus \mathcal{C}_1^* \neq \emptyset$ . It is not difficult to modify that construction and obtain a set in  $\mathcal{C}_{d+1}^* \setminus \mathcal{C}_d^*$  for each  $d$  (see Remark 4.4). However, since the notation is somewhat complicated in the general case, we will give a detailed proof for  $d = 2$  only.

Our construction starts quite similarly as the construction of a set from  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{C}^*$  on p. 20 of [14]. Namely, by the same procedure as in [14] we can define positive numbers  $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots$  and nonzero vectors  $u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots$  in  $X$  such that both  $\{u_{6n-3} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  and  $\{u_{6n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  are dense in  $X$ , and the formula  $F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x_{k+1} u_k$  (where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ) defines a linear injective mapping of  $\ell_\infty$  to  $X$ .

As in [14], we set  $I := \{x \in \ell_\infty : 1 \leq x_k \leq 2\}$ , and equip  $I$  with the topology of pointwise convergence (so it is a compact metrizable space) and with the measure  $\mu$  defined as the product of countably many copies of the Lebesgue measure on  $[1, 2]$ .

Choose two sequences  $\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1, \dots$  and  $\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2, \dots$  such that  $0 < \xi_j^1 < 1/(j + 1)!$ ,  $0 < \xi_j^2 < 1/(j + 1)!$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{3j-2} \xi_j^1 2^j \|u_{3j-2}\| / c_{6k-3} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{3j-1} \xi_j^2 2^j \|u_{3j-1}\| / c_{6k} = 0.$$

Now, for  $x \in I$ , set

$$G(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{3k-2} \xi_k^1 x_1 x_3 \dots x_{2k-1} u_{3k-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{3k-1} \xi_k^2 x_2 x_4 \dots x_{2k} u_{3k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k c_{3k} u_{3k}.$$

Then  $G : I \rightarrow X$  is a continuous mapping. Indeed, we have  $G = F \circ H$ , where

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots) := (0, \xi_1^1 x_1, \xi_1^2 x_2, x_1, \xi_2^1 x_1 x_3, \xi_2^2 x_2 x_4, x_2, \xi_3^1 x_1 x_3 x_5, \xi_3^2 x_2 x_4 x_6, x_3, \dots),$$

and  $H : I \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is clearly continuous. So,  $G(I)$  is compact.

Let  $e_j$  be the  $j$ -th member of the canonical basis of  $\ell_\infty$ . Observe that if  $x \in I$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $t, \tau \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $x + te_{2k_1-1} + \tau e_{2k_2} \in I$ , then  $G(x + te_{2k_1-1} + \tau e_{2k_2}) = G(x) + tv_{k_1}(x) + \tau w_{k_2}(x)$ , where

$$v_k(x) := \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{3j-2} \xi_j^1 (x_1 x_3 \dots x_{2j-1} / x_{2k-1}) u_{3j-2} + c_{6k-3} u_{6k-3},$$

$$w_k(x) := \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{3j-1} \xi_j^2 (x_2 x_4 \dots x_{2j} / x_{2k}) u_{3j-1} + c_{6k} u_{6k}.$$

Now consider  $x, y \in I$  such that  $x \neq y$  and  $tG(x) + (1-t)G(y) \in G(I)$  for infinitely many real  $t$ . Since  $F$  is a linear injection of  $\ell_\infty$  to  $X$ , for any such  $t$  we have  $tH(x) + (1-t)H(y) = H(z)$  for some  $z \in I$ . Considering  $(3k+1)$ -th coordinates

of  $H(z)$  we obtain  $z = tx + (1-t)y$ . Consequently, considering  $(3k-1)$ -th and  $3k$ -th coordinates of  $H(z)$ , we obtain that, for each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$tx_1x_3 \dots x_{2k-1} + (1-t)y_1y_3 \dots y_{2k-1} = (tx_1 + (1-t)y_1) \cdots (tx_{2k-1} + (1-t)y_{2k-1}),$$

$$tx_2x_4 \dots x_{2k} + (1-t)y_2y_4 \dots y_{2k} = (tx_2 + (1-t)y_2) \cdots (tx_{2k} + (1-t)y_{2k}).$$

Since the above equalities hold for infinitely many  $t$ , we infer that  $x$  and  $y$  differ at most in one odd coordinate and at most in one even coordinate (otherwise one of right sides, for sufficiently large  $k$ , is a polynomial in  $t$  of degree greater than one, which is impossible). Consequently, there exist  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $y \in x + \text{sp}\{e_{2k_1-1}, e_{2k_2}\}$ ; so  $G(y) \in G(x) + \text{sp}\{v_{k_1}(x), w_{k_2}(x)\}$ .

The above analysis shows that the set of lines, which contain any fixed point  $G(x)$ ,  $x \in I$ , and meet the set  $G(I)$  in an infinite set, can be covered by countably many planes containing  $G(x)$ . Therefore  $G(I)$  meets any 3-dimensional affine subspace of  $X$  in a set of three dimensional Lebesgue measure zero. Consequently,  $G(I) \in \mathcal{C}_3^*$ .

Now suppose that  $G(I) \in \mathcal{C}_2^*$ , hence  $G(I) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , where  $B_n \in \mathcal{A}^*(V_n, \varepsilon_n)$  and  $V_n$  are two-dimensional subspaces of  $X$ . Write  $V_n = \text{sp}\{p_n, q_n\}$  and choose  $\delta_n > 0$  (by Lemma 2.4) such that  $\gamma(V_n, \text{sp}\{v, w\}) < \varepsilon_n$  whenever  $\|v - p_n\| < \delta_n$  and  $\|w - q_n\| < \delta_n$ . For any given  $n$  find  $k_1, k_2$  such that

$$\sum_{j=k_1}^{\infty} 2^j c_{3j-2} \xi_j^1 \|u_{3j-2}\| < c_{6k_1-3} \delta_n / 2, \quad \|u_{6k_1-3} - p_n\| < \delta_n / 2,$$

$$\sum_{j=k_2}^{\infty} 2^j c_{3j-1} \xi_j^2 \|u_{3j-1}\| < c_{6k_2} \delta_n / 2, \quad \|u_{6k_2} - q_n\| < \delta_n / 2.$$

For any  $x \in I$  we have

$$\|v_{k_1}(x) - c_{6k_1-3} p_n\| \leq \sum_{j=k_1}^{\infty} 2^j c_{3j-2} \xi_j^1 \|u_{3j-2}\| + c_{6k_1-3} \|u_{6k_1-3} - p_n\| < c_{6k_1-3} \delta_n,$$

$$\|w_{k_2}(x) - c_{6k_2} q_n\| \leq \sum_{j=k_2}^{\infty} 2^j c_{3j-1} \xi_j^2 \|u_{3j-1}\| + c_{6k_2} \|u_{6k_2} - q_n\| < c_{6k_2} \delta_n.$$

So  $\|v_{k_1}(x)/c_{6k_1-3} - p_n\| < \delta_n$  and  $\|w_{k_2}(x)/c_{6k_2} - q_n\| < \delta_n$ , which shows that the plane  $G(x) + \text{sp}\{v_{k_1}(x), w_{k_2}(x)\}$  meets  $B_n$  in a two-dimensional Lebesgue null set. Hence the set

$$\{(t, \tau) : x + te_{2k_1-1} + \tau e_{2k_2} \in G^{-1}(B_n)\} = \{(t, \tau) : G(x) + tv_{k_1}(x) + \tau w_{k_2}(x) \in B_n\}$$

is Lebesgue null, and Fubini theorem gives  $\mu(G^{-1}(B_n)) = 0$ . (Note that  $G^{-1}(B_n)$  is Borel, since  $G$  is continuous.) But this contradicts  $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G^{-1}(B_n)$ , and we infer that  $G(I) \notin \mathcal{C}_2^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** For an arbitrary  $d \in \mathbf{N}$ , we obtain as above that  $G_d(I) \in \mathcal{C}_{d+1}^* \setminus \mathcal{C}_d^*$ , where  $G_d = F \circ H_d$ ,

$$H_d(x) := (0, \xi_1^1 x_1, \dots, \xi_1^d x_d, x_1, \xi_2^1 x_1 x_{d+1}, \dots, \xi_2^d x_d x_{2d}, x_2, \xi_3^1 x_1 x_{d+1} x_{2d+1}, \dots),$$

and  $(\xi_i^1), \dots, (\xi_i^d)$  are suitably chosen sequences.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a separable infinite dimensional space,  $S$  a Lipschitz surface of codimension  $n \geq 2$ , and  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous linear mapping onto a Banach space  $Y$  such that  $\dim(\ker(P)) < n$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -dimensional space  $D \subset Y$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  such that  $P(S) \in \mathcal{C}^*(D, \varepsilon)$  in  $Y$ . Consequently,  $P(S)$  is a first category subset of  $Y$  which is Aronszajn null in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $K := \ker P$ . Choose a space  $F \subset X$  such that  $\dim F = n$  and  $S$  is an  $F$ -Lipschitz surface. Using Lemma 3.7, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, we can choose a space  $V$  with  $\dim V = n$  such that  $S$  is an  $V$ -Lipschitz surface and  $V \cap K = \{0\}$ . Choose a closed space  $H \subset X$  such that  $X = H \oplus (K \oplus V)$ . Denoting  $Z := H \oplus V$ , we have  $X = Z \oplus K$ . Set  $\pi := \pi_{Z, K}$ . Using Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 2.3, we find  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $\gamma(V, W) < \delta$  implies that  $S$  is a  $W$ -Lipschitz surface and  $W \oplus (H \oplus K) = X$ . Now consider on arbitrary  $W \subset Z$  such that  $\gamma(V, W) < \delta$ . We can choose a Lipschitz mapping  $\varphi : H \oplus K \rightarrow W$  such that  $S = \{h + k + \varphi(h + k) : h \in H, k \in K\}$ . Consequently,  $\pi(S) = \{h + \varphi(h + k) : h \in H, k \in K\}$ . Now consider an arbitrary  $a = h_0 + w_0 \in Z$ . Then  $(\pi(S) + a) \cap W = \{w_0 + \varphi(-h_0 + k) : k \in K\}$ . Since the mapping  $\psi : K \rightarrow W$  defined by  $\psi(k) := w_0 + \varphi(-h_0 + k)$  is Lipschitz and  $\dim K < \dim W$ , we obtain that  $(\pi(S) + a) \cap W$  is Lebesgue null in  $W$ . Since  $\pi(S)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  set by Remark 3.3(i) and Remark 3.4, we obtain that  $\pi(S) \in \mathcal{C}^*(V, \delta)$  in  $Z$ . Since  $F := P|_Z$  is a linear isomorphism with  $F(\pi(S)) = P(S)$ , Lemma 4.2 implies that  $P(S) \in \mathcal{C}^*(D, \varepsilon)$  for  $D := F(V)$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consequently,  $P(S)$  is Aronszajn null in  $Y$  by Lemma 4.3. Thus,  $\text{int}P(S) = \emptyset$ . Since  $P(S)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  set, we obtain that  $P(S)$  is a first category set.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a separable infinite dimensional Banach space,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$ , and let  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous linear mapping onto a Banach space  $Y$  such that  $\dim(\ker(P)) < n$ . Then  $P(S)$  is a subset of a set from  $\mathcal{C}_n^*$  in  $Y$ . Consequently,  $P(S)$  is a first category subset of  $Y$  which is a subset of an Aronszajn null set in  $Y$ .*

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $X, Y, P$  and  $n$  be as in Proposition 4.6.

- (i) Let  $f$  be a continuous convex function on  $X$  and  $B_n := \{x \in X : \dim(\partial f(x)) \geq n\}$ . Then [13, Theorem 1.3.] states that  $P(A)$  is a first category set. Using results of [19], it is easy to see that [13, Theorem 1.3.] is equivalent to the statement that  $P(A)$  is a first category for each  $A \in \mathcal{DC}^n(X)$ , but the proof of [13] is direct, it does not use [19].
- (ii) The result that  $P(A)$  is a first category for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$  is due to Heisler [7].
- (iii) An example from [7] shows that there exists  $A \in \mathcal{DC}^n(X)$  such that  $P(A) \notin \mathcal{L}^1(Y)$ .
- (iv) It is not known whether  $P(A)$  is  $\sigma$ -porous or  $\Gamma$ -null for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$  (resp.  $A \in \mathcal{DC}^n(X)$ ). The negative answer seems to be probable.

**Remark 4.8.** Let  $X$  be a separable infinite dimensional space. Proposition 4.6 easily implies that the inclusions  $\mathcal{L}^n(X) \subset \mathcal{L}^{n-1}(X)$  ( $n > 1$ ) are proper. Indeed, no Lipschitz surface  $S$  of codimension  $n - 1$  can belong to  $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$ , since there is a surjective continuous linear projection of  $S$  on a space  $E$  of codimension  $n - 1$ .

Proposition 4.6 implies the following result which improves both [13, Theorem 1.3.] and [7, Theorem 5.6.].

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $X$  be a separable infinite dimensional space,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $T : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a monotone (multivalued) operator. Denote by  $B_n$  the set of all  $x \in X$  for which the convex cover of  $T(x)$  is at least  $n$ -dimensional. Let  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous linear mapping onto a Banach space  $Y$  such that  $\dim(\ker(P)) < n$ . Then  $P(B_n)$  is a subset of a set from  $\mathcal{C}_n^*$  in  $Y$ . Consequently,  $P(B_n)$  is a first category subset of  $Y$  which is a subset of an Aronszajn null set in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $B_n \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$  by [18], the assertion follows from Proposition 4.6.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The research was supported by the institutional grant MSM 0021620839 and by the grant GAČR 201/06/0198.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Berkson, *Some metrics on the subspaces of a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 7–22. Zbl 0118.10402
- [2] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Vol. 1* Colloquium publications (American Mathematical Society); v. 48, Providence, Rhode Island, 2000. Zbl 0946.46002
- [3] J. Duda, *On inverses of  $\delta$ -convex mappings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **42** (2001), 281–297. Zbl 1053.47522
- [4] P. Erdős, *On the Hausdorff dimension of some sets in Euclidean space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 107–109. Zbl 0063.01272
- [5] I.C. Gochberg, M.G. Krein, *Fundamental aspects of defect numbers, root numbers, and indexes of linear operators*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **12** (1957), 43–118 (in Russian). Zbl 0088.32101
- [6] P. Hartman, *On functions representable as a difference of convex functions*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 707–713. Zbl 0093.06401
- [7] M. Heisler, *Some aspects of differentiability in geometry on Banach spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Charles University, Prague, 1996.
- [8] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. Zbl 0342.47009
- [9] E. Kopecká, J. Malý, *Remarks on delta-convex functions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **31** (1990), 501–510. Zbl 0714.46007
- [10] A. Largillier, *A note on the gap convergence*, Appl. Math. Lett. **7** (1994), 67–71. Zbl 0804.46026
- [11] J. Lindenstrauss, D. Preiss, *Fréchet differentiability of Lipschitz functions (a survey)*, in: Recent Progress in Functional Analysis, 19–42, North-Holland Math. Stud. 189, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001. Zbl 1037.46043
- [12] J. Lindenstrauss, D. Preiss, *On Fréchet differentiability of Lipschitz maps between Banach spaces*, Annals Math. **157** (2003), 257–288. Zbl pre01933158
- [13] D. Preiss, *Almost differentiability of convex functions in Banach spaces and determination of measures by their values on balls*, Collection: Geometry of Banach spaces (Strobl, 1989), 237–244, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 158, 1990. Zbl 0758.46034
- [14] D. Preiss, L. Zajíček, *Directional derivatives of Lipschitz functions*, Israel J. Math. **125** (2001), 1–27. Zbl 1022.46026
- [15] L. Veselý, *On the multiplicity points of monotone operators on separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **27** (1986), 551–570. Zbl 0616.47043
- [16] L. Veselý, L. Zajíček, *Delta-convex mappings between Banach spaces and applications*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **289** (1989), 52 pp. Zbl 0685.46027
- [17] L. Zajíček, *On the points of multivaluedness of metric projections in separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **19** (1978), 513–523. Zbl 0382.46007
- [18] L. Zajíček, *On the points of multiplicity of monotone operators*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **19** (1978), 179–189. Zbl 0404.47025
- [19] L. Zajíček, *On the differentiation of convex functions in finite and infinite dimensional spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **29** (1979), 340–348. Zbl 0429.46007

- [20] L. Zajíček, *Differentiability of the distance function and points of multi-valuedness of the metric projection in Banach space*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **33(108)**(1983), 292–308. Zbl 0527.41028
- [21] L. Zajíček, *On  $\sigma$ -porous sets in abstract spaces*, Abstract Appl. Analysis **2005** (2005), 509–534. Zbl pre05038297

*Authors adress: Luděk Zajíček*, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic, e-mail: zajicek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz.