

Bimodules associated to vertex operator algebras

Chongying Dong¹

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Cuipo Jiang²

Department of Mathematics

Shanghai Jiaotong University

Shanghai 200030 China

Abstract

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and $m, n \geq 0$. We construct an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule $A_{n,m}(V)$ which determines the action of V from the level m subspace to level n subspace of an admissible V -module. We show how to use $A_{n,m}(V)$ to construct naturally admissible V -modules from $A_m(V)$ -modules. We also determine the structure of $A_{n,m}(V)$ when V is rational. 2000MSC:17B69

1 Introduction

The representation theory for a vertex operator algebra [B], [FLM] has been studied largely in terms of representation theory for various associative algebras associated to the vertex operator algebra (see [Z], [KW], [DLM2]-[DLM4], [MT], [DZ], [X]). A sequence of associative algebras $A_n(V)$ for $n \geq 0$ was introduced in [DLM3] to deal with the first $n+1$ homogeneous subspaces of an admissible module. These algebras extend and generalize the associative algebra $A(V)$ constructed in [Z]. The main idea of $A_n(V)$ theory is how to use the first few homogeneous subspaces of a module to determine the whole module. From this point of view, the $A_n(V)$ theory is an analogue of the highest weight module theory for semisimple Lie algebras in the field of vertex operator algebra.

Let $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ be an admissible V -module with $M(0) \neq 0$. (cf. [DLM2]). Then each $M(k)$ is an $A_n(V)$ -module for $k \leq n$ [DLM3]. On the other hand, given an $A_n(V)$ -module U which cannot factor through $A_{n-1}(V)$ one can construct a Verma type admissible V -module $\bar{M}(U)$ such that $\bar{M}(U)(n) = U$. Also V is rational if and only if $A_n(V)$ is semisimple for all n . So the collection of associative algebras $A_n(V)$ determine the representation theory of V in some sense. However, $A_n(V)$ preserves each homogeneous subspace $M(m)$ for $m \leq n$ and cannot map $M(s)$ to $M(t)$ if $s \neq t$. The goals of the present paper are to alleviate this situation.

¹Supported by NSF grants, China NSF grant 10328102 and a Faculty research grant from the University of California at Santa Cruz.

²Supported in part by China NSF grant 10571119.

Given two nonnegative integers m, n we will construct an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule $A_{n,m}(V)$ with the property that for any $A_m(V)$ -module U which cannot factor through $A_{m-1}(V)$ one can associate a Verma type admissible V -module $M(U) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M(U)(n)$ such that $M(U)(n) = A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$. The action of V on $M(U)(n)$ is determined by a canonical bimodule homomorphism from $A_{p,n}(V) \otimes_{A_n(V)} A_{n,m}(V)$ to $A_{p,m}(V)$. Also, for a given admissible V -module $W = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} W(k)$ with $W(0) \neq 0$, there is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule homomorphism from $A_{n,m}(V)$ to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W(m), W(n))$. So the collection of $A_{n,m}(V)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ determine the action of vertex operator algebra V on its admissible module W completely. This, in fact, is our original motivation to define $A_{n,m}(V)$.

If V is a rational vertex operator algebra, then V has only finitely many irreducible admissible V -modules up to isomorphism and each irreducible V -module is ordinary (see [DLM2]). In this case we let W^1, \dots, W^s be the inequivalent irreducible admissible V -modules such that $W^i(0) \neq 0$. Then $A_n(V)$ is the direct sum of full matrix algebras $A_n(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W^i(k))$. We show in this paper that if V is rational then

$$A_{n,m}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W^i(m-l), W^i(n-l)) \right).$$

The structure of $A_{n,m}(V)$ for general V will be studied in a sequel to this paper.

We have already mentioned the $A_n(V)$ theory. In fact, the Verma type admissible V -module $M(U)$ has been constructed and denoted by $\bar{M}(U)$ in [DLM3] using the idea of induced module in Lie theory. But our work in this paper leads to a strengthening of this old construction. While the old construction in [DLM3] was given as an abstract quotient of certain induced module for certain Lie algebras, the new construction is explicit and each homogeneous subspace $M(U)(n)$ is obvious. In the case that $U = A_m(V)$ we see immediately that $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_{n,m}(V)$ is an admissible V -module. We expect that the study of bimodules $A_{n,m}(V)$ will lead to a proof of some well known conjecture in representation theory.

The result in this paper is also related to some results obtained in [MNT] where the universal enveloping algebra of a vertex operator algebra is used instead of vertex operator algebra itself in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule $A_{n,m}(V)$ with lot of technical calculations. In Section 3 we discuss the various properties of $A_{n,m}(V)$ such as the $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule epimorphism from $A_{n,m}(V)$ to $A_{n-1,m-1}(V)$ induced from the identity map on V , isomorphism between $A_{n,m}(V)$ and $A_{m,n}(V)$ and bimodule homomorphism from $A_{n,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} A_{p,m}(V)$ to $A_{n,m}(V)$. In Section 4 we first give an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule homomorphism from $A_{n,m}(V)$ to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W(m), W(n))$ for any admissible V -module $W = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} W(k)$. We also show how to construct an admissible V -module from an $A_m(V)$ -module which cannot factor through $A_{m-1}(V)$ by using $A_{n,m}(V)$. In addition we show that $A_n(V)$ and $A_{n,n}(V)$ are the same although $A_{n,n}(V)$ as a quotient space of $A_n(V)$ seems much smaller from the definition. The explicit structure of $A_{n,m}(V)$ is determined if V is rational.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic knowledge on the representation theory such as of weak modules, admissible modules and (ordinary) modules as presented in [DLM1]-[DLM2] (also see [FLM], [LL]).

2 $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule $A_{n,m}(V)$

Let $V = (V, Y, 1, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. An associative algebra $A_n(V)$ for any nonnegative integer n has been constructed in [DLM3] to study the representation theory for vertex operator algebras (see below). For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we will construct an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule $A_{n,m}(V)$ in this section. It is hard to see in this section why $A_{n,m}(V)$ is so defined and the motivation for defining $A_{n,m}(V)$ comes from the representation theory of V (see Section 4 below).

For homogeneous $u \in V$, $v \in V$ and $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define the product $*_{m,p}^n$ on V as follows

$$u *_{m,p}^n v = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{m+n-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+n-p+i+1}} Y(u, z)v.$$

If $n = p$, we denote $*_{m,p}^n$ by $\bar{*}_m^n$, and if $m = p$, we denote $*_{m,p}^n$ by $*_m^n$, i.e.,

$$u *_m^n v = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(u, z)v,$$

$$u \bar{*}_m^n v = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z)v.$$

The products $u *_m^n v$ and $u \bar{*}_m^n v$ will induce the right $A_m(V)$ -module and left $A_n(V)$ -module structure on $A_{n,m}(V)$ which will be defined later.

If $m = n$, then $u *_m^n v$ and $u \bar{*}_m^n v$ are equal, and have been defined in [DLM3]. As in [DLM3] we will denote the product by $u *_n v$ in this case.

Let $O'_{n,m}(V)$ be the linear span of all $u \circ_m^n v$ and $L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u$, where for homogeneous $u \in V$ and $v \in V$,

$$u \circ_m^n v = \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(u, z)v.$$

Again if $m = n$, $u \circ_m^n v$ has been defined in [DLM3] where it was denoted by $u \circ_n v$. Let $O_n(V) = O'_{n,n}(V)$ (see [DLM3]). The following theorem is obtained in [DLM3].

Theorem 2.1. *The $A_n(V)$ is an associative algebra with product $*_n$ with identity $\mathbf{1} + O_n(V)$.*

We will present more results on $A_n(V)$ and its connection with the representation theory of V from [DLM3] later on when necessary. In order to define $A_{n,m}(V)$ we need several lemmas. In the case that $m = n$ most of these lemmas have been proved in [DLM3]. But when $m \neq n$ even if the old proofs given in [DLM3] work but they are much more complicated and need a lot of modifications. Sometimes we need to find totally new proofs.

Lemma 2.2. For any $u, v \in V$, $u \circ_m^n v$ lies in $O_n(V) \bar{*}_m^n V$.

Proof: Let $u, v \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(L(-1)u) \bar{*}_m^n v &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+1+m}}{z^{m+i+1}} \frac{d}{dz} Y(u, z) v \\
&= -(wtu + m + 1) \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (m+i+1) (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m+1}}{z^{m+i+2}} Y(u, z) v \\
&= -(wtu + m + 1) \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (m+i+1) (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (m+i+1) (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+2}} Y(u, z) v
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L(-1)u + L(0)u) \bar{*}_m^n v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n i (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (m+i+1) (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+i+2}} Y(u, z) v \\
&= (n+m+1) (-1)^n \binom{n+m}{m} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(u, z) v \\
&= (n+m+1) (-1)^n \binom{n+m}{m} u \circ_m^n v.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $L(-1)u + L(0)u \in O_n(V)$. The proof is complete. \square

The next lemma is motivated by the commutator relation of vertex operators and will relate the two products $u \bar{*}_m^n v$ and $u *_{m}^n v$.

Lemma 2.3. If $u, v \in V$, $p_1, p_2, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $p_1 + p_2 - m \geq 0$, then

$$u *_{m, p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v - v *_{m, p_2}^{p_1+p_2-m} u - \operatorname{Res}_z (1+z)^{wtu-1+m-p_2} Y(u, z) v \in O'_{p_1+p_2-m, m}(V).$$

Proof: From the definition of $O'_{p_1+p_2-m, m}(V)$, one can easily verify that

$$Y(v, z)u \equiv (1+z)^{-wtu-wtv-2m+p_1+p_2} Y(u, \frac{-z}{1+z})v$$

modulo $O'_{p_1+p_2-m,m}(V)$ (cf. [Z] and [DLM2]). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
v *_{m,p_2}^{p_1+p_2-m} u &= \sum_{i=0}^{p_2} (-1)^i \binom{p_1+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtv+m}}{z^{p_1+i+1}} Y(v, z) u \\
&\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p_2} (-1)^i \binom{p_1+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtv+m}}{z^{p_1+i+1}} (1+z)^{-wtu-wtv-2m+p_1+p_2} Y\left(u, \frac{-z}{1+z}\right) v \\
&\pmod{O'_{p_1+p_2-m,m}(V)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p_2} (-1)^{p_1} \binom{p_1+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+i-1+m-p_2}}{z^{p_1+i+1}} Y(u, z) v.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of $u *_{m,p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v$. Then

$$u *_{m,p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v - v *_{m,p_2}^{p_1+p_2-m} u \equiv \operatorname{Res}_z A_{p_1,p_2}(z) (1+z)^{wtu-1+m-p_2} Y(u, z) v,$$

where

$$A_{p_1,p_2}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} (-1)^i \binom{p_2+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^{p_2+1}}{z^{p_2+i+1}} - \sum_{i=0}^{p_2} (-1)^{p_1} \binom{p_1+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^i}{z^{p_1+i+1}}.$$

The lemma now follows from Proposition 5.1 in the Appendix. \square

The proof of the following lemma is fairly standard (cf. [DLM3] and [Z]).

Lemma 2.4. *For homogeneous $u, v \in V$, and integers $k \geq s \geq 0$,*

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m+s}}{z^{n+m+2+k}} Y(u, z) v \in O'_{n,m}(V).$$

Lemma 2.5. *We have $V \bar{*}_m^n O'_{n,m}(V) \subseteq O'_{n,m}(V)$, $O'_{n,m}(V) *_{m,n}^n V \subseteq O'_{n,m}(V)$.*

Proof: For homogeneous $u, v \in V$ and $w \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&u \bar{*}_m^n (v \circ_m^n w) \\
&\equiv \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \frac{(1+z_1)^{wtv+m}}{z_1^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z_1) \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{wtv+m}}{z_2^{n+m+2}} Y(v, z_2) w \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{wtv+m}}{z_2^{n+m+2}} Y(v, z_2) \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \frac{(1+z_1)^{wtv+m}}{z_1^{m+i+1}} Y(u, z_1) w \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{wtu+m}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-m-i-1}{k} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1-z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{wtu+wtv+2m-j} (z_1-z_2)^{j+k}}{z_2^{2m+n+i+3+k}} Y(Y(u, z_1-z_2)v, z_2) w \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{wtu+m}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-m-i-1}{k} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{wtu+wtv+2m-j}}{z_2^{2m+n+i+3+k}} Y(u_{j+k}v, z_2) w.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the weight of $u_{j+k}v$ is $wtu + wtv - j - k - 1$. By Lemma 2.4 we see that $u\bar{*}_m^n(v \circ_m^n w)$ lies in $O'_{n,m}(V)$.

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& u\bar{*}_m^n(v \circ_m^n w) - (v \circ_m^n w)*_m^n u \\
& \equiv \text{Res}_z(1+z)^{wtu-1}Y(u, z)(v \circ_m^n w) \\
& = \text{Res}_{z_1}(1+z_1)^{wtu-1}\text{Res}_{z_2}\frac{(1+z_2)^{wtv+m}}{z_2^{n+m+2}}Y(u, z_1)Y(v, z_2)w \\
& \equiv \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{wtu-1}{j} \text{Res}_{z_2}\text{Res}_{z_1-z_2}\frac{(1+z_2)^{wtu+wtv+m-1-j}(z_1-z_2)^j}{z_2^{n+m+2}} \\
& \quad \cdot Y(Y(u, z_1-z_2)v, z_2)w \\
& = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{wtu-1}{j} \text{Res}_{z_2}\frac{(1+z_2)^{wtu+wtv+m-1-j}}{z_2^{n+m+2}}Y(u_j v, z_2)w \in O'_{n,m}(V)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a vector in $O'_{n,m}(V)$ by the definition of $O'_{n,m}(V)$. As a result, $(v \circ_m^n w)*_m^n u \in O'_{n,m}(V)$.

Next we deal with $L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u \in O'_{n,m}(V)$. As before we assume that u is homogeneous. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u)*_m^n v \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m+1}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(L(-1)u, z)v \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} (wtu + m - n) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(u, z)v \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n+i}{i} (wtu + m + 1) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(u, z)v \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} (n+i+1) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m+1}}{z^{n+i+2}} Y(u, z)v \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} (wtu + m - n) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(u, z)v \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n+i}{i} (n+1) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(u, z)v \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} (n+i+1) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+1}} Y(u, z)v \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} (n+i+1) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{n+i+2}} Y(u, z)v.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that the last expression is equal to $(-1)^m(n+m+1)\binom{m+n}{m}u \circ_n v$. By Lemma 2.2, $(L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u)*_m^n v$ belongs to $O'_{n,m}(V)$.

Finally for $v\bar{*}_m^n(L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u)$ we use Lemma 2.3 to yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& v\bar{*}_m^n(L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u) - (L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u)*_m^n v \\
&= \text{Res}_z(1+z)^{wtv-1}Y(v,z)(L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u) \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} v_i L(-1)u + (wtu + m - n) \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} v_i u \\
&= L(-1) \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} v_i u + \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} i v_{i-1} u \\
&\quad + (wtu + m - n) \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} v_i u \\
&= L(-1) \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} v_i u + \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i+1} (i+1) v_i u \\
&\quad + (wtu + m - n) \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} v_i u \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} (L(-1) + wtv - i - 1 + wtu + m - n) v_i u \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{wtv-1}{i} (L(-1)v_i u + L(0)v_i u + (m - n)v_i u)
\end{aligned}$$

which is in $O'_{n,m}(V)$. So $v\bar{*}_m^n(L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u) \in O'_{n,m}(V)$, as desired. \square

We should remind the reader that our goal is to construct an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule $A_{n,m}(V)$ with the left action $\bar{*}_m^n$ of $A_n(V)$ and the right action $*_m^n$ of $A_m(V)$. The following lemma claims that the left action $\bar{*}_m^n$ and the right action $*_m^n$ commute. On the other hand, we do not need to prove this lemma as a bigger subspace $O_{n,m}(V)$ of V containing $O'_{n,m}(V)$ will be modulo out. In fact, $(a\bar{*}_m^n b)*_m^n c - a\bar{*}_m^n(b*_m^n c)$ is an element of $O_{n,m}(V)$ (see Lemma 2.6 below). But eventually we expect to prove that $O_{m,n}(V)$ and $O'_{n,m}(V)$ are the same although we cannot achieve this in the paper.

Lemma 2.6. *We have $(a\bar{*}_m^n b)*_m^n c - a\bar{*}_m^n(b*_m^n c)$ lies in $O'_{n,m}(V)$ for homogeneous $a, b, c \in V$.*

Proof: The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 of [DLM3]. In fact, if $m = n$, the lemma is exactly the associativity of product $*_n$ in $A_n(V)$.

A straightforward calculation using Lemma 2.4 gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a \bar{*}_m^n b) *_{m,n}^n c \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{wta+m}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+wtb+2m-j+i}}{z^{n+k+1}} Y(a_{j-m-i-1} b, z) c \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{wta+m}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1-z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{wta+wtb+2m-j+i} (z_1-z_2)^{j-m-i-1}}{z_2^{n+k+1}} Y(Y(a, z_1-z_2) b, z_2) c \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1-z_2} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(1+z_1)^{wta+m} (1+z_2)^{wtb+m+i} (z_1-z_2)^{-m-i-1}}{z_2^{n+k+1}} Y(Y(a, z_1-z_2) b, z_2) c \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_1)^{wta+m} (1+z_2)^{wtb+m+i} (-z_2)^j}{z_1^{m+i+1+j} z_2^{n+k+1}} Y(a, z_1) Y(b, z_2) c \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \frac{(1+z_1)^{wta+m} (1+z_2)^{wtb+m+i} z_1^j}{(-z_2)^{m+i+1+j} z_2^{n+k+1}} Y(b, z_2) Y(a, z_1) c \\
&\equiv a \bar{*}_m^n (b *_{m,n}^n c) + \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} - \frac{1}{z_1^i} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(1+z_1)^{wta+m} (1+z_2)^{wtb+m}}{z_1^{m+1} z_2^{n+k+1}} Y(a, z_1) Y(b, z_2) c.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows from Proposition 5.2 in the Appendix. \square

In order to construct $A_{n,m}(V)$ we need to introduce more subspaces of V . Let $O''_{n,m}(V)$ be the linear span of $u *_{m,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c))$, for $a, b, c, u \in V, p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $O'''_{n,m}(V) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (V *_{p,n}^n O_p(V)) *_{m,p}^n V$. Set

$$O_{n,m}(V) = O'_{n,m}(V) + O''_{n,m}(V) + O'''_{n,m}(V).$$

Lemma 2.7. For $p_1, p_2, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $(V *_{p_1, p_2}^n O'_{p_2, p_1}(V)) *_{m, p_1}^n V \subseteq O_{n, m}(V)$.

Proof: We first prove that $(L(-1)u + (L(0) + p_1 - p_2)u) *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} v \in O_{p_2}(V) *_{m, p_2}^{p_2} V$, for homogeneous $u \in V$ and $v \in V$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (L(-1)u + (L(0) + p_1 - p_2)u) *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} (-1)^i \binom{m + p_2 - p_1 + i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m+1}}{z^{m+p_2-p_1+i+1}} Y(L(-1)u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} (-1)^i \binom{m + p_2 - p_1 + i}{i} (wtu + p_1 - p_2) \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+p_2-p_1+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{m + p_2 - p_1 + i}{i} (wtu + m + 1) \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+p_2-p_1+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} (-1)^i \binom{m + p_2 - p_1 + i}{i} (m + p_2 - p_1 + i + 1) \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m+1}}{z^{m+p_2-p_1+i+2}} Y(u, z) v \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} (-1)^i \binom{m + p_2 - p_1 + i}{i} (wtu + p_1 - p_2) \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+p_2-p_1+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \\
&= (-1)^{p_1} \binom{m + p_2}{p_1} (m + p_2 + 1) \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+p_2+2}} Y(u, z) v.
\end{aligned}$$

So by Lemma 2.2, $(L(-1)u + (L(0) + p_1 - p_2)u) *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} v \in O_{p_2}(V) *_{m, p_2}^{p_2} V$. Hence by the definitions of $O'_{n, m}(V)$ and $O_{n, m}(V)$, and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V *_{p_1, p_2}^n O'_{p_2, p_1}(V)) *_{m, p_1}^n V \subseteq V *_{m, p_2}^n (O'_{p_2, p_1}(V) *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} V) + O_{n, m}(V) \\
&\subseteq V *_{m, p_2}^n ((O_{p_2}(V) *_{p_1, p_2}^{p_2} V) *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} V + O_{p_2}(V) *_{m, p_2}^{p_2} V) + O_{n, m}(V) \\
&\subseteq V *_{m, p_2}^n (O_{p_2}(V) *_{m, p_2}^{p_2} (V *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} V)) + (V *_{p_2}^n O_{p_2}(V)) *_{m, p_2}^n V + O_{n, m}(V) \\
&\subseteq (V *_{p_2}^n O_{p_2}(V)) *_{m, p_2}^n (V *_{m, p_1}^{p_2} V) + O_{n, m}(V) \\
&\subseteq O_{n, m}(V),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.8. For any $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $V *_{m, p}^n O_{p, m}(V) \subseteq O_{n, m}(V)$, $O_{n, p}(V) *_{m, p}^n V \subseteq O_{n, m}(V)$. In particular, $V *_{m, p}^n O_{n, m}(V) \subseteq O_{n, m}(V)$, $O_{n, m}(V) *_{m, p}^n V \subseteq O_{n, m}(V)$.

Proof: By the definition of $O_{n, m}(V)$ and Lemma 2.7, it suffices to prove that

$$V *_{m, p}^n ((V *_{p_1}^p O_{p_1}(V)) *_{m, p_1}^p V + O''_{p, m}(V)) \subseteq O_{n, m}(V) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$((V *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) *_{p, p_1}^n V + O''_{n, p}(V)) *_{m, p}^n V \subseteq O_{n, m}(V) \quad (2.2)$$

for $p_1, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We first prove (2.1). It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& V *_{m,p}^n ((V *_{p_1}^{p_1} O_{p_1}(V)) *_{m,p_1}^{p_1} V) \subseteq V *_{m,p}^n (V *_{m,p_1}^p (O_{p_1}(V) *_{m,p_1}^{p_1} V)) + O_{n,m}(V) \\
& \subseteq (V *_{p_1,p}^n V) *_{m,p_1}^n (O_{p_1}(V) *_{m,p_1}^{p_1} V) + O_{n,m}(V) \\
& \subseteq ((V *_{p_1,p}^n V) *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) *_{m,p_1}^n V + O_{n,m}(V) \subseteq O_{n,m}(V).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that $V *_{m,p}^n O''_{n,m}(V) \subseteq O_{n,m}(V)$. With $u = \mathbf{1}$ in the definition of $O''_{n,m}(V)$ we have $(a *_{p_1,p_2}^n b) *_{m,p_1}^n c - a *_{m,p_2}^n (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c) \in O_{n,m}(V)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& v *_{m,p}^n (u *_{m,p_3}^p ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c))) \\
& \equiv (v *_{p_3,p}^n u) *_{m,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c)) \\
& \equiv 0 \pmod{O_{n,m}(V)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (2.1) is true.

For (2.2), it is easy to see that $((V *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) *_{p,p_1}^n V) *_{m,p}^n V \subseteq (V *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) *_{m,p_1}^n (V *_{m,p}^{p_1} V) + O_{n,m}(V) \subseteq O_{n,m}(V)$. Let $a, b, c, u, v \in V$ and $p_1, p_2, p_3, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (u *_{p,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{p,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{p,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{p,p_1}^{p_2} c))) *_{m,p}^n v \\
& \equiv u *_{m,p_3}^n (((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{p,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{p,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{p,p_1}^{p_2} c)) *_{m,p}^{p_3} v) \\
& \equiv u *_{m,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} (c *_{m,p}^{p_1} v)) - u *_{m,p_3}^n (a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} ((b *_{p,p_1}^{p_2} c) *_{m,p}^{p_2} v)) \\
& \equiv u *_{m,p_3}^n (a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} (c *_{m,p}^{p_1} v))) - (u *_{p_2,p_3}^n a) *_{m,p_2}^n ((b *_{p,p_1}^{p_2} c) *_{m,p}^{p_2} v) \\
& \equiv (u *_{p_2,p_3}^n a) *_{m,p_2}^n (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} (c *_{m,p}^{p_1} v)) - (u *_{p_2,p_3}^n a) *_{m,p_2}^n ((b *_{p,p_1}^{p_2} c) *_{m,p}^{p_2} v) \\
& \equiv 0 \pmod{O_{n,m}(V)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

We now define

$$A_{n,m}(V) = V/O_{n,m}(V).$$

The reason for this definition will become clear from the representation theory of V discussed later. The following is the first main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 2.9. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra and m, n nonnegative integers. Then $A_{n,m}(V)$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule such that the left and right actions of $A_n(V)$ and $A_m(V)$ are given by $\bar{*}_m^n$ and $*_m^n$.*

Proof: First, both actions are well defined from the definition of $O_{n,m}(V)$ and Lemma 2.8. The left $A_n(V)$ -module and right $A_m(V)$ -module structures then follow from the fact that $O''_{n,m}(V)$ is a subspace of $O_{n,m}(V)$. The commutativity of two actions proved in Lemma 2.6 asserts that $A_{n,m}(V)$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule. \square

Remark 2.10. *We will prove in Section 4 that if $m = n$, the $A_{n,n}(V)$ defined here is the same as $A_n(V)$ discussed before. In particular, $O_{n,n}(V)$ and $O'_{n,n}(V)$ coincide. In other words, $O''_{n,n}(V)$, $O'''_{n,n}(V)$ are subspaces of $O'_{n,n}(V)$. We suspect that this is true in general. That is, $O_{n,m}(V)$ and its subspace $O'_{n,m}(V)$ are equal. It seems that this is a very difficult problem and we cannot find a proof for this in this paper.*

3 Properties of $A_{n,m}(V)$

In this section we will discuss some important properties of $A_{n,m}(V)$ such as isomorphism between $A_{n,m}(V)$ and $A_{m,n}(V)$, relations between $A_{n,m}(V)$ and $A_{l,k}(V)$ and tensor products. Some of these properties will be interpreted in terms representation theory in the next section.

First we establish the isomorphism between $A_{n,m}(V)$ and $A_{m,n}(V)$ as $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodules. To achieve this we need to define new actions of $A_n(V)$ and $A_m(V)$ on $A_{m,n}(V)$ so that $A_{m,n}(V)$ becomes an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule. Recall from [Z] the linear map $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\phi(v) = e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}v$ for $v \in V$. Then ϕ induces an anti involution on $A_n(V)$ [DLM3] (also see [Z],[DLM2]). We also use ϕ at the present situation to define an isomorphism between $A_{n,m}(V)$ and $A_{m,n}(V)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For $u, v \in V$ define*

$$u \cdot_m^{-n} v = v *_{n,m}^m \phi(u), \quad u \cdot_m^n v = \phi(v) \bar{*}_n^m u.$$

Then $A_{m,n}(V)$ becomes an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule under the left action \cdot_m^{-n} by $A_n(V)$ and the right action \cdot_m^n by $A_m(V)$.

Proof: Since $\phi(O_m(V)) \subset O_m(V)$ for any m (see [DLM3]), we immediately see that both actions are well defined. The rest follows from Theorem 2.9 and the fact that ϕ is an anti involution of $A_m(V)$ for any m . \square

Proposition 3.2. *The linear map ϕ induces an isomorphism of $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodules from $A_{n,m}(V)$ to $A_{m,n}(V)$, where the actions of $A_n(V)$ and $A_m(V)$ on $A_{n,m}(V)$ are defined in Theorem 2.9, and the actions on $A_{m,n}(V)$ are defined in Lemma 3.1.*

Proof: We first prove that $\phi(u *_{m,p}^n v) \equiv \phi(v) *_{n,p}^m \phi(u)$ modulo $O'_{m,n}(V)$ for $u, v \in V$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Recall the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{L(0)} Y(u, z) (-1)^{L(0)} &= Y((-1)^{L(0)} u, -z) \\ e^{L(1)} Y(u, z) e^{-L(1)} &= Y(e^{(1-z)L(1)} (1-z)^{-2L(0)} u, \frac{z}{1-z}) \end{aligned}$$

from [FLM]. We have the following computation with the help from Proposition 5.1 in

Appendix:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(u *_{m,p}^n v) &= \phi \left(\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{m+n-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+n-p+i+1}} Y(u, z) v \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{m+n-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+n-p+i+1}} e^{L(1)} Y((-1)^{L(0)} u, -z) (-1)^{L(0)} v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{m+n-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{m+n-p+i+1}} \\
&\quad \cdot Y(e^{(1+z)L(1)} (1+z)^{-2L(0)} (-1)^{L(0)} u, \frac{-z}{1+z}) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{wtu+m+n-p} \binom{m+n-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+n-p+i-1}}{z^{m+n-p+i+1}} \\
&\quad \cdot Y(e^{(1+z)^{-1}L(1)} u, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} v \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{wtu+m+n-p} \binom{m+n-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+n-p-j+i-1}}{z^{m+n-p+i+1}} \\
&\quad \cdot Y(L(1)^j u, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} v \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{wtu}}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-p} (-1)^i \binom{p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wtu-j+n}}{z^{p+i+1}} Y(L(1)^j u, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} v \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{wtu}}{j!} \operatorname{Res}_z (1+z)^{wtu-j-1+n-p} Y(L(1)^j u, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} v \\
&\equiv \phi(v) *_{n,p}^m \phi(u) \pmod{O'_{m,n}(V)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 2.3 in the last two steps. In particular, $\phi(u *_{m,p}^n v) \equiv \phi(v) *_{n,p}^m \phi(u)$ modulo $O'_{m,n}(V)$ and $\phi(u *_{m,p}^n v) \equiv \phi(v) \bar{*}_{n,p}^m \phi(u)$ modulo $O'_{m,n}(V)$ for $u, v \in V$.

We next prove that $\phi(O_{n,m}(V)) \subset O_{m,n}(V)$. Take $v = \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+m}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(a, z) b \in O'_{n,m}(V)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(v) &= \operatorname{Res}_z e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} \frac{(1+z)^{wta+m}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(a, z) b \\
&= \operatorname{Res}_z e^{L(1)} \frac{(1+z)^{wta+m}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(e^{(1+z)L(1)} (1+z)^{-2L(0)} (-1)^{L(0)} a, \frac{-z}{1+z}) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} b \\
&= \operatorname{Res}_z (-1)^{wta+m+n+1} \frac{(1+z)^{wta+n}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(e^{\frac{1}{1+z}L(1)} a, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} b \\
&= \operatorname{Res}_z (-1)^{wta+m+n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{(1+z)^{wta+n-j}}{z^{n+m+2}} Y(L(1)^j a, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} b \in O'_{m,n}(V).
\end{aligned}$$

For $u \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u) \\
&= e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)} \text{Res}_z(Y(\omega, z)u + zY(\omega, z)u) + (m - n)e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \\
&= e^{L(1)} \text{Res}_z(Y(\omega, -z) + zY(\omega, -z))(-1)^{L(0)}u + (m - n)e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \\
&= \text{Res}_z(1 + z)Y(e^{(1+z)L(1)}(1 + z)^{-2L(0)}(-1)^{L(0)}\omega, \frac{-z}{1+z})e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \\
&\quad + (m - n)e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \\
&= \text{Res}_z(-(1 + z)^2 + z(1 + z))Y(e^{(1+z)^{-1}L(1)}\omega, z)e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \\
&\quad + (m - n)e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \\
&= -(L(-1) + L(0))e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u - (n - m)e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u \in O'_{m,n}(V).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\phi(O'_{n,m}(V)) \subset O'_{m,n}(V)$.

Let $u \in O'_{n,p}(V)$, $w \in O'_{p,m}(V)$, $v \in V$. Then $\phi(u) \in O'_{p,n}(V)$ and $\phi(w) \in O'_{m,p}(V)$. From the proof above, we have $\phi(u *_{n,p}^m v) \equiv \phi(v) *_{n,p}^m \phi(u)$ and $\phi(v *_{m,p}^n w) \equiv \phi(w) *_{n,p}^m \phi(v)$ modulo $O'_{m,n}(V)$. Thus by Lemma 2.7 and the definition of $O_{m,n}(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi((V *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) *_{m,p_1}^n V) \subseteq V *_{n,p_1}^m \phi(V *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) + O'_{m,n}(V) \\
& \subseteq V *_{n,p_1}^m (O_{p_1}(V) *_{n,p_1}^{p_1} V + O'_{p_1,n}(V)) + O_{m,n}(V) \\
& \subseteq (V *_{p_1}^m O_{p_1}(V)) *_{n,p_1}^m V + O_{m,n}(V) \\
& \subseteq O_{m,n}(V).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\phi(O'''_{n,m}(V)) \subset O_{m,n}(V)$.

Finally we deal with $O''_{n,m}(V)$. For $u, a, b, c \in V$, by the discussion above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi[u *_{m,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c))] \\
& \equiv \phi((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c)) *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u) \pmod{O'_{m,n}(V)} \\
& \equiv [\phi(c) *_{p_3,p_1}^m \phi(a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) - \phi(b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c) *_{p_3,p_2}^m \phi(a) + x_1] *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u),
\end{aligned}$$

for some $x_1 \in O'_{m,p_3}(V)$. Since by Lemma 2.7, $O'_{m,p_3}(V) *_{n,p_3}^m V \subseteq O_{m,n}(V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi[u *_{m,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c))] \\
& \equiv [\phi(c) *_{p_3,p_1}^m (\phi(b) *_{p_3,p_2}^{p_1} \phi(a) + x)] *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u) \\
& \quad - [(\phi(c) *_{p_2,p_1}^m \phi(b) + y) *_{p_3,p_2}^m \phi(a)] *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $x \in O'_{p_1,p_3}(V)$ and $y \in O'_{m,p_2}(V)$. By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$(\phi(c) *_{p_3,p_1}^m O'_{p_1,p_3}(V)) *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u) \subseteq O_{m,n}(V)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (O'_{m,p_2}(V) *_{p_3,p_2}^m \phi(a)) *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u) \\
& \equiv O'_{m,p_2}(V) *_{n,p_2}^m (\phi(a) *_{n,p_3}^{p_2} \phi(u)) \pmod{O_{m,n}(V)} \\
& \subseteq O_{m,n}(V).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi[u *_{m,p_3}^n ((a *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} b) *_{m,p_1}^{p_3} c - a *_{m,p_2}^{p_3} (b *_{m,p_1}^{p_2} c))] \\
& \equiv [\phi(c) *_{p_3,p_1}^m (\phi(b) *_{p_3,p_2}^{p_1} \phi(a)) - (\phi(c) *_{p_2,p_1}^m \phi(b)) *_{p_3,p_2}^m \phi(a)] *_{n,p_3}^m \phi(u) \\
& \equiv 0 \pmod{O_{m,n}(V)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi : A_{n,m}(V) \rightarrow A_{m,n}(V)$ is a well defined bimodule isomorphism. \square

It is proved in [DLM3] that the identity map on V induces epimorphism of associative algebras from $A_n(V)$ to $A_m(V)$, for $m \leq n$. A similar result holds here.

Proposition 3.3. *Let m, n, l be nonnegative integers such that $m-l, n-l$ are nonnegative. Then $A_{n-l,m-l}(V)$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule and the identity map on V induces an epimorphism of $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodules from $A_{n,m}(V)$ to $A_{n-l,m-l}(V)$.*

Proof: It is good enough to prove the results for $l = 1$. We first show that $u *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} v \equiv u *_{p_1-1,p_2-1}^{p_3-1} v \pmod{O'_{p_3-1,p_1-1}(V)}$, for $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let u be homogeneous. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
u *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} v &= \sum_{i=0}^{p_2} \binom{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}{i} (-1)^i \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u + p_1 - 1}}{z^{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}} \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{p_2} \binom{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}{i} (-1)^i \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u + p_1 - 1}}{z^{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i + 1}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p_2-1} \binom{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}{i} (-1)^i \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u + p_1 - 1}}{z^{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}} \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{p_2-2} \binom{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}{i} (-1)^i \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u + p_1 - 1}}{z^{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i + 1}} \pmod{O'_{p_3-1,p_1-1}(V)} \\
&= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p_2-1} (-1)^i \binom{p_1 + p_3 - 1 - p_2 + i}{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p_2-1} (-1)^i \binom{p_1 + p_3 - 1 - p_2 + i}{i-1} \right] \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u + p_1 - 1}}{z^{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p_2-1} (-1)^{i-1} \binom{p_1 + p_3 - 1 - p_2 + i}{i-1} \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u + p_1 - 1}}{z^{p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + i}} \\
&= u *_{p_1-1,p_2-1}^{p_3-1} v.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $u *_{m,p_3}^n v \equiv u *_{m-1,p_3}^{n-1} v \pmod{O'_{n-1,m-1}(V)}$ and $u \bar{*}_{m,p_3}^n v \equiv u \bar{*}_{m-1,p_3}^{n-1} v \pmod{O'_{n-1,m-1}(V)}$. It remains to prove that $O_{n,m}(V) \subset O_{n-1,m-1}(V)$. Clearly, $O'_{n,m}(V) \subset O'_{n-1,m-1}(V)$ by Lemma 2.4. Recall from [DLM3] that $O_m(V) \subset O_{m-1}(V)$ for any m . Using the relation $u *_{p_1,p_2}^{p_3} v \equiv u *_{p_1-1,p_2-1}^{p_3-1} v \pmod{O'_{p_3-1,p_1-1}(V)}$ and Lemma 2.7 and the definition of $O_{n,m}(V)$, one can easily show that $O''_{n,m}(V) \subset O_{n-1,m-1}(V)$, $(V *_{p_1}^n O_{p_1}(V)) *_{m,p_1}^n V \subset O_{n-1,m-1}(V)$. \square

We next study the tensor product $A_{n,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} A_{p,m}(V)$ which is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule.

Proposition 3.4. *Define the linear map $\psi: A_{n,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} A_{p,m}(V) \rightarrow A_{n,m}(V)$ by*

$$\psi(u \otimes v) = u *_{m,p}^n v,$$

for $u \otimes v \in A_{n,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} A_{p,m}(V)$. Then ψ is an $A_n(V) - A_m(V)$ -bimodule homomorphism from $A_{n,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} A_{p,m}(V)$ to $A_{n,m}(V)$.

Proof: First we prove that ψ is well defined. By Lemma 2.8, if $u \in O_{n,p}(V)$ or $v \in O_{p,m}(V)$, then $\psi(u \otimes v) = 0$. For $u \in A_{n,p}(V), w \in A_p(V), v \in A_{p,m}(V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi((u *_{p,p}^n w) \otimes v) &= (u *_{p,p}^n w) *_{m,p}^n v \\ &= u *_{m,p}^n (w *_{m,p}^p v) = \psi(u \otimes (w *_{m,p}^p v)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ψ is well defined.

For $a \in A_n(V), b \in A_m(V)$ and $u \otimes v \in A_{n,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} A_{p,m}(V)$, by the definition of $A_{n,m}(V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \bar{*}_m^n \psi(u \otimes v) &= a \bar{*}_m^n (u *_{m,p}^n v) = (a \bar{*}_p^n u) *_{m,p}^n v = \psi((a \bar{*}_p^n u) \otimes v); \\ \psi(u \otimes v) *_{m,p}^n b &= (u *_{m,p}^n v) *_{m,p}^n b = u *_{m,p}^n (v *_{m,p}^p b) = \psi(u \otimes (v *_{m,p}^p b)). \end{aligned}$$

□

It is worthy to point out that the map ψ is not surjective in general. For example, if $V = V^\natural$ is the moonshine vertex operator algebra constructed in [FLM] then V is rational (see [D], [DGH] and [M]) and $V_1 = 0$. Thus by Theorem 4.13 below, $A_{2,1}(V) = 0$ and $A_{2,2}(V) \neq 0$. This shows that $A_{2,1}(V) \otimes_{A_1(V)} A_{1,2}(V) = 0$ and $\psi: A_{2,1}(V) \otimes_{A_1(V)} A_{1,2}(V) \rightarrow A_2(V)$ is the zero map.

4 Connection to representation theory

Let $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$ be an admissible V -module such that $M(0) \neq 0$ (cf. [DLM2]). For $u \in V$, define $o_{n,m}(u): M(m) \mapsto M(n)$ by

$$o_{n,m}(u)w = u_{wtu+m-n-1}w,$$

for homogeneous $u \in V$ and $w \in M(m)$ where $u_{wtu+m-n-1}$ is the component operator of $Y_M(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}$.

The following lemma gives the representation theory reason for Proposition 3.4.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a, b \in V, m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $w \in M(m)$, then*

$$o_{n,m}(a *_{m,p}^n b)w = o_{n,p}(a)o_{p,m}(b)w.$$

Proof: We have the following computation on $M(m)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
o_{n,m}(a *_{m,p}^n b) &= o_{n,m} \left(\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+m}}{z^{n+m-p+i+1}} Y(a, z) b \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{wta+m} \binom{wta+m}{j} (a_{j-n-m+p-i-1} b)_{wta+wtb-j+i+2m-p-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{wta+m} \binom{wta+m}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1-z_2} (z_1 - z_2)^{j-n-m+p-i-1} z_2^{wta+wtb-j+i+2m-p-1} Y(Y(a, z_1 - z_2) b, z_2) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1-z_2} z_1^{wta+m} (z_1 - z_2)^{-n-m+p-i-1} z_2^{wtb+i+m-p-1} Y(Y(a, z_1 - z_2) b, z_2) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} z_1^{-n-m+p-i-1-j} (-z_2)^j z_1^{wta+m} z_2^{wtb+i+m-p-1} Y(a, z_1) Y(b, z_2) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} (-z_2)^{-n-m+p-i-1-j} z_1^j z_1^{wta+m} z_2^{wtb+i+m-p-1} Y(b, z_2) Y(a, z_1) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{j} (-1)^j \\
&\quad \cdot a_{wta-n+p-i-j-1} b_{wtb+i+j+m-p-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot (-1)^{n+m-p+j+i+1} b_{wtb-n-2-j} a_{wta+m+j} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{k-i} \\
&\quad \cdot a_{wta-n+p-k-1} b_{wtb+m-p+k-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{j} \\
&\quad \cdot (-1)^{n+m-p+j+i+1} b_{wtb-n-2-j} a_{wta+m+j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $a_{wta+m+j} = b_{wtb+m-p+k-1} = 0$ on $M(m)$ for $j \geq 0$ and $k > p$. Also,

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n+m-p+i}{i} \binom{-n-m+p-i-1}{k-i} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4.2. *For $u, v \in V$ and $w \in M(m)$, we have*

$$o_{n,m}(u *_{m}^n v)w = o_{n,m}(u)o_{m,m}(v)w, \quad o_{n,m}(u \bar{*}_{m}^n v)w = o_{n,n}(u)o_{n,m}(v)w.$$

Let W be a weak V -module and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Following [DLM2] we define

$$\Omega_m(W) = \{w \in W \mid u_{wtu-1+k}w = 0, \text{ for all homogeneous } u \in V \text{ and } k > m\}.$$

By Corollary 4.2 or [DLM3] we have:

Theorem 4.3. *Let W be a weak V -module. Then $\Omega_m(W)$ is an $A_m(V)$ -module such that $a + O_m(V)$ acts as $o(a) = a_{wta-1}$ for homogeneous $a \in V$.*

We also have the following theorem from [DLM3].

Theorem 4.4. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M(k)$ be an admissible V -module such that $M(0) \neq 0$. Then*

(1) $\bigoplus_{k=0}^m M(k)$ is an $A_m(V)$ -submodule of $\Omega_m(M)$. Furthermore, if M is irreducible, $\Omega_m(M) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^m M(k)$.

(2) Each $M(k)$ is an $A_m(V)$ -submodule for $k = 0, \dots, m$. Moreover, if M is irreducible, each $M(k)$ is a simple $A_m(V)$ -module.

We are now in a position to understand the representation theory meaning of $A_{n,m}(V)$. First observe that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(m), M(n))$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule such that $(a \cdot f \cdot b)(w) = af(bw)$ for $a \in A_n(V)$, $b \in A_m(V)$, $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(m), M(n))$ and $w \in M(m)$. Set $o_{n,m}(V) = \{o_{n,m}(v) \mid v \in V\}$.

Proposition 4.5. *The $o_{n,m}(V)$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -subbimodule of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(m), M(n))$ and $v \mapsto o_{n,m}(v)$ for $v \in V$ induces an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule epimorphism from $A_{n,m}(V)$ to $o_{n,m}(V)$.*

Proof: Clearly, $o_{n,m}(V)$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -subbimodule of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(m), M(n))$ by Corollary 4.2. The same corollary also shows that the map $v \mapsto o_{n,m}(v)$ is an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule epimorphism if we can prove that $o_{n,m}(c) = 0$ for $c \in O_{n,m}(V)$. First let $c \in O'_{n,m}(V)$. If $c = L(-1)u + (L(0) + m - n)u$, $o_{n,m}(c) = 0$ is clear. If $c = u \circ_m^n v$, then by Lemma 2.2 we can assume that $c = a \bar{*}_m^n b$ for some $a \in O_n(V)$ and $b \in V$. Since $o(a) = 0$ we see from Corollary 4.2 that $o_{n,m}(c) = 0$. Next we assume that $c \in O''_{n,m}(V)$. Using Lemma 4.1 repeatedly shows that $o_{n,m}(c) = 0$. Finally take $c \in O'''_{n,m}(V)$. Again by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, $o_{n,m}(c) = 0$, as desired. \square

It is proved in [DLM3] that for any given $A_m(V)$ -module U which cannot factor through $A_{m-1}(V)$ there is a unique admissible V -module $\bar{M}(U) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{M}(U)(k)$ of Verma type such that $\bar{M}(U)(m) = U$. The construction of $\bar{M}(U)$ is implicit in [DLM3]. We now recover this result and give an explicit construction of $\bar{M}(U)$ by using the bimodules $A_{n,m}(V)$. As a byproduct of this construction we can determine the structure of $A_{n,m}(V)$ explicitly if V is rational. We also expect that this new construction of $\bar{M}(U)$ will help our further study of representation theory of V .

We need the following result on the relation between $A_{n,n}(V)$ and $A_n(V)$:

Proposition 4.6. *For any $n \geq 0$, the $A_n(V)$ and $A_{n,n}(V)$ are the same.*

Proof: It is good enough to prove that any $A_n(V)$ -module U is also an $A_{n,n}(V)$ -module. Recall from the definition of $A_n(V)$ and $A_{n,n}(V)$ that $A_n(V) = V/O'_{n,n}(V)$ and $A_{n,n}(V) = V/O_{n,n}(V)$ where $O_{n,n}(V) = O'_{n,n}(V) + O''_{n,n}(V) + O'''_{n,n}(V)$. So we have to prove that $O''_{n,n}(V) + O'''_{n,n}(V)$ acts on U trivially. By Theorem 4.1 of [DLM3], there exists an admissible V -module $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M(k)$ such that $M(n) = U$. We can not assume and do not need to assume that $M(0) \neq 0$. Note that the action of $A_n(V)$ on $U \subset M$ comes from the $A_n(V)$ -module structure. This is, for $v \in A_n(V)$, $o(v) = o_{n,n}(v)$ is the module action of $A_n(V)$ on U . By Lemma 4.1 we immediately see that $O''_{n,n}(V) + O'''_{n,n}(V) = 0$ on U , as desired. \square

Let U be an $A_m(V)$ -module which can not factor through $A_{m-1}(V)$. Set

$$M(U) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U.$$

Then $M(U)$ is naturally \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded such that $M(U)(n) = A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$. By Proposition 4.6, $M(U)(m)$ and U are isomorphic $A_m(V)$ -modules. The $M(U)$ will be proved to be the $\bar{M}(U)$ defined in [DLM3].

Recall Proposition 3.4. For $u \in V$, and $p, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define an operator u_p from $M(U)(n)$ to $M(U)(n - wt_u - p - 1)$ by

$$u_p(v \otimes w) = \begin{cases} (u *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} v) \otimes w, & \text{if } wt_u - 1 - p + n \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } wt_u - 1 - p + n < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $v \in A_{n,m}(V)$ and $w \in U$. We need to prove that u_p is well defined. Let $v \in O_{n,m}(V)$ and $w \in U$. By Lemma 2.8, $u *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} v \in V *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} O_{n,m}(V) \subseteq O_{wt_u - p - 1 + n, m}(V)$, so we have $u_p(v \otimes w) = 0$. Now let $a \in A_m(V)$, $v \in A_{n,m}(V)$, $w \in U$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_p((v *_{m,n}^n a) \otimes w) &= (u *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} (v *_{m,n}^n a)) \otimes w \\ &= ((u *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} v) *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} a) \otimes w \\ &= (u *_{m,n}^{wt_u - p - 1 + n} v) \otimes a \cdot w = u_p(v \otimes a \cdot w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus u_p is well defined. Set

$$Y_M(u, z) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} u_p z^{-p-1}.$$

Lemma 4.7. *For homogeneous $u \in V$, $v \otimes w \in A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

- (1) $u_p(v \otimes w) = 0$, for p sufficiently large;
- (2) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}$.

Proof: (1) is clear and we only need to deal with (2). By the definition of u_p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_p(v \otimes w) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{-p-1+m+i}{i} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^m}{z^{-p+m+i}} (Y(u, z)v) \otimes w \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{-p-1+m+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (\mathbf{1}_{p-i-j} v) \otimes w \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{1}_p(v \otimes w) = 0$ if $p < -1$ and $\mathbf{1}_{-1}(v \otimes w) = v \otimes w$. Clearly, $\mathbf{1}_p(v \otimes w) = 0$ if $p \geq n$. If $-1 < p < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_p(v \otimes w) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \binom{m-p-1+i}{i} \binom{m}{p+1-i} v \otimes w \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+p+1} \binom{m}{p+1} \binom{p+1}{i} v \otimes w \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}$. □

We next have the commutator formula:

Lemma 4.8. *For $a, b \in V$, we have*

$$[Y_M(a, z_1), Y_M(b, z_2)] = \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2),$$

or equivalently, for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$[a_p, b_q] = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{p}{i} (a_i b)_{p+q-i}.$$

Proof: Recall Lemma 2.3 and the definition of $A_{n,m}(V)$. For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \otimes w \in A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$ we need to prove that

$$a_p b_q(v \otimes w) - b_q a_p(v \otimes w) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{p}{i} (a_i b)_{p+q-i}(v \otimes w).$$

If $wta + wtb - p - q - 2 + n < 0$, this is clear from the definition of the actions. So we now assume that $wta + wtb - p - q - 2 + n \geq 0$. If $wta - p - 1 + n, wtb - q - 1 + n \geq 0$, then by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_p b_q(v \otimes w) - b_q a_p(v \otimes w) \\ &= a_p(b *_{m,n}^{wtb-q-1+n} v) \otimes w - b_q(a *_{m,n}^{wta-p-1+n} v) \otimes w \\ &= \left(a *_{m,wtb-q-1+n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} (b *_{m,n}^{wtb-q-1+n} v) \right) \otimes w \\ &\quad - \left(b *_{m,wta-p-1+n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} (a *_{m,n}^{wta-p-1+n} v) \right) \otimes w \\ &= \left((a *_{n,wtb-q-1+n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} b) *_{m,n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} v \right) \otimes w \\ &\quad - \left((b *_{n,wta-p-1+n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} a) *_{m,n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} v \right) \otimes w \\ &= ((\text{Res}_z (1+z)^p Y_M(a, z)b) *_{m,n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} v) \otimes w \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{p}{i} (a_i b) *_{m,n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} v \right) \otimes w \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{p}{i} (a_i b)_{p+q-i}(v \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the result for $wta - p - 1 + n \geq 0, wtb - q - 1 + n < 0$ or $wta - p - 1 + n < 0, wtb - q - 1 + n \geq 0$. If $wta - p - 1 + n < 0, wtb - q - 1 + n \geq 0$ then $b_q a_p(v \otimes w) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_p b_q(v \otimes w) - b_q a_p(v \otimes w) &= \left((a *_{n, wtb-q-1+n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} b) *_{m,n}^{wtp+wtb-p-q-2+n} v \right) \otimes w \\ &= \left((\text{Res}_z(1+z)^p Y_M(a, z) b) *_{m,n}^{wta+wtb-p-q-2+n} v \right) \otimes w \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{p}{i} (a_i b)_{p+q-i}(v \otimes w) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.9 below. Similarly, the result holds for $wta - p - 1 + n \geq 0, wtb - q - 1 + n < 0$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let $u, v \in V$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p_1 + p_2 - m \geq 0$. If $p_1 \geq 0, p_2 < 0$, then*

$$u *_{m, p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v - \text{Res}_z(1+z)^{wtu-1+m-p_2} Y(u, z) v \in O'_{p_1+p_2-m, m}(V)$$

and if $p_1 < 0, p_2 \geq 0$, then

$$-v *_{m, p_2}^{p_1+p_2-m} u - \text{Res}_z(1+z)^{wtu-1+m-p_2} Y(u, z) v \in O'_{p_1+p_2-m, m}(V).$$

Proof: This lemma is similar to Lemma 2.3 where both p_1 and p_2 are nonnegative. If $p_2 < 0$ or $p_1 < 0$, then $v *_{m, p_2}^{p_1+p_2-m} u$ or $u *_{m, p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v$ is not defined. But we do need a version of Lemma 2.3 with either $p_1 < 0$ or $p_2 < 0$ in the proof of previous lemma.

First we assume that $p_1 \geq 0, p_2 < 0$. Then $-p_2 - 1 \geq 0$. From the definition, we have

$$u *_{m, p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v = \sum_{i=0}^{p_1} \binom{-p_2-1}{i} \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{p_2+i+1}}.$$

Since $\text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{p_2+i+1}} \in O'_{p_1+p_2-m, m}(V)$ if $i > p_1$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} u *_{m, p_1}^{p_1+p_2-m} v &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{-p_2-1} \binom{-p_2-1}{i} \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{p_2+i+1}}. \\ &= \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{wtu+m}}{z^{p_2+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{z}\right)^{-p_2-1} \\ &= \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v (1+z)^{wtu+m-p_2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So in this case we have done.

If $p_1 < 0, p_2 \geq 0$ then the result in the first case gives

$$v *_{m, p_2}^{p_1+p_2-m} u \equiv \text{Res}_z Y(v, z) u (1+z)^{wtv+m-p_1-1}$$

modulo $O'_{p_1+p_2-m, m}(V)$. Using the identity

$$Y(v, z) u \equiv (1+z)^{-wtu-wtv-2m+p_1+p_2} Y\left(u, \frac{-z}{1+z}\right) v$$

modulo $O'_{p_1+p_2-m,m}(V)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_z Y(v, z)u(1+z)^{wtv+m-p_1-1} &\equiv \operatorname{Res}_z Y(u, \frac{-z}{1+z})v(1+z)^{-wtu-m+p_2-1} \\ &= -\operatorname{Res}_z Y(u, z)v(1+z)^{wtu+m-p_2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.10. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^i (z_0 + z_2)^{wta+n} Y_M(a, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(b, z_2) = \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^i (z_2 + z_0)^{wta+n} Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2)$$

on $M(U)(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof: Since $a_{wta+n} = 0$ on $M(U)(n)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z_1} (z_1 - z_2)^i z_1^{wta+n} Y_M(b, z_2) Y_M(a, z_1) (v \otimes w) = 0.$$

Thus on $M(U)(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^i (z_0 + z_2)^{wta+n} Y_M(a, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(b, z_2) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} (z_1 - z_2)^i z_1^{wta+n} (Y_M(a, z_1) Y_M(b, z_2) - Y_M(b, z_2) Y_M(a, z_1)) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} (z_1 - z_2)^i z_1^{wta+n} [Y_M(a, z_1), Y_M(b, z_2)] \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} (z_1 - z_2)^i z_1^{wta+n} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} z_0^i (z_2 + z_0)^{wta+n} z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 + z_0}{z_1}\right) Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^i (z_2 + z_0)^{wta+n} Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.8. \square

Lemma 4.11. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^{-l} (z_2 + z_0)^{wta+n} z_2^{wtb-n} Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^{-l} (z_0 + z_2)^{wta+n} z_2^{wtb-n} Y_M(a, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(b, z_2) \end{aligned}$$

on $M(U)(n)$ for $n \geq 0$.

Proof: Take $v \otimes w \in A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U = M(U)(n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_0^{-l} (z_2 + z_0)^{wta+n} z_2^{wtb-n} Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2) (v \otimes w) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{wta+n}{j} z_2^{wta+wtb-j} Y_M(a_{j-l}b, z_2) (v \otimes w) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{wta+n}{j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z_2^{-l+k-n+1} (a_{j-l}b)_{wta+wtb-j+l-2-k+n} (v \otimes w) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z_2^{-l+k-n+1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{wta+n}{j} ((a_{j-l}b) *_{m,n}^k v) \otimes w \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z_2^{-l+k-n+1} \left((\operatorname{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+n}}{z^l} Y(a, z)b) *_{m,n}^k v \right) \otimes w \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}_{z_0} z_0^{-l} (z_0 + z_2)^{wta+n} z_2^{wtb-n} Y_M(a, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(b, z_2) (v \otimes w) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-l}{i} (-1)^i a_{wta+n-l-i} z_2^{wtb-n+i} Y_M(b, z_2) (v \otimes w) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-l}{i} (-1)^i a_{wta+n-l-i} \sum_{j \geq -n} z_2^{-n+i+j} b_{wtb-1-j} (v \otimes w) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\substack{j \geq -n \\ l+i+j \geq 1}} \binom{-l}{i} (-1)^i z_2^{-n+i+j} \left(a *_{m,j+n}^{l+i+j-1} (b *_{m,n}^{j+n} v) \right) \otimes w \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{j=-n}^{k+1-l} z_2^{-n+k+1-l} (-1)^{k+1-j-l} \binom{-l}{k+1-j-l} \left((a *_{n,j+n}^k b) *_{m,n}^k v \right) \otimes w \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1+n-l} z_2^{-n+k+1-l} (-1)^{k+1+n-j-l} \binom{-l}{k+1+n-j-l} \left((a *_{n,j}^k b) *_{m,n}^k v \right) \otimes w.
\end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1+n-l} (-1)^{k+1+n-j-l} \binom{-l}{k+1+n-j-l} a *_{n,j}^k b = \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+n}}{z^l} Y(a, z) b.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k+1+n-l} (-1)^{k+1+n-j-l} \binom{-l}{k+1+n-j-l} a *_{n,j}^k b \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1+n-l} (-1)^{k+1+n-j-l} \binom{-l}{k+1+n-j-l} \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^i \binom{k+n-j+i}{i} \\
& \quad \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+n}}{z^{n+k-j+i+1}} Y(a, z) b \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1+n-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+n+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+j+i-1}{i} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{wta+n}}{z^{l+j+i}} Y(a, z) b.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.3 in Appendix, we see that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1+n-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+n+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+j+i-1}{i} \frac{1}{z^{l+j+i}} = \frac{1}{z^l}.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.12. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$(z_2 + z_0)^{wt a + n} Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2) = (z_0 + z_2)^{wt a + n} Y_M(a, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(b, z_2)$$

on $M(U)(n)$.

Proof: The corollary is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 4.10 and 4.11. \square

We now can state another main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.13. *Let U be an $A_m(V)$ -module which can not factor through $A_{m-1}(V)$, then $M(U) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$ is an admissible V -module with $M(U)(0) = A_{0,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U \neq 0$ and with the following universal property: for any weak V -module W and any $A_m(V)$ -morphism $\phi : U \rightarrow \Omega_m(W)$, there is a unique morphism $\bar{\phi} : M(U) \rightarrow W$ of weak V -modules which extends ϕ .*

Proof: Since $u \bar{*}_m^n 1 = u$, for $u \in V$ and $1 \in A_m(V)$, it follows that $M(U)$ is generated by $U \cong A_{m,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$ by Proposition 4.6. The fact that $M(U)$ is an admissible V -module follows from Lemmas 4.7-4.8 and Corollary 4.12. In fact, from the construction of $M(U)$ and Lemma 4.7, every condition in the definition of an admissible module (cf. [DLM2]) except the Jacobi identity is satisfied. It is well known that the Jacobi identity is equivalent to the commutator formula obtained in Lemma 4.7 and associativity in Corollary 4.12. If $M(U)(0) = A_{0,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U = 0$, then U will be an $A_{m-1}(V)$ -module, a contradiction. So $M(U)(0) \neq 0$.

It remains to prove the universal property of $M(U)$. Define the linear map: $\bar{\phi} : M(U) \rightarrow W$ by

$$\bar{\phi}(u \otimes w) = o_{n,m}(u) \phi(w),$$

for $u \in A_{n,m}(V)$ and $w \in U$. To be sure that $\bar{\phi}$ is well defined, we need to prove that

$$\bar{\phi}((u \bar{*}_m^n v) \otimes w) = \bar{\phi}(u \otimes v \cdot w),$$

for $u \in A_{n,m}(V)$, $v \in A_m(V)$ and $w \in U$. Indeed, by Corollary 4.2 and the fact that ϕ is an $A_m(V)$ -morphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}((u \bar{*}_m^n v) \otimes w) &= o_{n,m}(u \bar{*}_m^n v) \phi(w) = o_{n,m}(u) o(v) \phi(w) \\ &= o_{n,m}(u) \phi(o(v)w) = \bar{\phi}(u \otimes o(v)w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bar{\phi}$ is well defined.

For homogeneous $u \in V$, $v \otimes w \in A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} U$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}(u_p(v \otimes w)) &= \bar{\phi}((u \bar{*}_{m,n}^{wtu-p-1+n} v) \otimes w) \\ &= o_{wtu-p-1+n,m}(u \bar{*}_{m,n}^{wtu-p-1+n} v) \phi(w) \\ &= o_{wtu-p-1+n,n}(u) o_{n,m}(v) \phi(w) \\ &= o_{wtu-p-1+n,n}(u) \bar{\phi}(v \otimes w) \\ &= u_p \bar{\phi}(v \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\bar{\phi}$ is a morphism of weak V -modules. It is clear that $\bar{\phi}$ extends ϕ . \square

From the universal property of $M(U)$ we immediately have

Corollary 4.14. *The $M(U)$ is isomorphic to the admissible V -module $\bar{M}_m(U)$ constructed in [DLM3].*

Remark 4.15. *In the case $U = A_m(V)$, then $M(U) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_{n,m}(V)$ is an admissible V -module for any $m \geq 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n(V)$ is not an admissible V -module. We certainly expect that the admissible module $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_{n,m}(V)$ will play a significant role in our further study of representation theory for vertex operator algebras.*

Finally we study the $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule structure of $A_{n,m}(V)$ if V is rational. The result below is not surprising from the representation theory point of view. Recall from [DLM3] that if V is rational then there are only finitely irreducible admissible V -modules up to isomorphisms and each irreducible admissible module is ordinary.

Theorem 4.16. *If V is a rational vertex operator algebra and $W^j = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} W^j(n)$ with $W^j(0) \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ are all the inequivalent irreducible modules of V , then*

$$A_{n,m}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W^i(m-l), W^i(n-l)) \right).$$

Proof: Since V is rational, $A_n(V)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of full matrix algebras $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W^i(k))$ by Theorem 4.10 of [DLM3]. So as an $A_n(V)$ - $A_m(V)$ -bimodule,

$$A_{n,m}(V) = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^s \bigoplus_{0 \leq p \leq m, 0 \leq q \leq n} c_{i,j,p,q} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W^i(p), W^j(q))$$

for some nonnegative integers $c_{i,j,p,q}$. So we need to prove that $c_{i,j,p,q} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ or $(p, q) \neq (m-l, n-l)$ for some $0 \leq l \leq \min\{m, n\}$ and $c_{i,i,m-l,n-l} = 1$.

We need a general result. Let U be an irreducible $A_p(V)$ -module which is not an $A_{p-1}(V)$ -module. Then $M(U) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k,p}(V) \otimes_{A_p(V)} U$ is an admissible V -module generated by U by Theorem 4.13. Since V is rational, $M(U)$ is a direct sum of irreducible V -modules. Note that $M(U)(p) = U$ generates an irreducible submodule of $M(U)$. This shows that $M(U)$ is irreducible.

Consider irreducible admissible V -module $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} W^i(m)$. Then M is isomorphic to W^i . Thus each $M(k)$ is isomorphic to $W^i(k)$ as $A_k(V)$ -module. In particular, $A_{n,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} W^i(m)$ is isomorphic to $W^i(n)$. This shows that $c_{i,i,m,n} = 1$ and all other $c_{i,j,m,q} = 0$ if either $j \neq i$ or $q \neq n$.

Next we consider $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k,m}(V) \otimes_{A_m(V)} (W^i(m) + W^i(m-1))$. Then M is isomorphic to $W^i \oplus W^i$, $M(k) = W^i(k) \oplus W^i(k-1)$ for $k > 0$, and $M(0) = W^i(0)$. This implies that $c_{i,i,m-1,n-1} = 1$ and $c_{i,j,m-1,q} = 0$ if $j \neq i$ or $q \neq n-1$. Continuing in this way gives the result. \square

5 Appendix

In this section we present several identities involving formal variables used in the previous sections.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define

$$A_{m,n}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{n+i+1}} - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{m+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^i}{z^{m+i+1}}.$$

Proposition 5.1. *For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $A_{m,n}(z) = 1$.*

Proof: We will prove that $A_{m,n}(z) = A_{m,n+1}(z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m,n+1}(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i+1}{i} \frac{(1+z)^{n+2}}{z^{n+i+2}} - \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^m \binom{m+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^i}{z^{m+i+1}} \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i-1} \right] \left[\frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{n+i+2}} + \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{n+i+1}} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{m+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^i}{z^{m+i+1}} - (-1)^m \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{m+n+2}} \\ &= A_{m,n}(z) + \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+1}{i} \right] \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{n+i+2}} \\ &\quad - (-1)^m \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{m+n+2}} + \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{n+i+2}} \\ &= A_{m,n}(z) + \sum_{i=0}^m \left[(-1)^{i+1} \binom{n+i}{i} + (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \right] \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{n+i+2}} \\ &\quad - \left[(-1)^m \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} + (-1)^{m+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \right] \frac{(1+z)^{n+1}}{z^{m+n+2}} \\ &= A_{m,n}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$A_{m,n}(z) = A_{m,0}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \frac{(1+z)}{z^{i+1}} - (-1)^m \frac{1}{z^{m+1}}$$

which is clearly equal to 1. □

Proposition 5.2. *For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} - \frac{1}{z_1^i} \right) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof: Set

$$D_{n,m}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} - \frac{1}{z_1^i} \right).$$

We prove by induction on both m and n that $D_{n,m}(z_1, z_2) = 0$. It is clear that $D_{0,0}(z_1, z_2) = 0$. We now assume that $D_{n,m}(z_1, z_2) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{n,m+1}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i+1}{i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-2}{j} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} - \frac{1}{z_1^i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{i} \frac{m+i+j+1}{m+1} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \left[\binom{m+i}{i} + \binom{m+i}{i-1} \right] \frac{1}{z_1^i} \\
&= D_{n,m}(z_1, z_2) - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \frac{i}{m+1} \frac{1}{z_1^i} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \frac{i+j}{m+1} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \frac{i+j}{m+1} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \frac{i}{m+1} \frac{1}{z_1^i} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $D_{n,m+1}(z_1, z_2) = 0$. We now deal with $D_{n+1,m}(z_1, z_2)$. We have the computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{n+1,m}(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} - \frac{1}{z_1^i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} (-1)^j \binom{-m-i-1}{j} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{j+l}}{z_1^{j+i}} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l} \frac{z_2^l}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \frac{1}{z_1^i} - (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \frac{1}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+i}{i} \binom{-m-i-1}{n+1-i} (-1)^{n+1-i} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} \frac{z_2^{n+1-i+l}}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l} \frac{z_2^l}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \frac{1}{z_1^{n+1}} + D_{n,m}(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \sum_{l'=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+i}{i} \binom{-m-i-1}{n+1-i} \binom{i}{l'-n-1+i} \frac{z_2^{l'}}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{l'=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l'} \frac{z_2^{l'}}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&= \sum_{l'=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l'} \binom{l'}{n+1-i} \frac{z_2^{l'}}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{l'=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1} \binom{m+n+1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l'} \frac{z_2^{l'}}{z_1^{n+1}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The Proposition is proved. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $k+1-l \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j-1}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l}} = \frac{1}{z^l}.$$

Proof: We prove the result by induction on $l \geq 1$. For $l = 1$, the identity becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^{k-j} (-1)^i \binom{j+i}{i} \frac{1}{z^{j+i+1}} = \frac{1}{z}.$$

It is easy to deduce the above formula by induction on $k \geq 0$. Now, suppose the identity is true for l . Then

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j-1}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l}} \right) = \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{1}{z^l} \right),$$

whose left side is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+1-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j-1}{i} (-l-j-i) \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l+1}} \\ &= (-l) \sum_{j=0}^{k+1-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l-1}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l+1}} \\ &= (-l) \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l-1}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l+1}} \\ & \quad -l \binom{-l-1}{k+1-l} (-1)^{k+1-l} \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \\ &= (-l) \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l-1}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l+1}} \\ & \quad -l \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} \binom{-l-1}{j} (-1)^{k+1-l} \binom{k+1}{k+1-l-j} \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \\ & \quad -l \binom{-l-1}{k+1-l} (-1)^{k+1-l} \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \\ &= (-l) \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l-1}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l+1}} \\ & \quad -l \binom{k+1}{l} (-1)^{k+1-l} \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} \binom{k+1-l}{j} (-1)^j \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \\ & \quad -l \binom{k+1}{l} \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \\ &= (-l) \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} (-1)^j \binom{-l-1}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-j} (-1)^i \binom{l+i+j}{i} \frac{1}{z^{i+j+l+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the assumption that $k+1-(l+1) \geq 0$. So the identity holds for $l+1$. \square

References

- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [D] C. Dong, Representations of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra, *Contemp. Math.* **175** (1994), 27-36.
- [DGH] C. Dong, R. Griess Jr. and G. Hoehn, Framed vertex operator algebras, codes and the moonshine module, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 407-448.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, *J. Algebra* **206** (1998), 67-96.
- [DLM4] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, *International Math. Research Notices*, **8** (1998), 389-397.
- [DZ] C. Dong and Z. Zhao, Twisted representations of vertex operator superalgebras, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* to appear.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol. **134**, Academic Press, 1988.
- [KW] V. Kac and W. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations, *Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc.* **175** (1994), 161-191.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations, *Progress in Mathematics*, Vol. **227**, Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [MNT] A. Matsuo, K. Nagatomo and A. Tsuchiya, Quasi-finite algebras graded by Hamiltonian and vertex operator algebras, math.QA/0505071.
- [M] M. Miyamoto, Representation theory of code vertex operator algebra, *J. Algebra* **201** (1998), 115-150.
- [MT] M. Miyamoto and K. Tanabe, Uniform product of $A_{g,n}(V)$ for an orbifold model V and G -twisted Zhu algebra, *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), 80-96.
- [Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.

- [X] X. Xu, Introduction to Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Their Modules, *Mathematics and its Applications* Vol. **456**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.