Phase-Space Metric for Non-Hamiltonian Systems

Vasily E. Tarasov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, Russia E-mail: tarasov@theory.sinp.msu.ru

Abstract

We consider an invariant skew-symmetric phase-space metric for non-Hamiltonian systems. We say that the metric is an invariant if the metric tensor field is an integral of motion. We derive the time-dependent skew-symmetric phase-space metric that satisfies the Jacobi identity. The example of non-Hamiltonian systems with linear friction term is considered.

PACS numbers: 45.20.-d; 02.40.Yy; 05.20.-y

1 Introduction

The dynamics of Hamiltonian systems is characterized by conservation of phase-space volume under time evolution. This conservation of the phase volume is a cornerstone of conventional statistical mechanics of Hamiltonian systems. At a mathematical level, conservation of phasespace volume is considered as a consequence of the existence of an invariant symplectic form (skew-symmetric phase-space metric) in the phase-space of Hamiltonian systems [\[1,](#page-11-0) [2,](#page-11-1) [3\]](#page-11-2).

The classical statistical mechanics of non-Hamiltonian systems is of strong theoretical interest [\[4,](#page-11-3) [5,](#page-11-4) [6,](#page-11-5) [7,](#page-11-6) [8,](#page-11-7) [9,](#page-11-8) [10,](#page-11-9) [11,](#page-11-10) [12,](#page-11-11) [13,](#page-11-12) [14,](#page-11-13) [15,](#page-11-14) [16,](#page-11-15) [17\]](#page-11-16). Non-Hamiltonian systems have been used in molecular dynamics simulation to achieve the calculation of statistical averages in various ensemble $[5, 6, 12, 18]$ $[5, 6, 12, 18]$ $[5, 6, 12, 18]$ $[5, 6, 12, 18]$, and in the treatment of nonequilibrium steady states $[16, 17, 19, 20]$ $[16, 17, 19, 20]$ $[16, 17, 19, 20]$ $[16, 17, 19, 20]$. Non-Hamiltonian systems are characterized by nonzero phase space compressibility, and the usual phase-space volume is no longer necessarily conserved.

Tuckerman et al. have argued [\[5,](#page-11-4) [6\]](#page-11-5) that there is a measure conservation law that involves a nontrivial phase-space metric. This suggests that phase-space should be carefully treated using the general rules of the geometry of manifolds [\[1,](#page-11-0) [2\]](#page-11-1). Tuckerman et al. have applied the concepts of Riemannian geometry to the classical statistical mechanics of non-Hamiltonian systems [\[4,](#page-11-3) [5,](#page-11-4) [6\]](#page-11-5). Tuckerman et al. have argued that, through introduction of metric determinant factors $\sqrt{g(\mathbf{x}, t)}$, it is possible to define an invariant phase-space measure for non-Hamiltonian systems. In their approach the metric determinant factor $\sqrt{g(\mathbf{x}, t)}$, where $g(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the determinant of the metric tensor, is defined by the compressibility of non-Hamiltonian systems. However Tuckerman *et al.* consider only the determinant $g(x, t)$ of the metric. The phase-space metric is not considered in $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$. Note that Tuckerman *et al.* suppose that the metric determinant factor is connected with symmetric phase-space metric. It can be proved that the proposal to use an invariant time-dependent metric determinant factor in the volume element corresponds precisely to finding a skew-symmetric phase-space metric (symplectic form) that is an integral of motion. Therefore we must consider the skew-symmetric phase-space metric.

Sergi [\[10,](#page-11-9) [11\]](#page-11-10) has considered an antisymmetric phase-space tensor field, whose elements are general function of phase-space coordinates. In $[10, 11]$ $[10, 11]$, the generalization of Poisson brackets for the non-Hamiltonian systems was suggested. However the Jacobi identity is not satisfied by the generalized brackets and skew-symmetric phase-space metric. As a result the algebra of phase-space functions is not time translation invariant. The generalized brackets do not define a Lie algebra in phase-space. Note that the generalized brackets of two constant of motion is no longer a constant of motion.

In the present paper we consider an invariant skew-symmetric (antisymmetric) phase-space metric for non-Hamiltonian systems. We say that the metric is an invariant, if the metric tensor field is an integral of motion. We define the phase-space metric such that the Jacobi identity is satisfied. The suggested skew-symmetric phase-space metric allows us to introduce the generalization of the Poisson brackets for non-Hamiltonian systems such that the Jacobi identity is satisfied by the generalized Poisson brackets. As a result the algebra of phase-space functions is time translation invariant. The generalized Poisson brackets define a Lie algebra in phase-space. The suggested Poisson brackets of two constant of motion is a constant of motion.

In section 2, the definitions of the antisymmetric phase-space metric, mathematical background and notations are considered. In section 3, we define the non-Hamiltonian systems, and consider the Helmholtz conditions. In section 4, we consider the time evolution of phase-space metric. We derive the phase-space metric that is an integral of motion. In section 5, the generalized Poisson brackets for non-Hamiltonian systems are defined. In section 6, the example of phase-space metric for non-Hamiltonian system with the linear friction term is considered. Finally, a short conclusion is given in section 7.

2 Phase-space metric

The 2n-dimensional differentiable manifold is denoted M. Coordinates are $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, ..., x^{2n})$. We assume the existence of a time-dependent metric tensor field $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)$ on the manifold M. We can define a differential 2-form

$$
\omega = \omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t) dx^k \wedge dx^l,
$$
\n(1)

where $\omega_{kl} = \omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a skew-symmetric tensor $\omega_{kl} = -\omega_{lk}$, and the tensor elements $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are explicit functions of time. Here and later we mean the sum on the repeated index k and l from 1 to 2n.

We suppose that the differential 2-form ω is a closed nondegenerated form:

1) If the metric determinant is not equal to zero

$$
g(\mathbf{x},t) = det(\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)) \neq 0
$$
\n(2)

for all points $\mathbf{x} \in M$, then the form ω is nondegenerated. 2) If the Jacobi identity

$$
\partial_k \omega_{lm} + \partial_l \omega_{mk} + \partial_m \omega_{kl} = 0, \quad \partial_k = \partial / \partial x^k \tag{3}
$$

for the metric $\omega_{kl} = \omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is satisfied, then the differential 2-form ω is closed $(d\omega = 0)$.

Phase-space is therefore assumed to be a symplectic manifold.

Definition 1. A symplectic manifold is a differentiable manifold M with a closed nondegenerated differential 2-form ω .

For symplectic manifold, we have the phase-space volume element

$$
v = \frac{1}{n!} \omega^n = \frac{1}{n!} \omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega,
$$

This differentiable $2n$ -form can be represented by

$$
v = \sqrt{g(\mathbf{x}, t)} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{2n},
$$

where $g(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is defined by equation [\(2\)](#page-1-0). The nondegenerated condition $(g(\mathbf{x}, t) \neq 0)$ for the metric $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)$ is equivalent to the condition $\omega^n \neq 0$ or $v \neq 0$.

It is known [\[2\]](#page-11-1) that there exists the local coordinates (q, p) such that

$$
\omega = \delta_{ij} dq^i \wedge dp^j. \tag{4}
$$

Here and later we mean the sum on the repeated index i and j from 1 to n.

3 Non-Hamiltonian system

The dynamics is described by a smooth vector field $X = X(x)$,

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{X} \tag{5}
$$

with components X^k in basis $\partial_k = \partial/\partial x^k$. For simplicity, we consider the case where the vector field **X** is time independent. In local coordinates $\{x^k\}$, equation [\(5\)](#page-2-0) has the form

$$
\frac{dx^k}{dt} = X^k.\tag{6}
$$

Consider now the definition of the Hamiltonian systems, which is used in [\[1\]](#page-11-0).

Definition 2. A classical system [\(5\)](#page-2-0) on the symplectic manifold (M, ω) is called a **Hamil**tonian system if the differential 1-form $\omega(X)$ is a closed form

$$
d\omega(X) = 0,
$$

where $\omega(X) = i_X \omega$ is the contraction (interior product) of the 2-form ω with vector **X**, and d is the exterior derivative.

A classical system [\(5\)](#page-2-0) on the symplectic manifold (M, ω) is called a non-Hamiltonian system if the differential 1-form $\omega(X)$ is nonclosed $d\omega(X) \neq 0$.

Proposition 1. The classical system (5) is a Hamiltonian system if the conditions

$$
J_{kl}(\omega, \mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \partial_k(\omega_{lm} X^m) - \partial_l(\omega_{km} X^m) = 0 \tag{7}
$$

.

are satisfied.

Proof. In the local coordinates $\{x^k\}$, we have

$$
\omega(X) = X_k dx^k = \omega_{kl} X^l dx^k,
$$

where $X_k = \omega_{kl} X^l$. In this case, the exterior derivative of 1-form $\omega(X)$ is

$$
d\omega(X) = d(X_k dx^k) = \partial_l X_k dx^l \wedge dx^k
$$

Using $da \wedge db = -db \wedge da$, we get

$$
d\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_k X_l - \partial_l X_k)dx^k \wedge dx^l.
$$

As the result we have the differential 2-form

$$
d\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\partial_k(\omega_{lm} X^m) - \partial_l(\omega_{km} X^m) \Big) dx^k \wedge dx^l. \tag{8}
$$

This differential 2-form is a symplectic form, which can be called "non-Hamiltonian symplectic form". If the Helmholtz conditions [\(7\)](#page-3-0) are satisfied, then the differential 1-form $\omega(X)$ is closed $(d\omega(X) = 0)$, and the classical system [\(5\)](#page-2-0) is a Hamiltonian system.

Let us consider the canonical coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, ..., x^n, x^{n+1}, ..., x^{2n}) = (q^1, ..., q^n, p^1, ..., p^n)$. Equation [\(6\)](#page-2-1) can be written as

$$
\frac{dq^{i}}{dt} = G^{i}(q, p), \quad \frac{dp^{i}}{dt} = F^{i}(q, p).
$$
\n(9)

Corollary. If the right-hand sides of equations [\(9\)](#page-3-1) satisfy the Helmholtz conditions [\[21,](#page-11-20) [22\]](#page-11-21) for the phase-space with (4) , which have the following form

$$
\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial p^j} - \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial p^i} = 0,\tag{10}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial G^j}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial F^i}{\partial p^j} = 0,\tag{11}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F^i}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial q^i} = 0,\tag{12}
$$

then classical system (9) is a Hamiltonian system.

Proof. In the canonical coordinates (q, p) , the vector field **X** has the components (G^i, F^i) , which are used in equation [\(9\)](#page-3-1). The 1-form $\omega(X)$ is defined by the following equation:

$$
\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2} (G_i dp^i - F_i dq^i),
$$

where $G_i = \delta_{ij} G^j$ and $F_i = \delta_{ij} F^j$. The exterior derivative for this form can now be written by the relation

$$
d\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(d(G_i dp^i) - d(F_i dq^i) \Big).
$$

It now follows that

$$
d\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial q^j} dq^j \wedge dp^i + \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial p^j} dp^j \wedge dp^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} dq^j \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial p^j} dp^j \wedge dq^i \right).
$$

This equation can be rewritten in an equivalent form

$$
d\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial p^j} \right) dq^i \wedge dp^j + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial p^i} - \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial p^j} \right) dp^i \wedge dp^j + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial q^i} \right) dq^i \wedge dq^j.
$$

Here we use the skew-symmetry of $dq^i \wedge dq^j$ and $dp^i \wedge dp^j$ with respect index i and j. It is obvious that conditions [\(10\)](#page-3-2) - [\(12\)](#page-3-3) lead to the equation $d\omega(X) = 0$.

4 Time evolution of phase-space metric

Let us find a time-dependent symplectic 2-form ω that satisfies the equation $d\omega/dt = 0$.

It is known [\[2,](#page-11-1) [3\]](#page-11-2) as the following proposition.

Proposition 2. If the system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{X}$ on the symplectic manifold (M, ω) with timeindependent symplectic form $(\partial \omega_{kl}/\partial t = 0)$ is a Hamiltonian system, then differential 2-form ω is conserved, i.e., $d\omega/dt = 0$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is considered in [\[2,](#page-11-1) [3\]](#page-11-2).

Let us consider a generalization of this proposition.

Proposition 3. If the time-dependent metric $\omega_{kl} = \omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a skew-symmetric metric $(\omega_{kl} = -\omega_{lk})$ that is satisfied by the Jacobi identity [\(3\)](#page-1-1), and the system is defined by equation (6) , then the total time derivative of the differential 2-form (1) is given by

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} - \partial_k(\omega_{lm}X^m) + \partial_l(\omega_{km}X^m)\right)dx^k \wedge dx^l. \tag{13}
$$

Proof. The time-derivative of the time-dependent symplectic form ω is given by

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t) dx^k \wedge dx^l \Big) = \frac{d\omega_{kl}}{dt} dx^k \wedge dx^l + \omega_{kl} d \left(\frac{dx^k}{dt} \right) \wedge dx^l + \omega_{kl} dx^k \wedge d \left(\frac{dx^l}{dt} \right).
$$

Then, using the equation

$$
\frac{d\omega_{kl}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial x^m} \frac{dx^m}{dt} = \frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} + X^m \partial_m \omega_{kl},
$$

and equation [\(6\)](#page-2-1), we find that

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} + X^m \partial_m \omega_{kl}\right) dx^k \wedge dx^l + \omega_{kl} dX^k \wedge dx^l + \omega_{kl} dx^k \wedge dX^l.
$$

Using $dX^k = \partial_m X^k dx^m$, we have

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} + X^m \partial_m \omega_{kl}\right) dx^k \wedge dx^l + \omega_{kl} \partial_m X^k dx^m \wedge dx^l + \omega_{kl} \partial_m X^l dx^k \wedge dx^m.
$$

This expression can be rewritten in an equivalent form

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} + X^m \partial_m \omega_{kl} + \omega_{ml} \partial_k X^m + \omega_{km} \partial_l X^m\right) dx^k \wedge dx^l.
$$

Using the rule of term-by-term differentiation in the form

$$
\omega_{ml}\partial_k X^m = \partial_k(\omega_{ml}X^m) - X^m\partial_k\omega_{ml}; \quad \omega_{km}\partial_l X^m = \partial_l(\omega_{km}X^m) - X^m\partial_l\omega_{km},
$$

we get the following equation:

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} + X^m (\partial_m \omega_{kl} - \partial_k \omega_{ml} - \partial_l \omega_{km}) + \partial_k (\omega_{ml} X^m) + \partial_l (\omega_{km} X^m)\right) dx^k \wedge dx^l.
$$

Using the Jacobi identity [\(3\)](#page-1-1), and skew symmetry $\omega_{ml} = -\omega_{lm}, \omega_{km} = -\omega_{mk}$, we have

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} - \partial_k(\omega_{lm}X^m) + \partial_l(\omega_{km}X^m)\right)dx^k \wedge dx^l.
$$

As the result, we obtain equation [\(13\)](#page-4-0) for the total time derivative of symplectic form.

The total time derivative of the symplectic form is defined by equation [\(13\)](#page-4-0). If the total derivative is zero, than we have the integral of motion or invariant. It is easy to see that the differentiable 2-form ω is invariant if the phase-space metric $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is satisfied by the equation

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} = \partial_k(\omega_{lm} X^m) - \partial_l(\omega_{km} X^m). \tag{14}
$$

This equation can be rewritten in an equivalent form

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} = \hat{J}_{kl}^{ms} \omega_{ms},
$$

where the operator \hat{J} is defined by the equation

$$
\hat{J}_{kl}^{ms} = \frac{1}{2} \Big((\delta_l^m \partial_k - \delta_k^m \partial_l) X^s - (\delta_l^s \partial_k - \delta_k^s \partial_l) X^m \Big). \tag{15}
$$

Proposition 4. The differentiable 2-form ω is invariant (is an integral of motion for non-Hamiltonian system [\(6\)](#page-2-1)) if the phase-space metric $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)$ is defined by the equation

$$
\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(\exp(t\ \hat{J})\right)_{kl}^{ms}\omega_{ms}(\mathbf{x},0). \tag{16}
$$

Here \hat{J} is an operator that is defined by equation [\(15\)](#page-5-0).

Proof. Let us consider the formal solution of equation [\(14\)](#page-5-1) in the form

$$
\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \omega_{kl}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}),
$$

where $\omega_{kl}^{(n)} = -\omega_{lk}^{(n)}$. In this case, the time-independent tensor fields $\omega_{kl}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x})$ are defined by the recursion relation

$$
\omega_{kl}^{(n+1)} = \partial_k(\omega_{lm}^{(n)}X^m) - \partial_l(\omega_{km}^{(n)}X^m).
$$

This equation can be rewritten in an equivalent form

$$
\omega_{kl}^{(n+1)} = (\delta_l^m \partial_k - \delta_k^m \partial_l)(X^s \omega_{ms}^{(n)}).
$$

Using the skew symmetry of the $\omega_{ns}^{(n)}$, we have

$$
\omega_{kl}^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \Big((\delta_l^m \partial_k - \delta_k^m \partial_l) X^s - (\delta_l^s \partial_k - \delta_k^s \partial_l) X^m \Big) \omega_{ms}^{(n)}.
$$

This relation can be represented in the form

$$
\omega_{kl}^{(n+1)} = \hat{J}_{kl}^{ms} \omega_{ms}^{(n)},
$$

where the operator \hat{J} is defined by equation [\(15\)](#page-5-0). Therefore the invariant phase-space metric is defined by the following equation:

$$
\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(\hat{J}^n\right)_{kl}^{ms} \omega_{ms}(\mathbf{x},0) = \left(\exp(t \; \hat{J})\right)_{kl}^{ms} \omega_{ms}(\mathbf{x},0).
$$

As the result we have equation [\(16\)](#page-5-2).

Comments. We prove that equation [\(14\)](#page-5-1) for the elements of phase-space metric can be expressed in terms of an operator \hat{J} such that

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{kl}}{\partial t} = \hat{J}_{kl}^{ms} \omega_{ms},\tag{17}
$$

where \hat{J}_{kl}^{ab} is defined by equation [\(15\)](#page-5-0). In this case, the time evolution of the phase-space metric from initial condition $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}_0, 0)$ to a value $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at the time t can be written by the equation

$$
\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(\exp(t\ \hat{J})\right)_{kl}^{ms}\omega_{ms}(\mathbf{x},0). \tag{18}
$$

Here the matrix exponential operator, $exp(t\hat{J})$, can be called the metric propagator. The operator \hat{J} can be considered as a metric analog of the Liouville operator. Note that we can use the canonical coordinates (q, p) for $t = 0$ and the coordinate-independent initial metric: $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},0) = \omega_{kl}^{(0)} = const, \partial_m \omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},0) = 0.$ Introducing time step $\Delta t = t/N$, we get

$$
\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(\exp(t\,\,\hat{J})\right)_{kl}^{ms}\omega_{ms}(\mathbf{x},0) = \left(\left[\exp(\Delta t\,\,\hat{J})\right]^N\right)_{kl}^{ms}\omega_{ms}(\mathbf{x},0).
$$

It is natural to approximate the short-time propagator $exp(t\hat{J})$ using the Trotter theorem [\[23,](#page-11-22) [24,](#page-11-23) [25\]](#page-11-24). The vector field $X = X(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}_1+\mathbf{X}_2,
$$

where X_1 is a Hamiltonian term such that

$$
X_1^k = \omega^{kl} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x^l}
$$

and X_2 is a friction (non-Hamiltonian) term. As the result we have

$$
(\hat{J}_{1,2})_{kl}^{ms} = \frac{1}{2} \Big((\delta_l^m \partial_k - \delta_k^m \partial_l) X_{1,2}^s - (\delta_l^s \partial_k - \delta_k^s \partial_l) X_{1,2}^m \Big). \tag{19}
$$

,

An important consequence would be the ability to formulate rigorous numerical integration algorithms based on Trotter-type splittings [\[23,](#page-11-22) [24,](#page-11-23) [25\]](#page-11-24) of the classical propagator $exp(\Delta t J)$. The metric propagator for the small time step is

$$
exp[\Delta t(\hat{J}_1 + \hat{J}_2)] = exp[\frac{1}{2}\Delta t \hat{J}_2] exp[\Delta t \hat{J}_1] exp[\frac{1}{2}\Delta t \hat{J}_2] + O(\Delta t^3).
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
exp[t\hat{J}] = exp[t(\hat{J}_1 + \hat{J}_2)] = (exp[\frac{1}{2}\Delta t \hat{J}_2]exp[\Delta t \hat{J}_1]exp[\frac{1}{2}\Delta t \hat{J}_2])^N + O(\Delta t^3).
$$

5 Poisson brackets for non-Hamiltonian systems

Let us consider the skew-symmetric tensor field $\omega^{kl} = \omega^{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ that is defined by the equations

$$
\omega^{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)\omega_{lm}(\mathbf{x},t) = \omega^{lk}(\mathbf{x},t)\omega_{ml}(\mathbf{x},t) = \delta_l^k.
$$

As the result this tensor field satisfies the Jacoby identity

$$
\omega^{kl}\partial_l\omega^{ms} + \omega^{ml}\partial_l\omega^{sk} + \omega^{sl}\partial_l\omega^{km} = 0.
$$

It follows from the Jacoby identity for ω_{kl} .

In proposition 3, we suggest the time-dependent phase-space metric $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which satisfies the Jacoby identity. As the result we have Lie algebra that is defined by the following brackets:

$$
\{A, B\} = \omega^{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)\partial_k A \partial_l B. \tag{20}
$$

It is easy to prove that these brackets are Poisson brackets.

In the general case, the rule of term-by-term differentiation with respect to time that has the form

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\{A,B\} = \{\dot{A},B\} + \{A,\dot{B}\},\tag{21}
$$

where $A = dA/dt$, is not valid for non-Hamiltonian systems. In the general case, we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt}{A, B} = {\hat{A}, B} + {A, \dot{B}} + J(A, B)
$$

where

$$
J(A, B) = \omega_{(1)}^{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t)\partial_k A \partial_l B, \quad \omega_{(1)}^{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \omega^{km} \omega^{ls} (\partial_s X_m - \partial_m X_s).
$$

Note that time evolution of the Poisson brackets [\(20\)](#page-7-0) for non-Hamiltonian systems can be considered as t-deformation [\[28\]](#page-11-25) of the Lie algebra in phase-space.

If we use the invariant phase-space metric, then the rule [\(21\)](#page-7-1) is valid. As the result the suggested Poisson brackets [\(20\)](#page-7-0) of two constants of motion is a constant of motion and rule [\(21\)](#page-7-1) is satisfied.

6 Example: System with linear friction

Let us consider the non-Hamiltonian system that is described by the equations:

$$
\frac{dq^{i}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^{i}}, \quad \frac{dp^{i}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^{i}} - K_{j}^{i}(t)p^{j},\tag{22}
$$

where $H = T(p) + U(q)$ and $i; j = 1, ..., n$. Here $T(p)$ is a kinetic energy, $U(q)$ is a potential energy. The term $-K_j^i(t)p^j$ is a friction term. Usually, this system is described by the phasespace metric ω_{kl} that has the form

$$
||\omega_{kl}|| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G \\ -G^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{23}
$$

where the matrix $G = ||g_{ij}||$ is equal to indentity matrix $E = ||\delta_{ij}||$. Here G^T is transpose matrix for the matrix G . The symplectic form is defined by equation (4) in the form

$$
\omega = 2g_{ij}dq^{i} \wedge dp^{j} = \delta_{ij}dq^{i} \wedge dp^{j},
$$

where $g_{ij} = (1/2)\delta_{ij}$. The phase-space compressibility

$$
\kappa = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial \dot{q}^i}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial \dot{p}^i}{\partial p^i} \right) \tag{24}
$$

of the system [\(22\)](#page-8-0) is defined by the spur of the matrix $K = ||K_j^i||$ in the form

$$
\kappa = -Sp||K^i_j||.
$$

Proposition 5. The invariant phase-space metric for the classical system [\(22\)](#page-8-0) has the form

$$
||\omega_{kl}(t)|| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G(t) \\ -G^T(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(25)

where the matrix G is defined by

$$
G(t) = G(t_0) exp \int_{t_0}^t ||K_j^i(\tau)|| d\tau.
$$
 (26)

Proof. Suppose that phase-space metric depends on time t. Therefore the matrix G and elements g_{kl} are the functions of the variable t: $G = G(t)$, $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(t)$. Let us consider the total time derivative of the symplectic form

$$
\omega = 2g_{ij}(t) dq^i \wedge dp^j.
$$

As the result, we have

$$
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = 2\Big(\frac{dg_{ij}}{dt} - g_{im}K_j^m\Big)dq^i \wedge dp^j.
$$

In order to have the invariant phase-space metric $(d\omega/dt = 0)$, we use the following equation:

$$
\frac{dg_{ij}}{dt} = g_{im}K_j^m.
$$

The matrix $G = ||g_{ij}||$ is satisfied the matrix equation

$$
\frac{dG(t)}{dt} = G(t)K(t),\tag{27}
$$

where $K(t) = ||K_j^i(t)||$ is a matrix of friction coefficients. Suppose that $G(t_0) = E$, where E is the identity matrix. The solution of equation [\(27\)](#page-9-0) has the form

$$
G(t) = G(t_0) exp \int_{t_0}^t K(\tau) d\tau = exp \int_{t_0}^t K(\tau) d\tau.
$$
 (28)

If the matrix K is diagonal matrix with elements $K_j^i(t) = K_j(t)\delta_j^i$, then we have the matrix elements

$$
g_{ij}(t) = \delta_{ij} exp \int_{t_0}^t K_j(\tau) d\tau.
$$

As the result, the invariant phase-space metric for system [\(22\)](#page-8-0) is defined by equations [\(25\)](#page-8-1) and [\(26\)](#page-8-2).

The metric determinant factor [\[4,](#page-11-3) [5,](#page-11-4) [6\]](#page-11-5)

$$
\sqrt{g(\mathbf{x},t)} = \sqrt{\det||\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)||}
$$

for the phase-space metric [\(23\)](#page-8-3) is defined by the relation $\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{det(GG^T)}$. Using $det(AB) =$ (det A) (det B) and $det A^T = det A$, we have the metric determinant factor in the form \sqrt{g} = $|detG|$. If the matrix G has the form [\(28\)](#page-9-1), then

$$
\sqrt{g(t)} = |det \, exp \int_{t_0}^t K(\tau) d\tau|.
$$

Using det $\exp A = \exp Sp A$, we get that the invariant phase-space metric has the determinant that is connected with the phase-space compressibility $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$ by the equation

$$
\sqrt{g(t)} = \exp \int_{t_0}^t SpK(\tau)d\tau = \exp - \int_{t_0}^t \kappa(\tau)d\tau,\tag{29}
$$

where κ is the phase-space compressibility [\(24\)](#page-8-4).

For example, the system

$$
\frac{dq_1}{dt} = \frac{p_1}{m}, \quad \frac{dp_1}{dt} = -\frac{\partial U(q)}{\partial q_1} - K_1 p_1,
$$

$$
\frac{dq_2}{dt} = \frac{p_2}{m}, \quad \frac{dp_2}{dt} = -\frac{\partial U(q)}{\partial q_2} - K_2 p_2,
$$

has the invariant phase-space metric $\omega_{kl}(t)$ in the form

$$
||\omega_{kl}(t)|| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{K_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{K_2 t} \\ -e^{K_1 t} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{K_2 t} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (30)

In this case, the metric determinant factor is equal to

$$
\sqrt{g(t)} = \sqrt{\det(|\omega_{kl}|)} = e^{(K_1 + K_2)t}.
$$

7 Conclusion

Tuckerman *et al.* [\[4,](#page-11-3) [5,](#page-11-4) [6\]](#page-11-5) suggest a formulation of non-Hamiltonian statistical mechanics which uses the invariant phase-space measure. The invariant measure is connected with phase-space metric. Tuckerman *et al.* consider the properties of only the determinant $g(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of the metric. The phase-space metric is not considered in $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$. We consider the invariant phase-space metric for non-Hamiltonian systems. The proposal to use an invariant time-dependent metric determinant factor in the volume element corresponds precisely to finding a skew-symmetric phase-space metric (symplectic form) that is an integral of motion. Therefore we consider the skew-symmetric metric.

Sergi [\[10,](#page-11-9) [11\]](#page-11-10) uses the skew-symmetric phase-space metric that is not satisfied by the Jacoby identity. As the result the generalization of the Poisson brackets for non-Hamiltonian systems leads one to non-Lie algebra. Note that non-Lie algebra for non-Hamiltonian systems is considered in [\[29\]](#page-11-26). In this paper we consider an invariant antisymmetric phase-space metric that satisfies the Jacoby identity, and defines the Lie algebra in phase-space. We call the metric is invariant if the metric tensor field is an integral of motion $(d\omega/dt = 0)$. This invariant phase-space metric $\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x},t)$ defines the invariant phase-space measure v by the equation $v = (1/n!) \omega^n = \sqrt{g(\mathbf{x}, t)} dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{2n}$, where $g(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the metric determinant $g(\mathbf{x}, t) = det(\omega_{kl}(\mathbf{x}, t))$ and $dv/dt = 0$. The suggested time-dependent skew-symmetric phasespace metric leads to a constant value of the entropy density, so that the associated distribution function obeys an evolution equation associated with incompressible dynamical flow.

Note that the invariant phase-space metric of some non-Hamiltonian systems can leads us to the lack of smoothness of the metric. In this case, the phase-space probability distribution can be collapsed onto a fractal set of dimensionality lower than in the Hamiltonian case [\[26,](#page-11-27) [27\]](#page-11-28). Unfortunately the description of lack of smoothness in [\[26,](#page-11-27) [27\]](#page-11-28) is considered without using the curved phase-space approach $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$ $[4, 5, 6]$. Note that classical systems that are Hamiltonian systems in the usual phase-space are non-Hamiltonian systems in the fractional phase-space [\[13,](#page-11-12) [32\]](#page-11-29).

In the papers [\[30,](#page-11-30) [31\]](#page-11-31), the quantization of the evolution equations for non-Hamiltonian and dissipative systems was suggested. Using this quantization it is easy to derive the quantum analog of the invariant Poisson brackets, which satisfy the rule of term-by-term differentiation with respect to time.

References

- [1] Godbillon G 1969 Geometrie Differentielle et Mecanique Analytique (Paris: Hermann) section VII.3.
- [2] B.A. Dubrovin B A, Fomenko A N, and Novikov S P 1992 Modern Geometry - Methods and Applications. Part I. (New York: Springer-Verlag). section34.
- [3] Fomenko A N 1988 Symplectic Geometry. Methods and Applications. (Moscow: Moscow St. University) section 2.1.
- [4] Tuckerman M E, Mundy C J, and Martyna G J 1999 Europhys. Lett. 45, 149
- [5] Tuckerman M E, Liu Y, Ciccotti G, and Martyna G J 2001 *J. Chem. Phys.* **115**, 1678.
- [6] Minary P, Martyna G J, and Tuckerman M E 2003 J. Chem. Phys. 118, 2510
- [7] Ramshaw J D 2002 *Europhys. Lett.* **59**, 319
- [8] Ramshaw J D 1986, Phys. Lett. A 116, 110
- [9] Sergi A, and Ferrario M 2001 Phys. Rev. E 64, 056125
- [10] Sergi A 2003 Phys. Rev. E 67, 021101
- [11] Sergi A 2004 Phys. Rev. E 69, 021109
- [12] Tarasov V E 2003 Mod. Phys. Lett. B 17, 1219 [\(cond-mat/0311536\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0311536)
- [13] Tarasov V E 2004 Chaos 14, 123 [\(nlin.CD/0312044\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/nlin/0312044)
- [14] Ezra G S 2002 J. Math. Chem. 32, 339
- [15] Ezra G S 2004 *J. Math. Chem.* **35**, 29
- [16] Tarasov V E 2002 *Phys. Rev.* E 66, 056116 [\(quant-ph/0311177\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0311177)
- [17] Tarasov V E 2002 *Phys. Lett.* A 299, 173
- [18] Nose S 1991 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 103, 1
- [19] Evans D J, and Morriss G P 1990 Statistical Mechanics of Nonequilibrium Liquids (New York: Academic Press)
- [20] Hoover W G 1991 Computational Statistical Mechanics (New York: Elsevier)
- [21] Helmholtz H 1886 J. Reine Angew. Math. 100, 137
- [22] Tarasov V E 1997 Theor. Math. Phys. 110 , 53
- [23] Trotter H F 1959 *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10**, 545
- [24] Suzuki M 1985 J. Math. Phys. 26, 601
- [25] Tuckerman M E, Martyna G J, Berne B J 1992 J. Chem. Phys. 97, 1990
- [26] Dorfman J R 1999 An Introduction to Chaos in Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [27] Hoover W G, Posch H A, Aoki K, and Kusnezov D 2002 Europhys. Lett. 60, 337 [\(nlin.CD/0205040\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/nlin/0205040)
- [28] Gerstenhaber M 1964 Ann. Math. 79, 59
- [29] Tarasov V E 1997 Theor. Math. Phys. 110, 168
- [30] Tarasov V E 2001 Phys. Lett. A 288, 173 [\(quant-ph/0311159\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0311159)
- [31] Tarasov V E 2001 *Moscow Univ. Phys. Bull.* 56, 5
- [32] Tarasov V E 2005 Phys. Rev. E. 71, 011102 [\(cond-mat/0505720\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0505720)