EQUILIBRIUM POINTS OF LOGARITHMIC POTENTIALS ON CONVEX DOMAINS

J.K. LANGLEY

ABSTRACT. Let D be a convex domain in \mathbb{C} . Let $a_k > 0$ be summable constants and let $z_k \in D$. If the z_k converge sufficiently rapidly to $\eta \in \partial D$ from within an appropriate Stolz angle then the function $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k/(z-z_k)$ has infinitely many zeros in D . An example shows that the hypotheses on the z_k are not redundant, and that two recently advanced conjectures are false. M.S.C. 2000 classification: 30D35, 31A05, 31B05.

Keywords: critical points, potentials, zeros of meromorphic functions.

1. Introduction

A number of recent papers [\[4,](#page-5-0) [5,](#page-5-1) [9,](#page-5-2) [10\]](#page-5-3) have concerned zeros of functions

(1)
$$
f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{z - z_k},
$$

and in particular the following conjecture [\[4\]](#page-5-0).

Conjecture [1](#page-0-0).1 ([\[4\]](#page-5-0)). Let f be given by (1), where $a_k > 0$ and

(2)
$$
z_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} z_k = \infty, \quad \sum_{z_k \neq 0} \left| \frac{a_k}{z_k} \right| < \infty.
$$

Then f has infinitely many zeros in \mathbb{C} .

The assumptions of Conjecture [1.1](#page-0-1) imply that f is meromorphic in the plane and, assuming that all z_k are non-zero, $f(z)$ is the complex conjugate of the gradient of the associated subharmonic potential $u(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \log |1 - z/z_k|$. Moreover, Conjecture [1.1](#page-0-1) has a physical interpretation in terms of the existence of equilibrium points of the electrostatic field arising from a system of infinite wires, each carrying a charge density a_k and perpendicular to the complex plane at z_k [\[8,](#page-5-4) p.10]. Conjecture [1.1](#page-0-1) is known to be true when $\sum_{|z_k|\leq r} a_k = o(\sqrt{r})$ as $r \to \infty$ [\[4,](#page-5-0) Theorem 2.10] (see also [\[6,](#page-5-5) p.327]), and when $\inf \{a_k\} > 0$ [\[5\]](#page-5-1) (see also [\[9\]](#page-5-2)).

An analogue of Conjecture [1.1](#page-0-1) for a disc was advanced in [\[3,](#page-5-6) Conjecture 2].

Conjecture [1](#page-0-0).2 ([\[3\]](#page-5-6)). Let $0 < \rho < \infty$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let f be given by (1), where

 ∞

(3)
$$
z_k \in \mathbb{C}
$$
, $|z_k| < \rho$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} z_k = \rho e^{i\theta}$, $a_k > 0$, $\sum_{k=1} a_k < \infty$.

Then f has infinitely many zeros in $|z| < \rho$.

If f satisfies the assumptions of Conjecture [1.2](#page-0-2) then $\overline{f} = \nabla u$ in $|z| < \rho$, where $u(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \log |z - z_k|$. Obviously there is no loss of generality in assuming

that $\rho = 1$ and $\theta = 0$ in Conjecture [1.2.](#page-0-2) Writing

(4)
$$
w = \frac{1}{1-z}
$$
, $w_k = \frac{1}{1-z_k}$, $f(z) = wF(w)$,

where

(5)
$$
F(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k w_k}{w - w_k},
$$

it is easy to verify that Conjecture [1.2](#page-0-2) is equivalent to the following.

Conjecture 1.3. Let F be given by (5) (5) (5) , where

(6)
$$
w_k \in \mathbb{C}
$$
, $\text{Re } w_k > \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} w_k = \infty$, $a_k > 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$.

Then F has infinitely many zeros in $\text{Re } w > 1/2$.

With the assumptions [\(6\)](#page-1-1), the function $F(w)$ in [\(5\)](#page-1-0) is evidently meromorphic in the plane. In §[2](#page-2-0) an example satisfying [\(5\)](#page-1-0) and [\(6\)](#page-1-1) will be constructed, such that $F(w)$ has no zeros in C. Thus Conjectures [1.2](#page-0-2) and [1.3](#page-1-2) are false, and there is no direct analogue of Conjecture [1.1](#page-0-1) for the unit disc.

On the other hand the following theorem shows in particular that if the z_k converge to $\rho e^{i\theta}$ sufficiently rapidly, and if all but finitely many z_k lie in a sufficiently small Stolz angle, then the conclusion of Conjecture [1.2](#page-0-2) does hold. It is convenient to state and prove the result when the z_k lie in a convex domain D and the boundary point $\rho e^{i\theta}$ is 1. There then exists (see §[4\)](#page-5-7) an open half-plane H such that $D \subseteq H$ and 1 lies on the boundary ∂H , and there is no loss of generality in assuming that H is the half-plane Re $z < 1$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $D \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } z < 1\}$ be a convex domain such that $1 \in \partial D$. Let f be given by (1) (1) (1) , where

(7)
$$
z_k \in D, \quad a_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty.
$$

Assume that 1 is a limit point of the set $\{z_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$, and that there exist real numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

(8)
$$
\sum_{|1-z_k|\leq \varepsilon} |1-z_k|^\tau < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad \tau > \lambda,
$$

and

(9)
$$
\sup\{|\arg(1-z_k)| : k \in \mathbb{N}, |1-z_k| \leq \varepsilon\} < C(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda}.
$$

Then there exists a sequence (η_j) of zeros of f satisfying $\eta_j \in D$, $\lim_{j\to\infty} \eta_j = 1$.

Note that [\(8\)](#page-1-3) implies that $\{z_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ has no limit points z in the punctured disc A given by $0 < |1-z| < \varepsilon$, and that f is meromorphic on A. Moreover, [\(9\)](#page-1-4) is obviously satisfied if $\lambda < 1$.

2. A counterexample to Conjecture [1.3](#page-1-2)

Let

(10)
$$
g(w) = \frac{1}{w(w-2)(e^{w-1}+1)}.
$$

Then g has no zeros, but has simple poles at 0, 2 and

(11)
$$
u_k = 1 + (2k+1)\pi i, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Straightforward computations give

(12)
$$
\text{Res}(g, 0) = \frac{-1}{2(e^{-1} + 1)} = -a, \quad \text{Res}(g, 2) = \frac{1}{2(e + 1)} = b,
$$

and, using [\(11\)](#page-2-1),

(13)
$$
\operatorname{Res}(g, u_k) = \frac{-1}{u_k(u_k - 2)} = \frac{-1}{(u_k - 1)^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2 \pi^2 + 1} = c_k.
$$

Then b and the c_k evidently satisfy

(14)
$$
b > 0, \quad c_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k < \infty.
$$

Next, let

(15)
$$
h(w) = -\frac{a}{w} + \frac{b}{w-2} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_k}{w - u_k}, \quad L(w) = h(w) - g(w).
$$

By (10) , (11) , (12) , (13) and (14) the function $h(w)$ is meromorphic in the plane, and $L(w)$ is an entire function.

Let m be a large positive integer, let $R = 4m\pi$, and use c to denote positive constants independent of m . Then simple estimates give

(16)
$$
|g(w)| \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \quad \text{for} \quad |w-1| = R
$$

and, as $m \to \infty$,

(17)
$$
|h(w)| \leq \frac{c}{R} + c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \geq m} c_k + c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| < m} \frac{c_k}{R} = o(1) \quad \text{for} \quad |w - 1| = R.
$$

Combining [\(16\)](#page-2-6) and [\(17\)](#page-2-7) shows that $L(w) \equiv 0$ in [\(15\)](#page-2-8), so that $h = g$ has no zeros, and applying the residue theorem in conjunction with [\(16\)](#page-2-6) now gives

(18)
$$
a = b + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k.
$$

Hence $h(w)$ may be expressed using [\(18\)](#page-2-9) in the form

$$
h(w) = b\left(\frac{1}{w-2} - \frac{1}{w}\right) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \left(\frac{1}{w - u_k} - \frac{1}{w}\right)
$$

(19)
$$
= \frac{1}{w} \left(\frac{2b}{w-2} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_k u_k}{w - u_k} \right).
$$

By [\(11\)](#page-2-1), [\(14\)](#page-2-5) and [\(19\)](#page-2-10) the function $F(w) = wh(w)$ may be written in the form

(20)
$$
F(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k v_k}{w - v_k}, \quad \text{Re } v_k \ge 1, \quad v_k \to \infty, \quad d_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k < \infty.
$$

Here F evidently satisfies the requirements of [\(5\)](#page-1-0) and [\(6\)](#page-1-1), but F has no zeros in \mathbb{C} , since h has no zeros and $h(0) = \infty$.

Remark. It is conjectured further in [\[3,](#page-5-6) Conjecture 6] that if f satisfies [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-3) with $a_k\overline{z}_k > 0$ for each k then f has infinitely many zeros in C. The example [\(20\)](#page-2-11), with $a_k = d_k v_k$ and $a_k \overline{v}_k = d_k |v_k|^2 > 0$, shows that this conjecture is also false.

3. An auxiliary result needed for Theorem [1.1](#page-1-5)

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-5) rests upon the following proposition, which concerns functions in the plane of the form [\(5\)](#page-1-0), and uses standard notation from [\[7,](#page-5-8) p.42].

Proposition 3.1. Let $0 < \sigma \leq 1$. Let F be given by ([5](#page-1-0)), where

(21)
$$
w_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{Re } w_k > 0, \qquad a_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty.
$$

Assume that the set $\{w_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is unbounded and that there exist real numbers $R > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

(22)
$$
\sum_{|w_k| \ge R} |w_k|^{-\tau} < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad \tau > \lambda,
$$

and

(23)
$$
s = \sup\{|\arg w_k| : k \in \mathbb{N}, |w_k| \ge R\} < C(\lambda, \sigma) = \frac{2}{\lambda} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2}}.
$$

Then there exists a transcendental meromorphic function G with

(24)
$$
F(w) = G(w)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad w \to \infty,
$$

and the Nevanlinna deficiency $\delta(0, G)$ of the zeros of G satisfies $\delta(0, G) < \sigma$. In particular, $F(w)$ has a sequence of zeros tending to infinity.

The zero-free example of [\(20\)](#page-2-11) has $\lambda = 1$ and $\delta(0, F) = \sigma = 1$, and all its poles lie in $\text{Re } w \geq 1$, so that Proposition [3.1](#page-3-0) is essentially sharp.

To prove Proposition [3.1,](#page-3-0) assume that F is as in the statement of Proposition [3.1.](#page-3-0) It follows from [\(22\)](#page-3-1) that the set $\{w_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ has no limit points w with $R < |w| < \infty$. In particular, F is meromorphic in the region $2R \le |w| < \infty$ with an essential singularity at infinity. The existence of a transcendental meromorphic function G satisfying (24) then follows from a result of Valiron [\[12,](#page-5-9) p.15] (see also $[2, p.89]$ $[2, p.89]$. In particular, G is constructed $[12]$ so that F and G have the same poles and zeros in $|w| \geq 2R$. If $|w| \geq 4R$ then [\(21\)](#page-3-3) gives

$$
|F(w)| \le |F_1(w)| + O(1), \quad F_1(w) = \sum_{|w_k| \ge 2R} \frac{a_k w_k}{w - w_k},
$$

so that

$$
m(r, G) \le m(r, F_1) + O(1) = O(1)
$$

as $r \to \infty$, by [\[6,](#page-5-5) p.327]. Since the poles w_k of G have exponent of convergence at most λ by [\(22\)](#page-3-1), it follows that G has lower order $\mu \leq \lambda$.

Choose s_0, s_1, s_2 with

(25)
$$
s < s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \min\{\pi, C(\lambda, \sigma)\},\
$$

where s is as in [\(23\)](#page-3-4) and satisfies $s \leq \pi/2$ by [\(21\)](#page-3-3). The proof of Proposition [3.1](#page-3-0) requires the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. The function F satisfies $\liminf_{r \in \mathbb{R}, r \to +\infty} r|F(-r)| > 0$.

Proof. Let $r > 0$ and write $w_k = u_k + iv_k$ with u_k and v_k real. Let

$$
p_k(r) = \text{Re}\left(\frac{w_k}{r + w_k}\right) = \frac{u_k(r + u_k) + v_k^2}{(r + u_k)^2 + v_k^2}.
$$

Then [\(21\)](#page-3-3) gives $p_k(r) > 0$ and there exists $d > 0$ such that $p_1(r) > d/r$ as $r \to \infty$. Hence, again as $r \to \infty$,

$$
r|F(-r)| \ge -r \text{Re } F(-r) = r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k p_k(r) \ge r a_1 p_1(r) > a_1 d.
$$

Lemma 3.2. There exists $M_1 > 0$ such that $|F(w)| \leq M_1$ for all large w lying outside the region $|\arg w| < s_0$.

Proof. This follows from (21) , (23) and (25) , since there exists a positive constant M_2 such that $|w - w_k| \ge M_2 |w_k|$ for all such w and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of Proposition [3.1](#page-3-0) may now be completed using Lemmas [3.1](#page-4-0) and [3.2.](#page-4-1) Assume that $\delta(0, G) \geq \sigma$. Then Baernstein's spread theorem [\[1\]](#page-5-11) gives a sequence $r_m \to \infty$ and, for each m, a subset I_m of the circle $|w| = r_m$, of angular measure at least

$$
\min\left\{2\pi,\frac{4}{\mu}\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\right\}-o(1)\ge\min\{2\pi,2C(\lambda,\sigma)\}-o(1)\ge2s_2,
$$

using (23) and (25) , and such that

(26)
$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\max\{\log |G(w)| : w \in I_m\}}{\log r_m} = -\infty.
$$

Let m be large, and consider the function $v(w) = \log |F(w)|$, which is subharmonic on the domain

 $\Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : r_m/4 < |w| < r_m, s_0 < \arg w < 2\pi - s_0\}.$

Then v is bounded above on Ω , by Lemma [3.2.](#page-4-1) But the intersection J_m of I_m with the arc $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = r_m, s_1 < \arg z < 2\pi - s_1\}$ has angular measure at least $2(s_2 - s_1)$, so that standard estimates for the harmonic measure of J_m at $-r_m/2$ now give

(27)
$$
\omega(-r_m/2, J_m, \Omega) \ge M_3 > 0,
$$

where M_3 is independent of m. Since [\(24\)](#page-3-2) implies that [\(26\)](#page-4-2) holds with G replaced by F , combining Lemma [3.2](#page-4-1) with [\(27\)](#page-4-3) and the two-constants theorem [\[11,](#page-5-12) p.42] leads to

(28)
$$
r_m F(-r_m/2) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.
$$

But [\(28\)](#page-4-4) contradicts Lemma [3.1,](#page-4-0) and this completes the proof of Proposition [3.1.](#page-3-0)

6 J.K. LANGLEY

4. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-5)

Assume that f and D satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-5) Define F using the transformations (4) and (5) . Then F satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition [3.1](#page-3-0) with $R = 1/\varepsilon$ and $\sigma = 1$. Thus F has a sequence of zeros tending to infinity, and so f has a sequence (η_j) of zeros with $\lim_{j\to\infty}\eta_j=1$.

It remains only to show that such a sequence (η_j) exists with, in addition, $\eta_j \in D$, and this is done by a standard argument of Gauss-Lucas type. Let $\eta = \eta_j$ with j large, and assume that $\eta \notin D$. Since D is convex the supremum and infimum of $\arg(z - \eta)$ on D differ by at most π . Hence there exist an open half-plane H, with $D \subseteq H$ and $\eta \in \partial H$, and a linear transformation $u = T(z) = (z - \eta)/a$ mapping H onto Re u > 0. Writing $u_k = T(z_k)$ then gives

$$
0 = \operatorname{Re}\left(af(\eta)\right) = -\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{u_k}\right) < 0.
$$

This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-5)

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baernstein, Proof of Edrei's spread conjecture, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 26 (1973), 418-434.
- [2] L. Bieberbach, Theorie der gew¨ohnlichen Differentialgleichungen, 2. Auflage, Springer, Berlin, 1965.
- [3] J. Borcea and M. Peña, Equilibrium points of logarithmic potentials induced by positive charge distributions I: generalised de Bruijn-Springer relations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [4] J. Clunie, A. Eremenko and J. Rossi, On equilibrium points of logarithmic and Newtonian potentials, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 47 (1993), 309-320.
- [5] A. Eremenko, J.K. Langley and J. Rossi, On the zeros of mer omorphic functions of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{z-z_k}$, J. d'Analyse Math. 62 (1994), 271-286.
- [6] A.A. Gol'dberg and I.V. Ostrovskii, Distribution of values of meromorphic functions, Nauka, Moscow 1970.
- [7] W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [8] O.D. Kellogg, Foundations of potential theory, Springer, Berlin 1967.
- [9] J.K. Langley and J. Rossi, Meromorphic functions of the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/(z - z_n)$, Rev Mat. Iberoamericana 20 (2004), 285-314.
- [10] J.K. Langley and John Rossi, Critical points of certain discrete potentials, Complex Variables 49 (2004), 621-637.
- [11] R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, 2. Auflage, Springer, Berlin, 1953.
- [12] G. Valiron, Lectures on the general theory of integral functions, Edouard Privat, Toulouse, 1923.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK E-mail address: jkl@maths.nott.ac.uk