

*Bernoulli* 14(2), 2008, 391–404

DOI: [10.3150/07-BEJ111](https://doi.org/10.3150/07-BEJ111)

# On lower limits and equivalences for distribution tails of randomly stopped sums

DENIS DENISOV,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> SERGUEI FOSS<sup>2,3,\*\*</sup> and DMITRY KORSHUNOV<sup>3,4,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Eurandom, P.O. Box 513 – 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.*

<sup>2</sup>*School of MACS, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK.*

*E-mail:* \*[Denisov@ma.hw.ac.uk](mailto:Denisov@ma.hw.ac.uk); \*\*[Foss@ma.hw.ac.uk](mailto:Foss@ma.hw.ac.uk)

<sup>3</sup>*Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, 4 Koptyuga pr., Novosibirsk 630090, Russia.*

*E-mail:* †[Korshunov@math.nsc.ru](mailto:Korshunov@math.nsc.ru)

<sup>4</sup>*Novosibirsk State University, 2 Pirogova str., Novosibirsk 630090, Russia.*

For a distribution  $F^{*\tau}$  of a random sum  $S_\tau = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau$  of i.i.d. random variables with a common distribution  $F$  on the half-line  $[0, \infty)$ , we study the limits of the ratios of tails  $\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)/\overline{F}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  (here,  $\tau$  is a counting random variable which does not depend on  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ). We also consider applications of the results obtained to random walks, compound Poisson distributions, infinitely divisible laws, and subcritical branching processes.

*Keywords:* convolution tail; convolution equivalence; lower limit; randomly stopped sums; subexponential distribution

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ , be independent identically distributed non-negative random variables. We assume that their common distribution  $F$  on the half-line  $[0, \infty)$  has an unbounded support, that is,  $\overline{F}(x) \equiv F(x, \infty) > 0$  for all  $x$ . Put  $S_0 = 0$  and  $S_n = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let  $\tau$  be a counting random variable which does not depend on  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and which has finite mean. Denote by  $F^{*\tau}$  the distribution of a randomly stopped sum  $S_\tau = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau$ .

In this paper, we discuss how the tail behavior of  $F^{*\tau}$  relates to that of  $F$  and, in particular, under what conditions

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \mathbf{E}\tau. \quad (1)$$

Relations on lower limits of ratios of tails were first discussed by Rudin [21]. Theorem 2\* of that paper states (for an integer  $p$ ) the following.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the ISI/BS in *Bernoulli*, 2008, Vol. 14, No. 2, 391–404. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose there exists a positive  $p \in [1, \infty)$  such that  $\mathbf{E}\xi^p = \infty$ , but  $\mathbf{E}\tau^p < \infty$ . Then (1) holds.*

Rudin's studies were motivated by Chover, Ney and Wainger [7] who considered, in particular, the problem of existence of a limit for the ratio

$$\frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

From Theorem 1, it follows that if  $F$  and  $\tau$  satisfy its conditions and if a limit of (2) exists, then that limit must equal  $\mathbf{E}\tau$ .

Rudin proved Theorem 1 via probability generating function techniques. Below, we give an alternative and more direct proof of Theorem 1 in the case of any positive  $p$  (i.e., not necessarily integer). Our method is based on truncation arguments; in this way, we propose a general scheme (see Theorem 4 below) which may also be applied to distributions having all moments finite.

The condition  $\mathbf{E}\xi^p = \infty$  rules out many distributions of interest in, say, the theory of subexponential distributions. For example, log-normal and Weibull-type distributions have all moments finite. Our first result presents a natural condition on a stopping time  $\tau$  guaranteeing relation (1) for the whole class of heavy-tailed distributions.

Recall that a random variable  $\xi$  has a *light-tailed* distribution  $F$  on  $[0, \infty)$  if  $\mathbf{E}e^{\gamma\xi} < \infty$  with some  $\gamma > 0$ . Otherwise,  $F$  is called a *heavy-tailed* distribution; this happens if and only if  $\mathbf{E}e^{\gamma\xi} = \infty$  for all  $\gamma > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $F$  be a heavy-tailed distribution and  $\tau$  have a light-tailed distribution. Then (1) holds.*

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on a new technical tool (see Lemma 2) and significantly differs from the proof of Theorem 1 in Foss and Korshunov [15], where the particular case  $\tau = 2$  was considered. Theorem 2 is restricted to the case of light-tailed  $\tau$ , but here, we extend Rudin's result to the class of all heavy-tailed distributions. The reasons for the restriction to  $\mathbf{E}e^{\gamma\tau} < \infty$  come from the proof of Theorem 2, but are, in fact, rather natural: the tail of  $\tau$  should be lighter than the tail of any heavy-tailed distribution. Indeed, if  $\xi_1 \geq 1$ , then  $\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x) \geq \mathbf{P}\{\tau > x\}$ . This shows that the tail of  $F^{*\tau}$  is at least as heavy as that of  $\tau$ . Note that in Theorem 1, in some sense, the tail of  $F$  is heavier than the tail of  $\tau$ .

Theorem 2 may be applied in various areas where randomly stopped sums appear; see Sections 8–11 (random walks, compound Poisson distributions, infinitely divisible laws and branching processes) and, for instance, Kalashnikov [17] for further examples.

For any distribution on  $[0, \infty)$ , let

$$\varphi(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma x} F(dx) \in (0, \infty], \quad \gamma \in \mathbf{R},$$

and

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \sup\{\gamma : \varphi(\gamma) < \infty\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that the moment generating function  $\varphi(\gamma)$  is increasing and continuous in the interval  $(-\infty, \widehat{\gamma})$  and that  $\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) = \lim_{\gamma \uparrow \widehat{\gamma}} \varphi(\gamma) \in [1, \infty]$ . The following result was proven in Foss and Korshunov [15], Theorem 3. Let

$$\frac{\overline{F * F}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \rightarrow c \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $c \in (0, \infty]$ . Then, necessarily,  $c = 2\varphi(\widehat{\gamma})$ . We state now a generalization to  $\tau$ -fold convolution.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{E}(\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) + \varepsilon)^\tau < \infty$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Assume that*

$$\frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \rightarrow c \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $c \in (0, \infty]$ . Then  $c = \mathbf{E}(\tau\varphi^{\tau-1}(\widehat{\gamma}))$ .

For (comments on) earlier partial results in the case  $\tau = 2$ , see, for example, Chover, Ney and Wainger [6, 7], Cline [8], Embrechts and Goldie [10], Foss and Korshunov [15], Pakes [19], Rogozin [20], Teugels [23] and further references therein. The proof of Theorem 3 follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 in Section 7.

## 2. Preliminary result

We start with the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that there exists a non-decreasing concave function  $h : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that*

$$\mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}\xi e^{h(\xi)} = \infty. \tag{3}$$

For any  $n \geq 1$ , put  $A_n = \mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)}$ . Assume that  $F$  is heavy-tailed and that

$$\mathbf{E}\tau A_{\tau-1} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Then, for any light-tailed distribution  $G$  on  $[0, \infty)$ ,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \mathbf{E}\tau. \tag{5}$$

By considering  $G$  concentrated at 0, we get the following.

**Corollary 1.** *In the conditions of Theorem 4, (1) holds.*

In order to prove Theorem 4, first we restate Theorem 1\* of Rudin [21] (in Lemma 1 below) in terms of probability distributions and stopping times.

**Lemma 1.** *For any distribution  $F$  on  $[0, \infty)$  with unbounded support and any counting random variable  $\tau$ ,*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \geq \mathbf{E}\tau.$$

**Proof.** For any two distributions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  on  $[0, \infty)$  with unbounded supports,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F_1 * F_2}(x) &\geq (F_1 \times F_2)((x, \infty) \times [0, x]) + (F_1 \times F_2)([0, x] \times (x, \infty)) \\ &\sim \overline{F_1}(x) + \overline{F_2}(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By induction arguments, this implies that, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*n}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \geq n.$$

Applying Fatou's lemma to the representation

$$\frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} \frac{\overline{F^{*n}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)},$$

completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** It follows from Lemma 1 that it is sufficient to prove the following inequality:

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq \mathbf{E}\tau.$$

Assume the contrary, that is, that there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $x_0$  such that

$$\overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x) \geq (\mathbf{E}\tau + \delta)\overline{F}(x) \quad \text{for all } x > x_0. \quad (6)$$

For any positive  $b > 0$ , consider a concave function

$$h_b(x) \equiv \min\{h(x), bx\}, \quad (7)$$

which is non-negative because  $h \geq 0$ . Since  $F$  is heavy-tailed,  $h(x) = o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, for any fixed  $b$ , there exists  $x_1$  such that  $h_b(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x > x_1$ . Hence, by condition (3),

$$\mathbf{E}e^{hb(\xi)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}\xi e^{hb(\xi)} = \infty. \quad (8)$$

For any  $x$ , we have the convergence  $h_b(x) \downarrow 0$  as  $b \downarrow 0$ . Then, for any fixed  $n$ ,

$$A_{n,b} \equiv \mathbf{E}e^{h_b(\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n)} \downarrow 1 \quad \text{as } b \downarrow 0.$$

This and condition (4) together imply that there exists  $b$  such that

$$\mathbf{E}\tau A_{\tau-1,b} \leq \mathbf{E}\tau + \delta/8. \tag{9}$$

Let  $\eta$  be a random variable with distribution  $G$  which does not depend on  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\tau$ . Since  $G$  is light-tailed,

$$\mathbf{E}\eta e^{h_b(\eta)} < \infty. \tag{10}$$

In addition, we may choose  $b > 0$  sufficiently small that

$$\mathbf{E}e^{h_b(\eta)}(\mathbf{E}\tau + \delta/8) \leq \mathbf{E}\tau + \delta/4. \tag{11}$$

For any real  $a$  and  $t$ , put  $a^{[t]} = \min\{a, t\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{E}(\eta + \xi_1^{[t]} + \dots + \xi_\tau^{[t]})e^{h_b(\eta+\xi_1+\dots+\xi_\tau)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta e^{h_b(\eta+\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\eta+\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the concavity of the function  $h_b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta e^{h_b(\eta+\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta e^{h_b(\eta)+h_b(\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta e^{h_b(\eta)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{E}A_{\tau,b} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

due to (10), (9) and (8). Again, by the concavity of the function  $h_b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\eta+\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\eta)+h_b(\xi_1)+h_b(\xi_2+\dots+\xi_n)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}e^{h_b(\eta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n A_{n-1,b} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}\tau + \delta/4, \end{aligned}$$

by (9) and (11). Hence, for sufficiently large  $t$ ,

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(\eta + \xi_1^{[t]} + \dots + \xi_\tau^{[t]})e^{h_b(\eta+\xi_1+\dots+\xi_\tau)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \leq \mathbf{E}\tau + \delta/2. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, since  $(\eta + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau)^{[t]} \leq \eta + \xi_1^{[t]} + \dots + \xi_\tau^{[t]}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{E}(\eta + \xi_1^{[t]} + \dots + \xi_\tau^{[t]})e^{h_b(\eta + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} &\geq \frac{\mathbf{E}(\eta + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau)^{[t]}e^{h_b(\eta + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau)}}{\mathbf{E}\xi_1^{[t]}e^{h_b(\xi_1)}} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^\infty x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)}(G * F^{*\tau})(dx)}{\int_0^\infty x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)}F(dx)}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The right-hand side, after integration by parts, is equal to

$$\frac{\int_0^\infty \overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x) d(x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)})}{\int_0^\infty \overline{F}(x) d(x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)})}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{E}\xi_1 e^{h_b(\xi_1)} = \infty$ , both integrals in this fraction tend to infinity as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For the non-decreasing function  $h_b(x)$ , the latter fact and assumption (6) together imply that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^\infty \overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x) d(x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)})}{\int_0^\infty \overline{F}(x) d(x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)})} = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{x_0}^\infty \overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x) d(x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)})}{\int_{x_0}^\infty \overline{F}(x) d(x^{[t]}e^{h_b(x)})} \geq \mathbf{E}\tau + \delta.$$

Substituting this into (13), we get a contradiction of (12) for sufficiently large  $t$ . The proof is thus complete. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Take an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $p - 1 \leq k < p$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathbf{E}\xi^k < \infty$  (otherwise, we may consider a smaller  $p$ ).

Consider a concave non-decreasing function  $h(x) = (p - 1) \ln x$ . Then  $\mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi_1)} < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{E}\xi_1 e^{h(\xi_1)} = \infty$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &\equiv \mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)} = \mathbf{E}(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)^{p-1} \\ &\leq (\mathbf{E}(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)^k)^{(p-1)/k} \end{aligned}$$

since  $(p - 1)/k \leq 1$ . Further,

$$\mathbf{E}(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)^k = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n \mathbf{E}(\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k}) \leq cn^k,$$

where

$$c \equiv \sup_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \mathbf{E}(\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k}) < \infty,$$

due to the fact that  $\mathbf{E}\xi^k < \infty$ . Hence,  $A_n \leq c^{(p-1)/k} n^{p-1}$  for all  $n$ . Therefore, we get  $\mathbf{E}\tau A_{\tau-1} \leq c^{(p-1)/k} \mathbf{E}\tau^p < \infty$ . All conditions of Theorem 4 are met and the proof is complete.

### 4. Characterization of heavy-tailed distributions

In the sequel, we need the following existence result which strengthens a lemma in Rudin [21], page 989; and Lemma 1 in Foss and Korshunov [15]. Fix any  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If a random variable  $\xi \geq 0$  has a heavy-tailed distribution, then there exists a non-decreasing concave function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $\mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi)} \leq 1 + \delta$  and  $\mathbf{E}\xi e^{h(\xi)} = \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, assume that  $\xi > 0$  a.s., that is, that  $\bar{F}(0) = 1$ . We will construct a piecewise linear function  $h(x)$ . For that, we introduce two positive sequences,  $x_n \uparrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and let

$$h(x) = h(x_{n-1}) + \varepsilon_n(x - x_{n-1}) \quad \text{if } x \in (x_{n-1}, x_n], \quad n \geq 1.$$

This function is non-decreasing since  $\varepsilon_n > 0$ . Moreover, this function is concave due to the monotonicity of  $\varepsilon_n$ .

Put  $x_0 = 0$  and  $h(0) = 0$ . Since  $\xi$  is heavy-tailed, we can choose  $x_1 \geq 2$  so that

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^\xi; \xi \in (x_0, x_1]\} + e^{x_1}\bar{F}(x_1) > 1 + \delta.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  so that

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{\varepsilon_1 \xi}; \xi \in (x_0, x_1]\} + e^{\varepsilon_1 x_1}\bar{F}(x_1) = e^{h(x_0)}\bar{F}(0) + \delta/2 = 1 + \delta/2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{h(\xi)}; \xi \in (x_0, x_1]\} + e^{h(x_1)}\bar{F}(x_1) = e^{h(x_0)}\bar{F}(0) + \delta/2.$$

By induction, we construct an increasing sequence  $x_n$  and a decreasing sequence  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  such that  $x_n \geq 2^n$  and

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{h(\xi)}; \xi \in (x_{n-1}, x_n]\} + e^{h(x_n)}\bar{F}(x_n) = e^{h(x_{n-1})}\bar{F}(x_{n-1}) + \delta/2^n$$

for any  $n \geq 2$ . For  $n = 1$ , this is already done. Make the induction hypothesis for some  $n \geq 2$ . Due to heavy-tailedness, there exists  $x_{n+1} \geq 2^{n+1}$  sufficiently large that

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{\varepsilon_n(\xi - x_n)}; \xi \in (x_n, x_{n+1}]\} + e^{\varepsilon_n(x_{n+1} - x_n)}\bar{F}(x_{n+1}) > 1 + \delta.$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{\varepsilon_{n+1}(\xi - x_n)}; \xi \in (x_n, x_{n+1}]\} + e^{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n)}\bar{F}(x_{n+1})$$

as a function of  $\varepsilon_{n+1}$  is continuously decreasing to  $\bar{F}(x_n)$  as  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \downarrow 0$ . Therefore, we can choose  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \in (0, \varepsilon_n)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}\{e^{\varepsilon_{n+1}(\xi - x_n)}; \xi \in (x_n, x_{n+1}]\} + e^{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n)}\bar{F}(x_{n+1}) \\ &= \bar{F}(x_n) + \delta/(2^{n+1}e^{h(x_n)}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $h(x)$ , this is equivalent to the following equality:

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{h(\xi)}; \xi \in (x_n, x_{n+1}]\} + e^{h(x_{n+1})}\overline{F}(x_{n+1}) = e^{h(x_n)}\overline{F}(x_n) + \delta/2^{n+1}.$$

Our induction hypothesis now holds with  $n + 1$  in place of  $n$ , as required.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\{e^{h(\xi)}; \xi \in (x_n, x_{n+1}]\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{h(x_n)}\overline{F}(x_n) - e^{h(x_{n+1})}\overline{F}(x_{n+1}) + \delta/2^{n+1}) \\ &= e^{h(x_0)}\overline{F}(x_0) + \delta = 1 + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $x_k \geq 2^k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{\xi e^{h(\xi)}; \xi > x_n\} &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\{\xi e^{h(\xi)}; \xi \in (x_k, x_{k+1}]\} \\ &\geq 2^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\{e^{h(\xi)}; \xi \in (x_k, x_{k+1}]\} \\ &\geq 2^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (e^{h(x_k)}\overline{F}(x_k) - e^{h(x_{k+1})}\overline{F}(x_{k+1}) + \delta/2^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any  $n$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}\{\xi e^{h(\xi)}; \xi > x_n\} \geq 2^n (e^{h(x_n)}\overline{F}(x_n) + \delta/2^n) \geq \delta,$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{E}\xi e^{h(\xi)} = \infty$ . Also note that, necessarily,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ ; otherwise,  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x)/x > 0$  and  $\xi$  is light-tailed. The proof of the lemma is thus complete.  $\square$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

Since  $\tau$  has a light-tailed distribution,

$$\mathbf{E}\tau(1 + \varepsilon)^{\tau-1} < \infty$$

for some sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Lemma 2, there exists a concave increasing function  $h$ ,  $h(0) = 0$ , such that  $\mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi_1)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $\mathbf{E}\xi_1 e^{h(\xi_1)} = \infty$ . Then, by concavity,

$$A_n \equiv \mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)} \leq \mathbf{E}e^{h(\xi_1) + \dots + h(\xi_n)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^n.$$

Combining, we get  $\mathbf{E}\tau A_{\tau-1} < \infty$ . All conditions of Theorem 4 are met and the proof is thus complete.



## 6. Fractional exponential moments

One can go further and obtain various results on lower limits and equivalences for heavy-tailed distributions  $F$  which have all finite power moments (e.g., Weibull and log-normal distributions). For instance, we have the following result (see Denisov, Foss and Korshunov [9] for the proof).

Suppose there exists  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ , such that  $\mathbf{E}e^{c\xi^\alpha} = \infty$  for all  $c > 0$ . If  $\mathbf{E}e^{\delta\tau^\alpha} < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then (1) holds.

## 7. Tail equivalence for randomly stopped sums

The following auxiliary lemma compares the tail behavior of the convolution tail and that of the exponentially transformed distribution.

**Lemma 3.** Let the distribution  $F$  and the number  $\gamma \geq 0$  be such that  $\varphi(\gamma) < \infty$ . Let the distribution  $G$  be the result of the exponential change of measure with parameter  $\gamma$ , that is,  $G(du) = e^{\gamma u}F(du)/\varphi(\gamma)$ . Let  $\tau$  be any counting random variable such that  $\mathbf{E}\varphi^\tau(\gamma) < \infty$  and let  $\nu$  have the distribution  $\mathbf{P}\{\nu = k\} = \varphi^k(\gamma)\mathbf{P}\{\tau = k\}/\mathbf{E}\varphi^\tau(\gamma)$ . Then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G^{*\nu}}(x)}{\overline{G}(x)} \geq \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau-1}(\gamma)} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)}$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G^{*\nu}}(x)}{\overline{G}(x)} \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau-1}(\gamma)} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)}.$$

**Proof.** Put

$$\widehat{c} \equiv \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)}.$$

By Lemma 1,  $\widehat{c} \in [\mathbf{E}\tau, \infty]$ . For any fixed  $c \in (0, \widehat{c})$ , there exists  $x_0 > 0$  such that, for any  $x > x_0$ ,

$$\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x) \geq c\overline{F}(x). \tag{14}$$

By the total probability law,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G^{*\nu}}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\{\nu = k\} \overline{G^{*k}}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^k(\gamma)\mathbf{P}\{\tau = k\}}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^\tau(\gamma)} \int_x^{\infty} e^{\gamma y} \frac{\overline{F^{*k}}(dy)}{\varphi^k(\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^\tau(\gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = k\} \int_x^{\infty} e^{\gamma y} \overline{F^{*k}}(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\{\tau = k\} \left[ e^{\gamma x} \overline{F^{*k}}(x) + \int_x^{\infty} \overline{F^{*k}}(y) \, d e^{\gamma y} \right] = e^{\gamma x} \overline{F^{*\tau}}(x) + \int_x^{\infty} \overline{F^{*\tau}}(y) \, d e^{\gamma y}.$$

Also using (14) we get, for  $x > x_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G^{*\nu}}(x) &\geq \frac{c}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau}(\gamma)} \left[ e^{\gamma x} \overline{F}(x) + \int_x^{\infty} \overline{F}(y) \, d e^{\gamma y} \right] \\ &= \frac{c}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau}(\gamma)} \int_x^{\infty} e^{\gamma y} F(dy) = \frac{c}{\mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau-1}(\gamma)} \overline{G}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $c \uparrow \widehat{c}$ , we obtain the first conclusion of the lemma. The proof of the second conclusion follows similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *If  $0 < \widehat{\gamma} < \infty$ ,  $\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{E}(\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) + \varepsilon)^{\tau} < \infty$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq \mathbf{E}\tau \varphi^{\tau-1}(\widehat{\gamma})$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \geq \mathbf{E}\tau \varphi^{\tau-1}(\widehat{\gamma}).$$

**Proof.** We apply the exponential change of measure with parameter  $\widehat{\gamma}$  and consider the distribution  $G(du) = e^{\widehat{\gamma}u} F(du) / \varphi(\widehat{\gamma})$  and the stopping time  $\nu$  with the distribution  $\mathbf{P}\{\nu = k\} = \varphi^k(\widehat{\gamma}) \mathbf{P}\{\tau = k\} / \mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau}(\widehat{\gamma})$ . From the definition of  $\widehat{\gamma}$ , the distribution  $G$  is heavy-tailed. The distribution of  $\nu$  is light-tailed because  $\mathbf{E}e^{\kappa\nu} < \infty$  with  $\kappa = \ln(\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) + \varepsilon) - \ln \varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) > 0$ . Hence,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G^{*\nu}}(x)}{\overline{G}(x)} \geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G^{*\nu}}(x)}{\overline{G}(x)} = \mathbf{E}\nu,$$

by Theorem 2. The result now follows from Lemma 3 with  $\gamma = \widehat{\gamma}$ , since  $\mathbf{E}\nu = \mathbf{E}\tau \varphi^{\tau}(\widehat{\gamma}) / \mathbf{E}\varphi^{\tau}(\widehat{\gamma})$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.** In the case where  $F$  is heavy-tailed, we have  $\widehat{\gamma} = 0$  and  $\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) = 1$ . By Theorem 2,  $c = \mathbf{E}\tau$ , as required.

In the case  $\widehat{\gamma} \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}) < \infty$ , the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4.  $\square$

## 8. Supremum of a random walk

Hereafter, we need the notion of subexponential distributions. A distribution  $F$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+$  is called *subexponential* if  $\overline{F * F}(x) \sim 2\overline{F}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables with a common distribution  $F$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{E}\xi_1 = -m < 0$ . Put  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $S_n = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$ . By the strong law of large numbers (SLLN),  $M = \sup_{n \geq 0} S_n$  is finite with probability 1.

Let  $F^I$  be the integrated tail distribution on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , that is,

$$\overline{F^I}(x) \equiv \min\left(1, \int_x^\infty \overline{F}(y) \, dy\right), \quad x > 0.$$

It is well known (see, e.g., Asmussen [1], Embrechts, Klüppelberg and Mikosch [12], Embrechts and Veraverbeke [13] and references therein) that if  $F^I$  is subexponential, then

$$\mathbf{P}\{M > x\} \sim \frac{1}{m} \overline{F^I}(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{15}$$

Korshunov [18] proved the converse: (15) implies subexponentiality of  $F^I$ . We now supplement this assertion with the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $F^I$  be long-tailed, that is,  $\overline{F^I}(x+1) \sim \overline{F^I}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If, for some  $c > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{M > x\} \sim c \overline{F^I}(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then  $c = 1/m$  and  $F^I$  is subexponential.*

**Proof.** Consider the defective stopping time

$$\eta = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n > 0\} \leq \infty$$

and let  $\{\psi_n\}$  be i.i.d. random variables with common distribution function

$$G(x) \equiv \mathbf{P}\{\psi_n \leq x\} = \mathbf{P}\{S_\eta \leq x \mid \eta < \infty\}.$$

It is well known (see, e.g., Feller [14], Chapter XII) that the distribution of the maximum  $M$  coincides with the distribution of the randomly stopped sum  $\psi_1 + \dots + \psi_\tau$ , where the counting random variable  $\tau$  is independent of the sequence  $\{\psi_n\}$  and is geometrically distributed with parameter  $p = \mathbf{P}\{M > 0\} < 1$ , that is,  $\mathbf{P}\{\tau = k\} = (1-p)p^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{P}\{M \in B\} = G^{*\tau}(B).$$

It follows from Borovkov [4], Chapter 4, Theorem 10, that if  $F^I$  is long-tailed, then

$$\overline{G}(x) \sim \frac{1-p}{pm} \overline{F^I}(x). \tag{16}$$

The theorem hypothesis then implies that

$$\overline{G^{*\tau}}(x) \sim \frac{cpm}{1-p} \overline{G}(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3 with  $\hat{\gamma} = 0$ ,  $c = \mathbf{E}\tau(1-p)/pm = 1/m$ . It then follows from Korshunov [18] that  $F^I$  is subexponential. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

## 9. The compound Poisson distribution

Let  $F$  be a distribution on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  and  $t$  a positive constant. Let  $G$  be the compound Poisson distribution

$$G = e^{-t} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} F^{*n}.$$

Considering  $\tau$  in Theorem 3 with distribution  $\mathbf{P}\{\tau = n\} = t^n e^{-t}/n!$ , we get the following result.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\varphi(\hat{\gamma}) < \infty$ . If, for some  $c > 0$ ,  $\overline{G}(x) \sim c\overline{F}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $c = te^{t(\varphi(\hat{\gamma})-1)}$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  is subexponential;
- (ii)  $G$  is subexponential;
- (iii)  $\overline{G}(x) \sim t\overline{F}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $F$  is heavy-tailed and  $\overline{G}(x) \sim c\overline{F}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for some  $c > 0$ .

**Proof.** Equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) was proven in Embrechts, Goldie and Veraverbeke [11], Theorem 3. The implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Theorem 3 with  $\hat{\gamma} = 0$ .  $\square$

Some local aspects of this problem for heavy-tailed distributions were discussed in Asmussen, Foss and Korshunov [2], Theorem 6.

## 10. Infinitely divisible laws

Let  $H$  be an infinitely divisible law on  $[0, \infty)$ . The Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible law  $F$  can be expressed as

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} H(dx) = e^{-a\lambda - \int_0^\infty (1-e^{-\lambda x})\nu(dx)}$$

(see, e.g., Feller [14], Chapter XVII). Here,  $a \geq 0$  is a constant and the Lévy measure  $\nu$  is a Borel measure on  $(0, \infty)$  with the properties  $\mu = \nu(1, \infty) < \infty$  and  $\int_0^1 x\nu(dx) < \infty$ . Put  $F(B) = \nu(B \cap (1, \infty))/\mu$ .

Relations between the tail behavior of measure  $H$  and of the corresponding Lévy measure  $\nu$  were considered in Embrechts, Goldie and Veraverbeke [11], Pakes [19] and Shimura and Watanabe [22]. The local analog of that result was proven in Asmussen,

Foss and Korshunov [2]. We strengthen the corresponding result of Embrechts, Goldie and Veraverbeke [11] in the following way.

**Theorem 7.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H$  is subexponential;
- (ii)  $F$  is subexponential;
- (iii)  $\overline{\nu}(x) \sim \overline{H}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $H$  is heavy-tailed and  $\overline{\nu}(x) \sim c\overline{H}(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for some  $c > 0$ .

**Proof.** Equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii) was proven in Embrechts, Goldie and Veraverbeke [11], Theorem 1.

It remains to prove the implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). It is pointed out in Embrechts, Goldie and Veraverbeke [11] that the distribution  $H$  admits the representation  $H = G * F^{*\tau}$ , where  $\overline{G}(x) = O(e^{-\varepsilon x})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\tau$  has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\mu$ . Since  $H$  is heavy-tailed and  $G$  is light-tailed,  $F$  is necessarily heavy-tailed. Then, by Theorem 4, we get

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{H}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} \equiv \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{G * F^{*\tau}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \mathbf{E}\tau = \mu.$$

On the other hand, for  $x > 1$ ,

$$\frac{\overline{H}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \mu \frac{\overline{H}(x)}{\overline{\nu}(x)} \rightarrow \mu c \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

by assumption (iv). Hence,  $c = 1$ . □

## 11. Branching processes

In this section, we consider the limit behavior of subcritical, age-dependent branching processes for which the Malthusian parameter does not exist.

Let  $h(z)$  be the particle production generating function of an age-dependent branching process with particle lifetime distribution  $F$  (see Athreya and Ney [3], Chapter IV, Harris [16], Chapter VI for background). We take the process to be subcritical, that is,  $A \equiv h'(1) < 1$ . Let  $Z(t)$  denote the number of particles at time  $t$ . It is known (see, e.g., Athreya and Ney [3], Chapter IV, Section 5, or Chistyakov [5]) that  $\mathbf{E}Z(t)$  admits the representation

$$\mathbf{E}Z(t) = (1 - A) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{n-1} \overline{F^{*n}}(t).$$

It was proven in Chistyakov [5] for sufficiently small values of  $A$  and then in Chover, Ney and Wainger [6, 7] for any  $A < 1$  that  $\mathbf{E}Z(t) \sim \overline{F}(t)/(1 - A)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , provided  $F$  is

subexponential. The local asymptotics were considered in Asmussen, Foss and Korshunov [2].

Applying Theorem 3 with  $\tau$  geometrically distributed and  $\hat{\gamma} = 0$ , we deduce the following.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $F$  be heavy-tailed, and, for some  $c > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{E}Z(t) \sim c\bar{F}(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $c = 1/(1 - A)$  and  $F$  is subexponential.*

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Toshiro Watanabe who pointed out some incorrectness in the first version of the proof of Theorem 7.

Denisov was supported by the Dutch BSIK project (*BRICKS*). The research of Denisov and Foss was partially supported by EURO-NGI Framework 6 grant. The research of Foss and Korshunov was partially supported by the Royal Society International Joint Project Grant 2005/R2 UP. Korshunov wishes to thank the Russian Science Support Foundation.

## References

- [1] Asmussen, S. (2000). *Ruin Probabilities*. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific. [MR1794582](#)
- [2] Asmussen, S., Foss, S. and Korshunov, D. (2003). Asymptotics for sums of random variables with local subexponential behaviour. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **16** 489–518. [MR1982040](#)
- [3] Athreya, K. and Ney, P. (1972). *Branching Processes*. New York: Springer. [MR0373040](#)
- [4] Borovkov, A.A. (1976). *Stochastic Processes in Queueing Theory*. New York: Springer. [MR0391297](#)
- [5] Chistyakov, V.P. (1964). A theorem on sums of independent positive random variables and its applications to branching random processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **9** 640–648. [MR0170394](#)
- [6] Chover, J., Ney, P. and Wainger, S. (1973). Functions of probability measures. *J. Anal. Math.* **26** 255–302. [MR0348393](#)
- [7] Chover, J., Ney, P. and Wainger, S. (1973). Degeneracy properties of subcritical branching processes. *Ann. Probab.* **1** 663–673. [MR0348852](#)
- [8] Cline, D. (1987). Convolutions of distributions with exponential and subexponential tails. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **43** 347–365. [MR0904394](#)
- [9] Denisov, D., Foss, S. and Korshunov, D. (2007). Lower limits for distributions of randomly stopped sums. *Theory Probab. Appl.* To appear.
- [10] Embrechts, P. and Goldie, C.M. (1982). On convolution tails. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **13** 263–278. [MR0671036](#)
- [11] Embrechts, P., Goldie, C.M. and Veraverbeke, N. (1979). Subexponentiality and infinite divisibility. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **49** 335–347. [MR0547833](#)
- [12] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C. and Mikosch, T. (1997). *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance*. Berlin: Springer. [MR1458613](#)
- [13] Embrechts, P. and Veraverbeke, N. (1982). Estimates for the probability of ruin with special emphasis on the possibility of large claims. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **1** 55–72. [MR0652832](#)

- [14] Feller, W. (1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, **2**. New York: Wiley. [MR0270403](#)
- [15] Foss, S. and Korshunov, D. (2007). Lower limits and equivalences for convolution tails. *Ann. Probab.* **35** 366–383. [MR2303954](#)
- [16] Harris, T. (1963). *The Theory of Branching Processes*. Berlin: Springer. [MR0163361](#)
- [17] Kalashnikov, V. (1997). *Geometric Sums: Bounds for Rare Events with Applications. Risk Analysis, Reliability, Queueing*. Dordrecht: Kluwer. [MR1471479](#)
- [18] Korshunov, D. (1997). On distribution tail of the maximum of a random walk. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **27** 97–103. [MR1483613](#)
- [19] Pakes, A.G. (2004). Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility. *J. Appl. Probab.* **41** 407–424. [MR2052581](#)
- [20] Rogozin, B.A. (2000). On the constant in the definition of subexponential distributions. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **44** 409–412. [MR1751486](#)
- [21] Rudin, W. (1973). Limits of ratios of tails of measures. *Ann. Probab.* **1** 982–994. [MR0358919](#)
- [22] Shimura, T. and Watanabe, T. (2005). Infinite divisibility and generalized subexponentiality. *Bernoulli* **11** 445–469. [MR2146890](#)
- [23] Teugels, J.L. (1975). The class of subexponential distributions. *Ann. Probab.* **3** 1000–1011. [MR0391222](#)

*Received January 2007 and revised October 2007*