

Infinitesimal deformation quantization of complex analytic spaces

V. P. Palamodov*

31.01.06

1 Introduction

For the physical aspects of deformation quantization we refer to the expository and survey papers [4] and [10]. Our objective is to initiate a version of global theory of quantization deformation in the category of complex analytic spaces in the same lines as the theory of (commutative) deformation. The goal of infinitesimal theory is to do few steps towards construction of a star-product in the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of holomorphic functions on a complex analytic space X , occasionally with singularity. A formal power series with representing a star-product can have a chance to converge on holomorphic functions for, at least, a sequence of values of the parameter. This phenomenon is described in Berezin's global theory, [2], [3]. We shall see strong similarity between commutative and skew-commutative deformations, in particular, any Poisson bracket and any Kodaira-Spencer class (commutative deformation) are locally in "one flacon", that is in the same Hochschild cohomology space. On the global level, extension of an arbitrary infinitesimal quantization meets obstructions which are elements of the Čech cohomology of the sheaves of the *analytic* Hochschild cohomology in the same way as infinitesimal deformations of complex analytic spaces do. The construction of analytic Hochschild (co)homology is given in terms of analytic tensor products and bounded linear mappings of analytic algebras. We prove here the

*Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel; e-mail: palamodo@tau.ac.il

general result: the analytic Hochschild (co)homology on a complex analytic space is always a coherent analytic sheaf in each degree.

For K3-surfaces a global infinitesimal quantization is explicitly written in terms of Jacobian brackets.

2 Quantization of an algebra

Let \mathbf{k} be a field of zero characteristic, A be an associative commutative \mathbf{k} -algebra. A *quantization* of A is a bilinear operation in A of the form

$$a * b = ab + \lambda p(a, b) + \lambda^2 p_2(a, b) + \lambda^3 p_3(a, b) + \dots \quad (1)$$

where λ is a "small" parameter taking values in \mathbf{k} and p, p_2, p_3, \dots are some bilinear mappings $A \times A \rightarrow A$. The mapping p is skew-symmetric and is chosen in advance (Poisson bracket of a deterministic system). This operation, called star-product, must be an associative operation, that is $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$ for any $a, b, c \in A$. Substituting (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (a * b) * c - a * (b * c) &= \lambda [-\partial p(a, b, c)] \\ &+ \lambda^2 [p(p(a, b), c) - p(a, p(b, c)) - \partial p_2(a, b, c)] + \lambda^3 [\dots] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The equation for arbitrary $a, b, c \in A$

$$\partial p(a, b, c) \doteq ap(b, c) - p(ab, c) + p(a, bc) - p(a, b)c = 0 \quad (3)$$

must be fulfilled, which is equivalent to vanishing of the linear term in (2). Consider the Hochschild cochain complex of the algebra $A : C^*(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n(A)$, where $C^n(A)$ is the space of \mathbf{k} -polylinear operators $h : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ (or of A -morphisms $A \otimes A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$) with the standard "bar"-differential ∂ . The cohomology of this complex is called Hochschild cohomology and denoted $\text{Hoch}^*(A, A)$. The condition (3) means that p is a 2-cocycle. Any cocycle defines an element $\text{cl}(p) \in \text{Hoch}^2(A, A)$ and any cohomology class contains only one skew-symmetric cocycle, since any coboundary element $\partial g(a, b) = ag(b) - g(ab) + g(a)b$ is symmetric. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}(A)$ the submodule of $\text{Hoch}^2(A, A)$ of all skew-symmetric cocycles p .

The Harrison cohomology space $\text{Harr}^n(A, A)$ is the \mathbf{k} -subspace of $\text{Hoch}^n(A, A)$ generated by operators $h : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ that vanish on all shuffle products of chains, see [1]. In particular, the second order *Harrison* cohomology

$\text{Harr}^2(A, A)$ is the subspace (submodule) in $\text{Hoch}^2(A, A)$ generated by symmetric cocycles $s : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$. We have

$$\text{Hoch}^2(A, A) = \text{Harr}^2(A, A) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(A),$$

since any 2-cocycle is the sum of its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts. The first term is the group of first infinitesimal commutative deformations and the second one is that for deformation quantizations.

The third cohomology groups are responsible for obstructions:

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose that $\text{Harr}^3(A, A) = 0$. Then the Jacobi equation*

$$p(p(a, b), c) + p(p(b, c), a) + p(p(c, a), b) = 0$$

is sufficient and necessary for existence of a mapping $p_2 : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that the second term in (2) vanishes. In other words, the Jacobi sum is the first obstruction to extension of the cocycle to a star-product.

PROOF. Take the elements in the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}(S_3)$

$$e_1(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{6} [2(a, b, c) - 2(c, b, a) + (a, c, b) - (b, c, a) + (b, a, c) - (c, a, b)],$$

$$e_2(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2} [(a, b, c) + (c, b, a)],$$

$$e_3(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{6} [(a, b, c) - (c, b, a) - (a, c, b) + (b, c, a) - (b, a, c) + (c, a, b)],$$

$$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 1.$$

They are projectors in the Hochschild complex and commute with the differential. According to [1] and [6], $\text{Hoch}^3 = \text{Hoch}_1^3 \oplus \text{Hoch}_2^3 \oplus \text{Hoch}_3^3$, where $\text{Hoch}_1^3 \cong \text{Harr}^3$. The element $q(a, b, c) \doteq p(p(a, b), c) - p(a, p(b, c))$ is a 3-cocycle and we have $q = e_1q + e_2q + e_3q$, where all the terms are cocycles and the term e_1q is a cocycle in the Harrison cohomology. It is a coboundary since of the assumption. Moreover,

$$2e_2q(a, b, c) = p(p(a, b), c) - p(a, p(b, c)) + p(p(c, b), a) - p(c, p(b, a)) = 0,$$

since p is skew-symmetric. The last term is equal to the sum

$$e_3q(a, b, c) = \frac{2}{3} [p(p(a, b), c) + p(p(b, c), a) + p(p(c, a), b)]$$

where the Jacobi bracket appears. ►

Definition. A skew-symmetric 2-cocycle p that satisfies the Jacobi equation is called *Poisson* bracket in A .

3 Cohomology of analytic algebras

For a natural n the algebra $R_n = \mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ of convergent power series of n variables is called regular analytic \mathbb{C} -algebra. An *analytic algebra* is by definition a \mathbb{C} -algebra A that admits an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebra $A \cong R_n/I$ for some n and an ideal I in R_n . Let f_1, \dots, f_m be a set of generators of I (an arbitrary ideal in R_n has finite set of generators). The algebra A is the structure algebra of the germ of the analytic space

$$X = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n, f_1(z) = \dots = f_m(z) = 0\}$$

at a marked point $\bullet \in X$; in other notations $A = \mathcal{O}(X, \bullet)$.

We adapt the cohomology theory for analytic algebras taking the analytic Hochschild homology and cohomology instead of the algebraic ones. For this we introduce bornology in an analytic algebra and use construction of analytic tensor product. A bornological \mathbb{C} -space is a vector space U with a family $\{B\}$ of subsets called *bounded sets*. The family $\{B\}$ is subjected to several natural conditions, which hold for the bornology of arbitrary locally convex topology. For arbitrary bornological vector spaces U, V a linear operator $\alpha : U \rightarrow V$ is called *bounded*, if the image of an arbitrary bounded set $B \subset U$ is bounded in V . Any analytic algebra $A = R_n/I$ possesses the canonical linear bornology: a set $B \subset R_n$ is bounded, if all the series $a \in B$ converge in the ball $\{z; |z| < \varepsilon\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and the sums are bounded by the same constant C in this ball. A set B' is bounded in A , if it is contained in the image of a bounded set $B \subset R_n$. Any ideal I is sequentially in this bornology and the bornology of A is separated. The *analytic tensor product* of regular algebras is, by definition, $R_m \odot R_n = R_{m+n}$. For arbitrary analytic algebras $A = R_m/I, B = R_n/J$ the analytic tensor product is the analytic algebra $A \odot B = R_{m+n}/(I, J)$, where (I, J) is the ideal generated by images of elements of I and J in R_{m+n} . For an analytic algebra A the chain complex $\text{Ca}_*(A)$ is the direct sum of analytic algebras $\text{Ca}_k(A) \doteq A^{\odot k+1}$. The chain differential ∂ is well defined in the module $\text{Ca}_*(A)$; it is the alternate sum of diagonal mappings $\partial_i : A^{\odot k+1} \rightarrow A^{\odot k}, i = 0, \dots, k$. On the level of a regular algebra $R_n \rightarrow A$ the operator $\partial_i, i = 0, \dots, k-1$ is the diagonal mapping: $c(z^0, z^1, \dots, z^k) \mapsto c(z^0, \dots, z^i, z^i, \dots, z^{k-1})$, where $z^0, \dots, z^k \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $\partial_k : c \mapsto c(z^0, \dots, z^{k-1}, z^0)$. These operators are bounded with respect to the bornology in the algebras $A^{\odot k+1}$. The homology of the complex $(\text{Ca}_*(A), \partial)$ is called *analytic Hochschild homology*; we keep the notation $\text{Hoch}_*(A, A)$.

The analytic chain complex and its homology are A -modules: the action of an element $a \in A$ is defined by $a(z) \cdot c(z^0, \dots, z^k) = a(z^0) c(z^0, \dots, z^k)$.

The cochain space $\text{Ca}^k(A)$ is defined to be the module of all bounded A -morphisms $h : A^{\odot k+1} \rightarrow A$ with respect to the standard linear bornology of analytic algebras. We call the cohomology of the complex $(\text{Ca}^*(A), \partial^*)$ the *analytic* Hochschild cohomology, for which we use the same notation $\text{Hoch}^*(A, A)$.

From now on we shall use the same notation Hoch^* , Harr^* and Q for cohomology of the analytic cochain complex $\text{Ca}^*(A)$.

Remark. Any differential operator $D : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ is a linear bounded mapping and can be extended to a bounded A -morphism $A^{\odot k+1}$ by $D(a[\cdot]) = aD([\cdot])$, $a \in A$. The inverse is not true: there are bounded mappings which are not differential operators, see Lemma 8.3 below.

Proposition 3.1 *For any formal or analytic algebra $A = R/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ as above the \mathbf{k} -space of bounded skew-symmetric cocycles is isomorphic to*

$$\text{Q}(A) \cong \text{Ker} \{J : A^n \wedge A^n \rightarrow A^{nm}\},$$

where J is the mapping generated by the Jacobian $m \times n$ -matrix $J = \{\partial_i f_j\}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Any cocycle $p \in \text{Q}(A)$ is a derivation in each arguments.*

PROOF. Take an arbitrary skew-symmetric operator p that fulfils (3) and check is a derivation with respect to each argument. Applying (3) to the arguments (b, c, a) and to (b, a, c) yields

$$ap(b, c) = bp(c, a) - p(bc, a) + p(b, ca), \quad p(ab, c) = bp(a, c) + p(b, ac) - p(b, a)c.$$

Substituting in (3) yields $0 = 2[bp(c, a) - p(bc, a) + cp(b, a)]$ that is $p(bc, a) = bp(c, a) + cp(b, a)$ that is p is a derivation in the first argument. It is also a derivation in the second argument since of symmetry. Lower p to a skew-symmetric mapping $q : R \otimes R \rightarrow A$. It is again a derivation and is *bounded* in both arguments. Therefore it can written in the form

$$q_R(a, b) = \sum_{i < j} q^{ij} (\partial_i a \partial_j b - \partial_j a \partial_i b)$$

for some $q^{ij} \in A$, (which is easy to check by means of Leibniz formula). Vice versa any such bivector field can be lifted to a mapping p , if and only if

$q_R(fa, b) \in I$ for any $a, b \in R, f \in I$. This is equivalent to the condition $q_R(f, b) \in I$ for any $b \in A$ and $f \in I$. Taking $b = z_i$ yields

$$\sum_j q^{ij} \partial_j f \in I, i = 1, \dots, n, f \in I$$

that is $J\{q^{ij}\} \in I^{nm}$ and vice versa. ►

Corollary 3.3 *Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be an arbitrary complex analytic space. The sheaf \mathcal{Q}_X of spaces $Q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is a coherent analytic sheaf.*

Compare this result with parallel fact on commutative deformations. For any analytic algebra A we have a natural isomorphism $\text{Harr}^{*+1}(A, A) \cong T^*(A)$, where T^* is the Tyurina cohomology of analytic algebra, see [9]. The ideal $I \subset R_n$ and the algebra $A = R_n/I$ are called *complete intersection*, if $\dim X = n - m$ for a set of generators f_1, \dots, f_m of I .

Proposition 3.4 *The equations $T^i(A) = 0, i > 1$ hold for an arbitrary complete intersection analytic algebra A .*

This implies that $\text{Harr}^3(A, A) = 0$ for any complete intersection algebra A and by Proposition 2.1 the mapping p_2 can be found for any Poisson bracket in A .

4 Jacobians as Poisson brackets

Take $A = R_3/(f), X = \{z : f(z) = 0\}$. The set X is a complex analytic surface, occasionally singular one. The module $Q(A)$ contains the Jacobian bracket defined on R_3 by

$$P_f(a, b) = J_x(f, a, b) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 f & \partial_2 f & \partial_3 f \\ \partial_1 a & \partial_2 a & \partial_3 a \\ \partial_1 b & \partial_2 b & \partial_3 b \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial z_i$. It can be lifted to the bracket $P_f : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, since

$$P_f(fa, b) = J_x(f, fa, b) = fJ_x(f, a, b) + aJ_x(f, f, b).$$

Proposition 4.1 *The mapping eP fulfils the Jacobi identity for any $e \in A$.*

Proof. The factor e can be eliminated replacing f by ef . First we check the identity for arbitrary $a, b, c, d \in A$

$$\sum_{a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow a} J(f, a, b) J(f, c, d) = 0$$

where the sum is taken over cyclic permutations of a, b, c . We can assume that $df \neq 0$ since the equation is purely algebraic. Then we change the variables to $y_1, y_2, y_3 = f(x)$ and cancel out the factor $\det^2 \partial y / \partial x$. Then we have $J_y(f, a, b) = \partial_1 a \partial_2 b - \partial_2 a \partial_1 b$ and the proof is straightforward. The is true for the identity

$$\sum_{a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow a} J_y(f, a, J_y(f, b, c)) = 0$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_x(f, a, J_x(f, b, c)) &= dJ_y(f, a, dJ_y(f, b, c)) \\ &= d^2 [J_y(f, a, J_y(f, b, c)) + J(f, a, d) J(f, b, c)] \end{aligned}$$

where $d \doteq \det \partial y / \partial x$. The sum over cyclic permutation of the right-hand side vanishes, since of the above arguments. ►

Example. Take the function $f(x) = 1/2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \pm x_3^2)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and consider the Poisson bracket $J(f, a, b)$. It defines the Poisson structure in the algebra of motions of solid 3-body with a fixed point or in the real quadratic cone, depending on the sign.

Let $A = R_n / (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be a complete intersection analytic algebra. Consider the Jacobian matrix

$$J(f_1, \dots, f_m, a, b) \doteq \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 f_1 & \partial_2 f_1 & \dots & \partial_n f_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \partial_1 f_m & \partial_2 f_m & \dots & \partial_n f_m \\ \partial_1 a & \partial_2 a & \dots & \partial_n a \\ \partial_1 b & \partial_2 b & \dots & \partial_n b \end{pmatrix}, a, b \in R_n$$

Let K be a subset of $[1, \dots, n]$ of $m + 2$ elements and $J_K(f_1, \dots, f_m, a, b)$ be the corresponding minor of this matrix.

Proposition 4.2 *For any set K as above the mapping*

$$P_K(a, b) = J_K(f_1, \dots, f_m, a, b)$$

can be lifted to a bilinear mapping $p_K : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$. This is a Poisson bracket. Moreover, any \mathbf{k} -linear combination of brackets p_K is a Poisson bracket. If the germ $X \setminus \cdot$ is regular any skew-symmetric 2-cocycle q is generated by such brackets:

$$q = \sum_{K \subset [1, \dots, n]} e_K P_K, \quad e_K \in A.$$

Remark. The sum q need not to satisfy the Jacobi identity, whereas each term does.

5 Globalization and obstructions

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a complex analytic space and \mathcal{Q}_X be the sheaf of germs of skew-symmetric 2-cocycles on X . Any section $p \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{Q}_X)$ can be considered as first infinitesimal of a global quantization of X that is a first order term in a global star-product of the form (1), where a, b are arbitrary elements of the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X and $p_k : \mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ are some bilinear bounded mappings. The term p_2 has to fulfil the cohomological equation

$$[p, p](a, b, c) \doteq p(p(a, b), c) - p(a, p(b, c)) = -\partial p_2(a, b, c) \quad (4)$$

There are some obstructions that can be revealed in several steps:

(i) The cohomology class of the Gerstenhaber bracket $[p, p]$ is an obstruction to existence of p_2 . The arguments of Sec. 3 show that the equation $e_3[p, p] = 0$ is satisfied if and only if p is a Poisson bracket and the equation $e_2[p, p] = 0$ is always fulfilled. The cohomology class $e_1[p, p]$ belongs for any point $x \in X$ to the Harrison cohomology $\text{Harr}^3(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. In this step, we assume that the mapping p is locally *bounded* in the sense of Sec. 4. The bracket and the cocycle $e_1[p, p]$ are also bounded. Therefore the class $\text{cl}(e_1[p, p])$ is contained in the analytic Harrison cohomology, which is isomorphic to Tyurina cohomology $T^2(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. This module is the stalk of the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ on X ; which implies that the class $\text{cl}(e_1[p, p])$ is a section of this sheaf. We denote this section by $\text{ob}^0(p) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_X))$; it can be called local obstruction to extension of p .

(ii) Suppose that the class $\text{ob}^0(p)$ vanishes. We can choose a sufficiently fine open covering $\{Z_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of X and open sets Y_α, X_α for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $Z_\alpha \Subset Y_\alpha \Subset X_\alpha$ and for any index α a symmetric bounded operator $p_{2\alpha} :$

$\Gamma(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \Gamma(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)$, that fulfils (4) for $a, b \in \Gamma(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We may assume also that the restriction morphism $\Gamma(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is injective and $Y_\beta \subset X_\alpha$ for all β such that $Y_{\alpha\beta} \doteq Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Consider the operator

$$q_{\alpha\beta} = p_{2\alpha} - p_{2\beta} : \Gamma(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \Gamma(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

We have $\partial q_{\alpha\beta} = \partial p_{2\alpha} - \partial p_{2\beta} = [p, p] - [p, p] = 0$. Following arguments of Lemma 3.2 this yields that $q_{\alpha\beta}$ is skew-symmetric derivation and therefore can be uniquely extended to a derivation of sheaves $q_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ defined in $Y_{\alpha\beta}$, that is $q_{\alpha\beta} \in \Gamma(Y_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{Q}_X)$. Uniqueness implies that $q_{\beta\alpha} = -q_{\alpha\beta}$.

(iii) Consider the Čech 1-cochain $q \doteq \{q_{\alpha\beta}\}$ on the covering $\{Y_\alpha\}$. This a cochain with values in the sheaf \mathcal{Q}_X and obviously $\delta q = 0$. Therefore the cohomology class $\text{cl}(q) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{Q}_X)$ is well defined. If we choose some mappings $p'_{2\alpha}$ instead of $p_{2\alpha}$, we get the same class $\text{cl}(q)$. If $\text{cl}(q) = 0$, we have $q_{\alpha\beta} = r_\alpha - r_\beta$. Replacing $p_{2\alpha}$ by $p'_{2\alpha} = p_{2\alpha} - r_\alpha$ yields the equation $p'_{2\alpha} = p'_{2\beta}$ in $X_{\alpha\beta}$. This implies that there exists a global mapping $p' : \mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $p'|_{X_\alpha} = p'_\alpha$. The class $\text{ob}^1(p) = \text{cl}(q)$ can be called the global first obstruction to extension of p .

Conclusion 5.1 *For an arbitrary complex analytic space X there are defined the quadratic mapping $\text{ob}^0 : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{Q}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{O}_X))$, $p \mapsto \text{cl}(e_2[p, p])$ and the homogeneous mapping $\text{ob}^1 : \text{Ker } \text{ob}^0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{Q}(X))$, $p \mapsto \text{cl}(q)$. A Poisson bracket p admits an extension to a star-product mod (λ^3) , if and only if $\text{ob}^0 p = 0$ and $\text{ob}^1 p = 0$.*

Further obstructions can be analyzed on the same lines. The obstruction theory for deformations of complex analytic spaces looks very alike with the sheaf \mathcal{Q}_X replaced by the sheaf $\mathcal{T}^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of Tyurina cohomology.

6 Poisson brackets on K3-surfaces

According to Kodaira's classification, a K3-surface is a compact analytic 2-manifold X with the trivial canonical bundle $\Omega^2(X)$. Note that for any surface the sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_X = \wedge^2 \mathcal{T}_X$ is dual to $\Omega^2(X)$ and for any K3-surface this sheaf is trivial which implies that $\dim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{Q}_X) = 1$. This means that there exists only one nontrivial global skew-symmetric mapping $p : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes$

$\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Construct this mapping and show that this is a global Poisson bracket. A simplest realization of a projective K3-surface is a quartic surface $X_4 = \{f_4 = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$. Choose homogeneous coordinates z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ and set

$$p_{ijk}(a, b) = \varepsilon_{ijkl} z_l^{-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_i f & \partial_j f & \partial_k f \\ \partial_i a & \partial_j a & \partial_k a \\ \partial_i b & \partial_j b & \partial_k b \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial z_i$ and (i, j, k, l) is a permutation of $(0, 1, 2, 3)$, ε_{ijkl} is the sign of this permutation. This mapping bracket is well defined in the domain $z_l \neq 0$.

Proposition 6.1 *The mappings J_{ijk} agree on the common domains.*

PROOF. Express the first column in the determinant by means of the equations

$$\sum z_j \partial_j a = \sum z_j \partial_j b = 0, \quad \sum z_j \partial_j f = 4f$$

We obtain $p_{ijk}(a, b) = -\varepsilon_{ijkl} z_k^{-1} p_{ijl}(a, b)$. Taking in account that $-\varepsilon_{ijkl} = \varepsilon_{ijlk}$, we find that $p_{ijk} = p_{ijl}$. \blacktriangleright

There are just two more realizations of K3-surfaces as projective complete intersections

$$X_{32} = \{f_3 = g_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4, \quad X_{222} = \{f_2 = g_2 = h_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^5$$

For the surface X_{32} we define the bracket by means of homogeneous coordinates z_0, \dots, z_4 :

$$p_{ijkl}(a, b) = \varepsilon z_m^{-1} \frac{\partial(f, g, a, b)}{\partial(z_i, z_j, z_k, z_l)},$$

where (i, j, k, l, m) is a permutation of $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and ε is the sign of the permutation. For the surface X_{222} we take the similar bilinear form $p_K(a, b) = J_K(f, g, h, a, b)$. By the same arguments we check that the global bilinear mapping $p_X : \mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is well defined in both cases.

Corollary 6.2 *The mapping p is a global Poisson bracket for any surface $X = X_4, X_{32}, X_{222}$ as above. The first obstruction vanishes for this bracket.*

The first fact follows from Proposition 4.2. The second one is a corollary of the equations $\mathcal{T}^2(X) = 0$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, since of arguments of Sec. 5. Note that any K3-surface which is a projective complete intersection belongs to one of the above types, whereas these types contain also singular algebraic surfaces for special choice of polynomials f, g, h . The above formulae are well defined anyway.

7 Quantization and deformation together

The above examples have a special feature. First remind that the Poisson bracket is nothing else as an infinitesimal quantization of the family of $K3$ -surfaces X with the base D_2 , whose support is one point \cdot and the structure algebra is $\mathcal{O}(D_2) = R_1/(\lambda^3)$. This means just that the mapping p_2 can be found. In the same time, the family $\{X\}$ itself is a flat deformation in the category of compact complex spaces for all three types $X = X_4, X_{32}, X_{222}$ of algebraic surfaces. The deformation parameters are just the coefficients of the polynomials f, g, h respectively. The coefficients of f, g, h run over the corresponding projective spaces. For surfaces of types X_{32} and X_{222} the coefficients must avoid some Zariski closed subspaces, where the dimension of the common set of zero jumps up. The total space of coefficients is redundant: one can take any subspace S that is transversal to orbits of the group of projective transformation acting on the polynomials. The minimal local subspace S is an open subset in \mathbb{C}^{19} . The join of the deformation and of the quantization yields an associative deformation of X with base $S \times D_2$.

Note that the above family $\{X\}$ is versal in neither of the cases, since $\dim T^1(X) = 20$ and the base of a minimal versal (commutative) deformation of X is of dimension 20. Deformation of an algebraic surface X in one special direction is still $K3$, but is no more algebraic surface. The Poisson bracket p as above must have an extension to this versal deformation, but no explicit formula is known.

8 Calculation of (co)homology of analytic algebras

We prove here the following statements:

Theorem 8.1 *For an arbitrary analytic algebra A and any $n \geq 0$ the analytic (co)homology A -module $\text{Hoch}_n(A, A)$ and $\text{Hoch}^n(A, A)$ has finite set of generators.*

Moreover, for any complex analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) the sheaves $\text{Hoch}_n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\text{Hoch}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of analytic (co)homology are coherent for $n \geq 0$.

PROOF. The case $n = 0$ is trivial since the (co)homology is isomorphic to A ; we assume now that $n > 0$. The module of differentials on an analytic

algebra A is defined to be $\Omega(A) = \Delta/\Delta^2$, where Δ is the ideal in the algebra $\text{Ca}_1(A) = A^{\odot 2}$ that consists of elements a such that $\partial_0 c(z) \doteq c(z, z) = 0$; Δ^2 is the square of this ideal. For an arbitrary $a \in \text{Ca}_1(A)$ the image of $a(z, w) - a(z, z)$ in $\Omega(A)$ is denoted by da . In algebraic terms, we can write $da = a - \sigma \partial_0 a \pmod{\Delta^2}$, where $\sigma b = b[1]$, $b \in \text{Ca}_0(A)$. The ideal Δ^2 is contained in the image of ∂ , since $ab = \partial c$ for any $a, b \in \Delta$ and $c(z^0, z^1, z^2) = -a(z^0, z^1)b(z^0, z^2)$. Therefore there is a morphism $\Omega(A) \rightarrow \text{Hoch}_1(A, A)$.

A free graded commutative analytic algebra $B = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} B^k$ is, by definition, a free graded commutative extension $A[e_1, e_2, \dots]$ of an analytic algebra A by means of homogeneous elements e_1, e_2, \dots of strictly positive degrees such that for any k the number of elements of degree k is finite. Each term B^k is A -module of finite type. The above definitions are generalized for the graded case; the chain and cochain complexes acquire addition grading.

We formulate a version of the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem [7] for graded analytic algebras. For an arbitrary n , there is the canonical morphism of B -modules $\omega_n : \wedge^n \Omega(B) \rightarrow \text{Ca}_n(B)$ defined for $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Delta(B)$ by

$$db_1 \wedge \dots \wedge db_n \rightarrow \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (-1)^{\varepsilon(\pi)} [b_{\pi(1)} | \dots | b_{\pi(n)}]. \quad (5)$$

The sum is taken over all permutations π and $\varepsilon(\pi) = \sum |b_{\pi(i)}| |b_{\pi(j)}| + 1$, where the sum is taken over all pairs $i < j$ such that $\pi(i) > \pi(j)$ and $|b|$ means the degree of b . We read the bracket as follows

$$[b_1 | \dots | b_n](z^0, \dots, z^n) = b_1(z^0, z^1) \dots b_n(z^0, z^n)$$

when we interpret elements b_1, \dots, b_n as functions of coordinates $z^j, j = 1, \dots, n$ evaluated in \mathbb{C}^n by means of a surjection $R_n \rightarrow A$ and as polynomials of homogeneous generators e of the algebra B . We have

$$\partial [b_1 | \dots | b_n] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i [b_1 | \dots | b_i b_{i+1} | \dots | b_n]$$

and each term appear twice in the right-hand side with opposite signs which implies that the sum belongs to $\text{Ker } \partial$. It is contained in $\text{Im } \partial$, if $b_j \in \Delta^2(B)$ for, at least, one j . Therefore (5) generates the morphism of B -modules

$$\omega_n : \wedge_B^n \Omega(B) \rightarrow \text{Hoch}_n(B, B) \quad (6)$$

The mapping (6) induces by duality the morphism

$$\omega^n : \text{Hoch}^n(B, B) \rightarrow \wedge_B^n \text{Der}(B, B), \quad (7)$$

where $\text{Der}(B, B) = \text{Hom}_B(\Omega(B), B)$ is the module of derivations $t : B \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 8.2 *Let B be the free graded commutative algebra over a regular analytic algebra R_n . Then the morphisms (6) and (7) are bijections for any $n \geq 1$, where $\text{Hoch}_*(B, B)$, $\text{Hoch}^*(B, B)$ mean the analytic Hochschild (co)homology as above.*

We skip a proof, which is inspired by arguments of the original paper [7].

PROOF of Theorem 8.1. Let $A = R/I$ be an analytic algebra and (R^*, ρ) be its Tyurina resolvent. This means that $R^* = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} R^k$ is a free graded commutative analytic algebra; The differential ρ is a derivation in R^* of degree -1 , that is $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)b + (-1)^{|a|} a\rho(b)$. Any analytic algebra has a Tyurina resolvent, see [9] (where the grading in R is taken negative). Take the analytic chain complex $\text{Ca}_*(R^*)$ of the graded analytic algebra R^* and define the differential ρ which is defined on products of homogeneous elements as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a_0 [a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n]) &= \rho(a_0) [a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n] + (-1)^{|a_0|} a_0 [\rho(a_1) | a_2 | \dots | a_n] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|a_0| + |a_1|} a_0 [a_1 | \rho(a_2) | \dots | a_n] \\ &\quad + \dots + (-1)^{|a_0| + |a_1| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|} a_0 [a_1 | a_2 | \dots | \rho(a_n)], \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_n \in R^*$. This operator ρ has extension to the analytic tensor product $\text{Ca}_n(R^*) = R^{*\odot(n+1)}$; this extension is a bounded differential for any $n \geq 1$. The grading $|a_0 [a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n]| = \sum |a_j|$ in the tensor product induces a grading in $\text{Ca}_n(R^*)$.

Lemma 8.3 *The complex $(\text{Ca}_n(R^*), \rho)$ is exact and splits in positive degrees, and we have*

$$H_0(\text{Ca}_n(R^*), \rho) \cong \text{Ca}_n(A)$$

See [8] for a proof. Introduce the total grading $a_0 [a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_n]| = |a_1| + \dots + |a_n| + n$ in this complex $\text{Ca}_*(R^*)$. The chain differential ∂ has degree -1 as well as ρ . These differentials commute, since ρ is a derivation. We have a bicomplex $(\text{Ca}_*(R^*), \partial, \rho)$, where both grading are positive. There are two

spectral sequences E, E' that converge to the homology of the total complex $\text{Tot}(\text{Ca}_*(R^*))$.

The sequence E is generated by the filtration $F^n = \bigoplus_{m \leq n} \text{Ca}_m(R^*)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We have $E_0 = \text{Ca}_*(R^*)$, $d_0 = \rho$; by Lemma 8.3 $E_1^{*0} \cong \text{Ca}_*(A)$ and $E_1^{*k} = 0$ for $k > 0$. This yields $E_\infty = E_2 \cong H_*(\text{Ca}_*(A), \partial) = \text{Hoch}_*(A, A)$. Take another filtration $F'^k = \bigoplus_{i \leq k} \text{Ca}_*(R^*)^i$, where $\text{Ca}_*(R^*)^i$ means the subspace of elements a , $|a| = i$. Let E' be the corresponding spectral sequence. We have again $E'_1 = \text{Ca}_*(R^*)$, but $d_1 = \partial$. It follows that $E'_2 = H_*(\text{Ca}_*(R^*), \partial)$. By Theorem 8.2 we have

$$E_2'^n = H_n(\text{Ca}_*(R^*), \partial) \cong \wedge^n \Omega(R^*), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The differential d_2 in E'_2 is generated by the differential ρ :

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(a_0 da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_n) &= \rho(a_0) da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_n \\ &+ (-1)^{|a_0|} a_0 d(\rho a_1) \wedge da_2 \wedge \dots \wedge da_n + \dots + (-1)^{|a_0| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|} a_0 da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\rho(a_n). \end{aligned}$$

The module $\wedge^n \Omega(R^*)$ is finitely generated in each grading and the differential d_2 is a morphism of A -modules, as well as d_3, \dots . Therefore the term E'_∞ is finitely generated in each degree. The equation $E'_\infty \cong H_*(\text{Ca}_*(A), \partial)$ implies the statement of the theorem for the analytic Hochschild homology $\text{Hoch}_*(A, A)$.

To calculate the analytic cohomology we consider the bicomplex $\text{Ca}^*(R^*)$, where the first differential ∂^* is the standard one and the second differential ρ^* is defined by

$$\rho^* h(a_1 | \dots | a_n) = \rho(h(a_1 | \dots | a_n)) - (-1)^{|h|} h(\rho(a_1 | \dots | a_n)),$$

where $|h| = |h(a)| - |a|$ means that degree of an operator $h : \text{Ca}_*(R^*) \rightarrow R^*$. The differentials commute and there are two spectral sequences that converges to the same limit. The first one is induced by the grading $F^n = \bigoplus_{m \geq n} \text{Ca}^m(R^*)$. We have $E_0 \cong \text{Ca}^*(R^*)$ and the differential d_0 is generated by ρ^* . It follows from Lemma 8.3 that $E_1^{*0} \cong \text{Ca}^*(A)$, $d_1 = \partial^*$ and $E_1^{*k} = 0$, $k \neq 0$. Therefore $E_2 \cong \text{Hoch}^*(A, A)$. The second filtration is formed by the subcomplexes

$$F'^k = \bigoplus_{i \leq k} \text{Ca}^*(R^*)^i, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the superscript means the degree as above. We have $E'_1 \cong \text{Ca}^*(R^*)$ and $d_2 = \partial^*$. The term E'_2 is isomorphic to the analytic cohomology $\text{Hoch}^*(R^*, R^*)$.

According to Theorem 8.2, we have an isomorphism of R^* -modules $E_2'^* \cong \wedge^* \text{Der}(R^*, R^*)$ and d_2 is a differential $\wedge^* \text{Der}(R^*, R^*)$ generated by the morphism $d\rho^*$ which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho^*(t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n)[a_1 | \dots | a_n] &= \rho(t_1(a_1) \dots t_n(a_n)) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|t|} (t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n)(\rho[a_1 | \dots | a_n]), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $|t| \doteq |t_1| + \dots + |t_n|$. By means of Lemma 8.3 we can define a homotopy of the complex $(\wedge^* \text{Der}(R^*, R^*), d_2)$ to the complex $(\wedge^* \text{Der}(R^*, A), d\rho^*)$, where the differential $d\rho^*$ looks like (8), but the first term in the right-hand side vanishes. This complex is A -module of finite type in each degree. Therefore $E_3' \cong H_*(\wedge^* \text{Der}(R^*, A), d\rho^*)$ is also a A -module of finite type in each degree. The same true for E_∞' , which completes the proof. \blacktriangleright

Remark 1. The (co)homology can be calculated by means of the respective spectral sequences (E_2', d_2) . In particular, the Harrison cohomology of A is isomorphic to the cohomology of the subcomplex of $\text{Ca}^*(A)$ of cochains vanishing on all shuffle-products. It is isomorphic to the Tyurina cohomology which yields the injective mapping $T^*(A) \rightarrow \text{Hoch}^{*+1}(A, A)$, ([8]). It can be shown that the image of this mapping coincides with the contribution of $E_2^{0*} \cong H^*(\text{Ca}^1(A), \rho)$ in the cohomology of the total complex $\text{Ca}^*(R^*)$.

Remark 2. Theorem 8.1 can be generalized for analytic homology and cohomology of an analytic algebra A with values in an arbitrary A -module M of finite type.

Remark 3. The similar statements hold for the algebraic Hochschild (co)homology of any polynomial algebra $A = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ and for any formal algebra $\mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/I$. Calculations for complete intersection polynomial algebras are done by Frønsdal and Kontsevich, [5].

References

- [1] Barr, M.: Harrison cohomology, Hochschild cohomology and triples, *J. Algebra* **8** (1968) 314-323.
- [2] Berezin, F. A.: General concept of quantization, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **40** (1975) 153-174.
- [3] Berezin F.A.: Quantization in complex symmetric domains, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **39** (1975), N2, 363-402. (English translation: *Math. USSR-Izv.* **8**(5), 1109-1165 (1975)).

- [4] Dito, G., Sternheimer, D.: Deformation quantization: genesis, developments and metamorphoses, ArXiv:math.GA/0201168.
- [5] Frønsdal, Ch., Kontsevich, M.: Deformation on curve, ArXiv:math-ph/0507021.
- [6] Gerstenhaber, M., Schack, S. D.: A Hodge-type decomposition for commutative algebra cohomology, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **48** (1987) 229-247.
- [7] Hochschild, G., Kostant, B., Rosenberg, A.: Differential forms on regular affine algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962) 383-408.
- [8] Palamodov, V. P.: Cohomology of analytic algebras, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. N2 (1983) 1-61.
- [9] Palamodov, V. P.: Deformation of Complex Spaces, Encyclopaedia of Math. Science **10** (1986), pp. 123-222 Springer Verlag.
- [10] Sternheimer, D.: Quantization: deformation and/or functor, Lett. Math. Phys. **74s** (2005) 293-309.